

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD127331
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to DoD only; Test and Evaluation; MAR 1957. Other requests shall be referred to David Taylor Model Basin, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.
AUTHORITY
NASC ltr dtd 6 Apr 1977

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
AD127331	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	confidential
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO:	Distribution authorized to DoD only; Test and Evaluation; MAR 1957. Other requests shall be referred to David Taylor Model Basin, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.
FROM:	Controlling DoD Organization: David Taylor Model Basin, Washington, DC.
AUTHORITY	
31 Mar 1969, DoDD 5200.10; Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

BEST

AD-127331

AVAILABLE

NOTICE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 and 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

COPY

CONFIDENTIAL

AERO REPORT 914

AD-127331
ASIA FILE COPY

NAVY DEPARTMENT
THE DAVID W. TAYLOR MODEL BASIN
AERODYNAMICS LABORATORY

WASHINGTON 7, D.C.

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF THE DRAG OF A PROPOSED
BOUNDARY-LAYER-CONTROLLED AIRSHIP
(Title Unclassified)

by

Peter A. Corrado

FC
BMC

TRD No. TRB AD-3198

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Section 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

Reproduction of this document in any form or for any purpose other than official Navy or the Chief of Naval Operations is prohibited.

2

APR 23 1957

MA-57-A A

20431

OF

CONFIDENTIAL

AD-127331

Releasable to military agents and their associates

CONFIDENTIAL

Symbols

A	wake area
C_{D_w}	wake drag coefficient
$C_{D_w'}$	wake point drag coefficient
C_{D_s}	suction pump drag coefficient
C_{D_t}	total drag coefficient
C_p	pressure coefficient
x	distance between aft end of main body of BLC airship and tail cone, shroud, or annular airfoil
L	body length
M	stream Mach number
m	suction mass flow
p	static pressure
P_t	total head pressure
R	Reynolds number ($U_1 L / \nu$)
r	body radius
U	velocity
u	velocity parallel to surface in the boundary layer
V	airship volume
W_s	power supplied by suction pump
x	axial station measured from nose
γ	ratio of specific heats (1.4)
δ	boundary-layer thickness
δ^*	boundary-layer displacement thickness

57AA

20431

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Symbols (Concluded)

ρ	density
ξ	coordinate normal to and measured outward from the body surface
η	angle between the tangent to the body surface and the body axis
θ	boundary-layer momentum thickness
ν	kinematic viscosity

Subscripts

l	refers to free-stream conditions
w	refers to conditions at the wake station
b	refers to conditions at surface of body
δ	refers to conditions at outer edge of boundary layer

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SYMBOLS	Preface
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	1
DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS	2
MODELS AND TESTS	5
REDUCTION OF DATA	7
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	10
REFERENCE	14
TABLES	
Table 1 - Coordinates and Orifice Locations for XZS2G-1 Airship	15
Table 2 - Coordinates and Orifice Locations for BLC Airship	16
Table 3 - Sketches of Test Configurations	17
Table 4 - Summary of Test Data	18-21
ILLUSTRATIONS	
Figure 1 - Photographs of XZS2G-1 and BLC Airships in the Wind Tunnel	22
Figure 2 - Photograph of BLC Airship Before and After Development of Sublimation Coating	23
Figure 3 - Sketch of BLC Airship and Forward Boundary-Layer Rake	24
Figure 4 - Variation of Drag Coefficient With Reynolds Number, XZS2G-1 and Basic BLC Airship	25
Figure 5 - Variation of Drag Coefficient With Reynolds Number, BLC Airship With Suction	26-29

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded)

	Page
ILLUSTRATIONS (Concluded)	
Figure 6 - Variation of Drag Coefficient With Reynolds Number, BLC Airship With Annular Airfoil	30
Figure 7 - Variation of Drag Coefficient With Reynolds Number for Various Test Configurations	31
Figure 8 - Pressure Distribution for XZS2G-1	32,33
Figure 9 - Pressure Distribution for Basic BLC Airship	34
Figure 10 - Pressure Distribution for BLC Airship Without Shroud	35-45
Figure 11 - Pressure Distribution for BLC Airship With Shroud	46-55
Figure 12 - Pressure Distribution for BLC Airship With Annular Airfoil Attached	56-59
Figure 13 - Boundary-Layer Velocity Profile for Basic BLC Airship	60
Figure 14 - Boundary-Layer Velocity Profile for BLC Airship Without Shroud	61-71
Figure 15 - Boundary-Layer Velocity Profile for BLC Airship With Shroud	72-81
Figure 16 - Boundary-Layer Velocity Profile for BLC Airship With Annular Airfoil	82-85

AERODYNAMICS LABORATORY
DAVID TAYLOR MODEL BASIN
UNITED STATES NAVY
WASHINGTON, D. C.

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF THE DRAG OF A PROPOSED
BOUNDARY-LAYER-CONTROLLED AIRSHIP

by

Peter A. Cerreta

SUMMARY

An investigation of the drag of a proposed boundary-layer-controlled airship has been made in the 7- by 10-Foot Transonic Wind Tunnel at the David Taylor Model Basin. The tests covered a Reynolds number range of 4,000,000 to 12,000,000. Included in the investigation was a limited number of tests of an XZS2G-1 airship.

Two means of boundary-layer control were tested. Investigations were made of an annular airfoil and an annular suction slot, both located at approximately the 8th-percent station.

The drag of the BLC airship is compared with that of the XZS2G-1 airship. The drag of the annular airfoil configuration is higher than that of the XZS2G-1. For the suction configuration, results indicate that a saving in drag of approximately 20 percent over that of the XZS2G-1 could be realized.

INTRODUCTION

The Goodyear Aircraft Company has proposed a design for an airship using boundary-layer control on the aft portion of the airship. Although several other advantageous features are attributed by the designer to this airship, the tests reported

CONFIDENTIAL

herein are primarily to provide experimental verification of the theoretically anticipated reduction in drag due to boundary-layer control.

Two alternative methods of boundary-layer control are presented in the proposed design. The first employs the technique of internal suction applied to the boundary layer through a circumferential gap located on the aft portion of the airship where boundary-layer separation for this particular configuration is normally expected. In the second method the suction gap is replaced by a small annular airfoil which encircles the airship. The anticipated result in either case is a significant reduction in drag due to the elimination or delay of flow separation.

In Reference 1, wind-tunnel tests were requested and authorized for a 0.0144-scale model of the Goodyear boundary-layer-control airship to determine its drag characteristics. Drag measurements of a model of the XZS2G-1 airship to serve as a standard of comparison were also requested in Reference 1. These tests were conducted in the TMB 7- by 10-Foot Transonic Wind Tunnel during July, August, and September of 1956.

DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS

The investigation was conducted in the TMB 7- by 10-Foot Transonic Wind Tunnel. The tunnel has a slotted throat and, at the time of testing, was capable of speeds up to a Mach number of 0.8. The Mach number variation in the test region was ± 0.005 . The free-stream turbulence level as measured with a hot-wire anemometer varied from 0.01 percent at $M = 0.1$ to 0.04 percent at $M = 0.4$. Measurements indicated that the flow angularity in the test section was within $\pm 0.1^\circ$ in both the pitch and yaw direction.

Drag values were determined from a survey of the momentum deficit in the wake. A wake rake was located approximately 3 feet downstream of the model and was used to measure the total-head deficit and static pressure in the wake. The general arrangement of the wake rake is shown in Figures 1a and 1b. The vertical and horizontal arms of the rake contained 61 and 106 total-head tubes, respectively. Wake static pressures were measured at seven stations along the horizontal arm. It was possible to adjust both the horizontal and vertical position of the rake during a run. By observing the wake total-head distribution on the manometer boards, it was possible to position the center of the rake on the center of the wake. This procedure was followed for each run.

Because of the limited number of manometer tubes, it was not possible to use all the tubes in the rake simultaneously. For this reason only 31 tubes in the vertical arm were used. The 106 tubes in the horizontal arm of the rake were, in reality, four rakes consolidated into one arm. By using a switching arrangement it was possible to select the proper rake for the size of wake to be measured. By this method it was possible to obtain a minimum of 12 total-head readings in the wake for wake radii varying from 3.5 inches to 20 inches. All wake static tubes were used.

A calibrated Venturi meter was used to determine the suction flow rate. By measuring the total and static pressure in the throat of the venturi and the temperature of the stream, the suction flow rate could be determined from the calibration curves.

Transition was fixed at the 10-percent station on both models by a double row of staggered, discrete, conical

turbulence-stimulating elements, which are clearly visible in the photograph of Figure 2b. A sublimation technique was used to check the effectiveness of the turbulence stimulators. The sublimating solution was a mixture of acenaphthene and acetone. The solution was dry-sprayed onto the model and the coating was brushed lightly to remove any large particles which might have accumulated during spraying. Figure 2a shows the BLC airship after coating. During a run, the coating sublimates in the turbulent region earlier than in the laminar region. Figure 2b is a photograph of the coating after a run was completed. The technique showed that transition was occurring, as desired, at the 10-percent station. Boundary-layer-turbulence stimulation was used for all tests except for one run to determine the pressure distribution of the XZS2G-1.

Both airship models were supported from the wall of the tunnel by a strut. The XZS2G-1 mounted on this strut is shown in Figure 1a. The strut, which was constructed with a symmetrical low-speed airfoil section, was hollow in order to provide a passageway for air removed from the boundary layer by suction. For configurations with no suction, the passageway was closed off to prevent undesired back-flow through it.

A visual observation of the flow direction over the complete model and the model-strut junction was made by the standard tuft method. Tufts showed no excessive change of flow direction on the model in the vicinity of the strut. The method did indicate, however, that the flow separated several inches upstream of the suction slot when no suction was applied to the model. When sufficient suction was applied to the model the flow was attached well aft of the suction gap.

MODELS AND TESTS

Tests were performed on two models, the XZS2G-1 and the BLC airship. Reynolds numbers for these tests, based on a body length of 58.8 inches, varied from 4,000,000 to 12,000,000.

A model of the XZS2G-1 was constructed and tested to provide a basis of comparison for the drag of the proposed BLC airship. The model was constructed in three sections - nose, center, and tail. The nose and tail sections were made of spun aluminum. The center section was constructed with a fiber glass skin attached to aluminum bracing. The model was supported from the center section by a strut. A photograph of the model installed in the tunnel is given in Figure 1a. Pressure distribution over the model was measured at 43 stations along a generatrix in the horizontal plane of the support but diametrically opposite. Table 1 gives the coordinates of the model for stations corresponding to the location of the static pressure orifices.

Tests of the XZS2G-1 were made for both natural and tripped boundary layers. For both conditions the pressure distributions over the body were recorded and in the case of the tripped boundary layer the velocity distribution in the wake was recorded.

The BLC airship was constructed in two main sections - body and tail cone. The body was constructed in three sub-sections - nose, center, and aft portions. The tail cone was attached to the body by means of three uniformly spaced 3/8-inch rods. These rods were actuated by a drive mechanism housed in the body section so that the axial position of the tail cone could be varied with respect to the body. In this manner a suction gap of adjustable width was formed between the body and the tail cone.

In addition, the geometry of the suction gap was also altered by attaching a shroud to the forward end of the adjustable tail cone. This shroud formed a lip which extended the contour of the tail cone in the upstream direction.

When testing the annular airfoil, an alternate tail cone was used in the gap-closed position forming the basic BLC airship configuration. This tail cone permitted the actuating rods to extend through it. Thus, with the airfoil supported by the rods, it was possible to vary the axial position of the airfoil by means of the same actuating mechanism previously used to vary the tail cone position.

The ducting for the suction system was internally housed in the body section and passed through the supporting strut to the exterior of the test section where it was connected to the piping system associated with a conventional suction pump. The air withdrawn from the tunnel flow in this way was eventually discharged into the atmosphere.

The aft subsection of the body section was easily removable to provide access to the suction ducting and drive mechanism which are housed within the body.

As in the case of the XZS2G-1, the basic BLC airship model is equipped with 43 static-pressure orifices distributed along the generatrix in the horizontal plane opposite the supporting strut. A sketch of the basic BLC airship configuration and the coordinates associated with each orifice location are given in Table 2.

Besides the basic BLC configuration, a total of three other major configurations were tested - the tail cone suction gap with and without shroud and the annular airfoil. These three additional configurations are sketched, in part, in Table 3. Also shown for each configuration is a list of the

positions of the appropriate components at which experimental data were obtained. The Reynolds number was also varied during the test of each configuration and, for the two cases of boundary-layer suction, the suction conditions, in addition, were systematically varied.

The BLC airship was also instrumented for boundary-layer measurements. Two boundary-layer rakes were mounted on the airship, one upstream and one downstream of the suction slot. The upstream rake was used in all runs of the BLC airship. A similar rake was located on the suction tail cone. In the basic and annular airfoil tests two rakes were installed on the tail cone. These rakes were spaced so that one rake was located at the leading edge and one at the trailing edge of the airfoil. Figure 2a shows the upstream rake and two tail-cone rakes installed on the basic configuration.

All runs of the BLC airship were made with the boundary layer tripped. For each run, body static-pressure distributions, boundary-layer profiles, and velocity distributions in the wake were determined. For runs with suction, sufficient data were taken to calculate the suction flow rate.

REDUCTION OF DATA

The equations used in reducing the data, together with a brief description of the underlying theoretical considerations and assumptions, are given below.

PRESSURE COEFFICIENT

The pressure coefficient, C_p , was calculated from measurements of body static pressure, p_b , and free-stream static pressure, p_1 , in accordance with the following relation:

$$C_p = \frac{p_b - p_1}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_1 U_1^2} = \frac{p_b - p_1}{\frac{\gamma}{2} p_1 M_1^2}$$

DRAG COEFFICIENTS

The wake drag coefficient, C_{D_w} , was determined from a simplified form of the integral of the momentum loss over the wake. The method, essentially, consists of an integration of the wake point drag coefficient, C'_{D_w} , over the wake area, A_w ; i.e.,

$$C_{D_w} = \frac{D_w}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_1 U_1^2 \sqrt{z/s}} = \frac{1}{V^2/s} \int_{A_w} C'_{D_w} dA_w$$

where,

$$C'_{D_w} = 2 \frac{\rho_w}{\rho_1} \frac{U_w}{U_1} \left(1 - \frac{U_w}{U_1} \right)$$

$$= 2 \left(\frac{p_{t_w} - p_w}{p_{t_1} - p_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p_w}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}} \left[1 + 0.625 \left(\frac{p_{t_1}}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{2(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}} - 0.625 \left(\frac{p_{t_w}}{p_w} \right)^{\frac{2(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{p_{t_w}}{p_{t_1}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma}} - \left(\frac{p_{t_w} - p_1}{p_{t_1} - p_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] 1 + 0.625 \left(\frac{p_{t_1}}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{2(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}} - 0.625 \left(\frac{p_{t_w}}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{2(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right|$$

The suction pump drag coefficient, C_D , was determined from energy balance considerations in which the pump work required to restore that portion of the boundary layer that was withdrawn by the suction system to free-stream total head is converted to an equivalent drag. The assumptions made in deriving the relation for the suction pump drag coefficient which is given on the following page are:

(1) That the flow in the boundary layer is adiabatically related to the flow in the free stream, and

(2) That the pump isentropically restores the mass removed from the boundary layer by the suction system to its free-stream total-head condition.

$$C_{D_s} = \frac{D_s}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_1 U_1^2 V^2 / s} = \frac{W_s}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_1 U_1^2 V^2 / s}$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{V^2 / s} \left[\frac{2}{(\gamma-1)M_1^2} + 1 \right] \int_0^y \frac{\rho}{\rho_1} \frac{U}{U_1} \left[\left(\frac{p_{t_1}}{p_t} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} - 1 \right] (r + \xi \cos \eta) d\xi$$

The upper limit of integration, y , was determined in each case by integrating the mass flow in the boundary layer from the body surface outward to a point at which the integrated value equaled the value independently recorded by a flow meter as the mass flow withdrawn from the boundary layer by the suction system. Also, in the actual performance of the suction-pump-drag calculations, the flow was considered incompressible, i.e. $\rho/\rho_1 = 1$. For purposes of clarification, it is pointed out that the incremental area element of the suction-pump-drag integral is equal to $2\pi(r + \xi \cos \eta)d\xi$, which, as can be seen in Figure 3, reflects the fact that the integration is over the surface of a truncated cone under axially symmetric conditions. The integration of the suction-pump-drag coefficient was carried out with the body radius, r , equal to 7.44 inches and the angle, η , equal to 27° .

The total drag coefficient, C_{D_t} , is then equal to the sum of the wake-drag coefficient, C_{D_w} , and the suction-pump-drag coefficient, C_{D_s} , i.e.,

$$C_{D_t} = C_{D_w} + C_{D_s}$$

Note that for configurations without suction $C_{D_s} = 0$, and hence $C_{D_t} = C_{D_w}$.

The reference areas used in computing the drag coefficients are the two-thirds power of the volumes of the airships and are equal to 326.9 square inches for the XZS2G-1 and 493.9 square inches for the BLC airship.

BOUNDARY-LAYER CHARACTERISTICS

The displacement thickness, δ^* , and the momentum thickness, θ , were calculated as though the boundary layer were two-dimensional. Hence,

$$\delta^* = \int_0^{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{u}{U_0}\right) d\eta$$

and

$$\theta = \int_0^{\delta} \frac{u}{U_0} \left(1 - \frac{u}{U_0}\right) d\eta$$

It is again pointed out that the flow in the boundary layer is regarded as incompressible for the purposes of the present tests. It is felt that the error introduced by such an assumption is negligible for the conditions of the present tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drag coefficients determined from wake measurements and suction-pump-drag coefficients determined from boundary-layer

measurements are presented in Table 4. Values of boundary-layer displacement and momentum thickness calculated from boundary-layer surveys made upstream of the suction gap are also included in Table 4. The above values are tabulated for each test configuration.

The drag coefficients are presented as functions of Reynolds number in Figures 4 through 6. Drag coefficients for the XZS2G-1 and the basic BLC airship appear in Figure 4. The basic BLC airship has neither suction gap nor annular airfoil but represents essentially the airship envelope with no appendages. The drag coefficient for the basic BLC airship is approximately 50 percent higher than that of the standard airship, i.e. the XZS2G-1.

The variation of drag coefficient with Reynolds number for the BLC airship with varying amounts of suction is given in Figure 5. It can be seen that there is a sharp rise in drag coefficient at a Reynolds number of 10,000,000 in the case where no suction was applied to the model, but where a suction gap existed. At present no explanation for this increase can be given. The rise is present for both the cone and cone-shroud configurations.

At the time that sufficient suction was applied to attach the flow on the model upstream of the suction gap, a significant decrease in drag was realized. The minimum suction rate used in these tests was that just necessary to attach the flow. There is an indication, however, that after initially attaching the flow the suction rate can be slightly reduced while still maintaining attached flow. Nevertheless, for the purposes of the present tests, minimum suction rate refers to the condition required to attach the flow initially.

Data are also presented for two suction values above the minimum. It is evident from Figure 5 that no advantage is gained by applying suction beyond the minimum amount needed for initial flow attachment. As the Reynolds number was increased, the amount of suction required for attachment increased; and, at the higher speeds, the amount of suction available was not sufficient to attach the flow on the tail cone configuration. The Reynolds number range over which the available suction was sufficient to attach the flow was slightly extended, however, by placing a shroud on the tail cone. The shroud also had the effect of reducing the minimum suction required. In this regard, it is of interest to note that for the same gap dimensions (defined in Table 3), the drag of both the tail cone configuration and the tail cone with shroud configuration exhibit the same variation with Reynolds number; i.e., when plotted as a function of Reynolds number, the drag data for both configurations fall on a single curve.

The results of tests with the annular airfoil are presented in Figure 6. Contrary to expectations, the airfoil did not, in general, prevent separation on the airship. It is seen that the drag for this configuration is higher than that of the basic BLC airship. The increased drag can be attributed in part to the additional drag of the airfoil itself.

The results of Figures 4 through 6 are summarized in Figure 7. In this figure an average of the drag curves for the model with suction and the minimum drag obtained for the airfoil configuration are presented. The results indicate that of the two boundary-layer-control methods tested the suction method is far superior and, in fact, is the only one that shows a drag reduction. In particular, the drag of the

BLC airship with suction is at least 20 percent lower than that of the XZS2G-1 throughout the entire Reynolds number range of the tests.

The pressure distributions for each test configuration appear in Figures 8 through 12. Figure 8 presents the pressure distribution data for the XZS2G-1 for natural transition and with transition fixed at the 10-percent body station. The distribution for the basic BLC airship is given in Figure 9. A favorable pressure gradient exists over the first 60 percent of the body. Figures 10 and 11 show the distribution with varying amounts of suction applied to the cone and cone-shroud configurations, respectively. In both cases the application of suction extends the favorable gradient to about the 80-percent station.

The distribution for tests with the annular airfoil are given in Figure 12. The distribution is essentially the same as that of the basic BLC body except that there is less pressure recovery on the aft portion of the airship. This is indicative of a higher form drag, which, combined with the parasite drag of the annular airfoil, accounts for the drag behavior shown in Figure 7.

Boundary-layer measurements were taken upstream of the suction gap station and the velocity profiles derived from them are presented in Figures 13 through 16. The curves presented were calculated from faired data points and, consequently, the symbols shown represent interpolated values rather than actual experimental points.

To obtain these curves the measured total-head distributions were plotted to an enlarged scale, faired, and values from the resultant curves tabulated at intervals of 0.02 inch. The velocity ratio at each of these interpolated points was then

calculated under the assumption that the boundary-layer flow is incompressible. The displacement thickness, momentum thickness, and their ratio were then determined according to the definitions given in the previous section entitled, "Reduction of Data."

As stated previously, rakes were also placed on the suction tail cone and fore and aft of the airfoil. No calculations were made for these rakes since they were located in a separated region both in the case of no suction and for the airfoil configuration. In the case where suction was applied, the boundary layer on the tail cone was too thin to be measured with the rakes that were installed.


Aerodynamics Laboratory
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C.
March 1957

REFERENCE

1. BUAER ltr Aer-AD-312/226 TED No. TMB AD-3198 of 13 Jan 1955.

Table 1

XZS2G-1 Airship Coordinates
for Orifice Locations



Orifice	x in inches	z in inches	Orifice	x in inches	z in inches
1	0	0	23	33.000	6.680
2	1.002	2.125	24	35.002	6.495
3	2.003	2.952	25	37.002	6.280
4	3.003	3.590	26	39.003	6.052
5	4.003	4.096	27	40.996	5.792
6	5.016	4.516	28	42.999	5.483
7	6.010	4.855	29	44.998	5.151
8	7.017	5.163	30	46.492	4.888
9	8.003	5.448	31	47.497	4.691
10	9.001	5.714	32	48.502	4.487
11	10.002	5.948	33	49.500	4.266
12	11.000	6.139	34	50.499	4.038
13	13.007	6.452	35	51.490	3.793
14	15.501	6.705	36	52.503	3.513
15	17.000	6.815	37	53.515	3.218
16	18.994	6.934	38	54.494	2.926
17	21.001	6.991	39	55.486	2.578
18	23.003	7.017	40	56.513	2.151
19	25.006	7.006	41	57.496	1.622
20	26.999	6.961	42	58.495	0.793
21	29.003	6.895	43	58.8	0
22	31.002	6.800			

Table 3
Test Configurations
(L = 58.8 Inches)






Table 4
Summary of Test Configurations and Calculated Results

Model	Airfoil	Shroud	BL	g/L	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ^*	θ	H
XZS2G-1	None	None	Tripped	0	4.65			0.0284	0.0284	--	--	--
					8.57	0	0	0.0262	0.0262	--	--	--
					12.6			0.0267	0.0267	--	--	--
					18.3			0.0239	0.0239	--	--	--
					4.31			0.0403	0.0403	0.2465	0.1425	1.7322
					7.00	0	0	0.0438	0.0438	0.1852	0.1076	1.7211
					9.85			0.0391	0.0391	0.1720	0.1010	1.7029
					12.4			0.0481	0.0481	0.1677	0.0987	1.6990
					4.36	0	0	0.0359	0.0359	0.2022	0.1155	1.7506
					4.61	0.03813	0.0203	0.0040	0.0243	0.1048	0.0696	1.5057
BLC Airship	None	None	Tripped	0.016	4.61	0.04987	0.0230	0.0016	0.0246	0.1022	0.0676	1.5118
					4.71	0.06028	0.0235	0.0008	0.0243	0.0947	0.0627	1.5103
					6.95	0	0	0.0372	0.0372	0.1836	0.1030	1.7825
					6.95	0	0	0.0308	0.0308	0.1770	0.0987	1.7933
					7.15	0.05868	0.0188	0.0032	0.0220	0.0964	0.0651	1.4807
					7.10	0.07623	0.0209	0.0018	0.0227	0.0920	0.0623	1.4767
					7.25	0.08713	0.0219	0.0007	0.0226	0.0883	0.0602	1.4667
					9.9	0	0	0.0438	0.0438	0.1657	0.0974	1.7012
					9.8	0.08208	0.0182	0.0014	0.0196	0.0906	0.0620	1.4612
					10.2	0.08411	0.0180	0.0013	0.0193	0.0883	0.0606	1.4570
					10.1	0.08431	0.0182	0.0014	0.0196	0.0870	0.0595	1.4621
					12.6	0	0	0.0255	0.0255	0.1667	0.0950	1.7547

Table 4 (Continued)


Model.	Airfoil	Shroud	BL	g/L	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ°	θ	H	
BLC Airship	None	None	Tripped	0.012	4.46	0	0	0.0484	0.0484	0.2218	0.1136	1.9524
					4.36	0	0	0.0314	0.0314	0.0314	0.1051	1.5680
					4.36	0.03245	0.0182	0.0042	0.0224	0.1055	0.0698	1.5114
					4.41	0.04419	0.0206	0.0026	0.0232	0.0940	0.0627	1.4992
					4.46	0.05897	0.0231	0.0008	0.0239	0.0922	0.0623	1.4799
					7.10	0	0	0.0350	0.0350	0.2177	0.1079	2.0176
					7.15	0.04936	0.0156	0.0041	0.0197	0.0936	0.0641	1.4602
					7.06	0.06755	0.0192	0.0018	0.0210	0.0850	0.0584	1.4554
					7.01	0.08207	0.0211	0.0013	0.0224	0.0826	0.0570	1.4491
					10.2	0	0	0.0558	0.0558	0.1733	0.0999	1.7407
					10.3	0.07000	0.0191	0.0054	0.0245	0.1157	0.0778	1.4871
					9.95	0.08162	0.0173	0.0032	0.0205	0.0854	0.0566	1.5088
	9.85	--	--	0.0027	--	0.0781	0.0544	1.4356				
	Attached	Tripped	0.012		4.51	0.03095	0.0171	0.0040	0.0211	0.0963	0.0631	1.5261
					4.41	0.04263	0.0214	0.0022	0.0236	0.0929	0.0618	1.5032
					4.36	0.05922	0.0309	0.0007	0.0316	0.0918	0.0620	1.4806
7.00					0.04261	0.0146	0.0052	0.0198	0.0898	0.0605	1.4842	
				6.95	0.06850	0.0193	0.0017	0.0210	0.0840	0.0575	1.4608	
				7.10	0.08487	0.0212	0.0010	0.0222	0.0837	0.0579	1.4455	
				6.90	0.06826	0.0190	0.0014	0.0204	0.0833	0.0568	1.4665	
				10.1	0.06286	0.0147	0.0027	0.0174	0.0854	0.0575	1.4852	
				10.0	0.07410	0.0164	0.0027	0.0191	0.0870	0.0588	1.4795	
				10.0	0.08385	0.0175	0.0049	0.0224	0.0788	0.0543	1.4511	
				11.3	0.06667	0.0144	0.0026	0.0170	0.0826	0.0567	1.4567	
				11.3	0.07998	0.0151	0.0019	0.0180	0.0794	0.0550	1.4436	
				11.2	0.08470	0.0165	0.0019	0.0184	0.0793	0.0547	1.4497	

Table 4 (Continued)

Model	Airfoil	Shroud	BL	g/L	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$ ft	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ^*	θ	H
BLC Airship	None	None	Tripped 0.008		4.56	0	0	0.0325	0.0326	0.1622	0.1042	1.6238
					4.51	0.03891	0.0209	0.0028	0.0237	0.1047	0.0705	1.4851
					4.41	0.05273	0.0229	0.0013	0.0247	0.0947	0.0622	1.5225
					4.51	0.05447	0.0224	0.0009	0.0233	0.0888	0.0609	1.4581
					4.60	0.08263	0.0239	0.0003	0.0242	0.0827	0.0553	1.4954
					7.20	0	0	0.0274	0.0274	0.2104	0.1082	1.9445
					7.15	0.05677	0.0178	0.0030	0.0208	0.0948	0.0624	1.5192
					7.20	0.07791	0.0192	0.0014	0.0206	0.0833	0.0572	1.4552
					7.10	0.07403	0.0193	0.0010	0.0203	0.0802	0.0562	1.4270
					7.10	0.08112	0.0205	0.0009	0.0214	0.0878	0.0584	1.5034
	None	Attached	Tripped 0.008		10.15	0	0	0.0574	0.0574	0.1910	0.1011	1.8892
					10.15	0.07788	0.0169	0.0019	0.0288	0.0848	0.0563	1.5062
					10.0	0.07025	0.0152	0.0020	0.0185	0.0723	0.0553	1.4332
					4.31	0	0	0.0291	0.0291	0.2252	0.1154	1.9582
					4.36	0.08315	0.0259	0.0005	0.0264	0.0833	0.0582	1.4312
					6.85	0	0	0.0291	0.0291	0.2020	0.1112	1.7688
					6.95	0.05558	0.0176	0.0024	0.0200	0.0880	0.0608	1.4473
					7.10	0.07286	0.0198	0.0013	0.0211	0.0846	0.0581	1.4561
					7.05	0.08372	0.0213	0.0008	0.0221	0.0799	0.0558	1.4318
					6.95	0.08493	0.0210	0.0009	0.0219	0.0819	0.0562	1.4572
					9.9	0	0	0.0557	0.0557	0.1766	0.1011	1.7467
					9.8	0.07397	0.0163	0.0027	0.0190	0.0795	0.0550	1.4454
					10.2	0.08011	0.0167	0.0026	0.0193	0.0777	0.0537	1.4469
					10.1	0.08126	0.0172	0.0026	0.0198	0.0791	0.0527	1.4329
					11.3	0	0	0.0500	0.0500	0.1726	0.0989	1.7451
					11.3	0.07974	0.0158	0.0020	0.0178	0.0766	0.0547	1.4369


Table 4 (Concluded)

Model	Airfoil	Shroud	EL	$\frac{g}{L}$	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$ in ft	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ^*	θ	H
BLC Airship	None	None	Tripped	0.004	4.36	0	0	0.0901	0.0901	0.1931	0.1107	1.7443
					4.31	0.04474	0.0215	0.0014	0.0229	0.0906	0.0615	1.4731
					4.31	0.04864	0.0214	0.0019	0.0233	0.0856	0.0582	1.4707
	None	Attached	Tripped	0.004	4.51	0	0	0.0325	0.0325	0.2214	0.1208	1.8327
					4.60	0.05055	0.0219	0.0029	0.0248	0.0903	0.0625	1.4448
					4.55	0.06346	0.0242	0.0024	0.0266	0.0904	0.0603	1.4991
					4.55	0.06565	0.0229	0.0005	0.0234	0.0827	0.0572	1.4458
					6.90	0	0	0.0236	0.0286	0.1941	0.1048	1.8520
					7.05	0.05878	0.0189	0.0033	0.0222	0.0854	0.0584	1.4623
					6.95	0.07825	0.0205	0.0035	0.0240	0.0805	0.0558	1.4426
					7.15	0	0	0.0288	0.0288	0.2047	0.1074	1.9059
	Attached	None	Tripped	0.007	4.36	0	0	0.0465	0.0465	0.1726	0.1025	1.6904
					7.01	0	0	0.0472	0.0472	0.1605	0.0970	1.6546
					9.75	0	0	0.0503	0.0503	0.1446	0.0888	1.6283
					12.82	0	0	0.0472	0.0472	0.1463	0.0879	1.6643
					4.56	0	0	0.0485	0.0485	0.1769	0.1013	1.7462
					6.76	0	0	0.0554	0.0554	0.1567	0.0961	1.6305
					9.80	0	0	0.0633	0.0633	0.1546	0.0912	1.6951
	Attached	None	Tripped	0.013	12.82	0	0	0.0580	0.0580	0.1436	0.0878	1.6355
					4.41	0	0	0.0567	0.0567	0.1688	0.1000	1.6880
					6.95	0	0	0.0587	0.0587	0.1607	0.0970	1.6567
					9.75	0	0	0.0755	0.0755	0.1494	0.0906	1.6490
	Attached	None	Tripped	0.022	12.69	0	0	0.0623	0.0623	0.1468	0.0905	1.6220
					4.56	0	0	0.0607	0.0607	0.1770	0.1066	1.6604
					7.01	0	0	0.0622	0.0622	0.1589	0.0955	1.6638
					9.80	0	0	0.0557	0.0557	0.1648	0.0949	1.7365
					12.69	0	0	0.0639	0.0639	0.1492	0.0896	1.6651



PSD-200,030

(a) XZS2G-1 Airship Model



PSD-200,031

(b) Boundary-Layer-Control Airship

Figure 1 - Installation of Airship Models in 7- by 10-Foot
Transonic Wind Tunnel

PSD-200,032

16 July 1956
CONFIDENTIAL



PSD-200,033

(a) Transition Coating Before Development



PSD-200,034

(b) Transition Coating After Development

Figure 2 - Boundary-Layer Transition Indication

PSD-200,035

18 July 1956

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 3 - Sketch of ELC Airship Showing Location of Forward Boundary-Layer Rake

CONFIDENTIAL

PRO 9/14




Figure 4 - Variation of Drag Coefficient With Reynolds Number for XZS2G-1 and Basic BLC Airship Configuration

PAC 25 Mar 57

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 4

TRJ 9/14

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 5. Variation of Drag Coefficient with Axial Distance for BLC Nozzle with and without Suction

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 5

PAC 25 Nov 57

914

008

0.07

Note: Flipped symbols indicate
chord configuration

0.06

0.05

0.04

0.04 C_D

0.03

BLC With Suction

BLC Without Suction

0.02

Suction Drag

0.01

0

Water Drag

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

$R \times 10^{-6}$

Figure 57

PAC 25 Nov 57

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 57

11/16 9/4

-28-
CONFIDENTIAL

Note: Flagged symbols indicate
shroud configuration




Figure 3 (Continued)

REF 9/4

-29-
CONFIDENTIAL

0.005 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.10

0.07

Note: Flagged symbols indicate
shroud configuration

0.06

0.05

C_D 0.04

0.03 C_D




Figure 5 (continued)

C_D at $\alpha = 0.004$

CONFIDENTIAL

PAC 26 Mar 57

FIGURE 5

2/4

CONFIDENTIAL

◆




Figure 6- Variation of Drag Coefficient With Angle of Attack for BLC Airship With Annular Airfoil

480 9/4

CONFIDENTIAL
1000

0.05

0.07

0.06

0.06

0.05

0.05

C_D 0.04

0.04 C_D

0.03

0.03

0.02

0.02

0.01

0.01

0

0

BLC With Airtail

XZS28-1

Section Drag

BLC With Suction

1/2 Main Drag

X_{10}

Figure 7 - Variation of Drag Coefficient With Reynolds Number for Various R-28 Configurations

24C 25 Mar 57

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL


FIGURE 6

CONFIDENTIAL

885/441-27

Figure 6 - Pressure Distribution at the X-326-1 Airship

a. Natural Transition



885/441-27





FIGURE 6

CONFIDENTIAL

APR 1963



APR 27 1963

CONFIDENTIAL

AERO 9/4

Figure 10 (Continued)
(c) $q_{11} = 0.016$, $R = 10 \times 10^6$

0.10 0.09 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0





FIGURE 10

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

(d) $g/l = 0.216, R = 12.6 \times 10^3$

Figure 10 (continued)



SECRET

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

上

9/4

[illegible]

ENCL 18 (continued)

$$2.61 \times 10^4 \times 8 \times 10^3 = 170 \times 10^7$$


0.1	6.0	8.0	1.0	0.6	0.5	1/2	0.0	5.0	2.0	1.0
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FIGURE 10

CONFIDENTIAL

065 27504 07

FIGURE 10 (CONTINUED)
 $H_{1/2} = 0.012, E \approx 1.4 \times 10^6$



4.6

FIGURE 10

CONFIDENTIAL

001/10/57

Figure 10 (Continued)
(g) $0/L = 0.012$, $R \approx 10 \times 10^6$

CONFIDENTIAL




FIGURE 10

416 0MBR

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----

895 29 Feb 1-7

1712

三





FIGURE 10.1

CONFIDENTIAL

ESS 28F-037



CONFIDENTIAL

W/E

ENR 10 (10/10/10)
 $R = 0.006, R = 7 \times 10^{-4}$

CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 10 (continued)
 $(1) \rho H = 0.001, R = 10 \times 10^3$





FIGURE 12/4

CONFIDENTIAL

15 AUG 9/4

FIGURE 10 (Continued)
 $R/L = 0.514, R = 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$




CONFIDENTIAL

15 AUG 9/4

716

CONFIDENTIAL
-18-

Figure 11 - Pressure Distribution on the ELC Airship with $M=0.9$

$$0.14 \times 10^{-3} \times 10^3 = 0.14$$


TABLE


97-1111

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY

82376715

新編


Figure 11 (Continued)

$$\log H \approx 0.12, R \approx 7 \times 10^6$$


- 48 -

Continued

FIGURE 1




CONFIDENTIAL

806 27 51

161

15


$$(d) \frac{g}{1} = 0.912, R = 11.5, 10.6$$

左伝

07/24/93

WEDNESDAY

RES 27/44's





FIGURE 11A

CONFIDENTIAL


865 28 Feb 57



CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 11

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 11.1 included

$\log L = 0.04 \times N - 7.1 \times 10^{-2}$

X/Y

1.0
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0

11.1

FIGURE 11.1

CONFIDENTIAL

11.1





Figure 12- Pressure Distribution on the F/C Airship With Annular Airfoil Attached

CONFIDENTIAL

AERO 9/4

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0

$W/R = 4.5 \times 10^6$



CONFIDENTIAL

AERO 9/4

FIGURE 12 (Continued)

(b) $R = 7 \times 10^6$

1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
-0.2
-0.4
-0.6
-0.8
-1.0

911
 $R \times 10^6$
0.003
0.013
0.016
0.022
7.01
6.76
6.93
7.01

FIGURE 12


CONFIDENTIAL

AERO 9/4

CONFIDENTIAL

APR 7/14

FIGURE 12 (CONTINUED)
 $(\alpha \approx 9.0 \times 10^{-5})$



CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

APR 7/14

ABRO 914

CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 12 (Continued)
(d) $B = 12.7 \times 10^6$

9H
 8×10^6
12.02
12.52
12.62
12.69
12.72
0.007
0.013
0.016
0.022

1.0
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0

1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
-0.2
-0.4
-0.6
-0.8
-1.0

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMO 574

CONFIDENTIAL

RTD

4.31
4.21
7.09
3.65
2.40

□
○
△
◇

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

Figure 1 - Anomalous - Low Velocity Profile in the Sea of Japan

943 07 Mar 57

CONFIDENTIAL

AERO 944

61-
CONFIDENTIAL

$\frac{m}{n}$
 $\frac{11.5 \times 10^{-4}}{1.0}$

$\frac{1}{2}$
0.333
0.250
0.0625

2.24
4.61
4.61
7.71

Figure 14 - Boundary Layer Velocity Profile on the ALC Aircraft Without Sprawl

(a) $\frac{dU}{dy} = 0.016$, $A \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$


CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 14

083/00057

VER 04

CONFIDENTIAL



VER 04

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 14 (Continued)

(1) $gH = 0.016$, $R = 1.26 \times 10^4$

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 14 d

AFRC 914

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 14 (Continued)

2011-2012, 2013-2014


0437001.7

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

26 JAN 1964

89



5-11-60) 11-2-60


2015年10月10日

CONCLUSIONS

97-14701

卷之九

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

246 9/12




Figure 14 (cont'd)

$$21 \times 101 = 2121$$

CONFIDENTIAL

五

1/0.13

CONFIDENTIAL

12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
-1
-2
-3
-4
-5
-6
-7
-8
-9
-10
-11
-12

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1/5

1/6

Figure 12 (Continued)

At $24 = 0.002$ $A = 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$

ARS 6100 31

CONFIDENTIAL

188 94

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 15 - Velocity Profile and the A/C Discharge With 5 Hz

188 94

CONFIDENTIAL

7/5

CONFIDENTIAL
-13-




Figure 15 (Continued)

$$|A| \approx 10^{-12}, P \approx 10^{-10}$$

EXHIBIT

25-619C-37

FIGURE 5b

7/6

100

10

20

30

40

50

60

70

80

90

100

100

200

300

400

500

600

700

800

900

1000

Figure 15 (Continued)

$\phi_{eff} = 0.017$, $P = 10 \times 10^6$


RR: 8.4.5.77

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 15C

912

—



Signature (Continued)

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha = 30^\circ$$


APSL 20157


THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

பயிற்சி

REPORT

Confidentiality





15-59/1

FIGURE 157

Figure 15 (Continued)

$$C_p \cdot dL = 0.003, R = 7 \times 10^6$$

CONCENTRATION

15-59/1

REF 014

CONFIDENTIAL

10
10
K-34, ff
99
9
102
101

Figure 15 (Continued)

$Q_{ball} = 0.002, R = 10 \times 10^{-6}$

CONFIDENTIAL

1985 5/14-37

FIGURE 15

AFRO 9/4

CONFIDENTIAL

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

6.0

7.0

8.0

9.0

10.0

11.0

12.0

13.0

14.0

15.0

16.0

17.0

18.0

19.0

20.0

21.0

22.0

23.0

24.0

25.0

26.0

27.0

28.0

29.0

30.0

31.0

32.0

33.0

34.0

35.0

36.0

37.0

38.0

39.0

40.0

41.0

42.0

43.0

44.0

45.0

46.0

47.0

48.0

49.0

50.0

51.0

52.0

53.0

54.0

55.0

56.0

57.0

58.0

59.0

60.0

61.0

62.0

63.0

64.0

65.0

66.0

67.0

68.0

69.0

70.0

71.0

72.0

73.0

74.0

75.0

76.0

77.0

78.0

79.0

80.0

81.0

82.0

83.0

84.0

85.0

86.0

87.0

88.0

89.0

90.0

91.0

92.0

93.0

94.0

95.0

96.0

97.0

98.0

99.0

100.0

101.0

102.0

103.0

104.0

105.0

106.0

107.0

108.0

109.0

110.0

111.0

112.0

113.0

114.0

115.0

116.0

117.0

118.0

119.0

120.0

121.0

122.0

123.0

124.0

125.0

126.0

127.0

128.0

129.0

130.0

131.0

132.0

133.0

134.0

135.0

136.0

137.0

138.0

139.0

140.0

141.0

142.0

143.0

144.0

145.0

146.0

147.0

148.0

149.0

150.0

151.0

152.0

153.0

154.0

155.0

156.0

157.0

158.0

159.0

160.0

161.0

162.0

163.0

164.0

165.0

166.0

167.0

168.0

169.0

170.0

171.0

172.0

173.0

174.0

175.0

176.0

177.0

178.0

179.0

180.0

181.0

182.0

183.0

184.0

185.0

186.0

187.0

188.0

189.0

190.0

191.0

192.0

193.0

194.0

195.0

196.0

197.0

198.0

199.0

200.0

201.0

202.0

203.0

204.0

205.0

206.0

207.0

208.0

209.0

210.0

211.0

212.0

213.0

214.0

215.0

216.0

217.0

218.0

219.0

220.0

221.0

222.0

223.0

224.0

225.0

226.0

227.0

228.0

229.0

230.0

231.0

232.0

233.0

234.0

235.0

236.0

237.0

238.0

239.0

240.0

241.0

242.0

243.0

244.0

245.0

246.0

247.0

248.0

249.0

250.0

251.0

252.0

253.0

254.0

255.0

256.0

257.0

258.0

259.0

260.0

261.0

262.0

263.0

264.0

265.0

266.0

267.0

268.0

269.0

270.0

271.0

272.0

273.0

274.0

275.0

276.0

277.0

278.0

279.0

280.0

281.0

282.0

283.0

284.0

285.0

286.0

287.0

288.0

289.0

290.0

291.0

292.0

293.0

294.0

295.0

296.0

297.0

298.0

299.0

300.0

301.0

302.0

303.0

304.0

305.0

306.0

307.0

308.0

309.0

310.0

311.0

312.0

313.0

314.0

315.0

316.0

317.0

318.0

319.0

320.0

321.0


322.0

323.0

324.0

4587 214

-50-



57

2000年12月

AERO 9/4

CONFIDENTIAL

7.1

6.2

5.4

4.5

3.7

2.9

1.0

0

0

0

0

0

10-12-13

0

10.5

7.5

7.0

6.5

7.0

6.0

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

0

0

0

Special 7 Confidential

Flight Data 2-7-1965

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 57

AERO 04

CONFIDENTIAL

μ $R \times 10^{-4}$
 $\square$ 0.002 4.36
 $\circ$ 0.013 4.36
 $\triangle$ 0.016 4.41
 $\diamond$ 0.027 4.56

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

y/δ

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

0

1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

Figure 14 - Boundary Layer Velocity Profile with Annular Airfoil

$R \times 10^{-4} = 4.36$

CONFIDENTIAL

965 104 37

SEPH 044

1-56-



all

7.01

67

25

2

१

0

市

—

Figure 11 (continued)

...
...
...
...
...

42

● 16

AERO 9M

CONFIDENTIAL

34
A.10
0.001
0.002
0.004
0.008

9.75
9.50
9.75
9.50

□
○
△
○

Figure 16 (Continued)

CONFIDENTIAL

005 5 100 37

FIGURE 16

CONFIDENTIAL

REF ID: A66666

1/11/50
1/12/50
1/13/50
1/14/50
1/15/50

Figure 16 (Continued)

1/16/50
1/17/50
1/18/50
1/19/50
1/20/50

CONFIDENTIAL

REF ID: A66666

CONFIDENTIAL

AD 127331

ERRATA

to

AERO REPORT 914

by

Peter A. Cerreta

March 1957

AD-127331

Re-usable to military units of these contractors

Enclosure Bu Aer ltr ser 09668
of

10 JUN 1957

4 3

JUN 14 1957

ENCLOSURE (1)

57AA

23581

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Pen and ink changes:

Page 1, Line 14: Change "20 percent" to "30 percent"

Page 13, Line 1: Change "at least 20 percent" to
"approximately 30 percent"

Substitute the following attached material for the corresponding
pages in the Report:

Table 4,	Pages 18 - 21
Figure 5,	Pages 26 - 29
Figure 7,	Page 31
Figure 8a,	Page 32
Figure 12b,	Page 57
Figure 14b,	Page 62
Figure 14g,	Page 67

571A

28581

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 4
Summary of Test Configurations and Calculated Results

Model	Airfoil Shroud	BL	g/L	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$ ft	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ°	θ	$\frac{\delta^\circ}{\theta}$
XZS2G-1	None	Tripped	0	4.65			0.0284	0.0284	--	--	--
				8.57	0	0	0.0262	0.0262	--	--	--
				12.6			0.0267	0.0267	--	--	--
				18.3			0.0239	0.0239	--	--	--
				4.31			0.0403	0.0403	0.2465	0.1423	1.7322
				7.00	0	0	0.0438	0.0438	0.1852	0.1076	1.7211
				9.55			0.0391	0.0391	0.1720	0.1010	1.7029
				12.4			0.0481	0.0481	0.1677	0.0987	1.6990
				4.36	0	0	0.0359	0.0359	0.2022	0.1155	1.7506
				4.61	0.03813	0.0182	0.0040	0.0222	0.1048	0.0696	1.5057
BLC Airship	None	Tripped	0.016	4.61	0.04987	0.0198	0.0016	0.0214	0.1022	0.0676	1.5118
				4.71	0.06028	0.0195	0.0008	0.0203	0.0947	0.0627	1.5103
				6.95	0	0	0.0372	0.0372	0.1836	0.1030	1.7825
				6.95	0	0	0.0308	0.0308	0.1770	0.0987	1.7933
				7.15	0.05868	0.0168	0.0032	0.0200	0.0964	0.0651	1.4807
				7.10	0.07623	0.0180	0.0018	0.0198	0.0920	0.0623	1.4767
				7.25	0.08713	0.0184	0.0007	0.0191	0.0883	0.0602	1.4667
				9.9	0	0	0.0438	0.0438	0.1657	0.0974	1.7012
				9.8	0.08208	0.0161	0.0014	0.0175	0.0906	0.0620	1.4612
				10.2	0.08411	0.0160	0.0013	0.0173	0.0883	0.0606	1.4570
				10.1	0.08431	0.0161	0.0014	0.0175	0.0870	0.0595	1.4621
				12.6	0	0	0.0255	0.0255	0.1667	0.0950	1.7547

Table 4 (Continued)

Model	Airfoil Shroud	BL	g/L	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{w}{lb-sec}$ ft	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ°	θ	δ° $\bar{\theta}$
BLC Airship	None	Tripped	0.012	4.46	0	0	0.0484	0.0484	0.2218	0.1136	1.9524
				4.36	0	0	0.0314	0.0314	0.1648	0.1051	1.5680
				4.35	0.03249	0.0165	0.0042	0.0207	0.1055	0.0698	1.5114
				4.41	0.04419	0.0181	0.0026	0.0207	0.0940	0.0627	1.4992
				4.45	0.05897	0.0198	0.0008	0.0206	0.0922	0.0623	1.4733
				7.10	0	0	0.0350	0.0350	0.2177	0.1079	2.0176
				7.15	0.04936	0.0142	0.0041	0.0183	0.0936	0.0641	1.4602
				7.06	0.06755	0.0166	0.0018	0.0184	0.0850	0.0584	1.4554
				7.01	0.08207	0.0179	0.0013	0.0192	0.0826	0.0570	1.4491
				10.2	0	0	0.0558	0.0558	0.1739	0.0999	1.7407
				10.3	0.07000	0.0176	0.0054	0.0230	0.1157	0.0778	1.4871
				9.95	0.08162	0.0153	0.0032	0.0185	0.0854	0.0566	1.5088
9.85	--	--	0.0027	--	0.0781	0.0544	1.4356				
Attached	Tripped	0.012	4.51	0.03095	0.0155	0.0040	0.0195	0.0963	0.0631	1.5261	
			4.41	0.04263	0.0178	0.0022	0.0200	0.0929	0.0618	1.5032	
			4.36	0.05922	0.0199	0.0007	0.0206	0.0918	0.0620	1.4806	
			7.00	0.04281	0.0133	0.0052	0.0185	0.0898	0.0605	1.4842	
			6.95	0.06850	0.0165	0.0017	0.0182	0.0840	0.0575	1.4608	
			7.10	0.08487	0.0180	0.0010	0.0190	0.0837	0.0579	1.4455	
			6.90	0.06826	0.0164	0.0014	0.0178	0.0833	0.0568	1.4665	
			10.1	0.06286	0.0132	0.0027	0.0159	0.0854	0.0575	1.4852	
			10.0	0.07410	0.0147	0.0027	0.0174	0.0870	0.0588	1.4795	
			10.0	0.08385	0.0143	0.0049	0.0193	0.0788	0.0543	1.4511	
			11.3	0.06667	0.0131	0.0026	0.0157	0.0826	0.0567	1.4567	
			11.3	0.07998	0.0145	0.0019	0.0164	0.0794	0.0550	1.4436	
11.2	0.08470	0.0147	0.0019	0.0166	0.0793	0.0547	1.4497				

Table 4 (Continued)

Model	Airfoil Shroud	BL	$\frac{g}{L}$	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$ $\frac{in}{ft}$	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ^*	θ	$\frac{\delta^*}{\theta}$
				4.56	0	0	0.0326	0.0326	0.1692	0.1042	1.6238
				4.51	0.03891	0.0179	0.0628	0.0207	0.1047	0.0705	1.4851
				4.41	0.05273	0.0197	0.0018	0.0215	0.0947	0.0622	1.5225
				4.51	0.05447	0.0193	0.0009	0.0202	0.0888	0.0609	1.4581
				4.60	0.08263	0.0191	0.0003	0.0194	0.0827	0.0553	1.4954
				7.20	0	0	0.0274	0.0274	0.2104	0.1082	1.9445
				7.15	0.05577	0.0158	0.0030	0.0188	0.0948	0.0624	1.5192
				7.20	0.07091	0.0166	0.0014	0.0180	0.0833	0.0572	1.4562
				7.10	0.07409	0.0167	0.0010	0.0177	0.0802	0.0562	1.4270
				7.10	0.08112	0.0177	0.0009	0.0186	0.0878	0.0584	1.5034
				10.5	0	0	0.0574	0.0574	0.1910	0.1011	1.8892
				10.15	0.07788	0.0149	0.0019	0.0168	0.0848	0.0563	1.5062
				10.0	0.07826	0.0147	0.0020	0.0167	0.0793	0.0553	1.4339
				4.31	0	0	0.0291	0.0291	0.2252	0.1154	1.9582
				4.36	0.08315	0.0207	0.0005	0.0212	0.0833	0.0582	1.4312
				6.85	0	0	0.0291	0.0291	0.2020	0.1142	1.7688
				6.95	0.05558	0.0157	0.0024	0.0181	0.0880	0.0608	1.4473
				7.10	0.07286	0.0171	0.0013	0.0184	0.0846	0.0581	1.4521
				7.05	0.08372	0.0180	0.0008	0.0188	0.0799	0.0558	1.4318
				6.95	0.08493	0.0177	0.0009	0.0186	0.0819	0.0562	1.4572
				9.9	0	0	0.0557	0.0557	0.1766	0.1011	1.7467
				9.8	0.07397	0.0146	0.0027	0.0173	0.0795	0.0550	1.4454
				10.2	0.08011	0.0147	0.0026	0.0173	0.0777	0.0537	1.4469
				10.1	0.08126	0.0152	0.0026	0.0178	0.0791	0.0552	1.4329
				11.3	0	0	0.0500	0.0500	0.1726	0.0989	1.7451
				11.3	0.07974	0.0142	0.0020	0.0162	0.0786	0.0547	1.4369

None Tripped 0.008

BLC
Airship
None

Attached Tripped 0.008

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 4 (Concluded)

Model	Airfoil Shroud	BL	g/L	$R \times 10^{-6}$	$\frac{m}{lb-sec}$ $\frac{ft}{ft}$	C_{D_s}	C_{D_w}	C_{D_T}	δ°	$\frac{\delta^\circ}{\theta}$	
None	None	Tripped	0.004	4.36	0	0	0.0901	0.0901	0.1931	0.1107	1.7443
				4.31	0.04474	0.0186	0.0014	0.0200	0.0906	0.0615	1.4731
				4.31	0.04864	0.0184	0.0019	0.0203	0.0856	0.0582	1.4707
				4.51	0	0	0.0325	0.0325	0.2214	0.1208	1.8327
				4.60	0.05055	0.0189	0.0029	0.0218	0.0903	0.0625	1.4448
				4.55	0.06346	0.0203	0.0024	0.0227	0.0904	0.0603	1.4991
				4.55	0.06565	0.0190	0.0005	0.0195	0.0827	0.0572	1.4458
				6.90	0	0	0.0286	0.0286	0.1941	0.1048	1.8520
				7.05	0.05878	0.0167	0.0033	0.0200	0.0854	0.0584	1.4623
				6.95	0.07826	0.0175	0.0035	0.0210	0.0805	0.0558	1.4426
None	Attached	Tripped	0.004	7.15	0	0	0.0288	0.0288	0.2047	0.1074	1.9059
				4.36			0.0465	0.0465	0.1726	0.1025	1.6904
				7.01	0	0	0.0472	0.0472	0.1605	0.0970	1.6546
				9.75			0.0503	0.0503	0.1446	0.0888	1.6283
				12.82			0.0472	0.0472	0.1463	0.0879	1.6643
				4.56			0.0485	0.0485	0.1769	0.1013	1.7462
				6.76	0	0	0.0554	0.0554	0.1567	0.0961	1.6305
				9.80			0.0633	0.0633	0.1546	0.0912	1.6951
				12.82			0.0580	0.0580	0.1436	0.0878	1.6355
				4.41			0.0587	0.0587	0.1688	0.1000	1.6880
Attached	None	Tripped	0.018	6.95	0	0	0.0587	0.0587	0.1607	0.0970	1.6567
				9.75			0.0755	0.0755	0.1494	0.0906	1.6490
				12.69			0.0628	0.0628	0.1468	0.0905	1.6220
				4.56			0.0507	0.0607	0.1770	0.1066	1.6604
				7.01	0	0	0.0622	0.0622	0.1589	0.0955	1.6638
				9.80			0.0557	0.0557	0.1648	0.0949	1.7365
				12.69			0.0639	0.0639	0.1492	0.0896	1.6651

AERO 914

CONFIDENTIAL

0.08

0.07

0.06

0.05

C_D

C_D

0.04

0.04

0.03

0.03

0.02

0.02

0.01

0.01

0.4

0.4

5

5

6

6

7

7

8

8

9

9

10

10

$R \times 10^{-6}$

20°




Fig. 25. Drag Coefficient vs. Reynolds Number for Aero 914 at 20° Angle of Attack

CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 25

AERO 914

CONFIDENTIAL




Fig. 25 (Continued)

(b) $g/L = 1.0$


CONFIDENTIAL

100-100-100

FIGURE 25

AERO 914

CONFIDENTIAL




CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 2

AERO 914

CONFIDENTIAL




CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 5d

AERO 914

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

FIGURE 1

CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 8 - Pressure Distribution on the X-526-1 Airship

FIGURE 8


CONFIDENTIAL

GRS/MA-57

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0

0.10
0.15
0.20
0.25
0.30
0.35
0.40
0.45
0.50
0.55
0.60
0.65
0.70
0.75
0.80
0.85
0.90
0.95
1.00

APR 9/7



CONFIDENTIAL


AERO 9/A

Figure 12 (Continued)
(b) $R = 7 \times 10^6$

FIGURE 12

CONFIDENTIAL

PAR 140-57



AERO 9/4

CONFIDENTIAL

10-3-177
R10.0

0
0
0
0.5323
20.623
2.00713

6.0
6.9
7.8
7.10
7.23

7.0

6.0

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

0

34 33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0

Figure 14 (continued)

10-3-177

CONFIDENTIAL

7.0