

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 102198

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

Reproduced by

DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

This document is the property of the United States Government. It is furnished for the duration of the contract and shall be returned when no longer required, or upon recall by ASTIA to the following address: Armed Services Technical Information Agency, Document Service Center, Knott Building, Dayton 2, Ohio.

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

UNCLASSIFIED

FC



100 198

STATUS REPORT NO. 6

on

THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL
PROPERTIES OF TITANIUM BROMIDES
AND TITANIUM IODIDES
Contract No. Nonr-1120(00)

to

HEAD, METALLURGY BRANCH
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

July 1, 1955

by

J. M. Blocher, Jr., N. D. Veigel,
E. H. Hall, and I. E. Campbell

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE
505 King Avenue
Columbus 1, Ohio

Battelle Memorial Institute

5 0 5 K I N G A V E N U E C O L U M B U S I , O H I O

July 29, 1955

Mr. W. C. Arsem
Metallurgy Branch
Office of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Arsem:

Contract No. Nonr-1120(00)

Enclosed are two copies of Status Report No. 6 covering the period from April 1, 1955, to July 1, 1955.

Sincerely yours,



I. E. Campbell

IEC:mm
Enc. (2)
cc: See Distribution List

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. O. T. Marzke
Superintendent, Metallurgy Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.

Col. B. S. Mesick
Commanding Officer
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown 72, Massachusetts

Aeronautical Research Laboratory
Wright Air Development Center
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio
Attention WCRRL, J. G. Jackson ??

Office, Chief of Ordnance
ORDTB-Materials
Washington 25, D. C.
Attention E. J. Dunn

ONR Resident Representative
The Ohio State University
Research Foundation Office Building
Columbus 10, Ohio

ONR Branch Office
The John Crerar Library Building
86 East Randolph Street
Chicago 1, Illinois

Dr. A. J. Stosick
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Dr. C. J. B. Fincham
Columbia University
New York, New York

Dr. G. E. MacWood
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio

Dr. F. D. Rossini
Carnegie Institute of Technology
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Dr. R. Gilchrist
Inorganic Chemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington 25, D. C.

Mr. E. J. Prosen
Thermochemistry Division
National Bureau of Standards
Washington 25, D. C.

Dr. K. K. Kelley
U. S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
126 Hearst Memorial Mining Building
Berkeley, California

Dr. M. A. Steinberg
Horizons, Incorporated
Cleveland, Ohio

Dr. P. Herasymenko
New York University
College of Engineering
Research Division
University Heights
New York 53, New York

Dr. R. S. Dean
Chicago Development Company
Riverdale, Maryland

Mr. A. D. Schwope
Brush Laboratories Company
540 East 105th Street
Cleveland, Ohio

Mr. E. J. Chapin
Department of the Navy
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.

Dr. L. A. McClaine
The Merrill Company
(Arthur D. Little, Inc.)
322 Battery Street
San Francisco 11, California

Dr. G. F. Janz
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	1
MERCURY REDUCTION OF TITANIUM TETRABROMIDE	2
Experimental	2
Discussion	4
FUTURE WORK	9

THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF TITANIUM BROMIDES AND TITANIUM IODIDES

by

J. M. Blocher, Jr., N. D. Veigel,
E. H. Hall, and I. E. Campbell



SUMMARY

Data obtained in a study of the mercury reduction of titanium tetrabromide were used to calculate the difference in the heats of formation of $\text{TiBr}_4(\text{s})$ and $\text{TiBr}_3(\text{s})$. After confirmation, these results will be combined with the heat of formation of $\text{TiBr}_4(\text{s})$ now being determined at the National Bureau of Standards to obtain the value for the heat of formation of $\text{TiBr}_3(\text{s})$. Tentative values are included in this report.

Tests are being made of modifications of the apparatus for the determination of the disproportionation pressure of TiBr_3 in order that reliable pressure-temperature-composition data can be obtained which will be combined with the above to give the heat of formation of $\text{TiBr}_2(\text{s})$.

INTRODUCTION

As initially planned, the thermodynamic properties of the titanium bromides were to have been determined by studying the equilibria:



Acceptable values of the heat of formation of Hg_2Br_2 were available*, and exploratory work with the mercury-reduction reaction (Equation 1) indicated that reliable experimental results could be obtained.

The disproportionation of TiBr_3 (Equation 2) had also been studied. However, this system is not "well behaved" and further work will be necessary to establish a reliable value of the heat of disproportionation.

*Circular 500, National Bureau of Standards.

The disproportionation of TiBr_2 (Equation 3) was to have been studied to complete the determination of the relative thermodynamic properties of the titanium bromides. However, this system would undoubtedly be still more difficult to work with than the tribromide disproportionation. Therefore, it was desirable that another reaction be chosen to complete the picture.

Fortunately, reliable values for the heat of formation of TiBr_4 should result from the work in progress at the National Bureau of Standards by E. J. Prosen and his associates*. With this information available, only the heats of reaction of Equations (1) and (2) will be necessary to determine the heats of formation of the three titanium bromides.

Details of recent work on the tribromide disproportionation were given in Status Report No. 5. During the present report period, tests were made of several techniques for obtaining reliable pressure-temperature-composition data. However, no experimental measurements were made. The major effort during the report period was concentrated on the determination of the equilibrium TiBr_4 pressure in the mercury reduction reaction (Equation 1).

MERCURY REDUCTION OF TITANIUM TETRABROMIDE

Experimental

To identify the solid phases in equilibrium with liquid mercury and TiBr_4 vapor, samples of the reaction product were subjected to X-ray-diffraction analysis at temperatures from 150° to 250°C . at which the equilibrium pressure of TiBr_4 is easily measured. TiBr_3 and Hg_2Br_2 were identified as solid phases and no unidentified lines remained which might indicate complicating factors.

Measurements of the equilibrium pressure were made in the apparatus shown in Figure 1. The cell was carefully cleaned and outgassed and then charged with mercury by distillation from a reservoir attached at Point A. A small amount of pure TiBr_4 was also distilled into the cell and allowed to react with the mercury to form a surface coating of Hg_2Br_2 and TiBr_3 , after which the cell was sealed off at A and separated from the filling apparatus. The purpose of the flexible rubber tubing connecting the cell to the vacuum and controlled-pressure system was to permit outgassing by inverting the cell and evacuating the system.

The cell was used as a null indicator, the pressure above the bromides being balanced by a measured pressure of inert gas which was varied until

*Private communication, March 7, 1955.




FIGURE 1. MERCURY REDUCTION CELL

the mercury level in the 10-mm tube was returned to the position occupied at zero pressure differential. Data for the correction for the thermal expansion of mercury were obtained with mercury alone in the cell by determining the mercury level in the 10-mm tubing as a function of temperature under zero pressure differential. As a check on the reliability of the technique, and of the prediction that the partial pressure of $\text{HgBr}_2(\text{g})$ is negligible*, the cell was charged with mercury and bromine to form a scum of Hg_2Br_2 over the mercury surface. The pressure-temperature data given in Table 1 indicate that only the partial pressure of mercury is significant although there appears to be a small systematic error in the experimental values. This saturated mercury vapor pressure is exerted, along with the equilibrium TiBr_4 pressure, in the mercury-reduction reaction, and must be subtracted from the total pressure to obtain the partial pressure of TiBr_4 .

The cell was thermostatted to $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$. in a salt bath whose temperature was measured by a calibrated Chromel-Alumel thermocouple. The data obtained with two separate preparations (Runs A and B) are given in Table 2 and Figure 2.

Discussion

In order to extrapolate the experimental data to 298.2°K ., it is necessary to obtain a value for the ΔC_p of reaction. Unfortunately, reliable values for the heat capacities of TiBr_3 and Hg_2Br_2 are not available. However, values obtained by estimation should be entirely satisfactory for this purpose. The heat capacity of Hg_2Br_2 was taken as the average (24.8 cal./mole/deg. at 298.2°K .) of those of Hg_2Cl_2 and Hg_2I_2 given in National Bureau of Standards Circular 500. The heat capacity of TiBr_3 (also 24.8 cal./mole/deg. at 298.2°K .) was estimated by adding 0.8 cal./deg./gram-atom to the heat capacity of TiCl_3 obtained by averaging the heat capacities given for VCl_3 and AlCl_3 . The heat capacity of mercury is also given in Circular 500. That of $\text{TiBr}_4(\text{g})$ was obtained by calculation from spectroscopic data as discussed previously**. The resulting value of $\Delta C_p = -6.5$ was taken to be constant over the temperature range of the measurements.

Owing to the uncertainty in the zero setting during Run A, together with the fact that the charge in the cell became depleted at higher temperatures, the values obtained in that run are shown only for purposes of indicating a rough check on the measurements of Run B. Treatment of the data from Run B gives, for Equation (1),

*Calculations based on the data given by Brewer (National Nuclear Energy Series, Vol. IV-19B, McGraw-Hill, 1950) indicate a HgBr_2 pressure, for example, of 0.13 mm. at 225°C .

**Status Report No. 3, October 1, 1954, p. 10.

TABLE 1. TOTAL PRESSURE OVER $\text{Hg}(\ell)$ AND $\text{Hg}_2\text{Br}_2(\text{s})$

Temperature, °C.	$P_{\text{total}}^{(a)}$ mm. of Hg	$P_{\text{Hg(g)}}^{(b)}$ mm. of Hg	$P_{\text{total}} - P_{\text{Hg}}$ mm. of Hg	$\frac{\Delta p}{p}$, %
236.5	52.3	51.6	0.7	1.35
238.1	54.4	54.0	0.5	0.93
246.2	67.3	67.3	0.0	0.0
254.5	83.9	83.7	0.2	0.24
257.9	91.7	91.2	0.5	0.55
274.1	137.1	136.4	0.7	0.52

(a) Observed pressure corrected for latitude, and for thermal expansion of mercury in the cell and in the manometer.

(b) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 35th Edition, Chemical Rubber Publishing Company (1953-54), page 2147.

TABLE 2. EQUILIBRIUM TiBr_4 PRESSURE IN MERCURY
REDUCTION REACTION

Run(a)	Temperature, °K.	PTiBr_4 , mm. of Hg	Σ	-I
A	449.3	6.4	-	-
A	443.4	4.3	-	-
A	430.5	1.7	-	-
A	472.3	21.7	-	-
A	466.0	16.9	-	-
A	463.3	14.0	-	-
A	446.8	5.6	-	-
B	462.7	12.0	6.9166	91.4126
B	475.7	25.0	7.2745	91.4170
B	492.2	60.8	7.7089	91.4552
B	459.4	10.0	6.8272	91.4332
B	449.7	5.6	6.5450	91.4408
B	491.0	56.5	7.6738	91.4318
B	510.7	139.8	8.1253	91.3170
B	444.1	3.7	6.3474	91.3120
			Avg. = $\overline{91.4024}$ ± 0.052	

(a) Data listed in order taken.




FIGURE 2. EQUILIBRIUM TiBr_4 PRESSURE IN MERCURY REDUCTION REACTION

$$\log p_{\text{atm.}} = -6044.9/T - 3.271 \log T + 19.979$$

$$\Delta H_f^\circ = 27.66 \pm 0.21 \text{ kcal./mol}$$


$$\Delta H_{298.2} = 25.72 \pm 0.21 \text{ kcal./mol}$$

$$\Delta S_{298.2} = 47.86 \text{ e.u.}$$


Since entropy values can be estimated with much greater certainty than can heats of reaction, comparison of the entropy change obtained in the above work with that calculated from the best available information gives a good indication of the reliability of the experimental data. In calculating the entropy change for the reaction given by Equation (1), the values at 298.2°K. for Hg (l) = 18.5 e.u. and 1/2 Hg₂Br₂(s) = 25.45 e.u. were taken from Circular 500. The value for TiBr₄(g) = 95.02 e.u. was calculated for spectroscopic data*, and that for TiBr₃(s) = 43 was taken from Brewer**. The difference between the experimental value, ΔS_{298.2} = 47.86 e.u., and the calculated value, 45.07, is well within the ± 7 e.u. uncertainty in the estimated entropy of TiBr₃.

The internal consistency of the data is indicated by the small deviation in the I values given in Table 2.


The above results may be combined with the heat of formation of Hg₂Br₂ (-49.42 kcal./mol.) and the heats of vaporization and fusion of TiBr₄ as determined at Battelle (13.02, and 3.06 kcal./mol., respectively, at 298.2***) to yield, for the reaction



E. J. Prosen and his associates at the National Bureau of Standards have recently obtained**** for



This may be combined with the above data to give the heat of formation of TiBr₃(s):



These results must be considered to be tentative, since additional measurements will be required to establish their precision. However, they are presented here with the thought that they may be useful to others.

*Status Report No. 3, October 1, 1954, p. 10.

**Brewer, loc. cit.

***Status Report No. 3, October 1, 1954, p. 10.

****Wagman, D. D., private communication, July 25, 1955.

FUTURE WORK

Additional data will be obtained for the equilibrium TiBr_4 pressure in the mercury reduction reaction.

The equipment used to determine the disproportionation pressure of TiBr_3 will be modified to obtain more reliable pressure-temperature-composition data.

Original data are contained in Battelle Laboratory Record Book No. 10105, pp. 51-75.

JMB:NDV:EHH:IEC/mm