

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2017 Navy **Date:** February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319: <i>Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy / BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)</i>	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U) <i>Mission Planning</i>
--	---

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.000	0.000	0.000	33.570	-	33.570	34.230	33.860	33.905	34.612	Continuing	Continuing
2213: <i>Mission Planning</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	21.779	-	21.779	21.771	21.673	21.539	21.988	Continuing	Continuing
2311: <i>Stores Planning and Weaponeering Module</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.153	-	11.153	11.788	11.502	11.667	11.911	Continuing	Continuing
2312: <i>Common Helicopters</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.638	-	0.638	0.671	0.685	0.699	0.713	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Prior to FY17, Mission Planning (PU 2213) was funded under PE 0604231N; Stores Planning and Weaponeering Module (PU 2311) and Common Helicopters (PU 2312) were funded under PE 0604215N.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Mission Planning develops automated mission planning systems to support Naval Aviation.

Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) is the designated automated mission planning system for the Navy, supporting over 40 T/M/S and expeditionary forces. JMPS-M enables weapon system employment by providing the information, automated tools, and decision aids needed to rapidly plan aircraft, weapon, or sensor missions, load mission data into aircraft and weapons, and conduct mission rehearsal and post-mission analysis. JMPS-E is a scalable, tailorable, mission planning and execution monitoring tool for Amphibious Squadron staffs.

Stores Planning and Weaponeering Module, also referred to as Weaponeering and Stores Planning (WASP), is an integrated software product that allows aircrew to determine the best combinations of weapons and delivery conditions to achieve the desired level of target damage, eliminate weapon delivery solutions that violate aircraft Type/Model/Series (T/M/S) specific safety-of-flight envelopes, and perform detailed weapons employment planning for F/A-18 and E/A-18G aircraft.

Common Helicopters focus on developing the unique planning requirements for helicopters. The unique and enhanced automated mission planning functionality requirements that must be developed and implemented for helicopters will be developed and then implemented into Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS).

JUSTIFICATION FOR BUDGET ACTIVITY: This program is funded under MISSION PLANNING because it includes those projects that have passed Milestone B approval and are conducting engineering and manufacturing development tasks aimed at meeting validated requirements prior to full-rate production decision.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2017 Navy	Date: February 2016
---	----------------------------

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319: <i>Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy / BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)</i>	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning
--	---

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
Previous President's Budget	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	0.000
Current President's Budget	0.000	0.000	33.570	-	33.570
Total Adjustments	0.000	0.000	33.570	-	33.570
• Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
• Congressional Directed Reductions	-	-			
• Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
• Congressional Adds	-	-			
• Congressional Directed Transfers	-	-			
• Reprogrammings	-	-			
• SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
• Program Adjustments	0.000	0.000	38.277	-	38.277
• Rate/Misc Adjustments	0.000	0.000	-4.707	-	-4.707

Change Summary Explanation

Decrease in (U) Mission Planning by \$1.41M as required for the Department of the Navy to comply with the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015.

Technical: N/A

Schedule:

2213:

Prior to FY17, schedule for the Joint Mission Planning Systems (JMPS) profile is under PE 0604231N.

2311:

Prior to FY17, schedule for Stores Planning and Weaponing Module profile is under PE 0604215N.

2312:

Prior to FY17, schedule for the Common Helicopter profile is under PE 0604215N.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy										Date: February 2016		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning				Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2213: Mission Planning	0.000	0.000	0.000	21.779	-	21.779	21.771	21.673	21.539	21.988	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

