



Navy Contract Number N6ori-17, T.O. IX
ONR Project Number NR 058 005

Tech. Report No. 13 Project RF-280

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
RESEARCH FOUNDATION

THE GERMANIUM-OXYGEN SYSTEM

by

Michael Hoch and Herrick L. Johnston

TR 280-13

February 16, 1953

Technical Report

NOTICE

The Government has the right to reproduce, use, and distribute this report for governmental purposes in connection with the contract under which the report was prepared. To protect the proprietary interests of the contractor and to avoid jeopardy of its obligations to the Government, the report may not be released for governmental use such as might constitute general information without the express prior consent of The Ohio State University Research Foundation.

Cryogenic Laboratory
Department of Chemistry
The Ohio State University
Columbus 10, Ohio

FOREWORD

This work was carried out at The Ohio State University Cryogenic Laboratory under contract with U.S. Navy, Office of Naval Research Contract Number N6ori-17, Task Order IV, ONR Project Number NR 058 039, with The Ohio State University Research Foundation. This report covers information obtained during the study entitled: "High Temperature Thermodynamics of Inorganic Substances." It represents the 13th Technical Report of this series.

Director - - - Herrick L. Johnston

Editor - - - Marjorie Lassettre

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	ii
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	2
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	2
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	3
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	4
REFERENCES	6

ABSTRACT

High temperature x-ray diffraction patterns of germanium plus germanium oxide, GeO_2 , between 750° and 1400° C, showed that germanium monoxide, GeO , is not a stable compound. At elevated temperatures germanium can dissolve up to 60 atom percent of oxygen. The oxygen causes first a slight expansion and then a contraction of the Ge lattice. At 850° and 930° C, the x-ray pattern of a germanium-oxygen compound, consisting of equimolecular quantities of germanium and oxygen, showed only the germanium pattern. At 1000° and higher (above the melting point of pure germanium, 965° C), the germanium pattern disappears, and liquid-like structure is visible, though the sample is still solid (melting point 1430° C). When the temperature is lowered again to 930° C, the germanium pattern reappears. The electrical resistivity of the Ge and GeO_2 mixture shows a sharp break at 970° C. In the germanium-oxygen compound we thus have a disordering of the germanium lattice above the melting point of pure germanium.

INTRODUCTION

The work of Buess and Wartenberg¹ and of Jolly and Latimer² showed that, when a mixture of germanium and germanium dioxide is heated in vacuum, germanium monoxide vaporizes. The solid is, as Jolly and Latimer point out, germanium plus germanium dioxide. A solid compound having the germanium-to-oxygen ratio of 1:1 can be prepared by precipitation from an aqueous solution of divalent germanium with alkali; this compound however is amorphous and thermodynamically unstable.

We proposed to investigate the possibility that GeO has a thermodynamically stable solid phase. For this purpose, we photographed x-ray diffraction patterns of a mixture of Ge and GeO₂ at various temperatures. If GeO is stable at any temperature, then the reaction $\text{Ge(s)} + \text{GeO}_2\text{(s)} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{GeO(s)}$ proceeds to the right. The x-ray diffraction patterns of germanium and germanium dioxide should disappear, and a new pattern, that of GeO, should appear. This, however, was not the case. We found that oxygen is very soluble in germanium, and therefore determined the lattice parameter of germanium as a function of the oxygen content.

Finally, we measured the electrical resistivity as a function of temperature for pure germanium dioxide and for the germanium-germanium dioxide mixture.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The method of obtaining the high-temperature x-ray diffraction patterns has been described elsewhere.³ We want to emphasize that the x-ray samples are compressed rods, 0.03 in. in diameter, 0.25 in. long, which are not contained in a holder but stand by themselves.

For the determination of the lattice constants, the mixture of germanium and germanium dioxide was heated in a helium atmosphere for two hours at 750°C, then rapidly quenched. An x-ray diffraction pattern was photographed in a Norelco 119 mm diameter camera, using Ni-filtered copper K_α radiation. The lattice constants of germanium were determined from the [4, 4, 4] and [7, 1, 1] lines by the method suggested by Cohen.⁴

For the electrical resistivity measurements, the finely pulverized materials were pressed into a quartz tube, 1.25 in. long, 0.60 in. ID. Two platinum wires serving as leads were pushed in to the ends of the material. A Pt-PtRh thermocouple was fastened onto the outside of the quartz tube. This assembly was placed into a larger quartz tube, through which helium or air could be passed. The heating was done in a muffle furnace, and the heating and cooling speeds were 5° per minute between 700° and 1000° C. The resistance was measured with a Leeds and Northrup resistance box.

The germanium and germanium oxide were obtained from The Fairmount Chemical Company.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The GeO_2 was in the hexagonal form and heating it to 900° C for 24 hours, in the presence of a small amount of germanium, did not transform it into the tetragonal form. X-ray diffraction patterns obtained at 870° C, 975° C, and 1090° C showed only the GeO_2 diffraction lines.

Fig. 1 shows the x-ray diffraction patterns of a 1:1 mixture of Ge and GeO_2 , obtained at various temperatures. At 25° C the Ge and GeO_2 lines are both present. At 850° and 930° C only the Ge diffraction pattern is visible. In the patterns taken at 1000° and 1400° C (above the melting point of pure germanium), a liquid-like structure is visible, although the sample is solid. (A liquid sample would melt and crumble down, because the sample is self-supported.) When the temperature is lowered again to 930° C, the germanium diffraction pattern reappears. The melting point taken in the x-ray camera was found to be 1430° C. The rate of solution of GeO_2 in Ge is strongly temperature dependent. At 750° C, 1-1/2 hours are needed to obtain complete solution (disappearance of GeO_2 diffraction lines); at 850° C the solution is completed in 30 minutes.

Table I contains the measured lattice constants of germanium for various oxygen contents, and the data are plotted in Fig. 2. Germanium can dissolve up to 60 atom percent oxygen interstitially.

Figure 3 shows the results of the resistivity measurements; $\log R$ is plotted against $1/T$. The data on Ge + GeO_2 were taken



X-RAY DIFFRACTION PATTERNS OF $\text{Ge} + \text{GeO}_2$,
 SHOWING THE DISSOLUTION OF O IN Ge AND
 THE DISORDERING OF THE Ge LATTICE ABOVE
 THE MELTING POINT OF PURE Ge. (965°C)




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

in a helium atmosphere; the data on Ge + GeO₂ were taken in a helium atmosphere; the data on GeO₂ were taken in air to avoid loss of oxygen from the GeO₂. One set of measurements (not shown) was taken on GeO₂ in a helium atmosphere. The curve had two breaks, similar to that of Ge + GeO₂. The low-temperature break, present in both GeO₂ and Ge + GeO₂, occurs at 920°C; the high-temperature break is present only in Ge + GeO₂ and occurs at 970°C.

TABLE I
LATTICE CONSTANTS OF GERMANIUM
AS A FUNCTION OF THE OXYGEN CONTENTS

Atomic Ratio Ge:O	a_{cO} in A units
1:0.000	5.6447 $\pm$.0004
1:0.2575	5.6454 $\pm$.0004
1:0.495	5.6461 $\pm$.0004
1:0.81	5.6466 $\pm$.0004
1:1.02	5.6461 $\pm$.0004
1:1.32	5.6455 $\pm$.0004

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The high-temperature x-ray diffraction patterns show that, in the temperature range 850° - 1400°C, solid germanium monoxide is not a stable compound. From the work of Jolly and Latimer it follows that below 600° solid GeO is not stable either. The solid solution of oxygen in germanium melts at 1430° C. We can conclude from this that solid GeO has no stability range. From the disappearance of the GeO₂ x-ray diffraction pattern (when Ge + GeO₂ are heated together) it follows that above 750° C Ge can dissolve up to 60 atom percent of oxygen. The oxygen first causes a slight expansion of the germanium lattice, but when its concentration in-

creases, a contraction occurs, due to strong attracting forces between the germanium and oxygen.

The disappearance of the germanium lattice in x-ray diffraction patterns of a compound with a composition Ge:O = 1:1, taken above 965°C (the melting point of pure Ge), indicates that a transition or disordering of the Ge lattice takes place. It may be a random change of place between the germanium and oxygen atoms in the lattice. This change of place, if rapid and frequent enough, would destroy any x-ray diffraction patterns. It is very probable that the frequency of exchange of places increases strongly at the melting point of pure germanium. The break in the resistivity curve of $\text{GeO}_2 + \text{Ge}$, occurring at 970°C (melting point of germanium) substantiates the above-mentioned reasoning. The break in the resistivity, occurring at 920°C and present in pure GeO_2 , is probably due to the oxygen and therefore would not show up in the x-ray diffraction pattern.

REFERENCES

1. W. Buess and H. V. Wartenberg, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem. 266, 281 (1951).
2. W. L. Jolly and W. M. Latimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 5757 (1952).
3. M. Hoch and H. L. Johnston, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 5224 (1953).
4. M. U. Cohen, Rev. Sci. Inst. 6, 68 (1935).

List Revised October 1, 1953

RF Project 280

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR TECHNICAL REPORTS
N6onr-17 Task Order IV
Office of Naval Research
NR 358 039

<u>Addressee</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston 10, Mass	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Office of Naval Research Branch Office The John Crerar Library Building Tenth Floor, 86 E. Randolph St. Chicago 1, Illinois	2
Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 N. Green Street Pasadena 1, California	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Office Branch Office 801 Donahue Street San Francisco 24, California	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern, China Lake, California	1
Commanding Officer Naval Powder Factory Indian Head, Maryland	1

List Revised 10/1/53

RF Project 280

<u>Addressee</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research 346 Broadway New York 13, N. Y.	1
Office of Naval Research Navy Department Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Project Status, Code N482	2
Officer-in-Charge Office of Naval Research, Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, N. Y.	2
Chief of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Chemistry Branch	2
Chief of the Bureau of Aeronautics Navy Department Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code TD-4	2
Chief of the Bureau of Ordnance Navy Department Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code Rexd	2
Chief of the Bureau of Ships Navy Department Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 330	2
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Tech. Info. Officer	6

List Revised 10/1/53

RF Project 280

<u>Addressee</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Chemistry Division	2
Office of the Quartermaster General USA Research and Development Branch Chemistry and Plastics Section Washington, D.C. Attn: Mr. Wm. McC. Lee, Chief	1
Joint Research and Development Board 1712 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. Attn: Mr. R.L. Clark, Program Division	1
Research and Development Group General Statt, Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dr. W.T. Read, Scientific Advisor	1
Research and Development Board Pentagon, Room 3E123 Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Technical Reference Section	1
Commanding General Army Air Forces Pentagon Bldg. Washington 25, D.C.	1
Commanding General Air Materiel Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio Attn: Office of Air Research (MCRRXS) Power Plant Laboratory (MCREXP-3) Central Air Document Office (MCLDSD)	3

List Revised 10/1/53

RF Project 280

<u>Addressee</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
National Bureau of Standards Thermochemistry Laboratory Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dr. F.W. Brickwedde	1
Aerojet Engineering Corporation Azusa, California Attn: Dr. F. Zwicky	1
Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio Attn: Mr. J.B. Holding	1
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico Attn: Dr. J.F. Lemons	1
California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory GALCIT Pasadena 4, California	1
Carnegie Institute of Technology Department of Chemistry Metals Research Laboratory Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Attn: Dr. E. Birchenall	1
Carnegie Institute of Technology Department of Chemistry Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania Attn: Dr. F.D. Rossini	1
John Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 9621 Georgia Avenue Silver Springs, Maryland Attn: Dr. L.R. Hofstad	1

List Revised 10/1/53

RF Project 280

<u>Addressee</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey Attn: Project SQUID	1
Ohio State University Research Foundation Columbus 10, Ohio	1
Ohio State University Department of Chemistry Columbus 10, Ohio Attn: Prof. H. L. Johnston	1
ASTIA Document Service Center Knott Building Dayton 2, Ohio	5
Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	1
Office of Secretary of Defense Pentagon, Room 3D1041 Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Library Branch (R and D)	1
Dr. A. D. Horney Office Scientific Research USAF R and D Command, Box 1395 Baltimore, Maryland	1
Dr. C. W. Beckett, Acting Chief Thermodynamics Section National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1
Defense Research Member Canadian Joint Staff 1746 Massachusetts Ave., N. W. Washington, D. C.	1

List Revised 10/1/53

RF Project 280

Addressee

No. of Copies

Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak
Silver Spring, Maryland
Attn: HL Division

1

Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak
Silver Spring, Maryland
Attn: EF Division

1