

Reproduced by

Armed Services Technical Information Agency
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

AD -

7	7	9	8
----------	----------	----------	----------

UNCLASSIFIED

**Best
Available
Copy**

Aeroballistic Research Report 104

ON THE ATTENUATION OF THE SHOCK WAVE
ABOUT AN AXIALLY-SYMMETRIC BODY

ABSTRACT: It is shown theoretically that the pressure rise across the shock wave about a body of revolution decays asymptotically as the inverse three-fourths power of the radial distance from the body axis. Experimental data is presented to support the theory.

U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
White Oak, Maryland

NAVORD Report 2475

12 August 1952

The purpose of this investigation was to obtain information on the decay of shock waves about missiles.

This investigation was performed under task NOL-Re3d-453-1-52.

EDWARD L. WOODYARD
Captain, USN
Commander

H. H. KURZWEG, Chief
Aeroballistic Research Department
By direction

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Analysis.....	1
Comparison with Experiment.....	7
Conclusions.....	8
Further Historical Note.....	8
References.....	9

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1 Sketch of Characteristic System.....	10
Figure 2 Shock Wave Attenuation for a Sphere at $M = 1.260$	11
Figure 3 Shock Wave Attenuation for a Sphere at $M = 2.673$	12
Figure 4 Shock Wave Attenuation for a Missile at $M = 1.855$	13
Figure 5 Shock Wave Attenuation for a Missile at $M = 1.578$	14

ON THE ATTENUATION OF THE SHOCK WAVE
ABOUT AN AXIALLY-SYMMETRIC BODY

INTRODUCTION

1. The attenuation of the shock wave about a two-dimensional body is discussed by Munk and Crown in reference (a). It is shown that the difference between the shock angle and the free-stream Mach angle decays inversely as the square root of the distance away from the body axis. Hence, the shock wave contour at large distances from the body becomes parabolic, having no asymptote. In addition to practical considerations such as the extrapolation of a given portion of a shock wave to obtain wave drag, as indicated in reference (a), the question of whether or not a shock wave about an axially-symmetric body has an asymptote and the nature of the shock decay are of fundamental importance.

2. In 1949 the author was interested in the problem of the attenuation of shock wave about a body of revolution and in discussion with various people was verbally informed that some work had been done on this problem which showed that:

$$x = r \sqrt{M_1^2 - 1} - ar^{1/4} \quad (1)$$

where x = streamwise coordinate
 r = radial distance from body axis
 M_1 = free-stream Mach number
 a = an arbitrary constant

3. The work containing the formula as well as the derivation could not be located in the literature. A preliminary check of this formula with the then available experimental data did not yield satisfactory agreement. In order to evaluate the correctness of the formula the author of this report made an independent analysis which is presented herein. The results yielded a formula essentially the same as given above. Substantiation of the formula was obtained when recent accurate and reliable data were used.

4. This report contains the author's derivation of the theoretical formula for the attenuation of the shock wave about a body of revolution (or any three-dimensional body, for that matter) and a presentation of experimental data to substantiate it.

ANALYSIS

The Characteristic Equations:

5. If the shock wave curvature is small, as it is at large distances from a body, then with little error we may take the flow behind the shock

to be isentropic. The characteristic equations then take the form

$$d\psi \mp d\theta \mp \left(\frac{\sin\theta \sin\alpha}{\sin(\alpha \pm \theta)} \right) \frac{dr}{r} = 0 \quad (2)$$

where

ψ is the expansion angle

θ is the flow inclination

α is the Mach angle

r is the radial distance from the body axis, and

the upper and lower signs refer respectively to families I and II characteristics as indicated in Figure 1. When the difference from free-stream conditions is small, the characteristic equations may be approximated by

$$d\psi \mp d\theta \mp \frac{\theta}{r} dr = 0 \quad (3)$$

6. From the geometry of Figure 1, it can be seen that

$$\frac{dr_D}{dr_I} = \frac{\sin(\alpha - \theta) \sin(\alpha + \theta - \sigma)}{\sin(\alpha + \theta) \sin(\alpha + \sigma - \theta)} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{or } \frac{dr_D}{dr_I} \approx \frac{(\alpha - \alpha_1) + \theta - \epsilon}{\sin 2\alpha_1} \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon = \sigma - \alpha_1$

σ is the shock wave angle

and the subscript "1" refers to free-stream conditions.

For small differences

$$\alpha - \alpha_1 = \left(\frac{d\alpha}{d\psi} \right) (\psi - \psi_1) \quad (6)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{d\alpha}{d\psi} \right) = - \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} M_1^2}{M_1^2 - 1} \right) \quad (7)$$

and from the condition of isentropy immediately behind the shock wave

we have the relation

$$\gamma_1 - \gamma = \Theta \quad (8)$$

From the weak oblique shock formulas we find

$$\Theta \approx \frac{4}{\gamma+1} \left(\frac{M_1^2 - 1}{M_1^2} \right) \epsilon \quad (9)$$

Substituting these formulas into equation (5), we obtain

$$\frac{dr_{II}}{dr_I} \approx \frac{\epsilon}{\ln 2\alpha} \quad (10)$$

Thus it can be seen that dr_{II} is one order of magnitude smaller than dr_I . Ignoring dr_{II} in the characteristic equations for the II family, we find

$$d\gamma + \lambda d\Theta = 0 \quad (11)$$

or

$$\gamma_1 - \gamma = \Theta \quad (8)$$

at any point behind the shock where conditions are not far from free-stream values. Substituting equation (8) into the characteristic equation for family I, we obtain

$$2 d\Theta + \frac{\Theta}{r} dr = 0 \quad (12)$$

or upon integration

$$\frac{\Theta}{\Theta'} = \sqrt{\frac{r'}{r}} \quad (13)$$

where the primed values represent the constants of integration. This equation is the one upon which the asymptotic nature of the shock wave is based.

The Shock Wave:

7. The slope of the Mach lines of family I is given by

$$\frac{dr}{dx} = \tan \phi \quad (14)$$

where

$$\phi = \alpha + \theta \quad (15)$$

For small deviations from free-stream, we can write, as before,

$$\phi - \alpha_1 = \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \frac{M_1^2}{M_1^2 - 1} \right) \theta = \theta' \sqrt{\frac{r'}{r}} \quad (16)$$

where we now take x', r' to be the origin of the expansion waves which weaken the shock. This point may be real or imaginary. For a cone-cylinder model, this point is at the shoulder. However, the actual existence of such a point is immaterial to asymptotic behavior of the shock wave.

8. Using the first two terms of a Taylor's Expansion, we may write the slope, (14), as

$$\frac{dr}{dx} = \tan \alpha_1 \left[1 + \frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} (\phi' - \alpha_1) \sqrt{\frac{r'}{r}} \right] \quad (17)$$

Let

$$K = \frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} (\phi' - \alpha_1) \sqrt{r'} \quad (18)$$

which is constant along a given Mach line. Then equation (17) becomes

$$dr - \tan \alpha_1 dx = \frac{K}{K + \sqrt{r}} dr \quad (19)$$

and upon integration, yields the equation of the shock wave:

$$(r_s - r') - (x_s - x') \tan \alpha_1 = \int_{r'}^{r_s} \frac{K}{K + \sqrt{r}} dr \quad (20)$$

Where the subscript "s" refers to conditions immediately behind the shock wave. Now the slope of the shock wave is given by

$$\frac{dr_s}{dx_s} = \tan \sigma = \tan(\alpha_1 + \varepsilon) \quad (21)$$

$$\text{or } \frac{dx_s}{dr_s} = \cot \alpha_1 - M_1^2 \epsilon \quad (22)$$

Differentiation of equation (20) yields another expression for the slope of the shock wave:

$$\cot \alpha_1 - \frac{dx_s}{dr_s} = \cot \alpha_1 \left[\left(\frac{K}{K + \sqrt{r_s}} \right) + \left(\frac{dK}{dr_s} \right) \int_{r_1}^{r_s} \frac{d}{dK} \left(\frac{K}{K + \sqrt{r}} \right) dr \right] \quad (23)$$

Combining with (22) we have

$$\frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} \epsilon = \frac{\frac{K}{\sqrt{r_s}}}{1 + \frac{K}{\sqrt{r_s}}} + \frac{dK}{dr_s} \int_{r_1}^{r_s} \frac{d}{dK} \left(\frac{K}{K + \sqrt{r}} \right) dr \quad (24)$$

From (18)

$$K = \frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} (\phi_1' - \alpha_1) \sqrt{r_1} \quad (18)$$

or

$$K = \frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} (\phi_s - \alpha_1) \sqrt{r_s} \quad (25)$$

From the foregoing equations, it can be shown that

$$\phi_s - \alpha_1 \approx 2 \epsilon \quad (26)$$

and therefore

$$K \approx \frac{2 M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} \epsilon \sqrt{r_s} \quad (27)$$

Thus the quantity

$$\frac{\frac{K}{\sqrt{r_s}}}{1 + \frac{K}{\sqrt{r_s}}} \approx \frac{2 M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2 - 1}} \epsilon \quad (28)$$

Differentiating equation (27) we obtain

$$\frac{dK}{dr_s} = \frac{2M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2-1}} \sqrt{r_s} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{r_s}} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dr_s} \right) \quad (29)$$

The integral in equation (24) may be evaluated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r'}^{r_s} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{K}{K+\sqrt{r}} \right) dr &= \int_{r'}^{r_s} \frac{\sqrt{r}}{(K+\sqrt{r})^2} dr \\ &= 2 \left[(K+\sqrt{r}) - 2K \ln(K+\sqrt{r}) - \frac{K^2}{K+\sqrt{r}} \right]_{r'}^{r_s} \approx 2\sqrt{r_s} \left(1 - \frac{2M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2-1}} \varepsilon \ln \frac{r_s}{r'} \right) \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these relations into equation (24) and dropping the subscript "s", we obtain for the equation of the shock wave the relation

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dr} = - \frac{3}{4} \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2-1}} \varepsilon \ln \frac{r}{r'}}{1 - 2 \frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2-1}} \varepsilon \ln \frac{r}{r'}} \right] \quad (31)$$

This differential equation can be satisfied in the region $r \rightarrow \infty$ only with $\varepsilon \ln r \rightarrow 0$

The quantity $\frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2-1}}$ remains finite for $1 < M_1 < \infty$.

Considering $\frac{M_1^2}{\sqrt{M_1^2-1}} \varepsilon \ln \frac{r}{r'}$ as a higher order infinitesimal and integrating equation (31), we obtain a formula for the asymptotic shape of the shock wave:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{k}{r^{3/4}} \quad (32)$$

where k is the constant of integration which may be taken equal to

$$\left(\varepsilon_0 / r_0^{3/4} \right)$$

and x_0, r_0 is a point at which ε is known.

9. Equation (32) is the one we have been seeking. It can be seen to be equivalent to equation (1) by differentiating the latter.

10. From equation (1) or (32), it follows that while the shock angle approaches the free-stream Mach angle as $r \rightarrow \infty$, the shock wave contour itself does not have an asymptote.

11. Furthermore, from equations 4.27 and 4.29 of reference (b), it follows that the fractional pressure rise across a weak shock wave is given by

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p_i} = \frac{4\gamma}{\gamma+1} \sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1} \epsilon \quad (33)$$

This pressure disturbance decays also as the inverse three-fourths power of the distance from the body axis.

12. In order to demonstrate the validity of the three-fourths power law, equation (32), it would seem logical to plot experimental values of ϵ/r on log-log graph paper. The theoretical relation, which is the asymptotic solution for $r \rightarrow \infty$, then appears as a straight line with a negative slope of $3/4$. This comparison is given in the following section.

COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

13. The data presented herein were obtained from spark shadowgraphs of firings made in the NOL Pressurized Ballistics Range. The velocity was determined accurately electronically and the sound speed obtained from temperature measurements; thus the Mach number could be calculated accurately. It is believed that the greatest source of error was incurred in the measurement of the shock angles which was done on Bausch and Lomb comparators. The scatter of the data is thought to be indicative of the measuring accuracy and this appears to be within satisfactory limits.

14. Figure 2 shows a comparison of theory and experiment for the shock wave about a sphere. The agreement can be seen to be very good. Figure 3 shows a similar comparison at a higher Mach number. It should be emphasized that equation (32) is an asymptotic solution and hence the divergence of the theoretical curve from the experimental data at small distances from the body is not contradictory. At large distances from the body the asymptotic solution appears to be approached very closely.

15. Figure 4 illustrates the attenuation of the shock wave for a missile. The theoretical curve again agrees very well with the experimental data. Some of the irregularities at large radii may be due to the influence of the fins.

16. The data for another missile is presented in Figure 5. Here, too, the agreement with theory is close up to about 15 body radii away from the

missile axis at which point the shock strength appears to remain constant. This effect is believed due to yaw of the missile. That this is the explanation might have been demonstrated by measuring the shock angles for the other side of the missile. However, in order to obtain shock waves which extend to as large a distance from the body as possible, plates were selected on which the missile was off-center. Hence no such comparison could be made. It seems obvious, however, that this constant shock angle cannot be maintained indefinitely if the drag is to remain finite. Thus, when the expansion waves from the tail of the missile begin to reach the shock, it will begin to decay once more as the inverse three-fourths power of the distance.

CONCLUSION

17. It is believed that the experimental data presented herein confirm the basic validity of equation (32) for the asymptotic attenuation of the shock wave about a body of revolution.

FURTHER HISTORICAL NOTE

18. After the completion of this report and just prior to publication several pertinent references were brought to the attention of the author. The unspecified reference alluded to in the introduction was found to be the work of Whitham, reference (c). Therein, a derivation of the formula in question is given. However, prior to him, DuMond et al in reference (d) gave a different derivation and presented experimental data for large distances from the missile. Furthermore, as far as the author has been able to determine, the formula was first mentioned by Landau in reference (e) where only a simple statement of the formula is given.

19. The present report with new experimental data was considered to be of sufficient interest to justify publication in addition to the preceding reports.

REFERENCES

- (a) Munk, M. M. and Crown, J. C. The Head Shock Wave. Proceedings VII International Congress for Applied Mechanics, Vol II₂, London 1948
- (b) Liepmann, H. W. and Puckett, Allen E. Introduction to Aerodynamics of a Compressible Fluid Wiley, 1947
- (c) Whitham, G. B. The Behavior of Supersonic Flow Past a Body of Revolution, Far from the Axis Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Vol. 201, No. 1064, March 1950, p. 89
- (d) DuMond, Jesse W. M., Cohen, E. R., Panofsky, W. K. H., and Deeds, E. A Determination of the Wave Forms and Law of Propagation and Dissipation of Ballistic Shock Waves Journal of the Acoustic Society Of America, Vol. 18, No. 1, July 1946
- (e) Landau, L. D. On Shock Waves Journal of Physics of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Vol. 6, pp 229-230, 1942




FIGURE 1:- SKETCH OF CHARACTERISTIC SYSTEM




FIGURE 2 :- SHOCK WAVE ATTENUATION
FOR A SPHERE AT $M=1.260$




FIGURE 3:- SHOCK WAVE ATTENUATION
FOR A SPHERE AT $M=2.673$

○ experimental data




FIGURE 4:- SHOCK WAVE ATTENUATION
FOR A MISSILE AT $M=1.855$




FIGURE 5:- SHOCK WAVE ATTENUATION
FOR A MISSILE AT $M=1.578$

Aeroballistic Research Department
External Distribution List for Development (X2)

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		Research and Development Board Library Branch Pentagon 3D1041 Washington 25, D. C.
1	Attn: Rea	2	
1	Attn: Rexe		Chief, AFSWP P.O. Box 2610 Washington, D. C.
1	Attn: Re3d		Attn: Technical Library
3	Attn: Re9a	1	
	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		Chief, Physical Vulnerability Branch Air Targets Division Directorate of Intelligence Headquarters, USAF
1	Attn: AER-TD-414		Washington 25, D. C.
2	Attn: RS-7	1	
	Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern P.O. China Lake, California		The Artillery School Antiaircraft and Guided Missiles Br. Fort Bliss, Texas
2	Attn: Technical Library	2	Attn: Research and Analysis Sec.
	Commander U.S. Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California		Commanding General Wright Air Development Center Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio
3	Attn: Technical Library	8	Attn: WCAPD
	Superintendent U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California	2	Attn: WCRR
1	Attn: Librarian	1	Attn: WCSD
	Commanding Officer and Director David Taylor Model Basin Washington 7, D. C.	5	Attn: WCSO
1	Attn: Hydrodynamics Laboratory		Director Air University Library Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama
	Chief of Naval Research Navy Research Section Library of Congress Washington 25, D. C.		Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, Maryland
2		1	Attn: C.L. Poor
	Chief, Naval Operations Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.
1	Attn: Op-51	1	Attn: Librarian (Ord. Dev. Div.)
	Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: W. Ramberg
2	Attn: Code 463		National Bureau of Standards Corona Laboratories (Ord.Dev.Div.) Corona, California
	Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: Dr. H. Thomas
1	Attn: Code 2021 Code 3800		National Bureau of Standards Building 3U, UCLA Campus 405 Hilgard Avenue Los Angeles 24, California
	Officer-in-Charge Naval Aircraft Torpedo Unit U.S. Naval Air Station Quonset Point, Rhode Island	1	Attn: Librarian
1			University of California Berkeley 4, California
	Office, Chief of Ordnance Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: Mr. G. J. Maslach
1	Attn: ORDTU	1	Attn: Dr. S. A. Schaaf
			California Inst. of Technology Pasadena 4, California
		2	Attn: Librarian(Guggenheim Aero. Lab.) VIA: BuAero

No. of
Copies

1 University of Michigan
Willow Run Research Center
Ypsilanti, Michigan
Attn: L.R. Biasell
VIA: InsMat

1 University of Minnesota
Rosemount, Minnesota
Attn: J. Leonard Frame
VIA: Ass't InsMat

1 The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio
Attn: G.L. VonEschen
VIA: Ass't InsMat

1 Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
99 Livingston Street
Brooklyn 2, New York
Attn: Dr. Antonio Ferri
VIA: ONR Branch Office

1 Princeton University
Forrestal Research Center Library
Project Squid
Princeton, New Jersey

2 Massachusetts Inst. of Technology
Project Meteor
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
Attn: Guided Missiles Library

1 Applied Physics Laboratory
The Johns Hopkins University
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland
Attn: Arthur G. Norris
Technical Reports Office
VIA: NIO

1 Armour Research Foundation
35 West 33rd Street
Chicago 16, Illinois
Attn: Engr. Mechanics Division
VIA: ONR Branch Office

1 Defense Research Laboratory
University of Texas
Box 1, University Station
Austin, Texas
VIA: InsMat

2 Eastman Kodak Company
Navy Ordnance Division
50 West Main Street
Rochester 4, New York
Attn: Dr. Herbert Trotter, Jr.
VIA: NIO

No. of
Copies

1 General Electric Company
Building #1, Campbell Avenue Plant
Schenectady, New York
Attn: J.C. Hoffman
VIA: InsMachinery

1 The Rand Corporation
1500 Fourth Street
Santa Monica, California
Attn: The Librarian
VIA: InsMat

1 Consolidated Vultee Corporation
Daingerfield, Texas
Attn: J.E. Arnold, Manager
VIA: Dev. Contract Office

1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc.
3000 Ocean Park Boulevard
Santa Monica, California
Attn: Mr. E.F. Burton
VIA: BuAero Resident Rep.

2 North American Aviation, Inc.
12214 Lakewood Boulevard
Downey, California
Attn: Aerophysics Laboratory
VIA: BuAero Rep.

5 National Advisory Committee for Aero.
1724 F Street Northwest
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Mr. E. B. Jackson

1 Ames Aeronautical Laboratory
Moffett Field, California
Attn: H. Julian Allen

2 Attn: A.C. Charters

1 Theoretical Aerodynamics Division
Langley Aeronautical Laboratory
Langley Field, Virginia
Attn: Theoretical Aerodynamics Div.

1 Attn: Dr. A. Busemann

2 Attn: J. Steele

1 NACA Lewis Flight Propulsion Lab.
Cleveland Hopkins Airport
Cleveland, Ohio
Attn: Dr. John C. Evvard

1 Hughes Aircraft Company
Culver City, California
Attn: Dr. Allen E. Puckett

1 Institute of Aerophysics
University of Toronto
Toronto 5, Ontario
Attn: Dr. Gordon N. Patterson, Dir.
VIA: BuOrd (Ad8)

Aeroballistic Research Department
External Distribution List for Development (X2a)

**No. of
Copies**

1	National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C. Attn: G. B. Schubauer
1	Graduate School of Aero Engr. Cornell University Ithaca, New York Attn: W. R. Sears VIA: ONR Branch Office
1	Applied Math. and Statistics Lab. Stanford University Stanford, California Attn: Mr. R. J. Langle VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	Harvard University 109 Pierce Hall Cambridge 38, Massachusetts Attn: Prof. R. von Mises