

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

AD

46700

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by

DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NO. 46700

NAVORD REPORT 3797

LEAST SQUARES OVER THE COMPLEX FIELD

27 AUGUST 1954



U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NAVORD Report 5797
Aeroballistic Research Report No. 250

LEAST SQUARES OVER THE COMPLEX FIELD

Prepared by:

Calvin C. Elgot

ABSTRACT: The least square solution of a set of linear equations with complex coefficients and its relation to the equivalent real equations is discussed. In particular it is shown that the square root method of solving the normal equations is extendible to the complex field and that fewer operations are required to effect this solution by computing with complex numbers rather than with real numbers.

Acknowledgment is due Edward K. Blum and Martin Pearl for helpful discussions.

U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NAVORD Report 3797

27 August 1954

This report discusses the least square solution of a set of complex linear equations. The study has been carried out as a part of Project NOL-B3d-452-1-55, entitled "Free Flight Aeroballistics," and Project FR-30-55, entitled "Numerical Analysis."

JOHN T. HAYWARD
Captain, USN
Commander

H. H. KURZWEG, Chief
Aeroballistic Research Department
By direction

NAVORD Report 3797

CONTENTS

	Page
1. Introduction	1
2. The problem	2
3. The mapping	3
4. Some theorems	3
5. Weights	12
6. Counting operations	14
7. Miscellaneous remarks	16

LEAST SQUARES OVER THE COMPLEX FIELD

1. Introduction

Sets of complex linear (observational) equations in $s \leq r$ unknowns arise in ballistics. For the equivalent real equations the least square solution is frequently accomplished by the square root method. This method has several characteristics which recommend it for use with high speed computing machinery:

- (1) In fixed decimal machines the scaling problem tends to be minimized because the square root operation reduces the spread of data.
- (2) The algorithms involved are particularly simple and independent of the number of unknowns. (This implies that to extend a set of instructions for solving an n th order system to a larger system requires only adding instructions.)
- (3) Storage allotment is systematic and simple.
- (4) The amount of storage required (at least with certain machines)⁽¹⁾ appears to be minimal.
- (5) A simple simultaneous check of the formation and solution of the normal equations and calculation of residuals is possible (cf. theorem 5).
- (6) Weights⁽²⁾ of the unknowns are readily calculated from intermediate results.

It is not appreciated in all computing circles that the square root technique admits of a trivial extension to the complex domain maintaining the characteristics mentioned above. Once this is appreciated it is natural to ask whether there is "any difference" in solving the complex normal equations by computing with complex numbers or by computing with

(1) The program constructed at NOL for use on a Card Programmed Calculator using a double operation general purpose board requires no temporary storage. The storage requirement for solving a system of n equations with n unknowns is one more than the number of (in general distinct) elements in the (augmented) matrix, i.e., the storage requirement is $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$.

(2) See Whittaker and Robinson, Calculus of Observations, Third Edition, p. 239.

real numbers. It turns out that the number of real operations (of each type) required to solve the normal equations by computing within the complex domain is less than or equal to half the number of real operations required to solve the equations by computing within the real domain.

2. The problem

Let $M = N + iP$ be a complex $r \times s$ matrix, $s \leq r$. Let $w = u + iv$ be a complex $r \times 1$ matrix (or column vector). The problem, then, is to find a complex $s \times 1$ matrix, $z = x + iy$, which, in the least square sense, is the best possible solution to the set of equations

$$(1) \quad Mz \doteq w.$$

(" $\doteq$ " denotes "equals approximately" and is used to emphasize that there exists, in general, no z such that $Mz = w$ precisely.)

From (1) we obtain

$$(N + iP)(x + iy) \doteq u + iv$$

$$(Nx - Py) + i(Px + Ny) \doteq u + iv$$

so that

$$(2) \quad Nx - Py \doteq u \quad \text{or} \quad (N \quad -P) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \doteq u$$

and

$$(3) \quad Px + Ny \doteq v \quad \text{or} \quad (P \quad N) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \doteq v.$$

Equations (2) and (3) taken together yield

$$(4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}.$$

The two methods⁽³⁾ of solving (1) with which we are familiar both seek

(3) Cf. references 4 and 6.

the solution of (4).

3. The mapping

We note that (2) and (3) are respectively equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} = u$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} N & -P \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} = -v$$

and these latter taken together are equivalent to

$$(5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v \\ u \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) and (5) are equivalent and each is equivalent to

$$(6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -v \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let f denote the mapping

$$f(M) = \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for any complex matrix } M.$$

Then (6) may be written

$$f(M) \cdot f(z) = f(w).$$

4. Some theorems

Theorem 1. For any $z = x + iy$ the sum of the squares of the moduli of the residuals of (1) equals the sum of the squares of the residuals of (4).

Proof. The sum of the squares of the residuals of (1) may be written

in matrix terminology as

$$(7) \quad {}^t(\overline{Mz - w})(Mz - w)$$

where " tA " denotes the transpose of the matrix A and $\bar{A}$ denotes the matrix obtained from A by replacing each element by its conjugate. [For ${}^t\bar{A}$ we shall often write $*A$.] Replacing M by $N + iP$, z by $x + iy$ and w by $u + iv$ we obtain

$$Mz - w = (Nx - Py - u) + i(Px + Ny - v)$$

so that (7) becomes

$$(8) \quad {}^t(Nx - Py - u)(Nx - Py - u) + {}^t(Px + Ny - v)(Px + Ny - v).$$

The sum of the squares of the residuals of (4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^t \left| \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right| \left| \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ & = {}^t \begin{pmatrix} Nx - Py - u \\ Px + Ny - v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Nx - Py - u \\ Px + Ny - v \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which equals (8) and hence (7).

Lemma 1. If A is a hermitian positive definite matrix, then there exists at most one triangular matrix S with real positive diagonal elements such that ${}^t\bar{S}S = A$. ($S = (s_{ij})$ is triangular shall mean that S is a square matrix with the property that $i > j$ implies $s_{ij} = 0$.)

Proof. Assuming S exists we have $\sum_{k=1}^n \bar{s}_{ki}s_{kj} = a_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where n is the order of A . Since $i > j$ implies $s_{ij} = 0$, for $i \leq j$ we have $a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^i \bar{s}_{ki}s_{kj}$, which yields the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{11} &= \sqrt{a_{11}}, & s_{1j} &= \frac{a_{1j}}{s_{11}}, & 1 < j \leq n, \\
 (9) \quad s_{ij} &= (a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \bar{s}_{ki} s_{kj}) / s_{ii}, & 1 < i < j \leq n, \\
 s_{jj} &= \sqrt{a_{jj} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{s}_{kj} s_{kj}}, & 1 < i = j \leq n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. The following five conditions on a hermitian $n \times n$ matrix A are equivalent. [(a) is taken as the definition of a matrix being positive definite.]

- (a) $\bar{x}Ax > 0$ for all non-zero complex $n \times 1$ matrices x .⁽⁴⁾
- (b) $A = {}^tB \cdot B$ for some complex $r \times s$ matrix B and $|A| \neq 0$.
- (c) The principal minors of A are all positive, i.e., the determinants of all matrices obtained from A by deleting the same rows and columns are all positive. ($|A|$ is a principal minor.)
- (d) $A = {}^tS \cdot S$ for a complex triangular matrix $S = (s_{ij})$ with positive diagonal elements.
- (e) Let A_i , $1 \leq i < n$, denote the matrix obtained from A by deleting rows and columns $i+1, i+2, \dots, n$. $|A_i| > 0$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and $|A| > 0$.

Proof. (a) implies (e). Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the not necessarily distinct roots (eigenvalues) of $g(\lambda) = |A - \lambda I|$, so that $g(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda)$. Then $g(0) = |A| = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$. For each λ_i there exists a non-zero n -tuple (column vector, eigenvector) x_i such that $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$, since there exists a non-trivial (non-zero) solution to the equations

⁽⁴⁾ For any hermitian A , $\overline{{}^tAx} = {}^t\overline{x}A = {}^t({}^tAx) = {}^t\overline{x}Ax = {}^tAx$ so that tAx is real.

$(A - \lambda_1 I)x = 0$. Using the hypothesis: $\bar{x}_1 \cdot Ax_1 = \bar{x}_1 \cdot \lambda_1 x_1 = \lambda_1 \cdot \bar{x}_1 x_1 > 0$, so that $\lambda_1 > 0$. Hence $|A| = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > 0$.

Let $A(i)$ denote the matrix obtained from A by deleting the i th row and column and $x(i)$ the column matrix obtained from the $n \times 1$ matrix x by deleting the i th element (row). If the i th row of x is zero, then

$$\bar{x}Ax = \bar{x}(i) A(i) x(i),$$

so that $A(i)$ is positive definite. From the preceding paragraph,

$|A(i)| > 0$. It follows that all principal minors are positive.

(c) implies (e). Obvious.

(e) implies (d). $|A_1| = a_{11} > 0$, so that there exists $s_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}}$ and $s_{12} = a_{12}/s_{11}$. If $a_{22} - \bar{s}_{12}a_{12} > 0$, then we can find $s_{22} > 0$ and s_{13}, s_{23} satisfying (9) above. If, further, $a_{33} - \bar{s}_{13}a_{13} - \bar{s}_{23}a_{23} > 0$, then we can find $s_{33} > 0, s_{14}, s_{24}, s_{34}$ satisfying (9) above. Let r denote the least j such that

$$(10) \quad a_{jj} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \bar{s}_{kj}a_{kj} \leq 0$$

(assuming there exists such a $j, 1 < j \leq n$). Let $s_{rr} \geq 0$ be such that

$$-s_{rr}^2 = a_{rr} - \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \bar{s}_{kr}a_{kr}.$$

Denoting the triangular matrix $(s_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ by S_r we have

$$\tilde{S}_r \cdot S_r = A_r$$

where $\tilde{S}_r$ is tS except in the r th row and column stands $-s_{rr}$ instead of s_{rr} .

$$|S_r| = \prod_{i=1}^r s_{ii},$$

$$|\tilde{S}_r| = - \prod_{i=1}^r s_{ii},$$

so that

$$|A_r| = - \prod_{i=1}^r s_{ii}^2.$$

The left-hand member is positive by hypothesis, while the right-hand member is negative or zero. Thus the assumption of the existence of j , $1 < j \leq n$, such that (10) holds is false, and the existence of S is established.

(d) implies (b). Take $B = S$. $|A| > 0$ since $|A| = \prod_{i=1}^n s_{ii}^2$ and $s_{ii} > 0$.

(b) implies (a). $t_x A x = t_x \cdot t_{BB} \cdot x = t_x t_B \cdot Bx = t(\overline{Bx}) Bx \geq 0$ since $t(\overline{Bx}) Bx$ is a sum of squares. If, for some x , $t(\overline{Bx}) Bx = 0$, then $Bx = 0$. Hence $t_B \cdot Bx = Ax = 0$. $|A| \neq 0$ and $Ax = 0$ imply $x = 0$ (this follows from Cramer's rule).

Corollary. If A is hermitian positive definite, then there exists a unique triangular matrix with positive diagonal elements such that

$$A = t_S \cdot S.$$

Proof. Immediate from lemma 1 and theorem 2.

Lemma 2. If the sum (product) of two complex matrices M_1, M_2 is defined, then the sum (product) of the two real matrices $f(M_1), f(M_2)$ is defined and

- (a) $f(M_1 + M_2) = f(M_1) + f(M_2)$,
- (b) $f(M_1 \cdot M_2) = f(M_1) \cdot f(M_2)$,
- (c) $f(aM) = af(M)$ for any real number a .

Proof. That the sum and product of $f(M_1), f(M_2)$ are defined is clear. Proofs of (a) and (c) are obvious.

(b) Let $M_1 = N_1 + iP_1$, $M_2 = N_2 + iP_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(M_1) \cdot f(M_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & -P_1 \\ P_1 & N_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N_2 & -P_2 \\ P_2 & N_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} N_1 N_2 - P_1 P_2 & -(N_1 P_2 + P_1 N_2) \\ N_1 P_2 + P_1 N_2 & N_1 N_2 - P_1 P_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f(M_1 \cdot M_2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. An $n \times n$ matrix A is called non-singular if A^{-1} exists. A^{-1} exists if and only if $|A| \neq 0$ since (1) if A^{-1} exists, $A \cdot A^{-1} = I$, $|A| \cdot |A^{-1}| = 1$, so that $|A| \neq 0$, and (2) if $|A| \neq 0$, then $Ab_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, fixed j , has a solution by Cramer's rule; let j vary between 1 and n , then if $B = (b_{ij})$, $AB = I$.

Lemma 3. (a) $f(I_n) = I_{2n}$, where I_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

(b) If M is a square matrix and M^{-1} exists, then $f(M)$ is square, $[f(M)]^{-1}$ exists, and $f(M^{-1}) = [f(M)]^{-1}$.

(c) $f({}^t M) = {}^t f(M)$.

(d) $H = P + iQ$ is hermitian if and only if P is symmetric and Q is skew-symmetric.

(e) If H is hermitian positive definite, then $f(H)$ is symmetric positive definite and if $H = P + iQ$ then P is positive definite.

Proof. (a) follows from the definition of f .

(b). If $AM = I$, then $f(A) \cdot f(M) = I$ using lemma 2(b) and lemma 3(a). Thus $f(A) = (f(M))^{-1}$ if $A = M^{-1}$.

(c). If $M = N + iP$, ${}^t M = {}^t N - i{}^t P$,

$$f(M) = \begin{pmatrix} N & -P \\ P & N \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f(*M) = \begin{pmatrix} t_N & t_P \\ -t_P & t_N \end{pmatrix},$$

and the result follows.

(d). Immediate from the definition of hermitian.

(e). By the corollary to theorem 2 there exists a triangular matrix S such that $*S \cdot S = H$. Applying f to both sides of this equality yields

$$f(*S) \cdot f(S) = f(H).$$

By lemma 3(c), $f(*S) = {}^t f(S)$, so that

$$(A) \quad {}^t f(S) \cdot f(S) = f(H),$$

and

$$(B) \quad {}^t f(H) = {}^t [{}^t f(S) \cdot f(S)] = f(H).$$

(B) shows that $f(H)$ is symmetric. That $|f(H)| \neq 0^{(5)}$ follows from lemma 3(b). $|f(H)| \neq 0$ and (A) prove that $f(H)$ is positive definite (over the complex numbers) by theorem 2.

Alternatively, $f(H)$ may be proved symmetric positive definite as follows. $f(H) = \begin{pmatrix} P & -Q \\ Q & P \end{pmatrix}$. From (d), $f(H)$ is symmetric. Since H is positive definite,

$${}^t \bar{z} H z = a(z) > 0,$$

$${}^t f(z) f(H) f(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & 0 \\ 0 & a(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_x & t_y \\ -t_y & t_x \end{pmatrix} f(H) \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

(5) Indeed, it may be shown that $|f(H)| = |H|^2$.

where $z = x + iy$. It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_x & t_y \end{pmatrix} f(H) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = a(z) > 0. \quad (6)$$

Let $S = U + iV$. Then

$$f(H) = \begin{pmatrix} P & -Q \\ Q & P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_U & t_V \\ -t_V & t_U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & -V \\ V & U \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $P = t_U \cdot U$. U is triangular and the elements along its main diagonal are the elements along the main diagonal of S . Thus

$|U| = |S| \neq 0$. Therefore, P is positive definite.

Theorem 3. Assuming $|*M \cdot M| \neq 0$,⁽⁷⁾ the z which minimizes the sum of the squares of the moduli of the residuals of (1) is the solution to the equation

$$(11) \quad *M \cdot M \cdot z = *M \cdot w.$$

Proof. Since $|*M \cdot M| \neq 0$, it follows that $|f(*M \cdot M)| \neq 0$ from lemma 3(b). By theorem 1, if $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ is the least square solution to (4), then $z = x + iy$ minimizes the sum of the squares of the moduli of the residuals of (1). The least square solution to (4) is the solution to the equation

$$(12) \quad t_{f(M)} \cdot f(M) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = t_{f(M)} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}.$$

(6) This latter proof shows that $f(H)$ is positive definite over the real numbers. It may readily be shown that in general if a symmetric matrix is positive definite over the real numbers, it is positive definite over the complex numbers.

(7) If M is an $r \times s$ matrix, $s \leq r$, of rank s , it may be shown that $|*M \cdot M| \neq 0$.

Application of f to (11) yields

$$(13) \quad {}^t f(M) \cdot f(M) \cdot f(z) = {}^t f(M) \cdot f(w).$$

The first column of $f(z)$ is then the solution to (12) and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 4. Let $Ax = g$ represent a set of n linear equations in n unknowns. Assume A is an $n \times n$ hermitian positive definite matrix and g is an $n \times 1$ complex matrix. Then

$$(a) \text{ there exists } \alpha \text{ such that if } B = \begin{pmatrix} A & -g \\ -{}^t \bar{g} & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } |B| = |A|;$$

(b) there exist an $n \times n$ triangular matrix S and an $n \times 1$ matrix k such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -g \\ -{}^t \bar{g} & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t \bar{S} & 0 \\ -{}^t \bar{k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S & -k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

(c) there exist an $n \times n$ triangular matrix T and an $n \times 1$ matrix y such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} {}^{nn}T & {}^{n1}y \\ {}^{1n}0 & {}^{11}1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{nn}S & {}^{n1}k \\ {}^{1n}0 & {}^{11}1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{nn}I & {}^{n1}0 \\ {}^{1n}0 & {}^{11}1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(d) \quad Ay = g.$$

Proof. Expand $|B|$ by elements of the last column, giving $|B| = c + |A|\alpha$ where c is independent of α , i.e., $|B|$ is a linear function of α . Since $|A| \neq 0$, α can be determined so that $|B| = |A|$.

Since $|B| = |A| > 0$, and A is positive definite, it follows from theorem 2, condition (e) that B is positive definite. By the corollary to theorem 2, there exists a unique $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ triangular matrix which

decomposes B (in the sense of the corollary). Since $|B|/|A|$ equals the square of the element in the $(n+1)$ th row and column, this element is 1. Thus B decomposes as shown in (b).

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & y \\ w & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S & -k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume inductively that T is triangular. Then $wS + 0 \cdot 1 = 0$. Since $|S| \neq 0$, $w = 0$, so that the inverse of a triangular matrix is triangular. (c) follows.

From (c), $T(-k) + y \cdot 1 = 0$ and $TS = I$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} y &= Tk \\ Sy &= STk = k \\ {}^tSSy &= {}^tSk. \end{aligned}$$

From (b), $A = {}^tSS$ and $-g = {}^tS(-k) + 0 \cdot 1$, so that (d) follows.

Theorem 5. Let $e = Mz - w$ where M, w are as in (1) and z is the solution to (11). Let $A = {}^*M \cdot M$ be non-singular. Let $g = {}^*M \cdot w$. Let $A = {}^*S \cdot S$, the unique triangular decomposition given by the corollary to theorem 2. Let $k = {}^*S^{-1} g$. Then

$$(14) \quad {}^*e \cdot e = {}^*w \cdot w - {}^*k \cdot k.$$

Proof. ${}^*e \cdot e = {}^*z \cdot {}^*MMz - {}^*w \cdot M \cdot z - {}^*z \cdot {}^*Mw + {}^*ww = {}^*z \cdot {}^*SSz - {}^*gz - {}^*zg + {}^*ww = {}^*zg - {}^*gz - {}^*zg + {}^*ww = {}^*ww - {}^*gz$. Now $Sz = k$, so that $z = S^{-1} k$, while ${}^*Sk = g$, so that ${}^*g = {}^*kS$. (14) follows.

5. Weights

Let A be a non-singular $s \times s$ matrix. If (x_k) , $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$, is the solution of the real matrix equation

$$(15) \quad Ax = g,$$

then the weight⁽⁸⁾ of the solution x_k , written $w_k(x)$ or more properly $w_k(A)$, is given by

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{w_k(x)} = \frac{|A_k|}{|A|} = e_{kk}$$

where $|A_k|$ is the minor of a_{kk} and where $(e_{ij}) = E = A^{-1}$.

If $(z_k) = (x_k + iy_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$, is the solution of the complex matrix equation

$$(17) \quad Az = g$$

and

$$(18) \quad A = B + Ci, \quad g = m + ni,$$

then we define

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{w_k(A)} = \frac{1}{w_k(z)} = \frac{|A_k|}{|A|} = e_{kk}$$

and we define $w_k(x) = w_k(f(A))$ and $w_k(y) = w_{k+s}(f(A))$.

Theorem 6. If A is hermitian, then $w_k(z) = w_k(x) = w_k(y)$.

Proof. Let $A^{-1} = M + Ni$. Since A is hermitian, A^{-1} is also hermitian⁽⁹⁾ so that N is skew-symmetric. Thus

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{w_k(z)} = m_{kk} + n_{kk}i = m_{kk}.$$

It follows from lemma 3(b) that

(8) Cf. Whittaker and Robinson, Calculus of Observations.

(9) From $AA^{-1} = I$ follows $*A^{-1} \cdot *A = *(AA^{-1}) = *I = I$. $A = *A$, so that $*A^{-1} \cdot A = I$. Hence $*A^{-1} = A^{-1}$ and A^{-1} is hermitian.

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} M & -N \\ N & M \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{w_k(x)} = \frac{1}{w_k(y)} = m_{kk} = \frac{1}{w_k(z)}.$$

6. Counting operations

Theorem 7. Let $a(n)$, $m(n)$, $d(n)$ represent the number of real additions (or subtractions), multiplications, and divisions, respectively, required to find S such that $*S \cdot S = A$ by means of algorithm (9) on page 5 where A is a real symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ matrix and S is a triangular matrix. Let $a^{-1}(n)$, $m^{-1}(n)$, $d^{-1}(n)$ represent the number of additions (or subtractions), multiplications, and divisions, respectively, required to find $T = S^{-1}$ by means of the formulas given below. Let $*a(n)$, $\dots$, $*a^{-1}(n)$, $\dots$ have corresponding meanings in the case that A is a not real hermitian positive definite matrix. Then

$$(A) \quad a(n) = m(n) = m^{-1}(n) = \frac{1}{6} n(n^2 - 1)$$

$$d(n) = \frac{1}{2} n(n - 1)$$

$$a^{-1}(n) = \frac{1}{6} n(n - 1)(n - 2)$$

$$d^{-1}(n) = \frac{1}{2} n(n + 1),$$

$$(B) \quad *a(n) = *m(n) = *m^{-1}(n) = \frac{1}{3} n(n - 1)(2n - 1)$$

$$*a^{-1}(n) = \frac{2}{3} n(n - 1)(n - 2)$$

$$*d(n) = n(n - 1)$$

$$*d^{-1}(n) = n^2.$$

Proof. We establish the formulas for $m(n)$ and $*m(n)$ only. The others may be established in a similar manner. We give first the formulas for computing $T = (t_{ij})$.

$$(21) \quad t_{jj} = \frac{1}{s_{jj}}; \quad t_{ij} = \frac{-\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} t_{ik}s_{kj}}{s_{jj}} \quad \text{when } i < j.$$

The formula for $m(n)$ is correct for $n = 1$. Assume it correct for n . Since the number of multiplications required to compute $s_{i,n+1}$, $0 < i \leq n+1$, is $i - 1$, $m(n+1) = \frac{1}{6} n(n^2-1) + (0 + 1 + 2 + \dots + n) = \frac{1}{6} n(n^2-1) + \frac{1}{2} n(n+1) = \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(n+2)$, which establishes the formula.

Multiplication of two (in general unrelated and not real) complex numbers requires four real multiplications. In case of multiplication of a complex number by its conjugate, the number of real multiplications required is two. The number of multiplications required to calculate the diagonal elements (in the real case) is $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$. Hence $*m(n) = 4[m(n) - \frac{1}{2} n(n-1)] + 2[\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)]$, which yields the desired result.

Corollary. If A is hermitian positive definite, then the number of operations required to compute S such that $*S \cdot S = A$ is less than half that required to compute S such that $tS \cdot S = f(A)$.

Proof. Let

$$(a) = m(2n) + m^{-1}(2n) = \frac{2}{3} n(2n-1)(2n+1)$$

$$(a)' = *m(n) + *m^{-1}(n) = \frac{2}{3} n(2n-1)(n-1)$$

$$(b) = a(2n) + a^{-1}(2n) = \frac{1}{3} n(2n-1)(4n-1)$$

$$(b)' = *a(n) + *a^{-1}(n) = \frac{1}{3} n(n-1)(4n-5)$$

$$(c) = d(2n) + d^{-1}(2n) = 4n^2$$

$$(c)' = *d(n) + *d^{-1}(n) = n(2n-1).$$

It is readily seen that

$$(a)' < \frac{1}{2} (a)$$

$$(b)' < \frac{1}{2} (b)$$

$$(c)' < \frac{1}{2} (c).$$

It is also clear that the number of square roots to be taken is $2n$ in the real case and n in the complex case.

7. Miscellaneous remarks

1. If λ is a real eigenvalue of A with eigenvector $z = x + iy \neq 0$, then λ is an eigenvalue of $f(A)$ with linearly independent eigenvectors $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$ since $Az = \lambda z$ implies $f(A) \cdot f(z) = \lambda f(z)$. It may be noted that $x + iy$ and $-y + ix$ are linearly dependent (over the complex numbers).

2. A computing technique to solve $Az = g$ with hermitian positive definite A may be based upon the following equations

$$*S \cdot Sz = g$$

$$Sz = k \quad \text{where } k = *S^{-1} g,$$

which yields the formulas for z_i :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s_{ik} z_k = k_i.$$

Thus $s_{nn} z_n = k_n$, $s_{n-1,n-1} z_{n-1} + s_{n-1,n} z_n = k_{n-1}$, etc.

The formulas for computing S and k are given by (9) where the last column (from left to right) of S plays the role of k .

3. It should be noted in a computation based upon theorem 4, α need not be calculated.

A variant of theorem 4, frequently convenient, is obtained by

replacing $-g$ by g , $-t_{\bar{g}}$ by $t_{\bar{g}}$, $-k$ by k , $-t_{\bar{k}}$ by $t_{\bar{k}}$ and replacing 1 by -1 . y remains unchanged and $Ay = g$.

4. A computation based upon remark 3 rather than remark 2 is more economical when the weights of the unknowns are desired since the weights are the diagonal elements of A^{-1} (excluding -1), S^{-1} is calculated, and $A^{-1} = S^{-1} \cdot *S^{-1}$. If the weights are not desired, a computation based on remark 2 is more economical.

5. It is frequently desirable to "scale" the $r \times s$ matrix of observational equations

$$(A) \quad Mz = w.$$

This is accomplished by considering instead of (A)

$$(B) \quad MDz = c \cdot w,$$

where D is an $s \times s$ diagonal matrix and c is a real number. The normal equations corresponding to (B) are $D \cdot *M \cdot M \cdot Dz = D \cdot *M \cdot cw = cD \cdot *Mw$ or

$$(C) \quad DADz = cDg$$

where $A = *M \cdot M$ and $g = *M \cdot w$. The solution to (C) is

$$\tilde{z} = D^{-1} A^{-1} D^{-1} \cdot cDg = cD^{-1} \cdot A^{-1} g$$

so that

$$\tilde{z} = cD^{-1} z$$

or

$$z = c^{-1} D \cdot \tilde{z}.$$

6. If we define $M_1 \odot M_2 = *M_1 \cdot M_2$, then $A = S \odot S$, whence the name "square root method". Cf. references 1 and 2.

7. Concerning theorem 4, a convenient order of computation is $s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{22}, s_{13}, s_{23}, s_{33}, \dots$. After s_{1j} is computed, it displaces a_{1j} in memory (the real part of s_{1j} displacing the real part of a_{1j} and the imaginary part of s_{1j} displacing the imaginary part of a_{1j}). Comparing (9), one notes that each quantity required to compute s_{1j} is in storage at the time that s_{1j} is being computed.

Similarly, a convenient order of computation for t_{1j} is $t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{22}, t_{13}, t_{23}, t_{33}, \dots$. After t_{1j} is computed, it displaces s_{1j} in memory. Comparing (21), one notes that each quantity required to compute t_{1j} is in storage at the time that t_{1j} is being computed.

8. If H is hermitian positive definite and $H = S^*S$, then $f(H) = {}^t f(S) \cdot f(S)$, but $f(S)$ is not triangular. The economy of working within the complex field can be attained working in the real field if one seeks a decomposition for $f(H)$ of the above form rather than a triangular decomposition. The triangular decomposition does not make use of the special form of $f(H)$.

9. If A is a symmetric matrix and ${}^t x A x = 0$ for all real x , then $A = 0$. For, taking x to be the column matrix with 1 in the i th position and zero elsewhere yields ${}^t x A x = a_{ii} = 0$. Taking x to be the column matrix with 1 in the i th and j th positions and zero elsewhere yields ${}^t x A x = a_{ii} + 2a_{ij} + a_{jj} = 2a_{ij} = 0$ which justifies the assertion.

If H is a hermitian matrix and $s^* H s = 0$ for complex $n \times 1$ matrices s , then ${}^t x f(H) x = 0$ for real $2n \times 1$ matrices x . It follows that $f(H) = 0$. Hence, $H = 0$.

10. Any complex matrix A may be written as

$$H_1 + iH_2$$

where $H_1 = \frac{1}{2} (A + *A)$ and $H_2 = \frac{1}{2i} (A - *A)$ are hermitian. If $*zAz$ is real for all z , then $*zH_1z + i*zH_2z$ is real. By footnote 4, $*zH_1z$ and $*zH_2z$ are real. Hence $*zH_2z = 0$ for all z and $H_2 = 0$. Thus, if $*zAz$ is real for all z , then A is hermitian. A fortiori, if A is positive definite over the complex field, it is hermitian. In particular, if a real matrix is positive definite over the complex numbers, then the matrix is symmetric.

There exist, however, non-symmetric real matrices positive definite over the real numbers. For example, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is positive definite over the real numbers since $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is positive definite and for all skew-symmetric matrices Q , ${}^t x Q x = 0$ for all x .

If a real non-symmetric matrix is positive definite over the real numbers, it is not positive definite over the complex numbers.

11. If U is a unitary matrix, i.e., $*U \cdot U = I$, then $f(U)$ is orthogonal since $f(*U \cdot U) = {}^t f(U) \cdot f(U) = I$.

12. It is well known that⁽¹⁰⁾ if H is a hermitian matrix, then there exists a unitary matrix U such that $*U \cdot H \cdot U$ is diagonal. Application of f yields that ${}^t f(U) \cdot f(H) \cdot f(U)$ is diagonal with $f(U)$ orthogonal. Thus the eigenvalues of $f(H)$ are precisely the eigenvalues of H with doubled multiplicity. In particular, it follows that $|f(H)| = |H|^2$.

(10) Cf. Halmos, Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces, p. 129.

REFERENCES

1. T. Banachiewicz, "Principes d'une nouvelle technique de la méthode des moindres carres; Méthode de résolution numérique des équations linéaires, du calcul des déterminants et des inverses, et de réduction des formes quadratiques," Akademija Umiejetnosci, Krakow, Wydzial Matematyczno-przyrodniczy, Bull. Intern., Sci. Math., 1938, pp. 134-135, 393-404.
2. T. Banachiewicz, "An outline of the cracovian algorithm of the method of least squares," Astronomical Journal, vol. 50, 1942, pp. 38-41.
3. A. S. Householder, "Some numerical methods for solving systems of linear equations," The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 57, 1950, pp. 453-459.
4. Kopal, Kavanagh, and Rodier, "A manual of reduction of spinner rocket shadowgrams," MIT Dept. of Elec. Eng. Technical Report no. 4, pp. 16-27.
5. Jack Laderman, "The square root method for simultaneous linear equations," MTAC, vol. 3, 1948-49, pp. 13-16.
6. R. Turetsky, "The least square solution for a set of complex linear equations," Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, vol. 9, 1951-52, pp. 108-110.

Aeroballistic Research Department
External Distribution List for Applied Math. (X3)

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		Air Targets Division Directorate of Intelligence Headquarters, USAF Washington 25, D. C.
1	Attn: Rea	1	Attn: Chief, Physical Vulnerability Br.
1	Attn: Rexe	1	Attn: AFDRD-RE
3	Attn: Re9a		
	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		Commanding General Hdqts, Wright Air Development Center Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio
1	Attn: AER-TD-414	1	Attn: WCSO
1	Attn: RS-7	7	Attn: WCAPD
	Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern P.O. China Lake, California	2	Attn: WCRR
2	Attn: Technical Library		Physics Branch Division of Research U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.
1	Attn: Code 5003	1	
	Commander U.S. Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California		Director Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama Attn: Air University Library
2	Attn: Technical Library	1	
	Superintendent U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California		The Artillery School Antiaircraft and Guided Missiles Br. Fort Bliss, Texas
1	Attn: Librarian	2	Attn: Research and Analysis Sec.
	Commanding Officer and Director David Taylor Model Basin Washington 7, D. C.	1	Attn: Pres. Army Field Forces, Board #4
1	Attn: Hydrodynamics Laboratory		Ballistic Research Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, Maryland
	Chief of Naval Research Navy Research Section Library of Congress Washington 25, D. C.	2	Attn: D.S. Dederick
2			National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.
	Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: Nat'l Applied Math. Lab.
1	Attn: Code 452	1	Attn: W. Ramberg
1	Attn: Code 438	1	Attn: Librarian(Ord. Dev. Div.)
1	Attn: Code 463		National Bureau of Standards Corona Laboratories (Ord. Dev. Div.) Corona, California
	Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: Dr. Harold Thomas
1	Attn: Code 2021		National Bureau of Standards Building 3U, UCLA Campus 405 Hilgard Avenue Los Angeles 24, California
1	Attn: Code 3800	1	Attn: Librarian
	Research and Development Board Library Branch Pentagon, 3D1041 Washington 25, D. C.		University of California Berkeley 4, California
1		1	Attn: G. J. Maslach
	Chief, AF5WP P.O. Box 2610 Washington, D. C.	1	Attn: Dr. S.A. Schaaf
1	Attn: Technical Library		VIA: InsMat
			California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California
		2	Attn: Librarians(Guggenheim Aero Lab)
		1	Attn: Prof. M.S. Plisset
			VIA: BuAero Rep.

No. of
Copies

1 University of Illinois
202 E. R. L.
Urbana, Illinois
Attn: Prof. A.H. Taub
VIA: InsMat

1 Director
Fluid Dynamics and Applied Math.
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland
VIA: InsMat

1 University of Michigan
Engineering Research Institute
Ypsilanti, Michigan
Attn: J.W. Carr, III

1 Willow Run Research Center
Willow Run Airport
University of Michigan
Ypsilanti, Michigan
Attn: L.R. Biasell
VIA: InsMat

1 University of Minnesota
Rosemount, Minnesota
Attn: J. Leonard Frame
VIA: Ass't InsMat

1 Dept. of Aero. Engr.
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio
Attn: G. L. Von Eschen
VIA: Ass't InsMat

1 Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
99 Livingston Street
Brooklyn 2, New York
Attn: Dr. Antonio Ferri
VIA: ONR

1 University of Wisconsin
Naval Research Laboratory
422 Farm Place
Madison 6, Wisconsin
VIA: InsMat

1 Princeton University
Forrestal Research Center Library
Project Squid
Princeton, New Jersey
Attn: M. H. Smith

1 Armour Research Foundation
35 West 33rd Street
Chicago 16, Illinois
Attn: Engr. Mech. Div.

1 Applied Physics Laboratory
The Johns Hopkins University
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland
Attn: Arthur G. Norris
VIA: NIO

No. of
Copies

1 Defense Research Laboratory
University of Texas
Box 1, University Station
Austin, Texas
Attn: InsMat

2 Eastman Kodak Company
50 West Main Street
Rochester 4, New York
Attn: Dr. H. Trotter, Jr.
VIA: NIO

1 General Electric Company
Bldg. #1, Campbell Avenue Plant
Schenectady, New York
Attn: Joseph C. Hoffman
VIA: InsMach.

1 The Rand Corporation
1500 Fourth Street
Santa Monica, California
Attn: Librarian
VIA: InsMat

1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc.
3000 Ocean Park Boulevard
Santa Monica, California
Attn: E.F. Burton
VIA: BuAero Rep.

2 North American Aviation, Inc.
12214 Lakewood Boulevard
Downey, California
Attn: Aerophysics Library
VIA: BuAero Rep.

5 National Advisory Committee for Aero
1724 F Street Northwest
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: E.B. Jackson

2 Ames Aeronautical Laboratory
Moffett Field, California
Attn: Dr. A. C. Charter

1 Langley Aeronautical Laboratory
Langley Field, Virginia
Attn: Theoretical Aerodynamics Div.

1 Attn: Dr. A. Busemann

1 Attn: J. Stack

1 NACA Lewis Flight Propulsion Lab.
Cleveland Hopkins Airport
Cleveland, 11, Ohio
Attn: Dr. John C. Evvard

1 Harvard University
21 Vassar Building
Cambridge 38, Massachusetts
Attn: Prof. G. Birkhoff

1 Johns Hopkins University
Charles and 34th Streets
Baltimore 18, Maryland
Attn: Dr. F. H. Clauser

No. of
Copies

1	New York University 45 Fourth Avenue New York 3, New York Attn: Dr. R. Courant
1	California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California Attn: Dr. Hans W. Liepmann
1	University of Toronto Institute of Aerophysics Toronto 5, Ontario Attn: Dr. G.N. Patterson Via: BuOrd (Ad6)
1	Hughes Aircraft Company Missile Aerodynamics Department Culver City, California Attn: Dr. Allen E. Puckett
1	Institute for Advanced Study Professor John von Neumann Princeton, New Jersey
1	University of Michigan Willow Run Research Center Ypsilanti, Michigan

Aeroballistic Research Department
External Distribution List for Applied Math. (X3a)

<u>No. of Copies</u>	
8	Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Navy 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York
1	Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, Maryland Attn: C.L. Poor
1	Attn: Dr. B.L. Hicks
1	National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C. Attn: G.B. Schubauer
1	Amer Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, California Attn: W.G. Vincenti
1	Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island Attn: Prof. Wm. Prager VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	University of California Observatory 21 Berkeley 4, California Attn: Leland E. Cunningham VIA: InsMat
1	Cornell University Ithaca, New York Attn: W.R. Sears VIA: ONR
1	Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana Attn: T.Y. Thomas VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Prof. Eric Reissner VIA: InsMat
1	Stanford University Stanford, California Attn: R.J. Langle VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	Case Institute of Technology Cleveland, Ohio Attn: Prof. G. Kuerti VIA: ONR

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

AD

46700

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

Reproduced by
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

WATT BUILDING DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED