

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 219 312

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12 VIRGINIA

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERE TO

UNCLASSIFIED

"NOTICE: When Government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

AD No. 219312

ASTIA FILE COPY

BRILL

FC

FILE COPY

Return to:
ASTIA
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA

REPORT NO. 1077
MAY 1959

JUMP DUE TO AERODYNAMIC ASYMMETRY OF
A MISSILE WITH VARYING ROLL RATE

C. H. MURPHY
J. W. BRADLEY

ASTIA
RECEIVED
JUN 23 1959
TIPDR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PROJECT NO. 503-03-001
ORDNANCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT NO. T83-0108
BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES



ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

REPORT NO. 1077 ✓

MAY 1959

JUMP DUE TO AERODYNAMIC ASYMMETRY OF A MISSILE
WITH VARYING ROLL RATE

Charles H. Murphy

James W. Bradley

Department of the Army Project No. 503-03-001
Ordnance Research and Development Project No. TB3-0108

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.	4
TABLE OF SYMBOLS.	5
INTRODUCTION.	9
ROLL EQUATION	9
AERODYNAMIC JUMP.	11
SIMPLIFICATION OF THE FUNCTION Φ	14
PREDICTED BEHAVIOR OF $\hat{\Phi}$	16
COMPUTED BEHAVIOR OF $\hat{\Phi}$	17
APPLICATION TO DESIGN STUDIES	18
SUMMARY	19
APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF EQUATION (14).	20
APPENDIX B: POWER SERIES EXPANSION OF $\hat{\Phi}$	25
APPENDIX C: ASYMPTOTIC SERIES EXPANSION OF $\hat{\Phi}$	26
APPENDIX D: DERIVATION OF EQUATION (24).	27
REFERENCES.	27
FIGURES	28

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

REPORT NO. 1077

CMurphy/JWBradley/sec
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.
May 1959

JUMP DUE TO AERODYNAMIC ASYMMETRY OF A MISSILE
WITH VARYING ROLL RATE

ABSTRACT

Although the theory of aerodynamic jump for essentially symmetric missiles with slight aerodynamic asymmetry and constant roll is well known, the dependence of this jump on varying roll has not been considered. If roll is produced by differentially canted controls, the rolling motion can be described by two parameters. The magnitude and orientation of jump is presented for the pertinent range of these parameters.

TABLE OF SYMBOLS

A = $\phi_{\infty}' c^{-1}$, a measure of the angle turned through as the roll rate approaches steady-state

B = ϕ_0' / ϕ_{∞}'

C is roll damping coefficient

C_D is drag coefficient

C_{l_p} is roll moment coefficient due to roll

C_{l_δ} is roll moment coefficient due to cant

$C_{L\alpha} = C_{N\alpha} - C_D$

C_{M_0} is moment coefficient due to aerodynamic asymmetry

C_{M_q} is damping moment coefficient

C_{M_α} is static moment coefficient

$C_{M_{\dot{\alpha}}}$ is moment coefficient due to cross-acceleration

C_{M_ϵ} is moment coefficient due to control surface deflection

C_{N_0} is normal force coefficient due to aerodynamic asymmetry

C_{N_α} is normal force coefficient

C_{N_ϵ} is normal force coefficient due to control surface deflection

E = $-\left[\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s (J_{\xi}^{-1} \ddot{\xi} + J_{\xi}^{-1} \dot{\xi}) ds_2 \right]$

$f(r) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi (iC^{-1} r)$

H = $\frac{\rho S l}{2\pi} \left[C_{L\alpha} - C_D - k_t^{-2} (C_{M_q} + C_{M_{\dot{\alpha}}}) \right]$

I_x is axial moment of inertia

$I_y = I_z$ are transverse moments of inertia

$J_A = \frac{\rho S l}{2\pi} \left[C_{N_0} \cdot \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \lambda \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \lambda \\ \lambda \end{matrix} - \frac{C_{L\alpha} C_{M_0}}{C_{M_\alpha}} \cdot \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \lambda \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \lambda \\ \lambda \end{matrix} \right]$

$$J_{\xi}^{\sim} = HJ_{\xi}^{\sim'} = 0$$

$$J_{\xi}^{\sim'} = k_t^2 \frac{C_{L\alpha}}{C_{M\alpha}}$$

k_A is dimensionless axial radius of gyration

k_t is dimensionless transverse radius of gyration

l is reference length

L is roll moment

m is mass

$$M = \frac{\rho S l}{2m} k_t^{-2} C_{M\alpha}$$

$$M_A = - \frac{\rho S l}{2m} k_t^{-2} C_{M_0}$$

$\tilde{M} + i\tilde{N}$ is linear aerodynamic moment

$p = \dot{\phi}$, the roll rate

$\tilde{q} + i\tilde{r}$ is transverse angular velocity

$s = \int \frac{V}{l} dt$, dimensionless distance along flight path

$\hat{s} = Cs$

S is reference area

t is time

V is velocity

x, y, z are components of a space-fixed coordinate system defined in the text

$\tilde{Y} + i\tilde{Z}$ is transverse aerodynamic force

$\tilde{\alpha}$ is angle of attack

$\tilde{\beta}$ is angle of sideslip

δ is cant angle

ϵ is angle of deflection of control surface

$\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta} + i\tilde{\alpha}$, the complex angle of attack

ρ is air density

ϕ is roll angle

ϕ_0' is initial roll rate

ϕ_∞' is steady-state roll rate, defined to be positive

ϕ_M is initial orientation angle of asymmetric moment

ϕ_N is initial orientation angle of asymmetric force

ϕ_ϵ is initial orientation angle for asymmetry due to ϵ

$\Phi = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s \int_0^{s_2} e^{1\phi} ds_1 ds_2$, the coefficient of J_A in

the jump equation

$\hat{\Phi} = \phi_\infty' \Phi = 1A \int_0^\infty e^{Af(r)} dr$, is the ratio of Φ to the magnitude of constant-spin Φ

()' denotes derivative with respect to s

(~) indicates forces and moments are measured in non-rolling coordinate system

INTRODUCTION

The jump angle is defined to be the angle between the launch direction of a missile and its "effective" line of departure. (The effective line of departure is the line joining the launch point and a distant point on a gravity-free trajectory.) In Reference 1 relations for the jump due to aerodynamic forces are derived for an essentially symmetric missile with a slight configurational asymmetry and constant roll rate. Unfortunately most missiles of this type build up their roll over an initial portion of their flight path and so this analysis is not directly applicable. In this report we will consider the influence of a varying roll rate on aerodynamic jump.

ROLL EQUATION

It will be assumed that the only aerodynamic moments associated with the rolling motion are a roll inducing moment caused by a differential cant and a roll damping moment.

$$\therefore L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \rho V^2 S l \left[C_{L_p} \left(\frac{p l}{V}\right) + C_{L_\delta} \delta \right] \quad (1)$$

where L is roll moment

ρ is air density

V is velocity

S is reference area

l is reference length*

C_{L_p} is roll moment coefficient due to roll

C_{L_δ} is roll moment coefficient due to cant

p is roll rate and

δ is cant angle

* l and subscript refers to the roll moment.

From this roll moment the following equation for the roll angle can be derived.² (The roll angle at $s = 0$ was selected to be zero.)

$$\phi(s) = \phi_0' s + \left(\frac{\phi_\infty' - \phi_0'}{C} \right) (e^{-Cs} - 1) \quad (2)$$

where ϕ is roll angle

$$\phi_0' = \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right)_0 \text{ is initial roll rate}$$

$$s = \int_0^s \frac{V}{l} dt \text{ is dimensionless distance along flight path}$$

$$\phi_\infty' = \frac{-C_{l_\delta} \delta}{C_{l_p} + k_a^2 C_D} \text{ is steady state roll rate}$$

$$k_a = \sqrt{\frac{I_x}{ml^2}} \text{ is dimensionless axial radius of gyration}$$

C_D is drag coefficient and

$$C = -\left(\frac{\rho S l}{2a} \right) (k_a^{-2} C_{l_p} + C_D) \text{ is roll damping coefficient}$$

In order to avoid consideration of both positive and negative values of the steady state roll rate, we will select our space-fixed coordinate system in a rather special way. The positive direction of the x-axis is defined as the launch direction. If the roll angle is measured from the positive y-axis, then ϕ_∞' is positive or negative accordingly as steady state roll has the same or opposite direction, respectively, of a 90° rotation from positive y-axis to positive z-axis. Thus we can restrict ϕ_∞' to positive values* without loss of generality by defining the positive z-axis as that axis obtained by a 90° rotation of the fixed positive y-axis in the direction of steady state roll. This selection of coordinate axes will, therefore, be used throughout this report.

Since we intend to study the effect of different rolling motions on the aerodynamic jump, it would be desirable to reduce the number of parameters in Equation (2). This set of three parameters can be reduced

*The special case of zero steady-state roll corresponds to a parabolic deflection of the missile instead of the linear deflection described by jump. We will not consider the case $\phi_\infty' = 0$ in this report.

to a set of two by properly selecting the scale for s . This can be done by the transformation $s = C^{-1} \hat{s}$.

$$\phi(C^{-1} \hat{s}) = A \left[\hat{s} - (B-1)(e^{-\hat{s}} - 1) \right] \quad (3)$$

$$\text{where } A = \phi_{\infty}' C^{-1}$$

$$B = \phi_0' / \phi_{\infty}'$$

As can be seen from Equation (2), C^{-1} is the distance required for the roll rate to reach a value $.37|\phi_{\infty}' - \phi_0'|$ units from its steady state value. A , then, is a measure* of the angle turned through as the roll rate approaches ϕ_{∞}' . In the special case $A = 0$, which implies $C^{-1} = 0$, the transformation to Equation (3) is invalid. Since Equation (2) reduces to $\phi(s) = \phi_{\infty}' s$ for either $C^{-1} = 0$ or $\phi_{\infty}' = \phi_0'$, however, we can consider the case $A = 0$ as equivalent to $A \neq 0, B = 1$.

AERODYNAMIC JUMP

The major linear aerodynamic forces which cause aerodynamic jump are defined by the following equations^{1,3}:

$$\text{Drag} = (1/2) \rho V^2 S C_D \quad (4)$$

$$\tilde{Y} + i\tilde{Z} = (1/2) \rho V^2 S \left[-C_{N\alpha} \tilde{\xi} + C_{N_0} e^{i(\beta + \beta_N)} \right] \quad (5)$$

where \tilde{Y} and \tilde{Z} are transverse components of aerodynamic force

$\tilde{\xi} = \beta + i\alpha$ is complex angle of attack

\sim indicates forces and moments are measured in non-rolling coordinate system

C_{N_0} is dimensionless normal force coefficient due to aerodynamic asymmetry

β_N is initial orientation angle of asymmetric force

If the missile axis always makes a small angle with the launch direction, the following simple differential equation¹ controls the lateral motion induced by aerodynamic forces:

* Since ϕ_{∞}' is measured in radians per distance and C^{-1} is measured in units of distance, A is given in terms of radian measure.

$$\frac{y'' + 1 z''}{l} = \frac{\rho S l}{2m} \left[-C_{L\alpha} \tilde{\xi} + C_{N_0} e^{i(\beta + \beta_M)} \right] \quad (6)$$

where $C_{L\alpha} = C_{N\alpha} - C_D$

and primes denote derivatives with respect to s .

The aerodynamic jump can be defined analytically by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aero. jump} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y + 1 z}{x} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y + 1 z}{l s} \\ &= \frac{\rho S l}{2m} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \int_0^s \left[-C_{L\alpha} \tilde{\xi} + C_{N_0} e^{i(\beta + \beta_M)} \right] ds_1 ds_2 \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the aerodynamic jump depends on $\tilde{\xi}$ and β . β is given by Equation (2) and $\tilde{\xi}$ can be computed from the aerodynamic moment. Since jump due to aerodynamic asymmetry is small for high roll rates, low roll rates will be assumed and the Magnus moment thereby neglected. The linear aerodynamic moment is defined by the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M} + 1 \tilde{M}' &= (1/2) \rho V^2 S l \left[-1 C_{M\alpha} \tilde{\xi} + C_{M_q} \frac{(\tilde{q} + 1 \tilde{F}) l}{V} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 1 C_{M\alpha} \tilde{\xi} + 1 C_{M_0} e^{i(\beta + \beta_M)} \right] \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{q} , \tilde{F} are transverse components of angular velocity

C_{M_0} is dimensionless moment coefficient due to aerodynamic asymmetry

β_M is initial orientation angle of asymmetric moment

Equations (4, 5, 8) can be placed in the dynamic equations and the usual equation of pitching and yawing motion derived^{3,4}. (Due to the assumption of small roll rate, the gyroscopic terms drop out.)

$$\tilde{\xi}'' + H \tilde{\xi}' - K \tilde{\xi} = M_A e^{i(\beta + \beta_M)} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{where } H = \frac{\rho S l}{2M} \left[C_{L\alpha} - C_D - k_t^{-2} (C_{Mq} + C_{M\dot{\alpha}}) \right]$$

$$M = \frac{\rho S l}{2M} k_t^{-2} C_{M\alpha}$$

$$M_A = - \frac{\rho S l}{2M} k_t^{-2} C_{M0}$$

$$k_t = \sqrt{\frac{I_{yy}}{m l^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{I_{zz}}{m l^2}} \text{ is dimensionless transverse radius of gyration}$$

Equation (9) can be solved for $\tilde{\xi}$.

$$\tilde{\xi} = M^{-1} \left[\tilde{\xi}'' + H \tilde{\xi}' - M_A e^{1(\beta + \beta_M)} \right] \quad (10)$$

$\tilde{\xi}$ from Equation (10) can be substituted into Equation (7) and the result can then be simplified to

$$\text{Aero. Jump} = J_{\tilde{\xi}}' \tilde{\xi}'_0 + J_{\tilde{\xi}} \tilde{\xi}_0 + J_A \Phi + E \quad (11)$$

$$\text{where } J_{\tilde{\xi}}' = k_t^2 \frac{C_{L\alpha}}{C_{M\alpha}}$$

$$J_{\tilde{\xi}} = H J_{\tilde{\xi}}' = 0$$

$$J_A = \frac{\rho S l}{2M} \left[C_{M0} e^{1\beta_M} - \frac{C_{L\alpha} C_{M0}}{C_{M\alpha}} e^{1\beta_M} \right]$$

$$\Phi = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \int_0^{s_2} e^{1\beta} ds_1 ds_2$$

$$E = - \left[\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s (J_{\tilde{\xi}}' \tilde{\xi}' + J_{\tilde{\xi}} \tilde{\xi}) ds_2 \right]$$

If the missile is dynamically stable, $\tilde{\xi}$ and its integral are bounded.

$\therefore E = 0.$

For a statically stable missile J_{ξ}^* is negative. In conformity with aerodynamic conventions⁵, however, the missile's nose points along the negative y-axis for positive β . (This can be seen from Equation (5) which states that the direction of the complex normal force for the usual symmetric missile ($C_{N\alpha} > 0$, $C_{N0} = 0$), is the negative of that of the complex angle of attack.) Thus the jump due to $\tilde{\xi}_0^*$ is in the direction of $\tilde{\xi}_0^*$.

If the aerodynamic asymmetry arises from a single control surface deflected at an angle ϵ with initial orientation angle ϕ_ϵ , J_A reduces to a simple form:

$$J_A = \frac{\rho S l}{2m} \left[C_{N\epsilon} - \frac{C_{L\alpha} C_{M\epsilon}}{C_{L\alpha}^2} \right] e^{i\phi_\epsilon} \quad (12)$$

Equation (12) reveals the conclusion stated in Reference 1 that the jump due to deflected control surface is zero when the control surface is located near the center of pressure of the complete configuration,

i.e. $\left(\frac{C_{M\alpha}}{C_{L\alpha}} \right) l$ units from the center of mass. Figure 1 plots y/x versus z/x for representative rolling motions, with $\tilde{\xi}_0^* = \tilde{\xi}_0 = \mathbf{E} = 0$, where

$$\text{Aero. Jump} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y + i z}{x} = J_A \tilde{\Phi}$$

and $\tilde{\Phi}$ has the magnitude and orientation of the limit points. The remainder of this report will concern itself with $\tilde{\Phi}$, the coefficient of J_A .

SIMPLIFICATION OF THE FUNCTION $\tilde{\Phi}$

In order to consider the influence of varying roll on $\tilde{\Phi}$, we will simplify it by the following algebraic steps:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \int_0^{s_2} e^{i\phi(s_1)} ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \int_{s_1}^s e^{i\phi(s_1)} ds_2 ds_1 \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s \left(1 - \frac{s_1}{s}\right) e^{i\phi(s_1)} ds_1 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By use of a contour integration in the complex plane and the transformation of the roll equation used for Equation (3), Equation (13) can be considerably simplified. The mathematical details are given in Appendix A.

$$\therefore \bar{\Phi} = \frac{1A}{\phi_{\infty}'} \int_0^{\infty} e^{Af(r)} dr \quad (14)$$

$$\text{where } f(r) = \frac{1}{A} \phi (iC^{-1}r) = - \left[r + 1 (B-1) (e^{-1r} - 1) \right]$$

For the constant rolling motion considered in Reference 1, B is unity and

$$\bar{\Phi} = 1 (\phi_{\infty}')^{-1} \quad (15)$$

Thus for a single deflected control surface the jump has a magnitude

$$\frac{\rho S l}{2m} \left| C_{N_{\epsilon}} - \frac{C_{M_{\epsilon}} C_{L_{\alpha}}}{C_{M_{\alpha}}} \right| \epsilon (\phi_{\infty}')^{-1} \text{ and orientation at a right angle to the}$$

initial orientation of the control surface. This orientation is in the

direction of the spin if $C_{N_{\epsilon}} - \frac{C_{M_{\epsilon}} C_{L_{\alpha}}}{C_{M_{\alpha}}}$ is positive and opposite if this quantity is negative.

We will use the magnitude of this jump for constant spin as our standard and compare the magnitudes of the jumps for varying spin with it. For this reason the following definition is introduced.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi} &= \phi_{\infty}' \bar{\Phi} \\ &= 1A \int_0^{\infty} e^{Af(r)} dr \\ &= \hat{\Phi}(A, B) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Direct numerical calculations of $\hat{\Phi}$ are possible from Equation (16) by both a power series expansion (Appendix B) and an asymptotic series expansion (Appendix C). The former converges rapidly when A is small, the

latter when A is large. Before proceeding to this calculation, it is instructive to see what can be predicted concerning the behavior of $\hat{\Phi}$.

PREDICTED BEHAVIOR OF $\hat{\Phi}$

For constant roll, by definition

$$\hat{\Phi}(A, 1) = 1 \quad (17)$$

By the simple analysis following Equation (3), $\hat{\Phi}(0, B)$ must equal $\hat{\Phi}(A, 1)$. Since $\hat{\Phi}$ is a continuous function of A, we have some indication of the behaviour of $\hat{\Phi}$ in the vicinity of zero A:

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow 0} \hat{\Phi}(A, B) = 1 \quad (18)$$

The larger part of aerodynamic jump occurs during the first few revolutions. (Figure 1 illustrates this fact.) From the physical interpretation of A, it can be seen that when A is large the spin nears steady state slowly, so that over the first few revolutions, the spin can be regarded as a constant, equal to its initial value. Thus, replacing ϕ_0' by ϕ_0' in Equation (15),

$$\hat{\Phi}(A, B) = \frac{1}{B}, \quad B \gg 1 \quad (19)$$

For a fixed A, the smaller the value of ϕ_0' , the greater will be the change in spin during the first few revolutions. Thus we would expect this approximation to become poorer as $B \rightarrow 0$. (This rather intuitive reasoning is substantiated by the more rigorous mathematical analysis in Appendix C.)

A special case of interest is that of zero initial roll. For this case

$$\phi(c^{-1}r) = A \left(\frac{r^2}{2} - \frac{r^3}{6} + \dots \right) \quad (20)$$

$$\therefore f(r) = 1 \left(-\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1r^3}{6} + \dots \right) \quad (21)$$

If A is sufficiently large, ϕ will reach several revolutions before the cubic term in Equation (20) has an important effect. Therefore, for large A,

ϕ can be approximated by the quadratic term over the regime where jump occurs. Under this assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Phi}(A, 0) &= 1A \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{iAr^2}{2}} dr \\ &= i\sqrt{2A} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \left(\cos \frac{Ar^2}{2} - i \sin \frac{Ar^2}{2} \right) \sqrt{\frac{A}{2}} dr \right] \quad (22)\end{aligned}$$

Using the complete Fresnel integral:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Phi}(A, 0) &= i\sqrt{2A} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1-i) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi A} (1+i) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ &= 1.25\sqrt{A} e^{i(45^\circ)} \quad (23)\end{aligned}$$

Thus this approximation* predicts that the jump due to aerodynamic asymmetry makes a 45° angle with the initial orientation when the initial spin rate is zero.

COMPUTED BEHAVIOR OF $\hat{\Phi}$

Numerical solutions of the expansions in Appendices B and C were obtained on the ORDVAC for a variety of values of A and B. The results are plotted in Figures 2, 3 and 4. Figures 2 and 3 show the magnitude and orientation, respectively, of $\hat{\Phi}$ as a function of A for various values of B. For small values of the parameter A, the behavior of $\hat{\Phi}$ is shown more clearly by Figure 4 which plots both the magnitude and orientation of $\hat{\Phi}$ in polar coordinates. From a consideration of these figures, we can determine the reliability of the approximations expressed by Equations (19) and (23).

*Equation (23) has been obtained in a different manner jointly by C. L. Poor and B. G. Karpov.

Equation (19) applies for values of A as low as π when $B > 1$. For $B < 1$, the lower bound of the usable range of A grows rapidly with decreasing B.

The approximation of Equation (23) is reasonably accurate for $A > \frac{\pi}{4}$ as regards magnitude and for $A > 2\pi$ as regards orientation. However, the nearly constant difference between the computed and predicted magnitudes, as shown by Figure 2, is large enough to warrant improvement of Equation (23). Therefore the cubic term in Equation (21) is considered in Appendix D in deriving the closer approximation:

$$\hat{\Phi}(A, 0) = (1.25\sqrt{A} + .24) e^{1 \arctan(1 + \frac{.38}{\sqrt{A}})} \quad (24)$$

APPLICATION TO DESIGN STUDIES

The major concern of a designer is the effect of aerodynamic asymmetry on dispersion. This requires a knowledge of the magnitude of $J_A \Phi$. For unit misalignment angle, ϵ , the magnitude of J_A can be estimated from Equation (12). The roll damping coefficient, C, can usually be estimated to reasonable accuracy. The problem reduces then to calculating an upper bound on $|\Phi|$ for expected values of initial and steady state spin. For those missiles whose spin magnitude is at least one rotation per distance to target and whose spin direction does not reverse in flight, expressions for this upper bound can be obtained from Equations (19) and (24). This pair of equations yields the following convenient rule-of-thumb, applicable for values of $\beta_0' C^{-1}$ greater than 2 radians:

Rule: The magnitude of Φ is equal to or less than the smaller of the two expressions

- 1) $\frac{1}{\beta_0'}$
- 2) $\frac{1.25}{\sqrt{A} C} + \frac{.24}{\beta_0'}$

where β_0' , β_∞' and C are measured per unit distance and angles are measured in terms of radians.

SUMMARY

The jump due to aerodynamic asymmetry has been studied in some detail. Curves for its magnitude and orientation which should prove useful to a designer have been computed.

Charles H. Murphy
CHARLES H. MURPHY

James W. Bradley
JAMES W. BRADLEY

APPENDIX A
DERIVATION OF EQUATION (14)

From Equations (3) and (13) we can write the jump function in the form:

$$\Phi = \lim_{\hat{s} \rightarrow \infty} C^{-1} \int_0^{\hat{s}} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{s}_1}{\hat{s}}\right) e^{i\hat{\beta}(\hat{s}_1)} d\hat{s}_1 \quad (A1)$$

$$\hat{\beta}(\hat{s}) = \phi(C^{-1}\hat{s}) = A \left[\hat{s}_1 - b(e^{-\hat{s}_1} - 1) \right] \quad (A2)$$

where $\hat{s} = Cs$
 $b = B-1$

The integral in Equation (A1) can be simplified by replacing the real variable \hat{s}_1 by the complex variable $z = x + iy$ and considering the integral over the following contour:

1. Along the real axis from 0 to \hat{s}
2. On the circular arc $z = \hat{s}e^{i\theta}$ for θ varying from 0 to $\frac{\pi}{2}$
3. Along the imaginary axis from \hat{s} to 0.

Since the integrand is analytic within this contour, the integral vanishes.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \oint \left(1 - \frac{z}{\hat{s}}\right) e^{i\hat{\beta}(z)} dz &= \int_0^{\hat{s}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\hat{s}}\right) e^{i\hat{\beta}(x)} dx \\ &+ \hat{s} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(1 - e^{i\theta}\right) e^{i\hat{\beta}(\hat{s}e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta \\ &+ i \int_{\hat{s}}^0 \left(1 - \frac{iy}{\hat{s}}\right) e^{i\hat{\beta}(iy)} dy \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

In order to deal with these integrals we will make use of the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - e^{i\theta}| &= \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2(\theta^2/2)} = \theta \end{aligned} \quad (A4)$$

$$|e^w| = e^{\text{R}\{w\}} \quad (A5)$$

where $\text{R}\{w\} = u$ when $w = u + iv$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\{i\hat{\beta}(ae^{i\theta})\} &= \text{AR}\{i\hat{\beta}e^{i\theta} - ib(e^{-\hat{\beta}e^{i\theta}} - 1)\} \\ &= -A\hat{\beta} \sin \theta - Ab\text{R}\{1e^{-\hat{\beta}e^{i\theta}}\} \\ &\leq -A\hat{\beta} \sin \theta + A|b| \end{aligned} \quad (A6)$$

When $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$-\sin \theta \leq -\frac{2\theta}{\pi} \quad (A7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\{i\hat{\beta}(iy)\} &= \text{R}\{-y - ib(e^{-iy} - 1)\} \\ &\leq -Ay + A|b| \end{aligned} \quad (A8)$$

With these relations, upper bounds for the magnitudes of the second integral and part of the third integral in equation (A3) can be computed.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \hat{\beta} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - e^{i\theta}) e^{i\hat{\beta}(ae^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta \right| &\leq \hat{\beta} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |1 - e^{i\theta}| e^{\text{R}\{i\hat{\beta}\}} d\theta \\ &\leq \hat{\beta} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta e^{-\frac{2A\hat{\beta}\theta}{\pi} + A|b|} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 \theta A|b|}{(2A)^2 \hat{\beta}} \left[-e^{-A\hat{\beta}} (A\hat{\beta} + 1) + 1 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (A9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\hat{s}}^0 \frac{1y}{\hat{s}} e^{i\hat{\phi}(1y)} dy \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\hat{s}} \int_0^{\hat{s}} ye^{(-Ay + A|b|)} dy \\
 &= \frac{eA|b|}{A^2 \hat{s}} \left[-e^{-A\hat{s}} (A\hat{s} + 1) + 1 \right] \quad (A10)
 \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that as \hat{s} increases, both of the above integrals approach zero. Thus if the limit of the integrals in Equation (A3) for $\hat{s} \rightarrow \infty$ is taken, and y is replaced by r , the following equation can be written:

$$\Phi = \frac{1A}{\hat{\phi}_{\infty}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{Ar(r)} dr \quad (A11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{where } f(r) &= \frac{1}{A} \hat{\phi} (iC^{-1} r) \\
 &= - [r + ib(e^{-1r} - 1)] \\
 &= - [r + i(B-1)(e^{-1r} - 1)]
 \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX B
POWER SERIES EXPANSION OF $\hat{\Phi}$

For small values of Λ , a power series expansion can be used.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\Phi} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Lambda f(r)} dr \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda b} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Lambda r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\Lambda b e^{-r})^n}{n!} dr \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\Lambda b)^n e^{-r(A+ni)}}{n! (A+ni)} \Big|_0^{\infty} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\Lambda b)^n}{n! (A+ni)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda b} \left[\frac{1}{\Lambda} - \frac{\Lambda b}{\Lambda+1} - \frac{(\Lambda b)^2}{2(\Lambda+2)} + \dots \right] \\
 &= e^{-\Lambda b} \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^2 b}{\Lambda+1} - \frac{\Lambda^3 b^2}{2(\Lambda+2)} - \dots \right] \tag{B1}
 \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C
ASYMPTOTIC SERIES EXPANSION OF $\hat{\Phi}$

In order to obtain an asymptotic series for $\hat{\Phi}$, we make repeated use of integration by parts.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\Phi}(A, B) &= 1A \int_0^{\infty} e^{Ar} f(r) dr \\
 &= 1 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{Ar}}{r^B} A r^B dr \\
 &= -\frac{1}{r^{B-1}(0)} + 1 \int_0^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{r^B}\right)' \frac{e^{Ar} A r^B dr}{A r^B} \\
 &= 1 \sum_{k=0}^n A^{-k} F_k(0) + 1R_n
 \end{aligned} \tag{C1}$$

where $F_0 = -\frac{1}{r^{B-1}}$

$$F_k = F_0 F_{k-1}'$$

$$R_n = A^{-n} \int_0^{\infty} F_n' e^{Ar} dr$$

By direct substitution we can get the expansion for $n = 2$.

$$\hat{\Phi} = 1 \left\{ \frac{1}{B} + \frac{1(B-1)}{AB} - \frac{(B-1)(2B-3)}{A^2 B^2} + \frac{1}{A^2} \int_0^{\infty} F_2' e^{Ar} dr \right\} \tag{C2}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\Phi} = \frac{1(90^\circ)}{B} \tag{C3}$$

Note that the other terms in Equation (C2) grow as $B \rightarrow 0$ and hence convergence for $A \rightarrow \infty$ will be slower for smaller values of B .

APPENDIX D
DERIVATION OF EQUATION (24)

If we consider the cubic term in Equation (21), Equation (16) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\Phi}(A,0) &= 1A \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1Ar^2}{2} - \frac{Ar^3}{6}} dr \\
 &= 1A \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1Ar^2}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{Ar^3}{6}\right) dr \\
 &= 1A \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1Ar^2}{2}} dr + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1Ar^2}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{1Ar^2}{2}} (1A dr) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi A} (1 + 1) + \frac{1}{3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi A} + 1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi A} + \frac{1}{3}\right) \tag{D1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we express $\hat{\Phi}$ in the polar form

$$\hat{\Phi} = |\hat{\Phi}| e^{i\theta} \tag{D2}$$

then $|\hat{\Phi}(A,0)| = \left[\frac{\pi A}{4} + \left(\frac{\pi A}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi A}}{3} + \frac{1}{9}\right) \right]^{1/2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[\frac{(3\sqrt{\pi A} + 1)^2 + 1}{18} \right]^{1/2} \\
 &= \frac{3\sqrt{\pi A} + 1}{\sqrt{18}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \\
 &= 1.25 \sqrt{A} + .24 \tag{D4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{and } \tan \theta &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi A} + \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi A}} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{\pi A}} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{.38}{\sqrt{A}}
 \end{aligned}$$

(D5)

The following table reveals the improvement of these results over the simpler approximation, Equation (23).

<u>A</u>	<u>Magnitude of $\hat{\phi}$</u>			<u>Orientation of $\hat{\phi}$ (DEG)</u>		
	<u>Eq.(23)</u>	<u>Eq.(D4)</u>	<u>ORDVAC</u>	<u>Eq.(23)</u>	<u>Eq.(D5)</u>	<u>ORDVAC</u>
1	1.25	1.49	1.51	45	54.0	59.2
3	2.17	2.41	2.42	45	50.6	54.2
5	2.80	3.04	3.05	45	49.4	50.4
7	3.32	3.55	3.56	45	48.8	49.5

REFERENCES

1. Murphy, C. H. "Comments on Projectile Jump", BRLM 1071, April 1957.
2. Bolz, R. E., and Nicolaides, J. D. "A Method of Determining Some Aerodynamic Coefficients from Supersonic Free-Flight Tests of a Rolling Missile", Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, Volume 17, pp 609 - 621, October 1950 and BRL Report 711, December 1949.
3. Nicolaides, J. D. "On the Free Flight Motion of Missiles Having Slight Configurational Asymmetries", BRL Report 858, June 1953.
4. Murphy, C. H. "The Prediction of Nonlinear Pitching and Yawing Motion of Symmetric Missiles", Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, Volume 24, pp 473 - 479, July 1957.
5. American Standard Letter Symbols for Aeronautical Sciences, ASA Y 10.7 - 1957, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, October 1954.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

ORIENTATION OF $\hat{\Phi}$ VERSUS $\phi'_{\infty} C^{-1}$




FIG. 3




FIG. 4