Prior to FY17, Mission Planning (PU 2213) was budgeted under Tactical Command System PE 0604231N.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) is the designated automated mission planning system for the Navy, supporting over 40 T/M/S. Future JMPS platforms include: MQ-4C (Triton), P-8 and CH-53K. JMPS enables weapon system employment by providing the information, automated tools, and decision aids needed to rapidly plan aircraft, weapon, or sensor missions, load mission data into aircraft and weapons, and conduct post-mission analysis. JMPS is a mission critical system which is a co-development effort between the United States Navy (USN) and United States Air Force (USAF). Common requirements are identified and capabilities are developed and prioritized in an evolutionary approach. An individual JMPS Mission Planning Environment (MPE) is a combination of the JMPS framework, common components, unique planning components (UPCs), federated applications, and the necessary system hardware required to satisfy mission planning objectives. Most Tactical Naval Aviation platforms are dependent solely on JMPS to plan precision guided munitions, sensor systems, tactical data links, secure voice communications, and basic Safety of Flight functions. The JMPS Increment 4 will support mission planning for over 40 T/M/S. Increment 4, which includes 64-bit, delivers JMPS FW 1.5 and will transition JMPS from Windows 7/32-bit Operating System (OS) to Windows 10/64-bit OS. Transition to 64-bit allows for memory space expansion to accommodate future Microsoft Operating Systems, emerging technologies, and critical Cybersecurity updates. Funding profile includes JMPS baseline efforts for all existing T/M/S on Windows 7/32-bit framework while concurrently re-architecting to a 64-bit framework. Increment 4 development requires software conversion and refactoring to address memory limitations and system errors resulting in JMPS computer crashes. The transition from the current 32-bit architecture (4GB RAM) to a 64-bit architecture (192GB RAM) provides additional memory access, increased planning efficiencies; creating a increased stability in the architecture resulting in fewer system crashes. Delaying JMPS 64-bit transition (Increment 4) will allow existing system crashes to continue, and will decrease system stability in the future due to platform capability enhancements that require increased amounts of data and processing power.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
Title: Joint Mission Planning System Expeditionary (JMPS-E)	0.000	0.000	0.908	0.000	0.908
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: JMPS Expeditionary (JMPS-E): The goal of JMPS-E is to produce a scalable, tailorable, mission planning and execution monitoring tool for Amphibious Squadron staffs. The primary focus of this system is to provide an automated capability to assist planners with mission analysis, course of action development and automated creation of doctrinal orders based on planning data in the system. Current expeditionary planning is done manually on paper charts. JMPS-E provides a digital map enabling better response times to changing plans, easier distribution of planning artifacts and a reduction in human error during the planning process. The					

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy	Date: February 2016
--	----------------------------

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning
--	---	---

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
---	----------------	----------------	---------------------	--------------------	----------------------

variety and geographically separated nature of forces involved with Ship to Shore Maneuver amplifies the need for web-based technologies to enable collaborative planning, improve overall situational awareness and enable the monitoring of mission execution from different locations. The primary outputs are tasking orders, route plans, battlespace geometries and decision briefs. The system will also incorporate modeling and simulation tools to rehearse and deconflict mission plans.

FY 2015 Accomplishments:

N/A

FY 2016 Plans:

N/A

FY 2017 Base Plans:

Complete testing of JMPS- MPE Version 2.1. Development, integration and testing of JMPS-E MPE Version 3.0

FY 2017 OCO Plans:

N/A

Title: Mission Planning Environment Program Mgmt, Integration, and Test

Articles:

0.000	0.000	11.471	0.000	11.471
-	-	-	-	-

Description: Mission Planning Environment (MPE) Integration and Test efforts support the Navy's developmental testing/operational testing, integration and system of system testing for MPE fielding, integrating, testing, and managing the Electronic Kneeboard (EKB) efforts. Life-cycle management efforts consist of integration of components provided by various developers into a platform-centric MPE and testing of the integrated MPE. MPE integration and testing results in a consistent and repeatable system configuration that enables stability and reliability.

FY 2015 Accomplishments:

N/A

FY 2016 Plans:

N/A

FY 2017 Base Plans:

Continue integration and testing, project management and systems engineering for current MPEs as well as supporting future releases of JMPS and Electronic Kneeboard (EKB) software to the fleet.

FY 2017 OCO Plans:

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy	Date: February 2016
--	----------------------------

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning
--	---	---

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
N/A					
<p>Title: JMPS Framework (FW) and Common Components (CC) Development</p> <p align="right">Articles:</p> <p>Description: Continue integration of JMPS 64-bit FW Version 1.3.5 which incorporates Windows OS 7 and provides additional capabilities for all naval aircraft to include air drop, air refueling and enhanced installation. Funding for FW will be used to support system engineering processes, management interface controls, software architectural analysis, requirements management and a centralized website for Mission Planning Environment (MPE) developers. As platform(s) requirements emerge for new and enhanced mission planning capabilities, the demand for more complex integrated applications and software products increases. Without this planned transition to a 64-Bit architecture, the volume of integrated mission planning capability for the fleet will be limited. Common Components software updates augment core mission planning capabilities across multiple T/M/S.</p> <p>FY 2015 Accomplishments: N/A</p> <p>FY 2016 Plans: N/A</p> <p>FY 2017 Base Plans: Continue implementation of the Increment-4 JMPS Framework Core 64-bit development transition activities. Major events include the development of Cybersecurity safeguards addressing IA mandates, development of additional JMPS help features, and code conversion for the JMPS Core Framework (Basic Flight Planning Capabilities) and Common Components for MPE/UPCs including significant efforts for the F/A-18 A-F and E/A-18G platforms. In addition, efforts include initiation of 64-bit transition development for JMPS Common Components used by multiple platforms. The transition of the JMPS Common Components are aligned to meet platform(s) requirements for new and enhanced mission planning capability in a 64-bit environment. The 64-bit transition is required to address system performance issues (RAM) with the fielded Mission Planning Environment (MPE); thus reducing system crashes while improving mission planning performance for the fleet.</p> <p>FY 2017 OCO Plans: N/A</p>	0.000	0.000	9.400	0.000	9.400
	-	-	-	-	-
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.000	0.000	21.779	0.000	21.779

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy Date: February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning
--	---	---

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

The initial Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) development effort was a phased evolutionary approach. Multiple contracts were awarded during initial development. During the down-select process, one contractor was selected to develop the JMPS architecture work and Version 1.0 basic flight planning components. Additional phases focused on strike planning requirements (i.e., support Precision Guided Missions and other tactical data intensive missions) in order to migrate platforms from legacy mission planning systems to JMPS. The USAF continued development of JMPS Framework Versions 1.3 and 1.5 and owns the Mission Planning Enterprise Contract, which is used for JMPS Framework software development. The USN integration and fielding strategy supports a Mission Planning Environment (MPE) focus, where the JMPS Framework and other software components are integrated, tested, and fielded by T/M/S. The USN Increment 4, and beyond, will address increased Mission Planning system capabilities required by the 21st Century Warfighter and modernizes the architecture of JMPS to address technological obsolescence. As platforms plan their migration to newer versions of JMPS, the acquisition strategy, plan, and program baseline will be updated in order to divest legacy mission planning systems, meet the evolving requirements for integrated mission planning, and lower total life cycle cost.

E. Performance Metrics

Average time to plan a flight: Threshold value is < 1 hour average time to plan a flight that includes a Military Training Route (MTR), routing to and from the MTR, kneeboard card production, Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) flight planning materials and a Data Transfer Device (DTD) Load. Objective value is < 30 minutes average time to plan a flight that includes a MTR, routing to and from the MTR, kneeboard card production, IFR flight planning materials and a DTD Load.

Interoperability: Threshold value is 100% of top level Interoperability Exchange Requirements (IERs) designated critical will be satisfied. Objective value is 100% of top level IERs will be satisfied.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2017 Navy **Date:** February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning
--	---	---

Product Development (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Primary Software Development/JMPS Expeditionary	C/CPFF	various : various	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.400	Feb 2017	-		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Primary Software Development, Inc 4	C/CPFF	Northrop Grumman : Long Beach, CA	0.000	0.000		0.000		4.500	Feb 2017	-		4.500	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Primary Software Development MPE	C/CPFF	Leidos : Orlando, FL	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.800	Feb 2017	-		1.800	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Primary Software Development/(Human Factors)	C/CPFF	Georgia Technical Research Institute (GTRI) : Atlanta, GA	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.500	Mar 2017	-		1.500	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Primary Software Development Increment 4	C/CPFF	TBD : TBD	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.600	Jan 2017	-		1.600	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		9.800		-		9.800	-	-	-

Remarks
The FY17 Increment 4 Primary Software Development effort is a competitive NAVAIR Multiple Award Contract (MAC) award therefore the performing activity and location are currently TBD to support a competitive contracting strategy.

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Integrated Logistics Support	WR	NAWCWD : Point Mugu, CA	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.208	Nov 2016	-		0.208	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Eng & Integration	WR	NAWCWD : Point Mugu, CA	0.000	0.000		0.000		2.487	Nov 2016	-		2.487	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	WR	NAWCAD : Patuxent River, MD	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.000	Nov 2016	-		1.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		3.695		-		3.695	-	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2017 Navy **Date:** February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning
--	---	---

Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost			
Test & Evaluation	WR	NAWCWD : Point Mugu, CA	0.000	0.000		0.000		5.860	Nov 2016	-		5.860	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test & Evaluation	WR	COMOPTEVFOR : Norfolk, VA	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.700	Jan 2017	-		0.700	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		6.560		-		6.560	-	-	-

Management Services (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost			
Program Management Support and Travel	WR	NAWCAD : Patuxent River, MD	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.400	Nov 2016	-		1.400	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Program Management Support	C/CPFF	TBD : TBD	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.324	Jan 2017	-		0.324	0.000	0.324	-
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		1.724		-		1.724	-	-	-

Remarks
The FY17 Program Management Support contract will be a competitive award in FY17 so the performing activity and location are currently TBD due to the competitive contracting strategy.

	Prior Years	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	0.000	0.000	0.000	21.779	-	21.779	-	-	-

Remarks
Prior to FY17, the Mission Planning PU 2213 was funded under PE 0604231N

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2017 Navy

Date: February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity
1319 / 5

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning

Project (Number/Name)
2213 / Mission Planning

Joint Mission Planning Systems (JMPS)	FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018				FY 2019				FY 2020				FY 2021			
	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q
Acquisition Milestone																												
Milestones																												
Primary Software Development																												
Framework Development																												
Milestones																												
Mission Planning Environment (MPE) Development																												
MPE Integration and Test																												
Milestones																												

Increment
4/64-bit
IOC
▲

Increment
4/64bit
development

V1.3.5 MPE Integration

V1.5.x MPE Integration

2017DON - 0605215N - 2213

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2017 Navy **Date:** February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2213 / Mission Planning
--	---	---

Schedule Details

Events by Sub Project	Start		End	
	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Joint Mission Planning Systems (JMPS)				
Acquisition Milestone: JMPS FW Increment 4/ 64 Bit Initial Operational Capability (IOC)	2	2020	2	2020
Primary Software Development: Framework Development: JMPS FW Increment 4/ 64 Bit Architecture Development	1	2017	4	2017
Mission Planning Environment (MPE) Development: MPE Integration and Test: JMPS 64-bit Mission-Planning Environment (MPE) Integration/Validation	1	2017	4	2019
Mission Planning Environment (MPE) Development: MPE Integration and Test: JMPS FW 64 Bit Integration/Validation	4	2017	4	2021

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy										Date: February 2016		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning				Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponing Module			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2311: Stores Planning and Weaponing Module	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.153	-	11.153	11.788	11.502	11.667	11.911	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

Prior to FY17, Stores Planning and Weaponing Module (PU 2311) was budgeted under Standards Development (PE 0604215N).

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Weaponing and Stores Planning (WASP) components, are integrated software products that allow aircrew to determine the best combinations of weapons and delivery conditions to achieve the desired level of target damage, eliminate weapon delivery solutions that violate aircraft Type/Model/Series (T/M/S) specific safety-of-flight envelopes, and perform detailed weapons employment planning. WASP is approved by Air Warfare Division (N98) as a flight clearance implementation system for the F/A-18 A, A+, B, C, D, D (RC), E, F, EA-18G; potential support for other platforms, to include F-35. WASP components will alert pilots if their planned weapon release conditions meet flight clearance limits, will result in bomb-to-bomb collisions, bomb-to-aircraft collisions, aircraft overstress, or excessive risk of aircraft loss/damage in the event of fuze early bursts. Weapon employment planning is fundamental to the Joint Capability Area of Force Application and joint mission areas of Strike and Amphibious Warfare. WASP provides the Navy and Marine Corp with weaponing capabilities that are critical requirements for Interdiction, Armed Reconnaissance and Close Air Support mission planning. Therefore, WASP product availability is critical to successful employment of the Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) for the F/A-18 A-F and EA-18G. The WASP product encompasses a multitude of Government Furnished Information software components and tools (aircraft target maneuver simulations, weapon flyout models, target probability of damage calculators). WASP products will require updates as emergent requirements for new aircraft T/M/S, stores and weapons are approved, new flight clearances and flight restrictions are issued by Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters (NAVAIRSYSCOM), and developing WASP as a software application.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
Title: Product Development	0.000	0.000	5.876	0.000	5.876
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: Includes associated system engineering design, development, installation, integration and software development for Weaponing and Stores Planning (WASP) components v3.x and v4.x to support F/A-18 A-F and EA-18G. Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division (NAWCWD), Joint Software Support Activity (JSSA) will develop and maintain the AV-8B Weapons and Release Planning (WARP) tool. Define requirements to integrate WASP components into the Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS). Provide domain engineering support for weapons separation, aircraft loads, flutter, fuzing and safe escape for application to WASP. Provide analysis of new requirements, allocation of requirements, design oversight, and life cycle management of					

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy		Date: February 2016
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponing Module

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
<p>the WASP program. Develop new aircraft configuration, aircraft loading, weapon optimization, store release and delivery planning components for F/A-18 A-F and EA-18G new flight clearances and flight restrictions issued by NAVAIRSYSCOM. Provide configuration management, system administration, quality assurance, documentation, metrics and software risk management for WASP. Acquire, integrate and modify numerous Government Furnished Information (GFI) software components and tools (aircraft target maneuver simulations, weapon flyout models, target probability of damage calculators, etc.) that are used for the WASP software development. Integrate WASP with Joint Standoff Weapon/Joint Direct Attack Munitions/Standoff Land-Attack Missile - Expanded Response and other weapons mission planning systems as required.</p> <p>FY 2015 Accomplishments: N/A</p> <p>FY 2016 Plans: N/A</p> <p>FY 2017 Base Plans: Continue WASP v4.0 development and release multiple database updates.</p> <p>FY 2017 OCO Plans: N/A</p>					
<p>Title: Test and Evaluation (T&E)</p> <p align="right">Articles:</p> <p>Description: Provide test and evaluation for unit and system level testing; functional qualification testing; safety of flight certification testing; integration and standards compliance testing for Weaponing and Stores Planning (WASP) versions v3.x and v4.x. Provide Joint Mission Planning System Mission Planning Environment Integration test support. Provide testing and test support to ensure all (to include internally developed software, externally developed GFI) components comply with Department of Navy (DoN) and Department of Defense (DoD) software mandates and directives. These include Integrated Shipboard Network System IT-21, DoD Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process, Navy Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI) and DoD Information Technology Portfolio Repository. All Fleet released software must comply with DoN and DoD software directives or will not be allowed to run on ship Local Area Networks or NMCI.</p> <p>FY 2015 Accomplishments:</p>	0.000	0.000	2.266	0.000	2.266
	-	-	-	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy		Date: February 2016
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponering Module

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
N/A					
FY 2016 Plans: N/A					
FY 2017 Base Plans: Complete test and evaluation of WASP major v3.3 and release to the Fleet. Begin testing of WASP major v4.0. Complete test and evaluation of multiple database updates.					
FY 2017 OCO Plans: N/A					
Title: Program Management/Systems Engineering	0.000	0.000	3.011	0.000	3.011
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: Provide program management and systems engineering support, which includes requirements definition and analysis, compliance with Naval Air Systems Command systems engineering technical review processes, acquisition documentation development, cost, schedule and performance management, and compliance with external directives. Provide travel for government personnel.					
FY 2015 Accomplishments: N/A					
FY 2016 Plans: N/A					
FY 2017 Base Plans: Continue project management and systems engineering support to the WASP for future software releases to the fleet. Additional support will be required for multiple database releases.					
FY 2017 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.000	0.000	11.153	0.000	11.153

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy		Date: February 2016
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponering Module

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

Weaponering and Stores Planning (WASP) products, delivered annually, were developed in-house by NAVAIR consisting of Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division and Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division engineers and support contractors. The team has now migrated to a smaller government team that provides functional expertise in aircraft safety-of-flight (air-vehicle stores compatibility, weapons separation, aircraft aerodynamic flutter, ground/flight loads, authorized fuze arm times, aircraft safe escape), guided weapons employment and weapons effects against targets, with the majority of the software development conducted by various contractors. The Government, engineering, test, and support teams (test facilities, functional qualification testing and certification/accreditation test) are supplemented with contractor labor.

E. Performance Metrics

Average time to plan a flight: Threshold value is < 1 hour average time to plan a flight that includes full aircraft loadout and weapons delivery safe escape planning. Objective value is < 15 minutes average time to plan a flight that includes full aircraft loadout and weapons delivery safe escape planning. End product is a pilot's z-diagram knee board card.

Interoperability: Threshold value is 100% stand alone value. Objective value is 100% stand alone value.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2017 Navy											Date: February 2016				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5						R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning					Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponering Module				

Product Development (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost			
Product Development	WR	Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division NAWCAD : Patuxent River, MD	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.177	Nov 2016	-		0.177	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Product Development	WR	Air Force Seek Eagle : Hill Air Force Base, UT	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.083	Mar 2017	-		0.083	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Primary Software Development	C/CPFF	DCS Corp : Alexandria, VA	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.721	Feb 2017	-		1.721	0.000	1.721	1.721
Product Development	C/CPFF	TBD : TBD	0.000	0.000		0.000		3.895	Mar 2017	-		3.895	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		5.876		-		5.876	-	-	-

Remarks
The FY17 Product Development contract will be a competitive award in FY17 so the performing activity and location are currently TBD due to the competitive contracting strategy.

Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost			
Test & Evaluation (Gov't)	WR	NAWCAD : Patuxent River, MD	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.142	Nov 2016	-		1.142	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test & Evaluation (Contractor)	C/CPFF	ManTech : Fairfax, VA	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.124	Mar 2017	-		1.124	0.000	1.124	1.124
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		2.266		-		2.266	-	-	-

Management Services (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost			
System Engineering and Program Support	WR	NAWCAD : Patuxent River, MD	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.100	Nov 2016	-		1.100	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2017 Navy											Date: February 2016				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5						R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning					Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponering Module				

Management Services (\$ in Millions)				FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017 Base		FY 2017 OCO		FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost			
Program Management Support	C/CPFF	TBD : TBD	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.441	Jan 2017	-		0.441	0.000	0.441	0.441
Government Engineering Support: Guided Weapons	WR	Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division NAWCWD : China Lake, CA	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.023	Jan 2017	-		0.023	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering Support	C/CPFF	Wyle : Huntsville, AL	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.357	Jan 2017	-		1.357	0.000	1.357	1.357
Govt Engineering Support: Mission Planning Environment Integration	WR	NAWCWD : Point Mugu, CA	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.080	Nov 2016	-		0.080	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Travel	Various	NAVAIR : Patuxent River, MD	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.010	Nov 2016	-		0.010	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Subtotal			0.000	0.000		0.000		3.011		-		3.011	-	-	-

Remarks
The FY17 Program Management Support contract will be a competitive award in FY17 so the performing activity and location are currently TBD due to the competitive contracting strategy.

	Prior Years	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.153	-	11.153	-	-	-

Remarks
Prior to FY17, PU 2311 was budgeted under PE 0604215N.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2017 Navy **Date:** February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponering Module
--	---	---

Stores Planning and Weaponering Module	FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018				FY 2019				FY 2020				FY 2021			
	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q
Systems Development																												
WASP v4.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)									—————																			
WASP v4.1 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)													—————															
WASP v4.2 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)																	—————											
WASP v5.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)																					—————							
Test & Evaluation Milestones																												
WASP v3.3 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)									—————																			
WASP v4.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)													—————															
WASP v4.1 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)																	—————											
WASP v4.2 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)																					—————							
WASP v5.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G)																									—————			
Production Milestones																												
WASP v3.3 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC:									▲																			
WASP v4.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC:													▲															
WASP v4.1 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC:																	▲											
WASP v4.2 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC:																					▲							
Ongoing Database Updates																												

2017PB - 0605215N - 2311

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2017 Navy		Date: February 2016
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2311 / Stores Planning and Weaponering Module

Schedule Details

Events by Sub Project	Start		End	
	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Stores Planning and Weaponering Module				
Systems Development: WASP v4.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	2	2017	2	2018
Systems Development: WASP v4.1 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	2	2018	1	2019
Systems Development: WASP v4.2 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	3	2019	2	2020
Systems Development: WASP v5.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	2	2020	3	2021
Test & Evaluation Milestones: WASP v3.3 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	1	2017	1	2017
Test & Evaluation Milestones: WASP v4.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	3	2017	3	2018
Test & Evaluation Milestones: WASP v4.1 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	1	2019	2	2019
Test & Evaluation Milestones: WASP v4.2 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	2	2020	3	2020
Test & Evaluation Milestones: WASP v5.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G):	4	2020	4	2021
Production Milestones: WASP v3.3 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC::	2	2017	2	2017
Production Milestones: WASP v4.0 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC::	4	2018	4	2018
Production Milestones: WASP v4.1 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC::	3	2019	3	2019
Production Milestones: WASP v4.2 (F/A-18A/B/C/D/E/F, EA-18G) IOC::	4	2020	4	2020
Production Milestones: Ongoing Database Updates:	1	2017	4	2021

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy										Date: February 2016		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning				Project (Number/Name) 2312 / Common Helicopters			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2312: Common Helicopters	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.638	-	0.638	0.671	0.685	0.699	0.713	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

Prior to FY17, Common Helicopters (PU 2312) was budgeted under Standards Development (PE 0604215N).

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Automated mission planning systems to date have focused on developing planning capabilities for fixed-wing aircraft, while the unique planning requirements for helicopters have not been fully addressed. The unique and enhanced automated mission planning requirements that must be developed and implemented for helicopters include: data loading, an enhanced route editor (serpentine routing, hover), manipulation of higher fidelity (smaller scale) maps and imagery, enhanced performance tools (performance in and out of ground effect, performance degradation due to atmospheric conditions & elevation), and enhanced fidelity of landing zone, target zone, and threat analyses. The following type/model/series aircraft are supported by this PU: AH-1W/Z, UH-1N/Y, H-46/E, H-53D/E, H-60H/R/S and V-22. Common helicopter functionality will be developed for implementation in Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Base	FY 2017 OCO	FY 2017 Total
Title: Product Development	0.000	0.000	0.638	0.000	0.638
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: Development of Common Helicopter functionality and integration with JMPS Framework Versions 1.3.x and 64-bit Operating System. Common Components include Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL), Weapon Employment Zone Overlays Tool (WEZOT) and Point Selection Tool (PST).					
FY 2015 Accomplishments: N/A					
FY 2016 Plans: N/A					
FY 2017 Base Plans: Continue the development, test, and integration of Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL), Point Selection Tool (PST), and Weapon Employment Zone Overlays Tool (WEZOT).					
FY 2017 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.000	0.000	0.638	0.000	0.638

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2017 Navy Date: February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (Number/Name)
1319 / 5	PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	2312 / Common Helicopters

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not applicable.

E. Performance Metrics

Export Mission Data to Data Transfer Device: Threshold value is < 12 minutes to transfer navigation, communication, weapon system initialization settings and intelligence data. Interoperability: Threshold value is 100% of top level Information Exchange Requirements (IERs) designated critical will be satisfied. Objective value is 100% of top level IERs will be satisfied.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2017 Navy **Date:** February 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605215N / (U)Mission Planning	Project (Number/Name) 2312 / Common Helicopters
--	---	---

Schedule Details

Events by Sub Project	Start		End	
	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Common Helicopters				
System Development: Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL): CMDL 3.1.0	2	2017	2	2018
System Development: Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL): CMDL 3.1.1	2	2018	2	2019
System Development: Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL): CMDL 3.1.2	2	2019	2	2020
System Development: Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL): CMDL 3.1.3	2	2020	2	2021
System Development: Common Mission Data Loader (CMDL): CMDL 3.1.4	2	2021	4	2021
System Development: Weapons Employment Zone Overlay Tool (WEZOT): WEZOT 1.0.11	2	2017	2	2018
System Development: Weapons Employment Zone Overlay Tool (WEZOT): WEZOT 1.0.12	2	2018	2	2019
System Development: Weapons Employment Zone Overlay Tool (WEZOT): WEZOT 1.0.13	2	2019	2	2020
System Development: Weapons Employment Zone Overlay Tool (WEZOT): WEZOT 1.0.14	2	2020	2	2021
System Development: Weapons Employment Zone Overlay Tool (WEZOT): WEZOT 1.0.15	2	2021	4	2021
System Development: Point Selection Tool (PST): PST 1.1.0	2	2017	2	2018
System Development: Point Selection Tool (PST): PST 1.1.1	2	2018	2	2019
System Development: Point Selection Tool (PST): PST 1.1.2	2	2019	2	2020
System Development: Point Selection Tool (PST): PST 1.1.3	2	2020	2	2021
System Development: Point Selection Tool (PST): PST 1.1.4	2	2021	4	2021

UNCLASSIFIED

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED