

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 261 051

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

261051

Restone

U. S. A R M Y
TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COMMAND
FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA

TREC TECHNICAL REPORT 61-57

**FABRICATION AND FIELD EVALUATION OF A HIGH-CAPACITY,
HIGH-EFFICIENCY CHARGE-AIR FILTER SYSTEM**

FOR ARMY AIRCRAFT

(Phase I. Design, Fabrication, and Initial Evaluation)

Project 9-89-02-000 ST 137 AV

Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-495

June 1961

NOX

7141-X-2

prepared by :

FRAM CORPORATION
Providence 16, Rhode Island



THE REPRODUCIBILITY OF PORTIONS OF
THIS DOCUMENT WERE IMPROVED BY A
SPECIAL REPRODUCTION PROCESS

Mr. L. M. Bartone/bsb/21528

HEADQUARTERS
U. S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COMMAND
TRANSPORTATION CORPS
Fort Eustis, Virginia

TCREC-ADS 9R38-01-017-45

SUBJECT: Aircraft Carburetor Air Filter Systems

TO: See Distribution List

1. The inclosed Phase I final report is a discussion of the contractor's efforts to design, fabricate, and test a prototype carburetor charge-air filter system. This work was a follow up to a previous program for the development of a high efficiency, high capacity filter media. (Contract DA 44-177-TC-363, Study, Investigation and Preliminary Design of a Universal Dry Type Engine Charge-Air Filter Element).

2. The results of the tests of the prototype system indicate that a high capacity, high efficiency filter system has been achieved.

3. Comparative evaluation tests of the filter designed in this program versus present systems have been made in Phase II of present contract. The results of this evaluation will be reported separately in a subsequent report.

4. Recommendations regarding further specific application development of this filter system will be withheld pending analysis of wear test results comparing the newly designed system with presently used systems. The report of the wear studies will be available by 1 August 1961.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl
as


EARL A. WIRTH
CWO-4 USA
Adjutant

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission, to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

* * *

ASTIA AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from

Armed Services Technical Information Agency
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington 12, Virginia

* * *

This report has been released to the Office of Technical Services, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D. C. for sale to the general public.

* * *

The information contained herein will not be used for advertising purposes.

The findings and recommendations contained in this report are those of the contractor and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Chief of Transportation or the Department of the Army.

This report presents the design and prototype field evaluation of a new high capacity, high efficiency air filtration system for use on light fixed and rotary wing aircraft. This work was the outgrowth of the original development of an optimum filter medium to protect the air induction system of aircraft from the ingestion of large amounts of dust and other air-borne contaminants.

Preliminary laboratory testing and a field test of the new engine air filtration system were carried out using an Army H-23 helicopter as a test vehicle. The field test program culminated with the certification of the air filter unit by the Federal Aviation Agency (S. T. C. SH1-517 dated 11-16-60). Results of both laboratory and field testing are reported in detail.

This report presents the design and prototype field evaluation of a new high capacity, high efficiency air filtration system for use on light fixed and rotary wing aircraft. This work was the outgrowth of the original development of an optimum filter medium to protect the air induction system of aircraft from the ingestion of large amounts of dust and other air-borne contaminants.

Preliminary laboratory testing and a field test of the new engine air filtration system were carried out using an Army H-23 helicopter as a test vehicle. The field test program culminated with the certification of the air filter unit by the Federal Aviation Agency (S. T. C. SH1-517 dated 11-16-60). Results of both laboratory and field testing are reported in detail.

This report presents the design and prototype field evaluation of a new high capacity, high efficiency air filtration system for use on light fixed and rotary wing aircraft. This work was the outgrowth of the original development of an optimum filter medium to protect the air induction system of aircraft from the ingestion of large amounts of dust and other air-borne contaminants.

Preliminary laboratory testing and a field test of the new engine air filtration system were carried out using an Army H-23 helicopter as a test vehicle. The field test program culminated with the certification of the air filter unit by the Federal Aviation Agency (S. T. C. SH1-517 dated 11-16-60). Results of both laboratory and field testing are reported in detail.

This report presents the design and prototype field evaluation of a new high capacity, high efficiency air filtration system for use on light fixed and rotary wing aircraft. This work was the outgrowth of the original development of an optimum filter medium to protect the air induction system of aircraft from the ingestion of large amounts of dust and other air-borne contaminants.

Preliminary laboratory testing and a field test of the new engine air filtration system were carried out using an Army H-23 helicopter as a test vehicle. The field test program culminated with the certification of the air filter unit by the Federal Aviation Agency (S. T. C. SH1-517 dated 11-16-60). Results of both laboratory and field testing are reported in detail.

Fram Corporation, Providence, R.I., FABRICATION AND FIELD EVALUATION OF A HIGH CAPACITY, HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR CHARGE FILTER SYSTEM FOR ARMY AIRCRAFT - B. S. McCarroll and J. W. Jackel. Final Report, May, 1961, pp-illus-tables DA Proj 9R38-01-017-45 (Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-495) (Phase I) (over)	1. Air Intake Filters 2. Induction Systems (Air)	Fram Corporation, Providence, R.I., FABRICATION AND FIELD EVALUATION OF A HIGH CAPACITY, HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR CHARGE FILTER SYSTEM FOR ARMY AIRCRAFT - B. S. McCarroll and J. W. Jackel. Final Report, May, 1961 pp-illus-tables DA Proj 9R38-01-017-45 (Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-495) (Phase I) (over)	1. Air Intake Filters 2. Induction Systems (Air)
Fram Corporation, Providence, R.I., FABRICATION AND FIELD EVALUATION OF A HIGH CAPACITY, HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR CHARGE FILTER SYSTEM FOR ARMY AIRCRAFT - B. S. McCarroll and J. W. Jackel. Final Report, May, 1961 pp-illus-tables DA Proj 9R38-01-017-45 (Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-495) (Phase I) (over)	1. Air Intake Filters 2. Induction Systems (Air)	Fram Corporation, Providence, R.I., FABRICATION AND FIELD EVALUATION OF A HIGH CAPACITY, HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR CHARGE FILTER SYSTEM FOR ARMY AIRCRAFT - B. S. McCarroll and J. W. Jackel. Final Report, May, 1961 pp-illus-tables DA Proj 9R38-01-017-45 (Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-495) (Phase I) (over)	1. Air Intake Filters 2. Induction Systems (Air)

Project 9-89-02-000 ST 137 AV
Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-495
May 1961

FABRICATION AND FIELD EVALUATION OF A HIGH-CAPACITY,
HIGH-EFFICIENCY CHARGE-AIR FILTER SYSTEM
FOR ARMY AIRCRAFT
(Phase I. Design, Fabrication, and Initial Evaluation)

Prepared by:
Fram Corporation
Providence 16, Rhode Island

for
U. S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH COMMAND
FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA

FOREWORD

The Transportation Research Command entered into a contract on 30 June 1958 with the Fram Corporation for the purpose of evaluating and qualifying a new high capacity air filtration system for light aircraft. This contract was a logical sequel to the basic development of the filtration media and preliminary design considerations which took place under Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-363.

The work under this contract was divided into two phases:

Phase I included the fabrication, evaluation and qualification of an air filtration system for the H-23C helicopter.

Phase II consisted of a field wear study of the H-23C power plant through the utilization of radioactive tracer techniques. The purpose of this work was to evaluate the effect of air and lube oil filtration on the life of critical engine parts, and to evaluate the feasibility of using nucleonic instrumentation for aircraft field tests. A separate final report has been written to present the results of the wear study.

Phase I of this contract was completed 16 November 1960 with the issuance of an F.A.A. Supplemental Type Certificate for the air filtration system. Phase I work required the combined efforts of personnel from the Aircraft Filter and Research Divisions of the Fram Engineering Department in East Providence, Rhode Island. Many people contributed valuable assistance during this evaluation study. Mr. R. Harrison, Design Draftsman of the Aircraft Filter Division, was especially helpful in finalizing the design and in the preparation of the necessary drawings for submission to the Federal Aviation Agency.

Significant contributions in the prototype fabrication were made by Messrs. L. Bessette and R. Lincoln, Sample Shop Supervisor and Toolmaker respectively of the Liquid Filter Division.

Also of great assistance in the flight test program were personnel of the TRECOM Air Section at Fort Eustis, Virginia, under the command of Captain William E. Hart.

The Fram Engineering Department recognizes the importance of effective liaison work between the Contracting Officer and the Contractor during the execution of a study of this type. The work of Branch Head, Mr. L. Bartone, and his assistants, Lts. Donald S. Webster and Thomas B. Allardice, established a propitious atmosphere between the interested parties during this contract.

Fram Project Engineers, B. S. McCarroll and J. W. Jackel, authored this report. The entire development project was carried out under the direction of Mr. W. E. Dowdell, Director, Aircraft Filter Division, Fram Corporation.

CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD	iii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	3
DESIGN & PROTOTYPE FABRICATION	4
PRELIMINARY GROUND TESTING	23
SYSTEM FLIGHT SAFETY CHECK	25
APPENDIX - FLIGHT TEST DATA	35

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	High Capacity-High Efficiency Air Filter for H-23C Helicopter	5
2	Original H-23C Engine Air Induction System	9
3	Filter Housing	11
4	High Capacity-High Efficiency Air Filter Element	13
5	Curve of Clean Pressure Drop vs. Rotor R. P. M. for Original and High Capacity-High Efficiency	17
6	Installation Drawing of High Capacity-High Efficiency Filter System	19
7	Air Media Test Stand	21
8	Laboratory Icing Test	27

TABLES

Table		Page
1	Cylinder Temperatures, Original Installation . . .	37
2	Cylinder Temperatures, H-23C Installation, Clean . .	39
3	Cylinder Temperatures, H-23C Installation, Restricted .	41
4	Carburetor Heat Rise, Original Installation . . .	43
5	Carburetor Heat Rise at 75% Rated B. H. P., Original Installation	45
6	Carburetor Heat Rise, H-23C Installation, Clean . .	49
7	Carburetor Heat Rise at 75% Rated B. H. P., H-23C Installation, Clean	51
8	Carburetor Heat Rise, H-23C Installation, Restricted .	55
9	Carburetor Heat Rise at 75% Rated B. H. P., H-23C Installation, Restricted	57
10	Carburetor Cooling During Climb, Original Installation, Data	61
11	Carburetor Cooling During Climb, Original Installation, Data Evaluation	65
12	Carburetor Cooling During Climb, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter Data	67
13	Carburetor Cooling During Climb, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data Evaluation	71
14	Carburetor Cooling During Climb, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data	73
15	Carburetor Cooling During Climb, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data Evaluation	77
16	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at Maximum Weight, Original Installation, Data	79

TABLES

Table		Page
17	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at Maximum Weight, Original Installation, Data Evaluation	81
18	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at Maximum Weight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data	83
19	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at Maximum Weight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data Evaluation	85
20	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at Maximum Weight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data	87
21	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at Maximum Weight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data Evaluation	89
22	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2400# Weight, Original Installation, Data	91
23	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2400# Weight, Original Installation, Data Evaluation	93
24	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2400# Weight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data	95
25	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2400# Weight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data Evaluation	97
26	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2400# Weight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data	99
27	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2400# Weight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data Evaluation	101
28	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2300# Weight, Original Installation, Data	103
29	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2300# Weight, Original Installation, Data Evaluation	105
30	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2300# Weight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data	107

TABLES

Table		Page
31	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2300# Weight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data Evaluation . . .	109
32	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2300# Weight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data . . .	111
33	Carburetor Cooling During Hover at 2300# Weight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data Evaluation . . .	113
34	Carburetor Cooling During Level Flight, Original Installation, Data	115
35	Carburetor Cooling During Level Flight, Original Installation, Data Evaluation	117
36	Carburetor Cooling During Level Flight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data	119
37	Carburetor Cooling During Level Flight, H-23C Installation, Clean Filter, Data Evaluation	121
38	Carburetor Cooling During Level Flight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data	123
39	Carburetor Cooling During Level Flight, H-23C Installation, Restricted Filter, Data Evaluation	125
40	Icing Test - Filter Media	127
41	Icing Test - Filter Media	129

SUMMARY

This report includes all of the work accomplished in the design, fabrication and field evaluation of a high capacity, high efficiency engine air filtration system.

Using the H-23 helicopter as a test vehicle, an air filter housing was designed and fabricated to house the dual media filter element developed under Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-363. The filter includes a pressure drop warning system and a bypass circuit to preclude any possibility of excessive induction system pressure drop occurring due to extreme contamination at the barrier filter.

An extensive field test program led to the optimization of the design and certification of the filtration system by the Federal Aviation Agency.

INTRODUCTION

The fundamental accomplishments realized during the study and investigation of dry filtration media under Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-363 made available a high capacity filter element for use on light aircraft. The application of this basic knowledge to the design of a compatible system for a particular aircraft required a full engineering evaluation substantiated by laboratory and field tests.

Preliminary designs of air charge induction filter systems for most Army aircraft were available for finalization and subsequent study. The Transportation Corps made available to the Contractor an H-23C aircraft for use in the evaluation of the air filter element and envelope.

The steps leading to qualification of the H-23C air filter system are outlined as follows:

1. Finalization of Design and Prototype Manufacture

- a. Modification to the filter element components to insure economical manufacture, to facilitate maintenance, and to maintain optimum performance.
- b. Design of a filter housing to provide optimum air flow characteristics without compromising on engine performance.
- c. Design of a sensitive pressure drop warning system to provide visual indication of excessive filter element pressure drop.
- d. Incorporation of a quick opening backfire door to protect the filter element and to provide an alternate air supply entrance downstream of the filter.

2. Preliminary Tests

- a. A test to simulate carburetor flooding and to prove the capabilities of the fuel drainage provisions in the new design system.
- b. Test stand operation of the pressure drop warning system to insure validity.
- c. Laboratory test to check the operation of the back-fire door mechanism under reverse pressure.
- d. Operation of the system with humidity and temperature levels of the incoming air charge adjusted to promote cartridge icing. This test was devised to ascertain the effects of ice formation on air flow as indicated by abnormal restriction build-up.

3. System Flight Safety Check

- a. The complete air induction filter system to be mounted on the H-23C and the aircraft flight tested for a sufficient period of time to prove the reliability of the new installation and establish the operation characteristics.
- b. Establish the effect (if any) which the new air filter system reflects on over-all engine performance.
- c. An indication of final qualification from the Federal Aviation Agency in the form of a Supplemental Type Certificate.

DESIGN AND PROTOTYPE FABRICATION

The transition from the preliminary air filter design to the final system design as shown in Figure 1 occurred as a series of logical modifications during the laboratory and flight test programs. The basic evolution of the system will be traced in this section while much of the detail description and substantiating reasons for the design changes will be discussed in the section on flight testing.



Figure 1. High Capacity-High Efficiency Air Filter for H-23C Helicopter

Substitution of the new design air filter in place of the existing mixing box unit (Figure 2) without major alterations to the air intake system was a definite consideration in the finalization of the design. A filter housing as shown in Figure 3 was designed to be installed just below the carburetor and physically about in the same position formerly occupied by the air mixing box. The hot air duct from the muffler was made an integral part of the housing. The basic envelope for the "A" size filter element (Figure 4) was retained from the preliminary design and a dual purpose bypass and backfire door was incorporated into the cartridge entrance cover.

The initial stages of the flight test program pointed out a definite weakness in this design. The introduction of the hot air supply through a door hinged in a vertical line tended to direct the hot air stream toward one side of the filter cartridge and resulted in a media collapse problem. This factor dictated a change in design whereby the hot air duct was divorced from the filter housing. The warm air intake in the filter housing was moved somewhat upstream from its original position and relocated on the bottom of the housing. The connection between the muffler and filter housing was made flexible. The repositioning of this intake allowed the addition of an internal air deflector and the pivoting of the mixture door in a horizontal line. This resulted in a more favorable blending of the hot and cold air supplies.

A by-product of this design change is a housing which is more economical to produce and easier to install as a substitute for the mixing box. The elimination of the hot air duct as an integral part of the filter reduced the required welding on the housing considerably. The flexibility between the muffler and the filter will facilitate field installation and remove the need for costly close tolerance dimensioning of the housing.

Further design changes occurred as a direct result of comparative engine tests under full power conditions. Carburetor air temperatures higher than those recorded with the original mixing box design pointed out the need for reducing the transfer of heat from the engine to the incoming air stream.

The first approach to solving the "high temperature" problem was to reorient the filter housing to a position away from the engine and into an area of lower temperature. This relocation of the housing did lower the carburetor air temperatures to an acceptable level. However, subsequent evaluation of the system pointed out the need for further study of the "high temperature" problem.




Figure 2. Original H-23C Engine Air Induction System




Figure 3. Filter Housing




Figure 4. High Capacity-High Efficiency Air Filter Element

The minimum acceptable carburetor air "heat-rise" (for the particular engine being used) should not exceed 90°F. At the new location of the filter, this minimum heat rise could not be obtained because of the excessive dissipation of heat from the muffler over the relatively long air induction path. A search was made for an optimum filter location where carburetor air temperature for both normal and "full heat" usage was acceptable. It was determined in field testing that the only feasible filter location, which would provide the proper carburetor deicing heat, was the original location directly below the carburetor.

Now that the position of the air filter was finalized, a method had to be found whereby the aluminum filter body could be insulated from the engine heat sources. It was determined that there were two sources of heat affecting the filter installation. The first source was muffler heat which was conducted via the hot air ducting to the filter body. The installation of a butterfly valve to the muffler hot air exit and the asbestos insulation of the filter hot air door limited this heat transfer to an acceptable level.

Insulation of the filter housing from the second heat source, radiation heat from the engine, proved a more formidable problem. This heat source produced temperatures of as high as 200°F. at the forward face of the housing. Shielding the filter body from the engine was tried, but proved unsatisfactory because of the space limitations. Various insulating materials to be applied to the filter body were investigated. After laboratory testing of insulation materials, a polyvinyl chloride coating was selected as a suitable, long life insulating material which could be applied at a reasonable cost. This protection was applied to the vertical sides of the filter to a thickness of .050 inches through a dipping process. Subsequent field tests proved the suitability of the plastic coating as reflected by the flight data in Appendix I.

The new air filter system is approximately 3.5 pounds heavier than the original mixing box system. The filter housing, a clean cartridge, adapter and pressure-drop switch weigh 6.5 pounds while the weight of the mixing box and flocked screen filter is approximately 3 pounds. In other words, a high capacity-high efficiency air filter has been added to protect the engine at a "cost" of 3.5 pounds.

A reliable and simple warning system to give a visual indication of the end of the useful life of the filter element was considered a desirable supplement to the new filter system. Military Specification F-7194 was used as a guide during all of the design considerations and Paragraph 4.3.3.1.1.2 was adhered to in determining the maximum pressure drop (8 inches of water) to be tolerated across the filter element.

The ground test data for the original filter installation (see Figure 5) indicated that a pressure drop of about 1.5 inches exists in the H-23C system from the inlet scoop to the entrance to the carburetor. Therefore, a pressure drop of 9.5 inches of water between the air intake and the carburetor was established as a maximum restriction.

A suitable pressure switch for detecting pressure differential in the "zero to ten inches of water" range was procured. This metal diaphragm type switch with normally open contacts is designed for use in a 28 volt circuit and will tolerate up to a maximum of 5 amperes current. It is designed for aircraft application and has an AN approved snap action switch element.


The switch is mounted on the adapter directly beneath the carburetor and is connected to the aircraft's electrical circuit as shown in AX-26074, (Figure 6). The circuit is protected by a 5 ampere breaker mounted on the auxiliary cockpit panel.

The warning system produces a visual signal in the cockpit when a pressure drop of 9.5 inches (± 0.5 in.) of water exists between the air intake scoop and the carburetor. A flexible cable attached to the bypass door and terminating in the cockpit permits the pilot to introduce an alternate unfiltered air supply.

The physical sizes of the family of three high capacity filter cartridges were determined under the basic research contract. However, optimization of the media pattern for the "A" size cartridge was accomplished under this contract. Changes to the media configuration and the design characteristics of the cartridge will be discussed here, while modification to the media specifications which were a direct result of the flight test program will be discussed as part of that work.

Considerable testing of the filter element was carried out on an air media test stand, Figure 7, at air flows up to 300 CFM while using heavy concentrations of aspirated A. C. Dust (9 grams per minute) to determine the optimum pleat configuration. The use of an inner ring of 70 one inch pleats of filter paper within an outer layer of 3/4 inch batt material has consistently resulted in excellent capacity and efficiency characteristics.

FIGURE 5
Clean Pressure Drop vs. Engine R. P. M.
H-23C Helicopter
Ground Check - North Central Airport



H-23C ENGINE R.P.M.




Figure 7. Air Media Test Stand

The final design of the filter element has included a change from an aluminum end cap with attached gasket to a molded end cap which also serves as a seal between the element and housing. The metal end was used in conjunction with a cellular sponge synthetic rubber gasket which had to be cut and correctly bonded to the end cap. The bonding process consisted of a cycle which included the application of a pre-coat before the adhesive was introduced and would have resulted in a costly production procedure. Any deviation from the correct bonding technique could have resulted in loose gaskets and a faulty filter system.

Therefore, to lower production costs and to come up with a better designed cartridge, the use of a molded end (vinyl copolymer) has been introduced in place of the aluminum end cap. The orientation of the outer screening has been shifted to provide a tight lock between the plastic and the metal screen at the element ends. Figure 4 shows the final cartridge design.

Fundamentally, the over-all design of the filter housing was not changed, since the flow characteristics provided by the preliminary design (Contract No. DA 44-177-TC-363 Phase II) were good.

PRELIMINARY GROUND TESTING

A laboratory test program to check the reliability of the H-23C filter system before integrating the unit into the aircraft for flight testing was considered essential. Therefore, one of the aluminum prototypes was mounted on the air media test stand with all of the associated controls appended and thoroughly checked for safe operation under normal and unusual climatic conditions.

Adequate provision for the possibility of excessive fuel drainage from the up-draft carburetor as a result of unusual conditions was considered in the design of the housing backfire door. Gasoline from the carburetor can flow down into the concave profile of the door and be relieved through a check valve in the line. This ball check valve opens when the air flow through the system is below approximately 125 cubic feet per minute. The six cylinder air cooled engine in the H-23C requires air at a rate of 300 cubic feet per minute for full power at 3100 revolutions per minute engine speed. Allowing for ground operations at engine speeds down to 2000 revolutions per minute and reduced volumetric efficiencies, this valve will be closed whenever the engine is breathing.

Proper operation of the pressure drop warning system was included as part of the bench testing program. The pressure switch, which measures the differential between atmospheric pressure and induction system pressure downstream of the filter cartridge, has good repeatability. It was checked in excess of 50 times on the test stand with fully loaded cartridges in the system and reliably indicated the need for bypass operation. The switch closes at 9.5 inches ($\pm$ 0.5 inches) of water (vacuum). Closing activates the control panel warning light. The differential travel of the switch between open and close was kept to a minimum so that if operational circumstances permit a reduction of air speed, the resulting decrease in pressure drop at lower flow rates will remove the warning signal and indicate the continued use of the filter. The major warning system components including the switch signal light and circuit breaker are AN items.

The ability of the new system to withstand a backfire through the intake manifold was a major consideration of the preliminary testing program. The medium adjacent to the center support screen in the filter element is a flameproof paper which definitely does not support combustion. The 16 x 16 mesh screen also inhibits the spread of flames.

A severe backfire test was carried out on an engine dynamometer test stand. The filter housing with an element in position was mounted on the down draft carburetor of a six cylinder automotive engine which in turn was coupled to a fluid torque load. The engine timing was dis-oriented so that reverse fires were created with flames extending back through the filter element center tube. One element was subjected to fifty backfires of varying intensity without any evidence of continued combustion. The spring loaded backfire door adequately relieved the pressure build-up. The element, which withstood the backfire test, was transferred to the air media test stand and its performance characteristics were found to be equal to a typical "A" size element.

The formation of ice on the filter element was investigated in the laboratory from the standpoint: "What will happen to engine performance when atmospheric conditions promoted the growth of crystals of ice on the fine fibers of the batt material in the new element?" This problem was studied on the air media test stand and the static pressure drop through the element was scrutinized for evidence of excessive restriction build-up.

The ice study had to be performed with a one-half size cartridge to permit the use of refrigeration equipment (Figure 8) of reasonable capacity. The air flow through the element was reduced to 150 cubic feet per minute so that face velocity of the air was equivalent to the maximum value.

Finely divided particles of water from two nozzles were introduced into the cold moving air stream about eighteen inches upstream of the element. Adjustments in air temperature and relative humidity were made until favorable conditions for icing at the element existed. As ice formed through the batt medium, coarse A. C. Dust was aspirated intermittently at a rate of nine grams per minute. The test was terminated when the restriction through the system reached ten inches of water.

Two icing tests (Tables 40 and 41) are included as part of this report. The static pressure build-up, although more rapid than under temperate conditions was definitely not alarming. The test indicated that if ice formed through the medium, the filter could be left in the system and normal air charge heating procedures followed until thawing relieved the condition.

SYSTEM FLIGHT SAFETY CHECK

A general flight safety check program was scheduled to qualify the new dry type air filter for optional usage on the test vehicle. The aim of this phase of the evaluation study was to prove the reliability of the new filter system in flight and to determine the extent of any changes in engine performance due to the substitution of the batt-paper filter (e. g. power loss).

Initial flight testing was carried out over local areas approved by the F. A. A. One of these areas adjacent to the North Central State Airport in Smithfield, Rhode Island contained terrain with loose topsoil and gravel which provided severe dust concentrations when stirred up by a hovering helicopter.




Figure 8. Laboratory Icing Test

Various engine parameters were established with the standard flock-screen type air filter in the system and a typical ground check at various engine speeds is shown in Figure 7. A static pressure drop of about 1.5 inches of water at 3100 revolutions per minute was measured by manometer with manifold pressure running about 15 to 16 inches of mercury. Very slight increases in the static pressure were in evidence after substitution of the new high capacity cartridge with housing. No significant changes in manifold pressure were detected.

A flight test to establish the reliability of the pressure drop warning system was performed. A filter element contaminated with about 300 grams of A. C. Coarse Dust was inserted in the system and the helicopter operated at full power in a three to four foot hover. The warning light gave immediate notice of the maximum permissible restriction. The helicopter was kept in a hover for approximately thirty minutes while the bypass control was intermittently operated to insure the reliability of the pressure switch and associated system. No fault was found with the warning device or the bypass control. However, this test was brought to an abrupt termination when the engine suffered a serious loss of power. The power loss was traced to spark plug fouling. A laboratory analysis of the deposits on the plugs revealed an eight percent silica content and suggested the possibility of dust migration from the artificially loaded element.

Further laboratory tests were immediately instigated to ascertain if the vibrations experienced during flight tended to loosen fine dust from the batt media and promote its passage through the filter paper. A definite migration of particles in the ten to thirty micron range was established by these tests on the air media test stand.

This was the first time that a contaminant migration problem had arisen. The possibility of such an occurrence had been investigated under the media research on the previous contract and at that time, simulated flight vibrations did not cause migration. The search for a new filter paper which would overcome fine contaminant migration was started and two papers of about the same porosity and pore number were investigated.

The use of a lower porosity paper had to be offset by introducing a new technique in the pleating process so that the clean element pressure drop did not significantly increase. A new process which puts corrugations in the paper medium contributes substantially to the overall performance of a filter element of this type. The technique allowed the use of a lower porosity paper with no sacrifice in clean restriction. The final configuration of the

paper is inherently easier to assemble in the envelope. The corrugations allow the paper to breathe properly and contribute greatly to increased capacity since useful filter medium area is increased. Also, overall filter element efficiency of better than 98 percent has been consistently maintained during the laboratory test program.

Simulated vibration tests as a check on migration with the new filter paper revealed a reduction of approximately 15 to 1 in the particle migration. Therefore, we feel that the new lower porosity paper has overcome the dirt retention problem with no increase in cost and has resulted in an element with better performance characteristics.

Another media problem turned up during the flight test program. It was noticed that the batt material in one particular area on the element periphery took a permanent set after extremely short periods of engine operation. The location of this batt collapse phenomena was on the side of the element facing the inlet duct and slightly to the left of the flow divider on the engine side of the housing.

At first this deformation problem was thought to be attributable to the high velocity air stream. However, the inclusion of a solid flow divider had no visible effect on the tendency of the batt fibers to crush together.

Since the melting temperature of the air laid batt material was known to be in the range of 165° to 200°F., the possibility of high temperature air changing the characteristics of the batt medium was next considered. An iron constantan thermocouple capable of reasonable accuracy over the temperature range expected was used to record batt temperatures around the periphery of the filter element during flight tests.

Batt temperatures were recorded for various settings of the carburetor heat control over the range from no heat added to full carburetor heat with indicated temperatures of better than 122°F. at the carburetor. The results of this investigation were as follows:

1. With no introduction of hot air, the batt did not deform.
2. Temperatures as high as 240° to 250°F. were recorded in the batt to support carburetor air temperatures in the range of 89° to 130°F.
3. Temperature of the batt varied considerably around the periphery of the cartridge. A temperature differential of approximately 100°F. existed between the area of the cartridge showing collapse and the side of the cartridge furthest from the incoming air charge.

4. A change in 30° to 40° F. in batt temperatures was encountered depending on whether the aircraft was oriented with the engine into the wind or not. This showed that radiation effects from the engine were contributing to high localized temperature levels in the element.

A. C. Dust tests using cartridges with deformed batt media proved that actually the collapse did not lead to a significant loss of capacity or efficiency. This can probably be attributed to the fact that the pre-cleaner compression actually took place over a small area and that at moderate face velocities, the density of the batt is not too critical. However, an investigation was deemed necessary to overcome this problem.

Two approaches were taken to circumvent the difficulties introduced by the high temperature air stream. First, the supplier of the batt material was asked to change the batt blend so that higher temperatures could be tolerated at no risk of a change in state. Secondly, a redesign of the filter housing was undertaken in an attempt to promote better mixing of the hot and cold air streams.

As previously described in the section on Design & Prototype Fabrication, the elimination of the hot air duct as an integral part of the filter and the movement of the hot air door to a position slightly upstream from its original location resulted in a more homogeneous mixing of the air supplies. Batt temperatures recorded after the redesign showed that even at "maximum heat", the media temperature did not go above 155°F.

A new batt blend which will remain stable at temperatures up to 300° F. is now available. This new medium has undergone considerable A. C. Dust testing and has capacity and efficiency characteristics equivalent to the present batt. Since the temperature problem has been avoided by a better housing design, it is planned to specify the original batt. The new high temperature medium is good protection against a similar problem on another aircraft which might not lend itself to a change in filter design.

One of the most important of the design criteria for the new air filter installation was the maintenance of carburetor air temperature, cylinder temperatures, and oil temperature, within the ranges specified by the engine manufacturer.

In the field testing of the original mixing box installation (Tables 4 and 5) minimum carburetor air heat rise at 75% rated B.H.P. and 30°F. outside air temperature was in excess of 90°F. The carburetor cooling data (Tables 10, 16, 22, 28, and 34) showed a maximum C.A.T. rise of 17°F. above ambient temperature under maximum power, no wind conditions. The F.A.A. criteria was a minimum heat rise of 90°F. at 75% rated B.H.P. and a maximum of 14°-17°F. carburetor air temperature above ambient temperature for any power requirement.

Cylinder temperatures and oil inlet temperatures on the original installation, the new installation unrestricted, and the new installation restricted were within their maximum permissible operating temperatures as shown in Tables 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37 and 39.

The cylinder and carburetor air temperature data was monitored with iron constantan thermocouples and a bridge circuit pyrometer potentiometer. A thermocouple probe was inserted into the carburetor throat inlet in place of the aircraft's temperature probe and associated gauge. Cylinders were monitored at the head through the use of a tabbed spark plug gasket to which the thermocouple was welded.

The F.A.A. flight test program for qualification of the new induction system for a Supplemental Type Certificate was conducted in three phases for direct comparison of the original air filter installation, the new air filter installation, and the new installation restricted to 10 inches of water pressure differential. An outline of this program follows:

- I. Test to determine hottest cylinder.
- II. Full power hover tests at maximum gross weights.
- III. Full power hover tests at 100 pounds less than maximum gross weight.
- IV. Full power hover tests at 200 pounds less than maximum gross weight.
- V. Full throttle climb tests at gross weight.
- VI. Carburetor air heat tests.

