

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 256 478

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

256478

258 200

TR-934

CATALOGED BY ASTIA
AS AD No. _____

**DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS
ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT**

Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

5 April 1961

ASTIA
RECEIVED
MAY 22 1961
TIPDR A

\$ 2.60



**DIAMOND ORDNANCE FUZE LABORATORIES
ORDNANCE CORPS • DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

61-3-2
XEROX

DIAMOND ORDNANCE FUZE LABORATORIES
ORDNANCE CORPS **WASHINGTON 25, D. C.**

ONS No. 5530.11.5000
LOWL Project 90295


5 April 1961

TF-934

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT

Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

FOR THE COMMANDER:
APPROVED BY



P. J. Franklin
Chief, Laboratory 900



Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from ASTIA.

CONTENTS

	Page No.
ABSTRACT.	5
1. INTRODUCTION.	5
2. DISCUSSION.	5
3. RESULTS	8
4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	23
5. REFERENCES.	23

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1. Real part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common base, emitter configuration.	9
Figure 2. Imaginary part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common base, emitter configuration.	10
Figure 3. Real part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common collector configuration.	11
Figure 4. Imaginary part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common collector configuration.	12
Figure 5. Real part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common base configuration.	13
Figure 6. Imaginary part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common base configuration.	14
Figure 7. Real part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common emitter configuration.	15
Figure 8. Real part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common collector configuration.	16
Figure 9. Imaginary part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common collector configuration.	17
Figure 10. Real part of Z_{21} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common base, collector, emitter configuration.	18
Figure 11. Imaginary part of Z_{21} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common emitter, collector, base configuration.	19
Figure 12. Real part of Z_{22} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common emitter, collector, base configuration.	20
Figure 13. Imaginary part of Z_{22} versus equivalent circuit parameters. Common collector, base, emitter configuration.	21

ABSTRACT

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_e only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e^b . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.


1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to investigate the dependence of the terminal characteristics of a transistor on its T-equivalent circuit parameters. This dependence is of primary importance in transistor design, since a given set of terminal characteristics can be achieved by specifying the values of the internal parameters; these values, in turn, are functions of junction area, doping, etc., and can be controlled to a certain extent.

Because of the numerous and tedious calculations involved, this work was performed with the help of an IBM 704 digital computer. This report will include the relations between the terminal characteristics and the equivalent circuit parameters, a brief discussion of the programming techniques used, and the results obtained for common base, common emitter and common collector configurations.

2. DISCUSSION

Of the various transistor equivalent circuits available, the T-equivalent was chosen for the purposes of the present investigation. Although basically a low frequency equivalent circuit, its useful frequency range is increased by including an emitter and base capacitance. On the basis of the circuit illustrated below,



the Z-parameters in the common base, common emitter and common collector configuration are found as follows:

$$Z_{11e} = Z_{11b} = r_{bb'} + \frac{r_b}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} + \frac{r_e}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} - j\omega \left(\frac{C_b r_b^2}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} + \frac{C_e r_e^2}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} \right)$$

$$Z_{12b} = r_{bb'} + \frac{r_b}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} - j\omega \frac{C_b r_b^2}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2}$$

$$Z_{21b} = r_{bb'} + \frac{r_b}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} + \frac{\alpha r_c}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} - j\omega \left(\frac{\alpha C_c r_c^2}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} + \frac{C_b r_b^2}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} \right)$$

$$Z_{11c} = Z_{22b} = r_{bb'} + \frac{r_b}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} + \frac{r_c}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} - j\omega \left(\frac{C_b r_b^2}{1+(\omega C_b r_b)^2} + \frac{C_e r_e^2}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} \right)$$

$$Z_{12e} = \frac{r_e}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} - j\omega \frac{r_e^2 C_e}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2}$$

$$Z_{21e} = \frac{r_e}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} - \frac{\alpha r_c}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} + j\omega \left(\frac{\alpha C_c r_c^2}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} - \frac{C_e r_e^2}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} \right)$$

$$Z_{22c} = Z_{22e} = \frac{r_e}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} + \frac{(1-\alpha)r_c}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} - j\omega \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)C_c r_c^2}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} + \frac{r_e}{1+(\omega C_e r_e)^2} \right)$$

$$Z_{12c} = \frac{(1-\alpha)r_c}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} - j\omega \frac{(1-\alpha)C_c r_c^2}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2}$$

$$Z_{21e} = \frac{r_c}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2} - j\omega \frac{C_c r_c^2}{1+(\omega C_c r_c)^2}$$

Thus, the terminal transistor impedances are expressed in terms of the equivalent circuit parameters in the three configurations. For given values of these inherent or intrinsic parameters the impedances can be calculated.

In the present study, the IBM 704 digital computer of the National Bureau of Standards was used to calculate the terminal parameters. The program was written in the FORTRAN (Formula Translation) language. FORTRAN is a system by which a program written in a relatively simple language can be translated into the language that the computer understands. This technique enables a non-professional programmer to use the computer for a wide range of problems with a minimum of difficulty (ref 1).

It was desired to find the effect on the terminal characteristics of the transistor when one of the equivalent circuit parameters was varied while the others were held constant. The data used in this report were taken from the data sheet for a 2N220 transistor. In the equivalent circuit representation C_c was assumed to be very small, so the capacitive reactance in parallel with r_e gave an effective impedance approximately equal to r_e . In view of the low frequency being considered, this appears to be a valid assumption. The values of the equivalent circuit parameters used as basis for the calculation of the terminal characteristics appear in table I. Since C_b was not given in the original

TABLE I

r_{bb}	r_b	C_b	r_e	r_c	C_c	α
ohms	ohms	pf	ohms	megohms	pf	
190	1085	8850	37.7	2.86	50	.985

data, the value of 8850 pf was taken from measurements that were performed on a 2N180 transistor (ref 2).

The values given in table I and the terminal parameters corresponding to these values (table II) were used as bases for normalizing the results. Each value of the equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the others were kept constant. For each set of values the terminal characteristics were computed at a frequency of 1.00 kc. The results of these computations appear in figures 1 through 13. In the graphs, the ordinate represents the normalized terminal characteristics while the abscissa represents the normalized equivalent circuit parameters.

Table II

$Z_{11e} = 1.31 \times 10^3 - j6.52 \times 10^1$	$Z_{12e} = 3.77 \times 10^1 + j0.0$
$Z_{21e} = -1.60 \times 10^6 + j1.40 \times 10^6$	$Z_{22e} = 2.38 \times 10^4 - j2.13 \times 10^4$
$Z_{11b} = 1.31 \times 10^3 - j6.52 \times 10^1$	$Z_{12b} = 1.27 \times 10^3 - j6.52 \times 10^1$
$Z_{21b} = 1.56 \times 10^6 - j1.40 \times 10^6$	$Z_{22b} = 1.58 \times 10^6 - j1.42 \times 10^6$
$Z_{11c} = 1.58 \times 10^6 - j1.42 \times 10^6$	$Z_{12c} = 2.37 \times 10^4 - j2.13 \times 10^4$
$Z_{21c} = 1.58 \times 10^6 - j1.42 \times 10^6$	$Z_{22c} = 2.38 \times 10^4 - j2.13 \times 10^4$

3. RESULTS

The greatest effect on most of the terminal characteristics of a transistor, at the frequency considered, is brought about by the variation of r_c and C_c . The only exceptions are the parameters with which r_c and C_c are not associated. Thus, in the common emitter and common base configurations the input impedance is largely a function of r_b and C_b while r_{bb} has little effect and r_e plays an insignificant role. In fact, the only terminal characteristic which is affected by r_e is the common emitter forward transfer impedance Z_{12e} which for all practical purposes is directly proportional to r_e . In this investigation, the emitter capacitance was assumed negligible, thus making the forward transfer impedance equal to r_e .




Figure 1. Real part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common base, emitter configuration.




Figure 2. Imaginary part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common base, emitter configuration.




Figure 3. Real part of Z_{II} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common collector configuration.





Figure 4. Imaginary part of Z_{11} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common collector configuration.



NORMALIZED EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT PARAMETERS

Figure 5. Real part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters.

Common base configuration.




Figure 6. Imaginary part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters.

Common base configuration.




