

# UNCLASSIFIED

|                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| AD NUMBER                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| AD055062                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| NEW LIMITATION CHANGE                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| TO<br>Approved for public release, distribution unlimited                                                                                                                                                     |
| FROM<br>Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; FEB 1955. Other requests shall be referred to Army Medical Research Laboratory, Fort Knox, KY. |
| AUTHORITY                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| USAMRL ltr, 26 Feb 1970                                                                                                                                                                                       |

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

**AD 55062**

# **Armed Services Technical Information Agency**

**Reproduced by  
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER  
KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO**

**Because of our limited supply, you are requested to  
RETURN THIS COPY WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PURPOSE  
so that it may be made available to other requesters.  
Your cooperation will be appreciated.**

**NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

AD No. 5506  
ASTIA FILE COPY

# ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

FORT KNOX, KENTUCKY

REPORT NO. 176

8 February 1955

## PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE\*

\*Subtask under PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES, AMRL Project  
No. 6-95-20-001, Subtask, Control Coordination Studies.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION  
OFFICE OF THE SURGEON GENERAL  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY



**Best  
Available  
Copy**

Report No. 176

From Project No. 6-95-20-001

PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES AS A  
FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE

by

D. O. Hartman and R. E. Page

from

Psychology Department

Submitted

30 December 1954

20 pp & 11

10 illus.

Abstract;

Grenades weighing 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 ounces were thrown at targets located 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35 yards from the subject. Each increase in distance resulted in a decrement in the accuracy and consistency of throwing. Weight significantly altered both accuracy and consistency. With the exception of the 2-ounce grenade, there was a trend for decreasing accuracy with increasing weight.

Trans to AD 55062

Army Medical Research Lab Project No. 6-95-20-001 Report No. 176

PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES  
AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE

B. O. Hartman and R. E. Page

20 pp & 11

10 illus.

30 December 1954

UNCLASSIFIED

Grenades weighing 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ounces were thrown at targets located 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 yards from the subject. Each increase in distance resulted in a decrement in the accuracy and consistency of throwing. Weight significantly altered both accuracy and consistency. With the exception of the 2-ounce grenade, there was a trend for decreasing accuracy with increasing weight.

1. Psychology 2. Motor Skills 3. Control Coordination

Army Medical Research Lab Project No. 6-95-20-001 Report No. 176

PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES  
AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE

B. O. Hartman and R. E. Page

20 pp & 11

10 illus.

30 December 1954

UNCLASSIFIED

Grenades weighing 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ounces were thrown at targets located 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 yards from the subject. Each increase in distance resulted in a decrement in the accuracy and consistency of throwing. Weight significantly altered both accuracy and consistency. With the exception of the 2-ounce grenade, there was a trend for decreasing accuracy with increasing weight.

1. Psychology 2. Motor Skills 3. Control Coordination

Army Medical Research Lab Project No. 6-95-20-001

PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES  
AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE

B. O. Hartman and R. E. Page

23 pp & 11

10 illus.

30 December 1954

UNCLASSIFIED

Grenades weighing 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ounces were thrown at targets located 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 yards from the subject. Each increase in distance resulted in a decrement in the accuracy and consistency of throwing. Weight significantly altered both accuracy and consistency. With the exception of the 2-ounce grenade, there was a trend for decreasing accuracy with increasing weight.

1. Psychology 2. Motor Skills 3. Control Coordination

Army Medical Research Lab Project No. 6-95-20-001 Report No. 176

PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES  
AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE

B. O. Hartman and R. E. Page

20 pp & 11

10 illus.

30 December 1954

UNCLASSIFIED

Grenades weighing 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ounces were thrown at targets located 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 yards from the subject. Each increase in distance resulted in a decrement in the accuracy and consistency of throwing. Weight significantly altered both accuracy and consistency. With the exception of the 2-ounce grenade, there was a trend for decreasing accuracy with increasing weight.

1. Psychology 2. Motor Skills 3. Control Coordination

# DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMRL REPORTS

## Category (A)

| <u>ARMY</u>                                                                                                                                                                                            | <u>Copies</u> | <u>ARMY</u>                                                                                                                                          | <u>Copies</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| The Surgeon General<br>Department of the Army<br>Main Navy Building<br>Washington 25, D. C.<br>ATTN: Chief, Research and Development Division                                                          | 10            | Supreme Headquarters of the Allied Powers in Europe<br>Medical Section<br>Rocquencourt, France                                                       | 2             |
| Director<br>Armed Forces Institute of Pathology<br>Walter Reed Army Medical Center<br>Washington 12, D. C.                                                                                             | 1             | Office, Chief of Army Field Forces<br>Fort Monroe, Virginia                                                                                          | 1             |
| Army Library<br>Room 1A 522, The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C.<br>ATTN: National Defense Review                                                                                                     | 1             | Walter H. Moursund, Jr., Colonel GS<br>Office of the Army Attache, Box 36<br>U. S. Navy 100<br>Fleet Post Office<br>New York, New York               | 2             |
| Headquarters<br>Army Medical Service Graduate School<br>Walter Reed Army Medical Center<br>Washington 12, D. C.                                                                                        | 1             | Commanding General<br>Headquarters, QM R&D Command<br>QM R&D Center, U. S. Army<br>Natick, Massachusetts                                             | 3             |
| Chief, Human Relations & Research Branch<br>Military Personnel Management Division<br>Office of Assistant Chief of Staff<br>Department of the Army<br>Room 2C724, The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C. | 2             | Commanding Officer<br>Medical Nutrition Laboratory<br>9937 TU U. S. Army<br>Fitzsimmons Army Hospital<br>Denver 7, Colorado                          | 1             |
| Office Assistant Chief of Staff, G4<br>Department of the Army<br>The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C.<br>ATTN: Research & Development                                                                  | 1             | Headquarters<br>406th Medical General Laboratory<br>APO 500, c/o Postmaster<br>San Francisco, California                                             | 1             |
| Commanding Officer<br>Chemical Corps Medical Laboratories<br>Army Chemical Center, Maryland<br>ATTN: Chief, Technical Information Branch                                                               | 1             | Headquarters<br>Camp Detrick<br>Frederick, Maryland<br>ATTN: Technical Library                                                                       | 1             |
| Army Environmental Health Laboratory<br>Building No. 1235<br>Army Chemical Center, Maryland                                                                                                            | 1             | Scientific Publications and Reports Office<br>QM Food and Container Institute for the Armed Forces<br>1819 West Pershing Road<br>Chicago 9, Illinois | 1             |
| Commandant<br>Marine Corps Schools<br>Quantico, Virginia<br>ATTN: Library and Record Section, MCEG                                                                                                     | 1             | Commanding Officer<br>Arctic Test Branch, OCAFF<br>(AAU 8576)<br>APO 733, c/o Postmaster<br>Seattle, Washington                                      | 1             |
| Medical Field Service School<br>Fort Sam Houston, Texas                                                                                                                                                | 2             | Librarian<br>Veterans Administration Hospital<br>Little Rock, Arkansas                                                                               | 1             |
| <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                                                                            |               | <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                          |               |
| U. S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine<br>U. S. Naval Air Station<br>Pensacola, Florida                                                                                                               | 1             | Commanding Officer<br>U. S. Naval Air Development Center<br>Johnsville, Pennsylvania<br>ATTN: Aviation Medical Acceleration Lab.                     | 1             |
| Commanding Officer<br>Naval Medical Research Institute<br>National Naval Medical Center<br>Bethesda 14, Maryland                                                                                       | 1             | Officer in Charge<br>U. S. Naval Medical Research Laboratory<br>U. S. Naval Submarine Base<br>New London, Connecticut<br>ATTN: Librarian             | 1             |

# Category (A) Continued

| <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                               | <u>Copies</u> | <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                       | <u>Copies</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Chief, Bureau of Medicine & Surgery<br>Department of the Navy<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                                     | 1             | Special Assistant for Bio Sciences<br>Office of Naval Research<br>Department of the Navy<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                  | 1             |
| Commanding Officer<br>Naval Medical Field Research Lab.<br>Marine Barracks<br>Camp Lejeune, N. C.                                                         | 1             | Superintendent<br>U. S. Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, California<br>ATTN: Librarian                                                      | 1             |
| <u>AIR FORCE</u>                                                                                                                                          |               | <u>AIR FORCE</u>                                                                                                                                  |               |
| Commandant<br>USAF School of Aviation Medicine<br>Randolph Air Force Base<br>Randolph Field, Texas<br>ATTN: Research Secretariat                          | 1             | Department of the Air Force<br>Headquarters USAF<br>Director, Research & Development DCS/D<br>Washington 25, D. C.<br>ATTN: AFDPRD-HF             | 1             |
| Commander<br>HQ Wright Air Development Center<br>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio<br>ATTN: Aero Medical Laboratory (WCRD)<br>Directorate of Research | 1             | Arctic Aeromedical Laboratory<br>APO 731, c/o Postmaster<br>Seattle, Washington<br>ATTN: Librarian, AAL                                           | 1             |
| Director<br>Air University Library<br>Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama                                                                                     | 1             | Commander<br>Air Research and Development Command<br>ATTN: RDTNH<br>P. O. 1935<br>Baltimore 3, Maryland                                           | 1             |
| <u>OTHER AGENCIES</u>                                                                                                                                     |               | <u>OTHER AGENCIES</u>                                                                                                                             |               |
| Exchange and Gift Division<br>Library of Congress<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                                                 | 1             | Armed Services Technical Information<br>Agency<br>Document Service Center<br>Knott Building<br>Dayton 2, Ohio<br>ATTN: DSC-SD32                   | 5             |
| Director, Armed Forces Medical Library<br>7th Street & Independence Ave, SW<br>Washington 25, D. C.<br>ATTN: Acquisitions Division                        | 1             | National Science Foundation<br>2101 Constitution Ave<br>Washington, D. C.                                                                         | 1             |
| Operations Research Office<br>The Johns Hopkins University<br>7100 Connecticut Ave<br>Chevy Chase, Maryland<br>ATTN: Librarian                            | 1             | Department of Physiology<br>University of Rochester<br>School of Medicine and Dentistry<br>280 Crittenden Blvd<br>Rochester, New York             | 1             |
| National Institutes of Health<br>Division of Research Grants<br>Bethesda 14, Maryland                                                                     | 2             | National Research Council<br>Division of Medical Science<br>2101 Constitution Ave<br>Washington, D. C.                                            | 1             |
| <u>FOREIGN ADDRESSEES</u>                                                                                                                                 |               | <u>FOREIGN ADDRESSEES</u>                                                                                                                         |               |
| Defense Research Member<br>Canadian Joint Staff (W)<br>2001 Connecticut Ave., NW<br>Washington 8, D. C.                                                   | 2             | RCAMC Liaison Officer<br>Surgeon General's Office<br>Department of the Army<br>Room 2842<br>Main Navy Building<br>Washington 25, D. C.            | 2             |
| Tropical Research Medical Laboratory<br>School of Tropical Medicine<br>University of Puerto Rico<br>San Juan, Puerto Rico                                 | 1             | Dr. O. G. Edholm<br>Head, Division of Human Physiology<br>Medical Research Council Laboratory<br>Holly Hill, Hampstead<br>London, NW 3<br>England | 1             |

Category (A) Continued

FOREIGN ADDRESSEES

Copies

THRU: The Foreign Service of the  
United States of America  
United States Army Liaison Office  
American Consulate General  
Singapore  
(for clearance and forwarding to:)  
The Deputy Director of Army Health  
Far East Land Forces  
Singapore

1

British Naval & Army Medical  
Liaison Officer  
Bureau of Medicine & Surgery  
Building 4, Room 60A  
23rd and E Streets  
Washington, D. C.

2

# DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMRL REPORTS

## Category (D)

| <u>ARMY</u>                                                                                                                              | <u>Copies</u> | <u>ARMY</u>                                                                                                                                                                         | <u>Copies</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Commanding General<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland<br>ATTN: Human Engineering Laboratory                                            | 1             | President, Army Field Forces<br>Board #4<br>Fort Bliss, Texas                                                                                                                       | 1             |
| Commanding Officer<br>Army Field Forces Human Research<br>Unit #1<br>The Armored Center<br>Fort Knox, Kentucky                           | 1             | Chief, Army Field Forces<br>Human Research Unit #2<br>P.O. Box 446<br>Fort Ord, California                                                                                          | 1             |
| Quartermaster Board<br>Fort Lee, Virginia                                                                                                | 1             | Director<br>Human Resources Research Office<br>P.O. Box 3596<br>Washington 7, D. C.<br>ATTN: Library                                                                                | 1             |
| President<br>Board #2, OCAFF<br>Fort Knox, Kentucky                                                                                      | 1             | Human Research Unit #3<br>Office, Chief of Army Field Forces<br>Fort Benning, Georgia                                                                                               | 1             |
| President<br>Board #3, OCAFF<br>Fort Benning, Georgia                                                                                    | 1             | Operations Research Office<br>6410 Connecticut Avenue<br>Chevy Chase, Maryland<br>ATTN: The Library                                                                                 | 1             |
| Commanding Officer<br>Frankford Arsenal<br>Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania<br>ATTN: Human Engineering Mission (LC)                         | 1             | Office, Chief of Ordnance<br>ATTN: ORDTB-PS, The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                                                                   | 1             |
| Ordnance Corps<br>Detroit Arsenal<br>Center Line, Michigan<br>ATTN: Mr. J. D. Gallenburde XRDHX-ECG                                      | 1             | Operations Personnel Research Div<br>Office of Chief, Research & Development<br>Deputy Chief of Staffs for Plans &<br>Research<br>Room 2C 734, The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C. | 1             |
| Commandant, Adjutant General's School<br>Office of the School Secretary<br>Fort Benjamin Harrison<br>Indianapolis 16, Indiana            | 1             | Office of Chief Signal Officer<br>Room 2E 256, The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                                                                 | 1             |
| Adjutant General's Office<br>Personnel Research Branch<br>Department of the Army<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                 | 1             | Commanding Officer<br>Transportation Research &<br>Development Station<br>Fort Eustis, Virginia                                                                                     | 1             |
| President, Army Field Forces<br>Board #1<br>Fort Bragg, North Carolina                                                                   | 1             |                                                                                                                                                                                     |               |
| <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                              |               | <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                                                         |               |
| Director<br>Naval Research Laboratory<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                                            | 1             | Director, U. S. Naval Air Experi-<br>mental Station<br>ATTN: Supt., Aero Medical Equipment<br>Laboratory<br>Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania                                           | 1             |
| Commanding Officer<br>U. S. Naval Medical Research Unit #1<br>Bldg T-19, University of California<br>Berkeley 4, California              | 1             | Commander<br>U. S. Naval Air Test Center<br>Patuxent River, Maryland<br>ATTN: Physiological Test Section of<br>Service Test                                                         | 1             |
| Officer-in-Charge<br>U. S. Naval Personnel Research Field<br>Activity<br>Tempe T<br>14th & Constitution Ave., NW<br>Washington 25, D. C. | 1             | U. S. Navy Electronics Laboratory<br>ATTN: Head, Human Factors Division<br>San Diego 52, California                                                                                 | 2             |

# Category (D) Continued

| <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                             | <u>Copies</u> | <u>NAVY</u>                                                                                                                                       | <u>Copies</u> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Director, Operations Evaluation Group (OP-374)<br>Room 4D 541, The Pentagon<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                     | 1             | Office of Naval Research<br>Psychophysiological Psychology Branch, Code 454<br>Department of the Navy<br>Washington 25, D. C.                     | 1             |
| Psychological Research & Applications Department<br>Special Devices Center<br>Port Washington, L. I., New York                                          | 1             |                                                                                                                                                   |               |
| <u>AIR FORCE</u>                                                                                                                                        |               | <u>AIR FORCE</u>                                                                                                                                  |               |
| Commander<br>Air Force Operational Test Center<br>ATTN: Technical Reports Branch<br>Eglin Air Force Base, Florida                                       | 1             | Director<br>Armament Systems Personnel Research Laboratory<br>Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado                                                      | 1             |
| Commander<br>Lackland Air Force Base<br>San Antonio, Texas                                                                                              | 1             | Director<br>Basic Pilot<br>Goodfellow Air Force Base, Texas                                                                                       | 1             |
| Assistant for Ground Safety<br>DCS/Pers, Headquarters, USAF<br>Washington 25, D. C.                                                                     | 1             | Human Factors Operations<br>Research Laboratory<br>Air Research and Development Command, USAF<br>Bolling Air Force Base<br>Washington 25, D. C.   | 4             |
| AFPT Research Center<br>Liaison Officer<br>Deputy Chief of Staff<br>Operations, Headquarters<br>Air Training Command<br>Scott Air Force Base, Illinois  | 1             | The Rand Corporation<br>1700 Main Street<br>Santa Monica, California<br>ATTN: Mr. Victor M. Hunt                                                  | 1             |
| <u>INDIVIDUALS</u>                                                                                                                                      |               | <u>INDIVIDUALS</u>                                                                                                                                |               |
| Dr. Frank A. Goldard<br>Peabody Hall<br>University of Virginia<br>Charlottesville, Virginia                                                             | 1             | Dr. William A. Hunt<br>Department of Psychology<br>Northwestern University<br>Evanston, Illinois                                                  | 1             |
| Dr. William E. Kappauf, Jr.<br>Department of Psychology<br>University of Illinois<br>Urbana, Illinois                                                   | 1             | Dr. Anthony Lanza<br>Institute of Industrial Medicine<br>New York University<br>Bellevue Medical Center<br>477 First Avenue<br>New York, New York | 1             |
| Dr. Lorrin A. Riggs<br>Department of Psychology<br>Brown University<br>Providence 12, Rhode Island                                                      | 1             | Dr. Harold Schlesberg<br>Psychology Laboratory<br>Brown University<br>Providence 12, Rhode Island                                                 | 1             |
| Dr. Elliot Stellar<br>Johns Hopkins University<br>Psychology Department<br>Baltimore 18, Maryland                                                       | 1             | Dr. Willard Machle<br>Boca Raton, Florida                                                                                                         | 1             |
| Dr. Merle Lawrence<br>S. S. Kresge Medical Research Bldg<br>University of Michigan<br>Ann Arbor, Michigan                                               | 1             | Dr. Norton Nelson<br>Institute of Industrial Medicine<br>New York University<br>Bellevue Medical Center<br>477 First Avenue<br>New York, New York | 1             |
| <u>OTHER AGENCIES</u>                                                                                                                                   |               | <u>OTHER AGENCIES</u>                                                                                                                             |               |
| Director, Bibliographical Services<br>Project<br>Institute for Applied Experimental Psychology<br>North Hall, Tufts College<br>Boston 14, Massachusetts | 1             | Department of Psychology<br>University of Rochester<br>Rochester 3, New York                                                                      | 1             |

**REPORT NO. 176**

**PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES  
AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE\***

**by**

**Bryce O. Hartman, Capt, MSC, and  
Robert E. Page, SFC**

**from**

**PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT  
ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
Fort Knox, Kentucky  
8 February 1955**

**\*Subtask under PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES, AMRL Project  
No. 6-95-20-001, Subtask, Control Coordination Studies.**

Report No. 176  
Project No. 6-95-20-001  
Subtask AMRL S-1  
MEDEA

## **ABSTRACT**

### **PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE**

#### **OBJECT**

In this study, the effect of weight and distance on the accuracy and consistency of throwing was investigated. Five weights were selected from a range which was considerably below the standard. Six distances were used.

#### **RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

Each increase in distance resulted in a decrement in the accuracy and consistency with which the subjects threw. With the exception of the 2-ounce grenade, there was a trend for a decrease in the accuracy and consistency of throwing with increasing weight. Accuracy with the 2-ounce grenade was significantly different from that with all other weights. The graphic analyses and observations during the experiment suggested that throwing the 2-ounce grenade was a qualitatively different task than throwing the other weights. It was not possible to relate performance to effort in any systematic fashion.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Light-weight grenades should not be designed to weigh less than 6 ounces until the effect of changes in the characteristic trajectory and throwing technique with light objects is evaluated.

The relationship between accuracy and effort should be more precisely determined. A reactive force platform appears to be a promising instrument for this determination.

Submitted 30 December 1954 by:  
Bryce O. Hartman, Capt, MSC  
Robert E. Page, SFC

APPROVED: Ray G. Daggs  
RAY G. DAGGS  
Director of Research

APPROVED: William W. Cox  
Lt. Col. MSC  
WILLIAM W. COX  
Lt Colonel, MC  
Commanding  
for

# PERFORMANCE WITH LIGHT-WEIGHT GRENADES AS A FUNCTION OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE

## I. INTRODUCTION

The hand grenade is one of the weapons used by a soldier in close combat. Its effectiveness is determined by many factors, including the skill and training of the thrower, the conditions under which it is thrown, the environment, and the design of the grenade itself. This laboratory has been conducting a series of studies in which the design of the grenade and the conditions under which it is thrown have been investigated. The basic approach has been that grenade throwing is a gross psychomotor task, involving a large amount of force and a lesser amount of fine control (coordination) and skill.

In two previous reports, the variables under study were the shape and weight of the grenade and the distance it was thrown. None of the five shapes which were studied significantly improved performance (1, 2). Both weight and distance significantly altered performance. In AMRL Report No. 153 (2), weight and distance were combined into an effort measure and a curve was drawn showing the relationship between effort and accuracy.

This study is an extension of the attempt to combine weight and distance into an effort measure as well as an evaluation of performance with light-weight grenades. Five weights and six throwing distances were used. The distances were 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 yards. The weights were 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ounces. While these weights are considerably below the weight of the standard fragmentation grenade, the results can be generalized to a variety of motor tasks in which the gross effort of throwing is a component.

Because of the intention to combine weight and distance into an effort measure, a factorial design was used in this study; both variables were studied simultaneously. Both accuracy (radial distance from the target center) and consistency (dispersion, or the scatter of the throw) were used as measures of performance.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

### A. Apparatus

The grenades consisted of facsimiles of the standard fragmentation grenade, except that there was no arming mechanism and

the body was not serrated. They were made of wood, drilled out or weighted with lead, as necessary to obtain 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ounces. Care was taken in adding lead to assure that the grenades were properly balanced. Five grenades of each weight were used. The weights obtained were within one-half ounce of the desired weight.

The target consisted of a horizontal, bull's-eye type of target area, with a two-by-four post one foot tall at the center. A series of reference pins (concentric to the center) were laid out in a circle which had a radius of 11 feet. The pins were set at 5° intervals, running clockwise, with zero being on the line between the center post and the subject. Tags, giving the angular values, were placed upon the pins. A movable barricade, measuring 4 feet high and 4 feet wide, was used to insure that the thrower was at the specified distance from the target and all throws were made overhand. Distance pegs were placed in a line at 5-yard intervals, starting 10 yards from the target and going out to 35 yards. Since this study was run in the desert, care was taken to select an experimental area which had sufficient visual structure to provide effective depth cues and reduce the contribution of the perceptual component to the total error.

#### **B. Subjects**

Twelve men from the laboratory were employed as subjects. They were in good health, had no physical deformities of the throwing arm or hand, and had served as subjects in previous grenade studies.

#### **C. Scoring**

All throws were recorded in terms of distance to the nearest inch from the bull's-eye, and in terms of direction to the nearest 5°. A hit on the center post was recorded as zero in distance and direction. The score was taken at the initial point of contact, with the roll of the grenade disregarded.

#### **D. Procedure**

Subjects made five throws of each weight of grenade at every distance. To minimize fatigue, subjects threw only thirty times in each day. All twelve subjects threw one weight in a single day. All thirty throws by a subject were made in succession. Subjects threw from the six distances in a predetermined random order. Throwing order was systematically balanced between subjects and

no two subjects threw in the same order. Each throwing order was used five times (once for each weight) for the subject assigned to it, with the orders reversed in the second and fourth days of the series.

For each session the men were organized into teams of three. These teams included a thrower, a scorer, and a tape man. Subjects rotated at all three positions. In addition to the scoring teams, there were 3 supervisors on the field during the session.

The procedure for each throw was as follows: The movable barricade was set up at the designated distance. The subject crouched behind the barricade with a grenade in his hand. On the command, "Throw," the subject stood up, threw, and returned to the crouched position.

At the target area, the scorer pushed a pencil into the ground at the initial point of contact for the throw. The tape man moved around the outside ring of the target so that the tape stretched tight against the side of the pencil. The throw was recorded in distance from the center of the target to the nearest inch and in direction to the nearest 5° marked on the reference pin closest to the tape as it crossed the outer circle.

### III. RESULTS

A total of 1,800 scores were obtained, five for each of the 12 subjects, on every combination of 5 weights and 6 distances. A mean was calculated for each group of 5 throws. These individual means were then summarized into 30 group means, one for each combination of weight and distance. Two kinds of means can be computed from these types of scores. The first, which will be called the radial mean, can be obtained from the sum of the error (distance from the center of the target) disregarding the angular values which were recorded. The second, which will be called the trigonometric mean, is obtained by converting distance and direction scores to rectangular coordinates, summing the two coordinates separately, computing coordinate means, and then combining them trigonometrically into a radial mean by the formula

$$M_R = \sqrt{M_x^2 + M_y^2} *$$

The second type of mean was chosen for analysis because it defines

---

\*The procedure and an example are presented in (1).

a specific point in space, gives a better representation of a distribution of throws, and permits better predictions.

In addition to the means, which are a measure of accuracy for each of the grenades, standard deviations were obtained trigonometrically. The procedure used for this computation was similar to that used for computing the mean error scores. Standard deviations for the X axis scores and the Y axis scores were computed separately and then combined in the formula

$$\sigma_R = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2} *$$

The resulting 30 standard deviations, which are measures of consistency, are an important tool for evaluating performance. A grenade with a large scatter but whose mean score is exactly on the target may not be as good a grenade as one with a very small scatter and a mean close to, but not on, the target. With this latter grenade, the thrower has a better chance that any single throw will be close enough to the enemy to cause damage.

Mean radial error scores, mean Y-axis error scores, and standard deviations for each of the weights and distances are presented in Table 1. The mean Y scores will be used in the figures

TABLE 1  
MEANS (RADIAL AND 'Y' AXIS) AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS  
FOR EACH COMBINATION OF WEIGHT OF GRENADE AND DISTANCE OF TARGET

| Weight (in Ounces)     |      |       |      |      |       |      |      |       |      |      |       |      |      |       |      |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|
| Distance<br>(in Yards) | 2    |       |      | 4    |       |      | 6    |       |      | 8    |       |      | 10   |       |      |
|                        | Mr.  | My    | SD   | Mr.  | My    | SD   | Mr.  | My    | SD   | Mr.  | My    | SD   | Mr.  | My    | SD   |
| 10                     | 1.50 | 1.50  | 2.16 | 1.32 | 1.27  | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.12  | 1.02 | 0.90 | 1.03  | 0.83 | 1.51 | 1.51  | 1.00 |
| 15                     | 0.29 | -0.29 | 1.98 | 0.15 | 0.15  | 2.03 | 0.62 | -0.42 | 1.74 | 0.11 | -0.09 | 1.48 | 1.68 | 1.68  | 1.28 |
| 20                     | 2.75 | -1.82 | 2.72 | 1.02 | 1.02  | 1.58 | 0.51 | -0.10 | 1.08 | 0.44 | -0.42 | 1.27 | 0.30 | 0.03  | 0.85 |
| 25                     | 2.83 | -2.67 | 2.71 | 1.07 | 0.27  | 2.03 | 1.18 | -0.90 | 2.15 | 1.44 | -1.43 | 2.43 | 2.15 | -2.08 | 2.48 |
| 30                     | 4.35 | -4.24 | 2.94 | 2.01 | -2.01 | 2.54 | 1.92 | -0.54 | 2.35 | 2.34 | -2.18 | 2.82 | 2.78 | -2.23 | 2.23 |
| 35                     | 8.63 | -8.36 | 3.85 | 2.32 | -2.23 | 2.95 | 1.94 | -1.58 | 2.53 | 4.02 | -3.74 | 2.71 | 5.54 | -5.90 | 4.23 |

Mr = Mean radial error, obtained trigonometrically.

My = Mean error on the Y axis.

SD = Standard deviation, obtained trigonometrically.

\*The procedure and an example are presented in (1).


because they permit throws short of the target to be plotted separately from throws beyond the target. These scores were subjected to graphic analyses and also to analysis of variance, with the appropriate F's and t's being computed.

#### A. Graphic Analyses


Figures 1 through 5 present the 360 individual subject means plotted on a schematic view of the target. Each point is the mean of 5 throws for a single subject. Each figure represents all the points for one weight at every distance. The X on each bull's-eye represents the trigonometric mean for the 12 points which have been plotted. With minor exceptions, the general results suggested in these figures can be summarized in the following way. As distance increases, accuracy changes in a systematic fashion. The figures show that subjects in general overthrow on short distances and progressively underthrow with increasing distance. In general, as distance increases, the scatter of 12 points on each bull's-eye increases. When the five figures are examined as a group, a similar trend for weight can be seen. As weight increases mean error increases, with the same general overthrow-underthrow relations obtained with distance. Changes in the scatter as weight increases are not clear in these figures and will be discussed later. It is interesting to note that all the means for the 10-yard throws are beyond the target.

Figures 6 and 7 summarize changes in accuracy (mean error on the Y axis) and as a function of distance and weight. Each point is the trigonometric mean of all throws for each weight or distance. The pronounced effect of distance is clear in Figure 6. In Figure 7 a marked deviation from a trend of increasing error with greater weight can be seen. Mean error for the 2-ounce grenade is grossly greater than with the other weights. Examination of the plots in Figures 1 through 5 shows that this deviation increases progressively as distance increases, both for individual subjects and for the means of all twelve subjects.


Figures 8 and 9 summarize changes in consistency as a function of distance and weight. The marked and progressive effect of distance on consistency is clear in Figure 8. An optimum for weight at 6 ounces is indicated in Figure 9. This may be an artifact due to the deviation from the general trend when the subjects threw the lightest grenade, which has previously been discussed.




**FIG. 1. MEANS FOR THE 2-OUNCE GRENADE AT EACH TARGET DISTANCE PLOTTED ON A SCHEMATIC VIEW. RINGS ARE AT ONE-FOOT INTERVALS. GRAND MEAN FOR EACH DISTANCE IS INDICATED BY "X".**




**FIG. 2. MEANS FOR THE 4-OUNCE GRENADE AT EACH TARGET DISTANCE PLOTTED ON A SCHEMATIC VIEW. RINGS ARE AT ONE-FOOT INTERVALS. GRAND MEAN FOR EACH DISTANCE IS INDICATED BY "X".**



**FIG. 3. MEANS FOR THE 6-OUNCE GRENADE AT EACH TARGET DISTANCE PLOTTED ON A SCHEMATIC VIEW. RINGS ARE AT ONE-FOOT INTERVALS. GRAND MEAN FOR EACH DISTANCE IS INDICATED BY "X".**



**FIG. 4. MEANS FOR THE 8-OUNCE GRENADE AT EACH TARGET DISTANCE PLOTTED ON A SCHEMATIC VIEW. RINGS ARE AT ONE-FOOT INTERVALS. GRAND MEAN FOR EACH DISTANCE IS INDICATED BY "X".**



**FIG. 5. MEANS FOR THE 10-OUNCE GRENADE AT EACH TARGET DISTANCE PLOTTED ON A SCHEMATIC VIEW. RINGS ARE AT ONE-FOOT INTERVALS. GRAND MEAN FOR EACH DISTANCE IS INDICATED BY "X".**




FIG. 6. THE EFFECT OF DISTANCE ON THE ACCURACY OF THROWING HAND GRENADES.




FIG. 7. THE EFFECT OF WEIGHT ON THE ACCURACY OF THROWING HAND GRENADES.




FIG. 8. THE EFFECT OF DISTANCE ON THE CONSISTENCY OF THROWING HAND GRENADES.




FIG. 9. THE EFFECT OF WEIGHT ON THE CONSISTENCY OF THROWING HAND GRENADES.

## B. Statistical Analyses

The effect of weight and distance on accuracy was evaluated by an analysis of variance, using subject means in each cell. The design of this analysis of variance can be described as a double classification analysis, 12 subjects (scores) per cell, the same subjects in every cell. Table 2 presents the results of the analysis of variance of mean error scores. As the table shows, a significant F was obtained for the interaction between weight and distance when it was tested against the residual. The interaction term was therefore used in the test of significance for weight and for distance. In both cases significant F's were obtained. It should be noted that the variance  $EX^2$  divided by the df in Table 2) for distance is larger by a factor of approximately 4 than the variance for weight or the interaction of weight and distance.

TABLE 2

### ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF ACCURACY (MEAN ERROR)

| Source of Variation | $\Sigma X^2$ | df  | F       |
|---------------------|--------------|-----|---------|
| Weight              | 173.315      | 4   | 18.61** |
| Distance            | 662.123      | 5   | 5.44**  |
| Wt. X Dist.         | 159.496      | 20  | 2.20**  |
| Residual            | 1155.892     | 319 |         |
| Subjects            | 100.539      | 11  |         |
| Total               | 2251.365     |     |         |

\*\*Significant at 1% level.

Table 3 presents results of "t" tests of the significance of the differences between means for weights and for distance. These "t's" were obtained by the difference technique. For distance, all pairs were significant except for the adjacent pairs in the 15, 20, and 25 yard portion of the range. For weight, accuracy with the 2-ounce grenade is significantly poorer than that with any other grenade. The 6-ounce grenade differed significantly from the 10-ounce grenade. All other combinations were not significant.

**TABLE 3**  
**TESTS OF SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MEAN ERROR FOR WEIGHT AND DISTANCE**  
('t' obtained by the difference technique)

| Weight          |                        | Distance        |                         |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Mean Error (ft) | 't'                    | Mean Error (ft) | 't'                     |
| 2 oz = 4.56     | $t_{2-4} = 4.30^{**}$  | 10 yds = 1.75   | $t_{10-15} = 2.12^*$    |
| 4 oz = 2.88     | $t_{2-6} = 5.42^{**}$  | 15 yds = 2.29   | $t_{10-20} = 2.91^{**}$ |
| 6 oz = 2.60     | $t_{2-8} = 5.54^{**}$  | 20 yds = 2.43   | $t_{10-25} = 3.84^{**}$ |
| 8 oz = 2.89     | $t_{2-10} = 3.57^{**}$ | 25 yds = 3.03   | $t_{10-35} = 8.91^{**}$ |
| 10 oz = 3.36    | $t_{4-6} = 0.98$       | 30 yds = 4.36   | $t_{10-35} = 8.57^{**}$ |
|                 | $t_{4-8} = 0.00$       | 35 yds = 5.68   | $t_{15-20} = 0.40$      |
|                 | $t_{4-10} = 1.50$      |                 | $t_{15-25} = 2.19^*$    |
|                 | $t_{6-8} = 1.11$       |                 | $t_{15-30} = 6.82^{**}$ |
| (df = 71)       | $t_{6-10} = 2.64^{**}$ | (df = 59)       | $t_{15-35} = 6.64^{**}$ |
| (5% = 1.99)     | $t_{8-10} = 1.66$      | (5% = 2.00)     | $t_{20-25} = 1.87$      |
| (1% = 2.65)     |                        | (1% = 2.66)     | $t_{20-30} = 6.77^{**}$ |
|                 |                        |                 | $t_{20-35} = 7.52^{**}$ |
|                 |                        |                 | $t_{25-30} = 4.01^{**}$ |
|                 |                        |                 | $t_{25-35} = 5.55^{**}$ |
|                 |                        |                 | $t_{30-35} = 2.97^{**}$ |

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

The effect of weight and distance on consistency was evaluated by an analysis of variance, using only one score (the standard deviation of 12 subject means) in each cell. This analysis of variance can be described as a double classification analysis, one score per cell. This design was selected to be used on consistency scores because there were insufficient throws (5 per subject) in each of the 30 conditions to permit individual standard deviations to be computed. For the same reason, t's on consistency for pairs of weights and distances could not be computed. While this design is sufficient for examining the influence of primary variables upon performance, it does not permit a test of the interaction between the two primary variables. The results of the analysis of variance are shown in Table 4. Both weight and distance gave "F's" which were significant beyond the 1% level of confidence. The variance ( $\Sigma X^2$  divided by the df in Table 4) associated with distance is more than half of the total variance.

**TABLE 4**  
**ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF CONSISTENCY (STANDARD DEVIATION)**

| Source of Variation | $\Sigma X^2$ | df | F       |
|---------------------|--------------|----|---------|
| Weight              | 3.199        | 4  | 4.97**  |
| Distance            | 13.907       | 5  | 17.27** |
| Remainder           | 3.227        | 20 |         |
| Total               | 20.333       |    |         |

\*\* Significant at 1% level.




FIG. 10. A PLOT OF ERRORS IN RELATION TO EFFORT FOR ALL OF WEIGHT AND DISTANCE.

In Figure 10, an attempt has been made to relate the accuracy of throwing to effort, by plotting error against a combination of weight and distance. The effort scale (which is, at best, a rough

approximation of how hard the grenade was thrown) has units designated yard-ounces, obtained by multiplying the distance to the target by the weight of the grenade. In the previous study (2), this procedure was used and a systematic trend was evident. A curve was fitted by visual approximation. The distribution of the points in Figure 10 is such that no attempt has been made to approximate a curve plot.

Since an extension of the effort curve from the previous study is one objective of this study, it was necessary to have some method of evaluating the comparability of scores in the two studies. For the instructional period in this study, every subject made 10 throws at each of two distances (20 and 35 yards) with the 18-ounce grenade. Target order was reversed for half of the subjects. All 20 throws were made in succession. Eight of the 12 subjects used in this study had served in the previous study. Their scores for these combinations of weight and distance in the two studies were compared. Differences were tested for significance by computing *t*'s. A *t* of 1.63 was obtained for throws on the 20-yard target. A *t* of 1.01 was obtained for throws on the 35-yard target. Neither was significant at the 5% level of confidence.

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Throughout the graphic and statistical analyses the marked effect of distance on both the accuracy and consistency of throwing grenades is evident. As distance increased both accuracy and consistency decreased.

The results with weight were not so clear-cut. In the analyses of variance, weight yielded significant *F*'s for both accuracy and consistency. Examination of the *t*'s for accuracy in Table 3 shows that this significance is primarily the result of the difference between the 2-ounce grenade and all other grenades. Figure 7 confirms this general conclusion. Figure 9, which shows the effect of weight on consistency, suggests the same general though less positive conclusion. Examination of the mean *Y* scores for the 2-ounce grenade, given in Table 1, shows a marked increase in error at the 30- and 35-yard distances. This is probably the reason for the significant difference of the 2-ounce grenade. It appears, from an inspection of the individual means with the 2-ounce grenade on the 30- and 35-yard targets in Figure 1, that these two distances are too far for a man to throw a very light-weight object effectively. A similar but less marked effect can be noted in Table 1 for the 4-ounce grenades at 30- and 35-yards. Observation during the experiment revealed an interesting difference in the way the light grenades were handled.

At the shorter distances, although the throw was overhand, the men made throws which had almost no trajectory at all. Instead, they made a hard, flat throw, directly at the target post. In general, it must be concluded that throwing this extremely light object was a qualitatively different task than, that required by the remainder of the grenades.

The attempt to relate performance of throwing grenades with a crude approximation of effort was fruitless. It was pointed out above that the throwing task with the lighter grenades at the shorter distances was qualitatively different from that of other combinations. This same general effect can be noted in the effort plot, which suggests that some combinations of light weights and short distances, although plotted at the low end of the effort scale, might more appropriately be at the high end of the scale. It is possible that changes in the way a man throws a light object a short distance while striving for maximum accuracy markedly alters the relationship between accuracy and effort found in moderate and high parts of the range.

More adequate measurements of this effort could be obtained by a different technique. When the human throws, he exerts a reactive force with his body which can be directly related to the force imparted to the object thrown. If he threw while standing on a platform instrumented to measure the reactive force, a direct measure of effort might be obtained. This technique is now under consideration.

Three general conclusions come out of this study. First, as distance increases, accuracy and consistency decrease in a systematic fashion. Second, weight significantly alters accuracy and consistency. Third, changes in performance as a function of weight may be related to qualitative differences between throwing light and heavy objects.

The data suggest that these differences occur around 6 ounces, both in terms of accuracy and consistency.

## V. RECOMMENDATIONS

Light-weight grenades should not weigh less than 6 ounces.

The relationship between effort and performance at the gross psychomotor task of throwing should be investigated with more precision. Varying amounts of effort could be obtained by having subjects throw objects of several weights at targets located at several distances. A reactive-force platform might provide a more direct and precise measure of the effort expended.

## **VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. Hartman, B. O., J. T. Burke, and R. Y. Walker. The accuracy of throwing hand grenades as a function of their weight, shape, and size. Army Medical Research Laboratory, Report No. 117, 18 June 1953, Fort Knox, Kentucky.
2. Hartman, B. O. The accuracy of throwing hand grenades as a function of their weight, shape, and distance. Army Medical Research Laboratory, Report No. 153, 2 November 1954, Fort Knox, Kentucky.