The first full power tests brought out the fact that the new filter installation design when located directly below the carburetor, raised the average level of carburetor air temperature approximately 25°F. The average carburetor air temperature with the new filter in the system was about 135°F. for an outside air temperature of about 77°F. Carburetor air temperatures with the original mixing box design averaged about 110°F. with the same outside air temperature. An increase in carburetor air temperature of about 10°F. could result in approximately a one percent loss in power output. Therefore, this differential could have contributed to a 2 to 3 percent power loss. A lowering of performance was looked upon as an undesirable by-product of a design change and steps were immediately instigated to bring engine performance characteristics back in line.

Apparently, the insertion of the high efficiency-high capacity filter element in the air induction system had permitted ambient air temperature effects to have considerable influence on the air charge just before the charge entered the carburetor. The ambient air temperature in the area below the carburetor at the forward wall of the new filter averaged 160-180°F. due to the close proximity of the engine. The diffusing action upstream of the filter element allowed a greater transfer of heat from the surrounding atmosphere than could occur under the higher stream velocities existing through the original mixing box.

The element was removed from the housing and carburetor air temperatures checked with the air passing through the empty housing. Under these conditions there was no build-up in carburetor air temperature and it was concluded that the temperature rise could be attributed to ambient effects on the low velocity air charge.

A change in the location of the filter housing seemed to be a reasonable approach to elimination of the high temperature problem. As a first step, the housing was moved away from the engine by the introduction of a 45° elbow adapter between the carburetor and the filter. A metal shield was also placed forward of the filter to intercept and deflect the hot air being blown back by the cooling fan. Carburetor air temperatures measured using this design configuration were very slightly lower than with the housing directly below the carburetor.

The next step involved the more radical movement of the filter housing to a position entirely away from the carburetor and out of the area between the two mufflers. Full power tests at maximum gross weight now showed that carburetor air temperatures were approximately the same for the new filter system as they had been with the original air filter system. Moving the housing into a location in which cool air could circulate around the unit eliminated the increase in the temperature of the incoming air charge. However, as was previously mentioned, this relocation lengthened the path the hot air charge travelled to the extent that carburetor air temperature rose by only 60°F. at full heat conditions. This was corrected by the application of the insulated housing design located directly beneath the carburetor. Tables 7 and 9 graphically display the final design deicing characteristics of the system.

In summary of the field data obtained and notated in the accompanying tables, we can state that no significant difference can be detected between the performance characteristics of the H-23C engine being supplied air through a high capacity filter system, and one breathing through the original flocked screen type air cleaner system.

For test purposes, a high capacity cartridge was artificially restricted to 10 inches of water. A loss of manifold pressure of 0.5 inches of mercury existed when this cartridge was placed in the system. A manifold pressure drop of similar magnitude should be expected with a cartridge contaminated to a level which causes the by-pass warning light to be activated.

The field data of the report tables was submitted to the F.A.A. to support a request for a Supplemental Type Certificate to cover the installation of this dry type high capacity-high efficiency air filter installation on the H-23C helicopter. After a confirming field check by F.A.A. personnel of their Flight Test and Propulsion Section, an F.A.A. Supplemental Type Certificate authorizing this installation on H-23C aircraft was issued 16 November 1960.

The final installation design of the system is included as Figure 8 of this report.

APPENDIX I

FLIGHT TEST DATA

Original Mixing Box Installation

DESCRIPTION: Hover at gross weight (2500 lbs.) and maximum
power at 50 ft. P. A.

[illegible]

TABLE 2

H-23C Filter Installation -
Clean CartridgeTEST TO DETERMINE HIGHEST CYLINDER HEAD TEMPERATUREDATE 19 July 1960WIND VELOCITY 6 KTSOUTSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE 93°F.BAR. PRESSURE 30.04REL. HUMIDITY 70%DESCRIPTION: Hover at gross weight (2500 lbs.) and maximum
power at 50 ft. P.A.

Time (Minutes)	MP In. Hg.	RPM	CYLINDER HEAD TEMPERATURE °F					
			#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
0	27	3100	355	280	350	330	345	320
1			394	297	387	354	380	352
2			404	305	396	369	390	362
3			407	311	405	375	400	367
4			411	312	412	384	412	367
5			415	314	414	385	413	371
6			415	316	414	391	411	372
7			416	316	415	397	413	371
8			417	317	416	399	410	374
9			418	318	416	400	411	375
10			420	315	416	400	415	377
11			422	316	418	405	416	377
12			418	315	417	395	416	377
13			419	315	416	390	417	377
14			421	318	414	388	416	375
15			421	315	414	388	415	371
16			422	312	412	390	415	371
17			420	316	415	391	413	372
18			419	312	417	391	416	371
19			420	316	416	395	417	370
20	27	3100	420	316	414	387	412	371
Peak Cylinder Temp:			422	318	418	405	417	377
Note: Washer Type Thermocouple			Temp. at cyl. head, max. allow. 530° F.					

TABLE 3

TEST TO DETERMINE HIGHEST CYLINDER HEAD TEMPERATUREDATE 19 July 1960WIND VELOCITY 6 KTSOUTSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE 93°F.BAR. PRESSURE 30.04REL. HUMIDITY 70%

DESCRIPTION: Hover at gross weight (2500 lbs.) and maximum
power at 50 ft. P. A.

Time (Minutes)	MP In. Hg.		RPM	CYLINDER HEAD TEMPERATURE °F					
				#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
0	27		3100	360	277	348	335	352	321
1				402	307	390	362	379	360
2				417	308	403	385	388	370
3				421	312	409	395	394	373
4				424	310	411	388	397	374
5				427	310	410	389	400	374
6				425	312	415	387	404	374
7				423	315	417	393	410	375
8				426	314	418	396	413	375
9				425	322	422	381	417	374
10				420	320	424	389	417	373
11				417	320	419	382	422	368
12				405	319	417	375	415	366
13				420	320	418	384	418	369
14				426	314	425	380	422	370
15				412	322	414	375	414	368
16				417	315	415	375	416	375
17				415	317	413	375	416	373
18				412	317	414	368	412	370
20	27		3100	416	322	422	380	419	374
Peak Cylinder Temp:				427	322	425	396	422	375
Note:				Washer Type Thermocouple					
				Temperature at Cylinder Head					
				Max. Allowable 530° F. 41					

TABLE 4

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
Installation

(6.462)

B. CARBURETOR HEAT RISE

1. ROTORCRAFT SHOULD BE AT MAXIMUM TAKE-OFF WEIGHT 2500 Lbs.,
 NORMAL C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM, REF. PAGE NO. AN-01-1B-40
~~WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO. -----~~; AND BE FLOWN IN LEVEL FLIGHT
 AT CRUISING MIXTURE SETTING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE. IF
 MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, USE ENGINE WITH LEAST C.A.H.R.

NOTE: MAY BE FLOWN AT ONLY ONE ALTITUDE IF O.A.T. OF 30 °F IS AVAILABLE	MINIMUM ALTITUDE P.A. <u>500</u> FEET				INTERMEDIATE ALTITUDE P.A. <u>2000</u> FEET				MAXIMUM ALTITUDE P.A. <u>4000</u> FEET			
	FULL THROTTLE OR H.C. POWER		90% IAS RUN 1		FULL THROTTLE OR H.C. POWER		90% IAS RUN 1		FULL THROTTLE OR H.C. POWER		90% IAS RUN 1	
RUN	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
HEAT CONTROL POSITION	COLD	HOT	COLD	HOT	COLD	HOT	COLD	HOT	COLD	HOT	COLD	HOT
O.A.T. °F (CORRECTED)	78		78		72.5		70.5		60		62.5	
C.A.T. °F (CORRECTED)	81.5	192.5	82.5	207.5	75	194	72	188	68	189	63.5	188
HEAT RISE °F	111		125		119		116		121		125	
AIR SPEED MPH IAS	77		58		67		62		63		47	
ENGINE RPM	3100		3100		3100		3100		3100		3100	
M.P. (IN. HG.)	27		22		26		22		24.5		20	
SEA LEVEL INDICATED BHP	186		143.5		178		143.5		165		127	
¹ PRESSURE COR- RECTION FACTOR												
STANDARD TEMP. AT PRESS. ALT. °F	57.2		57.2		51.8		51.8		44.78		44.78	
TEMP. CORRECTION FACTOR	.89		.88		.892		.889		.882		.882	
ACTUAL BHP	165.5		126.3		158.8		127.5		145.6		112	
$\frac{1}{2}$ RATED BHP	82.75		63.15		79.4		63.75		72.8		56	

Test Date: 6 July 1960

Barometer: 30.16

Relative Humidity: 40%

Two runs averaged for each reading.

¹FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES

TABLE 5

SECTION 111, POWER PLANT OPERATIONB. Carburetor Heat Rise (Continued)

2. What Is The Minimum Required Carburetor Air Heat Rise In °F? 90
3. For Sea Level Engines Employing Conventional Venturi Carburetors:
- (A) What Is The Actual Temperature Heat Rise At An Outside Air Temperature Of 30 °F At 75% M.C. Power? 132 °F (Should Be At Least 90 °F)
4. For Sea Level Engines Employing Carburetors Which Embody Features Tending To Reduce The Possibility Of Ice Formation: N/A
- (A) Is A Sheltered Alternate Source Of Air Provided? Yes No
- (B) What Is The Actual Temperature Of The Preheat Supplied To The Alternate Air Intake? _____ °F
- (C) Is This Temperature At Least Equal Or Greater Than That Provided By The Engine Cooling Air Downstream Of The Cylinders? Yes No
5. For Altitude Engines Employing Conventional Venturi Carburetors: N/A
- (A) What Is The Actual Temperature Heat Rise At An Outside Temperature Of 30 °F At 75% M.C. Power? _____ °F (Should Be At Least 120 °F)
6. For Altitude Engines Employing Carburetors Which Embody Features Tending To Reduce The Possibility Of Ice Formation: N/A
- (A) What Is The Actual Temperature Heat Rise At An Outside Temperature Of 30 °F At 75% M.C. Power? _____ °F (Should Be At Least 100 °F Except That If A Fluid Deicing System Is Used It Need Not Be Greater Than 40 °F)
- (B) If The Temperature Heat Rise Is Under 100 °F Is A Fluid Deicing System Incorporated?.....Not Applicable Yes No
7. For Multi-Engine Rotorcraft, On Which Engine Is The Carburetor Air Heat Rise Tests Reported? N/A
- (A) Is This The Engine With The Least C.A.H.R.?.....Yes No
8. Report Changes In Engine RPM And/Or M.P. Using Full Carburetor Heat (Or Maximum Recommended) With Both And Each Magnetos. N/A to helicopters
- Both _____ RPM; L _____ RPM; R _____ RPM
- (A) Report Usable Quantity Deicing Fluid System _____
- (B) Is Variable Flow Rate Provided?..... Yes No

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

B. CARBURETOR HEAT RISE (CONTINUED)

2. WHAT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED CARBURETOR AIR HEAT RISE IN °F? 90
3. FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES EMPLOYING CONVENTIONAL VENTURI CARBURETORS:
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? 132 °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 90 °F)
4. FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES EMPLOYING CARBURETORS WHICH EMBODY FEATURES TENDING TO REDUCE THE POSSIBILITY OF ICE FORMATION: N/A
- (A) IS A SHUTTERED ALTERNATE SOURCE OF AIR PROVIDED? Yes No
- (B) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE OF THE PREHEAT SUPPLIED TO THE ALTERNATE AIR INTAKE? _____ °F
- (C) IS THIS TEMPERATURE AT LEAST EQUAL OR GREATER THAN THAT PROVIDED BY THE ENGINE COOLING AIR DOWNSTREAM OF THE CYLINDERS? Yes No
5. FOR ALTITUDE ENGINES EMPLOYING CONVENTIONAL VENTURI CARBURETORS: N/A
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? _____ °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 120 °F)
6. FOR ALTITUDE ENGINES EMPLOYING CARBURETORS WHICH EMBODY FEATURES TENDING TO REDUCE THE POSSIBILITY OF ICE FORMATION: N/A
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? _____ °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 100 °F EXCEPT THAT IF A FLUID DEICING SYSTEM IS USED IT NEED NOT BE GREATER THAN 40 °F)
- (B) IF THE TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE IS UNDER 100 °F IS A FLUID DEICING SYSTEM INCORPORATED? NOT APPLICABLE Yes No
7. FOR MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, ON WHICH ENGINE IS THE CARBURETOR AIR HEAT RISE TESTS REPORTED? N/A
- (A) IS THIS THE ENGINE WITH THE LEAST C.A.H.R.? Yes No
8. REPORT CHANGES IN ENGINE RPM AND/OR H.P. USING FULL CARBURETOR HEAT (OR MAXIMUM RECOMMENDED) WITH BOTH AND EACH MAGNETO, N/A to helicopters
- BOTH _____ RPM; L _____ RPM; R _____ RPM
- (A) REPORT USABLE QUANTITY DEICING FLUID SYSTEM _____
- (B) IS VARIABLE FLOW RATE PROVIDED? Yes No

ORIGINAL INSTALLATION




TABLE 6

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

(6.462)

B. CARBURETOR HEAT RISE

1. ROTORCRAFT SHOULD BE AT MAXIMUM TAKE-OFF WEIGHT 2500 Lbs.,
 NORMAL C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM, REF. PAGE AN-01-1B-40
 WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO. -----, AND BE FLOWN IN LEVEL FLIGHT
 AT CRUISING MIXTURE SETTING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE. IF
 MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, USE ENGINE WITH LEAST C.A.H.R.

NOTE: MAY BE FLOWN AT ONLY ONE ALTITUDE IF O.A.T. OF 30 °F IS AVAILABLE	MINIMUM ALTITUDE P.A. <u>500</u> FEET		INTERMEDIATE ALTITUDE P.A. <u>2000</u> FEET		MAXIMUM ALTITUDE P.A. <u>5000</u> FEET	
	FULL THROTTLE OR H.C. POWER	90% IAS RUN 1	FULL THROTTLE OR H.C. POWER	90% IAS RUN 1	FULL THROTTLE OR H.C. POWER	90% IAS RUN 1
RUN	1 2	3 4	1 2	3 4	1 2	3 4
HEAT CONTROL POSITION	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT
O.A.T. °F (CORRECTED)	83	84	77.5	77.5	65.5	64
C.A.T. °F (CORRECTED)	90.5 189	90 188	83 180.5	83.5 183	76 175.5	77.5 180
HEAT RISE °F	98.5	98	97.5	99.5	99.5	102.5
AIR SPEED MPH IAS	72.5	60.5	71.5	64.5	55	48
ENGINE RPM	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100
M.P. (IN. HG.)	27	22	26	22	23	20
SEA LEVEL INDICATED BHP	186	143.5	178	143.5	152	127
¹ PRESSURE COR- RECTION FACTOR						
STANDARD TEMP. AT PRESS. ALT. °F	57.2		51.8		41.18	
TEMP. CORRECTION FACTOR	.892		.893		.888	
ACTUAL BHP	166	128	159	128	135	112.4
% RATED BHP	83	64	79.5	64	67.5	56.2

Test Date: 6 July 1960

Barometer: 29.98

Relative Humidity: 65%

Two runs averaged for each
reading.¹FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES

TABLE 7

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

B. CARBURETOR HEAT RISE (CONTINUED)

2. WHAT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED CARBURETOR AIR HEAT RISE IN °F? 90
3. FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES EMPLOYING CONVENTIONAL VENTURI CARBURETORS:
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? 96 °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 90 °F)
4. FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES EMPLOYING CARBURETORS WHICH EMBODY FEATURES TENDING TO REDUCE THE POSSIBILITY OF ICE FORMATION: N/A
- (A) IS A SHELTERED ALTERNATE SOURCE OF AIR PROVIDED? YES NO
- (B) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE OF THE PREHEAT SUPPLIED TO THE ALTERNATE AIR INTAKE? _____ °F
- (C) IS THIS TEMPERATURE AT LEAST EQUAL OR GREATER THAN THAT PROVIDED BY THE ENGINE COOLING AIR DOWNSTREAM OF THE CYLINDERS? YES NO
5. FOR ALTITUDE ENGINES EMPLOYING CONVENTIONAL VENTURI CARBURETORS: N/A
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? _____ °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 120 °F)
6. FOR ALTITUDE ENGINES EMPLOYING CARBURETORS WHICH EMBODY FEATURES TENDING TO REDUCE THE POSSIBILITY OF ICE FORMATION: N/A
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? _____ °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 100 °F EXCEPT THAT IF A FLUID DEICING SYSTEM IS USED IT NEED NOT BE GREATER THAN 40 °F)
- (B) IF THE TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE IS UNDER 100 °F IS A FLUID DEICING SYSTEM INCORPORATED? NOT APPLICABLE YES NO
7. FOR MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, ON WHICH ENGINE IS THE CARBURETOR AIR HEAT RISE TESTS REPORTED? N/A
- (A) IS THIS THE ENGINE WITH THE LEAST C.A.H.R.? YES NO
8. REPORT CHANGES IN ENGINE RPM AND/OR H.P. USING FULL CARBURETOR HEAT (OR MAXIMUM RECOMMENDED) WITH BOTH AND EACH MAGNETOS. N/A to helicopters
- BOTH _____ RPM; L _____ RPM; R _____ RPM
- (A) REPORT USABLE QUANTITY DEICING FLUID SYSTEM _____
- (B) IS VARIABLE FLOW RATE PROVIDED? YES NO

H-23C INSTALLATION - CLEAN CARTRIDGE




TABLE 8

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Filter Restricted to 10'H₂O

(6.462)

B. CARBURETOR HEAT RISE

1. ROTORCRAFT SHOULD BE AT MAXIMUM TAKE-OFF WEIGHT 2500 Lbs.,
 NORMAL C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM, REF. PAGE NO. AN-01-1B-40
~~WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO. -----~~, AND BE FLOWN IN LEVEL FLIGHT
 AT CRUISING MIXTURE SETTING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE. IF
 MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, USE ENGINE WITH LEAST C.A.H.R.

NOTE: MAY BE FLOWN AT ONLY ONE ALTITUDE IF O.A.T. OF 30 °F IS AVAILABLE	MINIMUM ALTITUDE P.A. <u>500</u> FEET		INTERMEDIATE ALTITUDE P.A. <u>2000</u> FEET		MAXIMUM ALTITUDE P.A. <u>5000</u> FEET	
	FULL THROTTLE ON H.C. POWER	90% IAS RUN 1	FULL THROTTLE ON H.C. POWER	90% IAS RUN 1	FULL THROTTLE ON H.C. POWER	90% IAS RUN 1
RUN	1 2	3 4	1 2	3 4	1 2	3 4
HEAT CONTROL POSITION	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT	COLD HOT
O.A.T. °F (CORRECTED)	85	84	75.5	74.5	62	62.5
C.A.T. °F (CORRECTED)	92 195	88 202	79 194	79 186.5	68.5 174	70 177.5
HEAT RISE °F	103	94	115	107.5	115.5	107.5
AIR SPEED MPH IAS	63	53.5	67.5	61.5	62	48.5
ENGINE RPM	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100
H.P. (IN. HG.)	27	22.5	26	21.5	23	20
SEA LEVEL INDICATED BHP	186	148	178	139.5	152	127
¹ PRESSURE COR- RECTION FACTOR						
STANDARD TEMP. AT PRESS. ALT. °F	57.2	57.2	51.8	51.8	41.18	41.18
TEMP. CORRECTION FACTOR	.889	.885	.885	.89	.89	.886
ACTUAL BHP	165.4	131	157.5	124	135.2	112.5
¹ RATED BHP	82.7	65.5	78.75	62	67.6	56.25

Test Date: 17 July 1960

Barometer: 30.10

Relative Humidity: 55%

Two runs averaged for each reading.

¹FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES

TABLE 9

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

B. CARBURETOR HEAT RISE (CONTINUED)

2. WHAT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED CARBURETOR AIR HEAT RISE IN °F? 90° F.
3. FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES EMPLOYING CONVENTIONAL VENTURI CARBURETORS:
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? 154 °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 90 °F)
4. FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES EMPLOYING CARBURETORS WHICH EMBODY FEATURES TENDING TO REDUCE THE POSSIBILITY OF ICE FORMATION: N/A
- (A) IS A SHELTERED ALTERNATE SOURCE OF AIR PROVIDED? Yes No
- (B) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE OF THE PREHEAT SUPPLIED TO THE ALTERNATE AIR INTAKE? _____ °F
- (C) IS THIS TEMPERATURE AT LEAST EQUAL OR GREATER THAN THAT PROVIDED BY THE ENGINE COOLING AIR DOWNSTREAM OF THE CYLINDERS? Yes No
5. FOR ALTITUDE ENGINES EMPLOYING CONVENTIONAL VENTURI CARBURETORS: N/A
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? _____ °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 120 °F)
6. FOR ALTITUDE ENGINES EMPLOYING CARBURETORS WHICH EMBODY FEATURES TENDING TO REDUCE THE POSSIBILITY OF ICE FORMATION: N/A
- (A) WHAT IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE AT AN OUTSIDE TEMPERATURE OF 30 °F AT 75% H.C. POWER? _____ °F (SHOULD BE AT LEAST 100 °F EXCEPT THAT IF A FLUID DEICING SYSTEM IS USED IT NEED NOT BE GREATER THAN 40 °F)
- (B) IF THE TEMPERATURE HEAT RISE IS UNDER 100 °F IS A FLUID DEICING SYSTEM INCORPORATED? NOT APPLICABLE Yes No
7. FOR MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, ON WHICH ENGINE IS THE CARBURETOR AIR HEAT RISE TESTS REPORTED? _____ N/A
- (A) IS THIS THE ENGINE WITH THE LEAST C.A.H.R.? Yes No
8. REPORT CHANGES IN ENGINE RPM AND/OR H.P. USING FULL CARBURETOR HEAT (ON MAXIMUM RECOMMENDED) WITH BOTH AND EACH MAGNETOS. N/A to helicopters
- BOTH _____ RPM; L _____ RPM; R _____ RPM
- (A) REPORT USABLE QUANTITY DEICING FLUID SYSTEM _____
- (B) IS VARIABLE FLOW RATE PROVIDED? Yes No

H-23C INSTALLATION - RESTRICTED CARTRIDGE




TABLE 10

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing
Box Installation(6.450)
(6.451)C. COOLING:1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REV. PAGE NO. ----- WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO. Ref: AN-01-1B-40(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(z))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? -----

Run 1

Run 2

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)			SPEED OF CLIMB M.P.H.	
		O.A.T.	HEAD	BARREL	OIL	N.P. Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F	I.A.S.	C.A.S.
1	0	87	238		149	27	3100	89	47	45
2	400	82	350		158	27	3100	95	47	45
3	600	79	353		158	27	3100	90	47	45
4	800	78	418		176	26.5	3100	88	47	45
5	1700	75	420		167	26	3100	82	47	45
1	0	80	420		206	27	3100	92	47	45
2	300	81	434		206	27	3100	89	47	45
3	800	77	435		205	26.5	3100	83	47	45
4	1200	76	446		204	26	3100	84	47	45
5	1800	73	432		197	26	3100	82	47	45

Cylinder #1
Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 5 July 1960
Wind: 6 KTS Max.
Relative Humidity: 60%
Barometer: 30.18

TABLE 10 (CONT.)

SECTION 111, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
InstallationC. Cooling (Continued)1. During Climb In Air Free Of Visible Hoistune (Continued)

- (G) What Is Hottest Cylinder Head? #1 And On Which Engine? _____
- (H) What Is Hottest Cylinder Barrel? N/A And On Which Engine? _____
- (I) Which Engine Has Highest Oil Inlet Temperature? N/A
- (J) For Sea Level Engines, Single Engine Rotorcraft:
 After Engine Temperatures Have Stabilized In Flight, Start At Lowest Practicable Altitude And Climb For One Minute At Take-Off Power; Continue Climb At Full Throttle Until At Least 5 Minutes ~~After-The-Occurrence-Of-The-Highest-Temperature~~ or 2000 ft.*
 Recorded:
 (1) Is Climb Conducted At The Best R/C Speed? (41.KTS)....No (Yes)
 A. If "No", What Is Speed? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS
- (K) For Supercharged Engines, Single Engine Rotorcraft:
 After Engine Temperatures Have Stabilized In Flight, Tests Should Start At 1,000 Feet Below The Actual Engine Critical Altitude And Continue At Least 5 Minutes After The Occurrence Of The Highest Temperature Recorded:
 (1) Is Climb Conducted At The Best R/C Speed? N/A.....No Yes
 A. If "No", What Is Speed? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS
- (L) For Multi Engine Rotorcraft, One Engine Inoperative Climb
Performance: N/A
 With The Rotorcraft In The Configuration That Was Used In Establishing The Critical Engine Inoperative Climb Performance, The Operating Engine(s) At M.C. Power Or At Full Throttle And After The Temperatures Are Stabilized In Flight, Climb Should Be Started At The Lower Of the Two Following Altitudes And Continued For 5 Minutes After The First Occurrence Of The Highest Temperature:
 1,000 Feet Below The Actual Engine Critical Altitude
 Or The Lowest Practicable Altitude (When Applicable).
 (1) Is Climb Conducted At The Speed Used in Establishing The One Engine Inoperative Climb Performance? No Yes

* Per FAA TIA No. H353-18
 30 April 1959

SECTION III. POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
Installation

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? #1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

(J) FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES, SINGLE ENGINE ROTORCRAFT:

AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT, START AT LOWEST PRACTICABLE ALTITUDE AND CLIMB FOR ONE MINUTE AT TAKE-OFF POWER; CONTINUE CLIMB AT FULL THROTTLE UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE OR 2000 ft. * RECORDED:

(1) IS CLIMB CONDUCTED AT THE BEST R/C SPEED? (41 KTS)..... No (Yes)
A. If "No", WHAT IS SPEED? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS

(K) FOR SUPERCHARGED ENGINES, SINGLE ENGINE ROTORCRAFT:

AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT, TESTS SHOULD START AT 1,000 FEET BELOW THE ACTUAL ENGINE CRITICAL ALTITUDE AND CONTINUE AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED:

(1) IS CLIMB CONDUCTED AT THE BEST R/C SPEED? N/A..... No Yes
A. If "No", WHAT IS SPEED? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS

(L) FOR MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE CLIMB PERFORMANCE: N/A

WITH THE ROTORCRAFT IN THE CONFIGURATION THAT WAS USED IN ESTABLISHING THE CRITICAL ENGINE INOPERATIVE CLIMB PERFORMANCE, THE OPERATING ENGINE(S) AT H.C. POWER OR AT FULL THROTTLE AND AFTER THE TEMPERATURES ARE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT, CLIMB SHOULD BE STARTED AT THE LOWER OF THE TWO FOLLOWING ALTITUDES AND CONTINUED FOR 5 MINUTES AFTER THE FIRST OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE:

1,000 FEET BELOW THE ACTUAL ENGINE CRITICAL ALTITUDE
OR THE LOWEST PRACTICABLE ALTITUDE (WHEN APPLICABLE).

(1) IS CLIMB CONDUCTED AT THE SPEED USED IN ESTABLISHING THE ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE CLIMB PERFORMANCE? No Yes

* Per FAA TIA No. H353-18
30 April 1959

TABLE 11

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing
Box InstallationC. COOLING (CONTINUED)1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)(H) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

(Run 2)

LINE No.	ITEM	CYLINDER HEAD (No. 1)	CYLINDER BASE (No.)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE °F	446		206
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE °F	446		206
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS FT.	1200		300
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3) °F	76		81
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3) °F	76		81
(6)	.0036 X (3)	3.32		1.08
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6) °F	96.68		79.92
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$ °F	20.68		-1.08
(9A)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8) °F	20.68		-1.08
(9B)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8) °F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9) °F	466.68		204.92
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE (Washer) °F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10) °F	63.32		25.08
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?	Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(H) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REF. PAGE NO. -----; WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO. Ref: AN-01-18-40

(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)

TABLE 12

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge(6.450) C. COOLING:
(6.451)

1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REV. PAGE No. -----, Wt. AND-BALANCE REPORT No. Ref: AN-01-1B-40(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? -----

Run 1

Run 2

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)			SPEED OF CLIMB M.P.H.	
		O.A.T.	HEAD	BARREL	OIL	N.P. H _g	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F	I.A.S.	C.A.S.
1	300	84	410		194	27	3100	98	47	45
2	750	83	430		194	27	3100	87	47	45
3	1000	80	431		194	27	3100	82	47	45
4	1300	77	435		196	27	3100	81	47	45
5	1700	77	438		194	27	3100	81	47	45
6	2000	74	422		194	27	3100	77	47	45
1	0	84	378		181	27	3100	86	47	45
2	300	81	416		193	27	3100	88	47	45
3	650	80	427		193	27	3100	84	47	45
4	1000	75	431		194	27	3100	81	47	45
5	1500	76	436		194	27	3100	81	47	45
6	2000	72	430		194	27	3100	78	47	45

Cylinder #5
Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 16 July 1960
Wind: 6 KTS Max.
Relative Humidity: 57%
Barometer: 30.11

TABLE 12 (CONT.)

SECTION 111, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

C. Cooling (Continued)

1. During Climb In Air Free Of Visible Moisture (Continued)

(G) What Is Hottest Cylinder Head? #5 And On Which Engine? _____

(H) What Is Hottest Cylinder Barrel? N/A And On Which Engine? _____

(I) Which Engine Has Highest Oil Inlet Temperature? N/A

(J) For Sea Level Engines, Single Engine Rotorcraft:

After Engine Temperatures Have Stabilized In Flight, Start At
Lowest Practicable Altitude And Climb For One Minute At
Take-Off Power; Continue Climb At Full Throttle Until At Least
~~5-Minutes After The Occurrence Of The Highest Temperature~~
or 2000 ft. *

Recorded:

(1) Is Climb Conducted At The Best R/C Speed? (41.KTS)... No (Yes)
A. If "No", What Is Speed? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS

(K) For Supercharged Engines, Single Engine Rotorcraft:

After Engine Temperatures Have Stabilized In Flight, Tests
Should Start At 1,000 Feet Below The Actual Engine Critical
Altitude And Continue At Least 5 Minutes After The
Occurrence Of The Highest Temperature Recorded: N/A

(1) Is Climb Conducted At The Best R/C Speed? ?????..... No Yes
A. If "No", What Is Speed? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS

(L) For Multi-Engine Rotorcraft, One Engine Inoperative Climb Performance:

With The Rotorcraft In The Configuration That Was Used In
Establishing The Critical Engine Inoperative Climb Performance,
The Operating Engine(s) At M.C. Power Or At Full Throttle And
After The Temperatures Are Stabilized In Flight, Climb Should Be
Started At The Lower Of The Two Following Altitudes And Continued
For 5 Minutes After The First Occurrence Of The Highest Temperature:

1,000 Feet Below The Actual Engine Critical Altitude N/A
Or The Lowest Practicable Altitude (When Applicable).

(1) Is Climb Conducted At The Speed Used In Establishing The
One Engine Inoperative Climb Performance?.....No-Yes

* Per FAA TIA No. H353-18
30 April 1959

TABLE 13

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(H) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

Run #1

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. 5)	CYLINDER BASE (No.)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	438		196
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	438		196
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	FT.	1700		1300
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	77		77
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	77		77
(6)	.0036 X (3)		6.12		4.68
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	94.88		95.32
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	17.88		18.32
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	17.88		18.32
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	455.88		214.32
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE (Washer)	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	44.12		15.68
(13)	Is COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(g))

(N) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REF. PAGE No. 14 AND BALANCE REPORT No. Ref: AN-01-1B-40

(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)

TABLE 14

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O(6.450)
(6.451)C. COOLING:1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REF. PAGE NO. ----- WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO. Ref: AN-01-1B-40(B) FUEL OCTANE NO. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? -----

Run 1

Run 2

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)			SPEED OF CLIMB M.P.H.	
		O.A.T.	HEAD	BARREL	OIL	M.P. Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F	I.A.S.	C.A.S.
1	100	88	392		176	27	3100	104	47	45
2	400	86	405		180	27	3100	99	47	45
3	750	84	411		181	27	3100	98	47	45
4	1200	80	407		181	26.5	3100	93	47	45
5	1800	77	403		190	26.5	3100	91	47	45
6	2000	77	404		190	26.5	3100	88	47	45
1	0	88	366		180	27	3100	103	47	45
2	0	88	390		192	26.5	3100	104	47	45
3	300	85	406		192	26.5	3100	96	47	45
4	750	83	410		194	26	3100	94	47	45
5	1300	79	408		194	26	3100	90	47	45
6	1800	77	406		194	26	3100	87	47	45

Cylinder #5
Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 18 July 1960
Wind: 6 KTS Max.
Relative Humidity: 64.9%
Barometer: 30.02

TABLE 14(CONT.)

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? #5 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

(J) FOR SEA LEVEL ENGINES, SINGLE ENGINE ROTORCRAFT:

AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT, START AT
LOWEST PRACTICABLE ALTITUDE AND CLIMB FOR ONE MINUTE AT
TAKE-OFF POWER; CONTINUE CLIMB AT FULL THROTTLE UNTIL AT LEAST
5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE OR 2000 Ft. *
RECORDED:(1) IS CLIMB CONDUCTED AT THE BEST R/C SPEED? (41 KTS) No (Yes)
A. IF "No", WHAT IS SPEED? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS

(K) FOR SUPERCHARGED ENGINES, SINGLE ENGINE ROTORCRAFT:

AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT, TESTS
SHOULD START AT 1,000 FEET BELOW THE ACTUAL ENGINE CRITICAL ALTITUDE AND CONTINUE AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE
OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED: N/A(1) IS CLIMB CONDUCTED AT THE BEST R/C SPEED? No Yes
A. IF "No", WHAT IS SPEED? _____ MPH IAS; _____ MPH CAS

(L) FOR MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE CLIMB PERFORMANCE:

WITH THE ROTORCRAFT IN THE CONFIGURATION THAT WAS USED IN
ESTABLISHING THE CRITICAL ENGINE INOPERATIVE CLIMB PERFORMANCE,
THE OPERATING ENGINE(S) AT H.C. POWER OR AT FULL THROTTLE AND N/A
AFTER THE TEMPERATURES ARE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT, CLIMB SHOULD BE
STARTED AT THE LOWER OF THE TWO FOLLOWING ALTITUDES AND CONTINUED
FOR 5 MINUTES AFTER THE FIRST OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE:1,000 FEET BELOW THE ACTUAL ENGINE CRITICAL ALTITUDE
OR THE LOWEST PRACTICABLE ALTITUDE (WHEN APPLICABLE).(1) IS CLIMB CONDUCTED AT THE SPEED USED IN ESTABLISHING THE
ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE CLIMB PERFORMANCE? No Yes* Per FAA TIA No. H353-18
30 April 1959

TABLE 15

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

1. DURING CLIMB IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(H) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

Run #1

LINE No.	ITEM	CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>5</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. <u> </u>)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE °F	411		190
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE °F	411		190
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS Ft.	750		1800
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3) °F	84		77
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3) °F	84		77
(6)	.0036 X (3)	2.7		6.05
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6) °F	97.3		93.95
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$ °F	13.3		16.95
(9A)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8) °F	13.3		16.95
(9B)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8) °F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9) °F	424.3		206.95
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE(washer) °F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10) °F	105.7		23.05
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?	Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(e))

(H) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (YES) NO

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 Lbs.; C.G. 81.0 IN. FROM DATUM;
 REF: ~~PAGE No. -----~~ ~~VS. AIR BALANCE REPORT No.~~ Ref: AN-01-1B-40

(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)

TABLE 16

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
Installation

Note: Wt. 2500 (Max.)

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(u))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARNEL	OIL	H.P. N _{Hg}	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	84	433		176	27	3100	99
1		85	439		180			98
3		85	449		194			99
4		84	465		197			98
6		83	455		203			97
7		84	454		208			100
9		83	453		210			98
10		84	457		210			96
11		83	457		212			100
13		84	458		212			98
14		84	455		212			97
15	50	84	453		212	27	3100	99

* Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 5 July 1960
Wind: 4 Knots Max.
Relative Humidity: 82%
Barometer: 29.99

TABLE 17

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
Installation

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? #1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (Yes) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. 1)	CYLINDER BASE (No.)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	465		212
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	465		212
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	FT.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	84		84
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	84		84
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	15.82		15.82
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	15.82		15.82
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	481		228
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	49		2
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(e))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 18

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23-C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich

Note: Wt. 2500 (max.)

(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(u))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE?

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARREL	OIL	H.P. #Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	82	404		176	27	3100	93
1		81	420		190			94
2		82	435		194			96
3		82	437		198			96
4		83	440		200			96
5		82	432		203			92
6		83	438		206			96
7		82	442		206			99
8		81	444		210			96
9		81	435		210			98
10		82	433		212			99
11	50	83	432		212	27	3100	94

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 16 July 1960
Wind: 2 Knots
Relative Humidity: 57%
Barometer: 30.20

TABLE 19

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? #5 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? _____

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (YES) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? YES No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>5</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. _____)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	444		212
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	444		212
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	FT.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	81		83
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	81		83
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	19		17
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	19		17
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	463		229
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	67		1
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		YES	YES	YES

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (YES) No

TABLE 20

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich

Note: Wt. 2500 (max.)

(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(u))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARREL	OIL	H.P. #H ₂	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	87	415		192	27	3100	109
1		87	414		194			105
2		88	419		198			112
3		88	424		203			111
4		88	425		208			113
5		88	424		208			112
6		87	421		210			107
7		89	418		210			109
8		89	421		210			110
10		86	416		212			108
12		88	420		210			107
14	50	87	420		210	27	3100	112

* Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 17 July 1960
Wind: 6 Knots
Relative Humidity: 57%
Barometer: 30.07

TABLE 21

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?
(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (Yes) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. _____)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	425		212
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	425		212
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	88		86
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	88		86
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	12		14
(9A)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	12		14
(9B)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	437		226
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	93		4
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 22

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
Installation

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich

Note: Wt. 2400

(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open

(max. less 100)

(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? YES No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) YES No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE?

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARREL	OIL	H.P. #Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	82	450		206	26.5	3100	97
1		82	458		208			100
3		83	465		212			100
4		85	462		212			102
5		84	463		212			101
7		84	465		212			101
8		85	466		212			98
9		82	464		212			100
11		84	459		214			101
12		86	457		214			102
13		84	465		214			104
15	50	85	463		214	26.5	3100	101

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 5 July
Wind: 4 Knots
Relative Humidity: 82%
Barometer: 29.99

TABLE 23

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (Yes) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. _____)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	466		214
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	466		214
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	85		85
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	85		85
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	15		15
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	15		15
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	481		229
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	49		1
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 24

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich

Note: Wt. 2400 (max. less 100)

(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(u))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	* HEAD	BARNEL	OIL	M.P. Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	86	446		216	26.5	3100	98
1		86	440		221			99
2		86	435		216			97
3		86	432		216			97
4		87	438		216			97
5		86	442		214			99
6		86	443		214			96
7		84	441		214			97
8		86	439		214			99
9		85	438		212			99
10		84	437		214			101
11	50	84	438		214	26.5	3100	99

*Washer type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 16 July 1960
Wind: 3 KTS
Relative Humidity: 57%
Barometer: 30.20

TABLE 25

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? _____

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND Yes No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTIONS:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. <u> </u>)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	443		216
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	443		216
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	86		86
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	86		86
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	14		14
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	14		14
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	457		230
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	73		Ø
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	(Yes)

(6.100(e))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 26

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full RichNote: Wt. 2400
(Max. less 100)(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(u))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(s)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARREL	OIL	H.P. #Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	90	412		195	27	3100	108
1		90	412		198			111
2		88	415		208			112
4		90	413		208			109
5		90	418		210			114
6		92	415		210			110
7		91	422		210			109
8		93	421		212			114
9		92	418		212			115
10		90	420		212			114
11		88	418		214			112
12	50	89	415		214	27	3100	113

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Dates: 17 July 1960
Wind: 6 Knots
Relative Humidity: 57%
Barometer: 30.07

TABLE 27

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? _____

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (Yes) No

A. If "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. <u> </u>)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	422		214
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	422		214
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	91		88
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	91		88
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	9		12
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	9		12
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	431		226
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	99		4
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 28

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATIONOriginal Mixing Box
InstallationC. COOLING (CONTINUED)2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full RichNote: Wt. 2300
(max. less 200)(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(z))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE?

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARREL	OIL	M.P. H _g	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	82	462		196	26	3100	96
1		84	465		198			99
2		83	468		203			98
3		82	470		205			99
4		83	469		208			97
5		83	468		208			98
7		83	470		210			98
8		82	467		210			97
9		82	468		210			97
10		81	468		210			98
12		82	467		212			99
13	50	82	467		212	26	3100	99

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 6 July 1960
Wind: 6 Knots
Relative Humidity: 60%
Barometer: 30.18

TABLE 29

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? _____

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (Yes) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. _____)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	470		212
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	470		212
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	FT.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	82		82
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	82		82
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	18		18
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	18		18
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	488		230
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	42		0
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 30

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full RichNote: Wt. 2300
(max. less 200)(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
.....(NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	HEAD*	BARREL	OIL	H.P. #1	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	87	413		180	26	3100	97
1		86	422		192			98
2		83	425		198			99
3		84	429		203			99
4		87	432		210			101
6		87	435		210			100
7		88	439		214			101
8		90	445		217			106
9		85	445		216			105
11		87	444		217			101
12		88	441		217			99
13	50	88	430		217	26	3100	102

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 16 July 1960
Wind: 6 Knots
Relative Humidity: 57%
Barometer: 30.11

TABLE 31

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? _____

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(i) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (Yes) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? Yes No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. _____)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	445		217
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	445		217
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	90		88
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	90		88
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	10		12
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	10		12
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	455		229
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	75		1
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(8.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED?(Yes) No

TABLE 32

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

- (C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich Note: Wt. 2300
(max. less 200)
- (D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open
- (E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

- (1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? YES No

- (F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) YES No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)		
		O.A.T.	* HEAD	BARREL	OIL	M.P. Hg	R.P.M.	C.A.T. °F
0	50	88	412		207	26.5	3100	113
1		89	410		210			112
2		89	415		208			112
4		87	415		210			109
5		90	416		210			111
6		91	424		212			114
8		88	418		212			114
10		88	417		212			113
11		90	419		214			116
12		89	421		214			115
13		86	419		212			115
14	50	86	415		212	26.5	3100	112

*Washer Type
Thermocouple

Test Date: 18 July 1960
Wind: 6 Knots
Relative Humidity: 65%
Barometer: 30.04

TABLE 33

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

2. DURING HOVERING IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 1 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? _____

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN GROUND OPERATION OR HOVERING, START TEST AT TAKE-OFF POWER, REDUCING TO MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER FOLLOWING DURATION OF TAKE-OFF POWER TIME LIMIT UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED.

(1) IS TEST CONDUCTED HOVERING TAIL INTO THE WIND?

(WIND VELOCITY NOT TO EXCEED 10-12 MPH).....ZERO WIND (YES) No

A. IF "No", IS ANOTHER CONDITION DEEMED CRITICAL? YES No

B. WHAT IS THIS CONDITION?

1. CROSSWIND _____

2. NOSE INTO WIND _____

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTIONS:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>1</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. _____)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	424		214
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	424		214
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES ON FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	50		50
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	91		90
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	91		90
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.18		.18
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.82		99.82
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	9		10
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	9		10
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	433		224
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	97		6
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (YES) No

TABLE 34

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

Original Mixing Box
Installation

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

3. DURING LEVEL FLIGHT AT H.C. POWER IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REF. PAGE No. ----- AND BALANCE REPORT No. Ref: AN-01-1B-40(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? -----(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 5 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? -----(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? -----(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)			LEVEL FLIGHT SPEED M.P.H.	
		O.A.T.	HEAD *	BARREL	OIL	H.P. M.Hg	R.P.H.	C.A.T. °F	I.A.S.	C.A.S.
0	500	77	431		212	27	3100	94	35	35
1		78	438		210			86	61	57.5
2		80	444		208			82	77	75
4		80	444		203			83	79	76
5		79	443		199			82	79	75
7		79	444		198			82	79	75
9		78	430		198			81	81	77
10		79	445		196			81	78	75
11		80	446		194			82	78	75
13		79	448		194			81	85	80.5
14	500	78	446		194	27	3100	81	78	75

* Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 6 July 1960
Relative Humidity: 60%
Barometer: 30.18

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATIONC. Cooling (Continued)3. During Level Flight At M.C. Power In Air Free Of Visible Moisture (Continued)

(J) After Engine Temperatures Have Stabilized In Flight At Cruising, Start Test At Maximum Continuous Power At The Lowest Practicable Altitude And Continue Level Flight At This Power Setting Until At Least 5 Minutes After The Occurrence Of The Highest Temperature Recorded:

(K) Temperature Values And Correction:

Line No.	Item	Cylinder Head (No. <u>5</u>)	Cylinder Base (No. <u> </u>)	Oil Inlet
(1)	Maximum Observed Temperature °F	448		210
(2)	True Observed Temperature °F	448		210
(3)	Pressure Altitude At Which Temp. Stabilizes Or Final Peak Occurs Ft.	500		500
(4)	Observed O.A.T. Air Temperature At (3) °F	79		78
(5)	True O.A.T. Air Temperature At (3) °F	79		78
(6)	.0036 x (3)	.28		.28
(7)	Standard Hot Day Temperature At (3) = 100 - (6) °F	99.72		99.72
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$ °F	21		22
(9A)	Temperature Correction Increment (Head & Oil) = 1.0 x (8) °F	21		22
(9B)	Temperature Correction Increment (Base) = .7 x (8) °F			
(10)	Corrected Temperature = (2) + (9) °F	469		232
(11)	Maximum Permissible Temperature °F	530		230
(12)	Cooling Margin = (11) - (10) °F	61		-2
(13)	Is Cooling Satisfactory?	Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) Are All Calibration Curves Attached?(Yes) No

TABLE 36

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Clean Cartridge

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

3. DURING LEVEL FLIGHT AT H.C. POWER IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 LBS.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REF: PAGE NO. --- ~~WT. AND BALANCE REPORT NO.~~ Ref: AN-01-1B-40(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(e))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? 5 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)			LEVEL FLIGHT SPEED H.P.H.	
		O.A.T.	* HEAD	BARREL	OIL	H.P. #Ho	R.P.H.	C.A.T. °F	I.A.S.	C.A.S.
0	500	78	420		190	27	3100	80	69	67
1		79	430		194			81	75	74
2		79	432		192			80	77	75
3		73	439		194			82	75	74
4		79	442		194			82	75	74
5		78	440		196			82	71	69
7		77	416		194			82	75	74
8		79	430		196			81	69	67
9		79	428		194			81	75	74
10		78	431		194			82	75	74
11	500	79	432		194	27	3100	81	75	74

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 16 July 1960
Relative Humidity: 60%
Barometer: 30.11

TABLE 37

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

3. DURING LEVEL FLIGHT AT M.C. POWER IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT AT CRUISING, START TEST AT MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER AT THE LOWEST PRACTICABLE ALTITUDE AND CONTINUE LEVEL FLIGHT AT THIS POWER SETTING UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED:

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. 5)	CYLINDER BASE (No.)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	442		196
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	442		196
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	500		500
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	79		82
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	79		82
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.284		.285
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.72		99.72
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	21		18
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	21		18
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	463		214
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	67		16
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED?(Yes) No

TABLE 38

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

H-23C Filter Installation
Restricted to 10" H₂O

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)

3. DURING LEVEL FLIGHT AT H.C. POWER IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE:

(A) TAKE-OFF WEIGHT (MAX.) 2500 Lbs.; C.G. 81.0 INS. FROM DATUM;
REF. PAGE No. ---; ~~Wt.~~ AND BALANCE REPORT No. Ref: AN-01-1B-40

(B) FUEL OCTANE No. 100/130 (MINIMUM APPROVED FOR ENGINE)

(C) MIXTURE SETTING Full Rich

(D) THROTTLE SETTING Full Open

(E) SHUTTER POSITION N/A

(6.613(z))

(1) FOR ROTORCRAFT EQUIPPED WITH COOLING SHUTTERS, IS A CYLINDER
HEAD TEMPERATURE INDICATOR PROVIDED FOR EACH ENGINE? Yes No(F) IN MULTI-ENGINE ROTORCRAFT, IS ONE ENGINE INOPERATIVE?
..... (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes No
WHICH ENGINE IS INOPERATIVE? _____

(G) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER HEAD? #5 AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(H) WHAT IS HOTTEST CYLINDER BARREL? N/A AND ON WHICH ENGINE? _____

(I) WHICH ENGINE HAS HIGHEST OIL INLET TEMPERATURE? N/A

TIME (MINUTES)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT.)	OBSERVED TEMPERATURES °F				OPERATING ENGINE(S)			LEVEL FLIGHT SPEED H.P.H.	
		O.A.T.	* HEAD	BARREL	OIL	M.P. H ₂ O	R.P.H.	C.A.T. °F	I.A.S.	C.A.S.
0	500	80	405		176	27	3100	80	71	69
1		80	415		178			81	69	67
2		78	404		180			80	72	70
4		78	405		181			79	68	66
5		78	415		180			80	75	73
7		78	425		185			79	74	71
6		79	416		185			81	70	68
10		77	419		185			81	72	70
11		78	405		184			79	69	67
12		78	418		185			80	72	70
14		77	418		182			81	74	71
15	500	78	420		185			79	74	71

*Washer Type
ThermocoupleTest Date: 18 July 1960
Relative Humidity: 65%
Barometer: 30.04

TABLE 39

SECTION III, POWER PLANT OPERATION

C. COOLING (CONTINUED)3. DURING LEVEL FLIGHT AT M.C. POWER IN AIR FREE OF VISIBLE MOISTURE (CONTINUED)

(J) AFTER ENGINE TEMPERATURES HAVE STABILIZED IN FLIGHT AT CRUISING, START TEST AT MAXIMUM CONTINUOUS POWER AT THE LOWEST PRACTICABLE ALTITUDE AND CONTINUE LEVEL FLIGHT AT THIS POWER SETTING UNTIL AT LEAST 5 MINUTES AFTER THE OCCURRENCE OF THE HIGHEST TEMPERATURE RECORDED:

(K) TEMPERATURE VALUES AND CORRECTION:

LINE No.	ITEM		CYLINDER HEAD (No. <u>5</u>)	CYLINDER BASE (No. <u> </u>)	OIL INLET
(1)	MAXIMUM OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	425		185
(2)	TRUE OBSERVED TEMPERATURE	°F	425		185
(3)	PRESSURE ALTITUDE AT WHICH TEMP. STABILIZES OR FINAL PEAK OCCURS	Ft.	500		500
(4)	OBSERVED O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	78		78
(5)	TRUE O.A.T. AIR TEMPERATURE AT (3)	°F	78		78
(6)	.0036 X (3)		.28		.28
(7)	STANDARD HOT DAY TEMPERATURE AT (3) = 100 - (6)	°F	99.72		99.72
(8)	$\Delta T = (7) - (5)$	°F	22		22
(9a)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (HEAD & OIL) = 1.0 X (8)	°F	22		22
(9b)	TEMPERATURE CORRECTION INCREMENT (BASE) = .7 X (8)	°F			
(10)	CORRECTED TEMPERATURE = (2) + (9)	°F	447		208
(11)	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE TEMPERATURE	°F	530		230
(12)	COOLING MARGIN = (11) - (10)	°F	83		22
(13)	IS COOLING SATISFACTORY?		Yes	Yes	Yes

(6.100(a))

(L) ARE ALL CALIBRATION CURVES ATTACHED? (Yes) No

TABLE 40
ICING TEST - FILTER MEDIA

Media Description: <u>1" Paper Pleat / 3/4" Batt Media</u> <u>1/2 Standard Size Cartridge</u>					Test No.: <u>A.T.C. 3</u>	
Contaminant: <u>Coarse A.C. Dust</u>					Date: <u>21 Jan. 1959</u>	
Dust Add Rate: <u>9</u> grams per minute					Operator: _____	
Turn Table Setting: <u>29</u>					Barometric Press: <u>29.72 "Hg</u>	
					Air Temp: <u>78</u> Deg. F.	
					Relative Humidity - 21%	

Run Time Min.	Air Flow CFM	Orifice ΔP "H ₂ O	Orifice Static Pressure	Media ΔP "H ₂ O	Cover ΔP "H ₂ O	Remarks
	50	0.25	1.80	0.50	0.39	
	100	0.90	2.45	1.25	0.90	
	150	2.05	4.60	2.40	1.62	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
0	150	2.05	4.25	2.10	1.30	
3	"	"	4.45	2.30	1.60	
5	"	"	4.90	2.75	2.02	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
8	150	2.05	5.45	3.80	2.60	
10	"	"	6.10	4.90	3.20	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
13	150	2.05	7.15	4.80	4.15	
15	"	"	8.00	5.80	5.15	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
18	150	2.05	9.45	7.15	6.50	
20	"	"	10.95	8.65	8.00	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
23	150	2.05	12.80	10.50	9.80	
23-18	"	"	13.05	10.70	10.00	
0	"	"			9.25	
1.00	"	"			10.00	Cartridge Pressure Drop after Thawing

TABLE 41
ICING TEST - FILTER MEDIA

Media Description: <u>1" Paper Pleat / 3/4" Batt Media</u> <u>1/2 Standard Size Cartridge</u>					Test No.: <u>A.T.C. 5</u>	
Contaminant: <u>Coarse A.C. Dust</u>					Date: <u>26 Jan. 1959</u>	
Dust Add Rate: <u>9</u> grams per minute					Operator: _____	
Turn Table Setting: <u>29</u>					Barometric Press: <u>30.23</u> "Hg	
Air Temp: <u>75°</u> Deg. F.						

Run Time Min.	Air Flow CFM	Orifice ΔP "H ₂ O	Orifice Static Pressure	Media ΔP "H ₂ O	Cover ΔP "H ₂ O	Remarks
0	150	D.R.		2.00	1.20	
3	"			2.05	1.25	
5	"			2.20	1.35	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
8	150		5.20	2.70	1.95	
10	"		5.30	2.90	2.20	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
13	150		6.40	3.95	3.25	
15	"		7.00	4.60	3.95	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
18	150		7.80	5.40	4.70	
20	"		8.80	6.25	5.60	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
23	150		10.00	7.50	6.80	
25	"		12.00	9.45	8.60	
		Ice Formed on Cartridge				
26	150		13.70	11.10	10.00	

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED