THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

rmed Services Technical Information Agency

Because of our limited supply, you are requested to return this copy WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PURPOSE so that it may be made available to other requesters. Your cooperation will be appreciated.

AD

41733

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by

DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED

AD No. 40133
ASTIA FILE COPY

THE MUNICIPAL UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA

PERFORMANCE TESTS OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE

by A.M. Heinrich

Engineering Report No. 131

for the Office of Naval Research
Contract N-onr 201(01)

February 1954
University of Wichita
School of Engineering
Wichita, Kansas

PERFORMANCE TEST OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE

by A.M. Heinrich

Engineering Report No. 131

for the Office of Naval Research
Contract N-onr 201(01)

February 1954
University of Wichita
School of Engineering
Wichita, Kansas

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	1
SYMBOLS AND SUBSCRIPTS	2
APPARATUS	3
TESTS	
Measurements	4
Data Recorded	5
PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	7
CONCLUSIONS	8
REFERENCES	8
APPENDICES	
A.- Steam Nozzle Design and Calibration	9
B.- Steam Generator and Superheater	16
C.- Test Log of SISA-1 Jet Pump	19
FIGURES	26

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
1.- Planform outline; side-inlet, steam-air jet pump.	26
2.- Cross section of SISA-1 jet-pump at nozzle exit.	27
3.- Side-inlet, steam jet pump with an inboard nozzle.	28
4.- Arrangement of mixing-tube pressure taps and throat-adjustment bolts.	29
5.- Exit orifice installation.	29
6.- Arrangement of mixing-tube static pressure taps.	30
7.- Arrangement of suction-slot pressure taps.	30
8.- Suction slot, static-pressure taps and cascaded mixing-tube throat.	31
9.- Multitube manometer with static pressures of suction slot on left and mixing-tube throat on right.	31
10.- Multitube manometer with mixing-tube static pressures on right and inboard suction-slot static pressures on left.	32
11.- Instrumentation schematic.	33
12.- Mixing-tube temperature survey probe.	34
13.- Mixing-tube, total-pressure survey probe.	35
14.- Variation of pressure ratio with mass ratio.	36
15.- Variation of efficiency with mass ratio.	37
16.- Distribution of available energy efficiency.	38
17.- Variation of suction-duct, total-pressure loss with flow quantity.	39
18.- Influence of jet total pressure and pressure ratio on suction-slot quantity distribution.	40
19.- Suction-duct flow pattern with slot and throat throttling.	47
20.- Suction-slot width variation.	48
21.- Mixing-tube entrance throat widths.	50

Figures Page

22.- Influence of jet total pressure and pressure ratio on throat static-pressure distribution. 51

23.- Influence of jet total pressure and slot throttling on mixing-tube, static-pressure distribution. 53

24.- Influence of jet total pressure and pressure ratio on mixing-tube, total-pressure distribution. 55

25.- Influence of jet total pressure and pressure ratio on temperature distribution. 66

PERFORMANCE TEST OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE

SUMMARY

An experimental investigation was conducted to determine the performance of a side-inlet, steam-to-air jet pump with an inboard nozzle. A jet pump with a cylindrical mixing tube was tested for mass ratio, pressure ratio, and efficiency. The transfer of the available energy in the primary flow to the secondary flow and the influence on performance of controlling the direction of secondary air flow into the mixing tube were also investigated.

Performance curves are presented together with curves showing mixing-tube, cross-sectional distributions of temperature and total pressure taken at several survey stations. This report is the first in a series on jet pumps with different taper ratio mixing tubes.

INTRODUCTION

The application of circulation control to an airplane wing has been proved practical and advantageous. A typical form of application consists of combined sucking and blowing air through slots adjacent to trailing-edge flaps and ailerons (the 'Arado' system). Since the basic structural components of an airplane wing are generally located in the forward area of the wing a pumping system for circulation control may conveniently fit within the area between flaps and ailerons, and the rear span.

The pumping unit for such a circulation control system may take the form of a jet-pump, which is light in weight and simple in operation. The suction section, or intake of the pumping unit, requires a compact arrangement of suction slot and jet-pump mixing tube; this led to the concept of the side-inlet-flow jet pump. A short, direct flow path is thereby provided for the secondary air to the mixing tube. It is the suction duct and mixing tube of such a system that is the subject of this report (see fig. 1). The particular arrangement (SISA-1) involved a cylindrical mixing tube.

A primary fluid with known thermodynamic properties was desirable. This fluid had to have a variable energy level and it was desirable that it be produced by an easily controlled generator. The energy level and characteristics of the primary fluid were to be comparable to those of an actual installation. Superheated steam, since it conveniently simulated products of combustion (of hydrogen peroxide), was selected as the primary fluid.

The purpose of this report was to organize the data and present the test results in a manner usable for subsequent comparative evaluation. Also, the experimental procedures have been explained. The design, construction and tests of the jet pump were performed by the University of Wichita, School of Engineering under the authority of Contract N-onr 201(01) from the Air Branch of the Office of Naval Research.

SYMBOLS

D	diameter of mixing tube or orifice, inches
L	length of mixing tube, inches
m	mass, slugs
ρ	mass density, slugs/ft^3
Q	heat quantity, BTU
h	enthalpy, BTU/lb
T	absolute temperature, °R
t	temperature °F
p_t	total pressure, lbs/ft^2
p	static pressure, lbs/ft^2
P	power, ft-lb/sec
W	work, ft-lb
J	mechanical equivalent of heat, 778 ft-lb/BTU
V	velocity, ft/sec
v	local velocity, ft/sec
g	gravitational acceleration, ft/sec^2
q	volumetric flow rate, ft^3/sec
w	weight flow rate, lbs/sec
α	pressure ratio = p_{t_3}/p_{t_0}
μ	mass ratio = w_s/w_j
η	efficiency = P_{eff}/P_{in}

SUBSCRIPTS

0	free-stream or ambient conditions
1	suction-slot condition
2	mixing-tube-entrance throat condition
3	mixing-tube exit condition
AE	available energy
e	nozzle exit
f	friction
j	primary or jet flow
s	secondary flow
m	mixture of primary and secondary flow
x	axial position from the inboard end of mixing tube
in	input
eff	effective

APPARATUS

Figures 1 through 8 show the constructional details and arrangement of the side-inlet, stream-to-air jet pump. The principle parts of the model were fabricated from sheet metal and supported by a plywood framework. This assembly was mounted on and held in position with respect to the jet nozzle by an adjustable metal stand attached to the floor.

Schematics of the side-inlet steam jet pumps are shown as figures 1 and 2. The secondary air enters the suction slot, traverses the suction duct and enters the mixing tube through the throat cascade. Within the mixing tube the secondary air mixes with the higher velocity primary jet. Energy of the primary jet is transferred to the secondary air during the mixing process and the mixture is then ejected through the diffuser and blowing tube.

The model physical variables included suction-slot width, mixing-tube entrance throat width, and exit throttle diameter. Width of the suction slot was controlled by adjustmentment of the intake throttle shown in figure 2. The throat width was controlled by adjustment of the bolts attached to the suction duct at the throat as shown in figures 2 and 4. Figure 5 shows the installation of an orifice-type exit throttle, and figures 6 and 7 show typical test setups.

For the pressure measurements a variety of manometers were used as shown in figures 9 and 10. An instrumentation schematic is given as figure 11. Alcohol was used as the manometer fluid, except in the few cases where mercury was necessary. Ambient air and liquid temperatures were taken with mercury thermometers, while iron-constantan thermocouples were used to measure steam temperatures with an indicating potentiometer.

A total-pressure survey tube and a temperature survey probe were constructed to give approximately the stagnation conditions (i.e., turbulence effects and recovery factor were neglected). It was found necessary to insulate the temperature probe tip from the brass body to reduce heat transfer. Phenolic fiber was satisfactory for this purpose.

The primary fluid (superheated steam) was supplied by a Besler Corporation steam-generator-superheater system. These units supplied steam at pressures from 225 to 325 psig with temperatures from saturation to 650°F. A description of this equipment is given in Appendix B and reference 2. The steam nozzles were designed, fabricated and calibrated as described in Appendix A.

TESTS

The test procedures and data recording were in accordance with the pre-test report (Ref. 1). Initial adjustments of the throat and slot widths were accomplished in a series of short runs to obtain the desired suction-slot flow-quantity distribution. The maximum mass ratio was obtained by adjustments of the suction-slot throttle rather than the entrance throat. Suction-slot throttling was used for all tests subsequent to this initial investigation, even though secondary-flow-quantity distribution was more difficult to obtain with suction-slot throttling, and large spanwise slot-width variations were required.

The following measurements and variations to the model configuration were then performed.

Measurements.- 1. Suction slot area.
2. Mixing-tube-entrance throat area.
3. Suction slot static-pressure distribution.
4. Mixing-tube entrance throat static-pressure distribution.
5. Mixing-tube cross-sectional, total-pressure distribution.
6. Configuration changes:
   Repetition of the above measurements in successive steps from the condition of an open exit tube to a condition of restricted exit producing incipient reverse flow in the suction slot.

Data Recorded.- All data listed under 'Measurements' were recorded, together with the following:

1. Suction-slot air temperature.
2. Throat air temperature.
3. Mixing-tube-flow temperature distribution at each survey station.
4. Steam temperature.
5. Steam pressure.
6. Barometric pressure.

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The jet-pump performance parameters were detailed in the pretest report (Ref. 1). The influence of specific impulse on performance will be evaluated later. Total-pressure losses in the suction duct were determined from average velocities and pressures found by integrating the flow-quantity distribution curves. The throat flow distribution was not similar to the slot distribution because of cross flow in the suction duct (Fig. 25). Therefore, the spanwise variation of the losses was not determined.

The average suction-duct losses were determined by the following procedure-

a) Bernoulli's equation at the suction slot is

$$p_{t_0} = p_{t_0} + p_1 + \frac{\rho_1 V_1^2}{2} \text{ , or, } -p_1 = \frac{\rho_1 V_1}{2} .$$

This relation was used to calculate the suction slot velocities which then gave the secondary flow quantity by integration across the slot.

b) The suction-slot quantity was temperature corrected for conductive heating effects by

$$q_2 = q_1 \frac{T_2}{T_1} \text{ , since the flow was essentially}$$

incompressible.

c) Then an average throat velocity was calculated from

$$V_2 = \frac{q_2}{A_2} .$$

d) Finally, the approximate total-pressure loss in the suction duct resulted from the relation

$$\Delta_{p_t} = p_{t_1} - p_{t_2} - p_{t_0} - \left[(p_{t_0} + p_2) + \frac{1}{2}\rho_2 V_2^2 \right] = -p_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho_2 V_2^2$$

The efficiency of the jet pump was determined from the ratio of effective power output to power input. The effective power output was defined as the summation of the products of the primary and secondary flow quantities and their respective adiabatic pressure changes (Ref. 1):

$$P_{eff} = q_s (p_{t_3} - p_{t_1}) + q_j (p_{t_3} - p_{t_0}).$$

The power input (Ref. 1) was defined as the product of the primary weight flow rate and the enthalpy change through the nozzle, where the enthalpy change included the effects of friction:

$$P_{in} = w_j \Delta h_J.$$

The efficiency was therefore-

$$\eta = \frac{P_{eff}}{P_{in}} = \frac{q_s(p_{t_3}-p_{t_1})+q_j(p_{t_3}-p_{t_0})}{w_j \Delta h_J}.$$

The mass ratio was expressed by the secondary to primary weight flow ratio,

$$\mu = \frac{w_s}{w_j}.$$

The available-energy efficiency at any point in the mixing tube was the ratio of the local available energy of the mixture to the available energy of the primary flow at the nozzle. This efficiency varied through the mixing tube as the primary-flow energy was transferred and dissipated by the mixing process. At any point the available energy was the sum of the kinetic energy and pressure. The energy ratio was

$$\eta_{AE_x} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{m_x} V_{m_x}^2 + p_{m_x}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_j V_j^2}.$$

The change of available energy through the mixing tube indicated the amount of energy transferred from the primary flow by adiabatic compression minus that dissipated by turbulence.

The jet-pump pressure ratio was defined as the ratio of the mixed-flow total pressure to the ambient total pressure

$$\alpha = \frac{p_{t_3}}{p_{t_0}}.$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 14 through 17 show the performance of the side-inlet jet pump tested. The secondary mass flow increased as a function of the primary mass flow (fig. 18), but the mass ratio decreased due to the increase in pressure ratio (fig. 14). The pressure ratio increase resulted from forcing larger quantities of mixture through the same blowing-tube exit area. The effects of greater pressure ratios are given by figures 14 and 15. The highest pressure ratio was measured at the point of incipient reverse flow in the suction slot. Higher pressure ratios would have resulted in a rapid decrease in mass ratio.

Figure 18 shows the influence of the variables of primary pressure, pressure ratio, slot throttling, throat throttling, and cascades on suction-slot quantity distributions. Comparison of secondary-flow distributions secured by adjustments of the throat and/or the slot showed that slot adjustment for desired quantity distribution with constant throat produced the most secondary flow quantity, and therefore the largest mass ratio. This may not be true for a tapered mixing tube since the mixing-tube flow conditions control the suction-duct flow.

Cascades in the inboard 12 inches of throat critically influenced the performance while the remainder had only a slight influence on the performance. Removal of all the cascades caused intermittent reverse flow in the outboard throat area and a strong ring-vortex in the mixing tube at the inboard end around the nozzle flow.

Figure 19 shows the influence of slot throttling on the direction of air flow in the suction duct. The variations of the slot and throat widths to obtain the desired quantity distributions are shown in figures 20 and 21.

The throat and mixing-tube static pressure distributions, figures 22 and 23, showed that most of the mixing took place within the inboard 16 inches of the mixing tube. Available-energy efficiency distribution also showed this to be true (Fig. 16). This 'effective' mixing zone appears in all jet-pumps (Refs. 4 and 5). Although pressure surveys were not taken inboard of station 16 the mixing-tube surface temperatures indicated that the effective mixing zone extended 12 inches downstream from the nozzle. The end of the effective mixing zone is usually considered as the point where the mixed flow first fills the entire tube cross section.

Cross-section survey profiles of total pressure and temperature in the mixing tube are shown in figures 24 and 25. Average values of the integrated horizontal and vertical profiles of the total pressure distribution combined with the static pressure were used to roughly calculate the local available energy of the mixing tube flow. The temperature surveys were taken to supplement the total pressure surveys for the study of mixing characteristics. In figure 25 the temperature profiles indicate by their magnitude the areas of mixed and unmixed flow. The areas of unmixed flow, indicated by low temperatures, were adjacent to the mixing-tube entrance throat.

The performance of the steam-to-air jet pump tested was not considered optimum because of rough surfaces, sharp corners, etc. However, the performance analysis for a tapered mixing-tube jet-pump of similar construction will show comparatively the importance of mixing-tube shape. Also, these analyses will show the relative merits of secondary flow guidance and distribution methods.

CONCLUSIONS

Tests of a side-inlet, steam-to-air jet pump with an inboard nozzle and a cylindrical mixing-tube have shown this arrangement to have high losses and poor suction-slot, quantity- distribution properties. The high losses were ascribed to the extreme suction-slot throttling required for intake flow-quantity distribution and to the uncontrolled dissipation of the primary flow in the first part of the mixing-tube.

Further tests should be conducted with various taper-ratio mixing-tubes to determine the influence of this factor on the control of the mixing process and secondary flow-quantity distribution.

REFERENCES

1.- Heinrich, A.M.: Pre-Test Report on the Performance Study of a Side-Inlet Jet Pump with an Inboard Nozzle. University of Wichita Engineering Study No. 117, October 1953.

2.- Wagner, Friedrich; McCune, Charles J.: A Progress Report on Jet Pump Research. University of Wichita Engineering Report No. 085, October 1952.

3.- Everett, H.A.: Thermodynamics. D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., New York, 1948.

4.- Wagner, F.: A Contribution to the Development of Jet Pumps. ATI No. 20253, July 1944.

5.- Helmbold, H.B.: Contributions to Jet Pump Theory, III. Simplified Theory of Mixing-Zone Spreading. University of Wichita Engineering Report No. 107, July 1953.

APPENDIX A

STEAM NOZZLE DESIGN AND CALIBRATION

STEAM NOZZLE DESIGN AND CALIBRATION

The design of the supersonic steam nozzle was based on the adiabatic expansion of superheated steam through a convergent-divergent passage. The flow was corrected for the entropy increase due to wall friction. Since very little of the expansion was to take place in the saturated or supersaturated region, the losses for droplet lag and supersaturation were considered negligible. The upstream velocity was also negligible since it was small compared to the nozzle velocity.

Given: Nozzle as shown in figure A1 and,

1. Quantity - 810 lbs/hr
2. Initial state pressure - 300 psia
3. Initial state temp. - 650°F
4. Final state pressure - 14.7 psia
5. Nozzle efficiency 96 percent (4 percent friction loss).

