

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 268 183

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

268 183

TOWING TANK TESTS
OF THE UF-2 SEAPLANE


The Original Hull
and its Modifications
Now 60-04607

Volume I

Released to ASTIA by the
Bureau of NAVAL WEAPONS
without restriction.

Spray Characteristics

JULY 31, 1961



SHIN MEIWA INDUSTRY CO., LTD.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. DESCRIPTION OF TANK, TOWING APPARATUS AND MODEL.....	2
A. TANK.....	2
B. TOWING APPARATUS.....	3
C. MODELS.....	4
III. TEST RESULTS.....	5
A. SPRAY IN STILL WATER.....	6
B. SPRAY IN WAVES.....	9
IV. DISCUSSION.....	11
V. CONCLUSIONS.....	14

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
I	Dimensions of Model.....	16
II	Test Cases.....	17

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1	Towing Apparatus, Tank No. 1.....	18
2	Towing Apparatus, Tank No. 2.....	19
3	Lines of Model, Original Hull.....	20
4	Lines of Model, Modified Hull No. 1.....	21
5	Lines of Model, Modified Hull No. 2.....	22
6	Extent of Reconstruction of Hull.....	23
7	Arrangements of Propeller, Flap and Horizontal Tail.....	24
8	Photographs of Models.....	25
9	Load on Water.....	26
10a,b	Take-off Run in Still Water, Free Trim, G. w. 34,000lbs..	27
11a,b	Take-off Run in Still Water, Free Trim, G. W. 36,000lbs..	29
12a,b	Spray in Still Water, G. W. 34,000lbs.....	31
13a,b	Spray in Still Water, G. W. 36,000lbs.....	33
14a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 2x102feet, G.W. 34,000lbs.....	35
15a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 2x123feet, G.W. 34,000lbs.....	37
16a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 2x144feet, G.W. 34,000lbs.....	39
17a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 3x123feet, G.W. 34,000lbs.....	41
18a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 3x144feet, G.W. 34,000lbs.....	43
19a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 2x102feet, G.W. 36,000lbs.....	45
20a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 2x123feet, G.W. 36,000lbs.....	47
21a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 2x144feet, G.W. 36,000lbs.....	49
22a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 3x123feet, G.W. 36,000lbs.....	51
23a,b	Maximum Spray in Waves, 3x144feet, G.W. 36,000lbs.....	53
24	Effect of Spray Dam in Still Water, G. W. 36,000lbs.....	55

1. INTRODUCTION

The present report describes the results of the towing tank tests concerning the spray characteristics of 8% UF-2 hull model and its modification performed in Japan by Shin Meiwa Industry Company Limited under the contract with the Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation. The object of the test is to find an effective method in order to minimize the water spray on the hull of the UF-2 flying boat generated during take-off and landing. Three new wooden 8% models were manufactured for the present test: one original UF-2 and the other two modified by the groove type spray suppressor and with spray dam. They were tested in May, June and July 1961, and the towing tank tests in still water and in waves were completed on July 11, 1961.

The present report and the attached two reels of 16 millimeter motion picture film contain the whole test results for the spray characteristics.

II. DESCRIPTION OF TANK, TOWING APPARATUS AND MODELS

A. TANK

Two towing tanks were used.

		Length	Width	Depth	Max. Speed	Remarks
Tank	No.1	200m	8 m	4 m	15m/s	no wave generator
Tank	No.2	100m	4.5m	2.2m	5m/s	with wave generator




Tank No.1 belongs to Transportation Technical Research Institute, Ministry of Transportation, Tokyo(left).



Tank No.2 belongs to Research and Development Institute Japan Defence Agency, 1st Research and Development Center, Tokyo(left).

B. APPARATUS

1. Tank No.1



Towing linkage is of parallelogram type as shown in the left photograph and Fig.1. Resistance is measured by a soil spring type balance and is recorded on a drum. Trim and heave are measured from photographs.

2. Tank No.2



Towing linkage is of the same type as for Tank No.1 described above (photograph on the left and Fig.2). Resistance is measured by a U-type spring balance with dial gauge, while trim, heave and wave height are measured from photographs.

C. MODELS

Three 8% wooden models, namely the original hull, modified hull no. 1 and modified hull no. 2 were used. Table 1 shows the dimensions and Fig. 3 through Fig. 5 show the line drawings of these models. Fig. 6 shows the extent of reconstruction of the hull and Fig. 7 is a drawing showing the location of propellers, flaps and horizontal tail relative to the hull. Fig. 8 is the photographs of the models.

III. TEST RESULTS

The tests were made by towing the model at constant speed in still water and in regular waves. The model was free to pitch and heave with zero aerodynamic pitching moment.

Moment of inertia in pitch, C.G. position, wing lift and take-off speed supplied by the Grumman Company were used with Froude Number scaling. Fig. 9 shows the load on water.

Test cases are presented in Table II.

The height of spray was measured by snap photographs and 16 millimeter motion picture and was also observed on the running carriage. The results of the test are all included in the present report and two reels of 16 mm motion picture.

A. SPRAY IN STILL WATER

The first spray test was performed in tank No. 1, using the original model and the Modification No. 1. The gross weight was 34,000 pounds and 36,000 pounds. The spray was photographed at 10 speeds, from 6.9 knots to 68.7 knots, every 7 knots. The results are shown in Figure 10a,b and in Figure 11a,b.

According to the figures, there is no spray problem in still water up to 10 knots. At 13.7 knots and 20.6 knots, comparatively heavy sprays were generated in front of the propeller plane on the original model. These sprays were effectively suppressed in Modification No. 1. At 27.5 knots and 34.4 knots spray approaches the flap and hits it on both the original model and the Modification No. 1. At these two speeds sprays touched the tail lightly with G.W. 36,000lbs. Two models were very clean at speeds above 41.2 knots.

The sprays with a gross weight of 36,000 pounds are somewhat higher than those with 34,000 pounds. However, the general pattern of the spray is very similar with the two gross weights.

To study the spray in still water more closely, the second spray test was performed in Tank No. 2, with the original model, Modification No. 1 and Modification No. 2. They were tested at 10 speeds from 0 to 31 knots, at every 3 knots. The test results are shown in Figure 12a,b and in Figure 13a,b. In the same figures the tests with a model of the Modification No. 2 are also shown. The spray photographs of the 3 models in the same condition, that is, with the same gross weight and the same speed are arranged in line for the convenience of comparison.

According to the photographs the spray up to about 10 knots is very small. At 10.3 knots a small blister spray is generated near the bow side on the original model. There is almost no spray in Modifications No. 1 and No. 2 at this speed. At 15.7 knots, 17.2 knots and 20.6 knots, high blister sprays were generated in front of the propeller plane. As the suction of the running propeller is very strong at full throttle and at such low speeds, these blister sprays will be sucked into the propeller plane and may damage the propeller and engines will become very wet on the original model.

In Modifications No. 1 and No. 2, the groove type spray suppressor exhibits a very effective spray suppression and there is no spray which is near the propeller tip on both modifications at these 3 speeds.

At 24 knots, the spray roots of the 3 models move back and the blister spray goes back on the propeller plane. Therefore there will be no propeller spray problem at this speed and above. However, the highest point of the blister spray moves back to the flap position and some amount of spray hit flap on the 3 models.

The rear end of the groove type spray suppressor is at about the propeller plane and at 24 knots the spray suppressor comes out of the water completely and naturally it loses its effectiveness. As the shape of the bottom surface which touches the water at about 24 knots are the same in all the 3 models, the shape of spray is also the same in the 3 models. The blister spray at 24 knots, 27.5 knots and at 31 knots hit the flap comparatively heavily, especially with a gross weight of 36,000 pounds in all 3 models.

At a gross weight of 34,000 pounds the tail is free from spray on all 3 models. However, at 36,000 pounds and between the speeds of 27.5 knots and 31 knots the spray touches the tail lightly.

Modification No. 2 With Spray Dam

A 5-inch spray dam was attached along the chine from the propeller plane to the step on the model Modification No. 2 and was tested.

Up to 20.6 knots the spray characteristics is very similar to the Modification No. 2 without spray dam. At 24 knots the blister spray is lowered by the spray dam and the spray does not hit the flap. At 27.5 knots and 31 knots the height of blister spray is also lower and do not hit flaps. Therefore the spray dam was observed effective at speeds of 24 knots, 27.5 knots and 31 knots in still water. The results are shown in Figure 24a,b.

B. SPRAY IN WAVES

The results of the spray test in waves are contained in the motion picture.

The test cases are as shown in Table II. Each frame of the motion picture was closely investigated and the frames which contain the most severe spray condition were picked up, and snap photographs were made.

In the fig. 14 through fig. 23, 5 spray photographs of the 3 models in the same test condition was arranged in line for the convenience of comparison.

According to the photographs there were no spray problems for all models at speeds up to 7 knots. At 7 knots and 11 knots, the bow of the original model digs a little into the wave crest and some solid water creeps up on the bow deck. This does not occur on the Modifications No. 1 and No. 2. The bow deck of the two models are free from water.

In the original model, between 14 knots and 24 knots, high blister sprays are generated in front of the propeller plane. Judging from the photograph, this spray will be sucked up by the running propeller. At about 17 knots, the spray is highest. In Modifications No. 1 and 2, these sprays are effectively suppressed and there is no spray which is near the propeller tip. The effect of the spray suppressor is the most remarkable at this speed range.

Between 24 knots and 31 knots, sprays hit the flaps in the three models. Since the spray suppressor which is cut from the bow to the propeller plane comes out of the water surface completely at 24 knots, the shapes of bottom surface which touch the water above this speed are the same in the three models, the shapes and heights of spray are also the same.

At 31 knots in all the 3 models the spray approaches the tail plane and occasionally touches it but not so heavily.

The effect of 5-inch spray dam, which is attached along the chine from the propeller plane to the step on the Modification No. 2 model was tested. The spray dam lowered the spray height a little at 24 to 31 knots, but not so low as to make the flap free from sprays.

The height of spray with the gross weight of 30,000 pounds is somewhat higher than with the gross weight of 34,000 pounds. However, the difference is not so large.

By increasing the wave height from 2 feet to 3 feet, the height of spray is somewhat increased, but not so large.

With the same gross weight and wave height, the spray height is a little higher in short wave lengths in the range of the present test.

IV. DISCUSSION

The results of the spray test are discussed from the standpoints as follows:

- 1) Bow Spray
- 2) Propeller Spray
- 3) Flap Spray
- 4) Tail Spray

1) Bow Spray

In still water the bow of the original model causes no spray trouble at low taxiing speed. However in waves, especially in critical wave lengths, when taxiing at 7 to 10 knots, the bow digs lightly into the wave crest and solid water creeps up on the bow deck. This will cause some trouble when taxiing in rough seas, therefore some modification is considered necessary on this point. This was done by elongating the bow by 25 inches in Modification No. 1, and 48 inches in Modification No. 2. The elongation together with the spray groove around the bow solved this problem.

2) Propeller Spray

In the original model heavy sprays are generated at speeds from 14 knots to 24 knots in front of the propeller plane in still water and in waves. This spray will be sucked up by the propeller heavily. Judging from the height and amount of the spray the engine will also become too wet. The propeller spray problem is considered the most serious one.

The groove type spray suppressor which is cut on Modifications No. 1 and No. 2 from the bow to the propeller plane suppressed the spray effectively. As seen in the photographs almost zero spray conditions were realized up to about 24 knots. The effect of the groove type spray suppressor is the most remarkable at this speed range.

By the use of the suppressor the propeller spray problem is considered to be solved completely.

3) Flap Spray

When the speed is increased up to 24 knots, the spray groove which ends at the propeller plane comes out of the water surface and naturally loses its effectiveness. Since no modifications were done along the chine rearward the propeller plane on both modifications No. 1 and No. 2, the spray at speeds above 24 knots are similar in the 3 models, and sprays nit flap.

It is not impossible to cut the spray groove along the chine further back to the step which will suppress the spray to the flap and tail effectively. However, the bottom surface near the step touches water at high speeds up to the take-off speed. Therefore it is not desirable to have such a groove along the chine which touches the water at high speed. By a separate tank experiment, the water pressure in the groove is about one-half of the speed pressure, therefore the pressure in the groove will be about 30 psi at a speed of 60 knots. Such high pressure in the groove may cause structural troubles on the groove cover. At high speed the spray groove will increase the water drag by about 50% and also affect somewhat on the porpoising stability. This is the reason why the groove was stopped at the propeller plane.

For the suppression of the spray to the flap some other method which is structurally more simple and sturdy is considered to be more adequate. A spray dam is one of the methods. A 5-inch spray dam extending downward vertically at the chine was attached to the Modification No. 2 up to the step and was tested. The test results show that in still water the spray height to the flap is somewhat lowered and even with a gross weight of 36,000 pounds, the top of the spray is almost touching the flap trailing edge fully down.

However, in waves the top of the spray hits the flap as in the case without spray dam. It cannot be said, therefore, that the spray dam solves the flap spray problem completely, however, the spray dam minimizes the flap spray trouble to some extent.

An inboard spray strip as used on the Emily flying boat was tried but it was not successful in the present case.

Since there are no simple methods to solve the flap spray problem which is established at present, it is considered that to use the spray dam along the rear half chine of the forebody is a practical method for the present case.

The height of spray dam may be tapered to the step or the end of the spray dam may be a little forward to the step. Since the time available for the present test is limited, the test for the spray dam could not be performed further. Therefore there remains such problems as the effect of spray dam on the water drag at high speed and on the porpoising property of the hull.

4) Tail Spray

At certain speeds sprays touch the horizontal tail plane lightly. It is not considered necessary to adopt some device especially for the tail spray.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The test results show that there are three kinds of spray problem in the original hull with G.W. 34,000lbs and 30,000lbs.

The first one is the bow spray problem when taxiing in waves at low speeds. The second one is the propeller spray at 14 kt to 24 kt both in still water and in waves, which is considered the most serious spray problem. The third one is the flap spray at 24 kt to 31 kt.

The bow spray and the propeller spray problems were solved in either the mod. No. 1 or the mod. No. 2 model.

Particularly the propeller spray problem can be said to be solved almost completely due to the use of the groove type spray suppressor.

However, there is a limit to the effectiveness of the groove type spray suppressor, that is, in shorter wave lengths, where the pitching motion of the hull is so augmented that the descending lower edge of the spray suppressor cover at the bow touches the water surface, the water will creep up along the surface of the suppressor cover and some bow spray will be generated. Owing to the limited capacity of the wave generator in the tank No. 2 such extreme cases of short wave length could not be tested.

Within the range of the wave conditions tested the spray suppressors of the mod. No. 1 and No. 2 displayed a remarkable effect to eliminate the propeller spray and no spray will touch the propellers and the engines.

The effectiveness of the mod. No. 1 and No. 2 are almost the same, however, in the critical short wave lengths mentioned above the mod. No. 1 with higher bow chine may display better spray characteristics.

As to the flap spray the two modifications showed no improvements, since the rear ends of the suppressors are at the propeller plane and no modifications were made on the bottom surface in the rearward portion of the propeller plane.

The spray dam attached to the rear half of the forebody of the mod. No. 2 lowered the height of flap spray to such an extent that the flap was free from spray in still water, however, in waves the sprays occasionally hit the flap. The effectiveness of the spray dam can not be said perfect, but it is the simplest and the most practical one and could solve the flap spray problem to some extent provided the flap structure is strengthened in a certain portion.

	actual plans	models
overall length (2.)	587 inches	47, 49 ¹⁾ , 51 ²⁾ inches
main body (B)	95 inches	7.6 inches
L/B	6.18	6.18, 6.44 ¹⁾ , 6.68 ²⁾
gross weight A. B.	34,000 lbs. 36,000 lbs.	17.4 lbs. 18.4 lbs.
take-off speed A. B.	70 kts. 72 kts.	33.6 ft/sec 34.6 ft/sec
moment of inertia A. B.	97,000 slug-ft ² 103,000 slug-ft ²	0.318 slug-ft ² 0.338 slug-ft ²


1) modified no.1 model

2) modified no.2 model

Table I. Dimensions of Model.


task	configuration	gross weight (lbs)	wave (feet)	speed (kts)	results
No.1	original	34,000	still water	6.9, 13.7, 20.6, 27.4, 34.3 41.2, 48.0, 54.9, 61.8, 68.6	Fig.10
		36,000	still water	6.9, 13.7, 20.6, 27.4, 34.3 41.2, 48.0, 54.9, 61.8, 68.6	Fig.11
	mod. no.1	34,000	still water	6.9, 13.7, 20.6, 27.4, 34.3 41.2, 48.0, 54.9, 61.8, 68.6	Fig.10
		36,000	still water	6.9, 13.7, 20.6, 27.4, 34.3 41.2, 48.0, 54.9, 61.8, 68.6	Fig.11
No.2	original	34,000	still water, 2x102, 2x123 2x144, 3x123, 3x144	0, 3.4, 6.9, 10.3, 13.7 17.2, 20.6, 24.0, 27.5, 30.9	Fig.12, Fig.14-18
		36,000	still water, 2x102, 2x123 2x144, 3x123, 3x144	0, 3.4, 6.9, 10.3, 13.7 17.2, 20.6, 24.0, 27.5, 30.9	Fig.13, Fig.19-23
	mod. no.1	34,000	still water, 2x102, 2x123 2x144, 3x123, 3x144	0, 3.4, 6.9, 10.3, 13.7 17.2, 20.6, 24.0, 27.5, 30.9	Fig.12, Fig.14-18
		36,000	still water, 2x102, 2x123 2x144, 3x123, 3x144	0, 3.4, 6.9, 10.3, 13.7 17.2, 20.6, 24.0, 27.5, 30.9	Fig.13, Fig.19-23
	mod. no.2	36,000	still water, 2x102, 2x123 2x144, 3x123, 3x144	0, 3.4, 6.9, 10.3, 13.7 17.2, 20.6, 24.0, 27.5, 30.9	Fig.13, Fig.19-23
	mod. no.2 with spray dam	36,000	still water	20.0, 24.0, 27.5, 30.9	Fig.24

Table II. Test Cases



1	2	3	4	Parallelogram Linkage
5				C.G. of Model
6				Scale for Heave
7				Scale for Pitch
8				Pointer of Spring Balance
9				Resistance Recording Drum
10				Weight for Adjusting Load on Water
11				Weight for Adjusting Pitching Moment
12				Torque Tube
13				Universal Joint

Fig. 1 Towing Apparatus, Tank No. 1




- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
| ① | ② | ③ | ④ | Parallelogram Linkage |
| ⑤ | | | | C.G. of Model |
| ⑥ | | | | Scale for Heave |
| ⑦ | | | | Scale for Pitch |
| ⑧ | | | | Scale for Wave Height |
| ⑨ | | | | U-Type Spring Balance |
| ⑩ | | | | Weight for Adjusting Load on Water |

Fig. 2 Towing Apparatus, Tank No. 2


1

1/5



2/5


Q AIRPLANE



Notes: All dimensions are in inches
Drawing scale is 1/5 and 2


2

Fig. 3 Lines of UF-2 Original Hull.





2/5

Q AIRPLANE



513 568 591 614





3

Notes: All dimensions are in inches, full size.
Drawing scale is 1/5 and 2/5 of the model.


Fig. 3 Lines of UF-2 Original Hull.

1

1/5




2



2/5

2 AIRPLANE





50BL 40BL 30BL 20BL 10BL 0 10BL 20BL 30BL 40BL

Note: All dimensions
Drawing scale 1

2/5

2 AIRPLANE





Note: All dimensions are in inches, full size.

Drawing scale is 1/5 and 2/5 of the model.



Fig. 4 Lines of UF-2 Modified Model No. 1

1/5





1

2



Note: All
Dro

Fig. 5 Lines of UP-2 M



Note: All dimensions are in inches, full size.
Drawing scale is 1/5 and 2/5 of the model.

Fig. 5 Lines of UF-2 Modified hull No. 2








Fig. 6 Extent of reconstruction.



2







Note: Dimensions are in millimeters,
model size.



Drawing scale is 1/5 of the model.

3


Fig. 7 Arrangement of Propeller, Flap and
Horizontal Tail



original



mod. no. 1



mod. no. 2




Fig. 9 Load on water.

speed
(kts)

0.9

1.7

26.6

27.4

24.3



original

mod. no.1

Fig. 10a Take-off run in Still Water, G.W. 34,000lbs.

speed
(kts)


1.2

48.0

54.9

61.8

68.6




original

mod. no.1

Fig. 10b Take-off Run in Still Water, G.W. 34,000lbs,

5,000
(kts)



13.7



16.0



17.1



20.0



original

mod. no.1

Fig. 11a Take-off run in still water, G.W. 36,000lbs.

speed
(kts)

1.2

48.0

54.9

61.8

68.6



original

ref. no. 1

Fig. 11b Take-off run in Still Water, G.M. 36,000 lbs.

speed
(fts)



0



0.4




0.9



10.3



13.7



original

mod. no.1

Fig. 10a Spray in still water, G.W. 34,000 lbs.

speed
(kts)

17.2

20.6

24.0

27.5

30.9

original

mod. no.1

Fig. 12b Spray in Still Water, G.W. 34,000lbs.

speed
(kts)

0

3.4

6.9

10.3

13.7


1



original

mod. no.1


Fig. 13a Spray in still water, G.W. 36,000 lbs.



original



mod. no.1



mod. no.2

2


Spray in still water, 1.4. 30,000 lbs.

speed
(kts)

17.2



20.0



22.0



27.5







30.9



original

100.1

1. 1st run in still water, 1.4. 30,000 ft.





2

orig. anal


mol. no. 1

mol. no. 2



speed
(kts)




3.4



6.9



10.3



13.7



original

mod. no.1

wave height 2ft, wave length 102ft.

Fig. 14a Maximum Spray in Waves, G.W. 34,000lb.

speed
(kts)

17.2



20.0



22.0



27.5



30.9



original


mod. no.1

wave height 2ft, wave length 102ft.


Fig. 1.b Maximum Spray in Waves, G.W. 34,000lbs.

speed
(kts)


0




5.4



6.9



10.3



13.7



original

mod. no.1

wave height 2ft, wave length 123ft.

Fig. 15a Maximum Spray in Waves, G.W. 34,000 lbs.

speed
(kts)

17.2



20.0



24.0



27.5



30.9




original

mod. no.1


wave height 2ft, wave length 123ft.

Fig. 15b Maximum Spray in waves, S.W. 34,000lbs.


speed
(kts)




3.4



6.9



10.3



13.7



original

mod. no.1

wave height 2ft, wave length 144ft.


fig. 16a Maximum Spray in Waves, T.W. 34,000lbs.

3,000.
(Kts),

17.2



20.0



24.0



27.5



30.9



original

mod. no. 1


wave height 2ft, wave length 144ft.

Fig. 10b Maximum Spray in Waves, G.W. 34,000lbs.



3.001
(0.03)





3.04



3.09



3.10



3.17



original

mod. no.1

wave height 5ft, wave length 123ft

Fig. 17a Maximum Spray in waves, G.W. 34,000 lbs.

speed
(kts)

17.



20.6



22.6



27.5



30.9






original

mod. no.1


wave height 3ft, wave length 123ft.

Fig. 17c Maximum Spray in waves, G.W. 34,000 lbs.


speed
(kts)




6.9



13.3



13.7



original

mod. no.1


wave height 5ft, wave length 11ft.

Fig. 18a Maximum Spray in waves, T.W. 30,000lbs.


30.1
(5.5)



20.0



10.0



07.5



30.9



original


mon. no.1

wave height 3ft, wave length 144ft.




Fig. 180 Maximum spray in waves, 3.4. 50,000lbs.

speed
(kts)


0




3.4




6.9



10.3



15.7









original

reduced

was: night, was: day, was: night.

Fig. 194. Machine gun, 12.7 mm, 1941.



2

original

copy 1

copy 2

Wave height 100, wave length 100.


— 2 — National Archives and Records Administration

speed
(kts)

17.2



20.6



24.0



27.5



30.9




original

mod. no.1

wave height 2ft, wave length 102ft.

Fig. 19b Maximum Spray in waves, S.W. 3,000 lbs.



2

al

mod. no.1

mod. no.2



light 2ft, wave length 102ft.

in Spray in waves 3.4. 3,000 lbs.


U




3.4



• 2



100.





1.





NOT. 7.1

... 210, wave length 1250.

4. The reaction of Cu^{2+} in water, H_2O , CuCl_2 .



2




mod. no.1

mod. no.2


1. 1950. 12. 10.

1. 1950. 12. 10.



wave height 2ft, wave length 125ft.

Fig. 20b Maximum spray in waves, S.W. 30, 301ms.



2

original

mod. no.1


mod. no.2

wave height 2ft, wave length 12ft.




Fig. 20b Maximum spray, in wave, bow, and stern.

speed
(kts)



0



3.4



6.9



10.3



13.7




original

mod. no.2

wave height 2ft, wave length 144ft.

Fig. 2.1. Maximum spray in waves, S.W. 36,000lbs.



2

original

mod. no.2

mod. no.2

wave height 21ft, wave length 144ft.


21st November. Spray in waves. W. 36,000lbs.

speed
(Kts)


17.2



20.6



24.0



27.5



30.9




original

no. 1

Wave height 1.5 ft, wave length 100 ft.

Ship's speed 30.9 Kts, wave height 1.5 ft, wave length 100 ft.



2

original

mol. no.1

mol. no.2

Wave height 2ft, wave length 10ft.


At maximum speed, 10 knots, 100,000 lbs.

speed
(kts)



0



3.4



6.9



10.3



13.7






original



NOV. 11

WAVE HEIGHT 10.0', WAVE PERIOD 10.0'

WAVE DIRECTION 180°, WAVE VELOCITY 10.0'



2



original

copy

copy

copy


copy

speed
(kts)

17.2



20.6



24.0



27.5






30.9





original

copy



2




original


10. 2 .

10. 2 .



6





344




6.9



10.3




157



...01. 70.1

... 36,000 lbs.



original

MOI. no.1

MOI. no.2

max. draft 10 ft, max. length 110 ft

max. weight 10,000 lbs, G.W. 30,000 lbs.

speed
(kts)


17.2



20.6



24.0



27.5



30.9




original

mod. no.1

wave height 5ft, wave length 144ft

Fig. 2.6 Maximum spray in waves, S.W. 10,000lbs.



original



mod. no.1

mod. no.2

wave height 5ft, wave length 144ft

fig. 2.6 maximum spray in waves, 7.4. 10,000lbs.


10.0
10.0
10.0




10.0



10.0



10.9



101. 10.2

101. 10.2
(with spray 101)

10.2 101. 10.2 in still water, 10.0, 10.0.