

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 265 403

*Reprinted
by the*

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

"NOTICE: When Government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related to

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

CATALOGED BY ASTIA 285403
AS AD NO.

THE SODIUM CHLORIDE - SILVER CHLORIDE
ALLOY SYSTEM

Fourteenth Technical Report

By

R. J. Stokes
C. H. Li

Office of Naval Research Project

Nonr-2456(00) NR-032-451

October, 1961

28

**THE SODIUM CHLORIDE - SILVER CHLORIDE
ALLOY SYSTEM**

Fourteenth Technical Report

By

R. J. Stokes

C. H. Li

Office of Naval Research Project

Nonr-2456(00) NR-032-451

October, 1961

**Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government.**

**Honeywell Research Center
Hopkins, Minnesota**

ABSTRACT

It has been shown that a miscibility gap exists in solid solutions between sodium chloride and silver chloride. At room temperature the two phase region extends from 10% NaCl to 80% NaCl. The precipitation reaction leads to a change in the appearance of single crystals from complete transparency to a milky white opaqueness. Measurements of room temperature mechanical properties have shown a tremendous (100 fold) increase in strength within the two phase region with a slight increase in the rate of work hardening. The transition in slip mode from the coarse wavy slip of silver chloride to the fine straight slip of sodium chloride is found to occur gradually across the diagram.

1. INTRODUCTION

The sodium chloride-silver chloride alloy system is a particularly interesting one to study because of the contrast in physical properties between the two end components. Fundamental differences in their physical properties are discussed in detail in the book by Mott and Gurney⁽¹⁾. They stem from a number of factors. First, cation diffusion is much easier in silver chloride than in sodium chloride. Second, the Frenkel defect is the predominant type of lattice disorder in silver chloride whereas in sodium chloride the Schottky defect predominates. Third, there is a fundamental difference in their electron band structure due to the higher polarizability and ionization potential of the silver ion. Silver chloride absorbs light at the extreme blue end of the visible spectrum and when irradiated in this range becomes photoconducting. Sodium chloride on the other hand is completely transparent and even when irradiated in the ultraviolet where it begins to absorb does not become photoconducting. The difference in the absorption wavelength cut off and photoconductivity is interpreted in terms of the smaller energy gap between the valence band and the so-called 'exciton' acceptor levels in silver chloride. Thermal excitation of electrons from the valence band into the exciton levels is considered to account for the deep yellow coloration of silver chloride as it approaches the melting temperature⁽²⁾. There is no such color change in sodium chloride.

This contrast between sodium chloride and silver chloride also extends to their mechanical behavior. Sodium chloride is extremely brittle and notch sensitive and is prone to cleavage at temperatures almost up to its melting temperature (800 °C), whereas silver chloride under the same loading conditions does not become notch sensitive until the temperature is lowered to room temperature⁽³⁾. There is furthermore a distinction between the appearance of slip lines developed on these two solids at room temperature. In sodium chloride the slip lines are straight and very fine; in silver chloride the bands are wavy and coarse with a high surface tilt.

Our interest in the alloy system arose initially from a desire to study its mechanical properties, principally to establish how and when the respective transitions in slip mode and notch sensitivity occurred. At the outset this appeared feasible since both sodium chloride and silver chloride had the same crystal structure with approximately the same lattice parameter (AgCl , $a_0 = 5.545\text{\AA}$; NaCl , $a_0 = 5.627\text{\AA}$) and were reported to form a single solid solution^(4, 5, 6). However, it soon became apparent that this simple phase diagram was inadequate and that there was a solid state precipitation reaction occurring. It is the purpose of this paper to present a fairly detailed analysis of the phase diagram and to describe the precipitation reaction and some of its consequences particularly on the mechanical strength of alloy single crystals.

2. SPECIMEN PREPARATION

The chief difficulty to overcome in the fabrication of dense AgCl-NaCl alloys was the marked shrinkage on solidification and the general brittleness of the solids involved. The technique which eventually proved most successful yielded completely dense and fairly homogeneous alloy single crystals over the whole composition range. First, a liquid melt was prepared in which pure sodium chloride and silver chloride powder (AR quality) of the appropriate molecular proportions (all alloys are quoted as mole percent NaCl) were fused together in a Vycor tube. The melt was chlorinated to reduce any oxides which, if present, wet the Vycor tube causing both the tube and the ingot to shatter on cooling. Bubbling chlorine gas through the melt also served to stir it and to maintain a slight excess of chlorine. The melt was poured into a second Vycor tube so that solidification occurred instantaneously. The cast ingot at this stage contained a deep pipe, many voids and cracks and was completely unsuitable for optical examination. Instead it was ground to a powder fine enough to pass through the narrow constriction of another Vycor tube which had a sharply pointed tip. The constriction facilitated sealing under vacuum. The evacuated tube and the powder were then lowered slowly (2 mm/hr) through a furnace having a steep thermal gradient at the melting temperature as in the conventional Stockbarger technique⁽¹⁾. Chlorine was evolved on remelting to maintain a reducing environment in the sealed tube. Ingots produced in this way were completely dense and within 10% of the end compositions solidified as transparent single crystals. For intermediate compositions they were not necessarily single crystals and generally had a pronounced substructure. They were opaque on withdrawal from the furnace with a milky white appearance. The reason for the milkiness will be described in the next section. The ingots were not analyzed chemically after preparation, they were assumed to possess the predetermined composition.

Small single crystals suitable for mechanical testing and microscopic examination were extracted from the ingots by cleavage over {100} planes. Ingots

containing less than 5% NaCl had to be cleaved at liquid nitrogen temperature while higher compositions could be cleaved at room temperature. The opaque crystals were rock hard and extremely brittle which made their cleavage to a perfect orthogonal parallelepiped very difficult. The usual final dimensions were .05" x .05" x .125" although some specimens were larger when the scale of the substructure permitted. They were loaded in compression in an Instron machine at a cross-head deflection rate of .002"/min. Because of non-parallel faces many specimens crushed at the corners under load before plastic yielding occurred, this gave a jerky stress-strain relationship. Only those specimens which showed a smooth stress-strain curve were included in the present mechanical results.

Slip band studies were made on both the as-cleaved and chemically polished surfaces. A satisfactory polish was not developed for all alloy compositions. Only the silver chloride rich alloys could be polished reproducibly. They were immersed for three minutes in a warm (50 °C) solution of ammonium hydroxide, rinsed in water and ether and then dried quickly in a hot air stream to avoid any stain. The same technique could not be used for higher compositions since the crystals were slightly soluble in water and the silver and chlorine ions immediately recombined to precipitate silver chloride on the polished surface.

3. THE NaCl-AgCl PHASE DIAGRAM

The phase diagram for sodium chloride-silver chloride alloys determined in the present work is reproduced in Figure 1. The liquidus and solidus lines have been constructed from data published by Zemczuzny in 1926⁽⁵⁾ together with several check points, indicated by open circles, taken to confirm that there was no depression of the melting point. It was found that while complete solid solubility existed across the diagram at temperatures above 170 °C, there was a miscibility gap below this temperature. This type of phase diagram occurs with gold-nickel and gold-platinum alloys, and for sodium chloride-potassium chloride⁽⁸⁾, sodium chloride-lithium chloride⁽⁹⁾ alloys. A miscibility gap is normally associated with a depression of both the liquidus and solidus lines to a congruent melting point. In the present system this was not observed, instead the lines were distorted from the usual 'cigar' shape and the solidus line in particular was flat up to 45% NaCl.

The existence of a two phase region accounts for conflicting observations reported in the literature concerning the structure of sodium chloride-silver chloride solid solutions. One source⁽⁶⁾ describes x-ray measurements of a single solid solution for which the lattice parameter changes linearly according to Vegards law. Another⁽¹⁰⁾ mentions the coexistence of two phases in annealed crystals each slightly displaced from pure sodium chloride and silver chloride. It will be shown later that both patterns may be produced by different heat treatments as may be anticipated from the phase diagram.

The solid solubility boundary in Figure 1 was determined as follows. A small single crystal specimen of each composition represented by points in the figure, was placed in an air oven and the temperature varied above and below the solubility boundary. Above the boundary the crystals were completely transparent and remained so for prolonged periods whereas below the boundary they became opaque with a milky white appearance. Figure 2 compares two companion single




Figure 1 - THE AgCl-NaCl PHASE DIAGRAM




Figure 3 - X-RAY POWDER PATTERNS OBTAINED ON NaCl - AgCl ALLOYS.
 (a) 50% NaCl - SOLUTION HEAT TREATED, (b) 50% NaCl - FULLY
 PRECIPITATED, (c) 85% NaCl - SOLUTION HEAT TREATED.
 ARROWS INDICATE MISSING LINES.

was obtained from a crystal quenched from 200 °C and the lower pattern (Figure 3(b)) from a crystal annealed at 100 °C for 48 hours to establish equilibrium. As expected, Figure 3(a) showed single lines corresponding to a rock salt structure with lattice parameter $a_0 = 5.584\text{\AA}$, almost midway between the two pure solids. This was in agreement with Vegards law and the measurements of LeBlanc and Quenstadt⁽⁶⁾.

The lines in the lower pattern (Figure 3(b)) on the other hand were nearly all doublets in agreement with the observations of Broome⁽¹⁰⁾. One set of lines corresponded to a lattice parameter $a_0 = 5.540\text{\AA}$, the other to $a_0 = 5.602\text{\AA}$. According to the phase diagram determined by optical means in Figure 1, the two phases in equilibrium at this temperature should be 15% and 80% NaCl alloys and assuming Vegards law to hold the lattice parameters should be 5.555Å and 5.610Å respectively. It was considered that the agreement was satisfactory.

One feature of the phase diagram was the flatness of the two solid phase equilibrium boundary between 20% and 70% NaCl. To confirm this a solution heat-treated 50 % NaCl specimen was annealed just below its solubility temperature at 165 °C. The Debye-Scherrer pattern again showed doublets corresponding to 20% and 80 % NaCl structures, both well on either side of 50% NaCl in agreement with the phase diagram.

The fact that certain lines in Figure 3(b) were single and not double was most interesting. It was found that all the odd index lines were single and the even index lines double. This occurred because the odd index lines were completely absent from the pattern corresponding to the 80% NaCl structure. This may be explained in terms of the structure-factor formula for the rock salt lattice. It can be shown that the intensity (I) of odd index lines in sodium chloride for example is proportional to:

$$I \propto (f_{\text{Cl}} - f_{\text{Na}})^2$$

where f_{Cl} , f_{Na} are the atomic scattering factors for chlorine and sodium ions respectively. If now it is assumed that sodium chloride-silver chloride solid solutions consist of silver and sodium ions arranged randomly over the cation lattice sites only, then the intensity is proportional to:

$$I \propto \left[f_{\text{Cl}} - \left(\frac{Kf_{\text{Na}} + (100 - K)f_{\text{Ag}}}{100} \right) \right]^2$$

where K is the molecular percent NaCl in solid solution. Since f is proportional to the atomic number then it can be shown that I becomes zero when $K = 83.3\%$ NaCl. Essentially at this composition the effective scattering powers of the chlorine anion lattice and the randomly mixed cation lattice are equal and the structure appears simple cubic. To confirm this interpretation of the line structure in Figure 3(b), powder patterns were compared for a number of solution heat treated and quenched alloys across the phase diagram and it was shown that the intensity of the odd index lines did indeed reach a minimum at 85% NaCl. The powder pattern for a quenched 85% NaCl alloy is reproduced in Figure 3(c). A similar effect occurs for the powder pattern of potassium chloride where the atomic scattering factors of the potassium and chlorine ions are again almost equal⁽¹¹⁾. The occurrence of the minimum at the predicted 85% NaCl composition strongly indicated that in solid solutions the sodium and silver ions were arranged randomly over the cation lattice sites with no strong tendency for ordering to occur.




Figure 4 - COMPRESSION STRESS-STRAIN CURVES FOR NaCl-AgCl ALLOY SINGLE CRYSTALS




Figure 5 - COMPRESSIVE YIELD STRENGTH VS COMPOSITION

For the 80% NaCl alloy, the strength depended upon heat treatment. Crystals were tested in both the fully precipitated and in the solution heat treated condition. Solution heat treatment consisted of 1/2 hour anneal at 300 °C followed by an air quench, the precipitation treatment consisted of an anneal for a much longer period (approximately 1 week) at 40 °C. As Figure 5 shows, the fully precipitated 80% NaCl alloy had a strength of approximately 15,000 psi compared to 5,000 psi when solution heat treated. However, when similar heat treatments were applied to other crystals of the intermediate composition range there was no detectable lowering of the yield strength after solution heat treatment. In addition, there was no indication of softening due to overaging following prolonged storage at room temperature as might be expected if the tremendous increase in strength was due to precipitation hardening alone.

The nature of the strengthening mechanism for the intermediate compositions is the subject of a more detailed investigation of the mechanical properties of these alloys. The slight difference in lattice parameter between the two phases in equilibrium and the fact that they have the same crystal structure would favor the formation of a coherent precipitate, which is well known to be the most effective source of precipitation strengthening in metal alloy systems. However, there is still the need to explain the equally high strength of solution heat treated alloys. As described in Section 3, they take many hours to manifest precipitation at room temperature and would be expected to be much softer than the fully precipitated material, at least in the very early stages.

It is considered that the linear increase in strength for dilute alloys is caused by solid solution strengthening as has been observed for other single phase silver chloride alloys⁽¹²⁾.

6. SLIP BAND STUDIES

Most solids of the rock salt structure, including sodium chloride, lithium fluoride and magnesium oxide, show slip bands at room temperature which are extremely straight and fine (see for example Figure 6(c)). The fineness may be understood as follows. Individual bands in these solids become filled with dislocations and further deformation progresses by a widening of the bands due to dislocation movement and multiplication at their edge only. The shear strain within the bands at saturation is generally quite low and there is a correspondingly small tilt produced on the specimen surface. The edges of the slip bands appear therefore as very fine lines. In silver chloride, by contrast, the shear strain within the band is far greater and the slip bands appear much coarser due to the high surface tilt (see for example Figure 6(a)). In addition they are macroscopically wavy when viewed normal to the $\langle 110 \rangle$ slip direction.

The appearance of slip bands on solution heat treated alloy crystals was determined across the whole composition range between sodium chloride and silver chloride to ascertain the manner of transition from one characteristic slip mode to the other. All alloys maintained the $\langle 110 \rangle$ slip direction and there was a fairly gradual transition in the slip band appearance. The coarse wavy slip illustrated in Figure 6(a) for a 2.5% NaCl alloy persisted up to 10% NaCl. However there was a decrease in the amount of forking and by 15% NaCl the slip bands became noticeably straighter and finer. Slip bands developed on a 35% NaCl alloy are illustrated in Figure 6(b). They were virtually straight although cross slip could still be observed. These slip bands differed from those of Figures 6(a) and 6(c) in that they were not continuous across the specimen but often occurred as short ripples in the surface. At 50% NaCl the slip bands were slightly wavy as in Figure 6(b) but the surface tilt was less. Slip bands produced on the 75% NaCl alloy in Figure 6(c) were absolutely straight and very fine exactly similar to those produced on pure sodium chloride at room temperature.

Slip bands were difficult to resolve on the fully precipitated crystals presumably because of the scale of the microstructure.

7. SUMMARY

It has been shown that a miscibility gap exists in solid solutions between sodium chloride and silver chloride. At room temperature the gap extends from 10% NaCl to 80% NaCl. The precipitation reaction within the two phase region results in a change in the appearance of single crystals from complete transparency to a milky white opaqueness, the rate of change depending upon the temperature. At room temperature the change takes place over a period of two to three weeks. Measurements of the room temperature compressive yield strength of alloy single crystals have indicated a sudden and spectacular increase in strength within the two phase region with a slight increase in the rate of work hardening. This strengthening occurs independently of the heat treatment applied to the crystals. The transition in slip mode from the coarse wavy slip of silver chloride to the fine straight slip of sodium chloride occurs gradually across the diagram for solution heat treated crystals.

When sodium chloride is alloyed with other alkali chlorides it shows a consistent tendency to form a miscibility gap. With lithium and potassium cations the ionic size difference ($\sim 25\%$) is probably the chief factor determining segregation. On the other hand sodium and silver have approximately the same ionic size (within 2%) and the differences in their physical nature must be the determining factor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the experimental assistance of V. H. Winchell.

REFERENCES

1. Mott, N. F. and Gurney R. W., Electronic Processes in Ionic Crystals, Oxford University Press (1940).
2. Sundheim, B. R. and Greenburg, J., J. Chem. Phys. 28, 439 (1958).
3. Johnston, T. L., Stokes, R. J., and Li, C. H., Strengthening Mechanisms in Solids. A.S.M. - To be published. (Tenth Technical Report to O.N.R.)
4. Moeller, R.D., Schonfeld, F.W., Tipton, C.R., and Waber, T., Trans. A. S. M. 43, 39 (1951).
5. Zemczuzny, S.F., Z. Anorg. Alleg. Chem 153, 47 (1926).
6. LeBlanc, M., and Quenstadt, J., Z. Physik. Chem. 150, 321 (1930).
7. Stockbarger, D.C., Rev. Sci. Inst. 7, 133 (1936).
8. Scheil, E., and Stadelmaier, H., Z. Metallkunde 43, 227 (1952).
9. Levin, E.M., McMurdie, M.F., and Hall, F.P., Phase Diagrams for Ceramists, p. 218. Amer. Ceram. Soc. (1956).
10. Broome', B.N., Z. Anorg, Alleg. Chem. 143, 60 (1925).
11. Bragg, W.L., The Crystalline State, p. 46, Bell. (1948).
12. Luhmann, W. J., and Gorum. A., Acta. Met. 7, 685 (1959).

TECHNICAL REPORT

Distribution List

Nonr-2456(00) NR 032-451

<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attention: Code 423	(2)	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Air Material Center Philadelphia, Pa. Attn: Aeronautical Materials Laboratory	(1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 86 E. Randolph Street Chicago 1, Illinois	(1)	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attention: Code Res-1e : Code Ad-3 : Code Rec-1	(1) (1) (1)
Assistant Naval Attache for Research Office of Naval Research Branch Office, London Navy 100, Box 39 F. P. O., N. Y., N. Y.	(10)	Superintendent U. S. Naval Gun Factory Washington 25, D.C. Attention: Code 720	(1)
Director U. S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Officer, Code 2000 : Code 2020 : Code 6200 : Code 6300 : Code 6100	(6) (1) (1) (2) (1)	Commanding Officer U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oaks, Maryland Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern, California	(1) (1)
Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attention: Code AE 4 : Code TD 41	(1) (1)	Commanding Officer U.S. Naval Proving Ground Dahlgren, Virginia Attention: Laboratory Division	(1)

Distribution List (Continued)

Chief, Bureau of Ships	Office of Technical Services
Department of the Navy	Department of Commerce
Washington 25, D.C.	Washington 25, D.C. (1)
Attention: Code 330 (1)	
: Code 337L (1)	Armed Services Technical Information
: Code 343 (1)	Agency (ASTIA)
	Documents Service Center
Commanding Officer	Knott Building
U.S. Naval Engineering	Dayton 2, Ohio (10)
Experiment Station	
Annapolis, Maryland	Commanding Officer
Attention: Metals Laboratory (1)	Watertown Arsenal
	Watertown, Massachusetts
Materials Laboratory	Attn: Ordnance Materials
New York Naval Shipyard	Research Office (1)
Brooklyn 1, New York	Laboratory Division (1)
Attn: Code 907 (1)	
	Commanding Officer
Chief, Bureau of Yards and Docks	Frankford Arsenal
Department of the Navy	Frankford, Pa.
Washington 25, D.C.	Attn: Laboratory Division (1)
Attn: Research and Standards	
Division (1)	Commanding Officer
	Office of Ordnance Research
Commanding Officer	Box CM, Duke Station
David Taylor Model Basin	Duke University
Washington 7, D.C. (1)	Durham, North Carolina
	Attn: Metallurgy Division (1)
Post Graduate School	
U.S. Naval Academy	
Monterey, California	
Attn: Dept. of Metallurgy (1)	

Commander	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Wright Air Development Center	1901 Constitution Avenue
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	Washington 25, D.C.
Dayton, Ohio	Attn: Technical Library (1)
Attn: Aeronautical Research	
Lab. (WCRRH) (1)	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
: Aeronautical Research	Washington 25, D.C.
Lab. (WCRRL) (1)	Attn: Metals and Materials Branch,
: Materials Laboratory	Division of Research (1)
(WCRTL) (1)	Eng. Develop. Branch, Division
	of Reactor Develop. (1)
U.S. Air Force ARDC	
Office of Scientific Research	Argonne National Laboratory
Washington 25, D.C.	P.O. Box 299
Attn: Solid State Division	Lemont, Illinois
(SRQB) (1)	Attn: H. D. Young, Librarian (1)
National Bureau of Standards	Brookhaven National Laboratory
Washington 25, D.C.	Technical Information Division
Attn: Metallurgy Division (1)	Upton, Long Island, New York
Mineral Products	Attn: Research Library (1)
Division (1)	
National Advisory Committee	Union Carbide Nuclear Co.
for Aeronautics	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
1512 H Street, N.W.	P. O. Box P
Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee
	Attn: Metallurgy Division (1)
National Advisory Committee	: Solid State Physics
for Aeronautics	Division (1)
Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory	: Laboratory Records
Cleveland, Ohio	Department (1)
Attn: Materials and Thermodynamics	Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Division (1)	P.O. Box 1663
	Los Alamos, New Mexico
	Attn: Report Librarian (1)

Distribution List (Continued)

**Union Carbide Nuclear Co.
K-25 Plant Records Department
P. O. Box P
Oak Ridge, Tennessee (1)**

**Union Carbide Nuclear Co.
Y-12 Plant Records Department
Central Files
P.O. Box P
Oak Ridge, Tennessee (1)**

**General Electric Company
P. O. Box 100
Richland, Washington
Attn: Technical Information
Division (1)**

**Iowa State College
P. O. Box 14A, Station A
Ames, Iowa
Attn: F. H. Spedding (1)**

**Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory
P. O. Box 1072
Schenectady, New York
Attn: Document Librarian (1)**

**Mound Laboratory
Monsanto Chemical Co.
P. O. Box 32
Miamisburg, Ohio (1)**

**U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
New York Operations Office
70 Columbus Avenue
New York 23, New York
Attn: Document Custodian (1)**

**Sandia Corporation
Sandia Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Attention: Library (1)**

**U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Technical Information Service Extension
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
Attn: Reference Branch (1)**

**University of California
Radiation Laboratory
Information Division
Room 128, Building 50
Berkeley, California
Attn: R. K. Wakerling (1)**

**Bettis Plant
U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Bettis Field
P.O. Box 1468
Pittsburgh 30, Pennsylvania
Attn: Mrs. Virginia Sternberg,
Librarian (1)**

**Officer in Charge
U.S. Naval Civil Engineering
Research and Evaluation Lab.
Construction Battalion Center
Port Hueneme, California (1)**

**Prof. E. R. Parker
Division of Mineral Technology
University of California
Berkeley 4, California (1)**

Distribution List (Continued)

Dr. R. A. Lad National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio (1)	Dr. R. G. Breckenridge National Carbon Research Laboratories P.O. Box 6116 Cleveland 1, Ohio (1)
Prof. E. S. Machlin School of Mines Columbia University New York, New York (1)	Dr. J. R. Low General Electric Research Laboratories P. O. Box 1088 Schenectady, New York (1)
Dr. G. T. Murray Materials Research Corp. 47 Buena Vista Avenue Yonkers, New York (1)	Prof. B. L. Averbach Department of Metallurgy Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)
Prof. R. Smoluchowski School of Engineering Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey	Dr. O. L. Anderson Bell Telephone Laboratories Murray Hills, New Jersey (1)
Prof. P. Gibbs Department of Physics University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah (1)	Prof. W. D. Kingery Department of Metallurgy Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)
Prof. F. H. Norton Department of Metallurgy Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)	Prof. D. S. Wood Department of Mechanical Engineering California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California (1)
Professor J. J. Gilman Division of Engineering Brown University Providence, Rhode Island (1)	Prof. T. S. Shevlin Department of Ceramic Engineering Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio (1)

Distribution List (Continued)

Prof. W. G. Lawrence New York State College of Ceramics Alfred University Alfred, New York (1)	Dr. R. Chang Atomics International P.O. Box 309 Canoga Park, California (1)
Prof. A. von Hippel Laboratory for Insulation Research Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)	Dr. I. Cadoff New York University University Heights New York, New York (1)
H. R. Peiffer RIAS Inc. 7212 Bellona Avenue Baltimore 12, Maryland (1)	Prof. F. V. Lenel Department of Metallurgical Engineering Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York (1)
Prof. J. Gurland Division of Engineering Brown University Providence, Rhode Island (1)	Dr. J. B. Wachtman National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D.C. (1)
Dr. L. Himmel Div. of Mineral Technology University of California Berkeley 4, California (1)	Dr. Hayne Palmour III North Carolina State College Department of Engineering Research Raleigh, North Carolina (1)
Dr. J. T. Ransom Engineering Research Laboratory Experiment Station E. I. duPont and Co., Inc. Wilmington, Delaware (1)	Defense Metals Information Center Batelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio (1)

Distribution List (Continued)

Dr. F. J. P. Clarke
Metallurgy Division
A. E. R. E. Harwell
Berkshire, England (1)

Dr M. Gensamer
Department of Metallurgy
Columbia University
New York, New York (1)

Dr. I. R. Kramer
R I. A. S. Inc.
7212 Bellona Avenue
Baltimore, Maryland (1)

Professor J O. Brittain
Department of Metallurgy
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois (1)