From steam tables or Mollier diagram:

s_1 = 1.652 Btu/lb = initial state entropy

h_1 = 1342 Btu/lb = initial state enthalpy

for superheated steam with k = 1.3

$p_{th}/p_{tl} = 0.545$

where p_{th} = throat pressure

p_{tl} = initial state pressure

$p_{th} = .545 \times 300 = 163.5$ psia

$s_{th} = s_1 = 1.652$ Btu/lb °F

$t_{th} = 504°F$

$v_{th} = 3.382$ ft^3/lb = throat specific volume

$h_{th} = 1274.87$

$V_{th} = \sqrt{2gJ(h_1 - h_{th})}$ when $h_2 = h_{th}$

$V_{th} = 224\sqrt{1342 - 1274.87} = 1840$ ft/sec

$$A_{th} = \frac{810 \times 3.382 \times 144}{3600 \times 1840} = .0596 \text{ in}^2$$

$$dia_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{.0596 \times 4}{\pi}} = .2755 \text{ inches}$$

$h_e = 1080$ Btu/lb

$V_e = 224 \sqrt{1342 - 1080} = 3620$ ft/sec

quality $x_e = \frac{s_1 - s_{f2}}{s_{fg_2}} \times 100 = 92.75$ percent

$v_e = v_{f_e} + x_e v_{fg_e}$

$v_e = .02 + (.9275)(26.8) = 24.87$ ft^3/lb

$A_e = \frac{810 \times 24.87 \times 144}{3600 \times 3620} = .223$ in^2

$dia_e = \sqrt{\frac{.223 \times 4}{\pi}} = .533$ in

THROAT AREA CORRECTION FOR FRICTION

$p_{th} = 163.5$ psia

$V_{th} = 224 \sqrt{.96 \times 67.13} = 1800$ ft/sec

Reheat to throat = $.04 \times 67.13 = 2.685$ Btu/lb

$h_{th} = 1274.87 + 2.685 = 1277.56$ Btu/lb

$t_{th} = 510°F$

$v_{th} = 3.416$ ft^3/lb

$A_{th} = \frac{810 \times 3.416 \times 144}{3600 \times 1800} = .0615$ in^2

$dia_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{.0615 \times 4}{\pi}} = .280$ in

EXIT AREA CORRECTION FOR FRICTION

$V_e = 224 \sqrt{.96 \times 262} = 3550$ ft/sec

Reheat to exit = $.04 \times 262 = 10.5$ Btu/lb

$h_e = 1080 + 10.5 = 1090.5$

$x_e = 100 - 6.5 = 93.5$ percent

$v_e = .02 + (.935)(26.8) = 25$ ft^3/lb

$A_e = \frac{810 \times 25 \times 144}{3600 \times 3550} = .229$ in^2

$dia_e = \sqrt{\frac{.229 \times 4}{\pi}} = .54$ in

The nozzle layout was arbitrarily selected to give a smooth round entrance to the throat and a straight taper from the throat to the exit. Figure A1 shows the cross-section of the nozzle. The nozzle was machined from stainless steel with extreme internal dimensional control and then polished.

A nozzle calibration was performed through timed measurement of inlet and waste flow of water to the stream generating unit. These flow rates were determined at various pressures and temperatures and plotted as figures A2 and A3.





Figure A1.- Cross section, convergent-divergent steam nozzle.


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
VARIATION OF FLOW
WITH STEAM PRESSURE
CONVERGENT-DIVERGENT NOZZLE
STEAM TEMPERATURE 660°F
STEAM FLOW - LBS/HR
1000
800
600
400
200
100
200
300
400
STEAM PRESSURE-PSIA
NOZZLE NO 100-042 B
" 100-041 B
" 100-043 B
CALIBRATION
FIGURE A2




FIGURE A2

AM-H 2-11-5A

K+E
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
10 X 10 TO THE INCH
359-11

APPENDIX B

STEAM GENERATOR AND SUPERHEATER

STEAM GENERATOR AND SUPERHEATER

Steam for the primary fluid was produced by a Besler Model 85 generator (Fig. B1). This generator is a package unit containing the boiler, auxiliaries, and auxiliary drive motor incorporporated within a single framework. The unit is regulated by an air-operated, modulation control system. The control system will maintain a constant-pressure supply of steam at a given flow rate up to a maximum of 2500 pounds per hour. A change in either the pressure and/or the flow rate requires readjustment of the control system.

A Besler superheater (Fig. B2) was used to superheat the steam. It is a small, compact unit that burns diesel fuel and uses 110-volt single-phase power for operation. An electric motor drives the blower and fuel pump and the 110 volt power is transformed to 10,000 volts and imposed across a set of electrodes for combustion-chamber ignition. Temperature control is obtained by a thermostatically actuated fuel bleed control. Manual adjustment of the spring tension in this device regulates the fuel flow. The unit with its integral fuel tank is portable and requires only the availability of 110-volt, single-phase power for operation.

The rectangular manifold over the waste steam line shown in figure B1 is a condenser used to facilitate measurement of the generator waste flow for nozzle calibration purposes.




Figure B1.- Steam generator.




Figure B2.- Steam superheater and generator.

APPENDIX C

TEST LOG OF SISA-1 JET PUMP

Runs SISA-1-1 through SISA-1 27

TEST LOG, SISA-1 JET PUMP

Run No.	Configuration	Remarks
1	Throat width A. Slot width 1.6 inches, constant.	Outboard suction slot flow too small to measure.
2	Throat width B. Slot width 1.6 inches, constant.	Entire suction slot flow too small to measure.
3	Throat width B. Slot width 0.8 inches, constant.	Suction slot flow distribution measured. Distribution fairly constant over inboard half of suction slot and diminishing to negligible flow at outboard end of slot.
4	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle A.	Suction slot flow distribution measured.
5	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle B.	Suction slot flow distribution recorded. Mixing tube static pressure gradient recorded.
6	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle B. Sonic nozzle this run only.	Suction slot flow. Distribution recorded. Mixing tube static pressure gradient recorded. Nozzle flow case of extreme under-expansion.
7	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle C.	Suction duct outboard of station 42.3 blocked off. Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure c. Total pressure survey at mixing tube station A (vertical only).
8	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle D.	Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure distribution.
9	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle E.	Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure distribution.

Run No.	Configuration	Remarks
10	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F.	Total pressure probe tube diameter increased to prevent moisture clogging. Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing-tube static pressure c. Mixing-tube total pressure distribution at stations A and D.
11	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F.	Measurements: Same as 10 except total pressure taken at stations A through D.
12	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F.	Temperature probe tried and found to have intolerable heat transfer from body to tip. Measurements: a. Mixing-tube total pressure distribution taken at stations E and F. b. Mixing-tube temperature distribution taken at stations A through F.
13	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F.	Temperature probe with phenolic insulated tip proved satisfactory. Measurements: Temperature survey taken at mixing-tube stations A through F.
14	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F.	Suction duct flow direction studied with a wool tuft. Measurements: a. Temperature and total pressure survey taken at mixing tube station F. b. Suction slot flow c. Mixing-tube static pressure distribution d. Suction slot temperature distribution.

Run No.	Configuration	Remarks
15	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. Cascaded turning vanes removed from throat.	Intermittent reverse flow at outboard end of suction slot. Surface temperature and static pressure of mixing tube at inboard end showed evidence of a strong vortex within first 6 inches of mixing tube length. Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure distribution. c. Suction slot average temperature.
16	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. Cascaded turning vanes in inboard 12 inches of throat only.	No reverse flow in suction slot. No evidence of the vortex as found in Run 15. Suction duct flow was studied with a wool tuft. Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure distribution c. Suction slot average temperature.
17	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. Cascaded turning vanes in inboard 20 inches of throat only	Similar characteristics of Run 16. Suction duct flow was studied with a wool tuft. Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure distribution c. Suction slot average temperature.
18	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. Cascaded turning vanes in inboard 12 inches of throat only.	Verification of Run 16.
19	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed.	Performance run. Measurements: Steam p_{t_j} = 224 psig t = 653°F a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube entrance throat static pressure distribution

Run No.	Configuration	Remarks
		c. Mixing tube static pressure distribution. d. Mixing tube temperature and total pressure survey at stations A through F.
20	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed.	Performance run. Measurements: Same as for Run 19. Steam p_{t_j}=253 psig, t = 660°F.
21	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed.	Performance run. Measurements: Same as for Run 19. Steam p_{t_j}=275 psig, t=653°F.
22	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed.	Performance run. Measurements: Same as for Run 19. Steam p_{t_j}=300 psig, t=657°F.
23	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed.	Performance run. Measurements: Same as for Run 19. Steam p_{t_j}=327 psig, t=660°F.
24	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed. Two different sizes of exit orifices were installed.	Test to establish maximum pressure ratio for incipient reverse flow in section slot. Measurements: a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube static pressure distribution
25	Throat width C. Slot shape throttle F. All cascaded turning vanes installed. Exit orifice diameter ratio = 1.0.	Reference run for Runs 26 and 27. Measurements: Steam p_{t_j}=303 psig t =653°F a. Suction slot flow b. Mixing tube entrance throat static pressure distribution c. Mixing tube static pressure distribution d. Mixing tube temperature and total pressure survey at station F.

Run No.	Configuration	Remarks
26	Same as Run 25 except exit orifice diameter ratio = .908	Performance test with additional pressure ratio. Measurements same as for Run 25.
27	Same as Run 25 except orifice diameter ratio = .824	Performance test with additional pressure ratio. Measurements same as for Run 25.

TABLE C1.- SISA-1 JET PUMP PERFORMANCE

Run No.	pt_j (psia)	t_j (°F)	w_s (lb/sec)	w_j (lb/sec)	w_s/w_j	p_{t3}/p_{t_o}	η
1	300	650					
2	300	650					
3	300	650					
4	315	650					
5	315	650					
6	314	650					
7	314	650	1.765	0.235	7.51		
8	314	650	1.740	0.235	7.40		
9	314	650	1.710	0.235	7.28		
10	314	650	1.77	0.235	7.53		
11	314	650	1.74	0.235	7.40		
12	314	650	----	0.235	----		
13	314	650	----	0.235	----		
14	314	650	1.765	0.235	7.51		
15	314	650	1.140	0.235	4.85		
16	314	650	1.80	0.235	7.66		
17	314	650	1.72	0.235	7.32		
18	314	650	1.75	0.235	7.45		
19	238	653	1.315	0.179	7.35	1.0045	0.625
20	266.9	660	1.44	0.200	7.20	1.0055	0.788
21	289	653	1.46	0.2165	6.74	1.0055	0.751
22	314.2	657	1.65	0.235	7.02	1.0059	0.871
23	341.7	660	1.765	0.2555	6.9	1.0062	0.905
24	300	415	-----	------	---	------	-----
25	316.9	653	1.645	0.228	7.2	1.005	0.915
26	315.9	652	1.498	0.228	6.56	1.01	1.42
27	315.9	651	1.345	0.228	5.9	1.014	1.88

Approximate values $\pm$ 5°F and $\pm$ 5 psi
Runs No. 1 through 18




Figure 1.- Planform outline, side inlet, steam-air jet-pump.




Figure 2.- Cross section of SIMA-1 jet-pump at nozzle exit.




Figure 3.- Side-inlet, steam jet pump with an inboard nozzle.




Figure 4.- Arrangement of mixing-tube pressure taps and throat-adjustment bolts.




Figure 5.- Exit orifice installation.



Figure 6.- Arrangement of mixing-tube static pressure taps.



Figure 7.- Arrangement of suction-slot pressure taps.

on right.




Figure 8.- Suction slot, static-pressure taps, and cascaded mixing-tube throat.




Figure 9.- Multitube manometer with static pressures of suction slot on left and mixing-tube throat on right.




Figure 10.- Multitube manometer with mixing-tube static pressures on right and inboard suction-slot static pressures on left.






Figure 11.- Instrumentation schematic



Full Scale

Figure 12.- Mixing-tube temperature survey probe



Full Scale

Figure 13.- Mixing-tube total-pressure survey probe.




UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
VARIATION OF
PRESSURE RATIO
WITH MASS RATIO
SISAL-1 JET PUMP
PRESSURE RATIO
1.020
1.016
1.012
1.008
1.004
5.5
6.0
6.5
7.0
7.5
MASS RATIO
A
B
C
D
E

FIGURE 14


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
VARIATION OF EFFICIENCY
WITH MASS RATIO
= ISA
O = P
O = P
α = .014
α = .010
α = .0062
α = .0055
α = .0050
α = .0045
MASS RATIO
FIGURE 5



UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
DISTRIBUTION OF
AVAILABLE ENERGY
EFFICIENCY
AVAILABLE ENERGY EFFICIENCY, η_{ae} - PER CENT
100
80
60
40
20
0
0.5
1.0
1.5
2.0
2.5
3.0
3.5
MIXING TUBE LENGTH - FEET

FIGURE 16



UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
VARIATION OF SUCTION DUCT
TOTAL PRESSURE WITH
WITH FLOW QUANTITY
SISA JET PUMP
SUCTION DUCT TOTAL PRESSURE - LBS/FT²
FLOW QUANTITY - Q_s - FT³/SEC
○ α = CONSTANT
□ W_p = CONSTANT
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
14
16
18
20
22
24

FIGURE 17

VELOCITY x BOUNDARY LAYER WIDTH, FT²/SEC
Reynolds No.
0.5
1.0
1.5
2.0
2.5
3.0
3.5
4.0
4.5
5.0
5.5
6.0
6.5
7.0
7.5
8.0
8.5
9.0
9.5
10.0
10.5
11.0
11.5
12.0
12.5
13.0
13.5
14.0
14.5
15.0
15.5
16.0
16.5
17.0
17.5
18.0
18.5
19.0
19.5
20.0

H&E
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
10 X 10 TO THE INCH
359-11

VELOCITY x SLOT WIDTH = FT²/SEC
0
2
4
6
0.5
1.0
2.0
2.5
3.0
3.5
FIGURE #5 (CONT'D)
DISTANCE FROM SLOT - INCHES
24 IN. SEA-124
0 DEG. SLOT #24
50 DEG. SLOT #24
POLYURETHANE PLATE PERFORATED WITH
SLOT-SHAPED ORIFICES
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
10 X 10 TO THE INCH
328-11

FIGURE 19 (CONT'D)
SLOT LENGTH, FEET
0
.5
1.0
2.5
2.0
3.5
4.0
VELOCITY x SLOT WIDTH x FLOW, M³/SEC




FIGURE 18(CONT'D)

K+E 10 X 10 TO THE ½ INCH 359-11
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A.

UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

SUCTION SLOT
QUANTITY DISTRIBUTION

SUCTION SLOT CONTOUR F
THROAT WIDTH = C

SUCTION SLOT WIDTH COEFFICIENT
AT 3.0 IN. C THROAT WIDTH B




FIGURE 18 (CONT'D)

AMH R-25-54

45

K+E KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. 10 X 10 TO THE ½ INCH 359-11




FIGURE 18 (CONCLUDED)




Figure 19.-- Suction-duct flow pattern with slot and throat throttling.

THROTTLE #1
THROTTLE #2
SLOT WIDTH - FEET
STOL TAKE-OFF DISTANCE - FEET
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
K+E
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
10 X 10 TO THE INCH

FIGURE 26





FIGURE 29 (C & D)

C. REED 1-23-54

10 X 10 TO THE ½ INCH
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
MADE IN U.S.A.
359-11



10 X 10 TO THE ½ INCH
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
MADE IN U.S.A.
359-11




FIGURE 22




FIGURE 21 (CONT'D)

