

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 158 556

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

158 556

AD No. 158556

ASTIA FILE COPY

LINEAR PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES FOR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

BY
HARVEY M. WAGNER

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 51

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT N6onr-25133
(NR-047-004)

FOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

MAY 5, 1958

LINEAR PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES FOR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

BY

HARVEY M. WAGNER

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 51

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT N6onr-25133

(NR-047-004)

FOR

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART IS PERMITTED FOR
ANY PURPOSE OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

MAY 5, 1958

LINEAR PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES FOR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

by

Harvey M. Wagner

Stanford University

1. Introduction

Karst [5] has recently suggested an iterative procedure "for finding a straight line of best fit to a set of two dimensional points such that the sum of the absolute values of the vertical deviations of the points from the line is a minimum." It is well known that the general $p + 1$ dimensional version of this problem may be exactly formulated as a linear programming model consisting of n equations, where n is the number of observations. By employing the fundamental dual theorem [1, 6, 8] in linear programming, we shall show how the problem can be solved by a p equation linear programming model with bounded variables [2, 3, 9]. Secondly we shall demonstrate how a regular $p + 1$ equation linear programming model can be utilized to find a line of best fit according to a Chebyshev criterion [4], i.e., a line (or hyperplane) which minimizes the maximum of the vertical deviations from the sample points.

2. Minimizing the Sum of Absolute Deviations

Let X denote an $n \times p$ dimensional matrix, where the columns consist of n observational measurements on p "independent" variables, and Y denote an n -dimensional column vector of measurements on the "dependent" variable.

We wish to find a p-dimensional column vector b such that

$$Xb + Ie_1 - Ie_2 = Y, \quad e_1, e_2 \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{minimize } E = (1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1) \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I is an $n \times n$ identity matrix. We interpret e_1 and e_2 as n -dimensional column vectors of vertical deviations "below" and "above" the fitted line; i.e., $(e_1 + e_2)$ is the vector of absolute deviations between the fit Xb and Y (by the nature of the model, it is clear that the j -th components of e_1 and e_2 cannot both be strictly positive in an optimal solution). The solution to our problem yields the regression equation

$$(x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_p) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{pmatrix} = xb = y. \quad (2)$$

Note that if we wish the left hand side of (2) to include a coefficient for the intercept of the y axis to be determined by the linear fit, then we can let $x_p \equiv 1$, and the p -th column of X be a vector of one's. We may force the fitted line to pass through some point, the usual example being the set of sample means, either by adding to (1) the linear restriction

$$(\bar{x}_1 \ \bar{x}_2 \ \dots \ \bar{x}_p) b = \bar{y} \quad (3)$$

or by the usual least squares approach of subtracting each coordinate of the point, in our example the sample mean for each variable, from all the corresponding observations (including y) and then by fitting (1) without a y-intercept coefficient; the latter approach simply consists of shifting the origin of the axes in a p-dimensional space to the selected point, and then of fitting the line (hyperplane) through the new origin.

If it is desirable, the linear programming model (1) can be restricted further to permit only non-negative values for some or all of the components of b, and to force b to satisfy additional linear constraints. It is noteworthy that collinearity in X (even to the degree that two columns of X are identical) will not cause a failure in the algorithm for (1). One drawback of the model is evident: when the number of observations n is sizeable, (1) becomes computationally unwieldy.

We shall now transform (1) into a more manageable dual problem which will yield the optimal b as a byproduct. To start, we shall assume we have added to (1) the restriction $b \geq 0$. The fundamental dual relationship in linear programming [1, 6, 8] asserts a solution to (1) can be found by considering the linear programming model

$$X'd \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4a)$$

$$Id \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4b)$$

$$-Id \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4c)$$

$$\text{maximize } G = Y'd, \quad (4d)$$

where X' is the transpose of X , Y' the transpose of Y , and d an n -dimensional column vector of "dual variables" which are unrestricted in sign (because (1) consists of a set of equations). Model (4), as it appears, is even a larger problem than (1), since it consists of $p + 2n$ relations. To reduce the problem to a model in p equations and n bounded variables we let

$$(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} = (d) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Then (4) is equivalent to

$$X'f \leq X' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6a)$$

$$0 \leq f_j \leq 2 \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (6b)$$

$$\max G^* = Y'f - Y' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6c)$$

Upon appending a set of slack variables to (6a) and dropping the constant on the right side of (6c), we may solve (6) by one of the simplex algorithms for bounded variables [2, 3, 9]. If X and Y are deviations of sample values from their means, then the right hand side of (6a) is a vector of zero's, and the constant in (6c) is zero. Denoting the basis of the optimal solution of (6) by B (which may include slack vectors), and the associated coefficients in (6c) by r'_B , we have

$$b = (B^{-1})' r'_B \quad (7)$$

No extra computations are needed to find (7). In the original simplex method b appears in the $(z_j - c_j)$ row of the final simplex tableau under the columns for the slack vectors [1, 8]; in the revised simplex method (7) is the "shadow price" vector for the optimal solution [7]. The optimal value of G^* is the minimized sum of absolute deviations.

When we drop our assumption that b be non-negative and allow the components of b to take on any sign, we modify (6) to

$$X'f = X' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6a')$$

and introduce a set of artificial variables having an arbitrarily high cost to initiate one of the simplex algorithms. The optimal b remains (7), i.e., the shadow price vector in the revised simplex method or z_j of the final simplex tableau under the columns for the artificial vectors [1].

In summary, we can solve for b in (1) by applying a simplex algorithm for bounded variables to the p equation model (6). Although the mathematical manipulation underlying the transformation of problems appears involved, the computational procedure required to solve (6) is relatively straightforward, but somewhat laborious.

3. Minimizing the Maximum Absolute Deviation

The most bothersome aspect of the approach in the previous section is the requirement of a linear programming algorithm for bounded variables, as such techniques are (slightly) more difficult to perform than the standard simplex algorithm. We may eliminate the drawback if we are willing to accept a Chebyshev criterion for best fit. Our model in this case is to find a p dimensional column vector b such that

$$Xb - Y \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e \quad (8a)$$

$$-Xb + Y \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e \quad (8b)$$

$$\text{minimize } e \geq 0 \quad (8c)$$

Examination of (8) will reveal that e is the maximum absolute deviation. The equations (8) are reminiscent of a linear programming formulation for the minimax problem in two-person zero-sum games, and we shall use a similar approach for the solution. An equivalent expression for (8) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -X & \vec{1} \\ X & \vec{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ e \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} -Y \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

minimize $e \geq 0$,

where $\vec{1}$ is an n -dimensional column vector of one's. Our previous remarks concerning additional linear constraints on b apply here equally as well.

Assuming for the moment that we wish to impose the restriction $b \geq 0$, we convert the $2n$ equation model (9) into its dual form, which contains only $p + 1$ equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} -X' & X' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \vec{0} \quad (10a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{1}' & \vec{1}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \leq 1 \quad (10b)$$

$$h_1, h_2 \geq 0,$$

$$\text{maximize } M = \begin{pmatrix} -Y' & Y' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10c)$$

where $\vec{0}$ is a p -dimensional column vector of zero's. The vectors h_1 and h_2 are n -dimensional columns; if a component of h_1 (h_2) is positive in the optimal solution of (10), then the maximum deviation occurs at the corresponding point or equation in (8), and this point will lie "below" ("above") the fitted line. Analogous to our result in (7),

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ e \end{pmatrix} = (B^{-1})' r_B \quad (11)$$

where B denotes the optimal basis for (10), and r_B' the coefficients in (10c) corresponding to the variables in B ; and exactly as before, the solution (11) is a byproduct of the simplex method.

If we drop the assumption that b be non-negative, we need only change (10a) to equalities, and results analogous to those in the previous section continue to hold.

4. A Numerical Example

Karst [5] examines the following data

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= [-12.5 \quad -8.5 \quad -6.5 \quad -3.5 \quad -2.5 \quad -1.5 \quad -0.5 \quad 2.5 \quad 4.5 \quad 8.5 \quad 8.5 \quad 11.5] \\ Y' &= [-8.4 \quad -5.4 \quad 3.6 \quad -2.4 \quad -4.4 \quad 1.6 \quad -0.4 \quad -0.4 \quad -2.4 \quad 3.6 \quad 5.6 \quad 9.6], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which comprise deviations of the original data about their sample means. He finds the least squares fit to be

$$y = .539 x, \quad (13)$$

and the fit for the minimized sum of absolute deviations to be

$$y = .659 x. \quad (14)$$

As we have argued, (14) can be obtained by (6), where specifically we would find

$$b = (B^{-1})' r_B = (1/8.5) 5.6 = .659. \quad (7')$$

The solution by model (10) yields

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -6.5 & 11.5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_B' = [3.6 \quad 9.6] \quad (15)$$

and consequently

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ e \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} .333 \\ 5.767 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11')$$

That is, the Chebyshev fit is

$$y = .333 x ; \quad (16)$$

since the vectors in B correspond to variables in h_2 , the third and last sample point in (12) will lie above the fitted line and assume the maximum vertical deviation from it of 5.767.

REFERENCES

- [1] Charnes, A., Cooper, W.W., and Henderson, A., An Introduction to Linear Programming, New York: Wiley, 1953.
- [2] Charnes, A., and Lemke, C.E., "Computational Theory of Linear Programming: The Bounded Variables Problem," Graduate School of Indust. Admin., Carnegie Tech., 1954.
- [3] Dantzig, G.B., "Upper Bounds, Secondary Constraints, and Block Triangularity in Linear Programming," Econometrica 23, 174-183, 1955.
- [4] Hastings, C., Approximations for Digital Computers, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1955.
- [5] Karst, O.J., "Linear Curve Fitting Using Least Deviations," Journ. of the American Statistical Assoc., 53, 118-132, 1958.
- [6] Tucker, A.W., "Dual Systems of Homogeneous Linear Relations," in H.W. Kuhn and A.W. Tucker, Linear Inequalities and Related Systems, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1955, 3-18.
- [7] Wagner, H.M., "A Comparison of the Original and Revised Simplex Methods," Operations Research 5, 361-9, 1957.
- [8] Wagner, H.M., "A Practical Guide to the Dual Theorem," Operations Research 6, June 1958.
- [9] Wagner, H.M., "The Dual Simplex Algorithm for Bounded Variables," ONR Report No. 50, Dept. of Economics, Stanford University, 1958; Naval Research Logistics Quarterly 5, September 1958.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

Technical Report Distribution List
Contract N6onr-25133

Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, N.Y.	1	U.S.A.F. Air University Library Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama	1
Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 E. Green St. Pasadena 1, California	1	U.S. Naval Supply Research and Development Facility Naval Supply Depot Bayonne, New Jersey	1
Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary St. San Francisco 9, California	1	Weapons Systems Evaluation Group Pentagon Bldg. Washington 25, D.C.	1
Office of Naval Research Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, N.Y.	2	Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, California Attn: Technical Library	1
Office of Naval Research Logistics Branch, Code 436 Dept. of the Navy T3-Bldg. Washington 25, D.C.	10	Armed Services Technical Info. Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Va.	5
Air Controller's Office Headquarters, U.S. Air Force Washington 25, D.C.	1	The Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Tech. Information Office	1
Office of Technical Services Dept. of Commerce Washington 25, D.C.	1	Chief, Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Advanced Supply System R and D Division (SS4) Room 2434 Arlington Annex Washington 25, D.C.	1
Logistics Research Project The George Washington University 707 - 22nd St., N.W. Washington 7, D.C.	2	Naval War College Logistics Dept., Luce Hall Newport, Rhode Island	1
Operations Research Office The Johns Hopkins University 6410 Connecticut Ave. Chevy Chase, Maryland	1	Director National Security Agency Washington 25, D.C.	1
		Director National Science Foundation Washington 25, D.C.	1

NACA for Aeronautics 1724 F Street, N.W. Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Chief, Office of Aeronautical Engineering	1	Mr. Lee Bach Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pa.	1
Director Operations Evaluation Group Office of Chief of Naval Operations (OP-03EG) Navy Dept. Washington 25, D.C.	1	Professor E.F. Beckenbach Dept. of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1
Industrial College of the Armed Forces Fort Lesley J. McNair Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Mr. L. L. Henkel	1	Dr. Martin J. Beckmann Box 2125 Yale Station New Haven, Conn.	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 86 E. Randolph St. Chicago 1, Illinois	1	Dr. Richard Bellman The RAND Corporation 1700 Main St. Santa Monica, California	1
Superintendent U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Attn: Library Monterey, California	1	Prof. Max R. Bloom School of Bus. Admin. University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Electronic Computer Division Code 280 Bureau of Ships Dept. of the Navy Washington 25, D.C.	2	Dean L.M.K. Boelter School of Engineering University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1
The RAND Corporation 1700 Main St. Santa Monica, California	1	Prof. James N. Boles University of California Agricultural Experiment Sta. Berkeley 4, California	1
Mr. Adam Abruzzi Applied Statistics Group Dept. of Management Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey	1	Prof. S.S. Cairns, Head Dept. of Mathematics University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	1
Dr. S. G. Allen SRI Menlo Park, California	1	Prof. A. Charnes R. R. No. 10 Lafayette, Indiana	1
		Prof. John S. Chipman Dept. of Economics University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minn.	1

Prof. Carl Christ Department of Economics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	Prof. Eberhard Fels Dept. of Economics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Prof. Randolph Church U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California	1	Dr. Merrill M. Flood Willow Run Laboratories Willow Run Airport Ypsilanti, Michigan	1
Prof. C. W. Churchman Dept. Bus. Admin. University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Prof. David Gale Dept. of Mathematics Brown University Providence, Rhode Island	1
Prof. W. W. Cooper Director of Research Dept. of Economics Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pa.	1	Mr. M. A. Geisler The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1
Dr. John H. Curtiss National Bureau of Standards Dept. of Commerce Washington, D. C.	1	Prof. B. Gelbaum Dept. of Mathematics University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minn.	1
Dr. G. B. Dantzig The RAND Corporation 1700 Main St. Santa Monica, California	1	Giannini Foundation Ground-Water Studies Giannini Foundation of Agricultural Economics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Prof. G. Debreu Cowles Commission for Research in Econ. Yale Station, Box 2125 New Haven, Conn.	1	Prof. Arthur Goldberger Dept. of Economics Stanford University	1
Prof. Robert Dorfman Dept. of Economics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Mass.	1	Mr. Alan S. Goldman Marketing Research Dept. Olin Mathieson Chemical Corp. 275 Manchester Ave. New Haven 4, Conn.	1
Rear Adm. H.E. Eccles, USN, Ret. 101 Washington St. Newport, Rhode Island	1	Mr. William M. Gorman University of Birmingham Birmingham, England	1
Mr. Daniel Ellsberg 1053 Concord Ave. Belmont, Mass.	1	Prof. Zvi Griliches Dept. of Economics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Ill.	1

Mr. Frank Hahn Dept. of Economics University of Birmingham Birmingham, England	1	Dr. H. M. Hughes Dept. of Biometrics School of Aviation Medicine U.S.A.F. Randolph Field, Texas	1
Dr. H. Heller Navy Management Office Washington 25, D. C.	1	Prof. L. Hurwicz School of Business Admin. University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minn.	1
Dr. Theodore E. Harris The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Prof. W. Grant Ireson Dept. of Industrial Engineering Stanford University	1
Prof. C. Lowell Harriss Dept. of Economics Columbia University New York 27, N.Y.	1	Prof. J. R. Jackson Management Sciences Res. Proj. University of California Los Angeles 24, Calif.	1
Prof. M. R. Hestenes Dept. of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, Calif.	1	Dr. E. H. Jacobson Survey Research Center Institute for Social Research University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1
Prof. C. Hildreth Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1	Mr. Dale W. Jorgenson 12A Wendell St. Cambridge 38, Mass.	1
Mr. C. J. Hitch The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Calif.	1	Mr. Sidney Kaplan RCA Princeton Laboratories Lab. 3 Princeton, N. J.	1
Mr. Alan J. Hoffman General Electric Co. Management Consultation Services 570 Lexington Ave. New York 22, N. Y.	1	Cdr. Walter H. Keen, USN Aircraft Design Division Bureau of Aeronautics Navy Dept. Washington 25, D.C.	1
Dr. C. C. Holt Grad. Sch. of Industrial Admin. Carnegie Inst. of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pa.	1	Prof. T. C. Koopmans Cowles Foundation for Research in Econ. Box 2125, Yale Station New Haven, Conn.	
Mr. John W. Hooper Econometric Institute Netherlands School of Economics Rotterdam, Netherlands	1	Dr. Howard Laitin 2134 Homecrest Ave. Brooklyn 29, N.Y.	
Prof. H. Hotelling Dept. of Mathematical Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, N.C.	1		

Dr. R. F. Lanzellotti Dept. of Economics Washington State College Pullman, Wash.	1	Prof. Lionel M. McKenzie Dept. of Economics University of Rochester River Campus Station Rochester 20, N.Y.	1
Prof. C. E. Lemki Dept. of Mathematics Rensselaer Polytechnical Inst. Troy, New York	1	Prof. Maurice McManus School of Business Admin. University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minn.	1
Prof. W. W. Leontief Dept. of Economics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Mass.	1	Dr. Richard A. Miller 4071 West 7th St. Fort Worth 7, Texas	1
Mr. Richard H. Lewis, Manager Economic Planning Dept. Remington Rand Univac 1902 W. Minnehaha Ave. St. Paul 4, Minn.	1	Prof. Franco Modigliani Dept. of Economics Carnegie Inst. of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pa.	1
Mr. Bernhardt Lieberman Clinical Psychology Section Boston Veterans Adm. Hospital South Huntington Ave. Boston, Massachusetts	1	Prof. O. Morgenstern Dept. of Economics and Social Institutions Princeton, New Jersey	1
Prof. S. B. Littauer Dept. of Industrial Engineering Columbia University New York 27, N. Y.	1	Mr. M. L. Norden Research Division College of Engineering New York University New York 53, N.Y.	1
Dr. R. Duncan Luce Dept. of Social Relations Harvard University Cambridge 38, Mass.	1	Prof. R. R. O'Neill Dept. of Engineering University of California Los Angeles 24, Calif.	1
Dr. Craig A. Magwire Dept. of Mathematics U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California	1	Prof. Stanley Reiter Dept. of Economics Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1
Prof. Julius Margolis Dept. of Business Admin. University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Prof. D. Rosenblatt Dept. of Statistics The George Washington University Washington 7, D.C.	1
Prof. Jacob Marschak Box 2125, Yale Station New Haven, Conn.	1		

Prof. A. E. Ross, Head Dept. of Mathematics University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, Indiana	1	Prof. H. A. Simon Dept. of Industrial Admin. Carnegie Inst. of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pa.	1
Prof. Jerome Rothenberg Dept. of Economics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	Mr. J. R. Simpson Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code SS Arlington Annex U.S. Department of Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1
Mr. A. J. Rowe, Proj. Dir. Industrial Logistics Res. Proj. University of California Los Angeles 24, Calif.	1	Prof. David Slater Queen's University Kingston, Ontario Canada	1
Mr. A. H. Rubenstein School of Industrial Management M. I. T. Cambridge 39, Mass.	1	Prof. R. Solow Center for Advanced Study in Behavioral Sciences Stanford, California	1
Dr. Melvin E. Salvesson 16 Parish Road New Canaan, Conn.	1	Prof. Henri Theil Econometric Institute Netherlands School of Economics Rotterdam, Netherlands	1
Prof. P. A. Samuelson Dept. of Economics M. I. T. Cambridge 39, Mass.	1	Prof. R. M. Thrall Dept. of Mathematics University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1
Dr. I. Richard Savage School of Business Vincent Hall University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minn.	1	Prof. L. M. Tichvinsky Dept. of Engineering University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Prof. Andrew Schultz, Jr. Dept. of Industrial Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, N. Y.	1	Prof. James Tobin Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics Box 2125, Yale Station New Haven, Conn.	1
Prof. H. N. Shapiro New York University Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York, N.Y.	1	Dr. C. B. Tompkins National Bureau of Standards Building 3U University of California Los Angeles 24, Calif.	1
Prof. Martin Shubik 202 Junipero Serra Stanford, Calif.	1		

Prof. A. W. Tucker Fine Hall, Box 708 Princeton, N. J.	1	John Hopkins University Library Acquisitions Department Baltimore 18, Maryland	1
Prof. D. F. Votaw, Jr. Dept. of Economics Yale University New Haven, Conn.	1	Mr. Louis Doros Assistant Director Management Planning and Admin. Division Military Petroleum Supply Agency Washington 25, D. C.	1
Prof. W. A. Wallis 207 Haskell Hall University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	Mr. Joseph Mehr Head, Operations Research Desk U.S.N. Training Device Center Port Washington, L.I., N.Y.	1
Prof. J. L. Walsh Dept. of Mathematics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Mass.	1		
Dr. T. Whitin Office of Operations Analysis U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D.C.	1		
Mr. Philip Wolfe The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1		
Prof. J. Wolfowitz Dept. of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, N. Y.	1		
Mr. Marshall K. Wood, Chief Industrial Vulnerability Branch Office of Ass't. Sec. of Defense Washington 25, D.C.	1		
Prof. M. A. Woodbury Dept. of Mathematics New York University New York 53, N. Y.	1		
Additional copies for project leader and assistants and reserve for future requirements	50		