Figure 7. Real part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common emitter configuration.




Figure 8. Real part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters.

Common collector configuration.




Figure 9. Imaginary part of Z_{12} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common collector configuration.




Figure 10. Real part of Z_{21} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common base, collector, emitter configuration.




Figure 11. Imaginary part of Z_{21} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common emitter, collector base configuration.




Figure 12. Real part of Z_{22} versus equivalent circuit parameters.
Common emitter, collector, base configuration.




Figure 13. Imaginary part of Z_{22} versus equivalent circuit parameters
Common collector, base, emitter configuration.

The base capacitance C_b contributes only to the imaginary components of Z_{11e} , Z_{11b} and Z_{12b} . From table II it is seen that these components are negligible in comparison to the real parts. Of course, as the frequency is increased, C_b will play a significant role on the terminal characteristics. If only the magnitude of the impedance is required, the base capacitance can be neglected. However, if phase angle information is needed C_b must be included. In the common emitter and common base input impedance the phase angle is approximately 2° . By varying C_b from one-half to twice its nominal value, the phase angle could be changed from 1° to 6° respectively. Thus, the phase angle of the input impedance can be controlled to a certain extent by adjusting C_b , while its absolute value will remain constant.

In the common collector configuration, all four elements of the Z-matrix depend only on r_c and C_c . Of particular interest is the effect of r_c on the real parts and of C_c on the imaginary parts of the elements of the matrix. For values of r_c less than its nominal value, the real part of the impedance increase according to some exponential functional of r_c . As r_c reaches a value slightly higher than its nominal, the real part of Z , $\text{Re}[Z]$, begins to decrease and it will continue to decrease as r_c increases. This rather unusual behavior can be traced to the expression

$$\frac{r_c}{1 + (\omega C_c r_c)^2}$$

where for large values of r_c the term containing r_c^2 begins to influence the fraction more than the numerator r_c . The maximum value of the real part is given for a value of r_c slightly higher than its nominal value. Similarly, the imaginary components behave in almost identical manner for the variation in C_c . The term responsible for this effect of C_c is

$$\frac{\omega C_c r_c^2}{1 + (\omega C_c r_c)^2}$$

Since r_c behaves in similar fashion in both the numerator and denominator, the imaginary terms of the Z-parameters, $\text{Im}[Z]$, are affected by r_c according to some proportionality function. Thus $\text{Im}[Z]$ increases with increasing r_c while C_c causes it to increase initially and then reverse slope. The points of inflection were calculated after the data indicated that either halving or doubling r_c and C_c the impedance was less than that of the nominal values.

A few remarks can also be made as to the dependence of the absolute value of the Z-parameters on r_c and C_c . It is obvious that any increase in the collector capacitance will cause the impedances which depend on C_c to decrease, perhaps slowly in the beginning but rather rapidly as C_c attains values higher than its nominal value. The effect of r_c , however, is not as easily predictable. For values of r_c less than nominal, the absolute value of the impedance will increase since both the real and the imaginary parts increased with r_c . As the value of r_c becomes much larger than nominal, the real part begins to decrease while the imaginary part continues increasing. To the extent for which information is available, $|Z|$, which depends almost equally on the real and imaginary parts (table II), will continue to increase with increasing r_c but at an ever-decreasing rate. The phase angle will experience a rapid increase since the imaginary part increases and the real part decreases with r_c . It should be kept in mind that all the parameter variations are for a frequency of 1.0 kc. For any other frequency the curves might assume a completely different form.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Mr. George Kambouris for many helpful suggestions regarding this investigation.

5. REFERENCES

1. IBM General Information Manual, Programmer's Primer for FORTRAN Automatic Coding System for the IBM 704 Data Processing System.
2. DOFL TR-502, "Transistor Parameter Variations in the VLF Range", G. Kambouris and H. Morris, 1 September 1957.

DISTRIBUTION

Department of the Army
Office of the Chief of Ordnance
The Pentagon, Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: ORDTN (Nuclear & Special Components Br)
Attn: ORDTB (Research Br)

Commanding General
Army Ballistic Missile Agency
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama
Attn: Technical Documents Library

Commanding Officer
Picatinny Arsenal
Dover, New Jersey
Attn: Feltman Research & Engineering Laboratories
Attn: Library

Commanding Officer
U. S. Army Signal Research & Development Laboratory
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey
Attn: Electronic Components Research Dept
Attn: Tech Library
Attn: Dr. B. Reich
Attn: Mr. Fred Gordon
Attn: Sig. FM - Flash El P.D.C.

Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Bureau of Ships,
Main Navy Bldg, Rm 3346

Commander
U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory
Corona, California
Attn: Documents Librarian

Commander
U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak, Silver Spring 19, Maryland
Attn: Tech Library

Department of the Navy
Bureau of Naval Weapons
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: DLI-3, Tech Library

Commander
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Solid-State Electronics Br, S. Paull
Attn: Tech Library
Attn: Laddie Rhodes
Attn: George Abrahams

DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

**Components & Techniques Laboratory
Air Research & Development Center
Bedford, Mass
Attn: E. Czerlinsky**

**Commander
Armed Services Technical Information Agency
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington 12, Virginia
Attn: TIPDR (10 copies)**

**National Bureau of Standards
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Library
Attn: J. C. French, 14.02 (207 EL)
Attn: P. A. Mantek, 12.01 (331 CL)
Attn: Sidney Geller, 12.01 (331 CL)**

**Advisory Group on Electron Tubes
346 Broadway
New York 13, New York
Attn: Chief, Technical Reference Section**

**Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc.
Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill, New Jersey
Attn: Dr. J. M. Early**

**Office, Chief of Research and Development
Department of the Army
Missile and Space Division
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Capt John McClary**

**Stanford University
Electronics Research Laboratory
Stanford, California
Attn: J. P. Paddock**

**Airinc
1700 "K" Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C.
Attn: A. J. Dellinger**

Internal Distribution

Hinman, W. S., Jr./McEvoy, R. W.
Apstein, M./Gerwin, H. L./Guarino, P. A./Kalmus, H. P.
Fong, L. B. C./Schwenk, C. C.
Hardin, C. D., Lab 100
Horton, B. M., Lab 200
Rotkin, I., Lab 300
Landis, P. E./Tuccinardi, T. E., Lab 400
Hatcher, R. D., Lab 500
Flyer, I. N., Lab 600
Campagna, J. H./Apolenis, C. J., Div 700
DeMasi, R., Div 800
Franklin, P. J./Horse, E. F., Lab 900
Seaton, J. W./260
Lilimatainen, T. M., 920
Kaiser, Q. C., 920
Williams, D., 920
Van Trump, J. H., 930
Young, R. T., 930
Kambouris, G. N., 930
Otley, K. O., 930
Baldini, B. P., 930
Kyriakopoulos, N., 930 (10 copies)
Technical Reports Unit/800 (3 copies)
Technical Information Office, 010 (10 copies)
DOFL Library (5 copies)

(Two pages of abstract cards follow)

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

REMOVAL OF EACH CARD WILL BE NOTED ON INSIDE BACK COVER, AND REMOVED CARDS WILL BE TREATED AS REQUIRED BY THEIR SECURITY CLASSIFICATION.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

AD

Accession No. _____

Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C.

DEPENDENCE OF Z-PARAMETERS ON THE LF TRANSISTOR T-EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT - Nicholas Kyriakopoulos

Transistors

TR-934, 5 April 1961, 8 pp text, 13 pp illus. OMS No. 5530.1156900
DOFL Proj. 90295, UNCLASSIFIED Report

The Z-parameters of a transistor have been calculated in terms of the transistor T-equivalent circuit parameters. The calculations have been made for a frequency of 1.0 kc. In addition, each of the independent equivalent circuit parameters was halved and doubled while the rest were held constant, and the effect on the Z-parameters investigated.

The results indicate that in the common base and common emitter configurations the input impedance depends on r_b only, while the forward transfer impedance depends on r_b and r_e . The rest of the parameters are functions of r_c and C_c only.

REMOVAL OF EACH CARD WILL BE NOTED ON INSIDE BACK COVER, AND REMOVED CARDS WILL BE TREATED AS REQUIRED BY THEIR SECURITY CLASSIFICATION.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED