

ON THE POWER OF A ONE-SIDED TEST OF FIT
AGAINST STOCHASTICALLY COMPARABLE
ALTERNATIVES

By

Z. W. Birnbaum and Ernest M. Scheuer

University of Washington

Technical Report No. 15

June 18, 1954

Contract N8onr-520 Task Order II
Project Number N3-C42-038

Laboratory of Statistical Research
Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington

AD No. 34595
ASTIA FILE COPY

Reproduced

FROM LOW CONTRAST COPY.

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

I INTRODUCTION

Let F be the continuous cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) for the random variable (r.v.) X and F_n the empirical c.d.f. determined by the ordered sample $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ of X . F_n is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < X_1 \\ k/n & \text{for } X_k \leq x < X_{k+1}; \quad k=1, \dots, n-1 \\ 1 & \text{for } x \geq X_n \end{cases}$$

It is known [1] that the probability $P \{F(x) \leq F_n(x) + \varepsilon, \text{ all } x\}$ is a function independent of F . We will use this function to test the hypothesis $F=H$ against the alternative $F=G$. The power of the test will be studied for alternatives G such that $G(x) \leq H(x)$ for all x and such that

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} [H(x) - G(x)] = \delta, \text{ with pre-assigned } \delta > 0.$$

Alternatives of this kind will be called "stochastically comparable, at distance δ from H ." For brevity's sake we shall refer to them as alternatives (A).

We assume throughout that $H \in (F)$, $G \in (F)$ where (F) is the set of all continuous strictly increasing c.d.f.'s.

We test $F=H$ against $F=G$ by the following procedure. To have a test of size α for sample size n we will use the value $\xi_{n,\alpha}$ from Table 1 in [2], obtain an ordered sample $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ of X , determine the empirical c.d.f. of X and reject H if and only if the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad H(x) < F_n(x) + \xi_{n,\alpha}$$

fails to hold for all real x .

The power of this test is the complementary probability to

$$(1.3) \quad P = P\left\{H(x) < F_n(x) + \varepsilon_{n,\alpha}, \text{ for all } x \mid G\right\}.$$

Since (1.2) is satisfied for all x if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad H(X_i) < \frac{i-1}{n} + \varepsilon_{n,\alpha} \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n$$

we have (writing hereafter ε for $\varepsilon_{n,\alpha}$ and noting that $H(x) \leq 1$ for all x)

$$\begin{aligned} P &= P\left\{H(X_i) < \min\left(\frac{i-1}{n} + \varepsilon, 1\right), i=1, \dots, n \mid G\right\} \\ &= P\left\{X_i < \min\left(H^{-1}\left(\frac{i-1}{n} + \varepsilon\right), H^{-1}(1)\right), i=1, \dots, n \mid G\right\} \\ &= P\left\{G(X_i) < \min\left(GH^{-1}\left(\frac{i-1}{n} + \varepsilon\right), GH^{-1}(1)\right), i=1, \dots, n \mid G\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the function L by

$$(1.5) \quad L(v) = \begin{cases} \lim_{v \rightarrow 0+} GH^{-1}(v) & \text{for } v \leq 0 \\ GH^{-1}(v) & \text{for } 0 < v < 1 \\ \lim_{v \rightarrow 1-} GH^{-1}(v) & \text{for } v \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Recall that $U=G(X)$ has the rectangular distribution R in the unit interval when X has c.d.f. G . We conclude

$$(1.6) \quad P = P\left\{U_i < L\left(\frac{i-1}{n} + \varepsilon\right), i=1, \dots, n \mid R\right\}.$$

Clearly $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ is an ordered sample of the U 's. Since the joint probability distribution of $(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$ is equal to $n!$ for $0 \leq U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \dots \leq U_n \leq 1$ and zero elsewhere, we have

$$(1.7) \quad P = n! \int_0^{L(\varepsilon)} \int_{U_1}^{L(\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon)} \dots \int_{U_{n-1}}^{L(\frac{n-1}{n} + \varepsilon)} dU_n \dots dU_2 dU_1.$$

If $H(x) \equiv G(x)$, then $L(V) \equiv V$ for $0 < V < 1$ and (1.7) reduces to formula (3.3) in [2]. If H and G are given, it may be possible to evaluate P , and hence the power $1-P$, from (1.7) by quadrature, or one may compute it by numerical integration. In the general case for alternatives (A) it is possible to derive from (1.7) inequalities for the power, as will be seen.

II ALTERNATIVES (a)

For given hypothesis H , we consider here alternatives G such that $G(x) \leq H(x)$ for all x and such that $\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} [H(x) - G(x)] = \delta$ for pre-assigned $\delta > 0$. In view of the assumption that $H \in (F)$, $G \in (F)$, the supremum is actually attained, say at X_0 . That is,

$$H(X_0) - G(X_0) = \delta.$$

For intuitive reasons one may expect that under these restrictions the power of our test will be close to its minimum when G is close to the function G^* defined by

$$(2.1) \quad G^*(x) = \begin{cases} H(x) & \text{for } x < H^{-1}(U_0) \\ U_0 & \text{for } H^{-1}(U_0) \leq x < X_0 \\ H(x) & \text{for } x \geq X_0 \end{cases}$$

where $U_0 = H(X_0) - \delta = G(X_0)$.

To verify this conjecture we consider

$$(2.2) \quad L^*(V) = G^* H^{-1}(V) = \begin{cases} V & \text{for } 0 < V < U_0 \\ U_0 & \text{for } U_0 \leq V < V_0 \\ V & \text{for } V_0 \leq V < 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } V \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $V_0 = H(X_0)$.

Let

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} j &= \lfloor n(U_0 - \varepsilon) \rfloor \\ k &= \lfloor n(V_0 - \varepsilon) \rfloor \\ \ell &= \lfloor n(1 - \varepsilon) \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

where $\lfloor A \rfloor$ = greatest integer less than A .

We want to show $L(V) \leq L^*(V)$ for all V . We note first that for $0 < V < 1$

$$(2.4) \quad L(V) \leq V.$$

Suppose $X = H^{-1}(V)$. Then $V = H(x)$ and $G(x) = GH^{-1}(V) = L(V)$. By assumption $G(x) \leq H(x)$, therefore $L(V) \leq V$.

We note next that for $j+1 \leq m \leq k$ we have $\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon \leq \frac{k}{n} + \varepsilon \leq V_0$. Therefore

$$(2.5) \quad L\left(\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon\right) \leq L(V_0) = U_0 \quad \text{for } j+1 \leq m \leq k.$$

Summing up

$$L(V) \leq V = L^*(V) \quad \text{for } 0 < V < U_0 \text{ and } V_0 < V < 1,$$

which means

$$(2.6) \quad L\left(\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon\right) \leq L^*\left(\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon\right) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq j \text{ and } k+1 \leq m \leq \ell$$

Further, $L(V) \leq 1$ always, so

$$(2.7) \quad L\left(\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon\right) \leq 1 = L^*\left(\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon\right) \quad \text{for } \ell+1 \leq m.$$

Formulas (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) show us that replacing in (1.7) the function L by the function L^* in the upper limits of integration will

not decrease these limits. Hence

$$(2.8) \quad P \leq n! \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{n} + \epsilon} \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_j}^{U_0} \dots \int_{U_{k+1}}^{U_0} \int_{U_{k+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_l}^{\frac{l}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_{l+1}}^1 \dots \int_{U_{n-1}}^1$$

$$dU_n \dots dU_{l+2} dU_{l+1} \dots dU_{k+2} dU_{k+1} \dots dU_{j+2} dU_{j+1} \dots dU_2 dU_1.$$

Denote the integral on the right by I . We proceed to evaluate I .

By (2.1) of 2, we have

$$\int_{U_{l+1}}^1 \dots \int_{U_{n-1}}^1 dU_n \dots dU_{l+2} = \frac{(1-U_{l+1})^{n-l-1}}{(n-l-1)!}, \text{ so}$$

$$(2.9) \quad I = \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{n} + \epsilon} \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_j}^{U_0} \dots \int_{U_{k+1}}^{U_0} \int_{U_{k+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_l}^{\frac{l}{n} + \epsilon} \frac{(1-U_{l+1})^{n-l-1}}{(n-l-1)!}$$

$$dU_{l+1} \dots dU_{k+2} dU_{k+1} \dots dU_{j+2} dU_{j+1} \dots dU_2 dU_1.$$

Next we want to evaluate

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{U_{k+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_l}^{\frac{l}{n} + \epsilon} \frac{(1-U_{l+1})^{n-l-1}}{(n-l-1)!} dU_{l+1} \dots dU_{k-2}$$

Instead we evaluate a slightly more general expression. We shall have occasion to use it later in our discussion as well as now.

Let

$$(2.11) \quad T_j(c, d, \epsilon) = \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{n} + \epsilon} \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_j}^{\frac{j}{n} + \epsilon} (c - U_{j+1})^d dU_{j+1} \dots dU_2 dU_1$$

Then we have

LEMMA 1:

$$(2.12) \quad T_j(c, d, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(d+j+1)!} \left[c^{d+j+1} - \varepsilon \sum_{t=0}^j \frac{(d+j+1-t)!}{t!} (c-n)^t (z+n)^{t-1} \right]$$

PROOF: By induction on j .

1) For $j=0$, trivial.

2) Assume the result true for all j , $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m+1}(c, d, \varepsilon) &= \int_0^c \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon} \dots \int_{U_m}^{\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon} \int_{U_{m+1}}^{\frac{m+1}{n} + \varepsilon} (c - U_{m+2})^d dU_{m+2} \dots dU_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{d+1} \int_0^c \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon} \dots \int_{U_m}^{\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon} (c - U_{m+1})^{d+1} dU_{m+1} \dots dU_1 \\ &= \frac{(c - \frac{m+1}{n} - \varepsilon)^{d+1}}{d+1} \int_0^c \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon} \dots \int_{U_m}^{\frac{m}{n} + \varepsilon} dU_{m+1} \dots dU_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{d+1} T_m(c, d+1, \varepsilon) - \frac{(c - \frac{m+1}{n} - \varepsilon)^{d+1}}{d+1} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{(m+1)!} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{n} \right)^m \end{aligned}$$

(See (2.2) of [2].)

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{m+1}(c, d, \varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{d+1} \cdot \frac{(d+1)!}{[(d+1)+m+1]!} \left[c^{(d+1)+m+1} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. \varepsilon \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{(d+1)+m+1}{t} \left(c - \frac{t}{n} \varepsilon\right)^{(d+1)+m+1-t} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{t}{n}\right)^{t-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \varepsilon \frac{[(d+1)+m+1]!}{(b+1)!(m+1)!} \left(c - \frac{m+1}{n} \varepsilon\right)^{d+1} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{m+1}{n}\right)^m \right] \\
&= \frac{d!}{[d+(m+1)+1]!} \left[c^{d+(m+1)+1} - \varepsilon \sum_{t=0}^{m+1} \binom{d+(m+1)+1}{t} \left(c - \frac{t}{n} \varepsilon\right)^{d+(m+1)+1-t} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left(\varepsilon + \frac{t}{n}\right)^{t-1} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Expression (2.10) is evaluated from (2.12) as follows. In (2.10) make the change of variables

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & u_{k+2} - u_{k+1} = v_1 \\
& \vdots \\
& u_{\ell+1} - u_{\ell} = v_{\ell-k}
\end{aligned}$$

with Jacobian unity. (2.10) becomes

$$\frac{1}{(n-\ell-1)!} \int_0^\Theta \int_{v_1}^{1-\Theta} \dots \int_{v_{\ell-k-1}}^{1-\Theta} (1-u_{k+1}-v_{\ell-k})^{n-\ell-1} dv_{\ell-k} \dots dv_1$$

where $\Theta = \frac{k+1}{n} + \varepsilon \cdot \frac{n}{k+1}$.

By (2.11) this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} \ell_{-k-1}^{(1-U_{k+1}, n-k-1, \varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} \left[(1-U_{k+1})^{n-k-1} \right. \\
 & \left. \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} \binom{n-k-1}{t} \left(1-\varepsilon - \frac{k+t+1}{n}\right)^{n-t-k-1} \left(\frac{k+t+1}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1}\right)^{t-1} \right] \\
 & = \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} \left[(1-U_{k+1})^{n-k-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1}\right) \right. \\
 & \left. \sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\ell} \binom{n-k-1}{n-\nu} \left(1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n}\right)^{n-\nu} \left(\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1}\right)^{\nu-k-2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing our progress to this point, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n}+\varepsilon} \cdots \int_{U_j}^{\frac{1}{n}+\varepsilon} \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} \cdots \int_{U_k}^{U_0} \frac{(1-U_{k+1})^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} dU_{k+1} \cdots dU_1 \\
 (2.14) &= \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n}+\varepsilon} \cdots \int_{U_j}^{\frac{1}{n}+\varepsilon} \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} \cdots \int_{U_k}^{U_0} \frac{(\frac{k+1}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1})}{(n-k-1)!} \sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\ell} \binom{n-k-1}{n-\nu} \left(1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n}\right)^{n-\nu} \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1}\right)^{\nu-k-2} dU_{k+1} \cdots dU_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the second integral in (2.14) write

$$\left(\frac{k+1}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1}\right) \text{ as } \left(\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{\nu}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_{k+1}\right)$$

and place this factor inside the summation appearing in the integrand.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} \{ S(1, n-k-1) \\
 (2.15) \quad &= \sum_{\nu=k+2}^{\ell} \left(\frac{k+1-\nu}{n} \right) \binom{n-k-1}{n-\nu} (1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n})^{n-\nu} S(\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon, \nu-k-1) \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{n-k-1}{n-\nu} \right) (1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n})^{n-\nu} S(\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon, \nu-k-1) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.16) \quad S(a, b) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon} \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon} \dots \int_{U_j}^{\frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon} \int_{U_{j+1}}^1 \dots \int_{U_k}^{U_0} (a - U_{k+1})^b dU_{k+1} \dots dU_1.$$

We now wish to evaluate $S(a, b)$. To this end let us first examine

$$(2.17) \quad R_k(a, b) = \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} \dots \int_{U_k}^{U_0} (a - U_{k+1})^b dU_{k+1} \dots dU_{j+2}.$$

LEMMA 2:

$$(2.18) \quad R_k(a, b) = \frac{b!}{(b+k-j)!} \sum_{r=0}^b \binom{b+k-j}{r} (a - U_0)^r (U_0 - U_{j+1})^{b+k-j-r}$$

PROOF: By induction on k .

1) For $k=j+1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{j+1}(a, b) &= \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} (a - U_{j+2})^b dU_{j+2} \\
 &= \frac{(a - U_{j+1})^{b+1}}{b+1} - \frac{(a - U_0)^{b+1}}{b+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The formula yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{b!}{(b+1)!} \sum_{r=0}^b \binom{b+1}{r} (a - U_0)^r (U_0 - U_{j+1})^{b+1-r} \\
 &= \frac{1}{b+1} \left[\sum_{r=0}^{b+1} \binom{b+1}{r} (a - U_0)^r (U_0 - U_{j+1})^{b+1-r} - (a - U_0)^{b+1} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{b+1} \left[(a - U_{j+1})^{b+1} - (a - U_0)^{b+1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

2) Assume the result true for all k , $j+2 \leq k \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{m+1}(a, b) &= \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} \dots \int_{U_m}^{U_0} \int_{U_{m+1}}^{U_0} (a - U_{m+2})^b dU_{m+2} \dots dU_{j+2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{b+1} \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} \dots \int_{U_{m+1}}^{U_0} (a - U_{m+1})^{b+1} dU_{m+1} \dots dU_{j+2} \\
 &= \frac{(a - U_0)^{b+1}}{b+1} \int_{U_{j+1}}^{U_0} \dots \int_{U_m}^{U_0} dU_{m+1} \dots dU_{j+2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{b+1} K_m(a, b+1) - \frac{(a - U_0)^{b+1}}{b+1} \cdot \frac{(U_0 - U_{j+1})^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \\
 &= \frac{1}{b+1} \cdot \frac{(b+1)!}{(b+1+m-j)!} \sum_{r=0}^{b+1} \binom{b+1+m-j}{r} (a - U_0)^r (U_0 - U_{j+1})^{b+1+m-j-r} \\
 &\quad - \frac{(a - U_0)^{b+1}}{b+1} \cdot \frac{(U_0 - U_{j+1})^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \\
 &= \frac{b!}{[b + (m+1) - j]!} \sum_{r=0}^b \binom{b + (m+1) - j}{r} (a - U_0)^r (U_0 - U_{j+1})^{b + (m+1) - j - r}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Thus we have now:

$$(2.19) \quad S(a, b) = \frac{b!}{(b+k-j)!} \sum_{r=0}^b \binom{b+k-j}{r} (a-U_0)^r \int_0^\epsilon \int_{U_1}^{\frac{1}{n} + \epsilon} \dots \int_{U_j}^{\frac{j}{n} + \epsilon} (U_0 - U_{j+1})^{b+k-j-r} dU_{j+1} \dots dU_2 dU_1.$$

The integral in the above expression is easily evaluated by Lemma 1. In the notation of the lemma, this integral is equal to

$$T_j(U_0, b+k-j-r) = \frac{(b+k-j-r)!}{(b+k+1-r)!} \left[U_0^{b+k+1-r} - \epsilon \sum_{t=0}^j \binom{b+k+1-r}{t} (U_0 - \frac{t}{n} - \epsilon)^{b+k+1-r-t} (\epsilon + \frac{t}{n})^{t-1} \right].$$

Summarizing our results, we obtain

LEMMA 3:

$$(2.20) \quad S(a, b) = \frac{b!}{(b+k+1)!} \sum_{r=0}^b \binom{b+k+1}{r} (a-U_0)^r \left[U_0^{b+k+1-r} - \epsilon \sum_{t=0}^j \binom{b+k+1-r}{t} (U_0 - \frac{t}{n} - \epsilon)^{b+k+1-r-t} (\epsilon + \frac{t}{n})^{t-1} \right].$$

where $S(a, b)$ is defined by (2.16).

Substituting into (2.15) and after a bit of not difficult algebra, we obtain the

THEOREM: For given hypothesis $H \in (F)$ and for all alternatives $G \in (F)$ such that $G(x) \leq H(x)$ for all x and such that $H(X_0) - G(X_0) = \delta$ for given δ and some X_0 , the power of the test described in the introduction is at least as large as $(1-n!1)$ where

(2.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
n! I &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n}{r} (1 - U_0)^r U_0^{n-r} \\
&- \varepsilon \sum_{r=0}^{n-k-1} \sum_{t=0}^j \binom{n}{r,t} (1-U_0)^r (U_0 - \frac{t}{n} - \varepsilon)^{n-r-t} (\varepsilon + \frac{t}{n})^{t-1} \\
&+ \sum_{\nu=k+1}^l \sum_{r=0}^{\nu-k-2} \binom{n-1}{n-\nu,r} (1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n})^{n-\nu} (\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_0)^r U_0^{\nu-1-r} \\
&- \varepsilon \sum_{\nu=k-2}^l \sum_{r=0}^{\nu-k-2} \sum_{t=0}^j \binom{n-1}{n-\nu,r,t} (1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n})^{n-\nu} (\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_0)^r \cdot \\
&\quad (U_0 - \frac{t}{n} - \varepsilon)^{\nu-1-r-t} (\varepsilon + \frac{t}{n})^{t-1} \\
&- \sum_{\nu=k+1}^l \sum_{r=0}^{\nu-k-1} \binom{n}{n-\nu,r} (1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n})^{n-\nu} (\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_0)^r U_0^{\nu-r} \\
&+ \varepsilon \sum_{\nu=k+1}^l \sum_{r=0}^{\nu-k-1} \sum_{t=0}^j \binom{n}{n-\nu,r,t} (1-\varepsilon - \frac{\nu}{n})^{n-\nu} (\frac{\nu}{n} + \varepsilon - U_0)^r \cdot \\
&\quad (U_0 - \frac{t}{n} - \varepsilon)^{\nu-r-t} (\varepsilon + \frac{t}{n})^{t-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and where

$$\binom{n}{a,b,\dots} = \frac{n!}{a!b!\dots(n-a-b-\dots)!}$$

this lower bound as a function of $H(X_0)$, δ , ε cannot be improved, since for any given $H \in (F)$, X_0 , ε , and δ we can construct a $G \in (F)$ arbitrarily close to G^* .

The upper bound for the power is the same as that obtained in [3] although a different alternative is considered there. The upper bound is

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{n}{i} (1-\varepsilon+\delta-\frac{1}{n})^{n-i} (\varepsilon-\delta+\frac{1}{n})^{i-1} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \geq \delta$$

where $m = \lceil n(1-\varepsilon+\delta) \rceil$ and the upper bound 1 for $\varepsilon < \delta$. This upper bound cannot be improved.

REFERENCES

- (1) A. Wald and J. Wolfowitz, "Confidence limits for continuous distribution functions", Ann. Math. Stat. vol. 10 (1939), pp. 105-118.
- (2) Z. W. Birnbaum and Fred H. Tingey, "One-sided confidence contours for probability distribution functions", Ann. Math. Stat. vol. 22 (1951), pp. 592-596
- (3) Z. W. Birnbaum, "On the power of a one-sided test of fit for continuous probability functions", Ann. Math. Stat. vol. 24 (1953), pp. 434-439

Chief of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 432 (Mathematics Branch)	5	Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern, China Lake, California	1
Dr. E. Lukacs Head, Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	5	Chief of Naval Operations Operation Evaluation Group-OP 374 The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1
Scientific Section Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, Calif. Attn: Dr. J. Wilkes	2 1	Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 438 (Mechanics Branch)	2
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Officer	6	Office of Naval Research Logistics Branch - Code 436 T-3 Building Washington 25, D. C.	1
Office of the Assistant Naval Attache for Research Naval Attache American Embassy Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2	ASTIA Documents Service Center Knott Building Dayton 2, Ohio	5
Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 844 North Rush Street Chicago 11, Illinois	1	Headquarter, USAF Director of Research and Development Washington 25, D. C.	1
Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York	1	Assistant Chief of Staff, G-4 for Research and Development U. S. Army Washington 25, D. C.	1
Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California	1	Chairman Research and Development Board The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1
		Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	1
		Commander, Air Force Personnel and Training Research Center Attn: Director, Personnel Research Laboratory Lackland Air Force Base, Texas	1

National Bureau of Standards Institute for Numerical Analysis 405 Hilgard Avenue Los Angeles 24, California	2	Department of Mathematical Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	2
Chief, Statistical Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor J. Neyman Statistical Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California	2
RAND Corporation 1500 Fourth Street Santa Monica, California	1	Professor S. S. Wilks Department of Mathematics Princeton, New Jersey	1
Applied Mathematics and Statistics Laboratory Stanford University Stanford, California	3		
Professor Carl E. Allendoerfer Department of Mathematics University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington	1		
Professor W. G. Cochran Department of Biostatistics The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 5, Maryland	1		
Professor Benjamin Epstein Department of Mathematics Wayne University Detroit 1, Michigan	1		
Professor Herbert Solomon Teachers College Columbia University New York, New York	1		
Professor W. Allen Wallis Committee on Statistics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1		
Professor J. Wolfowitz Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1		