

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

UNCLASSIFIED

AD _____

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS:
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS
DOD DIR 5200.10

UNCLASSIFIED

Services Technical Information Agency

of our limited supply, you are requested to return this copy WHEN IT HAS SERVED PURPOSE so that it may be made available to other requesters. Your cooperation appreciated.

44329

IN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS LIABILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE DATA, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY ANY OTHER PERSON OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, OR ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
ARMY BUILDING, DAYTON. 2. OHIO

AD No. 44-229
ASTIA FILE COPY



REPORT NO. 1230

TAKE-OFF GROUND ROLL OF A JET DRIVEN AND
PROPELLER DRIVEN AIRPLANE EQUIPPED WITH
THE INTEGRAL AND THE INDEPENDENT FORCED
CIRCULATION SYSTEM

Cessna Aircraft Company
Wichita, Kansas

54AA 66894-2

Cessna
Aircraft Company
Wichita, Kansas

Aircraft Division - Research Section

MODEL

REPORT NO. 1330

**TAKE-OFF GROUND ROLL OF A JET DRIVEN AND
PROPELLER DRIVEN AIRPLANE EQUIPPED WITH
THE INTEGRAL AND THE INDEPENDENT FORCED**

CIRCULATION SYSTEM

REPORT DATE: **March 22, 1954**

PREPARED BY: **A. N. Petroff**

PREPARED BY: **A. K. Watson, Jr.**

CHECKED BY: **J. L. Smith**

APPROVED BY:

APPROVED BY:

APPROVED BY:

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by the Research
Section, Aircraft Division of Cessna Aircraft
Company, Wichita, Kansas under the contract
NOMR 856(00) with the Office of Naval Research,
Washington, D. C.

**NOTICE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE
NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING
OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 and 794.
THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN
ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.**

TITLE _____

PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____

CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____

FORM 484

CONFIDENTIAL

CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.

WICHITA, KANSAS

PAGE 1

REPORT NO. 1330

MODEL _____

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	Horizontal acceleration, ft./sec. ²
c	Wing chord at 75% propeller radius, ft.
$\bar{c}$	Wing mean aerodynamic chord, ft.
g	Gravity acceleration
w	Total weight of the forced circulation system.
C_L	Lift coefficient
C_{L_t}	Lift coefficient at take-off (not adjusted for the effect of slipstream)
C_D	Drag coefficient
C_{D_p}	Parasite drag coefficient
D	Drag, lbs.
D	Propeller diameter, ft.
FC	Abbreviation for forced circulation, which is boundary layer control at high lift by sucking and/or blowing air through slots ahead of deflected trailing edge flaps and drooped ailerons.
L	Lift, lbs.
HP	Horsepower of the main engine
hp	Horsepower required by FC system
K	Thrust reduction factor
S	Wing area, sq.ft.
S_g	Take-Off ground roll, ft.
T_o	Static thrust, lbs.
T	Thrust at any velocity, lbs.
V	Velocity, ft./sec.
V_t	Take-off velocity, ft./sec.
W	Gross weight of the airplane, lbs.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp}$ Increase of lift coefficient per horsepower absorbed by FC system

$\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp}$ Increase of weight per horsepower absorbed by FC system

μ Coefficient of ground friction

ρ Mass density of air

ϵ Downwash angle, radians

Primed symbols indicate that FC system is in operation.

TITLE _____

PAGE 3

PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____

CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.

REPORT NO 1330

WICHITA, KANSAS

CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____

MODEL _____

FORM 484

SUMMARY

Equations for take-off ground roll in terms of three parameters:

$$\frac{hp}{HP}, \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp}, \text{ and } \frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp}$$

are derived for an airplane equipped with the integral and the independent forced circulation system. In the case of a propeller driven airplane, the effect of slipstream upon take-off lift coefficient is taken into consideration.

The numerical example illustrating application of the method to a hypothetical small jet powered and propeller powered aircraft is presented.

Results indicate that the independent system is more effective in reduction of take-off ground roll than the integral system.

INTRODUCTION

The problem of ground run during take-off was analyzed and solved many years ago by Diehl and later elaborated upon by others. The basic equation of motion is well understood and the results are usually given in terms of the net accelerating force and the velocity of take-off.

The application of forced circulation (abbreviated from now on as FC) introduces several new factors which affect the length of take-off ground run and at the same time, complicates analysis of these factors with respect to their relative importance.

TITLE _____	CONFIDENTIAL	PAGE _____
PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____	CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.	REPORT NO. <u>1330</u>
CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____	WICHITA, KANSAS	MODEL _____
FORM 402		

This report is a review of the problem as it is affected by the application of FC and an attempt to analyze the effect of the three major parameters

$$\frac{hp}{HP}, \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp}, \text{ and } \frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp}$$

upon take-off performance.

I. JET DRIVEN AIRCRAFT (SLIPSTREAM IS ABSENT)

Application of forced circulation presents two cases:

(A) Integral FC System where the main engine of the airplane supplies motive power to pump air.

(B) Independent FC System where power to pump air is obtained from other sources than the main engine. Equations for take-off ground run with FC system in operation and the criterion for the optimum division of power between the main engine and FC system will be developed for each case.

A. Integral FC System

It can be shown (See Appendix) that ground run during take-off can be approximated by:

$$S_g = \frac{W}{\rho_{\text{sea}} \left[\left(\frac{T_0}{W} - \mu \right) C_{L_t} - R \right]} \quad (9)$$

Since a portion of the main engine power is diverted to operate the FC system, the reduced static thrust can be expressed as:

$$T_0' = T_0 \left(1 - \frac{hp}{HP} \right) \quad (16)$$

Similarly, the increased take-off lift coefficient can be written as:

$$C_{L_t}' = C_{L_t} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \quad (17)$$

Finally, the increased gross weight due to the installation of the system can be accounted for as:

$$W' = W + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \quad (18)$$

Substituting (16), (17), and (18) into (9) we obtain:

$$s_g' = \frac{\frac{1}{\rho g S} \left[W + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right]}{\left[\frac{T_o \left(1 - \frac{hp}{HP} \right)}{W + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) hp} - \mu \right] \left[C_{L_t} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right] - R'} \quad (19)$$

Equation (9) and (19) furnish direct comparison of the take-off ground run of an airplane without and with FC when a certain horsepower is diverted from the main engine to operate the FC system.

If the optimum division of power, $\left(\frac{hp}{HP} \right)_{opt.}$ is sought, equation (19) can be written in the following form:

$$s_g' = \frac{\frac{HP}{\rho g S} \left[\frac{W}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right]}{\left[\frac{T_o (1-x)}{\frac{W}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x} - \mu HP \right] \left[\frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right] - R'} \quad (19a)$$

where $x = \frac{hp}{HP}$

Differentiating equation (19a) with respect to x , equating to zero and solving for x we obtain:

$$\left(\frac{hp}{HP} \right)_{opt.} = \frac{\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta W} \cdot \frac{W}{HP} (T_o - \mu W) - \frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} (2T_o - \mu W) - \frac{W}{HP} \left(T_o \frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} \frac{\Delta hp}{\Delta W} - R' \right)}{\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} (T_o + \mu W) - \frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} (\mu C_{L_t} + R') + T_o \left(\frac{2W}{HP} \cdot \frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta W} - \frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} \right)} \quad (20)$$

where
$$\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta W} = \frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \cdot \frac{\Delta hp}{\Delta W}$$

The shortest take-off ground, S_g , min. can be calculated by substituting the numerical value of $\left(\frac{hp}{HP}\right)_{opt.}$ into (19a).

B. Independent System

Since in this case power to operate the system is not taken off the main engine equation (16) reduces to

$$T'_0 = T_0$$

Then equation (19) takes the following form:

$$S_g'' = \frac{\frac{1}{\rho g^3} \left[W + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right]}{\left[\frac{T_0}{W + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) hp} - \mu \right] \left[C_{Lt} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right] - R''} \quad (21)$$

Equations (9) and (21) allow comparison of the take-off ground run of an airplane without and with FC when a given hp to operate FC system is supplied by an auxiliary power plant.

Again, if the optimum division of power is desired, equation (21) can be written as:

$$S_g'' = \frac{\frac{HP}{\rho g^3} \left[\frac{W}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right]}{\left[\frac{T_0}{\frac{W}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x} - HP\mu \right] \left[\frac{C_{Lt}}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right] - R''} \quad (21a)$$

as, before, by minimizing equation (21a) we obtain:

$$\frac{hp}{HP \text{ opt.}} = \frac{\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta w} \cdot \frac{W}{HP} (T_0 - \mu W) - \frac{C_{L_t}}{hp} (2T_0 - \mu W) + \frac{W}{HP} R''}{\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} (T_0 + \mu W) - \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp} (R'' + \mu C_{L_t})} \quad (22)$$

The shortest take-off - $S_g''_{\min}$. can be obtained by substituting numerical value of $\left(\frac{hp}{HP}\right)_{\text{opt.}}$ into equation (21a). Equations (20) and (22) provide a convenient means to study the effect of the three major parameters

$$\frac{hp}{HP}, \quad \frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp}$$

upon take-off ground roll.

II. PROPELLER DRIVEN AIRCRAFT (SLIPSTREAM PRESENT)

A. Integral System

Substitution of (16), (17) and (18) into (12) yields:

$$S_g' = \frac{\frac{1}{\rho S} \left[W + \left(\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right]}{\left[\frac{T_0 \left(1 - \frac{hp}{HP} \right)}{W + \left(\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp} \right) hp} - \mu \right] \left[C_{L_t} + \Delta C_{L_s} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right] - R'} \quad (23)$$

where:

$$\Delta C_{L_s} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \left[C_{L_t} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right]^2 \left[\frac{T_0 \left(1 - \frac{hp}{HP} \right)}{W + \left(\frac{\Delta w}{\Delta hp} \right) hp} - \frac{K}{2 S \left[C_{L_t} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) hp \right]} \right]$$

Expressed in terms of $x = \frac{hp}{HP}$, equation (23) can be written as:

$$S'_g = \frac{\frac{HP}{\rho g S} \left[\frac{W}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right]}{\left[\frac{\frac{T_0}{W}}{\frac{HP}{HP} - \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x} - \mu_{HP} \right] \left[\frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} + \frac{\Delta C_{L_s}}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right] - R'} \quad (23a)$$

where

$$\frac{\Delta C_{L_s}}{HP} = \frac{K}{28} \left[\frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right]^2 \left[\frac{T_0 (1-x)}{\frac{W}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} \right) x} - \frac{K}{28 \left[\frac{C_{L_t}}{HP} + \left(\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} \right) x \right]} \right]$$

Differentiation of equation (23a) leads to a cumbersome expression which defeats the purpose of the analysis and therefore is not presented here. The optimum $\frac{hp}{HP}$ and therefore the minimum S'_g can be calculated by solving equation (23a) for several values of x .

B. Independent System

As before, in this case $T'_0 = T_0$ and equation (23) and (23a) are still valid provided that T_0 is substituted for $T_0 (1-x)$.

TITLE _____
PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____
CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____
FORM 487

CONFIDENTIAL
CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.
WICHITA, KANSAS

PAGE 10
REPORT NO. 1330
MODEL _____

Numerical Example

The following numerical constants were used in order to illustrate method of analysis:

$$W = 2,000 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$S = 175 \text{ sq.ft.}$$

$$HP = 200$$

$$T_o = 1,000 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$\mu = .05$$

$$M = .6$$

$$R = .200 \text{ (without FC)}$$

$$R' = .150 \text{ (with FC)}$$

$$C_{L_t} = 1.5 \text{ (Case 1 - Jet Driven Aircraft)}$$

$$C_{L_t} = 1.5 \text{ (Case 2 - Propeller Driven Aircraft)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta hp} = 3, 5, \text{ and } 7$$

$$\frac{\Delta C_L}{\Delta hp} = .03, .04 \text{ and } .05$$

The listed above constants were inserted in equations (19a), (21a), and (23a). The equations were solved for x ranging from 0 to 1.0 and results are presented in Figs. (2) and (3).

TITLE _____	CONFIDENTIAL	PAGE <u>11</u>
PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____	CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.	REPORT NO. <u>1330</u>
CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____	WICHITA, KANSAS	MODEL _____
FORM 404		


CONCLUSIONS

The comparative charts (Fig. 2) and (Fig. 3) show that in both cases, with and without slipstream the independent FC system holds more promise of reduction in take-off ground roll than the integral system under the same conditions. This can be explained by the decrease of engine thrust due to absorption of power by FC system.


In the case of independent system, the maximum reductions in ground roll occur at much higher power ratios than for the integral systems.

JET DRIVEN AIRCRAFT

INTEGRAL SYSTEM




INDEPENDENT SYSTEM




PROPELLER DRIVEN AIRCRAFT

INTEGRAL SYSTEM



INDEPENDENT SYSTEM



CONFIDENTIAL

TITLE _____

PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____

CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____

CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.
WICHITA, KANSAS

PAGE 14

REPORT NO. 1330

MODEL _____

FORM 504

APPENDIXEQUATIONS FOR TAKE-OFF GROUND ROLL

Assuming that the decrease in static thrust is a function of V^2 , or

$$T = T_0 - 1/2 \rho V^2 K \quad (1)$$

the ground run of an airplane during take-off can be calculated with sufficient accuracy by

$$S_g = V_t^2 / 2a \quad (2)$$

where horizontal acceleration

$$a = g/W [T/D - \mu(W-L)] \quad (3)$$

is computed at $V = \sqrt{.5} V_t$

The numerical value of this constant is based on the fact verified by numerous flight tests that the reciprocal of the horizontal acceleration is very nearly a linear function of the velocity squared. (Ref. 2)

Substitution of (1) into (3) gives:

$$a = g \left[T_0/W - \mu - 1/2 \rho V^2 K / W (C_D - \mu C_L + K/S) \right] \quad (4)$$

At $V = \sqrt{.5} V_t$ equation (4) can be written as:

$$a = g \left[T_0/W - \mu - .5 / C_{L_t} (C_D - \mu C_L + K/S) \right] \quad (5)$$

Substitution of (5) into (2) yields:

$$S_g = \frac{W}{\rho g S \left[(T_0/W - \mu) C_{L_t} - .5 (C_D - \mu C_L + K/S) \right]} \quad (6)$$

For the given static thrust, gross weight, coefficient of ground friction and take-off lift coefficient, ground run is a minimum when the term

$$C_D - \mu C_L + \frac{K}{S}$$

vanishes. This term can be written as:

$$C_{D_p} + \beta C_L^2 - \mu C_L + K/S \quad (7)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{dC_D}{dC_L^2}$$

is a slope of the linearized polar of the airplane. Minimizing (7) with respect to C_L we obtain:

$$C_L = \frac{\mu}{2\beta}$$

Then, for minimum air and ground resistance term (7) becomes:

$$C_{D_p} = \frac{\mu^2}{4\beta} + \frac{K}{S} \quad (8)$$

Substituting (8) into (6) we obtain:

$$S_z = \frac{W}{\rho g \beta \left[\left(\frac{T_o}{W} - \mu \right) C_{L_t} - R \right]} \quad (9)$$

where

$$R = .5 \left(C_{D_p} - \frac{\mu^2}{4\beta} + \frac{K}{S} \right)$$

The numerical value of K is obtained from the propeller characteristic curve and C_{D_p} and β are determined from the polar curve of the airplane in the take-off configuration, with and without F.C.

Up to this point the effect of propeller slipstream has not been taken into consideration implying that equation (9) is applicable only to jet powered aircraft.

The effect of slipstream upon take-off lift coefficient can be expressed as:

$$\Delta C_{L_B} = N T_o C_L \frac{C}{S} \frac{D^2}{S} \quad (\text{Reference 12}) \quad (10)$$

where N is a constant, numerical value of which depends upon flap deflection and the number of propellers.

$$\text{Since } T_0 = \frac{T}{\rho V^2 D^2}$$

$$T = T_0 - \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 k$$

$$V = \sqrt{.5} V_t$$

equation (10) can be written as:

$$\Delta C_{Lg} = \pi C_{Lt}^2 \frac{c}{8} \left(\frac{T_0}{W} - \frac{k}{20 L_t S} \right) \quad (11)$$

Now, equation (9) becomes:

$$S_g = \frac{W}{\rho g \left(\frac{T_0}{W} - \mu (C_{Lt} + \Delta C_{Lg}) \right) - R} \quad (12)$$

Since C_{Lt} has previously been taken to be the FC augmented wing lift coefficient at the take-off altitude, the question arises whether the increment of lift due to slipstream should be computed using the basic wing lift coefficient or the lift coefficient after augmentation by FC.

Equation (10) may be checked using the momentum theory, to determine whether the effect of velocity increment in the slipstream and the effect of FC are actually interdependent. Assume that the effect of FC is simply to increase the downwash angle, and that the effect of the propeller is simply to increase the air velocity in the slipstream without affecting the downwash angle. Then, for no slipstream present, the resultant force on the airplane wing, assumed nearly equal to the lift, is

$$F_o = \rho \frac{\pi b^2}{4} V_o^2 \epsilon \quad (13)$$

The resultant force with slipstream present is approximately (Fig. 1)

$$F = F_0 + \Delta F = \frac{\rho \pi b^2}{4} V_0^2 \epsilon - \frac{\rho \pi D^2}{4} V_0^2 \epsilon + \frac{\rho \pi D^2}{4} (V_0 + \Delta V)^2 \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{\rho \pi b^2}{4} \epsilon V_0^2 + \frac{\rho \pi \epsilon D^2}{4} (2 V_0 \Delta V + \Delta V^2)$$

or

$$\Delta F = \frac{\rho \pi \epsilon D^2}{4} (2 V_0 \Delta V + \Delta V^2) \quad (14)$$

with one propeller of diameter D.

In terms of lift coefficient increment

$$\Delta C_{L_B} \frac{\rho}{2} S V_0^2 = \frac{\rho \pi \epsilon D^2}{4} (2 V_0 \Delta V + \Delta V^2)$$

or

$$\Delta C_{L_B} = \frac{\pi AR \epsilon}{2} \frac{D^2}{b^2} \frac{(2 V_0 \Delta V + \Delta V^2)}{V_0^2}$$

But from (13),

$$C_{L_0} = \frac{\pi}{2} AR \epsilon$$

so

$$\Delta C_{L_B} = C_{L_0} \frac{D^2}{b^2} \frac{(2 V_0 \Delta V + \Delta V^2)}{V_0^2} \quad (15)$$

which is similar in form to equation (10). Therefore the value of C_L to be used in equation (10) is that augmented by BLC. Unpublished results of wind tunnel tests conducted at the University of Wichita confirm the above.




FIG. 1 - NOTATION FOR MOMENTUM
APPROXIMATION OF PROP EFFECT

TITLE _____
PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____
CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____

CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.
WICHITA, KANSAS

PAGE **19**
REPORT NO. **1330**
MODEL _____

FORM 484

REFERENCES

1. The Calculation of Take-Off Run, by W. Diehl TR 450, 1931
2. Consideration of the Take-Off Problem by E. Hartman TN 557, 1936
3. Calculated Effect of Various Types of Flap on Take-Off Over Obstacles by J. Wetmore TN 568, 1936.
4. Analysis of the Effect of Boundary-Layer Control on the Take-Off and Power-Off Landing Performance Characteristics of a Liaison Type Airplane, TR 1057, 1941.
5. Use of Boundary Layer Control with Rocket Induction Pumps by H. Stone, AFTR 6288, 1950.
6. A Consideration of Calculated Versus Flight Test Take-Off Performance, by M. Kiehle and A. Vantine JIAS, July 1945.
7. Altitude and Its Effects Upon Airplane Performance, CAA Flight Engineering Report #13, 1944.
8. A Study to Determine the Maximum Weights Permitted by the Transport Category Requirements for the Douglas DC-3 Airplane, CAA Flight Engineering Report #9, 1943.
9. The Correlation of Aircraft Take-Off and Landing Characteristics with Airport Size, by A. Morse, CAA Technical Development Report #40, 1944.
10. Estimating Take-Off Run, by P. Conrath, Aero Digest, June 1952.
11. Criterion of Boundary Layer Control Effectiveness by C. R. Smith, Unpublished paper United Aircraft Corp., 1953.
12. Prediction of the Effects of Propeller Operation on the Static Longitudinal Stability of Single Engine Tractor Monoplanes with Flaps Retracted, TN 1722.

TITLE _____

PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____

CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____

CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.

WICHITA, KANSAS

PAGE 1REPORT NO. 1330

MODEL _____

FORM 484

REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>Copy No.</u>	<u>Individual and/or Organization to Whom Report was Sent</u>
1-2	Office of Chief of Transportation TCATS Engineering and Development Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. ATTENTION: Mr. Russell Putnam
3	Mr. John Attinello Bureau of Aeronautics RS-72 Room 2W89 Building W Washington 25, D.C.
4	LCDR Arthur Struble Bureau of Aeronautics - AD-32 Washington 25, D.C.
5.	Mr. J. Platt AHS-Wright Field Dayton, Ohio
6	Mr. Hasen Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey
7	Mr. Ralph May National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics 1724 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.
8	Air Technical Intelligence Center Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, Ohio ATTENTION: AFOW-ATIAA2
9	Bureau of Aeronautics - AC-61 Washington 25, D.C. ATTENTION: Major W. H. Rodenberger
10	Bureau of Aeronautics AD-31 Washington 25, D.C. ATTENTION: Lt. R.D. DeLauer
11 - 12	Office of Naval Research, Resident Representative c/o Washington University Room 207 - Crow Hall St. Louis 5, Missouri ATTENTION: M.R. Lipman

TITLE _____

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 11

PREPARED BY _____ DATE _____

CESSNA AIRCRAFT CO.

REPORT NO. 1

WICHITA, KANSAS

CHECKED BY _____ DATE _____

MODEL _____

FORM 484

Copy No.	Individual and/or Organisation to Whom Report Was Sent
13	Professor K. Razak University of Wichita Wichita, Kansas
14-15-16	Office of Naval Research Code 461 - Air Branch Building T-3, Room 2609 Washington 25, D.C. ATTENTION: Major J. Willeox
17-18-19	Derby Frye Cessna Aircraft Company
20	Helicopter Division Cessna Aircraft Company
21-22	A.E. Petroff Cessna Aircraft Company (File Copies)