

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
AD012078	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	secret
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO:	Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM:	Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1953. Other requests shall be referred to Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.
AUTHORITY	
USACE D/A ltr, 15 Aug 1979; USACE D/A ltr, 15 Aug 1979	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Reproduced by

Armed Services Technical Information Agency DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

AD -

12078



AD No. 12078

ASIA FILE COPY

COPY NO.

2

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

MILITARY HYDROLOGY

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U. S. C., Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to the unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

Best Available Copy



Corps of Engineers

Washington District

53AA-8479

Dept. of Army

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SPECIAL STUDY 3-53-1
SAVA RIVER
ARTIFICIAL FLOODING POTENTIALITIES

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, U. S. C., Sec. 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to the unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

PREPARED BY
MILITARY HYDROLOGY R&D BRANCH
ENGINEERING DIVISION
WASHINGTON DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WASHINGTON, D. C.
APRIL 1953

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SPECIAL STUDY S-53-1

**S.V.A. RIVER
ARTIFICIAL FLOODING POTENTIALITIES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION I. INTRODUCTION	
1-01 Assignment	I-1
1-02 Purpose and Scope	I-1
1-03 Arrangement	I-2
1-04 Definitions and Reference Datum	I-2
1-05 References	I-3
SECTION II. DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS AND DEVELOPMENTS	
2-01 General	II-1
2-02 Topography	II-1
2-03 Geology	II-1
2-04 Drainage Areas	II-2
2-05 Gradients and Profiles	II-2
2-06 Channel Depths	II-2
2-07 Channel and Flood-Plain Widths	II-3
2-08 Navigation	II-3
2-09 Regulation	II-3
2-10 Dams and Reservoirs	II-4
2-11 Levees	II-4
2-12 Canals	II-5
2-13 Lakes, Ponds, and Marshes	II-5
2-14 Bridges	II-5
SECTION III. HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS	
3-01 General	III-1
3-02 Climatology	III-1
3-03 Stream Gaging Stations	III-2
3-04 River Stages	III-2
3-05 River Discharges	III-3
3-06 River Velocities	III-3

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

SECTION IV. ARTIFICIAL FLOOD POTENTIALITIES

4-01	General	IV-1
4-02	Still-water Barriers and Drainage Obstacles	IV-1
4-03	Major Flood Waves	IV-5
4-04	Stream Flow Variations	IV-12
4-05	Artificial Flooding Potentialities of Canals and Lakes	IV-13
4-06	Summary	IV-14

SECTION V. EFFECT ON MILITARY OPERATIONS

5-01	General	V-1
5-02	Characteristics of Military Bridging	V-1
5-03	Effects of Artificial Flooding During Actual Crossing Operations	V-1
5-04	Effect of Still-Water Barriers and Drainage Obstacles	V-1
5-05	Effect of Major Flood Waves	V-2
5-06	Effect of Flow Variations	V-3
5-07	Effects Related to Other Basins	V-4

BIBLIOGRAPHY

TABLES

1.	Equivalent English-Metric Terms
2.	Hydrologic Terms and Abbreviations
3.	Summary of Gage Data
4.	Major Hydro-Electric Projects - SAVANNA River Basin
5.	Bridge Data
6.	Inundation Effects of Still-water Barriers
7.	Summary of Effects of Artificial Flood Waves and Flow Variations
8.	Load Characteristics of U. S. Army Floating Bridges

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

PLATES

1. General Map
2. Physiographic Diagram
3. Drainage Pattern, JULIAN Region
4. Stream-Bed Profile, S.V. River
5. Stream-Bed Profile, LJUBLJANA River
6. Channel & Flood-Plain Widths
7. Sketches of MOSTE DAM
8. Bridge Locations, JESENICE-ZAGORJE
9. Stage Variations
10. Monthly Mean Stages, SV. DUH-LJUBLJANA
11. Monthly Mean Stages, BREZICE-GALDOVA
12. Stage Duration Curves, SVETI DUH & VRHNICA
13. Stage Duration Curves, LITTLJA-SEWNICA
14. Discharge & Velocity Rating Curves, LJUBLJANA-LITTLJA
15. Discharge & Velocity Rating Curves, ZAGORJE-MUGVICA
16. Discharge & Velocity Rating Curves, STARA GRADSKA-MITROVICA
17. Depth, Discharge & Velocity Profile
18. Inundation by Still-water Barriers
19. MOSTE DAM, Reservoir Storage & Outlet Discharge
20. Discharge Hydrographs, MOSTE DAM, Artificial Floods 1-3
21. Discharge Hydrographs, MOSTE DAM, Artificial Floods 4-6
22. Discharge Hydrographs, LJUBLJANA Barrier, Artificial Floods 7-10
23. Discharge Hydrographs, DOLSKO GORJE Barrier, Artificial Floods 11-14
24. Discharge Hydrographs, MOSTE DAM, Artificial Floods 15 & 16

EXHIBITS

1. Abstracts of Technical Literature on the S.V. River
2. Abstracts of Technical Literature on MOSTE DAM

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
SPECIAL STUDY 2-53-1

ARTIFICIAL FLOODING POTENTIALITIES
SAVA RIVER

SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

1-01 ASSIGNMENT.

This special study was assigned to the Military Hydrology R&D Branch, Engineering Division, Washington District by letter from Office, Chief of Engineers, ENOWE, to the Division Engineer, North Atlantic Division, subject "Military Hydrology R&D Project No. 8-72-12-001: Special Assignment" dated 9 January 1953.

1-02 PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

a. This report presents information regarding the hydraulic nature of artificial flooding potentialities in the SAVA (SAVE)*, River basin. It covers that portion of the SAVA River upstream from the confluence of the VRBAS River. Particular emphasis is placed on the region near the important communication center of LJUBLJANA.

b. The report consists largely of a compilation and consolidation of information presented in various intelligence documents and technical publications, with certain supplementary analyses and discussions. The material forming the basis of this report was limited to that available in the Washington, D. C. area. Additional data from other sources and field reconnaissance are needed to adequately cover the subject for general military requirements.

c. The report is designed to furnish basic data and results of analyses needed to answer questions concerning:

- (1) Normal and extreme discharges, stages, and velocities at key stations on the SAVA and LJUBLJANA Rivers.
- (2) Stream characteristics including gradients, depths, and widths of channel and flood-plain on those streams.
- (3) Data concerning locations and zero elevations of gaging stations.
- (4) Data concerning locations and dimensions of dams and bridges.
- (5) The extent of flooding possible by erection of temporary dams on the LJUBLJANA River and on the SAVA River upstream from the confluence of the VRBAS River.

* Common English and Yugoslavian spelling is SAVA; Austrian spelling is SAVE

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

1-02

(6) The magnitude and duration of flood waves and flow variations created by breaching or regulated discharge from the dams and reservoirs and the effect on military bridging and crossing operations on the SAVA River.

1-03 ARRANGEMENT.

This report is sub-divided as follows:

Section I	Introduction
Section II	Drainage Basin Characteristics and Developments
Section III	Hydrologic Characteristics
Section IV	Artificial Flow Potentialities
Section V	Effect on Military Operations
Bibliography	
Tables	
Plates	
Exhibit A	Abstracts of Technical Literature on the SAVA River
Exhibit B	Abstracts of Technical Literature on MOSTE DAM

1-04 DEFINITIONS AND REFERENCE DATUM.

a. Equivalent English-Metric Terms. Most values used in this report are in the Metric System. Conversion factors for the English and Metric systems are presented for convenient reference in Table 1.

b. Abbreviations. The following abbreviations are used in this report:

cm	centimeters
km	kilometers
km ²	square kilometers
l	liters
m	meters
mm	millimeters
m/sec	meters per second
m ³	cubic meters
m ³ /sec	cubic meters per second

c. Hydrologic Terms. Special hydrologic abbreviations, in conformance with standard German and Austrian hydrologic practice, are defined in Table 2.

d. Elevation Datum. Elevations are in meters above the Adriatic Sea, meters uber Adria (m.u.A.), the old Austrian altitude datum.

e. River Distances. In this report, distances are expressed as kilometers upstream from the confluence of the SAVA and DRINA Rivers at BELGRADE.

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

1-04

SECURITY INFORMATION

f. Grid System. Grid references cited in this report are to the Universal Transverse Mercator* (U.T.M.) Grid system unless otherwise designated.

g. Maps. The area of the SAVA River basin is covered by the following available standard American-British military maps:

<u>Scale</u>	<u>Map Series</u>	<u>Sheet Numbers</u>
1:250,000	M591, OSOS 4230	7B
do	M506, OSOS 4413	Y2, Y3, Y13-Y17
1:100,000	M691, OSOS 4164	144, 145, 26, 26A
do	M607, OSOS 4396	10-12, 28-30, 45-49, 65-69
1:50,000	M791, OSOS 4229	144II, 148II-III, 26I, 26AI-IV
do	M702, OSOS 4734	11II-III, 12II-III, 26I-IV, 28I-IV, 29I-IV, 30III, 45I-IV, 46II-IV, 47II-III, 48II-III
1:25,000*	JUGOSLAVIA &	TOIMIN 2a-b; BLEB 1a-d, 2a-d;
do	DEUTSCHE HEERE-	LJUBLJANA 1c, 3a-d, 4a-d;
	SKARTE	CELJE 3a-d; CERNICA 1a-b;
		VRHNICA 2b

1-05 REFERENCES.

All references cited in this report are listed in the Bib. Appendix following Section V of the text.

* U. S. maps in this scale not now available.

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECTION II
DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS AND DEVELOPMENTS

2-01 GENERAL.

a. The SAVA River rises in the JULIAN ALPS in the extreme northwest corner of Yugoslavia. It is formed by the confluence of the SAVA DOLINKA (WURZNER SAVE)* and SAVA BOHINJKA (WOCHNER SAVE)* Rivers near RADOVLJICA (RADDENSDORF)*. The river flows generally eastward for about 940 km to join the DANUBE River at BELGRADE. Many large tributaries flow from the south into the SAVA River; notably the KUPA, UNK, VRBAS, BOSNA and DRINA Rivers. The left bank tributaries are short and unimportant. The SAVA River is an important navigable waterway for 593 km upstream to SISAK. Important highway and railway lines follow the stream valleys of the SAVA River basin through the mountainous terrain of northwest YUGOSLAVIA. A number of hydro-electric power plants are located on the headwaters of the main river and its tributaries. A general map is presented as Plate 1 and detailed descriptions are contained in the documents listed in the Bibliography as References 1 to 5, inclusive.

b. This report considers primarily the main stem of the SAVA River upstream from the confluence of the VRBAS River, although certain available information on the lower reaches and on important tributaries is included.

2-02 TOPOGRAPHY.

The source of the SAVA River is located in a manner common in alpine regions, i.e. on the floor of a through valley rather than on a mountain crest or divide. The headwaters lie in glaciated valleys of the JULIAN ALPS in a region of almost perpetual snow cover. The stream exits from the alpine region into the large LJUBLJANA basin, and thence through a deep, narrow gorge into a flat rolling region above ZAGREB. The lower reaches meander across the flat marshy plains of the POSAVINA valley and MIDDLE DANUBE plain. Reference is made to Plate 2 for a physiographic diagram and to Exhibit A and References 4 through 10 for detailed topographic information.

2-03 GEOLOGY.

The upper reaches of the SAVA River in Slovenia lie in a deep glaciated valley floored with gravel deposits and containing narrow alluvial river-flats. The part in the LJUBLJANA Basin, south of the town of LJUBLJANA, is a level marshy plain. That basin has an extensive drainage system to relieve the prevalent soggy ground conditions, which are caused in large part by seepage of underground water from the so-called KARST REGION, a weathered limestone area to the west of this basin. (See Plate 3 and Exhibit A.) The SAVA River passes out of the calcareous mountain region through a deep

* Yugoslavian name (old Austrian name).

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

gorge near LITIJA (km 813). In the lower reaches, SE of ZAGREB (km 700), the wide river-flats are composed of loess and river alluvial sand deposits covering the older coarse gravel terraces. Detailed description of the geology may be found in Exhibit A and in References 5 through 7.

2-04 DRAINAGE AREAS.

The total drainage area of the SAVA River is approximately 95,000 km², representing nearly 40 percent of the entire territory of Yugoslavia. At BELGRADE its drainage area is about one-third of that of the DANUBE River, through it carries more discharge in flood than the latter at their junction. The drainage areas at key gaging stations is shown in Table 3; a tabulation of areas drained by the SAVA River and its major tributaries follows:

<u>River</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Drainage Area (km²)</u>
LJUBLJANA	Mouth	1,900
KUPA	do	11,449
UNA	do	7,793
VRBAS	do	5,424
DRINA	do	19,877
SAVA	RADOVLJICA (km 893)	888
do	LITIJA (km 813)	4,967
do	ZAGREB (km 700)	12,500
do	Mouth	95,436

2-05 GRADIENTS AND PROFILES

The gradient of the SAVA River is steep in the upper mountainous reaches and very gradual in the lower reaches below ZAGREB, as may be seen on the stream profile of Plate 4. The slope of the LJUBLJANA River, however, is flatter in the upper than in the lower reaches, being about 0.6 per 10,000 in the flat meerland upstream of LJUBLJANA and 9 per 10,000 below that town, as shown by the Plate 5 stream profile. A tabulation of average gradients on the SAVA River follows:

<u>Reach</u>	<u>River km</u>	<u>Average gradient per 10,000</u>
JAVORNIK-RADOVLJICA	908-893	98
RADOVLJICA-ZAGREB	893-700	14
ZAGREB-SISAK	700-593	3
SISAK-BELGRADE	593- 0	0.2

2-06 CHANNEL DEPTHS.

The depth of the SAVA River is quite variable; at mean water, depths generally average from 1 to 5 m in the upper reaches and from 3 to 8 m in the regulated lower reaches. In some places, deep spots up to nearly 20 m deep exist in the stream bed; in others, shoals and bars abound. Reference is made to additional depth data

SECURITY INFORMATION

77-1-1-76-1

1

Location and M.P. Ref.	Reference Survey & Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed.	Set Back with Late.	Bed	Vegetation	Remarks	Approach Road's and Sight line terrain.	Remarks
200-400 20/200000	200-400 20/200000	Road Bridge. Steel Girder Truss.	270°	270°	Rock and Sand	Steep.		At angle approaches to bridge. Hilly terrain on both sides.	Overall length 270'. Clear width 130'. The bridge is situated in a steep valley. Building site restricted.
200-400 20/200000	200-400 20/200000	Road Bridge. (9) spans. January 1944 etc.	175°	170° (-10' max.)	Rock and Sand.	Steep.		At angle approaches to bridge. Hilly terrain.	Overall length 270'. Clear width 130'. The bridge is situated in a steep valley. Building site restricted.
200-400 20/200000	200-400 20/200000	Footbridge (9) 3 spans (9)	165°	130°				At angle approaches to bridge.	Overall length 270'. Clear width 130'. The bridge is situated in a steep valley. Building site restricted.

TABLE 5 of 10

TABLE 5
5 OF 16

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

contained in References 8, 10, and 13 and to the depth profile presented on Plate 17. The range of normal depths along the SAVA River appears in the following table:

<u>Reach</u>	<u>River km</u>	<u>Depth at MW (m)</u>
JAVORNIK-RADOVLJICA	908-893	0.5-2
RADOVLJICA-ZAGREB	893-700	1-5
ZAGREB-SISAK	700-593	5-6
SISAK-BELGRADE	593- 0	6-8

2-07 CHANNEL AND FLOOD-PLAIN WIDTHS.

Above ZAGREB (km 700), the stream width ranges from 3 to nearly 200 m. The flood-plain is narrow, being less than 300 m wide except at a few localities where it widens to as much as 4 km.

Below ZAGREB, the channel widens to nearly 700 m in places. Along the flat plains in the lower reaches of the river, extensive areas are subject to frequent and prolonged inundation as described in detail in References 6, 8, 10, and 13. There, backwater also extends up the tributary streams for great distances. A profile of channel and flood-plain widths is presented as Plate 6, and a tabulation of representative widths is given below:

<u>Reach</u>	<u>River km</u>	<u>Channel Width (m)</u>	<u>Flood-Plain Width (m)</u>
JAVORNIK-RADOVLJICA	908-893	3- 30	30- 300
RADOVLJICA-ZAGREB	893-700	50-200	100- 4,000
ZAGREB-SISAK	700-593	100-200	1,000-10,000
SISAK-BELGRADE	593- 0	150-170	500-20,000

2-08. NAVIGATION.

The SAVA River is navigable from BELGRADE upstream to the confluence of the KUPA River near SISAK (km 593). Construction has been started on a canalization project to permit navigation to be extended to ZAGREB (km 700). Tributaries, navigable for short distances, include the KUPA, UDLA, BOSNA, DRINA, and BOSUT Rivers. The "standard" 1000-ton (metric) Danube barges drawing about 2 m can now reach BOD (km 367) and occasionally as far as SISAK on the SAVA River. The extent of navigation on the tributaries is doubtful, but is probably limited to craft of about 100 metric tons, drawing about 1 m. Detailed information is contained in References 2, 3 or 5.

2-09 REGULATION.

At the present time there are no known existing reservoirs in the SAVA River basin providing significant storage for flood-control, irrigation, or navigation. The hydro-electric power reservoirs located within the area do not have sufficient storage capacity to exert any appreciable regulatory effect upon

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

the flow of the SAVA River and its tributaries. Considerable variations between high and low flows prevail. Remedial measures have been confined to dredging of the stream and to construction of levee systems.

2-10 DAMS AND RESERVOIRS.

a. Reservoirs. No large artificial reservoirs exist in the SAVA River basin, although a number of possible future storage developments are reported to be under consideration (See Exhibit A or Reference 14). Exact locations of these proposed projects are uncertain. Some water retained in the "polje" of the karst region, flows underground into the LJUBLJANA Basin, as indicated on Plate 3 and described in Exhibit A and in References 11, 12, and 13. Considerable natural storage of water exists in the form of snow retention in the mountainous headwaters. Flows from melting snow continue well into the late spring.

b. Hydro-electric Dams. Numerous hydro-electric projects are located on the tributaries of the SAVA River. Many others are proposed or under construction. However, information is not now available as to the exact locations, state of completion, or the dimensions and details of the dams and associated hydraulic structures of most of the projects. It may be assumed that the storage capacity is, in most cases, rather small; since most hydro-electric plants in the rugged terrain of YUGOSLAVIA depend on high head rather than on volume of discharge to generate electric power. Some additional information on Yugoslavian electric power development may be found in Reference 23 (National Intelligence Survey No. 21). Fragmentary data also is scattered in various classified intelligence documents. Detailed description of the MOSTE DAM, a hydro-electric project located on the SAVA DOLINKA River about 4 km downstream of JAVORNIK (km 908), is contained in Exhibit B of this report. That exhibit consists of translated excerpts from Reference 14. Sketches illustrating details of the MOSTE DAM appear on Plate 7. A tabulation listing the more important hydro-electric projects, as compiled from various intelligence sources, is presented as Table 4.

c. Navigation Locks and Dams. There are no navigation locks or dams on the SAVA River or its tributaries.

d. Mill Dams. Many small mill dams are located on the streams of the SAVA River basin upstream of the navigable reaches. They store small amounts of water for local industrial use. Those located on the LJUBLJANA River in and downstream of the town of LJUBLJANA, and also other dams on other streams may contain small locks or other facilities for the passage of small local boat traffic.

2-11 LEVEES.

A number of short low levees have been built to protect local communities against the frequent extensive flooding prevalent on the plains and flats of the lower reaches of the SAVA River.

II-4
SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

These levees have not been integrated into a complete levee system. Accurate up-to-date information concerning the levees was not available.

2-12 CANALS.

There are no important navigation canals in the SAVA River basin. The LJUBLJANA Basin has an extensive drainage canal system. A large complex drainage and irrigation canal system is being built in the flat plain region of the lower SAVA River, as noted in Exhibit A.

2-13 LAKES, PONDS, AND MARSHES.

a. Lakes. There are two large important lakes in the headwater region of the SAVA River: (1) the BOHINJSKO JEZERO (WOCHNER SEE) near the source of the SAVA BOHINJSKI (WOCHNER SAVE) River; and (2) the BLEDSKO JEZERO (VELDESER SEE), commonly known as LAKE BLEED, near the confluence of the two forks of the upper SAVA River. The former covers 3.283 km², is nearly 40 m deep, and has a 1 km wide ice sheet along the east bank during January and February. LAKE BLEED covers only about 1.4 km², is but 10 m deep, and becomes completely frozen over during that same period.

b. Ponds and Marshes. The LJUBLJANA Basin was formerly a very soggy marshland, but an extensive drainage system has relieved the swampy conditions sufficiently to permit cultivation of a large part of the area. Many large swamps occur along the lower reaches of the SAVA River. Much of the flat lowlands in that region is subject to ponding during a large part of the year. Detailed description may be found in Exhibit A or in References 5 to 10, inclusive.

2-14 BRIDGES.

Reliable information with respect to post-war bridge reconstruction was not available in this office at this time; however, a tabulation of available bridge data extracted from Reference 1 is presented in Table 5. Locations are indicated on Plate 8.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
SECTION III
HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS

3-01 GENERAL.

a. Information regarding river stage, discharge, flow duration and velocity are presented in generalized graphical form insofar as practicable to facilitate application of the data to specific military problems. The cited references should be utilized for supplementary data.

b. Available long-term and recent hydrologic records for YUGOSLAVIA are scanty and incomplete. For much of the data, reliance had to be placed mostly upon old official publications of the Austrian-Hungary Empire, published prior to World War I. The frequent complex political and territorial changes in that area has handicapped the collection, publication, and dissemination of hydrologic data.

3-02 CLIMATOLOGY.

The climate of the SAVA River basin is transitional between the continental and coastal climatic types. In general, it is wetter and cooler in the mountainous upper reaches of the river than on the plains of the lower reaches. There is considerable snow in the mountains. Heavy rains occur during the late summer and early autumn, reaching a maximum in October. The upper SAVA River freezes in extreme winters where the stream velocity is low; the lower SAVA River only occasionally is closed by ice, usually never earlier than 4 December nor later than 25 February. Rainfall records are published annually in Reference 15; detailed data on climate are contained in References 16 and 17. The seasonal variation in precipitation is illustrated in the following tabulation based on data in Reference 17:

Mean Precipitation (Inches)

	<u>LJUBLJANA</u> (Km 852)	<u>ZAGREB</u> (Km 700)	<u>BELGRADE</u> (Km 0)
Jan.	2.9	1.8	1.3
Feb.	2.7	1.9	1.2
Mar.	3.9	2.2	1.6
Apr.	3.9	2.8	2.4
May	4.3	3.1	2.8
Jun	5.7	3.9	3.0
Jul	5.5	3.2	2.6
Aug	5.7	3.2	1.9
Sep	5.5	3.4	1.7
Oct	6.6	3.9	2.4
Nov	4.5	3.1	1.9
Dec	4.1	2.6	1.6
Annual	55.4	34.9	24.4
Years Record	-	64	33

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

3-03 STREAM GAGING STATIONS.

A number of stream gages have been established on the SAVA River and its tributaries. Stage records and other data for the more important stations may be found in References 13, 18, 19 and 20. Locations of gages on the SAVA and LJUBLJANA Rivers are shown on the General Map, Plate 1, and on the stream-bed profiles, Plates 4 and 5. Pertinent gage data are summarized in Table 3.

3-04 RIVER STAGES.

a. Records. The maximum, mean and minimum stages of record for stations are presented in Table 3. The data shown represent the best available at this time, and were obtained from various sources covering different periods of record. The effect of possible river improvements (especially below ZAGREB) cannot be accurately evaluated.

b. Stage Variations. The range of stage variations is shown for various stations on Plate 9. The unusual double cycle of seasonal variations for the upper SAVA River is illustrated by the monthly mean stage graphs shown on Plates 10 and 11. The spring floods occur in March through May, with the melting of the snows; this is followed by a period of very low stages during the early summer. The intense rains of autumn bring a second period of high stages, while a second period of low stages prevails during the winter when surface run-off is held up in the form of snow. Seasonal variations in mean monthly stage amount to between 1 and 3 m. The appreciable range between high and low stages is shown by the following tabulation, abstracted from Plate 9:

Station	River Km	Range of Stage(m)	
		H ₁ M ₁ -M ₁ M ₁	M ₁ M ₁ -M ₁ M ₁
RADOVLJICA	893	2.62	2.18
LITIJA	813	6.27	2.83
ZAGREB	700	4.74	3.10
DUBRAVČAK	636	10.38	8.10
BEČKO	225	7.92	5.95

c. Stage Duration. Stage duration curves for several stations on the SAVA and LJUBLJANA Rivers are shown on Plates 12 and 13. These curves show the percent of the time that a given stage may be expected to be equalled or exceeded. The median stage, shown on Plates 12 and 13 as equalled or exceeded 50 percent of the time, should not be confused with the mean stage (representing an arithmetical average) as shown in Table 3 and on Plates 10 and 11. Comparison of mean and median stages follows:

Station	River Km	Mean Stage (cm)	Median Stage (cm)
		(M ₁) Table 3, Plates 10&11	(50% of time) (Plates 12&13)
SVETI DUH	918	42	34
VRHNICA	874	-35	-75
LITIJA	813	68	60
ZAGORJE	798	106	50
SEWNICA	763	81	67

SECRET

3-05 RIVER DISCHARGES, SECURITY INFORMATION

a. Records. Available published records of river discharges for the SAVA River and its tributaries are practically nonexistent. References 13, 18, and 21 contain a few records of discharge measurements, mean flows, or stage-discharge relations. The discharge data summarized in Table 3 and shown on the discharge profile of Plate 17 represent estimates based on the meager information contained in those references.

b. Stage-Discharge Relation. Average stage-discharge relation curves for key stations on the SAVA and LJUBLJANA Rivers are presented on Plates 14, 15, and 16. These curves were estimated from the scanty discharge measurements and equivalent stage-discharge data contained in References 13, 18, and 21.

c. Discharge Variations. Stream discharge is very variable. It follows the same double seasonal cycle as the stage (see paragraph 3-04). Estimates of mean and extreme discharges are shown on Table 3 and on the discharge profile of Plate 17. Due to the varying period of record, mean discharge is presented as an estimated range covering those periods. The wide range in discharge on the SAVA River may be seen in the following tabulation, as taken from TABLE 5:

Station	River Km	Discharge (m ³ /sec)		
		Maximum(HHQ)	Mean(MQ)	Minimum(NNQ)
OTOCE	885	450	44-72	13
LITIJA	813	1340	152-250	41
ZAGREB	700	1880	230-330	67
BRCKO	225	3540	875-1060	186
BELGRADE	0	4400	1200-1500	260

3-06 RIVER VELOCITIES.

a. General. The velocity of stream flow varies according to the conformation of the river bed, depths, obstructions, restrictions, local variation of slope, etc. Channel improvements and cut-offs, training walls and levees, operation of dams and other modifications of natural conditions appreciably affect the stream velocity. ~~Influent rivers in flood tend to elevate the main river waters at the point of confluence.~~ Accordingly, correlations between river stages and surface velocities at gaging stations cannot be interpreted as applicable to all points along the adjacent river sections, but only serve as general indications.

b. Surface Velocities. Insufficient basic information concerning the stream hydraulic functions (cross-sectional area, wetted perimeter, water surface slope, roughness factor, etc.), was available to permit accurate determination of stream velocities. Estimates were based on velocities observed during discharge measurements at gaging stations as recorded in References 13 and 18, and on average velocities given in References 8 and 10. The observed velocities were assumed to be mean cross-sectional velocities, which were increased by 18 percent to indicate the mean surface velocities. As indicated

SECRET

3-06

SECURITY INFORMATION

in the velocity studies in Reference 22, the mean cross-sectional velocities should be increased by 25 to 75 percent to obtain the maximum surface velocities likely to be encountered during crossing operations. Mean surface velocity ratings at the gaging stations are presented on Plates 14, 15, and 16. Velocity profiles at MW and HW appear on Plate 17. Mean surface velocities at selected stations are tabulated below:

<u>Station</u>	<u>River Km</u>	<u>Mean Surface Velocities (m/sec)</u>	
		<u>MW</u>	<u>HW</u>
JAVORNIK	908	1.2	2.7
LITIJA	813	2.0	2.9
ZAGREB	700	1.2	2.7
RUGVICA	663	0.9	1.3
BRCKO	225	0.7	1.2
BELGRADE	0	0.5	1.6

c. Flood Wave Travel Time. Examination of flood crest times as recorded in the old official Austrian Hydrologic Yearbooks (Reference 18) provided the following estimate for the rate of travel of natural flood waves on the SAVA River:

<u>Reach</u>	<u>River Km</u>	<u>Average travel rate of peak (km/hr.)</u>
JAVORNIK-GLOBOKO	908-888	13
GLOBOKO-KRANJ	888-871	11
KRANJ-SV. JAKOB	871-842	10
SV. JAKOB-SEVNICA	842-763	8
SEVNICA-CATEZ	763-732	7.5

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECTION IV
ARTIFICIAL FLOODING POTENTIALITIES

4-01 GENERAL.

a. The term "artificial flood" as used in this report applies to any major increase in the extent of flooding, over that normally prevailing with existing developments, that is brought about by manipulation of control structures, breaching of dams or levees, or temporary damming operations designed to create flooding conditions. Applications of artificial flooding considered in this report fall into the following four general categories:

(1) Still-water barriers, created by flooding land to form water obstacles, using such means as breaching levees, diverting flow from canals, raising crests of existing dams or constructing temporary dams.

(2) Drainage obstacles or mud-flats, in which the wetness of the soil is increased to form muddy or marshy conditions that would impede military traffic, brought about by disrupting the normal drainage, destroying pumping and drainage facilities used to drain marshy or low land, or by inducing shallow inundation of flood-plains or reclaimed land. Mud-flats may also be formed by draining areas normally inundated by reservoirs or ponds.

(3) Stream flow variations, in which changes in discharges, depths, velocities and widths of streams are brought about to hinder stream-crossing operations or navigation such as might be accomplished by opening and closing outlet works of water control structures.

(4) Major flood waves, created by sudden breaching of a dam to release large quantities of impounded water.

b. Certain opportunities exist for effective use of these artificial floods in the SAVA River basin. This section presents a review of the potentialities and a quantitative evaluation of the hydraulic effects. Reference should be made to Section V for discussion of associated military factors.

c. Brief, generalized estimates of artificial flooding possibilities by Austrian, German, and Hungarian military staffs are included in the documents listed in the Bibliography as References 8, 9, and 10.

4-02 STILL-WATER BARRIERS AND DRAINAGE OBSTACLES.

a. General. The studies reviewed in this paragraph pertain to artificial flooding produced by creation of still-water barriers and drainage obstacles along the SAVA River above the junction of the VRBAS River. The studies were largely based on a map study using 1:25,000 Yugoslav maps and 1:50,000 American maps. Exact determination of elevations, contours, and boundaries from these maps was difficult; however,

SECRET**SECURITY INFORMATION**

the results of this study are believed to offer good indications of the relative possibilities of such flooding. First-hand information should be obtained by local reconnaissance regarding ground elevations and the locations, elevations and dimensions of levees, roadfills and culverts in the vicinity of specific barriers in order to accurately establish the area subject to artificial flooding.

b. Hydrologic Considerations.

(1) The effect of artificial flooding is largely contingent upon the natural hydrologic conditions prevailing at the time of the operation. The volume of water stored and available within the basin, the rate of stream flow and the river stage are important factors. Reference is made to Section III of this report for detailed description.

(2) Attention is directed to the wide range between high and low flows shown in Table 3 and on Plate 17, and to the seasonal variation in discharge illustrated by Plates 10 and 11, and discussed in paragraph 3-05. It should also be noted that official published mean discharges are unavailable and that estimated probable ranges are given in Table 3. Based on those ranges, the following mean discharges were selected for use in this study:

<u>Reach</u>	<u>Selected Mean Discharge (m³/sec)</u>
<u>LJUBLJANA RIVER</u>	
At LJUBLJANA	50
<u>SAVA RIVER</u>	
JAVORNIK-LJUBLJANA R.	100
KUPA B-UNA R.	200
UNA R-VRBAS R.	600

c. Means of Creating Still-Water Barriers and Drainage Obstacles.

(1) The water obstacle afforded by the existing streams of the SAVA River basin could be increased by utilization of one or more of the following means:

(a) Creation of still-water barriers by construction of temporary dams at bridge sites, combined with closing of culverts and other openings in levees and road fills.

(b) Inundation of lowlands along the streams by breaching dikes and levees and opening of flood gates in levees.

(c) Inundation of lowlands by closing normal drainage outlets.

(2) In order to obtain a quantitative evaluation of the potential artificial flooding at various locations, analysis was made where possible on barriers resulting from temporary damming to 3 or 4 m above mean water (MW); however, where it seemed evident that the barrier could be appreciably increased, the effect of higher dams was studied.

SECRET**SECURITY INFORMATION**

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

In this study, it was assumed that the surface of the pools above the temporary dams would be level, and that mean water conditions would prevail at the time of the operation. During high water conditions greater flooding could be expected due to the increased slope of water surface upstream from the temporary dams.

d. Effect of Still-Water Barriers.

(1) General. The effects of artificial flooding created by temporary damming operations on the LJUBLJANA and SAVA River are summarized in Table 6 and the extent of inundation indicated on Plate 18. Serial numbers of sites correspond to the bridge serial numbers of Table 5 and Plate 8. The flooding produced by temporary damming would cover isolated areas ranging from 0.5 to 10 km wide. Formation of continuous overbank flooding, by means of temporary dams, is not considered practicable. Insufficient topographic data were available to permit analysis of the effect of blocking of the outlets of the many small tributaries of the SAVA River. However, it appears probable that such disruption of natural drainage would considerably increase the extent of marshy and swampy areas at many points along the SAVA River and along its tributaries. Review of the effects of still-water barriers and drainage obstacles in specific reaches of the LJUBLJANA and SAVA Rivers follows.

(2) LJUBLJANA RIVER. From its source to the town of LJUBLJANA, the LJUBLJANA River flows through a wide, flat, marshy plain. Erection of temporary dams at the road bridge in LJUBLJANA and at a road bridge on the GRUBER CANAL, to an elevation of 290 m.ü.s. (6.5 m above MW) would cause flooding of virtually the entire valley. The water barrier thus formed would be 18 km long and would average 3.5 km in width. The impounded water could be released to supplement other sources of water supply for still-water barriers in the SAVA valley. Sudden release of the water stored in the LJUBLJANA Basin would create an artificial flood wave as described in paragraph 4-03. Raising the stage of the river to a lesser height would probably raise the ground water level and interfere with the natural drainage of the region. That would form an effective drainage obstacle of the area. Blocking of the numerous drainage ditches, coupled with destruction of pumping facilities and dikes would also recreate marshy conditions.

(3) SAVA River Source to VIZMARJE-TACEN (Km 852). Above TACEN the banks of the SAVA River generally are high and rise steeply from the river bed, thus making flooding by temporary damming operations impracticable. Near TACEN it is probable that the high meadows on the right bank of the stream could be kept in a swampy condition by blocking the natural drainage channels leading to the SAVA River.

(4) VIZMARJE-TACEN to DOLSKO (Km 833). Temporary damming at the railroad bridge near JEZICA (km 849), would produce an isolated still-water barrier approximately 3.5 km long and averaging 1.0-1.3 km wide. At the DOLSKO RR bridge (km 833), temporary damming to elevation 265 m.ü.s. could produce a still-water barrier on the left bank, 3.6 km long and 0.5-0.7 km wide. In both cases the nature of the banks and surrounding ground would probably make it necessary to raise the temporary dams to the full elevation of the bridge decks (7-10 m above MW) in order

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

4-021

SECURITY INFORMATION

to achieve any appreciable flooding. These two bridges probably provide the only suitable sites in this reach.

(5) DOLSKO to VIDEM (km 757). At DOLSKO the SAVA enters a deep narrow gorge which extends to VIDEM (km 757). In this reach still-water barriers would be confined to the narrow valley floor and would probably not afford significant water obstacles.

(6) VIDEM to ZAGREB (km 700). At VIDEM (km 757), the SAVA emerges from the gorge. From there to ZAGREB (km 700) the river banks are fairly high. In this reach a long narrow still-water barrier could be formed by raising a temporary dam 3 to 4 m above MW at the BREZICE RR bridge (km 735). As indicated in Table 6 and on Plate 18, this pool would be 7 km long and average approximately 0.5 km in width. Although no other suitable sites for temporary damming operations exist along the SAVA River in this reach, extensive drainage obstacles could probably be formed near the confluences of the SUTLA River (km 729) and of the KRAPINA River (km 715). Blocking of those streams and of other drainage ditches in the vicinity would cause shallow inundation or boggy conditions in those low-lying marshy areas.

(7) ZAGREB to the confluence of VRBAS River (km 420). Below ZAGREB (km 700), the SAVA River flows through a wide, flat valley. Between ZAGREB and the VRBAS River confluence there are only three bridges affording possible sites for temporary dams. They are: SISAK Road bridge (km 595), JASENOVAC RR bridge (km 508), and STARO-GRADISKA road bridge (km 460). Erection of temporary dams at those locations would result in the formation of long wide still-water barriers. As indicated in Table 6 and on Plate 18, the pool formed by a temporary dam at SISAK would extend up a large low depression lying several kilometers to the left of the river channel. That pool would be 10 km long and average 4-7 km wide. Blocking the bridges at JASENOVAC and STARO-GRADISKA would form a continuous still-water barrier 60-70 km long and averaging 2 to 10 km wide as indicated in Table 6 and on Plate 18. In this reach the low flat valley drains to the SAVA by many small streams and ditches. Any blocking or interference with this drainage system would probably create extensive drainage obstacles and mud-flats.

c. Water Requirements for Still-Water Barriers.

The volume of water required to effect the artificial flooding on the LJUBLJANA and SAVA Rivers described in the preceding paragraphs and shown in Table 6 and on Plate 18, together with the estimated time required for filling at the assumed average mean rates of flow given in paragraph 402(b), are approximately as follows:

Site	Water Requirement (million m ³)	Filling Time (days)
LJUBLJANA	65	15
JESICA	10	1
DOLSKO	2	1
BREZICE	5	1
SISAK	50-60	2
JASENOVAC	40-60	1
STARO-GRADISKA	300-340	6
	472-552	

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

7

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

4-03 MAJOR FLOOD WAVES.

a. General. The studies in this paragraph pertain to artificial flooding that might be produced along the SAVA River by breaching of MOSTE DAM, and of temporary barriers at LJUBLJANA and DOLESKO GORGE. Insufficient data were available to permit studies of the effects of major flood waves from other dams in the area. However, their effect probably would be slight, due to the small size of dams and volume of water stored in the headwater reservoirs upstream of the confluence of the SAVA and VRBAS Rivers.

b. Hydrologic Considerations.

(1) Natural flow conditions in the streams of the SAVA River basin vary considerably, as shown on Plates 9 and 17 and discussed in Section III. The stage and discharge existing at the time of release of artificial flood waves greatly influence the effects. In this study, the assumed base flows in the streams at the start of the waves approximate mean water conditions.

(2) Reservoir pool elevations at the time of release of flood waves also influence the peak and duration of the waves. High pool conditions might be expected during the spring and fall, and low conditions during the summer and winter. Several different reservoir pool elevations were considered in this study, illustrating a wide range of possibilities. The storage curve for MOSTE DAM shown on Plate 19 was derived by the method described in Reference 24. Storage values for the LJUBLJANA and DOLESKO GORGE ponding areas were estimated from 1:25,000 topographic maps. A tabulation of estimated storage capacities and average filling times under mean water conditions follows:

Reservoir	Stage (meters)	Storage (mil. m ³)	Mean Inflow (m ³ /sec)	Filling Time (days)
MOSTE DAM	523.5	6.89	16	5
	517	3.4	•	2.5
	514	2.3	•	2
LJUBLJANA Barrier	295	655	50	150
	290	65	•	15
DOLESKO GORGE Barrier	280	325	100	40
	270	83	•	10

(3) During passage of a major flood wave downstream, an appreciable volume is retained behind embankments and in depressions on the flood-plain, and lost through evaporation, seepage, etc. For example, 39.5 percent of the volume of water discharged from the Eder Dam breach of May 1943 was lost in the passage of the flood wave to Intschodo, 426.6 km below the dam (See References 25 and 26). Consequently it was assumed in this study that for each 10 km of travel, about 1 percent of the volume within the flood wave would be lost or retained on the flood-plain, where the wave exceeded bankfull stages.

IV-SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

4-03

c. Means of Creating Major Flood Waves.

(1) Breaching of MOSTE DAM. Breaching of this dam (located at km 904) would produce flood waves of limited magnitude in the SAVA River upstream of ZAGREB (km 700). The size of the possible breach is limited, due to the narrow width of the gorge at the damsite, (See Plate 7).

For purposes of this study, the breach openings were assumed to be of trapezoidal shape, with side slopes of 2 vertical on 1 horizontal, and bottom widths of 10 m or 20 m, as shown on Plates 20 and 21. Those openings approximate the maximum dimensions feasible at the damsite. It is uncertain whether the elevation of the dam crest is to be left at 514 m.ü.A., (that of the first stage of construction) or is to be raised to 523.5 m.ü.A., the proposed ultimate crest (See Exhibit B). Both levels were considered in this study. Also, study was made of the comparative flood wave effects that would be made possible by raising of the dam crest to 517 m.ü.A. by means of temporary construction.

(2) Breaching of LJUBLJANA Barrier. It would appear possible to erect barriers across the LJUBLJANA River and the GRUBER Canal near their upper junctions just south of the city of LJUBLJANA (km 852). That would permit considerable water to be impounded on the wide flat marshy plain of the LJUBLJANA Basin, as shown on Plate 18. Breaching of these barriers would then create artificial flood waves in the SAVA River, as far downstream as BIRKO (km 225). Initial ponding elevations of 295 and 290 m.ü.A. were considered. The effects of two different breach openings were studied: (a) a trapezoidal breach of 10 m bottom width; and (b) complete abrupt removal of the barriers.

(3) Breaching of DOLSKO GORGE Barrier. The deep narrow gorge just downstream of DOLSKO (km 832) on the SAVA River might conceivably be blocked by an artificial landslide. Such a barrier would impound 83 million m³ of water at elevation 270 m.ü.A. and 325 million m³ of water if carried to elevation 280. The extent of the pond is indicated on Plate 18. It must be admitted that this 500 m long by 10 to 20 m high barrier might be difficult to erect. However, subsequent breaching or failure of such a barrier would produce appreciable flood waves far downstream on the SAVA River. In order to determine the nature of these effects, trapezoidal breach openings of 100 and 200 m bottom widths, at elevation 265 m.ü.A., and initial ponding elevations of 280 and 270 m.ü.A., were considered.

d. Effects of MOSTE DAM Breaching Operations.

(1) General. The estimated effects of artificial flood waves on the SAVA River produced by breaching of MOSTE DAM are summarized in Table 7. Discharge hydrographs at key locations appear

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

on Plates 20 and 21. The artificial floods studied are designated as follows:

<u>Artificial Flood No.</u>	<u>Width of Breach (m)</u>	<u>Depth of Breach (m)</u>	<u>Breach Elev. (m.ü.A.)</u>	<u>Pool Elev. (m.ü.A.)</u>
1	10	5	509	514
2	"	8	"	517
3	"	14.5	"	523.5
4	"	5	518.5	"
5	20	"	"	"
6	"	10	513.5	"

(2) Artificial Flood No. 1 results from a 10 m wide by 5 m deep breach of MONTE DAM, considering the dam crest at 514 m.ü.A., the elevation of the first stage of construction. Discharge hydrographs at key locations and a sketch of the breach are shown on Plate 20. The peak discharge of 330 m³/sec drops to about 100 m³/sec (the capacity of the outlet conduit) in about 2 hours. The reservoir is emptied by the outlets in approximately 7 hours. The resulting rise in river stage is only 0.7 m at SMLEDNIK, 43 km below the dam and 0.3 m at ZAGREB, 204 km below the dam. As shown in Table 7, only slight increase in width and velocity of flow would be effected.

(3) Artificial Flood No. 2 would result from the same breach considered in Flood No. 1, assuming that the crest had been raised 3 m higher by temporary construction. The initial peak discharge would thus be increased from 330 to 590 m³/sec. The downstream effects would be slightly greater than those of Flood No. 1, as shown on Plate 20 and in Table 7, but would still not appreciably differ from base flow conditions.

(4) Artificial Flood No. 3 would result from a breach with bottom width and elevation identical to Flood No. 1, but with the water surface at the ultimate dam crest elevation of 523.5 m.ü.A. The initial peak discharge would be 1545 m³/sec and it would take over 3 hours for the discharge to drop to the outlet capacity (as shown on Plate 20). The resulting flood wave height above initial stage would be 1.9 m at SMLEDNIK, and 0.9 m at ZAGREB. Effects are summarized in Table 7. The comparison of depth, discharge, velocity to natural values is shown on the profiles of Plate 17.

(5) Artificial Flood No. 4 discharge hydrographs appear on Plate 21, and the summary of effects in Table 7. This flood illustrates the effect of reservoir storage upon the flood wave. The breach is 10 m wide by 5 m high, like Flood No. 1; however, the assumed water surface is 523.5, as compared to 514 m.ü.A. for that flood. As may be seen in Table 7, the duration of the resulting wave is about double that of Flood No. 1. This reflects the greater volume stored at the higher elevation.

(6) Artificial Flood No. 5 was included to determine the effect of width of breach upon the resulting flood wave. It results from a breach of 20 m bottom width, double that of Flood No. 4. As shown on

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

4-03d

Plate 21 and in Table 7, the difference in discharge and other effects for those two breaches becomes progressively less as the waves progress downstream. At the dam, Flood No. 5 peak discharge is $540 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$, compared to $350 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ for Flood No. 4; at ZAGREB, crest discharges are 360 and $355 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$, respectively. The other effects are practically identical.

(7) Artificial Flood No. 6 likewise may be compared to Flood No. 4. The assumed breach of 20 m bottom width and 10 m depth is twice as deep as that for Flood No. 4, and represents approximately the widest opening that might be fitted within the gorge at the damsite. A peak discharge of $1385 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ drops to the outlet capacity in about 3 hours. This discharge is nearly 4 times that of Flood No. 4 but about 90 percent as great as Flood No. 3. The downstream effects are appreciable as far downstream as ZAGREB. There, the discharge would be $440 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ for Flood No. 6 compared to $355 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ for Flood No. 4. The height of the wave at ZAGREB would be 0.7 m for the former and 0.4 m for the latter. The discharge hydrographs appear on Plate 21 and the summary of effects in Table 7.

c. Effects of LJUBLJANA Barrier Breaching Operations.

(1) General. The estimated effects of artificial flood waves on the SAVA River produced by breaching of the LJUBLJANA Barrier (km 852) are summarized in Table 7. Discharge hydrographs at the barrier and at STARA-GRADIŠKA (km 460) are shown as Plate 22. The artificial flood waves studied are designated as shown in the following tabulation:

Artificial Flood No.	Width of Breach (m)	Depth of Breach (m)	Breach Elev. (m.u.s.l.)	Pool Elev. (m.u.s.l.)
7	10	10	285	295
8	"	5	"	290
9	Complete removal	10	"	295
10	"	5	"	290

(2) Artificial Flood No. 7 is the result of a 10 m wide by 10 m high trapezoidal breach of LJUBLJANA Barrier, considering the impounded water level to be 295 m.u.s.l. The peak discharge would be $725 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$. Due to the large volume of stored water (655 million m^3), the duration of the wave would be nearly 30 days, as may be seen on the discharge hydrographs of Plate 22 and in the summary of Table 7. The wave height would decrease from nearly 2 m at LITIJA (39 km below the barrier) to about 0.5 m, 600 km below the barrier. In the lower reaches of the SAVA River below ZAGREB (km 700), the crest of the wave would overtop the banks about 0.5 m. This would result in flooding of wide areas of low-lying land during the passage of the peak of the wave.

(3) Artificial Flood No. 8 results from a 10 m wide by 5 m deep breach at LJUBLJANA. The peak discharge would be only $225 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$. The volume of stored water at elevation 290 m.u.s.l. is about one-tenth of that impounded at elevation 295 m.u.s.l. The duration of the flood wave would be approximately one week, as compared to 30 days for Flood No. 7.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

4-03e

As shown on Plate 22 and in Table 7, the downstream effects would be much less than for that flood, and continuous overbank flooding could not be expected.

(4) Artificial Flood No. 9 assumes the complete demolition or abrupt removal of a barrier at LJUBLJANA. With the initial ponding level at 295 m.u.A. behind the barrier, the resulting peak discharge would be approximately 2000 m³/sec and the wave would last from 10 to 15 days. Appreciable increases in stage, velocity, discharge and width of flooding would result. For example, at STARO-GRADISKA, 392 km below LJUBLJANA, the stage would be increased about 3 m and the width of the water obstacle increased from 190 m to about 1 km. Reference is made to the summary of effects in Table 7, and to the depth, velocity, and discharge profiles of Plate 17.

(5) Artificial Flood No. 10 differs from that immediately preceding, in that the initial ponding level was taken to be 290 m.u.A., 5 m lower than Flood No. 9. The peak discharge would be 500 m³/sec at the barrier and the duration of the wave would be from 3 to 6 days. The height of the wave above initial stages would vary from about 1.5 m, 40 km below the barrier, less than 0.5 m, at locations more than 400 km below LJUBLJANA. The magnitude of the effects would be considerably less than Flood No. 9, but only slightly less than for Flood No. 7, although the duration would be only about one-eighth as long as the latter.

f. Effects of DOLSKO GORGE Barrier Breaching Operations.

(1) General. The estimated effects of artificial flood waves produced by assumed breaching or failure of a high barrier in DOLSKO GORGE (km 832) are summarized in Table 7. Discharge hydrographs at the barrier and at STARO-GRADISKA (km 460) are shown on Plate 23. The artificial flood waves considered are designated as follows:

<u>Artificial Flood No.</u>	<u>Width of Breach (m)</u>	<u>Depth of Breach (m)</u>	<u>Breach Elev. (m.u.A.)</u>	<u>Pool Elev. (m.u.A.)</u>
11	100	15	265	280
12	"	5	"	270
13	200	15	"	280
14	"	5	"	270

(2) Artificial Flood No. 11 would have a peak discharge at the breached barrier of 10,500 m³/sec. A breach width of 100 m and depth of 15 m were assumed for this flood. The flow would rapidly decline to base flow in 1 to 2 days. At STARO-GRADISKA, approximately 370 km downstream, the increase above base flow would be only 1500 m³/sec. Similarly, the height of the wave above initial stages would decrease from over 20 m in the gorge to less than 2 m in the lower reaches. However, the resulting flooding would inundate extensive areas in the flat plains below ZIGREB. Reference is made to Plate 23 for discharge hydrographs at the barrier and at STARO-GRADISKA and to Table 7 for summary of the estimated effects at key stations.

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

4-038

(3) Artificial Flood No. 12 results from a 100 m wide breach, but with an assumed water surface of 270 m.a.s.l., 10 m lower than for Flood No. 11. The peak discharge at the barrier would be only 1,950 m³/sec, approximately one-fifth as great. The duration would still be only about 1 day, as shown on Plate 23. Table 7 shows that effects downstream would slightly exceed bankfull conditions.

(4) Artificial Flood No. 13 is the result of a 200 m wide and 15 m deep breach. The initial peak discharge of 20,300 m³/sec is approximately twice that of Flood No. 11. The duration of discharge is about 1 day. Plate 23 and Table 7 indicate that the difference in discharges of Floods No. 11 and 13 become progressively smaller as the wave travels downstream, as was similarly observed in the case of Floods Nos. 4 and 5.

(5) Artificial Flood No. 14 effects are shown on the depth, velocity, and discharge profiles of Plate 17 as well as in the summary of effects Table 7. This flood would result from a 200 m wide by 5 m deep breach in the DOISKO GORGE Barrier, assuming the water surface to be at a barrier crest elevation of 270 m.a.s.l. A peak discharge of 3850 m³/sec at the barrier would result in an increase of 350 m³/sec above base flow at STARA GRADISKA. The resulting peak conditions would slightly exceed those prevailing at bankfull conditions, and some significant localized inundation of low spots might be expected.

g. Comparison of Effects of Breaching Operations. Breaching of MOSTE DAM would result in slight increase of discharge, stage, and velocity above base flow conditions. Effects would be confined to the upper reaches of the SAVA River above ZAGREB (km 700). Breaching of a barrier at LJUBLJANA could produce significant flooding along the entire SAVA River, below ZAGREB. Breaching of a high barrier in DOISKO GORGE would produce very high stages and flows in the gorge below that barrier, and appreciable flooding of lowlands below ZAGREB. Peak values for the various flood waves studied are summarized in Table 7. The relation of representative artificial waves to natural conditions is illustrated on Plate 17. Extracts of pertinent effects from Table 7 at selected key locations are presented below to facilitate comparison between the various artificial floods.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

4-03g

Peak Values

SECURITY INFORMATION

Flood No.	Depth	Width Flooded	Overflow Height	Mean Surface Velocity	Duration
	m	m	m	m/sec	Days

(1) At LITLA, Km 613

(a) MOSTE DAM Breach

1	2.7	160	Within banks	1.9	1/2
2	2.8	•	•	•	•
3	3.1	165	•	2.2	•
4	2.8	160	•	1.9	1
5	2.9	•	•	2.0	•
6	3.3	165	•	2.2	3/4

(b) LJUBLJANA Barrier Breach

7	4.3	170	Bankfull	2.6	25
8	2.9	160	Within banks	2.0	7
9	7.2	230	2.0	3.0	11
10	3.8	175	Within banks	2.4	4

(c) DOLSKO GORGE Barrier Breach

11	(over 12)	(over 300)	(over 7)	(over 3)	2
12	6.2	200	1.0	3.0	1
13	(over 12)	(over 300)	(over 7)	(over 3)	•
14	8.2	250	3.0	3.0	•

(2) At Z. GRUB, Km 700

(a) MOSTE DAM Breach

1	3.3	215	Within banks	1.0	3/4
2	3.4	•	•	1.1	•
3	3.9	220	•	1.4	•
4	3.4	215	•	1.1	1-1/4
5	3.6	•	•	1.2	1-1/2
6	3.7	220	•	1.3	3/4

(b) LJUBLJANA Barrier Breach

7	4.7	220	Bankfull	1.8	26
8	3.4	215	Within banks	1.1	7
9	6.4	240	1.5	2.5	12
10	4.1	220	Within banks	1.5	4

(c) DOLSKO GORGE Barrier Breach

11	9.6	450	4.5	3.5	2
12	5.3	230	0.5	2.1	•
13	6.5	550/2000*	6.5	4.0	•
14	5.8	230	1.0	2.3	1

(3) At STARA GRADSKA, Km 460

(a) MOSTE DAM Breach

1-6 Insignificant effects

(b) LJUBLJANA Barrier Breach

7	9.1	210/1000*	0.5	1.1	28
8	6.7	195	Within banks	0.9	8
9	9.3	210/1000*	0.5	1.1	13
10	7.0	200	Within banks	0.9	6

(c) DOLSKO GORGE Barrier Breach

11	10.4	220/2000*	1.5	1.2	3
12	7.5	200	Bankfull	0.9	2
13	10.7	230/2000*	2.0	1.2	3
14	7.7	200	Bankfull	0.9	2

*Levees intact; levees breached

IV-11

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

4-04 STREAM FLOW VARIATIONS.

a. General. The studies in this paragraph pertain to the artificial flooding that might be produced along the SAVI River by release of water from the outlets of MOSTE DAM (km 904). These flow variations may be repeated to produce cyclic effects, dependent upon the replenishment of the reservoir storage. Reference is made to paragraph 2-10 and Exhibit B for description of the outlets and to Plate 7 for sketches of MOSTE DAM. Insufficient data were available to permit similar studies of the effect of releases from the other hydro-electric projects listed in Table 4.

b. Hydrologic Considerations. Reference is made to paragraph 4-03b for discussion of the influence of natural stream flow and initial reservoir pool elevation on artificial flooding effects. It is significant that the reservoir of MOSTE DAM can be refilled to full capacity in 5 days under mean water conditions.

c. Means of Creating Detrimental Flow Variations. Sudden opening of the control gates on the bottom outlet tunnel of MOSTE DAM (shown on Fig. 2 of Plate 7), would release an estimated 108 m³/sec with the reservoir stage at 514 m.u.s.. That corresponds to the elevation of the first stage of construction described in paragraph 2-10 and Exhibit B. The estimated discharge would be 125 m³/sec with the water surface at 523.5 m.u.s., the elevation of the proposed ultimate dam crest. The discharge capacity of the power outlet is relatively small. Available information indicates that the possibilities of appreciably increasing regulated discharge by temporary by-passing, alteration, or dismantling of the outlet appurtenances are slight.

d. Effects of Detrimental Flow Variations.

(1) General. The effects of detrimental flow variations produced by releases from the outlets of MOSTE DAM are summarized on page 3 of Table 7. Representative discharge hydrographs are shown on Plate 24. Flow variations are designated for purposes of identification as follows:

<u>Artificial</u> <u>Flood No.</u>	<u>Pool Elev.</u> <u>(m.u.s.)</u>	<u>Discharge</u> <u>(m³/sec)</u>	<u>Storage</u> <u>(mil.m³)</u>	<u>Filling</u> <u>Time</u> <u>(days)</u>
15	514	108	2.3	2
16	523.5	125	6.89	5

(2) Artificial Flood No. 15 is the result of discharge from the bottom outlet of MOSTE DAM, with the pool at 514 m.u.s., the elevation of the dam crest for the first stage of construction. The peak discharge of 108 m³/sec would result in an increase of 49 m³/sec over base flow at ZIGREB (km 700), as shown on Plate 24. River stages would be increased only about 0.2 m at that location, and would remain within banks throughout the downstream travel of the flood, as indicated in Table 7.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
IV-12

SECRET

4-04d

SECURITY INFORMATION

(3) Artificial Flood No. 16 involves the outlet discharge from MOSTE DAM with the pool at elevation 523.5, the proposed ultimate dam crest elevation. Peak discharge would be 125 m³/sec. The effects would not be appreciably greater than those for Flood 15, as may be seen on Plate 24 and in Table 7. At ZAGREB the base flow discharge would be exceeded by 79 m³/sec. The stage there would rise only 0.3 m and flow would remain within banks.

c. Comparison of Effects of Flow Variations. Reference is made to Table 7 for comparative summation of results. As may be seen in that table, the artificial flooding effects produced by outlet releases from MOSTE DAM are much smaller than those produced by breaching operations. Increase of reservoir stage does not appreciably increase effects of flow variations resulting from outlet discharges. A tabulation of comparative pertinent effects of the two flow variations studied, follows:

Flood No.	Peak Values					Duration Days
	Depth	Width Flooded	Overflow height	Mean Surface Vel.		
	m	m	m	m/sec		
(1) <u>At OTOC, Km 885</u>						
15	2.5	80	Within banks	2.1	1/2	
16	2.6	80	" "	2.2	3/4	
(2) <u>At LITVA, Km 813</u>						
15	2.6	160	Within banks	1.8	1/2	
16	2.7	160	" "	1.9	1	
(3) <u>At ZAGREB, Km 700</u>						
15	3.2	215	Within banks	1.0	3/4	
16	3.3	215	" "	1.0	1-1/4	

4-05 ARTIFICIAL FLOODING POTENTIALITIES OF CANALS AND LAKES.

a. Canals. Since there are no navigation canals in the area, artificial flooding can not be produced from that source. Blocking of drainage canals coupled with breaching of dikes and destruction of drainage pumps could create "Drainage Obstacles," as described in paragraph 4-02.

b. Lakes. Approximately 10 million m³ could be stored in the BORINJSKO JEZERO, assuming that the lake level could be raised and retained at 3 m above normal. Similarly, about one-half million m³ could be stored in LAKE BLED, at 0.4 m above normal stage. These stages approximate the maximum levels attained during the period of record 1902-1946 for the former and 1896-1946 for the latter. (See Reference 27). Inefficient information is available to determine the feasibility of such water retention in these headwater lakes of the SAVA River Basin, or the rate of discharge if the water were suddenly released. However, it might be estimated that the discharge would not exceed 150 m³/sec. That would not be sufficient to create appreciable flow variations. The volume of water so stored is small compared to natural flow volumes in the SAVA River but could supplement other sources of water supply for stillwater barriers, described in paragraph 4-02.

IV-13 **SECRET**
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

4-06 SUMMARY.

The artificial flooding potentialities of the SAVA River described in preceding paragraphs 4-01 to 4-05 are herein summarized:

a. Temporary damming at suitable bridge openings or other constrictions would create still-water obstacles of variable sizes. Resulting flooding would be slight in reaches above ZAGREB (km 700). Inundated areas 18 to 60 km long, 2 to 10 km wide, and averaging over 1 meter deep could be created at LJUBLJANA (km 852) or at several locations downstream from ZAGREB. Blocking of normal drainage facilities would create marshy drainage obstacles covering large areas in the LJUBLJANA Basin and in the lower reaches of the SAVA River. The inundation effects are summarized in Table 6 and described in paragraph 4-02. Locations and extent of still-water barriers are indicated on Plate 18.

b. Breaching of the MOSTE DAM (Km 904) would create artificial flood waves in the SAVA River. Due to the limited storage capacity of that reservoir and to the narrow width of the gorge at the damsite, wave heights would not be dangerously large. Reference is made to paragraph 4-03 for detailed discussion and to Table 7 for summation of effects. A tabulation of the range of pertinent effects for the floods studied follows:

Item	Unit	OTOČE (Km 885)	ZAGREB (Km 700)
Amplitude of wave	m	0.7 - 1.4	0.3 - 0.9
Duration of wave	days	1/4 - 1	3/4 - 1-1/2
Rate of Rise	m/hr	0.35 - 0.7	0.03 - 0.1
Time of Crest	hr	3	28 - 32
Overbank depth	m	Within banks	Within banks
Width flooded	m	85 - 130	215 - 220
Max. mean surface velocity	m/sec	2.3 - 2.5	1.0 - 1.4

c. Breaching of a temporary barrier at LJUBLJANA (Km 852) would create significant major artificial flood waves in the SAVA River. Effects would be appreciable as far downstream as BRNO (Km 225). See paragraph 4-03 for discussion and Table 7 for summary of effects. A tabulation of the range of these effects follows:

Item	Unit	LITJEL (Km 813)	STARA-GRADIŠKA (Km 460)
Amplitude of wave	m	0.5 - 4.8	0.3 - 2.9
Duration of wave	days	4 - 25	6 - 28
Rate of Rise	m/hr	0.04 - 0.5	0.005 - 0.04
Time of Crest	hr	12 - 14	108 - 125
Overbank depth	m	Within banks-2.0	Within banks-0.5
Width flooded	m	160 - 230	195 - 1000
Max. mean surface velocity	m/sec	2.4 - 3.0	0.9 - 1.1

d. Breaching of a temporary high dam or barrier erected in DOLSKO GORGE (Km 832) would also create major artificial flood waves in

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

the S.V. River. The magnitude of effects is largely dependent upon the height of the barrier. For heights of barrier in excess of about 15 m, appreciable effects would be felt as far downstream as BACVO (Km 225). Discussion is contained in paragraph 4-03 and summary of effects is included in Table 7. A tabulation of the range of effects follows:

Item	Unit	LITLJA (Km 813)	SLAR-GRADIŠKA (Km 460)
Amplitude of wave	m	3.8-(over 9.5)	1.1 - 4.3
Duration of wave	days	1	2 - 3
Rate of Rise	m/hr	0.5-(over 2)	0.02 - 0.08
Time of Crest	hr	6 - 9	96 - 105
Overbank depth	m	1.0-(over 7)	Bankfull - 2.0
Width flooded	m	200-(over 300)	200 - 2000
Max. mean surface vol.	m/sec	3.0-(over 3)	0.9 - 1.2

e. Flow variations of small magnitude could be produced in the upper reaches of the S.V. River by opening the controlled outlets of MOSTE DAM (Km 904). Cyclic variations could be repeated at 2 to 5 day intervals. Reference is made to paragraph 4-04 and Table 7. A tabulation of effects follows:

Item	Unit	OTOCE (Km 885)	ZAGREB (Km 700)
Amplitude of rise	m	0.5 - 0.6	0.2 - 0.3
Duration of rise	days	1/2 - 1	3/4 - 1-1/4
Rate of Rise	m/hr	0.1	0.02
Time of Crest	hr	6 - 9	31 - 36
Overbank depth	m	Within banks	Within banks
Width flooded	m	80	215
Max. mean surface vol.	m/sec	2.1 - 2.2	1.0

f. Demolition or failure of the temporary dams used for still-water barriers discussed in paragraph 4-02 would produce flood waves of short duration and magnitude. Significant effects would not be produced except in the reaches several kilometers below the destroyed barrier. Failure of such temporary dams might be caused by flow overtopping the structure. Therefore, adequate relief spillways or outlets should be provided.

g. The effects of artificial flood waves or flow variations depend largely upon the base flow (i.e., the flow in the stream before arrival of the flood). The studies presented in this report were based upon an assumed base flow approximating mean water conditions. The following tabulation illustrates the comparative effects produced by Flood No. 7 at ZAGREB (Km 700) with base flows of 250 m³/sec (mean water flow as shown in Table 7) and 1000 m³/sec:

Item	Unit	ZAGREB		Source
(1) Base Flow	m ³ /sec	250	1000	Assumed values
(2) Discharge Increase	m ³ /sec	530	530	Table 7
(3) Crest Discharge	m ³ /sec	780	1530	(2) plus (3)
(4) Initial Ogee Height	cm	35	255	From Plate 15 for (2)
(5) Crest Ogee Height	cm	200	355	From Plate 15 for (2)
(6) Stage Increase	m	1.7	1.0	(4) minus (5)
(7) Initial Mean Surface Vol.	m/sec	0.3	2.1	From Plate 15 for (4)
(8) Crest Mean Surface Vol.	m/sec	1.8	2.5	From Plate 15 for (5)
(9) Velocity Increase	m/sec	1.0	0.4	(7) minus (8)

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECTION V
EFFECT ON MILITARY OPERATIONS

5-01 GENERAL.

The purpose of this section is to assist military planning personnel in estimating the relative value and effect of artificial floods upon associated military factors such as bridging, ferrying, and trafficability. The effects of artificial floods upon military operations may vary greatly, depending on hydrologic and weather conditions, the tactical and logistical situation, and the type of equipment involved. Reference is made to Section IV for discussion of the hydraulic features associated with artificial flooding.

5-02 CHARACTERISTICS OF MILITARY BRIDGING.

a. The loading capacities of standard U. S. Army floating bridging, for conditions classified as "Safe, Caution, and Risk Crossings," for various current velocities are tabulated in Table 8. Included are the current velocities which are presumed to destroy the bridge in place with no load, the values ranging from 9 to 16 feet per second (i.e., about 2.7 to 4.9 m/sec). Table 8 is primarily based on data contained in References 28 and 29.

b. It should be noted that the velocities shown in Table 8 represent general averages. The ability of floating bridges to withstand current velocities depends upon numerous variable factors, such as special provisions for securing the bridge, the rate of change in river stage, direction and variability of current, debris carried by the stream and other considerations. Standard bridging has been successfully utilized under conditions more severe than indicated in Table 8, and has failed under apparently less critical velocity.

5-03 EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOODING DURING ACTUAL CROSSING OPERATIONS.

No information is available regarding details of actual military river crossings of the streams in the SAVA River Basin, nor of the observed influence of artificial flooding upon such operations.

5-04 EFFECT OF STILL-WATER BARRIERS AND DRAINAGE OBSTACLES.

a. Reference is made to paragraphs 4-02 and 4-06 for discussion of the hydraulic features associated with formation and augmentation of water obstacles by means of temporary damming operations or by disruption of normal drainage.

b. Bridging and ferrying operations within the backwater reaches upstream from the temporary dams would be hindered by reason of the resulting greater width and depth of crossing, indicated in Table 6 and on Plate 18. Approach trafficability would be reduced by the shallow overbank flooding, and the increased stream depths would hinder fording of the affected reaches of the river. Since the

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

resulting increased water obstacles would not be continuous along the streams (as illustrated on Plate 18), still-water barriers must be combined with other natural obstacles and with tactical operations in order to channelize military action.

c. Shallow inundation, even of short duration, over the wide flat LJUBLJANA plain would probably reduce overland trafficability long after the end of such flooding. That plain is naturally marshy and any disruption of the extensive artificial drainage facilities would quickly cause it to revert to that state. The main roads and railroads are located on embankments and would probably be unaffected except in isolated low-lying spots or where culverts or bridges were demolished.

d. Any inundation of the low-lying land along the lower reaches of the SAVA River, such as that shown on Plate 18 as created by still-water barriers, would reduce overland trafficability for extended periods. That region has inadequate drainage and is naturally inundated or marshy for most of the year as a result of natural floods. Consequently, very few roads or railroads have been built in those areas.

e. Continuous military support of the temporary dam installations would be necessary to prevent their destruction by enemy air or ground action. Destruction of a temporary dam would release a flood wave of short duration that would temporarily hinder crossing operations below the structure and which might cause progressive failure of other downstream structures.

f. Breaching of levees would be necessary in some cases; while, in others, blocking of culverts and drainage outlets would be required in addition to temporary damming operations in order to create effective still-water barriers and drainage obstacles.

5-05 EFFECT OF MAJOR FLOOD WAVES.

a. Reference is made to paragraphs 4-03 and 4-06 for discussion of the hydraulic features associated with creation of major flood waves by means of breaching of MOSTE DAM and of temporary barriers at LJUBLJANA and DOLSKO GORJE.

b. Breaching of MOSTE DAM would create artificial flood waves. However, the associated damage to bridges or dams would probably not be large. The temporary increased river stage and velocity would not be sufficiently large to seriously interfere with crossing operations, except for less than 20 km below the dam. While little overbank flooding would be created, velocities in that reach probably could become swift enough to hinder bridging operations.

c. Breaching of MOSTE DAM or of other hydro-electric structures would seriously disrupt the electrical power supply of important industrial and urban areas such as the JESENICE steel mills.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

d. Breaching of a temporary dam or barrier on the LJUBLJANA River could create artificial flood waves that would interfere with stream crossing operations and endanger equipment and floating bridges along the SAVA River nearly to ZAGREB. Insufficient data are available regarding structural features of existing bridges and dams to permit estimate of the degree of destruction. The flood wave would reduce trafficability over the extensive flat areas along the lower reaches of the SAVA River downstream of ZAGREB.

e. Breaching of a high temporary barrier across the SAVA River near the upstream entrance to the DOLESKO GORGE would produce artificial flood waves of considerable effectiveness. The resulting stages and velocities would be extremely high in the gorge and would probably destroy or damage existing bridges and seriously interfere with any crossing operations and possibly hinder rail and highway traffic through the 75 km long gorge. Below ZAGREB, overbank flooding would be extensive (if levees are also breached) and trafficability would be reduced. Breach of a high barrier might be difficult. Also, premature failure or destruction of the temporary barrier might occur, releasing a flood wave earlier than desirable.

f. Breaching of levees and destruction of drainage facilities might be necessary in some cases in order to fully exploit the maximum possible effectiveness of artificial flood waves.

g. Military support of the permanent or temporary dam installations would be necessary to prevent their destruction by enemy air or ground action. Such destruction would prematurely release flood waves that could hinder action by our forces below the structure. Deliberate demolition of dams or barriers would prevent their use by the enemy in producing detrimental major flood waves or flow variations during a later critical period.

5-06 EFFECT OF FLOW VARIATIONS.

a. Reference is made to paragraphs 4-04 and 4-06 for discussion of the possible detrimental flow variations that could be created on the SAVA River by means of regulated discharge from MOSTE DAM. The resulting flow conditions are summarized in Table 7.

b. Release of water from the outlets of MOSTE DAM or of other hydro-electric projects would produce flow variations in the SAVA River downstream of the structures. The magnitude would not be large enough to interfere seriously with military operations. However, the increased stage and velocity would inconvenience floating bridging or crossing operations, especially if cyclic releases are affected.

c. Water stored in the reservoirs of MOSTE DAM and other hydro-electric projects could be released to provide a supplementary supply of water for still-water barriers previously discussed in paragraphs 4-04 and 5-04. Similar use might be made of BOHINJSKO JEZERO and LAKE BLED storage, as described in paragraph 4-05.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

d. Destruction of the outlets and machinery of the hydro-electric dams would seriously disrupt the electric power supply of the JISSENICE steel mills and other industrial and urban areas. Opening of the outlets and destruction of the regulating gates and valves to drain the reservoirs would have a similar effect.

5-07 EFFECTS RELATED TO OTHER BASINS.

a. Artificial flooding along the SAVA River could be coordinated with similar operations on other nearby river basins to create simultaneous or progressive water obstacles affecting military action. Specific reference is made to studies on the DRAVA River Basin and rivers of the NORTH ITALIAN PLAIN currently being undertaken by this office.

b. Retention of water in the reservoirs of the SAVA River Basin during drought periods could reduce navigable stages on the SAVA River and in the IRON GAP reach of the DANUBE River. The storage capacities of the existing reservoirs are probably insufficient to exert an appreciable effect. However, upon construction of the proposed reservoirs in this basin, as described in Exhibit A, the effectiveness of such operations would be increased.

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
BIBLIOGRAPHY

**Reference
No.**

Document

1. "Study of the River Sava." Allied Force Headquarters, October 1944.
2. "National Intelligence Survey (NIS-21) Yugoslavia, Section 33, Inland Waterways." Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D. C., July 1951.
3. "Middle Danube Area, Waterways," I.S.T.D./D/328. Inter-Service Topographical Department, June 1944.
4. "Preliminary Report, Strategic Engineering Study No. 82, Yugoslavia-Vol. 2, Terrain Intelligence." Prepared by Section of Military Geology, U.S. Geological Survey; published by Intelligence Branch, Office, Chief of Engineers, August 1943.
5. "Yugoslavia, Vol. I-III," B.R. 493, Geographic Handbook. Naval Intelligence Division, British Admiralty, October 1944.
6. "Middle Danube Area, Topography," I.S.T.D./D/327. Inter-Service Topographical Department, June 1944.
7. "Yugoslavian Rivers." G-2, Hq. U.S.F.A., August 1951.
8. "A Military-Geographic Study of Yugoslavian River Basins, by unknown German or Austrian source, filed in Army Map Service Library as E.I.F. 156714.
9. "Military Geography of Hungary & Border States," by Major Endre Somogyi, Hungarian General Staff, 1930. (English translation by G-2, U. S. Army, November 1950).
10. "Allgemeine Beschreibung der Save von Radmannsdorf bis zur Mündung und ihre Nebenflüsse exclusive Drina" (General Description of the Sava from Radmannsdorf to the Mouth, and its tributaries, exclusive of the Drina). Austrian-Hungarian Military Geographic Section, 1904.
11. "Jugoslavijska-Zemljepisni Pregled" (Yugoslavia-Geographic Review). by Dr. Anton Volik, Ljubljana, April 1949.
12. "Italo-Yugoslav Boundary" by A. E. Huxley. London, 1945.

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

BIBLIOGRAPHY (Continued)

- | Reference
No. | <u>Document</u> |
|------------------|---|
| 13. | "Podaci, za Regulaciju Save i Melioraciju Posavlju" (Report on Regulation of Sava River and Melioration of Its Region), by August Pl. Pisović i Stevan Bukl., Min. Poljoprivrede i Voda, Zagreb 1919. |
| 14. | "Saopštenja-Sa Prvog Savetovanja Stručnjaka Jugoslavije-O Visokim Branama" (Transactions-The First Meeting of the Yugoslav National Committee on Large Dams) 18-23 September 1950, Zagreb. |
| 15. | "Izvestai-O Padavinama za 1948 Godina" (Report-precipitation for year 1948). Savezna Uprava Hidrometeorološke Službe F.N.R.J., Beograd, 1951. |
| 16. | "Tactical Study of the Weather and Terrain N. W. Yugoslavia." Engr. Hq. U.S.F.M., June 1951. |
| 17. | "National Intelligence Survey-Hungary, Albania and Yugoslavia-Section 23, Weather and Climate, MIS 19-21," Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D. C., March 1951. |
| 18. | "Jahrbuch des K. K. Hydrographischen Zentralbureaus, 1893-1912." (Yearbook of the Austrian Hydrologic Office). Vienna (published annually). |
| 19. | "Godišnjak o Vodostajima, 1943-1944 Godine" (River Stages Yearbook 1943-1944). Savezna Uprava Hidrometeorološke Službe, F.N.R.J., Zagreb 1944. |
| 20. | "Monografia Geografico-Militare sui D'Occupazione della Crozia e Bosnia" (Geographic-Military Monograph for the Occupied Territory of Croatia and Bosnia)..
~~Comando Corps D'Armata Caltre, Padova 1941.~~ |
| 21. | Statistički Godišnjak - (Statistical Annual). Kingdom of Yugoslavia, (published annually in period World War I-II). |
| 22. | "Stream Current Velocities, Special Study 5-51-3." Military Hydrology R&D Branch, Washington District, Corps of Engineers, 5 October 1951. |
| 23. | "National Intelligence Survey, Yugoslavia, Section 62, Fuels and Power, Chap. VI, MIS 21" Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D. C., October 1951. |

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

BIBLIOGRAPHY (Continued)

- | <u>Reference</u>
<u>No.</u> | <u>Document</u> |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 24. | • "Progress Report on the Disposition of Sediment in Reservoirs," by L. W. Van't Hul, Bureau of Reclamation, U. S. Dept. of Interior, January 1950. |
| 25. | • "Zerstörung und Schutz von Talsperren, und Dämmen" (Destruction of Dams and Levees) by O. Kirschner. Schweizerische Bauzeitung, 14 May 1949, pp 277-81 and 300-03 (a translation has been prepared and distributed by Military Hydrology R&D Branch, Washington District, Corps of Engineers, Washington D. C.). |
| 26. | • "Mosier River System: Hydraulic Effects of Demolition of Bier Dam," Vols. I & II, Special Study S-51-4. Military Hydrology R&D Branch, Engineering Division Washington District, Corps of Engineers, Washington D. C., March 1952. |
| 27. | • "Kolebanje vodne gladine v Bohinjskem in Blejskem jezern" (Stage variations in Bohinjsko and Bled Lakes) by Rajko Gradnik contained in "Geografski Vestnik-Casopis za Geografijo in Sorodne Vede" (Review of the Geographical Society of Ljubljana), edited by Anton Melik, University of Ljubljana, Yugoslavia, Annual Publication 1917-1919, 1945-1947 |
| 28. | • "Military Hydrology Report on the Rhine River (Project 'Windrop')." Hydrology and Hydraulics Branch, Engineering Division, Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington D. C., 20 July 1951. |
| 29. | • "Engineering Tests of M-4 Floating Bridge Equipment, Report 1135, Project 8-67-07-008, Engineering R&D Engineer Center, Ft. Belvoir, Va., 5 August 1949. |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by F. B. Barkalow and J. D. Brower under the supervision of R. L. Irwin, Chief, Military Hydrology R&D Branch, with the technical assistance of B. G. Baker, V. Battani, H. Buchler, J. J. Herring, and O. W. Kabelac. Typing and stenciling was done by Mrs. H. J. Leonard and reproduction work by personnel of the Washington District Office, Corps of Engineers. Certain technical assistance was furnished by various personnel of the Office, Chief of Engineers.

Considerable assistance in locating and collecting source material was provided by personnel of the following agencies: the Document and Book Sections, Army Map Service Library; the Eastern Europe and USSR Branch and the Liaison Section, Engineer Strategic Intelligence Division, Army Map Service; the Photo Coverage Branch, Technical Intelligence Division and the Map Intelligence Division, Army Map Service; the Military Geology Branch, U. S. Geological Survey; the Industrial Registrar, Central Intelligence Agency; the U. S. Weather Bureau Library; the Department of Agriculture Library; the Library of Congress; and the Library of the Washington District, Corps of Engineers.

TABLE I
EQUIVALENT ENGLISH-METRIC TERMS

To reduce A to B, multiply A by F. To reduce B to A, multiply B by G

Unit A	Factor F	Factor G	Unit B
<u>LENGTH</u>			
Miles	1.60935	.62137	Kilometers
Meters	3.2808	.30480	Feet
Meters	39.370	.025400	Inches
<u>AREA</u>			
Square Miles	2.590	.3861	Square Kilometer
Square Miles	259.000	.0038610	Hectares
Hectares	2.47104	.40469	Acres
Acres	4046.9	.00024710	Square Meters
<u>VOLUME</u>			
Cubic Meters	35.3145	.028317	Cubic Feet
Cubic Feet	28.317	.035314	Liters
Acro-foot	43560.	.000022957	Cubic Feet
Acro-foot	1233.5	.00081071	Cubic Meters
<u>DISCHARGE</u>			
Cubic feet per second	1.9835	.50417	Acro-foot per 24
Cubic meters per second	35.3145	.028317	Cubic-foot per :
<u>VELOCITY</u>			
Miles per hour	1.60935	.62137	Kilometers per :
Miles per hour	1.4667	.68182	Feet per second
Meters per second	3.2808	.30480	Feet per second
Meters per second	2.2369	.44704	Miles per hour
Meters per second	3.600	.2778	Kilometers per :
Feet per second	1.097	.9113	Kilometers per :
<u>WEIGHT</u>			
Tons (metric)	1.102	.9072	Tons (short)
Tons (long)	1.016	.9842	Tons (metric)
Tons (metric)	2205.	.0004536	Pounds (avoirdu
Tons (metric)	1000.	.001	Kilograms

TABLE 2
HYDROLOGIC TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS
(In accordance with German practice)

Engl.- Tidal Stage	High- Tide Stage	Low- Tide Stage	Rate of Discharge (m ³ /sec)	Discharge per Unit area (l./sec-km ²)		Definition
				HMQ	MSQ	
HQ	HMQ	HMTW	HQ	HMQ	HQ	Highest value over known or observed
MQ	MQW	MTW	MQ	MQ	HQ	Highest value observed during a stated period of time
MSQ	MSQW	MTW	MSQ	MSQ	MSQ	The mean high value during a stated period, derived by averaging the highest values of each unit time element (i.e. 15W 1926/35 is average of the 10 yearly peak stages)
M	MW	MTW	M	M	M	The mean (arithmetical average) of all observations during a stated time period
MS	MSW	MTW	MSQ	MSQ	MSQ	The mean low value during a stated period, derived by averaging the lowest values of each unit time element (15W 1926/35 is the average of the 10 yearly lowest stages)
M	MTW	MTW	M	M	M	Lowest value observed during a stated period of time
MS	MSW	MTW	MSQ	MSQ	MSQ	Lowest value over known or observed

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA

Station Number	Name of Gaging Station		U.T.M. Grid Coordinates	River Km	Drainage Area Km ²	Approximate "Top of Bank" M.S.L. (Meters above Adriatic Sea)		Gage Zero (1)	Date (1)	Minimum		Period
	Yugoslavian	Austrian				M.S.L.	M.S.L.			MMW CM(1)	M/sec(1)	
SAVA DOLINA (KIRCHEN SAVE) RIVER												
1	JAVORNIK	(JAVORNIK)	VK 295415	908	270.4	525	523	523.401	18-20 Mar 1909	44	0.3	1907-19
2	HELD BRIDGE	(VELIKESHTRICK)	304259	898	898.1	433	428.2	429.000	15-20 Mar 1909	24	8	1903-19
3	STVENI DUK	(HEILIGEN GEIST KIRCHE)	126256	908	57.8	530	-	525.397 525.40	24-25 Feb 1909 18 Feb-10 Mar 29	6 -5	-	1902-19
4	SAVA DUBINICA (KUCHENIKER SAVE) RIVER	(SUDICE)	-	909	323.3	-	475.7	476.639	30-31 Dec 1910	26	0.4	1903-19
5	HELD	(VELDES)	310350	-	9.3	-	-	475.605 475.67	4 Feb 1896 4 Feb 1896	-6 -6	0.5	1903-19
LJUBLJANA (LAIBACH) RIVER												
6	VRHNICA	(OBERLAIBACH)	VL 458909	870	1241.7	290	285.7	287.807	3 Aug 1872	66	1.2	1899-19
7	PODPEK		550917	865	-	290	285.0	285.667	15 Sep 1911	-16	-	1903-19
8	LJUBLJANA	(LAIBACH)	618995	852	1072.9	290	284.8	287.837	15 Oct 1890	-238	17	1899-19
9		(OBENKASCHAL)	VK 688000	840	-	270	265.6	-	12-18 Feb 1911	170	-	1909-19

NOTES:

* Data

Co

(1) Un

(2) De

(3) Es

(4) 20

(5) De

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA

U.S.M. Grid Coordinates	Drainage Area Sq. Mi.	Approximate Top of Peak M, U.S.A. (Meters above Adriatic Sea)	Approximate Elevation Meters	Gage Zero (1)	Date (1)	Minimum		Period	Mean		Date	Maximum	
						MM CM(1)	HHQ M ² /sec (2)		MM CM	HHQ M ² /sec (3)		MM CM	HHQ M ² /sec (3)
VN 293415	270.4	525	523	523.401	18-20 Mar 1909	14	0.3	1907-1912	72	11-18	10 Dec 1910	160	200
334353	698	433	428.2	429.060	15-20 Mar 1909	-24	8	1907-1911	18	30-50	16 Jun 1901	245	450
126255	57.8	530	-	525.397 525.40	24-25 Feb 1909 18 Feb-10 Mar 29*	6 -5*	-	1902-1911	42	5-8	20 Nov 1905 30 Nov 1933*	175 374*	-
-	123.3	-	475.7	476.639	30-31 Dec 1910	26	0.4	1903-1912	53	3-5	9 Dec 1910	245	-
310350	9.3	-	-	425.665 475.67 *	4 Feb 1896 4 Feb 1896*	-6 -6*	0.5	1907-1912	6	-	19 Jun 1907 31 Oct 1926*	40 50*	-
VL 438509	1241.7	290	285.7	287.807	3 Aug 1872	-166	12	1899-1908	-35	-	10 Nov 1851	340	-
456317	-	290	285.0	285.667	15 Sep 1911	-16	-	1903-1912	105	-	22 Dec 1909	400	-
618995	1072.9	290	284.8	287.837	15 Oct 1890	-238	17	1899-1908	-139	24	29 Oct 1895	146	205
VN 662000	-	270	265.6	-	12-18 Feb 1911	170	-	1909-1911	233	-	15 Jun 1911	370	-

Prepared by Military Hydrology R&B Branch
Washington Dist., Corps of Engineers

NOTES:

- * Based on 1944 "Godinjak e Vodenastajima, 1943-1944
Godine"
- (1) Unless otherwise noted, Data for stations No. 1-25,
incl., are based on 1912 "Jahrbuch des K.K. Hydro-
graphischen Zentralbureaus"; stations No. 26-45,
incl., on "Podaci, za Regijacijske Save i Melioracijsku
Posavljia," 1919
- (2) Data from 1941 "Monografia Geografico-Militare sul
Territorio d'Occupazione Grezia e Bosnia"
- (3) Estimated Discharge - See para. 3-05
- (4) Zone 34 Grid
- (5) Danube Grid

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED

TABLE 3

SECURITY INFORMATION SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA (Continued)

Station Number	Name of Gaging Station		U.T.M. Zone Coordinates	River Km	Drainage Area Km ²	Approximate Top of Bank M.S.L. (Meters above Adriatic Sea)		Oage Zero (1)	Date (1)	Minimum		Per
	Slovenian	Austrian				M.S.L.	Stream bed			NNW CM(1)	NNQ m3/sec(3)	
10	RAVNI VILICA	(RADJANSKO VOP)	VM 358324	893	887.9	417	384.3	385.510 409.12 *	1-2 Jan 1907 1929-1932 *	-37 -40 *	8	1898-1
11	OLIMBEC	(OLIMBEC)	336303	888	-	395	376	-	21-25 Feb 1909	19	-	1909-1
12	OTOC	(OTOC)	413292	885	-	387	370	-	24-25 Feb 1909	29	-	1909-1
13	KVANG	(KRAJINSKO)	502209	871	1229.7	347.5	345	347.066 348.06 *	31 Jan-1 Feb 09 24 Sep 1944 *	-196 -18 *	13	1899-1
14	BRLENIK	(BRLENIK)	558127	861	1523.8	330	320	320.244	12 Aug 1905	-12	15	1903-1
15	TRON	(TRON)	555073	852	2201.6	300	296	298.040 297.96 *	20 Feb-2 May 09 20 Feb 1942 *	-196 -344 *	20	1899-1
16	BRUNČ	(BRUNČ)	630055	847	2274.4	290	283.4	285.244	15-28 Feb 1909	-12	21	1898-1
17	SV. JAKOB	(SV. JAKOB)	675035	842	2301.6	275	272	273.284	14 Mar 189	-67	33	1899-1
18	SLAVICA	(SLAVICA)	760035	830	4902.6	262	253	253.635	13-15 Dec 1899	-30	41	1899-1
19	LITVA	(LITVA)	865006	813	4967.3	235	230	231.716 231.72 *	25 Sep 1850 1 Oct 1922 *	-26 -26 *	41	1902-1
20	ZAGORJE	(ZAGORJE)	993075	798	5153.7	220	207.1	211.650	28 Feb-1 Mar 09	9	42	1902-1
21	RAVČE	(RAVČE)	WM 140020	779	7235.1	-	182.5	185.046 185.15 *	10-11 Nov 1908 1921-1932 *	-12 -21 *	42	1909-1
22	SLAVICA	(LICHTENWALD)	WL 240947	763	7668.1	-	166.3	168.623	25 Oct 1908	-19	42	1902-1
23	TRON	(TRON)	372900	747	7808.5	-	154.6	156.422 156.44 *	3 Sep 1853 21 Sep 1944 *	-36 -191	43	1899-1
24	TRON	(TRON)	460830	735	7988.3	-	139.9	141.918	23 May 1870	-55	49	1893-1 1928-1

Prepared

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA (Continued)

U.S.N. Grid Coordinates	River	Drainage Area Km ²	Approximate Elevation of Bank M.B.A. (Meters above Adriatic Sea)	Approximate Stream Bed	Gage Zero (1)	Minimum		Mean		Maximum		
						Date (1)	MM CM(1)	MM CM	MS(3)	Date	MM CM	MS/sec(3)
VH 358324	893	887.9	417	384.3	395.510 1/9.12 *	1-2 Jan 1907 1929-1932 *	-37 -40*	18	35-55	26 June 1900 29 Nov 1923 *	276 305*	450
396303	888	-	395	376	-	21-25 Feb 1909	19	83	40-70	10 Dec 1910	310	450
413292	885	-	387	370	-	24-25 Feb 1909	29	64	40-70	10 Dec 1910	216	450
502269	871	1229.7	347.5	345	347.066 348.06*	31 Jan-1 Feb 09 24 Sep 1944*	-196 -188*	-26	44-72	10 Dec 1910 30 Oct 1926*	210 400*	450
558127	861	1523.8	330	320	320.244	12 Aug 1905	-12	32	50-85	17 Nov 1901	280	460
595073	852	2201.6	300	296	298.040 297.96 *	20 Feb-2 May 09 20 Feb 1942*	-196 -244*	-40	75-120	20 Oct 1896 30 Oct 1926*	380 180*	800
630033	847	2274.4	290	283.4	285.264	15-28 Feb 1909	-12	50	77-123	20 Oct 1896	589	850
673035	842	2241.6	275	272	273.284	14 Mar 1899	-67	83	78-125	18 Oct 1907	405	850
788035	830	4502.6	262	253	253.635	13-15 Dec 1899	-30	81	150-250	16 Nov 1901	350	1060
865000	813	4987.3	235	230	231.716 231.72 *	25 Sep 1850 1 Oct 1922*	-26 -26*	68	150-250	4 Oct 1851	601	1340
993075	798	5183.7	220	207.1	211.650	28 Feb-1 Mar 09	9	106	150-260	16 Nov 1901	665	1500
VH 100020	779	7235.1	-	182.5	185.045 185.16 *	10-11 Nov 1908 1921-1932 *	-12 -21*	71	175-280	21 Dec 1909 23 Nov 1933*	530 725*	1560
VH 210947	765	7658.1	-	166.3	166.623	25 Oct 1908	-19	81	175-285	16 Nov 1901	620	1570
377900	747	7093.5	-	154.6	156.422 156.44 *	3 Sep 1853 21 Sep 1944*	-36 -191	39	184-280	6 May 1851 23 Sep 1933*	549 745*	1570
480430	735	7988.3	-	139.9	141.918	23 May 1870	-55	92	184-280	24 Sep 1933(2)	510(2)	1600

Prepared by Military Hydrology R&D Branch
Wash. Dist., Corps of Engineers
NOTES: See Page 1, Table 3

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA (Continued)

Station Number	Name of Gaging Station		U.S.M. Grid Coordinates	River Km	Drainage Area Km ²	Approximate Top of Bank M.S.L. (Meters above Adriatic Sea)		Gage Zero (1)	Date (1)	Minimum HNW GN(1) M ³ /sec(3)	
	Jugoslavian	Austrian				M.S.L.	(Meters above Adriatic Sea)				
25	ČAČAK	(ČAČAK)	WL 470025	732	9951.2	-	135.0	139.967 140.55 *	24 Oct 1909 9 Oct 1929 *	11 -48 *	59
26	PODUSHE		649740	713	12,200	-	120.6	122.66 120.48 *	1896-1905 21 Oct 1921 *	18 -65 *	66
27	ZAGREB	(ZAGREB)	744700	700	12,500	-	109.6	112.27 112.26 *	1896-1905 1928-1939 *	-118 -160 *	67
28	HUSTOJA		950668	663	12,800	-	93.0	95.71	1896-1905	-61	84
29	DUBROVAK		XL 050555	636	-	-	90.1	94.911	1896-1905	-196	-
30	GALENOVO		070380	595	-	-	87.5	91.528 91.47 *	1896-1905 1887 *	-214* -214	-
31	ČUČE		240310	560	24,300 (km 571)	-	83.6	89.099 89.04 *	1896-1905 15-18 Sep 1942 *	-102 -67 *	101
32	JASNOVAO		460150	508	-	-	83.5	86.750 86.82 *	1896-1905 22 Oct 1921 *	-11 -34 *	-
33	STARA-GRADISKA		770020	460	39,500	-	82.0	85.403 85.40 *	1896-1905 12-18 Sep 1942 *	-26.6 0 *	139
34	MAČKOVAC		630035	447	39,800	-	81.0	-	-	-	-
35	KOZAK		YL 155976	396	-	-	78.0	82.564 82.60 *	1896-1905 5 Oct 1920 *	34 2 *	-
36	PUŠČAVAC		255923	385	48,200	-	77.9	-	-	-	-
37	BROD		BR 650045(4)	365	-	-	77.3	81.804 81.80 *	1896-1905 3 Oct 1865 *	-18 -19 *	-

TAB 3
SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA (Continued)

U.S.N. Grid Coordinates	Drainage Area Sq. Mi.	River	Approximate Top of Bank Feet Above Atlantic Sea	Gage Zero (1)	Date		Minimum		Period	Mean		Date	Maximum	
					(1)	(1)	OH(1)	HHW		OH	HHW		OH	HHW
72 570025	5951.2		139.0	139.967 140.55 *	24 Oct 1909 9 Oct 1929 *		11 -48 *	59	1907-1912	121	200-300	22 Dec 1901 23 Sep 1931 *	485 560 *	1740
645700	12,200		120.6	122.66 120.48 *	1896-1905 21 Oct 1921 *		18 -65 *	66	1928-1937(2)	98.7(2)	230-330	18 Oct 1895 *	555 *	1890
704705	12,500		109.6	112.27 112.26 *	1896-1905 1928-1939 *		-118 -160 *	67	1928-1937(2)	13.5(2)	230-330	24 Sep 1933(2)	458(2)	1880
958665	12,800		93.0	95.71	1896-1905		-61	84	1928-1937(2)	141.5(2)	230-330	1896-1905	878	1920
64 030535	-		90.1	94.911	1896-1905		-196	-	1896-1905 1928-1937(2)	103 85.5	230-330	1896-1905	882	1920
070380	-		87.5	91.528 91.47 *	1896-1905 1887 *		-214 -214 *	-	1928-1937(2)	206.3(2)	230-330	1896-1905 1887 *	892 890 *	1920
240310	24,350 (24,371)		83.6	89.099 89.04 *	1896-1905 15-18 Sep 1942 *		-102 -67 *	101	1896-1905	335	330-400	1896-1905 9 Aug 1937 *	904 920 *	2120
480150	-		83.5	86.750 86.62 *	1896-1905 22 Oct 1921 *		-11 -34 *	-	1896-1905	382	500-610	1896-1905 16 Nov 1935 *	899 892 *	2700
770020	39,500		82.0	85.403 85.40 *	1896-1905 12-18 Sep 1942 *		-26.6 0 *	139	1896-1905	350	530-650	1896-1905 16 Jul 1944 *	840 848 *	2920
820035	39,800		81.0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155970	-		78.0	82.564 82.66 *	1896-1905 5 Oct 1920 *		34 2 *	-	1896-1905	399	640-780	1896-1905	958	3180
255925	48,200		77.5	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24 530515(4)	-		77.3	81.804 81.80 *	1896-1905 3 Oct 1885 *		-18 -19 *	-	1896-1905	344	650-810	1896-1905 16 Apr 1932 *	843 864 *	3220

Prepared by Military Hydrology Reg. Branch
Washington Dist. Corps of Engineers

NOTES: See Page 1, Table 1

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA (Continued)

Station Number	Name of Gaging Station	U.T.M. Grid Coordinates	Drainage Area Km ²	River Km	Approximate Top of Bank M.U.A. (Meters above Adriatic Sea)	Approximate Stream Bed	Gage Zero (1)	Date (1)	Minimum		Period
									GN (1)	MMQ	
38	ŽALGO	04 0353(4) 300	-		-	76.5	80.639 80.64 *	1896-1905 22 Oct 1921*	0	-	1896-19
39	ŽOPANJE	1893(4) 263	61,000 (at Km 257)		-	72.5	76.361 76.24 *	1896-1905 23 Jul 1921*	18	183	1896-19
40	PROKO	2571(4) 225	63,000		-	72.2	76.620 76.62 *	1896-1905 1 Sep 1943*	-53.5 -68	186	1896-19
41	ANJA	X 360375(5) 177	-		-	70.0	74.682	1896-1905	80	-	1896-19
42	BOSUN	400420(5) 160	-		-	65.8	72.636	Prior to 1911	1	-	5 yrs Prior to
43	MITROVICA (ALEROVITZ)	425503(5) 136	87,500		-	67.2	72.226 72.22 *	Prior to 1911 1890*	14 14*	250	1922-19
44	SENLIN	Y 152233(5) 2.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	BEOGRAD * BELGRADE	160250(5) 0	95,400		-	-	68.23 *	28 Oct 1921*	130*	-	-

NOTES:

SUMMARY OF GAGE DATA (Continued)

U.S.N. Grid Coordinates	Drainage Area Sq. Mi.	River Name	Approximate Top of Bank M.S.L. (Vertical Error Adriatic Sea)	Approximate Stream Bed M.S.L. (Vertical Error Adriatic Sea)	Gage Zero (1)	Date (1)	Minimum		Period	Mean		Date	Maximum	
							MM CH(1)	MM CH(1)		MM CH(1)	MM CH(1)		MM CH(1)	MM CH(1)
04 0353(4) 308	-	-	-	76.5	80.639 80.64 *	1896-1905 22, 23 Oct 1921*	0	-59*	1896-1905	265 800-1000	1896-1905 1878*	691 800*	3400	
1093(4) 263	61,000 (at 100 257)	-	-	72.5	76.361 76.24 *	1896-1905 23 Jul 1921*	18	-26*	1896-1905	420 825-1000	1896-1905 18 Apr 1932*	860 1013*	3420	
2571(4) 225	63,500	-	-	72.2	76.620 76.62 *	1896-1905 1 Sep 1943*	-53.5	-68*	1896-1905	353 875-1060	1896-1905 25 Jul 1923*	800 900*	3540	
X 360373(5) 177	-	-	-	70.0	74.622	1896-1905	80	-	1896-1905	342 900-1060	1896-1905	709	3580	
400420(5) 160	-	-	-	65.8	74.636	Prior to 1911	1	-	5 yr. prior to 1904	396 1180-1400	Prior to 1911	810	4000	
425603(5) 136	87,500	-	-	67.2	72.226 72.22 *	Prior to 1911 1890*	14	14*	1922-1932	319 1180-1450	Prior to 1911 12 Dec 1944*	703 768*	4050	
Y 152733(5) 2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100250(5) 0	95,000	-	-	-	68.23 *	28 Oct 1921*	130*	-	-	-	6-12 Apr 1940* 714*	4400		

Prepared by Military Hydrology and Branch
Washington Dist., Corps of Engineers

NOTES: See Page 1, Table 3

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 4

MAJOR HYDRO-ELECTRIC PROJECTS

SAVA RIVER BASIN

Stream	No. (1)	Project*	North Latitude (Deg.-Min.)	East Longitude (Deg.-Min.)	Thermal or Hydr.	Electric Capacity (KW)	Status (2)
Sava Dolinka	1	JESSENICK	46-30	14-05	T & H	10,000	op. 1948
	2	VINTGAR pri BLEDU	46-24	14-05	H	1,200	op. 1946
	3	MOSTE	46-30	14-10	H	25,000	op. 1952
	4	ZIROVNICA or ZAVRSHNICA	46-24	14-08	H	2,500	op. 1951
Sava	5	MEDVODE (4)	46-10	14-20	H	15,000	U.C. 1951
	6	KRANJ	46-20	14-20	T & H	1,910	op. 1948
	7	TACEK	46-06	14-30	H	1,800	op. 1946
	8	ST. VID	46-10	14-30	H	1,020	op. 1948
	9	SKOFJA LOKA	46-08	14-18	H	1,000	op. 1946
Ljubljana	10	LJUBLJANA	46-03	14-30	H	(3)	-
	11	FUZINE	46-05	14-40	H	2,475	op. 1948
	12	FUZINE pri LJUBLJANA	46-04	14-38	H	1,300	op. 1946
	13	OZALJ I & II	45-38	15-27	H	3,500	U.C. 1951
Vrba	14	BARJA LOKA	44-46	17-10	H	-	U.C. 1951
	15	JAJCE I-VI	44-21	17-17	H	6,000-55,000	-
Bosna	16	SARAJEVO	43-50	18-20	T & H	4,100	op. 1948
	17	SARAJEVO II	-	-	H	1,800	op. 1943
	18	MESICI	43-46	18-58	H	-	Comp. 1950
	19	BOGATIC	43-48	18-23	H	10,000	Comp. 1948
Drina	20	DRINA I (BAJINA BASTA)	43-55	19-40	H	50,000	U.C. 1948
	21	DRINA II	-	-	-	-	st. 1949
	22	DRINA III (ZVORNIC)	44-23	19-07	H	100,000	U.C. 1952

* Sources differ as to exact names and locations of projects; data shown represents that considered most likely; also.

(1) Site number as shown on General Map, Plate I.

(2) op.-operating; cp.-probable completion; u.c.-under construction; st.-started.

(3) million kw per year

(4) Another plant of similar name (MEDVODE or MEDVONE) at 46-25 N, 10-24 E or 95-120 million kw reported as completed

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 4

MAJOR HYDRO-ELECTRIC PROJECTS

SAVA RIVER BASIN

Stream	No. (1)	Project	North Latitude (Deg.-Min.)	East Longitude (Deg.-Min.)	General Character or Hydr.	Electric Capacity (KW)	Status (2)	Remarks
Sava Delinka	1	JERSENON	46-30	14-05	T & H	10,000	op. 1948	On a tributary near JERSENON
	2	VANOGAN pri BLEDU	46-24	14-05	H	1,200	op. 1946	See Par. 2-10 & Exhibit B.
	3	MOSEZ	46-30	14-10	H	25,000	op. 1952	On tributary just below MOSE Dam site
	4	ZINOVNICA or ZAVRHNICA	46-24	14-08	H	2,500	op. 1951	Either on SOBA or SAVA near confluence
Sava	5	MEDEVONE (4)	46-10	14-20	H	15,000	U.C. 1951	Probably on a tributary of SAVA
	6	KHANJ	46-20	14-20	T & H	1,910	op. 1948	do
	7	TIGON	46-06	14-30	H	1,800	op. 1946	do
	8	ST. VID	46-10	14-30	H	1,020	op. 1948	do
	9	STOPIA LUKA	46-08	14-18	H	1,000	op. 1946	do
Ljubljana	10	LJUBLJANA	46-03	14-30	H	(3)	-	Proposed for 1952-56
	11	PUZINE	46-05	14-40	H	2,475	op. 1948	Aerial photos show 2 small dams in this vicinity
	12	PUZINE pri LJUBLJANA	46-04	14-38	H	1,300	op. 1946	do
Kopa	13	OZALJ I & II	45-38	15-27	H	3,500	U.C. 1951	Two plants
	14	BAJCE LUK	46-46	17-10	H	-	U.C. 1951	6 plants; in 1951: I started, II under construction, III-VI in planning stage
Boana	16	SARAJEVO	43-50	18-20	T & H	4,100	op. 1948	On DUDIN-REID River, right tributary of BOSNA
	17	SARAJEVO II	-	-	H	1,800	op. 1943	do
	18	MOŠČI	43-46	18-58	H	-	Comp 1950	do
	19	BOGATIC	43-48	18-23	H	10,000	Comp 1948	On ZELEZNICA River
Drina	20	DRINA I (BAJINA BASTA)	43-55	19-40	H	50,000	U.C. 1948	100 km above ZVORNIK
	21	DRINA II	-	-	-	-	st. 1949	57 km above ZVORNIK
	22	DRINA III (ZVORNIK)	42-23	19-02	H	100,000	U.C. 1952	-

* Sources differ as to exact names and locations of projects; data shown represents that considered most likely; also may be some omissions and duplications in the table

(1) Site number as shown on General Map, Plate 1.

(2) op.-operating; op.-probable completion; u.c.-under construction; st.-started.

(3) 5 million kw per year

(4) Another plant of similar name (MEDVONE or MEDVONE) at 46-25 N. 14-20 E or 45-120 million kwH reported as completed in 1952.

Prepared by Military Hydrology and Branch
Washington Dist., Corps of Engineers

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 4

RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION

(FROM ZANES (29/5390) TO JFHHCZ (10/0260)).

NAME OF "RESEARCH" R. SAVA

Series No. Y.2

Y. WATERS

Type:-

Sheet No. 1

Serial No.	Location and Ref.	Informa- tion Source & Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed	Net Cap with Date	Bed	Depth	Quartz	Bank - Width & Depth	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain.	Remarks.
ZANES 29/5390	60M-64 100% and Ground Photo.	Existing Ferry Site.			305' (14 Aug)	Sand and silt.			Low sloping	Rough track on each side, already built.	Good site for floating bridge. Approach tracks need improvement. See Detail Sketch No. 1.
ZANES 29/51790			Old Bridge. Existing Girder Span (over river) plus plate girder spans.		415'	Sand			Low. Gently rising rough rounded.		See Detail Sketch No. 1. See Ground Photo No. 2.
ZANES 29/53590			New Bridge. Continuous welded plate. Girder spans with concrete abutments.		440'	Sand			South- w. flat. North- steep, and approx 5'- 10' high.		On the south bank the bridge crosses the end of a small lake separated from the river by a narrow bank. The southernmost pier stands in the lake. Including the lake the wet gap is 55 ft. Probably class 40. bridge. See detail of br in Detail Sketch No. 1. See Ground Photo No. 3.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
1 of 16

SECURITY INFORMATION

11

10-10-54

TABLE 5
2 of 16

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

5-10-72-1.2

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

100

Sheet 12. 3.

Location and Map Ref.	Inform- ation Source & Quality	Item	Width of Road	Top Cap with Date	Bed	Depth	Current	Banks - Width & Depth.	Approach roads and surrounding terrain.	Remarks
207100 28/25001	6012-577 3330-1 B.	Ferry.	300'	290'				Very low. Staging on both sides.	Very poor track approaches from SO. 7th. Long approach to main road. Flat scrub land.	Light Civil Ferry site. Good wet bridging site.
207100 28/23000	P. 547 4078-9	Road Bridge. Steel Girder.	290'	27'	Silt and Sand.			South:- Cently sloping. backed by grassland. North:- Steeper, backed by buildings to East of stream to West.	Road approx. 15-20' wide. Straight approaches. Low flat land surrounding.	2 2 Spans (190' each) Steel Girder Bridge. Reported strong and probably take class 40 loads. See Detail No. 2. for dimensions.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

Y. 22114143

Series No. Y.2

NAME OF LINE: Y. 2. 3. 4.

Sheet No. 4.

Type:

Location	Location and Map Ref.	Information Source Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed.	Jet Gap with Gate	Bed	Depth	Obstruction	Bank - Width & Depth.	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain.	REMARKS.
	10000 20/11/57	6035-310 3003 4003	Road bridge Masonry Arch.	370'	360'	Rock and Sand.			North: Steep and rocky. South: Slightly sloping.	Curved approaches to bridge at each end.	O.A.L. = 500'. 110(1) open masonry arch bridge. 20th span. Clear width 22'.
	10000 12/03/56	6035-310 3003 4003	Road bridge. 1 Steel Girder Truss and 7 masonry arches	370'	350'	Sand.			North: Steep. South: Steep.	Adapt angle approaches. Hilly terrain.	1 Steel Girder Truss of 20' span and 7 masonry arches each 40' span. Clear width 15'. Overall length of bridge 450'.
	10000 12/07/57		Railway Br. at Steel Girder Truss.	450'	440'				Steep.		3 Span Steel Girder Bridge, each span 170'. Clear width 20'. Overall length 570'.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
4 of 16

Serial No. Y. 2

Y. 12/11/55

Sheet No. 6.

Location and Map Ref.	Informa- tion Source & Reliability.	Item	Width of bed.	Not Cap with Date	Bed	Depth	Current	Banks - Width & Depth.	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain.	Remarks.
SE of 12/794.67	SE-45 3194-50	road bridge.	140'	110' (25.48)	Silt.			Very steep.	St. angle approaches to bridge. hilly terrain.	Overall length 220'. 3(?) spans (dimension not possible). Clear width 150'.
SE of 12/794.67	SE-45 3196-7	road foot bridge.	130'	110' (25.48)	rock " Silt.			Steep & rooded.	St. angle approaches.	Overall length 130'. No. of spans unknown.
SE of 12/794.24		road fr. Central Span Steel (T).	200'	130'	Silt " Gravel			West:- Sloping. East:- V. steep.		Central span 110'. Two approach spans (one each side) 75'. Clear width 8 ft. ONLY. Ove. ll length 250'.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
6 of 16

U.S. OF INTERIOR - R. SURV.

Series No. Y.2

Y. 1. T. 4. YB

Sheet No. 7.

Location and Map Ref.	Information Source & Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed	Set Cap with Date	Bed	Depth	Banks - width & Depth.	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain	Remarks.
LM15- 11/637186	81-52 4209-108	Road Bridge. Wooden.	395.	100' & 200'. and bar in centre 25 Aug.			South- Vertical. North- Sloping.	Curved. Spritches. Built up area road br site.	Overall length 515 ft. Clear width 22 ft. 9 Spans. Load Class 3.
PK. 11/637186	4208-98	Rly Bridge. Steel Truss.	470.	210' & 120'. Island in middle 25 Aug.			Low steep banks.	Flat open terrain.	3 Span Steel Girder Bridge. Each span 175 ft. Overall Length 500 ft. Clear width 330 ft. Two Masonry Piers.
DO15- 11/519219	42135.	Rly Bridge. Steel Bridge and possible ferry site.	200.	175. (25 Aug).			Low sloping.	Flat Terrain.	Multi Span Bridge. Overall length 530'. Only 3 spans across river. Spans 65 ft. There is an existing ferry site upstream of the rly br. rough tracks lead down to the water's edge. Set Cap 170' (Aug).

RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5 7 OF 16

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

TABLE 5
8 of 16

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

Y. L. DELAYS

Series No. Y.2

Sheet No. 9.

Location and Map Ref.	Information Source & Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed.	Jet Cap with Date	Bed	Depth	Currents	Banks - Width & Depth	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain.	Remarks
11/17/58	60m-319 11/17/58	Footbridge ? Suspension Bridge ?	220'	160' (14 Aug.)	Silt.			Sloping.	Straight. North approach on embankment.	1 Span 275'. 11 ft structure.
11/17/58	60m-319 11/17/58	Footbridge ? probably Masonry or Wood.	130'	25' (25 Aug.)	Rock.			Steep.	Approach track 15' wide.	Overall Length 175'. Number of spans unknown.
11/26/58	60m-319 11/26/58	Road Bridge. Masonry Arch.	195'	160' (25 Aug.)	Silt.			Sloping.	Whirlpin Bend on approach road from SOUTH.	Overall Length 275'. 6 Spans 35 ft each. Clear width 20 ft.
11/26/58	60m-319 11/26/58	Road Bridge. Probably R.O. Spans on R.O. Piers. Steel bridge.	200'	15' (25 Aug.)	Silt.			Flooded & sloping.	Curved approach.	Overall Length 330 ft. 7 Spans 35 ft. each. Clear width 22 ft. See Detail Sketch No. 4, Bridge 4.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
9 of 16

NAME OF ATILITY- R. S.V.

Series No. Y.2

Y. LITERALS

Type

Sheet No. 10.

Location and Map Ref.	Information Source & Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed	Not Cop with Date	Depth	Current	Banks - Width & Depth.	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain.	REMARKS.
KIND 11/6736	SA-452 4229-30a.	Timber Footbridge or Light Vehic. Bridge.	275'	220' Very shallow (25 ft.)	Silt		Sloping.	Straight. Built up surroundings	This bridge 440 ft long. 10 spans of 15 ft. each. This br is built on the site of the old br destroyed in 1941. It is approx. 10 ft. wide and may take light loads. See Detail Sketch No. 4, Bridge B.
KIND 11/26037	SA-452 4231-2	Railway Br.	185'	Almost dry bed.	Silt and gravel.		Low, sloping.		4 Span. Steel Girder Bridge. Overall Length 580'. Each span 140'. Only 2 spans over river. Remainder over flat land. See Detail Sketch No. 4.. Bridge C.
DVIR 10/197-47	SA-452 4238-95.	Road Bridge.	24'	Dry 25 ft.	Gravel, fill.		Low sloping.	At angle approaches. Flat terrain.	Span Masonry or R.C. bridge. Each span 40'. Overall length 330'. Clear width 15'.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATIONRESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATIONTABLE 5
10 of 16

RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION

Series No. Y-2

Location and
Map Ref.

TYPE-

Information
Source &
Photo
Quality

Use

Red

Width
Depth

Surface
Remarks and
Currents and
Tides

Remarks

Point No. 11.

DEBIL- 10/17566	5452 1240-10.	Restbridge or Light Rd Br.	190'	Dry (25 m.)	Gravel.	Steep bed.	Gravel at base of cut and bed.	8 (?) Span of each (?) bridge. Each span 10 ft. Overall length 80 ft. Clear of the left. This bridge is even a fence on the right side.
MR. MESSIE 10/163478	60M-19 3065C.	Railway Br. Lattice Girder Bridge.	220'	Mtl. Dry 25 m.	Gravel. Mtl. Mtl. at P. w. lead.	-	-	4 Span Girder Bridge. Each span 6 ft. Overall length 24 ft. Clear of the left.
R.D.W.I.T.C. 10/124500	60M-39 3067C.	Road Bridge.	200'	Mtl. Dry 25 m.	Gravel. Mtl. Mtl. vertical.	Stoop, vertical.	Stoop, vertical.	Overall length 10 ft. Clear of the left. Further tallies for similar bridge and photo.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
11 of 16

RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION

Series No. Y-2
Sheet No. 12.

NAME OF AREA - R. 3. N.

TYPE -


Location and Map Ref.	Information Source & Photo Quality	Item	Width of Bed	At Cap with Date	Bed	Depth	Current	Banks - width & Depth.	Approach Roads and surrounding terrain.	R.R. 253.
110/100/1.	6075-59 40052	Road Br. Masonry Arch.	100'	55' Shallower 1 Nov.	Silt.			Steep, wooded.	Straight approach roads.	Overall length 130 ft. 4 (7) spans 15 ft each. Clear width 22'. It appears that the old bridge (to the 1207) has been demolished. Approach roads still exist.
110/100/1.	6075-59 40052	Railway Br. Lattice Girder.	110'	21. Dry 18 July.	Gravel	Nil Nil Nil		Very steep and deep.	-	Overall length 540'. One clear span 140 ft. over river. Other spans not clearly defined.
110/100/1.	6075-59 40052	Road Bridge. Light Lattice Girder structure.	75'	Nil. Dry 18 July.	Nil.			Very steep.	End approach rds and Rt. angle turns onto br.	Overall length 85 ft. Clear width 15 ft. Appears to be only suitable for light loads.
110/100/1.	6075-59 40052	Road Br.	70'	Nil. Dry 18 July.	Nil.			Loc sloping.	Straight approaches.	Overall length 70'. Possibly 2 spans Masonry Br. Details not clear.

TABLE 5
12 of 16

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

A - Railway Bridge



Construction - Bowstring girder and plate girder approach spans.

B - Road Bridge



Construction - Continuous welded girder with concrete piers.
20' Roadway
30' Overall width


NOT TO SCALE

RIVER SAVA
DETAIL No. 1

Ref Map 1:100,000 Sheet 29
Map Ref. 513900 (Rd br)
Scale - 60 PR CC 4 11004-5


Prepared by G-2, D.I(T), AFHQ Sept 1944

Printed by 13 Corps Sig Coy AF HQ Oct 1944



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
13 OF 16



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
14 of 16

RIVER SAVA. 2.
DETAIL No.

Ref Map 1100000 Sheet 28
Map ref 231020
Source P347 4078-9

NOT TO SCALE.

Prepared by G-2, d1 (T) AFHQ Sep 44

Reproduced by Submarine Field Survey Co., R.F. No. 1946



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

NOT TO SCALE


RIVER SAVA. 4.
DETAIL No.

Ref. Map 1:100,000 Sheet 11
Map ref. (Gr. A) 267305
Scale - 5M 452 4030-1

02/512

- Bridge A
7 span masonry or R.C. bridge. Each span 35' approx.
Clear width 22 ft.
- Bridge B
10 span timber footbridge (f) or light vehicle bridge. Each span 30'.
Overall length 440' Clear width 10 ft.
- Bridge C (Ry)
4 span steel girder bridge.
Each span 140' Overall length 560 ft.

Note: Not sufficient detail known to show sketches of above bridges.



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 5
16 of 16

Prepared by G-2, OI (T) AFHQ Sept 44

Approved by 5th Corps Field Survey Coy 18 Aug 1944

TABLE 6
INUNDATION EFFECTS OF STILLWATER BARRIERS

CONFIDENTIAL

SECURITY INFORMATION

Bridge Serial No.	River Km	Map A/S-1722 (0503-47/4)	U.T.M. Grid (5)	Location (5)	Pond Elev. m.a.s.l. (6)	Dimensions of Inundated Areas					Area Km ² (11)	Volume Mill. (12)
						Approx. Elev. above NW (7)	Length Km (8)	Average Width Km (9)	Average Depth m (10)			
-	-	LJUBLJANA RIVER				290	6.5	18	3.5	1.0	64.6	64.6
-	-	11-III	618995	LJUBLJANA Rd. Br.								
23	052	11-III	495022	VIZMARJE-TACEN Rd. Br.	No significant flooding							
21	049	11-III	629050	RR Br. nr. JESICA 3 spans Total opening 143 m Stream width 49 m	297	10.0	3.5	1.3	4.5	2.2	10.1	
20	042	11-II	699037	PECNIK (nr. SV. JAKOB)	No significant flooding							
19	033	11-II	752040	DOLSKO RR Br. 3 spans Total opening 260 m Stream width 53 m	265	7.0	3.6	0.7	1.3	1.6	3.1	
-	033 to 757	11-II	752040	DOLSKO to VIJEN	River runs in gorge							
7	735	28-I	460830	BRUČICE Rd. Br. 2 spans Total opening 116 m Stream width 82 m	141	3 m (above bank)	7.0	0.5	3.5	1.5	5.0	
-	700	29-IV	7471	ZAGREB	116	4	No significant flooding					
-	595	45-IV	602372	SISAK RA. Br.	96	3	10	5-8	3 or more	50-60	50-60	
-	500	46-IV	460141	JASNOVAC RR Br.	95	4	25-30	2-5	1 or more	40-60	40-60	
-	400	46-II	770420	STARA-BRAJUNA Rd. Br.	94	4	50-60	7-10	1 or more	300-350	300-400	

Details re: inundation effects along stream are not necessarily along stream Prepared by Military Hydrology H&D Branch, Wash.

CONFIDENTIAL

SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 6

INUNDATION EFFECTS OF ESTIMATED DAMPERS

Map No.	U.S. Grid	Location	Dimensions of Inundated Areas							Remarks
			Pond Elev. M.A.S. (6)	Approx. Elev. above M (7)	Length, Km (8)	Average Width, Km (9)	Average Depth, M (10)	Area, Km ² (11)	Volume, Mil. m ³ (12)	
11-III	618992	LJUBLJANA Rd. Br.	296	6.5	18	3.5	1.0	64.6	64.6	(1) Will also require blocking of bridge on GRUBER Canal.
11-III	485072	VIZMARJE-TACEN Rd. Br.	No significant flooding							
11-III	629050	Rd. Br. nr. JESICA 3 spans Total opening 143 m Stream width 49 m	297	10.0	3.5	1.3	4.5	2.2	10.1	(1) Pond Elevation is estimated elevation of bridge deck. (2) Flooding confined to right bank.
11-II	679037	PACNIK (nr. SV. JAKOB)	No significant flooding							
11-II	753040	DOLSKO Rd. Br. 3 spans Total opening 260 m Stream width 53 m	265	7.0	3.6	0.7	1.3	1.6	3.1	(1) Pond Elevation is estimated elevation of bridge deck. (2) Flooding confined to left bank.
11-II	752040	DOLSKO (to VILKH)	River runs in gorge							
21-IV	378592									
21-I	460430	BRNJEVA Rd. Br. 2 spans Total opening 116 m Stream width 82 m	142	9.9 (above bank)	7.0	0.5	3.5	1.5	5.0	

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

21-IV	7471	ZAGREB	116	4	No significant flooding					
41-IV	682372	SISAK Rd. Br.	96	3	10	5-8	1 or more	50-60	50-60	(1) May also require blocking of bridge on the ZUPA River. (2) Existence of effective embankment to hold pool. on left bank doubtful. (3) Flooding confined to left bank.
41-IV	682141	JASNA VAO Rd. Br.	95	4	25-30	2-5	1 or more	40-60	40-60	(1) Pool must hold along 6 km of RR embankment on left bank and along 5 km on right.
41-II	770420	STARA-GRADSKA Rd. Br.	94	4	51-60	7-10	1 or more	300-350	300-350	(1) Flooding mainly on left bank. (2) Must hold on 6.5 km of embankment on right bank.

TABLE 7
SUMMARY OF EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS

Artificial Flood No.	Type of Outflow	Location	River Km.	Discharge			River Depth			Overflow (f)	River Width		Mean Initial
				Initial m ³ /sec	Increase m ³ /sec	Greatest m ³ /sec	Initial m	Increase m	Greatest m		Initial m	Greatest m	
1	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	315	330	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 10 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	150	205	2.0	0.7	2.7	Within banks	75	85	1.6
	c. 509 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	SMEDNIK	861	60	120	180	0.6	0.7	1.3	Within banks	70	100	1.5
	d. 514 m.d.A. Water Elev.	LITICA	813	185	85	270	2.4	0.3	2.7	Within banks	155	160	1.7
		BREZICE	735	240	70	310	2.8	0.3	3.1	Within banks	100	110	1.8
2		ZAGREB	700	250	65	315	3.0	0.3	3.3	Within banks	210	215	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	575	590	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 10 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	270	325	2.0	1.0	3.0	Within banks	75	85	1.6
	c. 509 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	SMEDNIK	861	60	205	265	0.6	1.0	1.6	Within banks	70	115	1.5
	d. 517 m.d.A. Water Elev.	LITICA	813	185	130	315	2.4	0.4	2.8	Within banks	155	160	1.7
3		BREZICE	735	240	105	345	2.8	0.4	3.2	Within banks	100	110	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	95	345	3.0	0.4	3.4	Within banks	210	215	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	1530	1545	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 10 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	675	730	2.0	1.4	3.4	Within banks	75	130	1.6
	c. 509 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	SMEDNIK	861	60	520	580	0.6	1.9	2.5	Within banks	70	155	1.5
4		LITICA	813	185	340	525	2.4	1.0	3.4	Within banks	155	165	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	260	500	2.8	0.8	3.6	Within banks	100	120	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	235	485	3.0	0.9	3.9	Within banks	210	220	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	335	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 10 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	215	270	2.0	0.9	2.9	Within banks	75	85	1.6
5		SMEDNIK	861	60	175	235	0.6	0.9	1.5	Within banks	70	110	1.5
	c. 518.5 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	LITICA	813	185	130	315	2.4	0.4	2.8	Within banks	155	160	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	110	350	2.8	0.4	3.2	Within banks	100	110	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	105	355	3.0	0.4	3.4	Within banks	210	215	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	525	540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6		OTOCZ	885	55	250	305	2.0	1.0	3.0	Within banks	75	85	1.6
	b. 20 m. wide	SMEDNIK	861	60	215	275	0.6	1.0	1.6	Within banks	70	120	1.5
	c. 518.5 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	LITICA	813	185	145	330	2.4	0.5	2.9	Within banks	155	160	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	120	360	2.8	0.4	3.2	Within banks	100	110	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	110	360	3.0	0.6	3.6	Within banks	210	215	0.8
7		MOSTE DAM	904	15	1370	1385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	575	630	2.0	1.4	3.4	Within banks	75	120	1.6
	b. 20 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	435	495	0.6	1.7	2.3	Within banks	70	145	1.5
	c. 518.5 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	SMEDNIK	861	60	280	465	2.4	0.9	3.3	Within banks	155	165	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	LITICA	813	185	205	445	2.8	0.5	3.3	Within banks	100	120	1.8
8		BREZICE	735	240	190	440	3.0	0.7	3.7	Within banks	210	220	0.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	190	440	3.0	0.7	3.7	Within banks	210	220	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	525	540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 20 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	250	305	2.0	1.0	3.0	Within banks	75	85	1.6
	c. 518.5 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	SMEDNIK	861	60	215	275	0.6	1.0	1.6	Within banks	70	120	1.5
9		LITICA	813	185	145	330	2.4	0.5	2.9	Within banks	155	160	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	120	360	2.8	0.4	3.2	Within banks	100	110	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	110	360	3.0	0.6	3.6	Within banks	210	215	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	525	540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 20 m. wide	OTOCZ	885	55	250	305	2.0	1.0	3.0	Within banks	75	85	1.6
10		SMEDNIK	861	60	215	275	0.6	1.0	1.6	Within banks	70	120	1.5
	c. 518.5 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	LITICA	813	185	145	330	2.4	0.5	2.9	Within banks	155	160	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	120	360	2.8	0.4	3.2	Within banks	100	110	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	110	360	3.0	0.6	3.6	Within banks	210	215	0.8
	a. MOSTE DAM Breach plus Outlets	MOSTE DAM	904	15	525	540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11		OTOCZ	885	55	250	305	2.0	1.0	3.0	Within banks	75	85	1.6
	b. 20 m. wide	SMEDNIK	861	60	215	275	0.6	1.0	1.6	Within banks	70	120	1.5
	c. 518.5 m.d.A. Breach Elev.	LITICA	813	185	145	330	2.4	0.5	2.9	Within banks	155	160	1.7
	d. 523.5 m.d.A. Water Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	120	360	2.8	0.4	3.2	Within banks	100	110	1.8
		ZAGREB	700	250	110	360	3.0	0.6	3.6	Within banks	210	215	0.8

(1) Approx. height of water above river banks
(2) Approx. total duration of rise
(3) Lanes intact/lanes breached

TABLE 7
SUMMARY OF EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS

Location	River Km.	Discharge		River Depth		Overflow (I) m	River Width		Mean Surface Velocity		Time from Dam to	Duration of Rise Days (2)
		Initial m ³ /sec	Initial Increase m ³ /sec	Initial m	Increase m		Initial m	Crest m	Initial m/sec	Crest m/sec	Start Hours	Crest Hours
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	315	2.0	0.7	-	75	85	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	150	0.6	0.7	2.7	70	100	1.6	2.3	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	120	2.4	0.3	1.3	155	160	1.5	2.2	4	7
	LITILJA	185	85	2.8	0.3	2.7	100	110	1.7	1.9	9	14
	BRZELICE	240	70	3.0	0.3	3.1	210	215	1.5	1.9	17	25
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	575	2.0	1.0	-	75	85	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	270	0.6	1.0	3.0	70	115	1.6	2.4	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	205	2.4	0.4	1.6	155	160	1.5	2.4	3	7
	LITILJA	185	130	2.8	0.4	2.8	100	110	1.7	1.9	8	13
	BRZELICE	240	95	3.0	0.4	3.2	210	215	1.8	2.0	16	24
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	1530	2.0	1.4	-	75	130	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	675	0.6	1.9	3.4	70	155	1.6	2.5	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	520	2.4	1.0	2.5	155	165	1.5	2.8	3	7
	LITILJA	185	340	2.8	0.8	3.4	100	120	1.7	2.2	8	13
	BRZELICE	240	260	3.0	0.9	3.6	210	220	1.8	2.0	15	23
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	335	2.0	0.9	-	75	85	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	215	0.6	0.9	2.9	70	110	1.6	2.3	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	175	2.4	0.4	1.5	155	160	1.5	2.3	3	7
	LITILJA	185	130	2.8	0.4	2.8	100	110	1.7	1.9	8	14
	BRZELICE	240	105	3.0	0.4	3.2	210	215	1.8	2.0	16	26
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	525	2.0	1.0	-	75	85	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	250	0.6	1.0	3.0	70	120	1.6	2.4	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	215	2.4	0.5	1.6	155	160	1.5	2.4	3	7
	LITILJA	185	145	2.8	0.4	2.9	100	110	1.7	2.0	8	13
	BRZELICE	240	120	3.0	0.6	3.2	210	215	1.8	2.0	10	15
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	1370	2.0	1.4	-	75	120	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	575	0.6	1.7	3.4	70	145	1.6	2.5	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	435	2.4	0.9	2.3	155	165	1.5	2.8	3	7
	LITILJA	185	280	2.8	0.5	3.3	100	120	1.7	2.2	8	13
	BRZELICE	240	205	3.0	0.7	3.7	210	220	1.8	2.0	15	23
Outlet lev. w.	ROUTE DAM	15	1370	2.0	1.4	-	75	120	-	-	0	0
	OTOON	55	575	0.6	1.7	3.4	70	145	1.6	2.5	1	3
	SHEDDNIK	60	435	2.4	0.9	2.3	155	165	1.5	2.8	3	7
	LITILJA	185	280	2.8	0.5	3.3	100	120	1.7	2.2	8	13
	BRZELICE	240	205	3.0	0.7	3.7	210	220	1.8	2.0	15	23

Prepared by Military Hydrology R&D Branch
Washington Dist., Corps of Engineers

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS (Continued)

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS (Continued)

10

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

SUMMARY OF ESTIMATES OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS (Continued)

TABLE 7

Branch	Location	River Fm.	Discharge		River Depth		Overflow (1)	River Width		Near Surface Velocity		Time from Dam to		Duration of High Days
			Initial m ³ /sec	Increase m ³ /sec	Initial m	Increase m		Initial m	Over m	Initial m/sec	Over m/sec	Start Hours	Over Hours	
Branch Elev. Elev.	LJUBLJANA	852	50	675	2.4	1.9	4.3	155	170	1.7	2.6	0	0	24
	LITILJA	813	185	630	2.8	1.6	4.4	100	140	1.8	2.4	2	14	25
	BEZIZICE	735	240	576	3.0	1.7	4.7	210	220	0.8	1.8	6	36	25
	ZAGORJE	700	250	530	4.1	3.4	7.5	150	200	0.8	0.9	10	42	26
	RUŠOVICA	664	280	500	6.4	2.7	9.1	190	210/1000 (3)	0.8	1.1	14	51	26
	STARJA-GRADIŠKA	460	200	340	7.9	0.4	8.3	280	285	0.6	0.7	48	125	28
Branch Elev. Elev.	BEČKO	225	1100	200	7.9	0.4	8.3	280	285	0.6	0.7	96	172	30
	LJUBLJANA	852	50	175	2.4	0.5	2.9	155	160	1.7	2.0	0	0	6
	LITILJA	813	185	165	2.8	0.5	3.3	100	115	1.8	2.0	2	15	7
	BEZIZICE	735	240	140	3.0	0.4	3.4	210	215	0.8	1.1	8	42	7
	ZAGORJE	700	250	130	4.1	1.0	5.1	150	160	0.8	0.9	11	48	7
	RUŠOVICA	664	280	120	6.4	0.3	6.7	190	195	0.8	0.9	16	57	7
Branch Elev. Elev.	STARJA-GRADIŠKA	460	800	85	7.9	0.1	8.0	280	280	0.6	0.6	60	125	8
	BEČKO	225	1100	50	7.9	0.1	8.0	280	280	0.6	0.6	108	196	9
	LJUBLJANA	852	50	1950	2.4	4.8	7.2	155	230	1.7	3.0	0	0	10
	LITILJA	813	185	1770	2.8	3.2	6.0	100	180	1.8	2.7	2	12	11
	BEZIZICE	735	240	1550	3.0	3.4	6.4	210	240	0.8	2.5	5	30	11
	ZAGORJE	700	250	1420	4.1	6.5	10.6	150	240	0.8	1.1	8	36	12
Branch Elev. Elev.	RUŠOVICA	664	280	1330	6.4	2.9	9.3	190	210/1000 (3)	0.8	1.1	12	45	12
	STARJA-GRADIŠKA	460	800	280	7.9	1.1	9.0	280	290	0.6	0.7	42	108	13
	BEČKO	225	1100	500	7.9	1.1	9.0	280	290	0.6	0.7	90	154	15
	LJUBLJANA	852	50	450	2.4	1.4	3.8	155	175	1.7	2.4	0	0	3
	LITILJA	813	185	380	2.8	1.2	4.0	100	130	1.8	2.2	2	13	4
	BEZIZICE	735	240	370	3.0	1.1	4.1	210	220	0.8	1.5	7	39	4
Branch Elev. Elev.	ZAGORJE	700	250	300	4.1	2.0	6.1	150	180	0.8	0.9	11	45	4
	RUŠOVICA	664	280	270	6.4	0.6	7.0	190	200	0.8	0.9	15	54	5
	STARJA-GRADIŠKA	460	800	160	7.9	0.2	8.1	280	285	0.6	0.6	34	119	6
	BEČKO	225	1100	90	7.9	0.2	8.1	280	285	0.6	0.6	102	186	6
	LJUBLJANA	852	50	1050	2.4	6.3	9.1	155	(over 300)	1.7	(over 3)	0	0	1
	LITILJA	813	185	720	2.8	6.3	9.1	100	450	1.8	3.0	1	7	2
Branch Elev. Elev.	BEZIZICE	735	240	460	3.0	6.6	9.6	210	450	0.8	3.5	4	25	2
	ZAGORJE	700	250	390	4.1	10.6	14.7	150	300/5000 (3)	0.8	1.5	8	27	2
	RUŠOVICA	664	280	330	6.4	4.0	10.4	190	220/2000 (3)	0.8	1.2	10	36	3
	STARJA-GRADIŠKA	460	800	1500	7.9	1.6	9.5	280	290	0.6	0.8	41	99	3
	BEČKO	225	1100	700	7.9	1.6	9.5	280	290	0.6	0.8	90	145	4
	LJUBLJANA	852	50	1050	2.4	6.3	9.1	155	(over 300)	1.7	(over 3)	0	0	1

Prepared by Military Hydrology and Branch
Washington Dist., Corps of Engineers

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE 7
SECURITY INFORMATION SUMMARY OF EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS (Continued)

Artificial Flood No.	Type of Outflow	Location	River Km.	Discharge		River Depth		Overflow	River Width	
				Initial m ³ /sec	Increase m ³ /sec	Initial m	Increase m		Initial m	Final m
12	a. DOLSKO GORJE Barrier Breach	DOLSKO GORJE	832	-	1950	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 100 m wide	LITVA	813	185	1315	2.4	3.8	1.0	155	200
	c. 265 m. u. A. Breach Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	960	2.8	2.3	5.1	190	130
	d. 270 m. u. A. Water Elev.	ZAGREB	700	250	800	7.0	2.3	5.3	210	230
13	a. DOLSKO GORJE Barrier Breach	DOLSKO GORJE	832	-	1950	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 200 m wide	LITVA	813	185	1315	2.4	3.8	1.0	155	200
	c. 265 m. u. A. Breach Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	960	2.8	2.3	5.1	190	130
	d. 280 m. u. A. Water Elev.	ZAGREB	700	250	800	7.0	2.3	5.3	210	230
14	a. DOLSKO GORJE Barrier Breach	DOLSKO GORJE	832	-	1950	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 260 m. wide	LITVA	813	185	1315	2.4	3.8	1.0	155	200
	c. 265 m. u. A. Breach Elev.	BREZICE	735	240	960	2.8	2.3	5.1	190	130
	d. 280 m. u. A. Water Elev.	ZAGREB	700	250	800	7.0	2.3	5.3	210	230
15	a. MOSTE DAM Outlet Discharge	MOSTE DAM	904	15	93	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 5.1 m. Outlet Tunnel	OTOK	885	55	134	2.0	0.5	2.3	75	80
	c. (See plates 7 & 19)	SMLEDNIK	861	60	71	0.6	0.4	1.0	70	90
	d. 514 m. u. A. Water Elev.	LITVA	813	185	63	2.4	0.2	2.6	155	160
16	a. MOSTE DAM Outlet Discharge	MOSTE DAM	904	15	93	-	-	-	-	-
	b. 5.1 m. Outlet Tunnel	OTOK	885	55	134	2.0	0.5	2.3	75	80
	c. (See plates 7 & 19)	SMLEDNIK	861	60	71	0.6	0.4	1.0	70	90
	d. 523.5 m. u. A. Water Elev.	LITVA	813	185	63	2.4	0.2	2.6	155	160

NOTES: See page 1, Table 7

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE 7
SECURITY INFORMATION SUMMARY OF EFFECTS OF ARTIFICIAL FLOOD WAVES AND FLOW VARIATIONS (Continued)

Location	River Km.	Discharge		River Depth		Overflow (m)	River Width		Mean Surface Velocity		Time from Dam to	
		Initial m ³ /sec	Increase m ³ /sec	Initial m	Increase m		Initial m	Great m	Initial m/sec	Great m/sec	Start Hours	End Hours
Branch L. V.	DOLSKO GORJE	832	1950	1950	1950	6.2	155	200	1.7	3.0	0	0
	LITILJA	813	1715	1715	1715	5.1	190	150	1.8	2.5	1	9
	BRANJICA	735	960	960	960	5.3	210	230	0.8	2.1	5	27
	ZAGREB	700	800	800	800	8.5	150	210	0.8	1.0	9	33
	NOVICA	664	720	720	720	7.5	190	200	0.8	0.9	12	42
	STARO-GRADISKA	460	300	300	300	8.1	280	280	0.6	0.6	45	105
Branch L. V.	PRIMO	225	100	100	100	8.1	280	280	0.6	0.6	96	151
	DOLSKO GORJE	832	20300	20300	20300	10.7	155	(over 300)	1.7	(over 3)	0	0
	LITILJA	813	11115	11115	11115	10.7	100	600	1.8	3.0	1	6
	BRANJICA	735	6650	6650	6650	11.4	210	550/2000 (3)	0.8	4.0	3	23
	ZAGREB	700	5450	5450	5450	15.7	150	300/5000 (3)	0.8	4.5	7	25
	NOVICA	664	5200	5200	5200	10.7	190	230/2000 (3)	0.8	1.2	0	33
Branch L. V.	STARO-GRADISKA	460	1700	1700	1700	9.6	280	290	0.6	0.8	59	96
	PRIMO	225	800	800	800	9.6	280	290	0.6	0.8	87	142
	DOLSKO GORJE	832	3850	3850	3850	8.2	155	250	1.7	3.0	0	0
	LITILJA	813	2315	2315	2315	5.8	100	170	1.8	2.6	1	8
	BRANJICA	735	1650	1650	1650	5.8	210	230	0.8	2.3	5	26
	ZAGREB	700	1350	1350	1350	9.1	150	220	0.8	1.1	9	30
Branch L. V.	NOVICA	664	870	870	870	7.7	190	200	0.8	0.9	11	39
	STARO-GRADISKA	460	350	350	350	8.6	280	290	0.6	0.7	43	102
	PRIMO	225	100	100	100	8.6	280	290	0.6	0.7	93	148
	DOLSKO GORJE	832	108	108	108	2.8	155	250	1.6	2.1	0	0
	LITILJA	813	134	134	134	1.0	100	90	1.5	2.0	2	6
	BRANJICA	735	131	131	131	2.6	210	230	1.7	1.8	4	10
Branch L. V.	ZAGREB	700	248	248	248	3.0	155	160	1.7	1.8	9	16
	NOVICA	664	293	293	293	3.2	100	105	1.8	1.9	17	26
	STARO-GRADISKA	460	299	299	299	3.2	210	215	0.8	1.0	21	31
	PRIMO	225	125	125	125	2.6	155	160	1.6	2.2	0	0
	DOLSKO GORJE	832	155	155	155	1.2	100	80	1.5	2.1	2	9
	LITILJA	813	156	156	156	2.7	210	215	1.7	1.9	4	15
Branch L. V.	BRANJICA	735	276	276	276	3.1	155	160	1.7	1.9	9	21
	ZAGREB	700	323	323	323	3.3	100	110	1.8	1.9	17	32
	NOVICA	664	329	329	329	3.3	210	215	0.8	1.0	21	36
	STARO-GRADISKA	460	125	125	125	2.6	155	160	1.6	2.2	0	0
	PRIMO	225	155	155	155	1.2	100	80	1.5	2.1	2	9
	DOLSKO GORJE	832	156	156	156	2.7	210	215	1.7	1.9	4	15

Prepared by Military Hydrology RAD Branch
Washington Dist., Corps of Engineers


CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION


SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

PLATE

1. General Map
2. Physiographic Diagram
3. Drainage Pattern, JULIAN Region
4. Stream-Bed Profile, SAVA River
5. Stream-Bed Profile, LJUBLJANA River
6. Channel & Flood-Plain Widths
7. Sketches of MOSTE DAM
8. Bridge Locations, JESENICE-ZAGREB
9. Stage Variations
10. Monthly Mean Stages, SV. DUB-LJUBLJANA
11. Monthly Mean Stages, BREZICE-GALLOVA
12. Stage Duration Curves, SVETI DUB & VRHNIKA
13. Stage Duration Curves, LITETJL-SEVNICA
14. Discharge & Velocity Rating Curves, LJUBLJANA-LITETJL
15. Discharge & Velocity Rating Curves, ZAGORJE-RUCVICA
16. Discharge & Velocity Rating Curves, STARA GRADISKA-MITROVICA
17. Depth, Discharge & Velocity Profile
18. Inundation by Still-water Barriers
- 19. ~~MOSTE DAM, Reservoir Storage & Outlet Discharge~~
20. Discharge Hydrographs, MOSTE DAM, Artificial Floods 1-3
21. Discharge Hydrographs, MOSTE DAM, Artificial Floods 4-6
22. Discharge Hydrographs, LJUBLJANA Barrier, Artificial Floods 7-10
23. Discharge Hydrographs, DOLESNA GORAN Barrier, Artificial Floods 11-14
24. Discharge Hydrographs, MOSTE DAM, Artificial Floods 15 & 16

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION





SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

BALATON

HUNGARY

SZEGED

TISZA R.

Budapest

Debrecen



Miskolc

Győr


Bratislava

Salgótarján

ALBANIA



- LEGEND**
- Major river project (See 2-1)
 - Major river station
 - River border
 - Major cities
 - International boundary




SECRET
 SECURITY INFORMATION

SABA RIVER


GENERAL MAP

REVIEWED BY: [Signature]
 PREPARED BY: [Signature]
 DATE: [Date]

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION



Topography generalized from contour maps: 1:1,000,000 series and from Yugoslavia 1:100,000 series. Vertical scale greatly exaggerated.

REPRODUCTION OF US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY DRAWING IN "S.P.S. NO. 87, YUGOSLAVIA, VOLUME 2, O.C.E., USA AUGUST 1943."

÷ SCALE ÷


10 5 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 Mi.

10 5 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Km.


RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER PHYSIOGRAPHIC DIAGRAM

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by *L/H* Date *Feb. 4, 1953*
Drawn by *---*



600 500
KILOMETERS ABOVE MOUNT



RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

DE

SECRET



KILOMETERS ABOVE MOUTH OF THE SAVA RIVER (BELGRADE)

5

LEGEND

- Canal Junction
- River
- Bridge showing clearance
- Gage
- Average channel bottom

KOLUBARA R.

JERUZ R.

DRINA R.

TINA R.

BOJANA R.

SAVA R.

Belgrade (Beograd)

MITROVICA (Mitrovitz)

Bosut

Raca

Brana

ZUPANJE

SAMAC

BRZO

DUBOKI

KODAS

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER

STREAM BED PROFILE SAVA RIVER

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R. & D. BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Prepared by J.A.


Drawn by J.A.

Date 2/28/60

PLATE 4

SAVA RIVER (BELGRADE)

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION



LEGEND
See Plate 4

SAVA RIVER
STREAM BED PROFILE
LJUBLJANA RIVER

MILITARY HYDROLOGY FIELD BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by LCR Date 12 MAR 1953
Drawn by LCR

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

KILOMETERS ABOVE MOUTH OF COA

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

TO 248 Km.

TO 255 Km.

TO 260 Km.

TO 275 Km.

Confluence of Rupa R.

Confluence of Lupa R.

Confluence of Tisa R.

STARA GRADSKA

Confluence of Vreba R.

KRNAS

DRBOVAC

Confluence of Krina R.

BRD

Confluence of Bupa R.

ZUPANJE

Confluence of Tupa R.

Confluence of Dina R.

BOBIT & Confluence of Bosut R.

MITROVICA (Mitrovitz)

Confluence of Jera R.

Confluence of Kolubara R.

BELGRADE (Beograd)

600 500 400 300 200 100 0
KILOMETERS ABOVE MOUTH OF THE SAVA RIVER (BELGRADE)

TC 25.5 KM

TC 26.0 KM

TC 27.5 KM

Confluence of Sava R.

STRAVA

Confluence of Sava R.

Confluence of R. Pine R.

BOSET & Confluence of Sava R.

MITROVICI (Mitrovitsa)

Confluence of Jeret R.

Confluence of Kolubara R.

BELOGRADE (Belgrad)

LEGEND

Bank width

High water width

Right side flood width

Left side flood width



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER
CHANNEL & FLOOD-
PLAIN WIDTHS


MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by M/H
Drawn by M/H
Date 22 Feb 1953

PLATE 6

EL GRADE)



CROSS SECTION ALONG OUTLET
Fig. 2




PLAN OF DAM AND OUTLETS
Fig. 3

SOURCE:
"SAOPŠTENJA, sa Prvog savetovanja
stručnjaka, Jugoslavije o Visokim
Branama."

"TRANSACTIONS, The first meeting of
the Yugoslav National Committee on
Large Dams." (18-23 September 1950,
Zagreb).

- Fig. 1 — p 171
- Fig. 2 — p 44
- Fig. 3 — p 43
- Fig. 4 — p 44




CROSS SECTION OF DAM
Fig. 4

SAVA RIVER SKETCHES OF MOSTE DAM

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by *Y/H* Date *24 Feb 1953*
Drawn by *Y/H*


RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION




RIVER SAVA-

Miles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Kilometers 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10


Based on GSG 4550, Sheet 70
GSG 4515, Sheet 72, 73




**Krainburg
Kronj Km. 874**




**Tacon
Km. 856**




**Tschernutsch
Cruščo Km. 849**




Lital
Lital Km. 813




Gurkfeld
Krško Km. 747




Rann
Brezice Km. 735




Agram Zagreb Km 700




100-508




Alt-Glad/Km 460




Kobal
Km. 396




**Brod
Km. 365**




Samoa
Km. 308




**Zupanjo
Km. 263**




Bröke
Km. 225




Radca
Km. 177




Gurkfeld
Krško Km. 747




Rann
Brezice Km. 735




Agram
Zagreb Km. 700




Rugvica
Km. 663




Dubravčak
Km. 636




Galdovo
Km. 595




Zupanje
Km. 263




Brška
Km. 225




Rača
Km. 177



Bosut
Km. 160



Mitrovica
Km. 136



Semlin
Km. 25

NOTES:

1. Reproduced
bis zur Min
Description
and its tri
Austrian Ar
2. Values shon
3. Transnlation

a. The va
to fol

Radmar
Agram-
Jasen

b. The H
enced

c. The g
right
dates
water


d. Abbre

H.H.
M.H.
M.W.

Norm
M.N.
N.N.


1 0 1 2

Dr. H. H. H. H.



Dubravčak
Km. 636

Galdovo
Km. 595



Mitrovica
Km. 136

Sombor
Km. 2.5

NOTES:

1. Reproduced from "Allgemeine Beschreibung der Save von Radmannsdorf bis zur Mündung und ihrer Nebenflüsse exklusive Drina" (General Description of the Sava River from Radmannsdorf to the junction, and its tributaries exclusive of the Drina River). An official Austrian Army Publication, Vienna 1904.
2. Values shown are for periods prior to 1904.
3. Translation of explanation on original graph.
 - a. The values for M.H.W., M.W., Norm. W. and M.N.W., correspond to following observation periods:

Reach	Length of Record
Radmannsdorf-Rann	7 years
Agram-Galdovo	2 "
Jasenovac-Junction	5 "

- b. The H.H.7. and M.N.W. values represent the extremes experienced since the start of regular observations.
- c. The gages at Prčka and Rača were moved from the left to the right bank, and their gage zeros adjusted for the observation dates; therefore it would appear that the datum for those water stage fluctuations are not entirely reliable.

d. Abbreviations:

- H.H.W. = Highest High Water
- M.H.W. = Mean
- M.W. = Mean Water
- Norm. W. = Normal Water
- M.N.W. = Mean Low Water
- N.N.W. = Lowest Low Water



SAVA RIVER
STAGE
VARIATIONS

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by J.H. Date Feb. 12, 1953
Drawn by ---

PERIOD OF RECORD 1902-11

GAGE HEIGHT IN CM.

J F M A M J J A S O N D
SV. DUH (Hl. Geist Kirche) Km. 918.0
Gage Zero = 525.40m + uA
(Sava-Bahinjka River)

PERIOD OF RECORD 1902-11

GAGE HEIGHT IN CM.

J F M A M J J A S O N D
LITITJA (Lititaj) Km. 813
Gage Zero = 231.72m + uA
(Sava River)

PERIOD OF RECORD 1902-11

GAGE HEIGHT IN CM.

J F M A M J J A S O N D
ZAGORJE (Sagorj) Km. 798
Gage Zero = 211.65m + uA
(Sava River)

PERIOD OF RECORD 1902-11

GAGE HEIGHT IN CM.

J F M A M J J A S O N D
SEVNICA (Lichterwald) Km. 763
Gage Zero = 168.62m + uA
(Sava River)

PERIOD OF RECORD 1902-11

GAGE HEIGHT IN CM.

J F M A M J J A S O N D
VARNJKA (Ober Laibach) Km. 873.6
Gage Zero = 287.81m + uA
(Ljubljana River)

PERIOD OF RECORD 1902-10

GAGE HEIGHT IN CM.







J F M A M J J A S O N D
LJUBLJANA (Laibach) Km.
Gage Zero = 287.84 m + uA
(Ljubljana River)

NOTE:

1. Source "Jahrbuch Des KK Hydrographischen Zentrals
Bureaus Teil V. 1911 & 12."

MEAN STAGES

MILITARY HYDROGRAPHIC BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by V/LH
Drawn by J/LH





NOTE:
(Source "Monografia Geografica - Militare sui Territori d'Occupazione della Croazia E Bosnia", p 49.)

MONTHLY MEAN STAGES

MILITARY HYDROLOGY ROAD BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by JJA Date 27 JUL 1951
Drawn by JJA

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION



LEGEND

year (1 Dec. - 30 Nov.)
winter (1 Dec. - 28 Feb.)
summer (1 Mar. - 30 Nov.)

NOTES

- Source: "Jahrbuch Des K. Hydrographischen Zentral Bureau Teil V, 1911"
- Period of Record 1901-1911

SAVA RIVER
STAGE DURATION
CURVES

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by LJB
Drawn by LJB Date 24 Feb. 1913

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

1000

Depth of the River

Station 100+00 (km 100)
Gage Zero 231.72+14
(Sava River)

PERCENT OF TIME EQUALLED OR EXCEEDED

50

100

Station 100+00 (km 100)
Gage Zero 231.72+14
(Sava River)

50

100

PERCENT OF TIME EQUALLED OR EXCEEDED

1000

Depth of the River

Station 100+00 (km 100)
Gage Zero 231.72+14
(Sava River)

50

100

PERCENT OF TIME EQUALLED OR EXCEEDED

Year (1 Dec. - 30 Nov.)
Winter (1 Dec. - 28 Feb.)
Summer (1 Mar. - 30 Nov.)

NOTES

1. Gages "Gage Zero" and "Gage Zero" are located at the same point on the Sava River.
2. Period of record 1901-1911



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER
STAGE DURATION
CURVES



MILITARY HYDROLOGY FIELD BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by E/B
Drawn by J/B
Date 12 May 1952

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION



LEGEND (See Par. 3-05 & 3-06)
— Limit of observed data
--- Estimated extension





RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

DISCHARGE & VELOCITY RATING CURVES

MILITARY HYDROLOGY AND BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by JLB
Date 1/24/53
Drawn by KLB



RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION



LEGEND

See Plate 14



RESTRICTED



SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER


DISCHARGE & VELOCITY RATING CURVES

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by: K/B
Date: 4 MAR 1963
Drawn by: K/B

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION



LEGEND
See Plate 14



RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION
SAVA RIVER

DISCHARGE & VELOCITY RATING CURVES

MILITARY HYDROLOGY ROAD BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by K/S
Date 1/16/53
Drawn by K/S

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

DEPTH IN METERS

MEAN SURFACE
VELOCITY M/S

DISCHARGE
M³/S

KILOMETERS ABOVE MOUTH OF R.

16

12

8

4

0

2

1

0

4000

8000

12000

16000

0

200

400

600

800

1000

1200

1400

1600

1800

2000

2200

2400

2600

2800

3000

3200

3400

3600

3800

4000

4200

4400

4600

4800

5000

5200

5400

5600

5800

6000

6200

6400

6600

6800

7000

7200

7400

7600

7800

8000

8200

8400

8600

8800

9000

9200

9400

9600

9800

10000

10200

10400

10600

10800

11000

11200

11400

11600

11800

12000

12200

12400

12600

12800

13000

13200

13400

13600

13800

14000

14200

14400

14600

14800

15000

15200

15400

15600

15800

16000

16200

16400

16600

16800

17000

17200

17400

17600

17800

18000

18200

18400

18600

18800

19000

19200

19400

19600

19800

20000

20200

20400

20600

20800

21000

21200

21400

21600

21800

22000

22200

22400

22600

22800

23000

23200

23400

23600

23800

24000

24200

24400

24600

24800

25000

25200

25400

25600

25800

26000

26200

26400

26600

26800

27000

27200

27400

27600

27800

28000

28200

28400

28600

28800

29000

29200

29400

29600

29800

30000

30200

30400

30600

30800

31000

31200

31400

31600

31800

32000

32200

32400

32600

32800

33000

33200

33400

33600

33800

34000

34200

34400

34600

34800

35000

35200

35400

35600

35800

36000

36200

36400

36600

36800

37000

37200

37400

37600

37800

38000

38200

38400

38600

38800

39000

39200

39400

39600

39800

40000

40200

40400

40600

40800

41000

41200

41400

41600

41800

42000

42200

42400

42600

42800

43000

43200

43400

43600

43800

44000

44200

44400

44600

44800

45000

45200

45400

45600

45800

46000

46200

46400

46600

46800

47000

47200

47400

47600

47800

48000

48200

48400

48600

48800

49000

49200

49400

49600

49800

50000

50200

50400

50600

50800

51000

51200

51400

51600

51800

52000

52200

52400

52600

52800

53000

53200

53400

53600

53800

54000

54200

54400

54600

54800

55000

55200

55400

55600

55800

56000

56200

56400

56600

56800

57000

57200

57400

57600

57800

58000

58200

58400

58600

58800

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

H.H.W.

Flood No 9

M.H.W.

Flood No 14

Flood No 3

H.H.W.

Flood No 9

M.H.W.

H.H.Q.

1000

1000

Flood No 9




Flood No 14

500 (Probable range)


KILOMETERS ABOVE MOUTH OF THE SAVA RIVER (BELGRADE)

200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000


CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION



STRIP MAP A



STRIP MAP B





LEGEND

- Solid Lines Refer to AMG Form # 107 (1-1-61)
- Temporary Dam across
- Proposed Area

Scale 1:10,000

KEY MAP




CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

SALA RIVER
INUNDATION BY
STILLWATER BARRIERS
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by J.E. [illegible] [illegible]
October 1961

PLATE 16

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION



NOTE:

Curves estimated;
See Par. 4-03b and 4-04c

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER
MOSTE DAM
RESERVOIR STORAGE
& OUTLET DISCHARGE
MILITARY HYDROLOGY FIELD BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by LSH Date 26 Mar 1953
Drawn by LSH

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

NO 1305 03/000

1. MOSTE DAM

CHENKUN (TIANJIN)

LITJIA (TIANJIN)

2A00ND (JAPAN)

Final stage of construction

1st stage of construction

Bottom of breach

10m

BREACH 1

LEGEND:

— FLOOD 1

— FLOOD 2

— FLOOD 3


EDGES AFTER NORTH DAM BREACH

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER
DISCHARGE HYDROGRAPHS
MOSTE DAM
ARTIFICIAL FLOODS 1-3


MILITARY HYDROLOGY R&D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by ERE
Date 28 May 1953
Drawn by LAY

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION




BREACH II

FLOOD 4



BREACH III

FLOOD 5



BREACH IV

FLOOD 6

— LITILJA (LITILJA)

— ZAGREB (AGRAM)

LEGEND:
— FLOOD 4
— FLOOD 5
— FLOOD 6

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

HOURS AFTER MOSTE DAM BREACH

SAVA RIVER

DISCHARGE HYDROGRAPHS

MOSTE DAM

ARTIFICIAL FLOODS 4-6

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by SEA Date 27 MAR 1957
Drawn by JLH

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

SECRET

Brach	Water Ml.
10 M	295
10	290
complete	295
10	290

STARA GHADISKA

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

21

SAVA RIVER

**DISCHARGE HYDROGRAPHS
LJUBLJANA BARRER**

ARTIFICIAL FLOODS 7-10

MILITARY HYDROLOGY RE D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by *WLB*

Prepared by AFB Date PA Mac 1964


Drawn by J. H. H.

PLATE 22

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

LEGEND

Flood No.	Breach	Water Ht.
11	100 ft	260
12	do	270
13	200 ft	280
14	do	270




CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

SAVA RIVER
DISCHARGE HYDROGRAPHS
DOLSKO GORGE BARRIER
ARTIFICIAL FLOODS 11-14

MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by E. J. L. --- DATE 25 Mar 1953
Drawn by L. L. H. ---

NOTES AFTER INITIAL DISCHARGE AT DOLSKO GORGE

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION



FLOOD 15
MOSTE DAM OUTLET DISCHARGE

Reservoir at first stage
Construction El. 514.0

FLOOD 16
MOSTE DAM OUTLET DISCHARGE

Reservoir at proposed final
stage. El. 523.5

CONFIDENTIAL
SECURITY INFORMATION

60
SAVA RIVER
DISCHARGE HYDROGRAPHS
MOSTE DAM
ARTIFICIAL FLOODS 15-16
MILITARY HYDROLOGY R & D BRANCH
WASHINGTON DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Prepared by E.B.B. Date 15 March 1957
Drawn by J.K.C.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

EXHIBIT A

**ABSTRACTS OF TECHNICAL LITERATURE
ON THE SAVA RIVER**

	RAY
1. General Description of the SAVA River (Reference 7) *	A-1
2. Hydrologic Features of the SAVA River (References 5, 11, 12, 13) *	A-2
3. Potential Hydraulic Developments of the SAVA River (Reference 14) *	A-4

* References listed in the Bibliography of the Report.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

7

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

EXHIBIT A

ABSTRACTS OF TECHNICAL LITERATURE

ON THE SAVA RIVER

1. General Description of SAVA River

(Basis: Reference 7; "Yugoslavian Rivers," G-2, USFA)

a. Portion in SLOVENIA

(1) On account of the confining character of the KARAVANKA mountain chain, the valley of the upper SAVA forms the only natural entrance gate in the northwest corner of YUGOSLAVIA. The valley of the upper SAVA is confined on both sides by steep flanks; however, it has a wide bed of river gravel. The KARAVANKA mountain chain is joined in the east by the wide and high schistaceous mountains of POHRJE, with broad ridges and deep loose earth, which softens after rains. Further east is the plain of LJUBLJANA (LAIBACH). On the north side of this plain, we find the wild, rocky "SAVINJSKE ALPE" (STYRIAN ALP). In the northwest, outside of the narrow valley of the upper SAVA, the high alpine mountain chain of the TRIGLAV (TRIGLAV 2,865 m) group reaches far toward the south. In the west, we find the JULIAN ALPS, which have a dolomite character and deep valleys with abrupt slopes. From here on, the calcareous plateau with steep precipices continues to the plain of LJUBLJANA.

(2) The SAVA has its origin in the glaciers of the group of TRIGLAV, out of two alpine streams — SAVA-DOLINKA and SAVA-BOHINJKA, which come from the BOHINJSKE JESERO (BOHINJSKE JESERO (BOHINJKA LAKE)). These two streams join at RADOVLJICA. The waterfall of SAVICA is the continuation of the lakes of TRIGLAV. The large basin of LJUBLJANA is the exit of the SAVA out of the region of the ALPS. The northern part of the LJUBLJANA Basin, at approximately 400 m sea elevation is dry and is separated from the wet part in the south by the so-called "LJUBLJANSKI BLATO" (LJUBLJANA MOOR) with its marshy, soft and swampy ground. The moor is, however, cultivated and used as meadows and pastures. The SAVA flows through the northern part of the plain and leaves it through a very narrow gorge towards the east. This gorge between LITJA and SEWNICA (deep and narrow, approximately 600 m) belongs to a steep calcareous chain of mountains called "SAVBERGLAND." In this reach, the SAVINJA flowing from the north joins the KIDANI MOST. It makes a sharp turn toward the south, breaking through the calcareous chain of the SAVBERGLAND. Southeast from the SAVINJA region, the SAVA flows in SAVBERGLAND to the boundary of CROATIA. The land is flat and rolling with isolated, wild mountain ranges. The wide plains are still lacking here as well as in the SAVINJA region, despite the open land and individual basin flats.

(3) The climate of SLOVENIA is wet in the highlands and proportionately dry in the basins. The mean annual precipitation varies from 700 to 2,500 mm. October is considered as the month of highest precipitation. The winter is cold with abundant snowfalls; the summer is slightly warmer than in AUSTRIA.

• Reference listed in the Bibliography of the report.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

7

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

b. Portion in CROATIA, SLAVONIA and M.C.V.

(1) CROATIA and SLAVONIA are characterized by wide and deep stream flats. The stream plains of the S.V., after its exit from the narrow valley above ZAGREB, has the character of a wide basin. Between ZAGREB and the mouth of the VISA River the S.V. depression reaches its largest width. It is composed of rubble fields covered by loam, consisting of humous earth, beginning at SISAK, and gradually changing into black earth towards the east. Southeast of ZAGREB, the depression is further widened by the plain of KARLOVAC. This plain has many swampy parts. In the east, on the north side of the valley of S.V., we find a mountainous area called the POZEGA Mountains. In the middle of these mountains is located the large and rich basin of POZEGA. The wide mountain chain of POZEGA once more confines the wide flat land. The S.V., which in this part is already a very large stream, then enters the DANUBE DEPRESSION. This flat region is called "SRBMA" and has exactly the same character as the wide plains of SOUTH HUNGARY. The "M.C.V." between the low reaches of the DRINA and S.V. Rivers corresponds in characteristics to the flat plain of "SRBMA." In early summer and autumn, much of this region is flooded and, consequently, the ground is very soft. The strips of land along the S.V. River are also subject to inundations. The climate of CROATIA, SLAVONIA and M.C.V. has a distinctive continental character with very warm summers and severe winters with little snow.

(2) The S.V. River is navigable between SISAK (km 990) to its junction with the DANUBE at BELGRADE (km 0). At the beginning of World War II, the YUGOSLAV Government undertook extensive regulation developments on the S.V. River, in order to extend the navigation from SISAK up to ZAGREB. High water appears usually in March and April (also in October and November). The July-September period is a low water season. Navigation is usually interrupted for 60 to 70 days per year, due to ice and to low water conditions. During the last 60 years, numerous protective levees have been built along the S.V.. Usually only local conditions have been taken into account in the planning of these protective hydraulic structures. However, no overall flood protection planning was done. Consequently, many of these protective levees on the lower S.V. are exposed to danger of overtopping and collapse during high flooding conditions. These conditions have resulted in the creation of numerous ponds (often at a great distance from the river), which require permanent drainage.* These drainage works are being performed at present on the "SLAVSKO, ODRAVSKO and TRAVNICKO POLJE" and in the "BOŠNJSKI POSEVINA" (report of the periodical "Tehnika No. 4 of 1949").

2. Hydrologic Features of the Sava River

(Basis: Reference 5: "Jugoslavia," BR. 493

Reference 11: "Jugoslovijska Zemljopisna," Molik

Reference 12: "Italio-Yugoslav Boundary," Woodio

Reference 13: "Polaci, na Regulačija Sava," Pisabić)

a. Drainage Area. The S.V. River has a drainage area of 95,000 km², the largest watershed of any river in Yugoslavia. (Reference 11

* Translator's Note: Original reads "Irrigations," but should logically be "drainage."

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

gives 95,197 km² and Reference 19 gives 94,694 km². It drains 37.1 percent of the entire YUGOSLAV territory. The total length is 1,040 km (according to Reference 19). It is navigable between SISAK and BEOGRAD, a distance of 600 km.

b. Discharge. Reference 11 gives, for the discharge of the SAVA River at junction with the DRINA River at BEOGRAD, a maximum of 4,075 m³/sec, a minimum of 698 m³/sec, and a mean of 1,120 m³/sec, which corresponds to 35.3 km³ mean yearly volume of discharge. Based on more recent information, reference 12 cites 48.2 km³ as the mean yearly discharge volume, corresponding to 1,520 m³/sec.

c. Underground Flow.

(1) The outstanding characteristics of YUGOSLAVIA'S hydrologic conditions are: its underground runoff, rivers, and flows. About 9.5 percent of YUGOSLAV territory consists of so-called "Karst or Kras" (Italian name is "Carso"), a geological phenomenon marked by sinkholes, interspersed with abrupt ridges, protruding rocks, deep caverns, and drained by underground runoff, streams and rivers. Of this underground runoff, 2.6 percent flows towards the SAVA River basin.

(2) Being composed predominantly of limestone of varied age, (Jurassic and Triassic) the surface of the "Karst" is readily dissolved by rainwater and surface drainage. This gradually produces any weak spot into an opening into which the water then sinks to flow underground. This underground inflow into the SAVA region is particularly noticeable in the LJUBLJANA River, a tributary of the SAVA River.

(3) The LJUBLJANA river system receives, in addition to its regular surface water, an appreciable supply of underground water from underground rivers and streams. The northern flow of these underground streams have been traced about 40 km from their origin in the NOTRANSKO region in the JULIAN KARS area. The underground rivers appear on the surface where they are as the so-called "polje." Those of PLANINA and CIRKOVNIC are the two most outstanding. (Plate 3 of this report shows the underground drainage pattern of this region).

(4) "Polje" is a basin-like geological formation, generally very level, enclosed by steep cliffs. They are created by solution of the limestone and dolomite by rainwater. During the winter period of heavy rains, the "polje" are flooded so that temporary lakes are formed, lasting for many months of the year. "Ponorje" (spring) is an open, shaft-like aperture, the diameter being narrow as compared with the depth, which often exceeds several hundred meters. They are usually situated near or on the bottom of "polje" and serve as either inlet or outlet channels, changing from one to the other with the rising or falling of the water levels.

(5) Because of the heavy rainfall in the JULIAN KARS region, the "polje" of this region are often inundated. For example, the CIRKOVNIC "polje" becomes flooded and most of the area becomes a lake

• Reference numbers listed in the Bibliography of the report.

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

for at least 10 months of the year. An area of 30 km² is flooded. The customary period of flooding lasts from October to the end of July. However, inundation of this "polje" lasted for 18 months in 1896-97. The depth of the lake averages 2.5-3 m; occasionally it becomes as deep as 4.0 m. The water flows into and out of the "ponorje" with great rapidity; hence, even in the summer, the conditions of the bed of the CERNICA "LJES" are uncertain. In very dry summers, the lake is completely dried up. Owing to the temporary choking of the "ponorje," it often happens that the inundation of CERNICA and the neighboring PLANINA shows wide fluctuation from year to year. Systematic cave cleaning now takes place, in both the Yugoslav and the Italian parts of the "KRAST" region. This makes the "polje" drain more freely and reduces the extent of the flooded areas.

(6) The cleaning of caves and "ponorje" on one side of the frontier has been known to lead to changes in the flood regime in basins located several miles on the other side of the international boundary. These changes are due to the presence of hitherto unsuspected underground connecting channels. Increases in the intensity of floods in the PLANINA "polje" have sometimes been attributed to cave cleaning in Italy.

(7) The underground waters of the PLANINA and CERNICA "polje," together with the water of the UNCE wells which flow to VIKAVA and VRSNIK in the LJUBLJANA marshland might be advantageously utilized for hydro-electric power development. (See following paragraph 3, an abstract of Reference 14.)

3. Potential Hydraulic Developments of the S.V. River

(Basis: Reference 14; "Saopštenje-Sa Prvog Savetovanja Strucnjaka Jugoslavijsko-Visokim Brahamo," 1950)

a. The S.V. River represents a typical case where the construction of high dams in mountainous parts of the river and its tributaries will simultaneously solve the problems of land improvement, power generation, navigation, and flood protection.

b. The plains and flats of the lower S.V. River are regularly flooded despite the efforts of some regional and local communities to protect the land by protective structures. These floods are particularly serious along the BOSNIAN S.V., where the flooded area covers 78 km² and in the regions of M.CVA, SREM, LONJSKO and CRNA POLJA. Only the BID BOSUT drainage project has sufficient flood protection (p. 303, Reference 11). The only solution for prevention of these floods lies in the building of reservoirs in the mountainous parts of the S.V. watershed. These reservoirs could then retain the runoff from the intense precipitation of the ALPS and KRAST regions.

c. The total area of land along the lower S.V. River below ZAGREB requiring reclamation, is 584 km² of which 414 km² are situated on the right and 170 km² on the left side of the river.

Reference listed in the Bibliography of the report.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

d. The irrigation of these areas would require that at least 60 m³/sec be withdrawn from the SAVA River during low water periods. The minimum discharges of the SAVA River are as follows:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Minimum Flow (m³/sec)</u>
JASNOVAC	75
SAMC	172
SRBSKI MITROVICI	225
BEGRAD	235

Withdrawal of water for irrigation purposes would entirely eliminate navigation on the SAVA River.

e. The navigability of the SAVA River at low stages is very unfavorable. This was particularly obvious during the 1946-50 period. In 1946, navigation was possible for boats of 180 cm draft only up to ZBREZJE. Further upstream navigation had to be discontinued for boats with normal draft. Normally, SAVA River navigation is possible for boats of 120-150 cm draft; at very low stages, for boats of 100-120 cm draft. Many obstacles are found at KUPINOVCI, SRBSKI RACI, SAMC and in the reach to SISK-GRDISKI. A 250 cm minimum navigable depth would be required in order to make the SAVA River navigable for barges of 1,000-ton (metric) capacity and 200 cm draft. In order to insure that depth, the minimum discharge below SISK would have to be increased 62 m³/sec. Adding that to the 60 m³/sec required for irrigation, gives 122 m³/sec to be supplied from retention reservoirs.

f. Following are the possibilities of retention reservoirs in the SAVA region (some of these are already under construction):

<u>River Basin</u>	<u>Storage Capacity (million m³)</u>
LJUBLJANA	230 (150 at PLANINA plus 80 at CIRENICA) both fed by underground water
UNI.	85
SAMA	110
UNGL.	115
KUP.	650
DRINA	500

The KUP. and DRINA Rivers have the greatest effect on the navigability of the SAVA River and consequently on the water stages of the DANUBE River below BELGRADE. The purpose of the retention reservoirs planned for these regions are to be primarily for navigation and irrigation and, secondarily, for hydro-electric power.

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

* References number listed in the Bibliography of the Report.

RECEIVED
FEB 10 1950

EXHIBIT B
ABSTRACTS OF TECHNICAL LITERATURE
ON MOSTE DAM
(Translated from Reference 14)*

	Page
1. Introduction	B-1
2. Geological Conditions	B-2
3. Hydrologic Conditions	B-4
4. Structural Features	B-5
5. Outlet Caisson	B-6
6. Service Bridge	B-6

*Reference number listed in the Bibliography of the report.

RECEIVED
FEB 10 1950

1

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

EXHIBIT B

ABSTRACTS OF TECHNICAL LITERATURE

ON MOSTE DAM

1. Introduction.

a. These abstracts were translated and compiled from 5 articles published in 1951 in BELGRADE under the title "Saopštenja Sa I Savetovanja Strusnjaka Jugoslavije O Visokim Branama" (Transactions - The First Meeting of the Yugoslav National Committee on Large Dams, 18-23 September 1950, ZAGREB) and listed as Reference 14 in the Bibliography of this report.

b. The articles referring to Moste Dam are:

- (1) Marko, L.: Evacuation of High Water and Dissipation of its Energy at Moste Dam.
- (2) Omerca, I.: Experiences Acquired at the Construction of Moste Dam.
- (3) Klaindient, M.: Geological, Geotechnical and Structural Characteristics of Moste Dam.
- (4) Chizel, J.: Statical and Structural Characteristics of Moste Dam.
- (5) Podgorshik, R.: Injections on Hydro-electric Plant at Moste.

c. The articles were written in 1950, when MOSTE DAM was under construction (the construction work started sometime in 1948). The discussions refer to final plans and laboratory experiments performed on models in the Hydraulic Laboratory of the LJUBLJANA Technical University (T.V.S.). The present construction status, the mechanical equipment for operation, and power production facilities for the dam are not described in these articles.

d. According to the articles, MOSTE DAM is to be built in two stages. The first stage provides for construction of the dam up to elevation 514.00 m.a.s.l., which is 9.5 m less than the finally planned elevation of 523.5 m.a.s.l.

2. Geological Conditions.

a. MOSTE DAM is located on the SIVA-DOLINA River astride the gorge known as "LIVONJA TESNA", near ZIROVNE in the ORENOVO district.

- 2 -
RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

For the exact location, see Figs. 1 and 3 on Plate 7 of the report or Fig. 9, p 171 and Fig. 4, p 43 of Reference 14. At the dam, the KAVCKI Gorge is 55-56 m deep. (See Fig. 1, p 161 of Reference 14.) The bottom elevation is 474.00 m. The gorge is only 2 to 4 m wide at the bottom, widening to 18 m at approximately 510.00 m, and to 35 m at elevation 523.50 m. (the proposed ultimate elevation of the dam's crest.) In 1910 (while still under Austria-Hungary) this location was selected for construction of a hydro-electric power plant because of structural advantages and also because the land above the damsite was of small value without communications, or other structures which would be destroyed or damaged by rising waters.

b. The so-called main "Savian Brook" runs across the location of the dam and extends upstream for about 700 m (see Fig. 2, p 116). A narrow, steep range composed of Triassic limestone extends along the S.V. river bed. The S.V. river has eroded its bed twice across the brook and has also penetrated through the limestone crest at the KAVCKI gorge.

c. On the south side of the dam, lies a tertiary chalky clay called "sivice" or "tegol", the surface of which shows a developed erosion. Strata of "sivice" are dispersed along the river bed and along its ridges and are covered by diluvial and alluvial sediments. Subsurface exploration performed on several occasions prior to construction of the dam were unable to determine the thickness of the "sivice" in the S.V. river bed. Drillings and borings on the south side of the dam have gone as deep as 43.5 m below the bottom of the river.

d. On the North, parallel to the limestone range, there is a mass of Triassic dolomite along the whole 590 m of the bottom and left side of the S.V. gorge. The dolomite is covered by a stratum of alluvium, but changes into a hard conglomerate where exposed.

e. During investigations it was confirmed that the geology of the tectonic region is more complicated than was originally assumed.

f. The surface of the eastern part of the brook inclines downward towards the southwest. It gradually becomes vertical and is so at the VOSTE DAM gorge. Farther east, the surface reverses its slope and inclines toward the northeast towards the massive KREKAVANJA mountains. This predominant break affects the whole tectonic zone, and is apparent by the extensive surface creeps. On the limestone crest, there are visible traces of diagonal slides.

g. The main tectonic movement was accomplished during the Tertiary period, perhaps after the Miocene period. The "sivice" was then formed. At the same time, there occurred a horizontal motion as a result of horizontal orogenic forces. The limestone near the upper part of the brook was compressed into "sivice." Other changes in the limestone masses were also produced. With that in mind, we can interpret the origin of the folded mountains and that is weight under the limestone block. That zone is composed predominantly of "sivice" slabs with compressed blocks of limestone and Tertiary sand soil.

RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION

h. The origin of that mixed zone and of the mass of limestone near the brook has long been the subject of discussions among the geologists. Many vertical and inclined drillings have been made. Finally, a large excavation into the core of the mass was undertaken in order to determine the petrographic and geotechnic characteristics of the ground in order to evaluate its stability and permeability.

i. The geological survey of the site of MOSTE damsite began in 1920 under Prof. M. Lukavie as the main consultant. Subsurface explorations by means of deep drilled bores, excavations and diggings were performed in 1931, 1940, and 1946. The geotechnical problems as well as the problem of ground consolidation by the injection method were in the hands of Dr. L. Skaljo, Dr. Yavie, and a French expert, Dr. A. Mayer. The consolidation of the foundation by the injection method was executed by the Swiss firm "Swissboring" with the cooperation of the Yugoslav firm "Elektrosond."

j. All the many expert consultants agree that construction of MOSTE DAM is geologically and technically very difficult. At the beginning of the project, most of them were very optimistic; but later the opinions became divided. Finally they did decide to proceed with the construction as being practicable. However, final decisions are still pending on the questions of required reservoir storage, method of accomplishment and extent of consolidation work in the dam.

k. For foundation purposes, 24 exploratory bores with a total length of 1,438 m were made. The consolidation of the ground by the injection method was performed by injecting 650 tons of cement into 20,000 m of bores. In order to seal the junction of the dam with the walls and sides of the canyon, 1,700 m of borings were injected (see Fig. 3, p 163 and Figs. 1, 2, 3, pp 176, 177 of Reference 14).

3. Hydrologic Conditions.

a. The drainage area of the S.V. River above the dam covers 325 km², and is characterized by a very variable run-off intensity. On the basis of hydrologic data accumulated during the last fifty years (since 1896) at the JESENICE and RADOVLJICA gaging stations, the following values for SAV. River flows were determined:

Flow	m ³ /sec
Yearly low water	7
" mean "	16
" high "	115
Average 5 year high water	150
" 20 " " "	250
" 100 " " "	400
" 1000 " " "	700

The highest recorded high water (in fall of 1926) was 350 m³/sec discharge.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

b. The storage capacity of the lake behind the dam will be 6.89 million m^3 at the 523.50 m.a.s.l. final elevation of the dam crest. Of this, 5.46 million m^3 is to be used for power generation (with a usable head of 13.5 m). In the final stage of development, the height of the dam will be 46.5 m, the length of the lake will be 4.5 km, and the area covered will be 680,000 m^2 .

c. As mentioned above, the dam is being built in two stages. The first stage provides for the dam crest at elevation 514.00 m.a.s.l. At this stage, which is 9.50 m lower than the ultimate stage, the reservoir capacity will be only 2.3 million m^3 and the area of the lake behind the dam 270,000 m^2 .

d. Because of the importance of the dam and also to safeguard the industrial enterprises located downstream, it was decided to use 700 m^3/sec as the design discharge for the outlet structures of the dam. For economic reasons, however, the alternative structure finally selected for construction, has an outlet structure that by-passes the dam through a 5.10 m diameter tunnel and carries only 130 m^3/sec . The remaining 570 m^3/sec of the design discharge would be carried over the top of the dam. The 44 m long curved crest extends over the slopes of the gorge on both sides. A 4.00 m head (527.5 m.a.s.l. elevation) on that length of crest is sufficient to pass the required discharge. (See Figs. 2 and 4 on Plate 7 of the report or Figs. 3 and 4, p 43 of Reference 14).

4. Structural Features.

a. MOSTE DAM is a gravity type dam with a curved crest. (See Figs. 3 and 4 on Plate 7 of the report or Fig. 2 on p 163 of Reference 14). In the final stage, the total volume of masonry will be 26,500 m^3 (corresponding approximately to 260 m^3 of impounded water per 1 m^3 of masonry). The directing vertical axis of the circular dam coincides with the axis of the cylindrical upstream surface of the dam. That radius is 30.00 m. This axis is also the axis of the conical downstream surface of the dam in the upper part, between the crest and the elevation of 495.00 m.a.s.l. At this elevation, the radius of the downstream surface is 16.24 m and its shape changes from a cone into an oblique cylinder of the same radius. From there to the elevation of 487.00 m.a.s.l., the surface is a hyperbolic conoid, followed by a plain cylindrical surface of 29.52 m radius with a horizontal axis, finally reaching the bottom of the stilling basin at 477.00 m.a.s.l. elevation. (See Plate 7 of the report).

b. The dam is constructed in concrete monoliths to minimize movement due to temperature changes. The dam crest is at 523.50 m.a.s.l. and is designed for a 4.0 additional head of water (527.50 m.a.s.l.) at the highest possible flood conditions. The crest is provided with 2 directing concrete ribs to concentrate the overflow into the middle of the downstream surface of the dam. The structure of the overflow crest was tested in the T.V.S. laboratory at LJUBLJANA. (see Figs. 6 & 7, p 43 of Reference 14).

c. The stilling basin has a trapezoidal shape, 30.0 m long, increasing in width from 15 to 20 m. The floor elevation is 477.00 m.a.s.l. It ends with a 5 m high energy-dispersion sill rising in three equal steps

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION

with 1.67 m high and 3.34 m wide. (See Fig. 4 on Plate 7 of the report or Fig. 9, p 44 of Reference 14).

5. Outlet Conduit.

a. The critical features of the outlet conduit are shown on Fig. 2, Plate 7 of the report or on Fig. 10, p 43 of Reference 14. The entrance is a funnel-shaped pyramid. At its smallest cross-section the area is equal to the gate opening. The discharge capacity is 130 m³/sec at normal head. Downstream from the gate the roof of the conduit abruptly rises to 5.1 m in height. The conduit widens and changes shape to a 5.10 m diameter circular tunnel. A shaft inclined at a 65-degree angle from horizontal, joins the conduit downstream of the gate transition. This permits undisturbed entrance of air. (See Fig. 3, Plate 7 of the report or Figs. 4 & 10, pp 42 and 44 of Reference 14). The outlet tunnel is designed to allow the development of a necessary counterpressure before releasing the water into the river bed. With this arrangement at low flow, a hydraulic jump appears near the restricted gate opening. Also the length of the jump is short and is stabilized at one place by this arrangement. At restricted openings, the flow at the outlet is under pressure and its velocity decreases rapidly from 22 m/sec before the jump to 6 m/sec after the jump. This arrangement thus insures that the flow through the curved tunnel is entirely symmetrical at restricted openings.

b. The energy at the exit of the conduit is reduced by two dissipators, located at one-half of the stilling basin length. Downstream of these is a lower concave sill. At the lower end of the basin, there is also vortical end sill. The dissipators are 2.50 m high, 1.25 m wide, and are bevelled in order to decrease the effect of cavitation. The lower sill is 1.60 m high, and 2.00 m wide. The end sill is 4.00 m high. Figs. 11 to 17, pp 45 and 46 of Reference 14, show pictures taken of laboratory experiments on a model of this outlet structure.

6. Service Bridge.

a. Two alternatives were proposed for the bridging of KAVUKA GORGE at MOSTE DAM:

(1) A bridge upstream from the dam at the extension of the existing very steep (14%) highway.

(2) A bridge on top of the dam.

b. The second alternative provides for a bridge with 4 spans and 3 pillars, 2 of which would be located on the two directing concrete ribs mentioned above and the third pillar would be built in the middle of the crest. This alternative involves an additional 1.0 m increase of hydraulic head on the crest because of the interference of the pillars; therefore, the first alternative was preferred.

RESTRICTED
SECURITY INFORMATION