

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 268 152

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

268152

Chapel Hill, N. C.

September, 1961

XEROX

Institute of Statistics
Mineograph Series No. 306

A NOTE ON CHARACTERISTICS FUNCTIONS WHICH
VANISH IDENTICALLY IN AN INTERVAL

by

Walter L. Smith

Some years ago, in connection with some unpublished work in the theory of queues, the question arose as to whether the characteristic function of a non-negative random variable could vanish identically in an interval. The purpose of this note is to show that such a thing is impossible.

There are, of course, characteristic functions which vanish identically in intervals. In fact the probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{2 \sin^2(x/2)}{\pi x^2}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

is associated with the characteristic function

$$\phi(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 - |\theta| & , \quad |\theta| \leq 1, \\ 0 & , \quad |\theta| > 1, \end{cases}$$

which vanishes in semi-infinite intervals. This characteristic function, however, is associated with a distribution over both positive and negative values. It is not clear what can be achieved for distributions over positive values only, although the following easily proved theorem gives some indications.

Theorem 1. If $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \dots$ is an increasing sequence of angles, and if $k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots$ is a sequence of positive integers, and if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n}{\theta_n} < \infty ,$$

then there is a non-negative random variable whose characteristic function vanishes at $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots$, the zero at θ_n being k_n -fold, for all n .

Proof. Let X_n be a random variable associated with the probability density function

$$f_n(x) = \frac{\theta_n}{2\pi} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2\pi}{\theta_n} ,$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Let $X_n', X_n'', \dots, X_n^{(k_n)}$ be k_n independent random variables distributed like X_n , and write $Z_n = X_n' + X_n'' + \dots + X_n^{(k_n)}$. Then

$$(2) \quad \varphi_n(\theta) \equiv \mathbb{E} e^{i\theta Z_n} = e^{i\theta k_n \pi / \theta_n} \left\{ \frac{\sin^{k_n}(\pi \theta / \theta_n)}{(\pi \theta / \theta_n)^{k_n}} \right\} ,$$

and

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E} Z_n = \frac{\pi k_n}{\theta_n} ,$$

$$(4) \quad \text{Var } Z_n = \frac{k_n \pi^2}{3 \theta_n^2} .$$

Furthermore, in view of (1), the random variables $\{Z_n\}$ are uniformly bounded. Thus if $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, \dots$ are independent, the series

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Z_j$$

is almost certainly convergent, in virtue of the three series theorem and

(1), (3), and (4). The characteristic function of S is evidently

$$(5) \quad \phi(\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(\theta) ,$$

where $\psi_n(\theta)$ is given in (2). Since S is non-negative and $\phi(\theta)$ obviously has a k_n -fold zero at θ_n , for all n , the theorem is proved.

We do not for a moment suppose that Theorem 1 is the strongest possible result of its kind that can be proved. It merely provides, as we have said, some indication of the distribution of zeros which can occur. It would naturally be of interest to obtain critical results, but we have been unable to obtain such. Nevertheless the following theorem shows that the characteristic function of a non-negative random variable has some restriction on the location of its zeros.

Theorem 2. If $\phi(\theta)$ is the characteristic function of a non-negative random variable then $\phi(\theta)$ cannot vanish identically in an interval.

Proof:- Let $F(x)$ be the distribution function of the non-negative random variable and write

$$(6) \quad \Psi(u + iv) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{i(u+iv)x} dF(x) ,$$

$$(7) \quad \phi(u + iv) = \frac{\Psi(u + iv)}{1 - i(u + iv)} .$$

Evidently $\phi(u + iv)$ is regular for $v > 0$ and, since $|\Psi(u + iv)| \leq 1$ for $v > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(u + iv)|^2 du$$

exists and is bounded for every positive v .

Thus, for $v > 0$, by Titchmarsh (1948, p. 125), we have, for $\text{Im } z > 0$,

$$(8) \quad \phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\phi(u)}{u - z} du ,$$

where, in the present situation, $\phi(u)$ is the ordinary limit as $v \rightarrow 0+$ of $\phi(u + iv)$. Thus

$$\phi(u) = \frac{\psi(u)}{1 - iu} = \frac{1}{1 - iu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{iux} dF(x) .$$

Now suppose the characteristic function $\tilde{F}(u)$ vanishes identically in (a, b) , $a < b$. Then (8) may be rewritten, for $\text{Im } z > 0$,

$$(9) \quad \phi(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^a + \int_b^{+\infty} \frac{\phi(u)}{u - z} du .$$

The right side of (9) is a regular function of z in the upper half-plane. It is not hard to see that this regular function can be analytically continued, by a well-known expansion method, through the segment (a, b) on the real axis into the lower half-plane. Thus $\phi(z)$ is regular in an open domain which contains a limit point of zeros. This implies that $\phi(z)$ vanishes identically, and thus provides a contradiction. We may therefore conclude that $\phi(u)$ cannot vanish identically in an interval.

We point out that an alternative proof of Theorem 2 can be deduced from a result of Bieberbach (1931, p. 156) concerning functions analytic in a circle.

REFERENCES

BIERBERGACH, L., (1931). 'Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie' Band II, 2nd.

Ed. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin.

TITCHMARSH, E. C., (1948). 'Theory of Fourier Integrals', 2nd. Ed.

Oxford University Press.