

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD058320

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Document partially illegible.

FROM:

**Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;
Administrative/Operational Use; 05 JUL 1954.
Other requests shall be referred to Air Force
Aero Propulsion Laboratory, Wright-Patterson
AFB, OH 45433. Document partially illegible.**

AUTHORITY

AFAPL ltr dtd 7 Jun 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD 58320

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

Reproduced by
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

Because of our limited supply, you are requested to
RETURN THIS COPY WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PURPOSE
so that it may be made available to other requesters.
Your cooperation will be appreciated.

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

UNCLASSIFIED

58320

ASTIA FILE COPY

COPY NO.

ASSIGNED TO: _____

FC
3

REPORT NO: 1949B-421

DATE 5 July 1954

TITLE: STATIC PERFORMANCE OF A PULSEJET USING
PREHEATED GASOLINE FUEL

AUTHOR: D. S. Perkins

AMERICAN



HELICOPTER

DIVISION OF FAIRCHILD

ENGINE AND AIRPLANE CORPORATION

MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIF., - COSTA MESA, CALIF., - MESA, ARIZONA

COPY NO.

ASSIGNED TO: _____

REPORT NO. 1949B-421

DATE 5 July 1954

TITLE: STATIC PERFORMANCE OF A PULSEJET USING
PREHEATED GASOLINE FUEL

AUTHOR: D. S. Perkins
Research Engineer

MODEL NO.

CONTRACT NO.

AF 33(600)-5860, Supplement 5

EXPENDITURE ORDER NO. X506-230

REVISIONS:


PREPARED BY:


D. S. Perkins
Research Engineer

CHECKED BY:


R. M. Lockwood
Chief, Power Plant Development

APPROVED BY:


N. M. Stefano
Chief Engineer



R. W. McJones
Chief Power Plant Engineer

AMERICAN  **HELICOPTER**

DIVISION OF FAIRCHILD

ENGINE AND AIRPLANE CORPORATION

MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIF., COSTA MESA, CALIF., - MESA, ARIZONA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. SUMMARY	1
2. INTRODUCTION	2
3. INSTRUMENTATION	3
4. TEST EQUIPMENT	3
5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	3
6. CONCLUSIONS	6

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Static Stand Net Thrust of a 6.75" Engine vs. Fuel Flow For Several Fuel Temperatures	7
2.	Static Stand Net Thrust vs. Fuel Flow For Four Different Fuel Temperatures	8
3.	Difference in Thrust of Heated Fuel as Per Cent of Normal Unheated Fuel Thrust	9
4.	Fuel Temperature vs. Fuel Flow For Five Different Heating Configurations	10
5.	Combustion Chamber Pressure vs. Fuel Flow For Runs 1, 2, 5, and 6	11
6.	Sketch Showing 6.75" Engine, and Front View With and Without Valve Box	12
7.	1/4" O.D. Aluminum Heating Coil Wrapped Around Pulsejet Tailpipe	13
8.	Burned Bumper and Reeds Resulting From Injecting Heated Gasoline Through the Valves -- Front View	13
9.	Valve Damage Resulting From Forward Injection of Preheated Gasoline. Same Valve Box as Figure 8 -- Rear View	14
10.	Configuration Used For Forward Fuel Injection of the Preheated Gasoline	14
11.	Effect of Preheated Fuel on Performance of P-11-3 Pulse Jet Engine	15
12.	6.75" Engine Initially Used For Fuel Preheating - Test Engine is on the Static Stand at Left	16

1. SUMMARY

Preheating of gasoline fuel was detrimental to static engine performance, with the exception of throttling range, which was extended on both the low and high fuel flow ends of the normal unheated range. Fuel at 145° F showed no significant change in performance over 63° F fuel. Fuel at 250° F and 300° F gave almost identical performance and showed about a 10% loss of thrust at all fuel flows as compared to 63° F fuel. When injected forward of the valves, the heated fuel gave very good specific fuel consumption and without the 10% thrust loss at peak thrust but with an intolerable valve burning problem.

2. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this test program was to determine the effect on pulsejet engine static performance of preheating gasoline fuel.

Since better mixing is considered to result in more rapid combustion, higher combustion chamber peak and effective pressures, and higher thrust, the test program was initiated to determine if preheated fuel would provide increased thrust due to the burning of vaporized fuel with its anticipated better mixing of fuel and air.

Previous investigations by Aerojet and Continental Aviation Companies produced conflicting conclusions as to the effect of preheating fuel on static pulsejet performance. Aerojet's investigation indicated an apparent improvement in performance while Continental's¹ showed a decrease. Continental's test results may be noted in Figure 11. Aerojet's results² are quoted as follows:

"On the basis of exploratory tests, there is an apparent gain in thrust of 10 to 15% on gasoline or nitropropane when the fuel is preheated by coiling the tubing around the tailpipe of the motor in coil lengths up to 20 feet. It will be necessary to separate the effect of fuel preheating from that of tailpipe cooling; also experiments with vapor-phase injection are contemplated."

Footnotes:

1. Roepke, F., Summary of Pulsejet Activities, CAE Report No. 382, April 29, 1949.
2. Morris, Brooks T., and Berggren, W. P., Aerojet Engineering Corp., Report No. R-54, September 20, 1945.

3. INSTRUMENTATION

Thrust: measured by a Hagen "Thrustorq" null balance meter that measures engine thrust by applying a known pressure against a diaphragm on the opposite side of which is applied the thrust to be measured. Thrust is then found from the thrust-meter calibration curve of balancing pressure versus thrust.

Fuel Flow: measured by Fisher Porter flowmeters with an accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ at 70° F.

Fuel Temperature: measured with an iron-constantan thermocouple connected to a milliammeter that was calibrated with a Leeds and Northrup potentiometer at the start, and again at the conclusion of the tests.

Pressure: measured with a mercury manometer.

Thermocouple Installation: The thermocouple was formed by welding an iron wire and a constantan wire together to form an approximately $1/16"$ diameter bead. The thermocouple juncture plus forty wire diameters was firmly glass taped to the outside surface of the $1/4" \times .030$ copper fuel line about 4" before entering the fuel ring.

4. TEST EQUIPMENT

A 6.75" pulsejet engine (M102) of 6.6 L/D ratio was used during the test with the same valves and fuel injection system as used with unheated gasoline (Figure 6). It was one of the engines originally built for ducted package testing at the Point Mugu Naval Air Missile Test Center.

Three N.R.L. type vane-seating valve boxes were used during the course of testing.

Two heating coils of 9 and 14 feet of $1/4"$ by .035 aluminum tubing were used both with and without insulation.

5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

In order to separate tailpipe cooling from engine performance on pre-heated fuel, a separate 6.75" engine was initially set up to provide fuel heating by means of an internally mounted tailpipe heating coil (Figure 12). The danger and difficulty of starting and operating two engines concurrently, caused a change to a single engine with its own tailpipe heating coil, which could be started and operated remotely.

The heating coil was located on the engine transition and tailpipe (Figure 7) and was tightly or loosely wrapped with different degrees of insulation to provide various fuel outlet temperatures.

Fuel temperature was fairly constant over the middle of the range of each run (Figure 4) and was dependent primarily on the area of tube exposed to conduction and radiation from the hot tailpipe.

The expected higher thrust was not achieved when burning heated fuel. In fact, the opposite trend was observed.

One possible reason for loss of thrust over most of the throttling range when the fuel is preheated is the less thorough mixing of vapor and air that might result from injecting a relatively greater volume of vapor as compared to a smaller volume of liquid gasoline. This large volume of vapor might have difficulty in mixing thoroughly compared to liquid fuel because of poorer penetration into the incoming airstream. Results of injecting the heated fuel forward of the valves and the much better fuel and air mixing resulting therefrom, would substantiate the above reasoning.

Another possible reason is that a colder fuel absorbs heat rapidly from the combustion products of a preceding explosion and tends to quench and contract the remaining combustion products at a rapid rate. This process would give an abrupt chamber pressure decrease that would augment the negative pressure brought about by over-expansion. A more extensive reverse flow up the tailpipe would occur with a resulting higher peak pressure from the following explosion.

At the low end of the throttling range, at around 75 pph. the heated fuel runs showed better thrust than the unheated runs, due possibly to better combustion resulting from better mixing of the vaporized gasoline, as compared to the poor cold-fuel spray that results from running the nozzle used in these tests at the low pressure associated with fuel flow below 90 pph.

The heated fuel runs, with internal fuel injection, consistently showed a wider throttling range than the unheated runs. Higher fuel temperatures gave a wider spread of the range at both the low and high ends, extending from 40 pph to 240 pph with 300° F fuel. The normal unheated fuel range is about 70 to 185 pph.

The first of the above results led to an attempt at better mixing of the fuel and air by using a forward fuel injection system that injects fuel between the valves at a 45° angle with the tube axis, through No. 55 holes drilled in a 1/4 x 0.035 aluminum tube running across the top and bottom ends of the valves (Figure 10).



The results of Runs No. 7, 8, and 9, injecting 265° F fuel through the forward injection system, are shown on the curves. Run No. 7 showed a marked decrease in specific fuel consumption at fuel flows below peak thrust. Peak thrust was the same as unheated, inside injection. The engine during this run became very hot, about 2000° F. The valve box reeds were slightly warped and the valve bumpers started to melt.

Run No. 8 was the second run at the same fuel temperature and showed a substantial decrease in performance from Run No. 7. This second run had been made to obtain the low fuel flow end of Run No. 7, but was found to give a new and lower thrust curve due, evidently, to valve box damage. The specific fuel consumption of this run was still better than any of the previous runs except No. 7.

Run No. 9 was made with a new valve box and showed very good specific fuel consumption but peak thrust was below any of the other runs. This new valve box was badly damaged by heat after 5 minutes of running (Figures 8 and 9).

The effect of the colder tailpipe resulting from fuel heating was determined by running the engine on unheated fuel with various fuel flows through the heater coil. This fuel was discarded. No significant effect of tailpipe cooling could be discerned. The length of tailpipe cooled was a maximum of six inches, which was not sufficient to change the performance of the engine.



6. CONCLUSIONS

Heating the gasoline made no significant improvements in engine performance over what has already been attained with forward fuel injection with unheated fuel. There is a decrease in static engine performance when the fuel is heated and injected through a standard fuel nozzle.

With forward heated fuel injection, the performance is similar to unheated forward fuel, but with an intolerable valve damage problem.

359-14 KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
 Millimeter, 5 mm. lines uncounted, cm. lines heavy
 MADE IN U.S.A.

STATIC STAND BY - THROTTLE
 OF A 6.75 INCH V. FUEL
 FLOW FOR 3 INCHES
 THROTTLE
 MANUFACTURED BY, CASE, D. R. B.

ENGINE CONFIGURATION

1. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

2. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

3. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

4. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

5. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

6. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

7. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

8. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

9. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

10. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

11. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

12. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

13. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

14. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

15. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

16. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

17. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

18. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

19. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

20. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

21. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

22. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

23. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

24. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

25. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

26. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

27. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

28. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

29. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

30. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

31. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

32. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

33. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

34. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

35. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

36. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

37. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

38. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

39. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

40. ENGINE - 3000 RPM

TEST CONDITIONS

1. FUEL - 3000 RPM

2. FUEL - 3000 RPM

3. FUEL - 3000 RPM

4. FUEL - 3000 RPM

5. FUEL - 3000 RPM

6. FUEL - 3000 RPM

7. FUEL - 3000 RPM

8. FUEL - 3000 RPM

9. FUEL - 3000 RPM

10. FUEL - 3000 RPM

11. FUEL - 3000 RPM

12. FUEL - 3000 RPM

13. FUEL - 3000 RPM

14. FUEL - 3000 RPM

15. FUEL - 3000 RPM

16. FUEL - 3000 RPM

17. FUEL - 3000 RPM

18. FUEL - 3000 RPM

19. FUEL - 3000 RPM

20. FUEL - 3000 RPM

21. FUEL - 3000 RPM

22. FUEL - 3000 RPM

23. FUEL - 3000 RPM

24. FUEL - 3000 RPM

25. FUEL - 3000 RPM

26. FUEL - 3000 RPM

27. FUEL - 3000 RPM

28. FUEL - 3000 RPM

29. FUEL - 3000 RPM

30. FUEL - 3000 RPM

31. FUEL - 3000 RPM

32. FUEL - 3000 RPM

33. FUEL - 3000 RPM

34. FUEL - 3000 RPM

35. FUEL - 3000 RPM

36. FUEL - 3000 RPM

37. FUEL - 3000 RPM

38. FUEL - 3000 RPM

39. FUEL - 3000 RPM

40. FUEL - 3000 RPM

259-14 KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
Millimeters, 6 mm. lines accented, cm. lines heavy.
MADE IN U.S.A.

Temp. Scale	Temp. Scale
100	212
150	302
200	392
250	482
300	572
350	662
400	752
450	842
500	932
550	1022
600	1112
650	1202
700	1292
750	1382
800	1472
850	1562
900	1652
950	1742
1000	1832

SHOWING EFFECT OF HEATING FUEL FOR FAN FUEL TEMPERATURE




FIGURE 2


STATIC STALL LIFT THRUST
RATIO FOR FAN
DIFFERENT FUEL TEMPERATURES
MANUFACTURED BY CO. DR. DEL.

Fuel Temperature, Degrees Fahrenheit


Fuel Temperature, Degrees Celsius


DR

350-14 KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
Millimeters, 5 mm. lines accented, cm. lines heavy.
MADE IN U.S.A.




359 14 KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.
Millimeters, 5 mm. lines accented, cm. lines heavy.
NADP, N. 2, A







088



SKETCH SHOWING 6.75" ENGINE, AND
FRONT VIEW WITH AND WITHOUT
VALVE BOX



FRONT VIEW
ENGINE



FRONT VIEW
VALVE BOX, KEYS NOT SHOWN

FIGURE 6




Figure 7

1/4" O.D. Aluminum Heating Coil
Wrapped Around Pulsejet Tailpipe




Figure 8

Burned Bumper and Reeds Resulting
From Injecting Heated Gasoline
Through the Valves - Front View




Figure 9

Valve Damage Resulting From Forward
Injection of Preheated Gasoline.
Same Valve Box as Figure 8 - Rear View



Figure 10

Configuration Used For Forward
Fuel Injection of the Preheated
Gasoline



From Continental Aviation & Engrg.

EFFECT OF PRE-HEATED FUEL ON
PERFORMANCE OF P-11-3 PULSE JET ENGINE

CAE Report No. 382

Page 59

CAE Curve No. 4386




Figure 11 Indicated Air Speed - MPH




Figure 12

6.75" Engine Initially Used For Fuel
Preheating. Test Engine is on the Static
Stand at Left