

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
AD027665	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	confidential
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO:	Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM:	Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; 07 OCT 1953. Other requests shall be referred to Controlling DoD Organization, Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA.
AUTHORITY	
1 Jun 1961, per document marking; ONR ltr, 28 Jul 1977	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 27665

*Reproduced
by the*

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



DECLASSIFIED
PER AUTHORITY
TAB 461-2-5
DATED JUNE 61

UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

COMMERCIAL SOLVENTS CORPORATION

TERRE HAUTE, INDIANA

TELEPHONE CRAWFORD 7071



AD NO. 27665

ASTIA COPY

October 7, 1953
Copy No. 2Report No. Q-7
(Quarterly Summary)

SUBJECT: OMR Nitropolymer Research

CONTRACT: Nonr-1205(00)

PERIOD COVERED: July 1, 1953 to
September 30, 1953WRITTEN
BY:
John T. Minor
Chief InvestigatorAPPROVED
BY:
Jerome Martin
Director of ResearchTECHNICAL LIBRARY
REDSTONE ARSENAL
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

54FPA 24144

RA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Contract Fulfillment	111
I. SUMMARY	1
II. TECHNICAL	1
A. Introduction	1
B. Nitroethane Purification	1
C. Preparation of Dinitropropanol	2
1. Discussion	2
2. Procedure	4
Distribution of this Report	5

COMMERCIAL SOLVENTS CORP.

Report No. Q-7

CONTRACT FULFILLMENT

This quarterly report is submitted in partial fulfillment of
Contract Nonr-1205(00).

COMMERCIAL SOLVENTS CORP.

Report No. Q-7

I. SUMMARY

A. This quarterly report is the first under Contract Nonr-1205(00) and covers the period from July 1, 1953 to September 30, 1953. The object of the contract is: 1. Conduct research in the synthesis of polynitro compounds to include, but not necessarily be limited to, a review of the chemistry and the processes of preparation of the more useful products of research from the nitropolymer program and investigate the applications of processes not now employed in the preparations. 2. Conduct investigation of the process and prepare a pilot lot of 2,2-dinitropropyl acrylate polymer, not to exceed 1,000 lbs.

B. The more important results and conclusions of the work are presented below.

1. There has been prepared in the pilot plant 1,178 lbs. of 2,2-dinitropropanol.

2. Polymers prepared in the laboratory from each pilot plant batch of 2,2-dinitropropanol have been acceptable as based on solubility and relative viscosity.

II. TECHNICAL PROGRESS

A. INTRODUCTION

The principal effort during the quarter has been concentrated on the production of not more than 1,000 lbs. of 2,2-dinitropropyl acrylate polymer. During this report period, we have prepared 1,178 lbs. of 2,2-dinitropropanol from purified nitroethane by means of the Shechter-Kaplan reaction, and are now ready to proceed with the preparation of the monomer and its subsequent polymerization.

B. NITROETHANE PURIFICATION

Commercial nitroethane was purified by rectification in a 40-plate, pilot plant column. To remove the head cut, a 25 to 1 reflux ratio was used; for the center cut, a 10 to 1 ratio; and for the tails, a 25 to 1 ratio was again used. Mass spectrograph analysis of cuts used are shown in Table 1 and indicates the low concentration of nitromethane obtained as desired.

CONFIDENTIAL

COMMERCIAL SOLVENTS CORP.

Report No. Q-7
Page 2

Table 1

ANALYSIS OF NITROETHANE CUTS USED IN
2,2-DINITROPROPANOL PRODUCTION

Run	Cut	Pounds	% Nitroethane	% Nitroethane	% Nitropropane
311	4	200	0.04	98.86	1.09
311	5	300	0.00	98.73	1.27
311	6	65	0.00	98.30	1.69
311	6A	157	0.00	98.19	1.86
311	7	165	0.00	97.63	2.37
313	4	100	0.08	99.48	0.40
313	5	100	0.01	99.93	0.65
313	6	100	0.00	99.42	0.53
313	7	86	0.00	98.91	1.07
Weighted Mean			0.01	98.60	1.28

C. PREPARATION OF DINITROPROPANOL1. Discussion

The dinitropropanol was prepared in the pilot plant in 1.0 lb. mole runs, using the purified nitroethane in the Shechter-Kaplan reaction. The loss of silver nitrate is much higher than anticipated with no explanation offered as to point of loss or reason for the loss. In an effort to account for some loss, the raffinate, after extraction of the product, was made basic and worked up to recover any silver nitrate which had passed through the reaction. The recovery was small, less than 1% per run.

There was considerable difficulty in isolating solid product from the concentrated extract. If a vacuum of 20 mm. of mercury or better was not obtained in the final concentration, very little solid would separate on cooling the concentrate. If the concentrate was stripped exceedingly well, the material set up to such a high solid content that centrifuging was very difficult. And, if the relative humidity of the air was over 50%, there was considerable loss of solid product from the centrifuge by hygroscopicity.

The yield of solid product was 49.2% which is quite low compared to the reported mean yield of 74.1% in Table 2. The yields in Table 2 were determined by concentrating samples of the extract in the laboratory and weighing the solid residue obtained.

It is interesting that crops 3, 4, 5, and 6 seem to be as high in quality for polymerization purposes as the first and second crops as is shown by Table 3.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

COMMERCIAL SOLVENTS CORP.

Report No. Q-7
Page 3

Table 2

SUMMARY OF PILOT PLANT 2,2-DINITROPROPANOL RUNS

Batch	Recovery of Silver Nitrate	Yield by Lab. Conc.	Crops					
			1	2	3	4	5	6
3	87.9%	67.4%	380 lb.					
4	84.0	72.7						
5	94.3	76.0						
6	98.7	n.s.						
7	90.2	73.4	156					
8	100.0	n.s.						
9	96.8	n.s.						
10	93.3	n.s.		133				
11	88.0	72.0		175	84	46	21	6.5
12	95.7	56.7	41					
13	93.8	66.7						
14	92.1	81.5	135.5					
15	95.4	84.5						
16	90.8	80.7						
Mean	92.9%	74.1%						

Total solids: 1,178 lb.
49.2%

Table 3

2,2-DINITROPROPYL ACRYLATE MONOMER AND POLYMER
FROM PILOT PLANT 2,2-DINITROPROPANOL

Batch	Monomer Yield	Crude Polymer %	Polymer Yield	Washed, Dried Polymer %
5,6,7 (6-68)		3.72		10.2-7
3,4,5,6,7 (6-74)	81%	4.07	67%	6.11
3,4,5,6,7 (6-71)	85	2.74	70	3.62
8,9,10 (6-70)	64	3.74	55	2.78
8,9,10 (6-72)	69	3.32	70	4.16*
11,12,13 (6-75)	75	3.16	62	4.86
2nd crop (6-79)	95	2.74	74	
2nd crop (6-76)	93	2.74	82	
3rd crop (6-77)	92	2.68	84	
4th crop (6-80)	91	2.28	66	

*Analysis: No trace of Cl
Calc'd. for N: 13.72%
Found: 13.98

CONFIDENTIAL

2. Procedure

Salt Solution Preparation: The reaction vessel used in this preparation is equipped with an efficient stirrer and cooling coil. To 276 lb. of condensate water and 76.1 lb. of fractionated nitroethane is added 88.0 lb. of 50% sodium hydroxide solution. When the nitroethane is all in solution as the sodium salt and the temperature is down to 20°C., 83.5 lb. of 36% formaldehyde solution is added at such a rate as to keep the temperature below 20°C. After the formaldehyde solution is all in and the reaction stirred for 1/2 hr., 127 lb. of 40% sodium nitrite solution is added and the solution is ready for the reaction.

Silver Nitrate Solution Preparation: A reaction vessel is used which can be heated and cooled, and contains an agitator. To the recovered filter cake from the previous run in the reaction vessel is added 280 lb. of 60% nitric acid in portions. When the reaction has subsided it is agitated, then slowly heated to about 90°C. After an hour or two at this temperature a check is made to see if the solution is still acidic and the solids all in solution. When the reaction is complete, the solution is cooled, pH adjusted to about 5, and the total weight of solution made up to 800 lbs. with condensate water. A sample is titrated with standard ammonium thiocyanate solution and the silver nitrate concentration brought to 340.0 lb. by adding the required amount of silver nitrate crystals. The pH is finally adjusted to 5.9 ± 0.2 and the solution is ready for the reaction.

Reaction: To the silver nitrate solution is added the salt solution in the shortest possible time, about 15 min., and the resulting slurry stirred for 1/2 hr. During the reaction, the temperature momentarily rises to about 30°C. but is rapidly brought back to cooling water temperature. The slurry is filtered in a 50-gal. filter crock and the precipitate is washed well with water.

Extraction: The filtrate and washings from the above reaction are pumped to a decanter containing the second extract from the previous run. After agitating and separating, this extract is concentrated at 300 mm. mercury pressure to remove solvent. The raffinate is extracted a second time with 560 lb. of ethyl acetate and the extract held for the succeeding batch. The raffinate is made basic with caustic and held for possible recovery of additional silver.

Isolation: The stripped concentrate from the extraction is treated with carbon and combined with two similar batches. These combined batches are then stripped of all volatile material at 20 mm. of mercury pressure or less at 70 to 80°C. This final concentrate is cooled and centrifuged to obtain a first crop of about 150 to 200 lb. (33 to 45%). Second and third crops of good, solid dinitropropanol are obtained by working up the centrifugate to obtain a total solid yield of about 220 lb. (49%).

COMMERCIAL SOLVENTS CORP.

Final Report
Page 5

	No. of Copies		No. of Copies
Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground Maryland Attn: Ballistic Research Labs ORD9G-BLJ	2	Allegany Ballistics Laboratory P. O. Box 210 Cumberland, Maryland	1
Dept. of the Army Office, Chief of Ordnance Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ORDTU	2	Armour Research Foundation of Illinois Institute of Technology Technology Center Chicago 16, Illinois Attn: Mr. F. R. Zimmerman	1
Dept. of the Army Office, Chief of Ordnance Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ORDTX-AR	2	Atlantic Research Corporation 812 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, Virginia	1
Officer in Charge Office, Ordnance Research 2127 Myrtle Drive Duke University Durham, North Carolina	3	U. S. Bureau of Mines 4800 Forbes Street Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania Attn: Dr. Bernard Lewis	2
Commanding Officer Picatinny Arsenal Dover, New Jersey Attn: Library	2	Catholic University of America 7th St. and Michigan Ave., N.E. Washington 17, D. C. Attn: Dr. F. O. Rice	1
Commanding Officer Redstone Arsenal Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Technical Library	2	E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. 10th and Market Streets Wilmington, Delaware Attn: W. F. Jackson	1
Dept. of the Air Force Headquarters, USAF Washington 25, D. C. Attn: DCS/D, DED-AN Col. Paul F. May	1	Hercules Experiment Station Wilmington, Delaware Attn: Dr. A. M. Ball	1
Commanding General Wright Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio Attn: WCEGH-2	1	Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena 3, California	1
Commanding General Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio Attn: WUKEN-3	1	The M. W. Kellogg Company Foot of Danforth Ave. Jersey City, New Jersey Attn: R. A. Miller Special Projects Dept.	1
Aerojet-General Corporation P. O. Box 296 Azusa, California Attn: Librarian Mrs. Myra T. Grenier	2	Arthur D. Little, Inc. 30 Memorial Drive Cambridge 42, Mass. Attn: Dr. C. S. Keever	1
		Arthur D. Little, Inc. 30 Memorial Drive Cambridge 42, Mass. Attn: Dr. W. C. Lothrop	1

	<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>
Midwest Research Institute 4049 Pennsylvania Kansas City, Missouri Attn: Technical Director	1	Thiokol Corporation Redstone Arsenal Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Technical Director	1
University of Minnesota Oak Street Laboratories 2013 University Avenue Minneapolis 14, Minnesota Attn: Prof. B. L. Crawford, Jr.	1	Thiokol Corporation 780 North Clinton Ave. Trenton 7, New Jersey Attn: Mr. H. R. Ferguson	1
National Fireworks Ordnance Corp. West Hanover, Massachusetts Attn: Mr. S. J. Porter	1	Thiokol Corporation Research and Development Dept. 780 North Clinton Ave. Trenton 7, New Jersey Attn: Mr. E. M. Fettes	1
Ohio State University Research Foundation Columbus 10, Ohio Attn: Dr. Harold Shechter	1	U. S. Rubber Company General Laboratories Market and South Streets Passaic, New Jersey Attn: Dr. P. O. Tawney	1
Ohio State University Research Foundation Columbus 10, Ohio Attn: Prof. M. L. Wolfrom	1	Western Cartridge Company East Alton, Illinois Attn: Mr. R. L. Womer	1
Phillips Petroleum Company Bartlesville, Oklahoma Attn: Mr. J. P. Alden	1	British Joint Services Mission Department of the Navy Bureau of Aeronautics Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Aer 12-3	4
Project Squid Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey Attn: Librarian	1	Canadian Joint Staff Department of the Navy Bureau of Aeronautics Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Aer 12-3	4
Rohm and Haas Company Redstone Arsenal Research Division Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Dr. Robert M. Ross	1	Dept. of the Navy Bureau of Aeronautics Washington 25, D. C. Attn: SI-5	1
Solid Propellant Information Agency Applied Physics Laboratory The Johns Hopkins University Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: Mr. P. K. Reilly, Jr.	6	Dept. of the Navy Bureau of Ordnance Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Ad3, Technical Library	1
Standard Oil Company Research Department P. O. Box 431 Whiting, Indiana Attn: Dr. W. H. Bahlke	1	Dept. of the Navy Bureau of Ordnance Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Section Re2d	1

	<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>
Commander U. S. Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California Attn: Technical Library	2	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: TD-4	2
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Air Rocket Test Station Lake Denmark Dover, New Jersey Attn: Technical Library	1	Dr. G. B. Bachman Department of Chemistry Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Powder Factory Indian Head, Maryland Attn: Research and Development Dept.	2	Navy Department Bureau of Aeronautics Representative Aerojet-General Corp. 6352 N. Irwindale Ave. Azusa, California	1
Commander U. S. Naval Proving Ground Vahlgren, Virginia Attn: M. I. Division	1	Dr. Roy Sugimoto Ethyl Corporation 1600 West Eight Mile Road Ferndale 20 Detroit, Michigan	1
Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: Library	1	Dr. Elizabeth F. Wiley Department of Chemistry The Ohio State University Columbus 10, Ohio	1
Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern, China Lake, California Attn: Technical Library Branch	3	Dr. Henry Feuer Department of Chemistry Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1
Dept. of the Navy Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 429	5	Dr. Joseph W. Long Director of Research Central Research Laboratory General Aniline & Film Corp. Lincoln and Coal Streets Easton, Pennsylvania	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office The John Crerar Library Bldg. 10th Floor 86 East Randolph Street Chicago 1, Illinois Attn: Lt. M. C. Laug	1	Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico Attn: Dr. L. V. Kissinger	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California	1		