

AD0097657

01

15
3-2-2

ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

FORT KNOX, KENTUCKY

REPORT NO. 233

27 April 1956

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE
ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE
DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT*

*Subtask under Psychophysiological Studies, AMRL Project No. 6-95-
20-001, Subtask, Control Coordination Problems.



RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
OFFICE OF THE SURGEON GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

20061018059

REPORT NO. 233

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE
ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE
DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT*

by

L. S. Caldwell

from

Psychology Department
ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
FORT KNOX, KENTUCKY

*Subtask under Psychophysiological Studies, AMRL Project No. 6-95-20-001, Subtask, Control Coordination Problems.

Report No. 233
Project No. 6-95-20-001
Subtask AMRL S-1
MEDEA

ABSTRACT

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE
ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE
DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT

OBJECT

To determine the effect of the direction of primary adjustment on the accuracy of estimating small (10^0) displacements of the right arm in the horizontal plane, and the manner in which accuracy is influenced by the locus of movement.

RESULTS

When the primary adjustive movement was toward the side, greater accuracy was obtained in the side region (50^0 - 90^0) than in the front region (0^0 - 40^0). When the primary adjustment was toward the front, greatest accuracy was obtained in the front region. When the locus of movement was disregarded, adjustments toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front. The influence of direction of adjustment on accuracy was greatest in the side region.

There was a tendency to underestimate the extent of adjustive movements toward the side when they were made near the front and to overestimate them when they were made near the side. There was a general tendency to underestimate the extent of movement when the adjustment was toward the front. Practice resulted in a significant decrease in variability, but not in the extent and direction of the errors.

CONCLUSIONS

The results strongly support the hypothesis that movements made with increasing muscular tension are more accurate than those made with decreasing tension. The results indicate the following relationships between the locus and direction of movement of a control and the accuracy with which adjustments can be made in the horizontal plane:
1) Adjustments toward the front are more accurate than those toward

the side when the control is located in front of the operator; 2) Adjustments toward the side are more accurate than those toward the front when the control is located at the operator's side; 3) In general, adjustments toward the side are more accurate than those toward the front; 4) When the control is located in front of the operator it can be adjusted more accurately than when it is located at his side; 5) The direction of adjustment affects accuracy more when the control is located at the operator's side than when it is in front. These results may be anticipated when the forces affecting movement of the control are derived primarily from the musculature of the arm.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Studies should be continued on the effects of position and direction of movement of a control on the accuracy with which it can be manipulated.

Submitted 24 January 1956 by:

L. S. Caldwell, Psychologist

APPROVED: Ray G. Daggs
RAY G. DAGGS
Director of Research

APPROVED: William W. Cox
WILLIAM W. COX
Lt Colonel, MC
Commanding

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

In the operation of complex equipment an operator often has the task of locating and manipulating controls without the aid of visual cues. In operating equipment under blackout conditions, or in the operation of a control which is temporarily out of the field of vision, the placement of a control, its plane of operation, characteristic direction of movement, etc., may be of great importance. Fitts (3) and Caldwell and Herbert (2) show that positions in front of the subject tend to be located more accurately than those to the side. In the study by Caldwell and Herbert a relationship was found between the size and direction of the error in localizing a position in space and the direction of movement of the arm to that position. They found that when the arm was moved toward the side, the angular positions near the front tended to be overshoot and those near the side tended to be undershot. When the arm was moved toward the front there was a general tendency to overshoot all positions. Brown, Knauff and Rosenbaum (1) found that positioning reactions away from the body were more accurate than those toward the body.

The object of the present investigation was to study the effect of locus and direction of movement on the accuracy with which 10^0 movements of the arm could be made in the horizontal plane. In the studies on the accuracy of locating spatial positions (2 and 3), the results are ambiguous in that the error obtained at a given position is probably a function of both the spatial position itself and the distance the arm moves from the starting position to the goal position. For example, in the Fitts' study, in which all movements were initiated from a position in front of the subject, the results could be interpreted to mean either that accuracy of localization decreases as the positions move to the side, or that the accuracy of arm movements decreases as the arm excursion increases. In the Caldwell and Herbert study each angular position was estimated from all other positions on the scale. That is, there were variable extents of movement involved in the estimation of a position. Unfortunately, it was not possible to have the same extents of movement for all positions. One obvious way of eliminating the confounding between spatial position and extent of movement would be to hold the movement constant for all positions, but then the subject may interpret the task simply as one of estimating the displacement of the arm.

Right and left adjustive movements were made in the region between 0° and 90° in the horizontal plane. (0° represents the intersection of the horizontal and medial planes passing through the shoulder joint and 90° represents the intersection of the horizontal and lateral planes.) The locus of movement was indicated by the angular position to which the arm would point if it had been moved exactly 10° . (The starting positions of the arms were at 0° , 10° , 30° , etc.). Hypotheses derived from the results of this previous study (2) and tested in the present one were: 1) The accuracy of estimating displacement of the arm will be greater when the primary adjustive movement is toward the side than when it is toward the front; 2) For the movements toward the front adjustments in the region between 0° and 40° will be more accurate than those between 50° and 90° , and for the movements toward the side greatest accuracy will be obtained in the 50° - 90° region; and 3) The effect of direction of movement on accuracy will be less in the front region (0° - 40°) than in the side region (50° - 90°).

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Apparatus

The apparatus shown in Figure 1 consists of a padded sleeve suspended from a boom by broad rubber bands. The boom is connected to a vertical ball-bearing-mounted shaft. A pointer connected to the shaft rides over a plate on which an angular scale is etched. The scale is divided into 1° units. The apparatus can be raised or lowered to compensate for variations in the trunk lengths of the subjects. The range of adjustment is sufficient for use by subjects seated or standing though subjects were seated in this study. The movement of the shaft was sufficiently free so that at no time did a subject report that the apparatus was hindering movement of the arm. This apparatus was used to provide a comfortable support for the arm and an accurate indication of its position in the horizontal plane.

B. Subjects

The 12 subjects employed in this investigation were drawn in an unbiased manner from a pool of 50 volunteer experimental subjects who were on duty with the laboratory. None of the subjects had been involved in a study sufficiently similar to the present one that transfer effects might be expected.

C. Procedure

On the first day the experimenter outlined the general procedure of the experiment to the subjects and demonstrated the apparatus

to be used. The subjects were informed that they would be required to make 10° movements of their right arms and that the starting position would vary from trial to trial between -10° and 100° . They were informed that 0° represented the point directly in front of the right shoulder and that the 90° position was 90° clockwise from 0° . Each subject was seated so that the point of rotation of his arm was directly beneath the vertical shaft. The height of the apparatus was set so that when the arm was moved to the side positions, the end of the shaft barely cleared the subject's shoulder. In this position the hand was at shoulder height. The positions of the chair and apparatus were determined for each subject so that immediate adjustments could be made in all future sessions. The criterion of proper adjustment was a report of the subject that he could move his arm to the left and right with the feeling that he was doing so without any aid or hindrance from the apparatus.

The subjects were tested once a day for a total of 20 days. Each subject made 40 trials every day - 20 practice trials and 20 test trials. Each set of trials consisted of 2 trials for each of the 10 positions at 10° intervals from 0° through 90° . For half of the trials the adjustive movements were toward the side (10° to 20° , 20° to 30° , etc.) and for the other half the movements were toward the front (20° to 10° , 30° to 20° , etc.). At the beginning of each trial the subject was told the starting position of his arm and the point at which he brought his arm to rest. For example, a subject was told that his arm was at 40° and that on the signal "go" he was to move to 50° and to say "50" when he was satisfied with the setting he had made. He was then told the position at which he brought his arm to rest and, consequently, how far he had moved his arm. Thus, after each trial the subject knew exactly how much he had overestimated or underestimated a 10° movement. The subjects were advised of the accuracy of their performance in order to foster intra-subject competition.

III. RESULTS

There are 2 measures of the accuracy with which the subjects estimated 10° angular displacements of their arms - the constant error and the variable error. The constant error (CE) was obtained by adding the errors of estimation algebraically and dividing by the number of trials. Thus, the CE indicates the mean extent and direction of the error. For example, a CE of 2° means that, on the average, the subjects moved 2° too far or that they moved 12° . A CE of -2° means that the subjects moved their arms only 8° . The standard deviation (SD) which was used as the measure of the variable error, indicates

the dispersion of the scores about the mean. An SD of 2° means that approximately 68% of the errors were 2° or less on either side of the mean.

The CE's obtained in the present study are shown in Tables 1 and 3, and the SD's are given in Tables 2 and 4. In Tables 1 and 2 the mean errors are given for the 10 positions (0° through 90°) for the first and second halves of the trials and for total trials for the 2 directions of movement. Each entry in columns 2, 3, 5 and 6 of Tables 1 and 2 is based on 10 trials by each of the 12 subjects, and the entries in columns 4 and 7 are based on 20 trials by each subject. The SD's in Table 2 are the means of the SD's for the 12 subjects. In Tables 3 and 4 the mean errors are given for the 12 subjects for the adjustments made in the left (0° - 40°) and right (50° - 90°) halves of the scale and for the entire scale for the 2 directions of movement. The CE's are shown in Table 3 and the SD's are given in Table 4. The entries in columns 2, 3, 5 and 6 of these tables are based on 100 scores (20 trials to each of the 5 angular positions) for each subject and the entries in columns 4 and 7 are based on 200 scores.

The primary object of the present experiment was to study the influence of the direction and locus of movement on the accuracy of estimating a small arm displacement in the horizontal plane. Since measurements were made on the same subjects over an extensive period, it was necessary to examine the results for possible effects of practice on performance.

From an examination of Figure 2 and column 8 of Table 1 it may be seen that there was no consistent relationship between the position of the arm and the CE's when the direction of movement was disregarded. It is obvious from this figure and columns 4 and 7 of Table 1 that the direction of primary adjustment had an effect on the CE's. When the adjustment was made in the lower half of the scale (0° - 40°) and the movement was toward the side (column 2 of Table 3) there was a tendency to move the arm too far. When the adjustment was made in the upper half of the scale (50° - 90°) and was toward the side (column 3 of Table 3) there was a tendency not to move the arm far enough. When the adjustment was toward the front (columns 5 and 6 of Table 3) there was a general tendency to move the arm too far.

It may be seen also that adjustments toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front. The mean CE for all adjustments toward the side was $+0.31^{\circ}$ and for all adjustments toward the front the mean CE was $+1.10^{\circ}$. A test of the difference between the mean CE's

for the 2 directions yielded a $\underline{t}$ of 2.219, which was significant at less than the 3% level of confidence. This probability and those given for the tests indicated in Table 5 are one-half those shown in the conventional $\underline{t}$ -table. The one-tailed test was used in these cases because the directions of the differences were predicted.

Figure 3 and columns 4 and 7 of Table 2 indicate that the least variability in judging arm displacement was evident when the movement occurred in the regions adjacent to the extremes of the scale (10° and 80°). For both directions of movement the greatest accuracy was obtained at the 10° and 20° positions. Also, it may be seen that performance was more stable when the adjustive movement was toward the side than when it was toward the front. For this comparison see columns 4 and 7 of Table 2. A statistical test of the differences between the mean standard deviations for the 2 directions of movement yielded a $\underline{t}$ of 1.980 ($p < .05$).

As shown in Figure 4 and columns 2, 3, 5 and 6 of Table 1, practice did not result in a systematic reduction in the CE's. There was an increase in the mean CE's for the side movements and a slight decrease in the mean CE's for the front movements. In neither case was the change significant. The $\underline{t}$'s for the 2 comparisons were 1.764 and 0.686, respectively. It should be noted that the curves for side and front adjustments shown in Figure 2 fall midway between the corresponding pairs of curves shown in Figure 4. A test of the difference between the CE's for the 2 directions of movement for the first and second halves of the trials yielded a $\underline{t}$ of 1.18 which does not reach significance at the 5% level of confidence. This test was made to determine if the effect of direction of adjustment on the CE decreased with practice.

From Figure 5 and columns 3 and 6 of Table 2, it may be seen that practice resulted in a reduction in variability for both the side and front movements. The $\underline{t}$'s were 2.571 and 2.236, respectively. Both $\underline{t}$'s are significant at less than the 3% level of confidence. A test of the difference between the mean SD's for the 2 directions of adjustment for the 2 halves of trials yielded a $\underline{t}$ of 0.038. This test was done to determine if the difference in variability between the side and front adjustments was affected by practice. Thus, practice resulted in a general decrease in variability, but there was no appreciable change in the differential effect of the direction of movement on variability. In Table 4 it is shown that for both directions of adjustment there was greater variability in the 50° - 90° region than in the 0° - 40° region, but this difference was slight.

From the results of a previous study it was hypothesized that under the conditions of the present investigation movements toward the extreme positions would be more accurate than those away from the extremes. In other words, it was expected that for movements toward the side the greatest accuracy would be obtained between 50° and 90° , and for movements toward the front the greatest accuracy would be obtained in the region between 0° and 40° . A comparison of the mean CE's for the adjustments toward the side between 0° and 40° and between 50° and 90° yielded a t of 2.247 ($p < .03$). For this comparison see columns 2 and 3 of Table 3. The same test of the mean CE's for the adjustments toward the front (columns 5 and 6 of Table 3) yielded a t of 2.335 ($p < .03$). Thus, the hypothesis was supported strongly in both cases.

It was hypothesized also that the influence of direction would be less in the 0° - 40° region than in the 50° - 90° region. A test of the difference between the mean CE's for the 2 directions of movement in the 0° - 40° region yielded a t of 1.198. For this comparison see columns 2 and 5 of Table 3. This difference was not significant at the minimum acceptable (5%) level of confidence. The t of 2.937 derived from the test of the mean CE's for the 2 directions of movement in the 50° - 90° region (columns 3 and 6 of Table 3) was significant at less than the 1% level of confidence. The above tests were repeated for the SD's and in no case was a significant t obtained.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In a study on the accuracy of localizing spatial positions in the horizontal plane in the absence of visual cues (2) it was found that the angular positions were more accurately located when the arm was moved toward the side than when it was moved toward the front. Also, the data indicated that moving the arm against increasing tension resulted in greater accuracy than moving it with decreasing tension. That is, adjustments made toward the extreme positions are more accurate than those made away from the extremes. From direct experience and the reports of the subjects it is known that opposition to movement increases as the extended arm approaches the extreme front and side positions and that the build-up of tension is more noticeable at the side than at the front.

With the above considerations in mind the following predictions were made concerning performance in the present task: 1) Adjustive movements toward the side will be more accurate than those toward the front; 2) For movements toward the side, performance will be

more accurate in the 50° - 90° regions than in the 0° - 40° regions; 3) For movements toward the front, performance will be more accurate in the 0° - 40° region than in the 50° - 90° region; and 4) The influence of direction on accuracy will be greatest in the 50° - 90° region.

All the above hypotheses were supported by the data. Thus, there is convincing evidence of a significant interaction between the optimum placement and direction of movement of an unloaded control. This relationship can be seen in Figure 2. There was only one point at which the predictions did not hold. At 40° , movements toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front. Division of the scale at its mid-point was purely arbitrary for there was no way of knowing beforehand the point at which the muscular forces aiding and opposing movement reached an equilibrium. The results suggest that the indifference point is near 30° rather than 45° . This is consistent with the reports of the subjects that the forces affecting movement are more noticeable in the side region than in the front.

One very interesting aspect of the present investigation is the manner in which practice affected the performance. With practice there was a significant increase in the stability of performance but no appreciable change in the direction and mean extent of the errors. This is rather unusual in view of the fact that each subject had 800 trials and on each trial he was informed immediately of the direction and extent of his error. From this result one would conclude that the immediate recall of the pattern of kinesthetic cues associated with slight movement of the arm was so poor that it was not adequate to support learning after the initial gross errors were eliminated. The results of this study indicate the following relationships between the locus and direction of movement of a control and the accuracy with which adjustments can be made in the horizontal plane: 1) Adjustments toward the front are more accurate than those toward the side when the control is located in front of the operator; 2) Adjustments toward the side are more accurate than those toward the front when the control is located at the operator's side; 3) In general, adjustments toward the side are more accurate than those toward the front; 4) In general, a control located in front of the operator can be adjusted more accurately than one located at his side; 5) The direction of adjustment affects accuracy more when the control is located at the operator's side than when it is in front.

The above results may be anticipated when the forces affecting movement of the control are derived primarily from the musculature of the arm.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

Studies should be continued on the effects of position and direction of movement of a control on the accuracy with which it can be manipulated. In addition, the effects of various kinds of control loading on this performance should be investigated.

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Brown, J. S., E. B. Knauft and G. Rosenbaum. The accuracy of positioning reactions as a function of their direction and extent. Am. J. Psychol. 61: 167-182, 1948.
2. Caldwell, L. S. and M. J. Herbert. The judgment of angular positions in the horizontal plane on the basis of kinesthetic cues. AMRL Report No. 216, Fort Knox, Ky., 1956.
3. Fitts, P. M. A study of location discrimination ability. In Fitts, P. M. (Ed.). Psychological Research on Equipment Design. U. S. Government Printing Office. 207-217, 1947.

TABLE 1

MEAN CONSTANT ERRORS IN DEGREES FOR 10° ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS OF THE RIGHT ARM EXECUTED AT VARIOUS LOCI IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE. (Data Given for the First and Second Halves of Trials for Both Directions of Adjustment with the Mean for Total Trials at Each Locus)

Locus of Movement*	ADJUSTMENT TOWARD SIDE			ADJUSTMENT TOWARD FRONT			Total Trials
	First Half	Second Half	Total	First Half	Second Half	Total	
Column 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0°	1.65	0.81	1.23	0.52	0.88	0.70	0.96
10°	1.36	0.72	1.04	-0.35	-0.06	-0.20	0.42
20°	1.49	0.67	1.08	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.65
30°	0.99	1.04	1.02	0.88	1.08	0.98	1.00
40°	0.28	1.10	0.69	1.79	0.98	1.38	1.04
50°	-0.21	0.35	0.07	1.28	0.53	0.90	0.48
60°	-0.36	0.19	-0.08	1.22	1.07	1.14	0.53
70°	-1.00	-0.02	-0.51	1.58	1.13	1.35	0.42
80°	-1.15	-0.27	-0.71	1.82	1.86	1.84	0.56
90°	-1.14	-0.25	-0.70	2.91	2.48	2.70	1.00
Mean	0.19	0.43	0.31	1.19	1.02	1.10	0.71

*Indicates the terminal position of the arm if an exact 10° movement were made.

TABLE 2

MEAN STANDARD DEVIATIONS IN DEGREES FOR 10° ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS OF THE RIGHT ARM EXECUTED AT VARIOUS LOCI IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE. (Data Given for the First and Second Halves of Trials for Both Directions of Adjustment with the Mean for Total Trials at Each Locus)

Locus of Movement*	ADJUSTMENT TOWARD SIDE			ADJUSTMENT TOWARD FRONT			Total Trials
	First Half	Second Half	Total	First Half	Second Half	Total	
Column 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0°	1.95	1.60	1.92	2.16	1.64	2.03	2.31
10°	1.81	1.55	1.81	1.62	1.76	1.79	1.94
20°	1.88	1.60	1.85	1.90	1.66	1.85	2.01
30°	2.00	1.65	1.95	2.34	1.84	2.23	2.36
40°	1.91	1.64	1.92	2.18	1.76	2.13	2.30
50°	2.22	1.64	2.03	2.43	1.61	2.21	2.22
60°	1.85	1.65	1.86	2.07	1.79	2.06	2.08
70°	2.05	1.77	2.08	2.02	1.88	2.09	2.15
80°	2.13	1.54	2.00	1.91	1.95	1.97	2.15
90°	2.02	1.68	2.00	2.38	1.82	2.42	2.48
Mean	1.98	1.63	1.94	2.10	1.77	2.08	2.20

*Indicates the terminal position of the arm if an exact 10° movement were made.

TABLE 3

MEAN CONSTANT ERRORS IN DEGREES FOR ADJUSTMENTS TOWARD THE FRONT AND SIDE IN
THE REGIONS 0° - 40° , 50° - 90° AND 0° - 90° FOR THE 12 SUBJECTS

	ADJUSTMENT TOWARD SIDE			ADJUSTMENT TOWARD FRONT		
Subjects	0° - 40°	50° - 90°	0° - 90°	0° - 40°	50° - 90°	0° - 90°
Column 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	0.72	-0.38	0.17	0.94	1.28	1.11
2	1.33	-0.62	0.36	1.10	2.16	1.63
3	-0.44	0.46	0.01	1.31	0.73	1.02
4	1.05	-0.98	0.04	-0.63	2.46	0.92
5	1.10	-0.97	0.06	0.92	2.80	1.86
6	-0.60	0.28	-0.16	1.83	1.56	1.70
7	1.11	-0.39	0.36	0.08	1.52	0.80
8	0.83	0.12	0.48	-0.28	0.68	0.20
9	1.84	0.11	0.98	0.75	1.58	1.16
10	1.21	-0.85	0.18	0.40	2.24	1.32
11	1.01	-0.87	0.07	1.15	1.40	1.28
12	2.92	-0.60	1.16	-0.18	0.64	0.23
Mean	1.01	-0.39	0.31	0.62	1.59	1.10

TABLE 4

MEAN STANDARD DEVIATIONS IN DEGREES FOR ADJUSTMENTS TOWARD THE FRONT AND SIDE IN
THE REGIONS 0° - 40° , 50° - 90° AND 0° - 90° FOR THE 12 SUBJECTS

	ADJUSTMENT TOWARD SIDE			ADJUSTMENT TOWARD FRONT		
Subjects	0° - 40°	50° - 90°	0° - 90°	0° - 40°	50° - 90°	0° - 90°
Column 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1.48	1.59	1.53	1.46	1.47	1.47
2	2.08	1.97	2.03	2.06	2.19	2.12
3	1.72	1.85	1.78	2.08	2.09	2.08
4	1.65	1.60	1.82	1.95	1.81	2.06
5	2.18	2.32	2.25	2.40	2.60	2.50
6	1.61	1.54	1.58	1.56	2.16	1.86
7	1.66	1.87	1.76	1.83	2.03	1.93
8	1.61	2.18	1.90	2.10	1.87	1.99
9	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.68	2.48	2.58
10	2.00	2.48	2.24	1.96	2.36	2.16
11	2.21	1.92	2.06	2.11	2.50	2.31
12	2.28	2.03	2.15	1.87	1.90	1.88
Mean	1.89	1.96	1.94	2.01	2.12	2.08

TABLE 5
SUMMARY OF t-TESTS OF PAIRS OF STATISTICS
LISTED IN FIRST COLUMN

<u>Comparison</u>	<u>t</u>	<u>df</u>	<u>p</u>
1. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the side and front	2.219	11	<.03*
2. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the side and front	1.980	11	<.05*
3. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the side for the first and second halves of trials	1.764	11	----
4. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the front for the first and second halves of trials	0.686	11	----
5. Difference between the mean CE's for adjustments toward the side and front for the first and second halves of trials	1.180	11	----
6. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the side for the first and second halves of trials	2.571	11	<.03*
7. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the front for the first and second halves of trials	2.236	11	<.03*
8. Difference between the mean SD's for adjustments toward the side and front for the first and second halves of trials	0.038	11	----
9. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the side between 0° and 40°, and 50° and 90°	2.247	11	<.03*
10. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the front between 0° and 40°, and 50° and 90°	2.335	11	<.03*
11. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the side and front between 0° and 40°	1.198	11	----*
12. Mean CE's for adjustments toward the side and front between 50° and 90°	2.937	11	<.01*
13. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the side between 0° and 40°, and 50° and 90°	0.925	11	----
14. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the front between 0° and 40°, and 50° and 90°	1.425	11	----
15. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the side and front between 0° and 40°	1.360	11	----
16. Mean SD's for adjustments toward the side and front between 50° and 90°	1.670	11	----

* p obtained from one-tailed test




FIG 1. ARM KINESTHESIOMETER




FIG. 2 MEAN CONSTANT ERRORS FOR 10° MOVEMENTS OF THE ARM TOWARD THE FRONT AND SIDE AT VARIOUS LOCI IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE.




FIG. 3 MEAN STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR 10° MOVEMENTS OF THE ARM TOWARD THE FRONT AND SIDE AT VARIOUS LOCI IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE.




FIG. 4 MEAN CONSTANT ERRORS FOR THE FIRST AND SECOND HALVES OF TRIALS FOR 10° MOVEMENTS OF THE ARM TOWARD THE FRONT AND SIDE AT VARIOUS LOCI IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE.




FIG. 5 MEAN VARIABLE ERRORS FOR THE FIRST AND SECOND HALVES OF TRIALS FOR 10° MOVEMENTS OF THE ARM TOWARD THE FRONT AND SIDE AT VARIOUS LOCI IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMRL REPORTS

Category (A) - All Reports

ARMY	Copies	ARMY	Copies
The Surgeon General	2	Office, CONARC	1
Department of the Army		Fort Monroe, Virginia	
Main Navy Building		Walter H. Moursund, Jr., Col GS	2
Washington 25, D. C.		Office of the Army Attache, Box 36	
ATTN: Chief, Research & Development Division		U. S. Navy 100	
Director	1	Fleet Post Office	
Armed Forces Institute of Pathology		New York, New York	
Walter Reed Army Medical Center		Commanding General	3
Washington 12, D. C.		Headquarters, QM, R&D Command	
Army Library	1	QM R&D Center, U. S. Army	
Room 1A 522, The Pentagon		Natick, Massachusetts	
Washington 25, D. C.		Commanding Officer	1
ATTN: National Defense Review		Medical Nutrition Laboratory	
Headquarters	1	9937 TU U. S. Army	
Army Medical Service Graduate School		Fitzsimmons Army Hospital	
Walter Reed Army Medical Center		Denver 7, Colorado	
Washington 12, D. C.		Headquarters	1
Chief, Human Relations & Research Branch	2	406th Medical General Laboratory	
Military Personnel Management Div		APO 500, c/o Postmaster	
Office of the Asst Chief of Staff		San Francisco, California	
Department of the Army		Headquarters	1
Room 2C 724, The Pentagon		Camp Detrick	
Washington 25, D. C.		Frederick, Maryland	
Office Asst Chief of Staff, G4	1	ATTN: Technical Library	
Department of the Army		Scientific Publications & Reports Office	1
The Pentagon		QM Food & Container Institute for the	
Washington 25, D. C.		Armed Forces	
ATTN: Research & Development		1819 West Pershing Road	
Commanding Officer	1	Chicago 9, Illinois	
Chemical Corps Medical Laboratories		Research & Development Division	1
Army Chemical Center, Maryland		Office, Quartermaster General	
ATTN: Chief, Technical Information Branch		Room 2102, Building "A"	
Army Environmental Health Lab	1	Washington 25, D. C.	
Building 1235		Armed Forces Staff College	1
Army Chemical Center, Maryland		Norfolk, Virginia	
Commandant	1	Library, Quartermaster School	1
Marine Corps Schools		Fort Lee, Virginia	
Quantico, Virginia		Medical Field Service School	2
ATTN: Library & Record Section, MCEG		Fort Sam Houston, Texas	
		Library, Army War College	1
		Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania	
NAVY		NAVY	
U. S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine	1	Commanding Officer	1
U. S. Naval Air Station		U. S. Naval Air Development Center	
Pensacola, Florida		Johnsville, Pennsylvania	
Commanding Officer	1	ATTN: Aviation Medical Acceleration Lab	
Naval Medical Research Institute		Officer in Charge	1
National Naval Medical Center		U. S. Naval Medical Research Lab	
Bethesda 14, Maryland		U. S. Naval Submarine Base	
Chief, Bureau of Medicine & Surgery	1	New London, Connecticut	
Department of the Navy		ATTN: Librarian	
Washington 25, D. C.		Special Asst for Bio Sciences	1
Commanding Officer	1	Office of Naval Research	
Naval Medical Field Research Lab		Department of the Navy	
Marine Barracks		Washington 25, D. C.	
Camp Lejeune, North Carolina		Officer in Charge	10
		Office of Naval Research	
		Navy 100, Fleet Post Office	
		New York, New York	

Category (A) - All Reports - Continued

	Copies		Copies
AIR FORCE		AIR FORCE	
Commandant	1	Department of the Air Force	1
USAF School of Aviation Medicine		Headquarters USAF	
Randolph Air Force Base		Director, Research & Development DCS/D	
Randolph Field, Texas		Washington 25, D. C.	
ATTN: Research Secretariat		ATTN: AFDRD-HF	
Commander	1	Arctic Aeromedical Laboratory	1
Hq Wright Air Development Center		APO 731, c/o Postmaster	
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio		Seattle, Washington	
ATTN: Aero Medical Laboratory (WCRD)		ATTN: Librarian, AAL	
Directorate of Research		Commander	1
Director	1	Air Research & Development Command	
Air University Library		ATTN: RDTRH	
Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama		PO 1395	
		Baltimore 3, Maryland	
OTHER AGENCIES		OTHER AGENCIES	
Exchange & Gift Division	1	Armed Services Technical Information	5
Library of Congress		Agency	
Washington 25, D. C.		Document Service Center	
Director, Armed Forces Medical Library	1	Knott Building	
7th Street & Independence Ave, SW		Dayton 2, Ohio	
Washington 25, D. C.		ATTN: DSC-SD32	
ATTN: Acquisitions Division		National Science Foundation	1
Operations Research Office	1	1520 H Street NW	
The Johns Hopkins University		Washington, D. C.	
7100 Connecticut Ave		Department of Physiology	1
Chevy Chase, Maryland		University of Rochester	
ATTN: Librarian		School of Medicine & Dentistry	
National Institutes of Health	2	260 Crittenden Blvd	
Division of Research Grants		Rochester, New York	
Bethesda 14, Maryland		National Research Council	1
Tropical Research Medical Lab	1	Division of Medical Science	
APO 851, New York, New York		2101 Constitution Ave	
		Washington, D. C.	
FOREIGN ADDRESSES		National Institutes of Health	1
Defense Research Member	2	Library, ATTN: Acquisitions Section	
Canadian Joint Staff (W)		Bethesda 14, Maryland	
2001 Connecticut Ave, NW		FOREIGN ADDRESSES	
Washington 8, D. C.		British Naval & Army Medical Liaison	2
RCAMC Liaison Officer	2	Officer	
Surgeon General's Office		Bureau of Medicine & Surgery	
Department of the Army		Building 4, Room 60A	
Room 2842		23rd and E Streets	
Main Navy Building		Washington, D. C.	
Washington 25, D. C.		Dr. O. G. Edholm	1
THRU: The Foreign Service of the	1	Head, Division of Human Physiology	
United States of America		Medical Research Council Laboratory	
United States Army Liaison Office		Holly Hill, Hampstead	
American Consulate General		London, NW 3	
Singapore		England	
(for clearance and forwarding to:)		MEDICAL LIBRARY	
The Deputy Director of Army Health		Medical Center Library	1
Far East Land Forces		Jersey City 4, New Jersey	
Singapore		Univ of California Medical Center Library	1
MEDICAL LIBRARY		Parnassus and 3rd Avenues	
Medical College of Alabama Library	1	San Francisco 22, California	
620 South 20th Street			
Birmingham 5, Alabama			
Yale Univ Medical Library			
333 Cedar Street			
New Haven 11, Connecticut			

Category (A) - All Reports - Continued

MEDICAL LIBRARY	Copies	MEDICAL LIBRARY	Copies
Howard Univ College of Medicine Library 520 W Street, NW Washington 1, D. C.	1	Georgetown Univ Medical & Dental Schools Library 3900 Reservoir Road, NW Washington 7, D. C.	1
Rush Medical College Library 1758 West Harrison Street Chicago 12, Illinois	1	Northwestern Univ Medical School Archibald Church Library 303 East Chicago Avenue Chicago 11, Illinois	1
Indiana Univ Medical Center Library 1100 West Michigan Street Indianapolis 7, Indiana	1	Univ of Louisville School of Medicine Library 101 West Chestnut Street Louisville 2, Kentucky	1
Harvard Univ Medical Library 25 Shattuck Street Boston 15, Massachusetts	1	University of Texas Southwestern Medical School Library 5323 Harry Hives Blvd Dallas 19, Texas	1
Univ of Buffalo Medical School Library 24 High Street Buffalo 3, New York	1	Texas Medical Center Library Houston 25, Texas	1
Univ of Texas School of Medicine Library Strand between 9th and 10th Streets Galveston, Texas	1	Univ of Utah Medical Library Salt Lake City 1, Utah	1
Univ of Virginia Alderman Library Exchange Division Charlottesville, Virginia	1	Marquette Univ School of Medicine & Milwaukee Academy of Medicine Library 561 North 15th Street Milwaukee 3, Wisconsin	1
Columbia Univ Medical Library 630 West 168th Street New York 32, New York	1	Univ of Oklahoma School of Medicine Library 801 North East 13th Street Oklahoma City 4, Oklahoma	1
Jefferson Medical College Samuel Parsons Scott Memorial Library 1025 Walnut Street Philadelphia 7, Pennsylvania	1	Univ of Pittsburgh School of Medicine & Dentistry Library 3941 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1
Meharry Medical College Library Nashville 8, Tennessee			
Vanderbilt Univ School of Medicine Library 21st Ave, South and Edgehill Nashville 4, Tennessee	1		

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMRL REPORTS

Category (D)

ARMY	Copies	ARMY	Copies
Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland ATTN: Human Engineering Laboratory	1	President, Army Field Forces Board Nr 4 Fort Bliss, Texas	1
Commanding Officer Army Field Forces Human Research Unit Nr 1 The Armored Center Fort Knox, Kentucky	1	Chief, Army Field Forces Human Research Unit Nr 2 P.O. Box 446 Fort Ord, California	1
Quartermaster Board Fort Lee, Virginia	1	Director Human Resources Research Office P.O. Box 3596 Washington 7, D. C. ATTN: Library	1
President Board Nr 2, OCAFF Fort Knox, Kentucky	1	Human Research Unit Nr 3 Office, Chief of Army Field Forces Fort Benning, Georgia	1
President Board Nr 3, OCAFF Fort Benning, Georgia	1	Operations Research Office 6410 Connecticut Avenue Chevy Chase, Maryland ATTN: The Library	1
Commanding Officer Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania ATTN: Human Engineering Mission (LC)	1	Office, Chief of Ordnance ATTN: ORDTB-PS, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1
Ordnance Corps Detroit Arsenal Center Line, Michigan ATTN: Mr. J. D. Gallombardo XRDMX-ECG	1	Operations Personnel Research Div Office of Chief, Research & Development Deputy Chief of Staffs for Plans & Research Room 2C 734, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1
Commandant, Adjutant General's School Office of the School Secretary Fort Benjamin Harrison Indianapolis 16, Indiana	1	Office of Chief Signal Officer Room 2E 258, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1
Adjutant General's Office Personnel Research Branch Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding Officer Transportation Research & Development Station Fort Eustis, Virginia	1
President, Army Field Forces Board Nr 1 Fort Bragg, North Carolina	1		
NAVY		NAVY	
Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	1	Director, U. S. Naval Air Experimental Station ATTN: Supt., Aero Medical Equipment Laboratory Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Medical Research Unit Nr 1 Bldg T-19, University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Commander U. S. Naval Air Test Center Patuxent River, Maryland ATTN: Physiological Test Section of Service Test	1
Officer-in-Charge U. S. Naval Personnel Research Field Activity Tempo 'T' 14th & Constitution Ave., NW Washington 25, D. C.	1	U. S. Navy Electronics Laboratory ATTN: Head, Human Factors Division San Diego 52, California	2
Director, Operations Evaluation Group (OP-374) Room 4D 541, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1	Office of Naval Research Psychophysiological Psychology Branch, Code 454 Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1
Psychological Research & Applications Department Special Devices Center Port Washington, L. I., New York	1		

Category (D) Continued

AIR FORCE	Copies	AIR FORCE	Copies
Commander	1	Director	1
Air Force Operational Test Center		Armament Systems Personnel Research	
ATTN: Technical Reports Branch		Laboratory	
Elgin Air Force Base, Florida		Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado	
Commander	1	Director	1
Lackland Air Force Base		Basic Pilot	
San Antonio, Texas		Goodfellow Air Force Base, Texas	
Assistant for Ground Safety	1	Human Factors Operations	4
DCS/Pers, Headquarters, USAF		Research Laboratory	
Washington 25, D. C.		Air Research and Development	
AFPT Research Center	1	Command, USAF	
Liaison Officer		Bolling Air Force Base	
Deputy Chief of Staff		Washington 25, D. C.	
Operations, Headquarters		The Rand Corporation	1
Air Training Command		1700 Main Street	
Scott Air Force Base, Illinois		Santa Monica, California	
		ATTN: Mr. Victor M. Hunt	
INDIVIDUALS		INDIVIDUALS	
Dr. Frank A. Geldard	1	Dr. William A. Hunt	1
Peabody Hall		Department of Psychology	
University of Virginia		Northwestern University	
Charlottesville, Virginia		Evanston, Illinois	
Dr. William E. Kappauf, Jr.	1	Dr. Anthony Lanza	1
Department of Psychology		Institute of Industrial Medicine	
University of Illinois		New York University	
Urbana, Illinois		Bellevue Medical Center	
Dr. Lorrin A. Riggs	1	477 First Avenue	
Department of Psychology		New York, New York	
Brown University		Dr. Harold Schlosberg	1
Providence 12, Rhode Island		Psychology Laboratory	
Dr. Eliot Stellar	1	Brown University	
Johns Hopkins University		Providence 12, Rhode Island	
Psychology Department		Dr. Willard Machle	1
Baltimore 18, Maryland		Boca Raton, Florida	
Dr. Merle Lawrence	1	Dr. Norton Nelson	1
S. S. Kresge Medical Research Bldg		Institute of Industrial Medicine	
University of Michigan		New York University	
Ann Arbor, Michigan		Bellevue Medical Center	
		477 First Avenue	
		New York, New York	
OTHER AGENCIES		OTHER AGENCIES	
Director, Bibliographical Services Project	1	Department of Psychology	1
Institute for Applied Experimental Psychology		University of Rochester	
North Hall, Tufts College		Rochester 3, New York	
Boston 14, Massachusetts			

		NO. 1 2 3 4			
WEIGHT	20	21	HEAD CIRC.	5	6
NECK CIRC.	22	23	SAGITTAL ARC	7	8
SHOULDER CIRC.	24	25	CORONAL ARC	9	10
CHEST CIRC. (RELAXED)	26	27	POSTERIOR ARC	11	12
CHEST CIRC. (EXPANDED)	28	29	INTERSCYE (S)	13	14
WAIST CIRC.	30	31	INTERSCYE MAX. (S)	15	16
BUTTOCK CIRC.	32	33	HIP CIRC. (S)	17	18
THIGH CIRC.	34	35	KNEE CIRC. (S)	19	20
LOWER THIGH CIRC.	36	37	STATURE	21	22
CALF CIRC.	38	39	CERVICAL HT.	23	24
ANKLE CIRC.	40	41	ACROMIAL HT.	25	26
BALL OF FOOT CIRC.	42	43	AXILLA HT.	27	28
ARM SCYE	44	45	WAIST HT.	29	30
AXILLARY ARM CIRC.	46	47	GLUTEAL SEAM HT.	31	32
BICEPS CIRC. (FLEXED)	48	49	CROTCH HT.	33	34
ELBOW CIRC. (FLEXED)	50	51	PATELLA HT.	35	36
LOWER ARM CIRC. (FLEXED)	52	53	CALF HT.	37	38
WRIST CIRC.	54	55	ANKLE HT.	39	40
PALM CIRC.	56	57	HAND LENGTH	41	42
FIST CIRC.	58	59	PALM LENGTH	43	44
SLEEVE INSEAM	60	61	HAND BREADTH AT METACARPALE	45	46
SLEEVE LENGTH	62	63	HAND BREADTH AT THUMB	47	48
SHOULDER LENGTH	64	65	THICKNESS AT METACARPALE III	49	50
BIACROMIAL ARC	66	67	HEEL WIDTH	51	52
WAIST RACK	68	69			

Army Medical Research Lab, Fort Knox, Ky.

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT - L. S. Caldwell

Report No. 233, 24 Jan 56 - 16 pp & ii - 5 illus - 5 tables - Project No. 6-95-20-001, Unclassified Report

The accuracy of 10° angular displacements of the arm was found to be a function of both the direction and locus of movement. Movements toward the side were most accurate in the side (50° - 90°) region, and movements toward the front were most accurate in the front (0° - 40°) region. (0° represents the intersection of the horizontal and medial planes passing through the shoulder joint and 90° represents the intersection of the horizontal and lateral planes.) Adjustments toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front.

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Psychology
2. Control Coordination
3. Kinesthetic Cues in Control Manipulation

Army Medical Research Lab, Fort Knox, Ky.

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT - L. S. Caldwell

Report No. 233, 24 Jan 56 - 16 pp & ii - 5 illus - 5 tables - Project No. 6-95-20-001, Unclassified Report

The accuracy of 10° angular displacements of the arm was found to be a function of both the direction and locus of movement. Movements toward the side were most accurate in the side (50° - 90°) region, and movements toward the front were most accurate in the front (0° - 40°) region. (0° represents the intersection of the horizontal and medial planes passing through the shoulder joint and 90° represents the intersection of the horizontal and lateral planes.) Adjustments toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front.

Army Medical Research Lab, Fort Knox, Ky.

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT - L. S. Caldwell

Report No. 233, 24 Jan 56 - 16 pp & ii - 5 illus - 5 tables - Project No. 6-95-20-001, Unclassified Report

The accuracy of 10° angular displacements of the arm was found to be a function of both the direction and locus of movement. Movements toward the side were most accurate in the side (50° - 90°) region, and movements toward the front were most accurate in the front (0° - 40°) region. (0° represents the intersection of the horizontal and medial planes passing through the shoulder joint and 90° represents the intersection of the horizontal and lateral planes.) Adjustments toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front.

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Psychology
2. Control Coordination
3. Kinesthetic Cues in Control Manipulation

Army Medical Research Lab, Fort Knox, Ky.

THE ACCURACY OF CONSTANT ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT OF THE ARM IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AS INFLUENCED BY THE DIRECTION AND LOCUS OF THE PRIMARY ADJUSTIVE MOVEMENT - L. S. Caldwell

Report No. 233, 24 Jan 56 - 16 pp & ii - 5 illus - 5 tables - Project No. 6-95-20-001, Unclassified Report

The accuracy of 10° angular displacements of the arm was found to be a function of both the direction and locus of movement. Movements toward the side were most accurate in the side (50° - 90°) region, and movements toward the front were most accurate in the front (0° - 40°) region. (0° represents the intersection of the horizontal and medial planes passing through the shoulder joint and 90° represents the intersection of the horizontal and lateral planes.) Adjustments toward the side were more accurate than those toward the front.

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Psychology
2. Control Coordination
3. Kinesthetic Cues in Control Manipulation