STATIC PRESSURE - LBS/FT²
-40
-30
-20
-10
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
110
120
130
140
150
160
170
180
190
200
210
220
230
240
250
260
270
280
290
300
310
320
330
340
350
360
370
380
390
400
410
420
430
440
450
460
470
480
490
500
510
520
530
540
550
560
570
580
590
600
610
620
630
640
650
660
670
680
690
700
710
720
730
740
750
760
770
780
790
800
810
820
830
840
850
860
870
880
890
900
910
920
930
940
950
960
970
980
990
1000
1010
1020
1030
1040
1050
1060
1070
1080
1090
1100
1110
1120
1130
1140
1150
1160
1170
1180
1190
1200
1210
1220
1230
1240
1250
1260
1270
1280
1290
1300
1310
1320
1330
1340
1350
1360
1370
1380
1390
1400
1410
1420
1430
1440
1450
1460
1470
1480
1490
1500
1510
1520
1530
1540
1550
1560
1570
1580
1590
1600
1610
1620
1630
1640
1650
1660
1670
1680
1690
1700
1710
1720
1730
1740
1750
1760
1770
1780
1790
1800
1810
1820
1830
1840
1850
1860
1870
1880
1890
1900
1910
1920
1930
1940
1950
1960
1970
1980
1990
2000
2010
2020
2030
2040
2050
2060
2070
2080
2090
2100
2110
2120
2130
2140
2150
2160
2170
2180
2190
2200
2210
2220
2230
2240
2250
2260
2270
2280
2290
2300
2310
2320
2330
2340
2350
2360
2370
2380
2390
2400
2410
2420
2430
2440
2450
2460
2470
2480
2490
2500
2510
2520
2530
2540
2550
2560
2570
2580
2590
2600
2610
2620
2630
2640
2650
2660
2670
2680
2690
2700
2710
2720
2730
2740
2750
2760
2770
2780
2790
2800
2810
2820
2830
2840
2850
2860
2870
2880
2890
2900
2910
2920
2930
2940
2950
2960
2970
2980
2990
3000
3010
3020
3030
3040
3050
3060
3070
3080
3090
3100
3110
3120
3130
3140
3150
3160
3170
3180
3190
3200
3210
3220
3230
3240
3250
3260
3270
3280
3290
3300
3310
3320
3330
3340
3350
3360
3370
3380
3390
3400
3410
3420
3430
3440
3450
3460
3470
3480
3490
3500
3510
3520
3530
3540
3550
3560
3570
3580
3590
3600
3610
3620
3630
3640
3650
3660
3670
3680
3690
3700
3710
3720
3730
3740
3750
3760
3770
3780
3790
3800
3810
3820
3830
3840
3850
3860
3870
3880
3890
3900
3910
3920
3930
3940
3950
3960
3970
3980
3990
4000
4010
4020
4030
4040
4050
4060
4070
4080
4090
4100
4110
4120
4130
4140
4150
4160
4170
4180
4190
4200
4210
4220
4230
4240
4250
4260
4270
4280
4290
4300
4310
4320
4330
4340
4350
4360
4370
4380
4390
4400
4410
4420
4430
4440
4450
4460
4470
4480
4490
4500
4510
4520
4530
4540
4550
4560
4570
4580
4590
4600
4610
4620
4630
4640
4650
4660
4670
4680
4690
4700
4710
4720
4730
4740
4750
4760
4770
4780
4790
4800
4810
4820
4830
4840
4850
4860
4870
4880
4890
4900
4910
4920
4930
4940
4950
4960
4970
4980
4990
5000
5010
5020
5030
5040
5050
5060
5070
5080
5090
5100
5110
5120
5130
5140
5150
5160
5170
5180
5190
5200
5210
5220
5230
5240
5250
5260
5270
5280
5290
5300
5310
5320
5330
5340
5350
5360
5370
5380
5390
5400
5410
5420
5430
5440
5450
5460
5470
5480
5490
5500
5510
5520
5530
5540
5550
5560
5570
5580
5590
5600
5610
5620
5630
5640
5650
5660
5670
5680
5690
5700
5710
5720
5730
5740
5750
5760
5770
5780
5790
5800
5810
5820
5830
5840
5850
5860
5870
5880
5890
5900
5910
5920
5930
5940
5950
5960
5970
5980
5990
6000
6010
6020
6030
6040
6050
6060
6070
6080
6090
6100
6110
6120
6130
6140
6150
6160
6170
6180
6190
6200
6210
6220
6230
6240
6250
6260
6270
6280
6290
6300
6310
6320
6330
6340
6350
6360
6370
6380
6390
6400
6410
6420
6430
6440
6450
6460
6470
6480
6490
6500
6510
6520
6530
6540
6550
6560
6570
6580
6590
6600
6610
6620
6630
6640
6650
6660
6670
6680
6690
6700
6710
6720
6730
6740
6750
6760
6770
6780
6790
6800
6810
6820
6830
6840
6850
6860
6870
6880
6890
6900
6910
6920
6930
6940
6950
6960
6970
6980
6990
7000
7010
7020
7030
7040
7050
7060
7070
7080
7090
7100
7110
7120
7130
7140
7150
7160
7170
7180
7190
7200
7210
7220
7230
7240
7250
7260
7270
7280
7290
7300
7310
7320
7330
7340
7350
7360
7370
7380
7390
7400
7410
7420
7430
7440
7450
7460
7470
7480
7490
7500
7510
7520
7530
7540
7550
7560
7570
7580
7590
7600
7610
7620
7630
7640
7650
7660
7670
7680
7690
7700
7710
7720
7730
7740
7750
7760
7770
7780
7790
7800
7810
7820
7830
7840
7850
7860
7870
7880
7890
7900
7910
7920
7930
7940
7950
7960
7970
7980
7990
8000
8010
8020
8030
8040
8050
8060
8070
8080
8090
8100
8110
8120
8130
8140
8150
8160
8170
8180
8190
8200
8210
8220
8230
8240
8250
8260
8270
8280
8290
8300
8310
8320
8330
8340
8350
8360
8370
8380
8390
8400
8410
8420
8430
8440
8450
8460
8470
8480
8490
8500
8510
8520
8530
8540
8550
8560
8570
8580
8590
8600
8610
8620
8630
8640
8650
8660
8670
8680
8690
8700
8710
8720
8730
8740
8750
8760
8770
8780
8790
8800
8810
8820
8830
8840
8850
8860
8870
8880
8890
8900
8910
8920
8930
8940
8950
8960
8970
8980
8990
9000
9010
9020
9030
9040
9050
9060
9070
9080
9090
9100
9110
9120
9130
9140
9150
9160
9170
9180
9190
9200
9210
9220
9230
9240
9250
9260
9270
9280
9290
9300
9310
9320
9330
9340
9350
9360
9370
9380
9390
9400
9410
9420
9430
9440
9450
9460
9470
9480
9490
9500
9510
9520
9530
9540
9550
9560
9570
9580
9590
9600
9610
9620
9630
9640
9650
9660
9670
9680
9690
9700
9710
9720
9730
9740
9750
9760
9770
9780
9790
9800
9810
9820
9830
9840
9850
9860
9870
9880
9890
9900
9910
9920
9930
9940
9950
9960
9970
9980
9990
10000

FIGURE 28

FIGURE 23 (CONCLUDED)
MIXING TUBE LENGTH - FEET
STATIC PRESSURE - LBS/FT²
DEEP CUT OF STRUT
AVERAGE TUBE
THROUGH VALVE
THROUGH
THROUGH
THROUGH




FIGURE 24

K&E KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A. 359-11




FIGURE 24 (CONT'D)




FIGURE 24 (CONT'D)




FIGURE 24 (CONT'D)




FIGURE 24 (CONT'D)




FIGURE 24(CONTD)




FIGURE 24(CONT'D)







FIGURE 24 (CONTD)


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
STATE
TOTAL PRESSURE
DISTRIBUTION
RADIUS
RADIUS - INCHES
TOTAL PRESSURE - PSIA


UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
STATE
TOTAL PRESSURE
DISTRIBUTION
TOTAL PRESSURE - PSIA
RADIAL LINES
RADIUS
TEMPERATURE SURVEY
POSITIONS
UPSTREAM VIEW
FIGURE 24 (CONT'D)


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
S.T.A.F.
TOTAL PRESSURE
DISTRIBUTION
RUN NO 5 TSR-1-25 Dx/D1 = .900 ∝ = 1.005
RUND NO 3 TSR-1-23 Dx/D1 = .908 ∝ = 1.010
RUND NO 25 TSR-1-27 Dx/D1 = .824 ∝ = 1.014
TOTAL PRESSURE - LBS/SQ IN
RADIUS - INCHES
RADIUS
PRESSURE SURVEY POSITIONS
(UPSTREAM VIEW)
FIGURE 5



UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
STA. A
TEMPERATURE
DISTRIBUTION
RADIUS - INCHES
RADIUS
BEAM SURVEY
POSITIONS
(UPSTREAM VIEW)

FIGURE 25




FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)




FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)




FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)



UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
DTA 5
TEMPERATURE
DISTRIBUTION
RADIUS
TEMP. SURVEY
FOR FINAL
(UPSTREAM VIEW)

FIGURE 2.5




FIGURE 25(CONT'D)





FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
STA D
TEMPERATURE
DISTRIBUTION
O RUN NO 5 PSIA
D RUN NO 5 PSIA
N-SURFACE
TEMP SURVEY
POSITIONS
(UPSTREAM VIEW)





FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
STA. E
TEMPERATURE
DISTRIBUTION
RUN #E STA-1-02, PR = 814.2 PSIA
RUN #E STA-1-03, PR = 344.7 PSIA
RADIUS-IN
PROBE-IT
500
200
100
0
200
300
TEMP-°F
RADIUS-IN
RADIUS
TEMP SURVEY
PORTIONS
OUTSTREAM VIEW
FIGURE 25 CONT'D)




FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)





FIGURE 25 (CONT'D)


UNIVERSITY OF WICHITA
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
STRAIN
TEMPERATURE
DISTRIBUTION

UWER No. 131
University of Wichita, School of Engineering
PERFORMANCE TEST OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE: A.M. Heinrich.
February 1954. 78 pp., diagrs., photos., 5 refs.

An experimental investigation was conducted to determine the performance of a side-inlet, steam-to-air jet pump with an inboard nozzle. A jet pump with a cylindrical mixing tube was tested for mass ratio, pressure ratio, and efficiency. The transfer of the available energy in the primary flow to the secondary flow and the influence on performance of controlling the direction of secondary air flow into the mixing tube were also investigated.

Performance curves are presented together with curves showing mixing-tube, cross-sectional distributions of temperature and total pressure taken at several survey stations. This report is the first in a series on jet pumps with different taper ratio mixing tubes.

1.- Aerodynamics, Viscous Flow
2.- Jet Pumps
I.- Heinrich, A.M.
II.- UWER No. 131

UWER No. 131
University of Wichita, School of Engineering
PERFORMANCE TEST OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE: A.M. Heinrich.
February 1954. 78 pp., diagrs., photos., 5 refs.

An experimental investigation was conducted to determine the performance of a side-inlet, steam-to-air jet pump with an inboard nozzle. A jet pump with a cylindrical mixing tube was tested for mass ratio, pressure ratio, and efficiency. The transfer of the available energy in the primary flow to the secondary flow and the influence on performance of controlling the direction of secondary air flow into the mixing tube were also investigated.

Performance curves are presented together with curves showing mixing-tube, cross-sectional distributions of temperature and total pressure taken at several survey stations. This report is the first in a series on jet pumps with different taper ratio mixing tubes.

1.- Aerodynamics, Viscous Flow
2.- Jet Pumps
I.- Heinrich, A.M.
II.- UWER No. 131

UWER No. 131
University of Wichita, School of Engineering
PERFORMANCE TEST OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE: A.M. Heinrich.
February 1954. 78 pp., diagrs., photos., 5 refs.

An experimental investigation was conducted to determine the performance of a side-inlet, steam-to-air jet pump with an inboard nozzle. A jet pump with a cylindrical mixing tube was tested for mass ratio, pressure ratio, and efficiency. The transfer of the available energy in the primary flow to the secondary flow and the influence on performance of controlling the direction of secondary air flow into the mixing tube were also investigated.

Performance curves are presented together with curves showing mixing-tube, cross-sectional distributions of temperature and total pressure taken at several survey stations. This report is the first in a series on jet pumps with different taper ratio mixing tubes.

1.- Aerodynamics, Viscous Flow
2.- Jet Pumps
I.- Heinrich, A.M.
II.- UWER No. 131

UWER No. 131
University of Wichita, School of Engineering
PERFORMANCE TEST OF A SIDE-INLET, STEAM-TO-AIR JET PUMP WITH AN INBOARD NOZZLE: A.M. Heinrich.
February 1954. 78 pp., diagrs., photos., 5 refs.

An experimental investigation was conducted to determine the performance of a side-inlet, steam-to-air jet pump with an inboard nozzle. A jet pump with a cylindrical mixing tube was tested for mass ratio, pressure ratio, and efficiency. The transfer of the available energy in the primary flow to the secondary flow and the influence on performance of controlling the direction of secondary air flow into the mixing tube were also investigated.

Performance curves are presented together with curves showing mixing-tube, cross-sectional distributions of temperature and total pressure taken at several survey stations. This report is the first in a series on jet pumps with different taper ratio mixing tubes.

1.- Aerodynamics, Viscous Flow
2.- Jet Pumps
I.- Heinrich, A.M.
II.- UWER No. 131

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

Because of our limited supply, you are requested to return this copy WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PURPOSE so that it may be made available to other requesters. Your cooperation will be appreciated.

AD

4173 3

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by

DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED