

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 273 954

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


273954

273954

MECHANICAL

TECHNOLOGY

INCORPORATED



MTI-62TR2

ON THE HYBRID GAS LUBRICATED
JOURNAL BEARING

by

J.W. Lund

Contract Nonr 3730(00)
Task NR 061-131

Technical Report

MTI-62TR2
March 15, 1962

ON THE HYBRID GAS LUBRICATED JOURNAL BEARING

by

J.W. Lund

Prepared under

Contract Nonr-3730(00)
Task NR 061-131

Jointly Supported by

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Administered by

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Department of the Navy

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted
for any Purpose of the U.S. Government

MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY INC.
LATHAM, N.Y.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	<u>Page</u> 1
INTRODUCTION	2
RESULTS	3
DISCUSSION OF ANALYSIS	4
CONCLUSIONS	9
RECOMMENDATIONS	10
REFERENCES	11
NOMENCLATURE	12
APPENDIX	15

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this report is to analyze the load carrying capacity of an external pressurized gas journal bearing including the hydrodynamic effect caused by the rotational speed of the journal. The analysis is an approximate first order perturbation solution, i. e. it assumes small bearing eccentricity ratio. Furthermore, it is assumed that the gas feeding takes place through orifices in the centerplane of the bearing and that the number of feeding holes is sufficiently large to be considered a line source.

Results are given for the load carrying capacity and for the attitude angle. In addition an investigation is made of the validity of the approximation used in arriving at the solution.

INTRODUCTION

Gas journal bearings are principally of two types: 1) self acting, and 2) externally pressurized. The self acting bearing works by hydrodynamic action and, therefore, has limited load capacity. It requires a small clearance and tight manufacturing tolerances. The externally pressurized bearing relies on hydrostatic pressure to generate its load carrying capacity. It is used in applications with higher load requirements and with less manufacturing precision but requires an external pressure supply.

Although the externally pressurized bearing is primarily hydrostatic, the rotation of the journal causes some hydrodynamic action. This effect is in most cases negligible because of the relatively large clearance but may become significant at higher speeds and at large eccentricity ratio. Since in recent times such cases have been encountered it is of value to develop an analysis for the combined hydrostatic-hydrodynamic gas journal bearing. This is the purpose of the present report.

RESULTS

The results of the analysis are presented in Figures 1-12 where curves for load carrying capacity and attitude angle are given. Four dimensionless numbers are necessary for a bearing calculation:

$$\text{the bearing number } \Lambda = \frac{6\mu W}{P_a} \left(\frac{R}{C}\right)^2$$

$$\text{the bearing length-to-diameter ratio} = L/D$$

$$\text{the feeding parameter } \Lambda_t = \frac{6\mu N A^2 x}{R_a C^3} \sqrt{\frac{RT}{m}}$$

$$\text{the pressure ratio} = P_{\text{supply}} / P_{\text{amb}}$$

An estimate of the validity of the results can be obtained from Figures 21-28 where the dotted curves are the previous results and the solid curves present an improved analysis. The improved analysis, however, only satisfies the analysis within a certain error which is marked on the curves. Thus a comparison between the two analyses is only valid when the error is small.

DISCUSSION OF ANALYSIS

Theoretical analyses exist separately for the self acting bearing and for the externally pressurized bearing under the assumption that the eccentricity ratio ϵ is small (Ref. 2 and 3). Both these solutions are first order perturbation solutions around $\epsilon = 0$ such that the bearing load becomes proportional to ϵ . The results are in good agreement with more extensive solutions and with experimental results. Thus it seems logical to attempt an analysis that combines the two solutions.

Assuming isothermal conditions in the gas film the exact equation for the problem under consideration is Reynolds' equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\rho h^3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\rho h^3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}] = 6\mu R\omega \frac{d(\rho h)}{dx} - 12\mu m$$

where P = film pressure, ρ = gas density, h = film thickness, R = journal radius, ω = angular speed of journal, μ = gas viscosity, x = circumferential coordinate, z = axial coordinate and m = mass flow per square inch from the external pressure source (m is a function of the local pressure P). This mass flow enters the equation only at the discrete points at which flow is introduced. For this reason it is extremely difficult to obtain a solution of the above equation even in approximate form. To circumvent this difficulty an assumption shall be made in regard to the nature of the gas feeding. The gas feeding takes place through orifice restricted feeding holes in the center-plane of the bearing. It shall be assumed that the number of feeding holes is sufficiently large to be treated as a line source. Thus the gas feeding no

longer enters the differential equation but instead becomes a boundary condition to the equation. This boundary condition stipulates that at the centerplane of the bearing the pressure gradient must be such that the flow into the bearing is equal to the outflow from the feeding holes. The flow through the feeding holes is restricted by orifices. Any restriction due to the annular flow area existing between the edge of the feeding hole and the journal surface is neglected.

The normal equation for flow through an orifice has a discontinuity when the pressure ratio reaches a critical value. This discontinuity is eliminated by using a modified orifice flow equation which has proven to give satisfactory results.

Based on the above assumptions the equation defining the gas feeding can be set up. It is found to be governed by the dimensionless feeding parameter:

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{6\mu N\alpha a^2}{P_a C^3} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{R}T}{m}}$$

(μ = viscosity, P_a = ambient pressure, C = radial clearance, N = number of feeding holes, α = orifice coefficient, a = orifice radius, m = number of orifices in series, \bar{R} = gas constant, T = total temperature.)

and the ratio of supply pressure to ambient pressure:

$$V = \frac{P_s}{P_a}$$

Returning to Reynolds' equation it is written in dimensionless form disclosing two additional dimensionless parameters: the bearing number

$$\Lambda = \frac{6\mu\omega}{P_a} \left(\frac{R}{C}\right)^2$$

(ω = angular journal speed, R = journal radius)

and the bearing length-to-diameter ratio:

$$\xi = \frac{L}{D}$$

The solution will be a function of these 4 parameters. A first order perturbation solution of Reynolds' equation is desired.

Thus the film pressure is approximated by:

$$P = P_0 + \epsilon P_1$$

P_0 is the pressure distribution when the journal is concentric in the bearing, and is found to be:

$$P_0 = \sqrt{1 + k^2(\xi - \zeta)}$$

where k^2 is a constant determined by the bearing-feeding system and $(\xi - \zeta)$ is the axial distance measured from the end of the bearing, i. e. P_0^2 is a linear function. Substituting the above first order expression for the pressure into Reynolds' equation yields the first order perturbation equation with boundary conditions, see Appendix, eq. 5:

$$\frac{\partial^2(P_0 P_1)}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2(P_0 P_1)}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{P_0} \frac{\partial(P_0 P_1)}{\partial \theta} = -\Lambda P_0 \sin \theta$$

Unfortunately this equation has no simple solution due to the form of P_0 .

Instead the nature of the solution is explored. This is done by approximating

$\frac{\Lambda}{P_0}$ by a constant:

$$\frac{\Lambda}{P_0} = \frac{\Lambda}{P_{0,av}}$$

where $P_{0,av}$ is the average value of P_0 . Then the equation is similar to Ref. 1 and 2 and a solution can be obtained. Numerical values of the dimensionless load carrying capacity and the attitude angle are given in Figs. 1-12 as a function of Λ for a wide range of Λ_t -values, two L/D-ratios and

three pressure ratios.

The second part of the analysis evaluates the validity of the approximation $\lambda = \text{constant}$ and establishes the regions in which the results are valid. Whereas previously λ was constant throughout the bearing, it now varies along the length of the bearing. Since P_0^2 is linear it is reasonable to replace λ with a linear or almost linear expression. This leads to a solution involving Bessel functions of a complex variable which is rather impractical to evaluate and instead the Bessel functions are approximated by complex trigonometric functions. Hence the solution does not satisfy the differential equation exactly and the discrepancy is presented in Figures 13-20. This error must be small compared to 1. Otherwise the analysis proceeds as before and results for load carrying capacity and attitude angle are given in Figures 21-28. In the same figures are also shown the results for $\lambda = \text{constant}$ for comparison. In addition the error in using the trigonometric approximation is indicated on the curves as taken from Figures 13-20. For λ less than 1.1 the agreement is good for the load carrying capacity and reasonably good for the attitude angle. No obvious correlation can be found between the magnitude of the calculated error and the point of divergence of the results. For the particular case of $L/D = 2$, $V = 2$, and $\lambda_t = .5$, the error never exceeds 2% and even so the results of the two analyses differ considerably. This points to the need for future investigation.

Finally, it shall be investigated if the total load carrying capacity can be

considered as a simple superposition of the hydrodynamic and the hydrostatic load. Figures 21-24 indicates that this is not the case. However, since $\Lambda = \frac{6\mu\omega}{P_a} \left(\frac{R}{C}\right)^2$, where P_a can be interpreted as being the mean film pressure for a purely hydrodynamic bearing, Λ should be replaced by $\Lambda \frac{P}{P_a}$ in applying the superposition for the hydrodynamic-hydrostatic bearing. This has been done in Figures 29-32. It is seen that even with the modified Λ the superposition still is not valid. This is not surprising since the hydrodynamic and the hydrostatic action are coupled in a "non-linear" way through the feeding conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The load and the attitude angle of the hybrid bearing are determined by 4 dimensionless numbers, Λ , L/D , Λ_t and P_s/P_a .
2. The rotation of the shaft increases the load carrying capacity and the attitude angle of the externally pressurized bearing. The effect is most pronounced for high values of the bearing number Λ (i. e. at high speeds), for low values of the supply pressure and for high values of the bearing length-to-diameter ratio.
3. The load carrying capacity of the hybrid bearing is not a superposition of the hydrostatic and the hydrodynamic load even if the bearing number Λ is based on the average film pressure instead of, as usual, the ambient pressure.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The analysis should be extended to obtain the complete solution of the first order perturbation equation.
2. An investigation of the effect of higher values of the eccentricity ratio should be undertaken.
3. The stability of the hybrid bearing should be studied especially to find out how hydrostatic pressure influences the stability of hydrodynamic bearings.

REFERENCES

1. Ausman, J. S. , "An Improved Analytical Solution for Self-Acting, Gas Lubricated Journal Bearings of Finite Length", Journal of Basic Engineering, June 1961.
2. Ausman, J. S. , "Finite Gas-Lubricated Journal Bearing", The Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Proceedings of the Conference on Lubrication and Wear, London 1957.
3. Heinrich, G. , "The Theory of the Externally-Pressurized Bearing with Compressible Lubricant", First International Symposium, Gas Lubricated Bearings, edited by D. D. Fuller. ONR/ACR-49, 1959, pp. 251-265.

NOMENCLATURE

Constants specifically defined in the analysis for the purpose of simplification only are not listed below.

a	Orifice radius	inch
C	Radial bearing clearance	inch
C_o, d_o	Constants given by equation (20) - (21) (1st part) and (55) - (56) (2nd part)	
F_r, F_t	Radial and tangential force components	lbs.
G	Complex form of H, see equation (12a)	
$g_1(\xi), g_2(\xi)$	Functions defined by equation (15) - (16) (1st part) and (48) - (49) (2nd part)	
H	$\frac{P_o P_1}{P_a}$	
\bar{h}	Film thickness	inch
h	Dimensionless film thickness = $\frac{\bar{h}}{c}$	
L	Bearing length	inch
M	Mass flow through one feeding hole	$\frac{\text{lbs. sec}}{\text{in}}$
m	Number of orifices in series in one feeding hole	
N	Number of feeding holes around circumference	
\bar{P}	Pressure in gas film	psia
P	Dimensionless gas film pressure = $\frac{\bar{P}}{P_a}$	
P_o	Dimensionless gas film pressure at $\xi = 0$, see equation (4)	
P_1	First order term in perturbation solution, see equation (3)	
$P_{o,av}$	Average value of P_o , see equation (31)	
P_a	Ambient pressure	psia

\overline{P}_i	Gas film pressure at $\zeta = 0$	psia
P_s	Supply pressure	psia
\uparrow^2	Slope of P_o^2 , see equation (9)	
q	Constant defined by equation (35)	
R	Bearing radius	inch
\overline{R}	Gas constant	$\frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{sec}^2 \cdot \text{°R}}$
T	Absolute temperature	°R
V	Pressure ratio = $\frac{P}{P_a}$	
W	Total bearing load	lbs.
X, Z	Circumferential and axial coordinates for gas film	inch
Z	Variable in 2nd part only, defined by equation (35a)	
α	Orifice coefficient	
α, β	Defined by equation (17), 1st part, and by equation (43) - (44), 2nd part	
ϵ	Bearing eccentricity ratio	
Θ, ζ	Dimensionless circumferential and axial coordinates for gas film	
κ	Constant defined by equation (34)	
κ_1, κ_2	Constants defined by equation (22) - (23)	
λ_1, λ_2	Constants defined by equation (57) - (58)	
Λ	Compressibility number = $\frac{6\mu\omega}{P_a} \left(\frac{R}{C}\right)^2$	
Λ_t	Feeding parameter = $\frac{6\mu N_d a^2}{P_a C^3} \sqrt{\frac{RT}{m}}$	
μ	Gas viscosity	$\frac{\text{lbs} \cdot \text{sec}}{\text{in}^2}$

$\frac{L}{D}$

Bearing length-to-diameter ratio = $\frac{L}{2R}$

ϕ

Attitude angle

ω

Angular speed of journal

rad/sec

APPENDIX

The Combined Hydrostatic-Hydrodynamic Gas Journal Bearing
An Approximate 1st Order Perturbation Solution

The journal bearing is pressure-fed from orifice restricted feeding holes around the circumference in the centerplane of the bearing. For a sufficiently large number of feeding holes these may be approximated by a line source such that the gas feeding becomes a boundary condition to the differential equation describing the flow in the bearing (Ref. 1). The differential equation is Reynolds equation which for a perfect gas under isothermal conditions may be written:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\bar{p} \bar{h}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\bar{p} \bar{h}^3 \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial z}] = 6\mu\omega R \frac{\partial(\bar{p}\bar{h})}{\partial x}$$

To make dimensionless set:

$$\theta = \frac{x}{R} \quad \zeta = \frac{z}{R} \quad P = \frac{\bar{p}}{P_0} \quad h = \frac{\bar{h}}{c} = 1 + \epsilon \cdot \cos\theta$$

Then:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [P h^3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} [P h^3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial \zeta}] = \Lambda \frac{\partial(P h)}{\partial \theta}$$

where:

$$(2) \quad \Lambda = \frac{6\mu\omega}{P_0} \left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^2$$

A 1st order perturbation solution with respect to the eccentricity ratio ϵ around $\epsilon = 0$ shall be tried. Therefore set:

$$(3) \quad P = P_0 + \epsilon P_1 + \epsilon^2 P_2 + \dots$$

and

$$P^2 = P_0^2 + 2\epsilon P_0 P_1 + \dots$$

Due to symmetry P_0 is a function of ζ only, i.e., $\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \theta} = 0$.

Thus the zero order equation becomes:

$$\frac{\partial^2 P_0^2}{\partial \zeta^2} = 0$$

or

$$(4) \quad P_0^2 = 1 + \mu^2(\xi - \zeta) \quad \xi = \frac{h}{D}$$

Since $\frac{\partial^2 P_0^2}{\partial \xi^2} = 0$ the 1st order equation becomes:

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \xi^2} - \frac{A}{P_0} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} = -\Lambda P_0 \sin \theta$$

where:

$$(6) \quad H = P_0 P_1$$

The boundary conditions for eq. (5) are:

$$a) \theta = \theta + 2\pi \quad H(\theta, \xi) = H(\theta + 2\pi, \xi)$$

$$b) \xi = \xi \quad H(\theta, \xi) = 0$$

c) $\xi = 0$ mass flow through feeding holes = mass flow through bearing
For a supply pressure of P_g , a downstream pressure of \bar{P}_1 , gas constant \bar{R} , absolute temperature T , orifice radius a , orifice coefficient α and n orifices in series the mass flow M for one feeding hole is approximately:

$$M = \pi \alpha^2 a \frac{\sqrt{P_g^2 - \bar{P}_1^2}}{\sqrt{mRT}}$$

For N feeding holes around the circumference the flow per inch is $\frac{NM}{2\pi R}$.

Equating this flow to the flow through the bearing yields:

$$-2 \frac{\bar{P}_1^2}{P_0^2 \mu} \frac{\bar{P}_1}{RT} \left(\frac{\partial P_0^2}{\partial \xi} \right)_i = \frac{N \alpha^2 a}{2} \frac{\sqrt{P_g^2 - \bar{P}_1^2}}{\sqrt{mRT}}$$

Substituting dimensionless parameters gives:

$$h^3 \left(\frac{\partial P_0^2}{\partial \xi} \right)_i = -\Lambda_t \sqrt{V^2 - P_1^2}$$

where

$$(7) \quad \Lambda_t = \frac{6\mu N \alpha a^2 \sqrt{RT}}{P_0 C^3 \sqrt{m}}$$

and

$$(8) \quad V = \frac{P_1}{P_0}$$

Introducing eq. (3) and (4) yields μ^2 and the boundary conditions at $\xi=0$:

$$\mu^2 = \mathcal{L}_t \cdot \sqrt{V^2 - 1 - \mu^2 \xi}$$

or

$$(9) \quad \mu^2 = \frac{\xi \mathcal{L}_t^2}{2} \left[-1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(V^2 - 1)}{5 \mathcal{L}_t^2}} \right]$$

and

$$(10) \quad \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi} \right)_i = \frac{3 \mathcal{L}_t^2}{2} \cos \theta + \frac{\mathcal{L}_t^2}{2 \mu^2} H_i$$

Approximate solution of differential equation

To evaluate eq. (5) set

$$(11) \quad H = h_1(\xi) \sin \theta + h_2(\xi) \cos \theta$$

which gives:

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \xi^2} - (1 + i \frac{\mathcal{L}_t}{P_0}) G = -\mathcal{L}_t P_0$$

where:

$$(12a) \quad G = h_1(\xi) + i h_2(\xi)$$

Eq. (12) is not readily solved considering that P_0 is given by eq. (4). Even if an exact solution could be obtained it would be very complex and therefore be outside the scope of the present analysis which is approximate anyway. Instead the analysis will be performed in two parts. In the first part θ is set equal to a constant. In the second part this assumption will be

investigated.

Rewrite eq. (1):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [h^2 \frac{\partial \theta^2}{\partial \theta}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} [h^2 \frac{\partial \theta^2}{\partial \zeta}] = \frac{\Lambda}{P} [h \frac{\partial \theta^2}{\partial \theta} + P^2 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta}]$$

which becomes linear in P^2 when $\frac{\Lambda}{P}$ is a known function. In order to arrive at a manageable solution we set $\frac{\Lambda}{P}$ equal to a constant, unspecified for the time being. Furthermore, only the 1st order perturbation solution is considered which is derived by substituting eq. (3):

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{P} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\Lambda}{P} \cdot P_0^2 \cdot \sin \theta$$

Proceeding as earlier we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \zeta^2} - (1+i\frac{\Lambda}{P}) G = -\frac{\Lambda}{P} P_0^2$$

with the particular solution:

$$(13) \quad G = P_0^2 \frac{\frac{\Lambda}{P}}{(1+i\frac{\Lambda}{P})} (1-i\frac{\Lambda}{P})$$

The solution to the homogenous equation is:

$$(14) \quad G = q_1(\zeta) + i q_2(\zeta)$$

where:

$$(15) \quad q_1(\zeta) = (a \cdot \cosh a \zeta + c \sinh a \zeta) \cos \beta \zeta - (d \cosh a \zeta + b \sinh a \zeta) \sin \beta \zeta$$

$$(16) \quad q_2(\zeta) = (b \cosh a \zeta + d \sinh a \zeta) \cos \beta \zeta + (c \cosh a \zeta + a \sinh a \zeta) \sin \beta \zeta$$

$$(17) \quad a^2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + (\frac{\Lambda}{P})^2}}{2} \quad \beta^2 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + (\frac{\Lambda}{P})^2}}{2}$$

(see Ref. 1)

Thus the complete solution is:

$$(18) \quad H = P_0^2 \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2} (\sin \theta - \frac{1}{\beta} \cos \theta) + q_1(\xi) \sin \theta + q_2(\xi) \cos \theta$$

Boundary Condition at $\xi = \xi : H = 0$

From the boundary condition we get:

$$q_1(\xi) = \frac{-1}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2}$$

$$q_2(\xi) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2}$$

which gives:

$$(19) \quad c = c_0 - \alpha_1 a - \alpha_2 b$$

$$d = d_0 + \alpha_1 a - \alpha_2 b$$

where

$$(20) \quad c_0 = \frac{2\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2} \frac{\frac{1}{\beta} \cosh \alpha \xi \cdot \sin \beta \xi - \sinh \alpha \xi \cdot \cos \beta \xi}{\cosh 2\alpha \xi - \cos 2\beta \xi}$$

$$(21) \quad d_0 = \frac{2\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2} \frac{\frac{1}{\beta} \sinh \alpha \xi \cdot \cos \beta \xi + \cosh \alpha \xi \cdot \sin \beta \xi}{\cosh 2\alpha \xi - \cos 2\beta \xi}$$

$$(22) \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{\sinh 2\alpha \xi}{\cosh 2\alpha \xi - \cos 2\beta \xi}$$

$$(23) \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\sin 2\beta \xi}{\cosh 2\alpha \xi - \cos 2\beta \xi}$$

Boundary Condition at $\xi=0$, eq. (10)

Introducing eq. (18) into eq. (10) and setting $\xi=0$ gives:

$$(24) \quad a = \frac{\left[\frac{A^2}{2\rho} + \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2\right] \left[-\lambda + \alpha c_0 - \beta d_0\right] + \left[\beta x_1 - \alpha x_2\right] \left[\frac{A}{2} \lambda + \beta c_0 + \alpha d_0 - \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2\right]}{\left[\frac{A^2}{2\rho} + \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2\right]^2 + \left[\beta x_1 - \alpha x_2\right]^2}$$

$$(25) \quad b = \frac{\left[\frac{A^2}{2\rho} + \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2\right] \left[\frac{A}{2} \lambda + \beta c_0 + \alpha d_0 - \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2\right] - \left[\beta x_1 - \alpha x_2\right] \left[-\lambda + \alpha c_0 - \beta d_0\right]}{\left[\frac{A^2}{2\rho} + \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2\right]^2 + \left[\beta x_1 - \alpha x_2\right]^2}$$

where

$$(26) \quad \lambda = \frac{A}{1 + \left(\frac{A}{\rho}\right)^2} \left[\frac{A^2}{2\rho^2} (1 + \rho^2 \xi) + \rho^2 \right]$$

Together with eq. (19) this defines the arbitrary constants in eq. (15) and (16), thus completing the solution of Reynolds equation.

Force Calculation

The radial and tangential force components are:

$$F_r = -2 P_a R^2 \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{2\pi} P \cos \theta \, d\theta \, d\xi = -2 P_a R^2 \epsilon \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{H}{P_0} \cos \theta \, d\theta \, d\xi$$

$$F_t = 2 P_a R^2 \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{2\pi} P \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\xi = 2 P_a R^2 \epsilon \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{H}{P_0} \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\xi$$

where H is given by eq. (18) and P_0 by eq. (4). Then:

$$(27) \quad \frac{4 F_r}{\pi D L P_a \epsilon} = \frac{\left(\frac{A}{\rho}\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{A}{\rho}\right)^2} \frac{4 \left[\frac{(1 + \rho^2 \xi)^{3/2} - 1}{3 \rho^2 \xi} \right]}{3 \rho^2 \xi} - \frac{2}{\xi} \int_0^{\xi} \frac{g_1(\xi) \, d\xi}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2 (\xi - \xi)}} \quad \text{---}$$

$$(28) \quad \frac{4 F_t}{\pi D L P_a \epsilon} = \frac{\left(\frac{A}{\rho}\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{A}{\rho}\right)^2} \frac{4 \left[\frac{(1 + \rho^2 \xi)^{3/2} - 1}{3 \rho^2 \xi} \right]}{3 \rho^2 \xi} + \frac{2}{\xi} \int_0^{\xi} \frac{g_1(\xi) \, d\xi}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2 (\xi - \xi)}} \quad \text{---}$$

The integrals are evaluated by numerical integration.

The attitude angle ϕ is given by:

$$(29) \quad \phi = \text{Arctan} \left(\frac{F_r}{F_t} \right)$$

The total bearing load is given by:

$$(30) \quad W = \sqrt{F_r^2 + F_t^2}$$

Curves of the attitude angle ϕ and the dimensionless bearing load $\frac{4W}{\pi D L R E}$ are given in Fig. 1-24 for $\frac{\Lambda}{P} = \Lambda$ (i. e. $P = P_a$) and for $\frac{\Lambda}{P} = \frac{\Lambda}{P_{o,av}}$ where $P_{o,av}$ is the average value of P_o and consequently of P . The average value of P_o is:

$$(31) \quad P_{o,av} = \frac{2[(1+\Lambda^2\xi)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1]}{3\Lambda^2\xi}$$

No Feeding, $\Lambda_t = 0$

In this case we get:

$$a = \frac{x_1 c_0 - x_2 d_0}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \Lambda^2} \cdot \frac{\Lambda \sinh \alpha \xi \cdot \sin \beta \xi - \cosh \alpha \xi \cdot \cos \beta \xi}{\sinh^2 \alpha \xi + \cos^2 \beta \xi}$$

$$b = \frac{x_1 c_0 + x_2 d_0}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \Lambda^2} \cdot \frac{\Lambda \cosh \alpha \xi \cdot \cos \beta \xi + \sinh \alpha \xi \cdot \sin \beta \xi}{\sinh^2 \alpha \xi + \cos^2 \beta \xi}$$

and therefore $c = d = 0$. Comparing these results to Ref. 1 it is seen that

$$a = \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \Lambda^2} B \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \Lambda^2} A$$

. Thus the present analysis reduces to Ref. 1 (the 1st order perturbation solution) for the case of $\Lambda_t = 0$.

No Rotation, $\Lambda = 0$

In this case $a = c = 0$ and

$$b = - \frac{\frac{3}{2} \mu^2 \sinh \xi}{\cosh \xi + \frac{3}{2} \mu^2 \sinh \xi}$$

$$d = - \frac{\cosh \xi}{\sinh \xi} b = \frac{\frac{3}{2} \mu^2 \cosh \xi}{\cosh \xi + \frac{3}{2} \mu^2 \sinh \xi}$$

Therefore the tangential force $F_t = 0$, i. e., $\phi = 0$, and the bearing load becomes equal to F_r . We get:

$$\frac{4W}{\pi D L R_e \epsilon} = \frac{3 \mu^2}{\xi [\cosh \xi + \frac{3}{2} \mu^2 \sinh \xi]} \int_0^\xi \frac{\sinh(\xi - \zeta)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2(\xi - \zeta)}} d\zeta$$

or

$$(32) \quad \frac{4W}{\pi D L R_e \epsilon} = \frac{3 \mu^2}{\xi [\cosh \xi + \frac{3}{2} \mu^2 \sinh \xi]} \left\{ e^{-\xi} [\psi(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2} + \xi}) - \psi(\frac{1}{\mu})] - \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{2} e^{-\xi} [\phi(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2} + \xi}) - \phi(\frac{1}{\mu})] \right\}$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} dt \quad \phi(x) = \operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

This may be compared to Ref. 3 by means of the following equations:

$$\bar{\xi} = \frac{\xi \mu^2}{\mu^2}$$

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{\xi(1 + \bar{\xi})}{\xi(\mu^2 - 1)}} = \frac{1}{\mu}$$

Then eq. (32) may be rewritten:

$$\frac{4W}{\pi D L R_e \epsilon} = \frac{3}{u} \left\{ \frac{e^{-u^2} [\psi(\sqrt{u^2 + \bar{\xi}}) - \psi(u)] - \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\xi}}}{2} [\phi(\sqrt{u^2 + \bar{\xi}}) - \phi(u)]}{\bar{\xi} \cosh \bar{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi} \sinh \bar{\xi}} \right\}$$

which is the same as eq. (19A), page 259, of Ref. 3.

A Check on the Assumption $\bar{P} = \text{constant}$

Since $P_0 = \sqrt{1 + \mu^2(\xi - \zeta)}$ is reasonably linear we shall substitute linear

expressions for $\frac{\Delta}{P_0}$ and ΔP_0 into eq. (5) and thereby obtain an indication of the validity of the previous assumption $\frac{\Delta}{P} = \text{constant}$.

Homogenous Equation

In eq. (12) we introduce the approximation

$$(33) \quad \frac{\Delta}{P_0} = \lambda \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu^2}} + \frac{1}{5} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu^2}} \right) \right] = \lambda \alpha + \frac{\xi}{q}$$

where

$$(34) \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu^2}}$$

$$(35) \quad q = \frac{\xi}{\lambda(1-\alpha)}$$

Furthermore set:

$$(35a) \quad z = 1 + i \frac{\Delta}{P_0} = 1 + i \left(\lambda \alpha + \frac{\xi}{q} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda \neq 0 \\ \lambda \neq 0 \end{array}$$

Then the homogenous part of eq. (12) becomes:

$$(36) \quad \frac{d^2 G}{dz^2} + q^2 z G = 0$$

with the solution:

$$G = \sqrt{z} \left[A \cdot J_{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{2}{3} q z^{3/2} \right) + B \cdot J_{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{2}{3} q z^{3/2} \right) \right]$$

Since Z is a complex variable this expression is rather cumbersome to evaluate. Instead the following approximation shall be used:

$$(37) \quad G = z^{-1/6} \left[A \cdot \cos \left(\frac{2}{3} q z^{3/2} \right) + B \cdot \sin \left(\frac{2}{3} q z^{3/2} \right) \right]$$

To evaluate this approximation insert eq. (37) into eq. (36) to get:

$$(38) \quad \left(\frac{5}{16} z^{-2} - q^2 z \right) G + q^2 z G \cong 0$$

Comparing the real and the imaginary components:

$$(39) \text{ real part: } q^2 \cong q^2 \left[1 - \frac{5}{16} \frac{1 - (\mathcal{L}x + \frac{1}{q})^2}{q^2 [1 + (\mathcal{L}x + \frac{1}{q})^2]^2} \right]$$

$$(40) \text{ imaginary part: } (\mathcal{L}x + \frac{1}{q}) \cong (\mathcal{L}x + \frac{1}{q}) \left[1 + \frac{5}{8} \frac{1}{q^2 [1 + (\mathcal{L}x + \frac{1}{q})^2]^2} \right]$$

Thus for eq. (37) to be a good approximation the remainders inside the above brackets must be small compared to unity. The maximum values of the remainders are:

$$(41) \text{ Max. remainder for real part: } \frac{5}{16} \frac{1 - \mathcal{L}^2 x^2}{q^2 [1 + \mathcal{L}^2 x^2]^2}$$

$$(42) \text{ Max. remainder for imaginary part: } \frac{5}{8} \frac{1}{q^2 [1 + \mathcal{L}^2 x^2]^2}$$

These equations have been plotted in Fig. 13-20 for a few representative values of \mathcal{L}_t, V and \mathcal{L} and thus indicates the regions where the results of the analysis is valid.

Returning to eq. (37) we set:

$$\frac{2}{3} q z^{3/2} = \alpha + i\beta$$

and

$$z^{-1/2} = \gamma + i\delta$$

From eq. (35a) we get:

$$(43) \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{4q^2}{9} \left[\frac{-3(\frac{1}{q})^2 - 1 + \sqrt{(1 + (\frac{1}{q})^2)^3}}{2} \right]$$

$$(44) \quad \beta^2 = \frac{4q^2}{9} \left[\frac{3(\frac{1}{q})^2 - 1 + \sqrt{(1 + (\frac{1}{q})^2)^3}}{2} \right]$$

$$(45) \quad \gamma^2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^2} \right)}}{2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}}$$

$$(46) \quad \delta^2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^2} \right)}}{2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}}$$

where

$$(47) \quad \frac{A}{B} = \lambda x + \frac{\zeta}{q}$$

Introducing the above equations into eq. (37), setting $A = a+ib$ and $B = c+id$ and using eq. (12a) yields:

$$(48) \quad q_1(\zeta) = a[\gamma \cos \alpha \cosh \beta + \delta \sin \alpha \sinh \beta] - b[\delta \cos \alpha \cosh \beta - \gamma \sin \alpha \sinh \beta] \\ + c[\gamma \sin \alpha \cosh \beta - \delta \cos \alpha \sinh \beta] - d[\delta \sin \alpha \cosh \beta + \gamma \cos \alpha \sinh \beta]$$

$$(49) \quad q_2(\zeta) = a[\delta \cos \alpha \cosh \beta - \gamma \sin \alpha \sinh \beta] + b[\gamma \cos \alpha \cosh \beta + \delta \sin \alpha \sinh \beta] \\ + c[\delta \sin \alpha \cosh \beta + \gamma \cos \alpha \sinh \beta] + d[\gamma \sin \alpha \cosh \beta - \delta \cos \alpha \sinh \beta]$$

Complete Equation

A particular solution to the complete inhomogenous eq. (12) can be found either by using the substitution given by eq. (35a) to obtain a series solution or by proceeding with the homogenous solution by means of variation of parameters. Neither method yields very practical results. Instead we return to eq. (5) and make the following approximations:

$$\frac{\Lambda}{P_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+p^2\xi} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1+p^2\xi})\xi} = \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha q}{\Lambda q - \xi}$$

$$\Lambda P_0 = \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\xi-\zeta) + \alpha \sinh \xi}{\sinh \xi}$$

Note that for $\xi < 1$ these two approximations for P_0 are nearly identical.

Then a particular solution of eq. (5) is:

$$(50) \quad H = \frac{\Lambda/2\alpha}{(1 + \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha^2}{4}) \sinh \xi} (\xi - \Lambda q) \left[\cosh(\xi - \zeta) - \alpha \cosh \xi \right] \sin \zeta + \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha q}{2} (\sinh(\xi - \zeta) + \alpha \sinh \xi)$$

Combining eq. (11), eq. (48), eq. (49), and eq. (50) gives the complete solution to eq. (5).

Boundary Condition at $\zeta = \xi : H = 0$

The boundary condition becomes:

$$(51) \quad g_1(\xi) = \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha q}{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha^2}{4}} \frac{1 - \alpha \cosh \xi}{\alpha \sinh \xi} = a[\gamma_1 \cos \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 + d_1 \sin \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1] - b[d_1 \cos \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 - \gamma_1 \sin \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1] \\ + c[\gamma_1 \sin \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 - d_1 \cos \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1] - d[d_1 \sin \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 + \gamma_1 \cos \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1]$$

$$(52) \quad g_2(\xi) = \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha q^2}{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2 \alpha^2}{4}} = a[d_1 \cos \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 - \gamma_1 \sin \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1] + b[\gamma_1 \cos \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 + d_1 \sin \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1] \\ + c[d_1 \sin \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 + \gamma_1 \cos \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1] + d[\gamma_1 \sin \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 - d_1 \cos \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1]$$

where $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$ and d_1 are derived from eq. (43)-(46) by setting $\zeta = \xi$ (i.e., $P_0 = 1$).

Solving eq. (51) and (52) with respect to c and d yields:

$$(53) \quad c = c_0 - \lambda_1 a - \lambda_2 b$$

$$(54) \quad d = d_0 + \lambda_2 a - \lambda_1 b$$

where:

$$(55) \quad c_0 = \frac{2[(\gamma_1 \cdot q_2(\xi) + d_1 \cdot q_1(\xi)) \sin \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 + (\gamma_1 \cdot q_1(\xi) - d_1 \cdot q_2(\xi)) \cos \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1]}{(\gamma_1^2 + d_1^2)(\cosh 2\beta_1 - \cos 2\alpha_1)}$$

$$(56) \quad d_0 = \frac{2[(\gamma_1 \cdot q_2(\xi) - d_1 \cdot q_1(\xi)) \sin \alpha_1 \cosh \beta_1 - (\gamma_1 \cdot q_1(\xi) + d_1 \cdot q_2(\xi)) \cos \alpha_1 \sinh \beta_1]}{(\gamma_1^2 + d_1^2)(\cosh 2\beta_1 - \cos 2\alpha_1)}$$

$$(57) \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{\sin 2\alpha_1}{\cosh 2\beta_1 - \cos 2\alpha_1}$$

$$(58) \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\sinh 2\beta_1}{\cosh 2\beta_1 - \cos 2\alpha_1}$$

Boundary Condition at $\xi = 0$, see eq. (10)

Introduce the following constant:

$$(59) \quad \alpha_0 = (\alpha)_{\xi=0}$$

$$(60) \quad \beta_0 = (\beta)_{\xi=0}$$

$$(61) \quad \gamma_0 = (\gamma)_{\xi=0}$$

$$(62) \quad d_0 = (d)_{\xi=0}$$

$$(63) \quad \alpha'_0 = \left(\frac{d\alpha}{d\xi}\right)_{\xi=0} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\xi x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{(-2 + \sqrt{1 + (\Lambda x)^2})}{\alpha_0}$$

$$(64) \quad \beta'_0 = \left(\frac{d\beta}{d\xi}\right)_{\xi=0} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\xi x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{(2 + \sqrt{1 + (\Lambda x)^2})}{\beta_0}$$

$$(65) \quad \gamma'_0 = \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d\xi}\right)_{\xi=0} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\Lambda x}{4} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 x^2}} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{1 + \Lambda^2 x^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 x^2}} \right)} \right) \right] / \gamma_0 (1 + \Lambda^2 x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$(66) \quad \delta'_0 = \left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)_{t=0} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{c} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k^2 x^2}}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{1 + k^2 x^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k^2 x^2}})} \right) \right] / \delta_0 (1 + k^2 x^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

$$(67) \quad v = \gamma_0 \cos \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 + \delta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0$$

$$(68) \quad g = \delta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 - \gamma_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0$$

$$(69) \quad \sigma = \gamma_0 \sin \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 - \delta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0$$

$$(70) \quad \tau = \delta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 + \gamma_0 \cos \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0$$

$$(71) \quad v' = \gamma'_0 \cos \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 + \delta'_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0 - \alpha'_0 \delta + \beta'_0 \tau$$

$$(72) \quad g' = \delta'_0 \cos \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 - \gamma'_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0 - \alpha'_0 \tau - \beta'_0 \delta$$

$$(73) \quad \sigma' = \gamma'_0 \sin \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 - \delta'_0 \cos \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0 + \alpha'_0 v - \beta'_0 g$$

$$(74) \quad \tau' = \delta'_0 \sin \alpha_0 \cosh \beta_0 + \gamma'_0 \cos \alpha_0 \sinh \beta_0 + \alpha'_0 g + \beta'_0 v$$

$$(75) \quad S = \frac{\Delta^2 \gamma_0 g}{2}$$

$$(76) \quad \psi = v - \lambda_1 \delta - \lambda_2 \tau$$

$$(77) \quad \eta = g + \lambda_2 \delta - \lambda_1 \tau$$

$$(78) \quad \psi' = v' - \lambda_1 \delta' - \lambda_2 \tau'$$

$$(79) \quad \eta' = g' + \lambda_2 \delta' - \lambda_1 \tau'$$

$$(80) \quad \Omega = \frac{\Lambda \eta \epsilon}{1+S} \left[\frac{\cosh \xi - \kappa}{\sinh \xi} (1 + \Lambda q \frac{\Lambda^2}{2f^2}) + \Lambda q \right] + (\sigma' - \frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \sigma) c_0 - (\tau' - \frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \tau) d_0$$

$$(81) \quad \Gamma = S \frac{\Lambda \eta \epsilon}{1+S} \left[1 + \Lambda q \frac{\Lambda^2}{2f^2} + \Lambda q \frac{\cosh \xi - \kappa}{\sinh \xi} \right] + (\tau' - \frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \tau) c_0 + (\sigma' - \frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \sigma) d_0$$

Then we get from the boundary condition eq. (10):

$$(82) \quad a = \frac{\Omega \left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \psi - \psi' \right] + (\Gamma - \frac{3f^2}{2}) \left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \eta - \eta' \right]}{\left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \psi - \psi' \right]^2 + \left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \eta - \eta' \right]^2}$$

$$(83) \quad b = \frac{(\Gamma - \frac{3f^2}{2}) \left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \psi - \psi' \right] - \Omega \left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \eta - \eta' \right]}{\left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \psi - \psi' \right]^2 + \left[\frac{\Lambda^2}{2f} \eta - \eta' \right]^2}$$

Force Calculation

The radial and tangential force components are:

$$(84) \quad F_r = -2P_a R^2 \epsilon \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{H}{\rho} \cos \theta \, d\theta \, d\xi$$

$$(85) \quad F_t = 2P_a R^2 \epsilon \int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{H}{\rho} \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\xi$$

where:

$$(86) \quad H = \left\{ \frac{\lambda/2x}{(1+S^2)\sinh\xi} (\xi - \lambda q) (\cosh(\xi - \xi) - x \cosh \xi) + q_1(\xi) \right\} \sin \theta \\ + \left\{ S \frac{\lambda/2x}{(1+S^2)\sinh\xi} (\xi - \lambda q) (\sinh(\xi - \xi) + x \sinh \xi) + q_2(\xi) \right\} \cos \theta$$

$$(87) \quad P_0 = \sqrt{1 + p^2(\xi - \xi)}$$

and $q_1(\xi)$ and $q_2(\xi)$ are given by eq. (48) and (49). Then:

$$(88) \quad \frac{4F_x}{\pi D L R_E} = -\frac{2}{\xi} \int_0^\xi \frac{S \frac{\lambda/2x}{(1+S^2)\sinh\xi} (\xi - \lambda q) (\sinh(\xi - \xi) + x \sinh \xi) + q_2(\xi)}{\sqrt{1 + p^2(\xi - \xi)}} d\xi$$

$$(89) \quad \frac{4F_y}{\pi D L R_E} = \frac{2}{\xi} \int_0^\xi \frac{\frac{\lambda/2x}{(1+S^2)\sinh\xi} (\xi - \lambda q) (\cosh(\xi - \xi) - x \cosh \xi) + q_1(\xi)}{\sqrt{1 + p^2(\xi - \xi)}} d\xi$$

These integrals are evaluated numerically. The total force is:

$$(90) \quad W = \sqrt{F_x^2 + F_y^2}$$

and the attitude angle is determined by:

$$(91) \quad \phi = \text{Arctan} \left(\frac{F_y}{F_x} \right)$$

FIG. 1

DIMENSIONLESS FORCE

$\lambda/\delta = 1, \nu = 2, \frac{1}{\delta} = \frac{1}{\rho_0 \mu_0}$

$\frac{4W'}{\pi R L D}$

3.0

2.5


2.0

1.5

1.0

.5

0



$\lambda = 1.0$

$\lambda = 1.5$

$\lambda = 2.0$

$\lambda = 2.5$

$\lambda = 3.0$

$\lambda = 4.0$

$\lambda = 5.0$

$\lambda = 6.0$

$\lambda = 8.0$

$\lambda = 10.0$

FIG. 2
DIMENSIONLESS FORCE





FIG. 3
DIMENSIONLESS FORCE



0 RPL6
1-5-62

FIG. 4

DIMENSIONLESS FORCE





FIG. 5
DIMENSIONLESS FORCE

$\frac{4}{10} = 2, V = 7, \frac{1}{P} = \frac{1}{P_{0, \text{AVE.}}}$

$\frac{4W'}{\pi R_0 L D_0}$

5.5
5.0
4.5
4.0
3.5
3.0
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0



0 .2 .4 .6 .8 1.0 .8 .6 .4 .2 0

λ $\frac{1}{L}$

RPG
1-5-6i

FIG. 6

DIMENSIONLESS FORCE




FIG. 7
ATTITUDE ANGLE




FIG. 8
ATTITUDE ANGLE




FIG. 9
ATTITUDE ANGLE





FIG. 10
ATTITUDE ANGLE


$\frac{1}{D} = 2, V = 2, \frac{1}{P} = \frac{1}{2.5 \times 10^6}$



RPG
1-4-6i

FIG. 11
ATTITUDE ANGLE

$\frac{L}{D} = 2, V = 4, \frac{1}{P} = \frac{1}{P_{avg}}$



RPG
1-4-62

FIG. 12

ATTITUDE ANGLE




FIG. 13

Error in real part of eq. 38




FIG. 14




FIG. 15

Error in real part of eq. 38




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 19




FIG. 20




FIG. 21




FIG. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 24




FIG. 25




FIG. 26




FIG. 27




FIG. 28




FIG. 29
DIMENSIONLESS FORCE




FIG.30
DIMENSIONLESS FORCE




FIG.31

DIMENSIONLESS FORCE





FIG.32

DIMENSIONLESS FORCE



APPROVED DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS
ISSUED UNDER

GAS LUBRICATED BEARINGS CONTRACTS

Contract W49-3136(a) OR OMB-131

Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 438 423 429 466	10 1 5 1	Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 2000 5230	6 1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Tenth Floor The John Crerar Library Bldg. 86 East Randolph Street Chicago, Illinois	1	Special Projects Office Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code SP23-A (D. Gold)	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York	1	Head, Bearings and Seals Branch U. S. Naval Engineering Experiment Station Annapolis, Maryland Attn: Code 851 (Watt V. Smith)	2
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39, Navy #100 Fleet Post Office New York, N. Y.	1	Material Laboratory Library Building 291, Code 912B New York Naval Shipyard Brooklyn 1, New York	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California	1	Library Technical Reports Section U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, California	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Armaments Facility Indianapolis 15, Indiana Attn: J. G. Weir	2
Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 644B (James C. Reid, Jr.)	2	Director U. S. Naval Boiler and Turbine Lab. Naval Base Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania	1
Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code NAAR-3431 (G. D. Norman)	2	Office of Chief of Ordnance Research and Development Division Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Norman L. Kleis	1
		Fuels & Lubricants Section Research Branch Research & Development Division Office, Chief of Ordnance Attn: Mr. Ronald E. Streets 4th Floor, Pentagon Annex #2 Washington 25, D. C.	1

Page 3

Chief, Technical Information Service Extension P. O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee Attn: Melvin S. Day	1	Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago 16, Illinois Attn: Professor L. N. Tao	1
Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins University Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: George L. Seidelsted, Supr., Tech., Reports Group	1	Professor M. C. Shaw, Head Department of Mechanical Engineering Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1
Department of Chemical Engineering New York University New York 53, New York Attn: James J. Barker, Assoc. Prof. of Nuclear Engineering	1	Engineering Projects Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Dr. R. W. Mum (Room 3-459 A)	1
Professor A. Charnes The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Instrumentation Laboratory 68 Albany Street Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Library, WI-109	1
Dr. Richard B. Morrison Advanced Research Engineering 1207 South University Avenue Ann Arbor, Michigan	1	Professor P. F. Martinuzzi Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey	1
Resident Representative Office of Naval Research c/o University of Pennsylvania 3436 Walnut Street Philadelphia 4, Pennsylvania	1	Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus 1, Ohio Attn: Dr. Russell Dayton	1
Professor P. R. Trampler Town School of Civil and Mechanical Engineering University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1	Franklin Institute Laboratory for Research and Development Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Attn: Professor D. B. Fuller	5
Mr. G. K. Fischer Research Laboratories for the Engineering Sciences Thornton Hall University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia	1	Library Institute of Aerospace Sciences 2 East 64th Street New York, New York	1
Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology 4800 Oak Grove Avenue Pasadena, California Attn: Dr. John H. Laub Library	2 1	Mr. G. B. Sporn Sr. Member, Technical Staff ITT Federal Laboratories Division of International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation 15151 Blodgett Street San Fernando, California	1
		Aerojet-General Electronics P. O. Box 86 San Ramon, California Attn: Barbara M. Probert	1
		Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 95005 Los Angeles 45, California Attn: Aerospace Library Technical Reports Group W. J. Benjamin	1 1 1

Page 2

Chief of Research and Development Office, Chief of Staff Department of the Army Pentagon Building Washington 25, D. C.	1	Office of Assistant Director (Army Reactors) Division of Reactor Development U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. Clarence E. Miller, Jr.	5
Commanding General U. S. Army Engineer R&D Laboratories Fort Belvoir, Virginia Attn: W. M. Cris, Nuclear Power Field Office	2	Mr. S. G. Nordlinger, Chief Engineering Development Branch Reactor Development Division U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.	1
Director U. S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratory Fort Belvoir, Virginia Attn: Technical Documents Center	1	Headquarters Library U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C.	1
Commander Army Rocket & Guided Missile Agency Redstone Arsenal, Alabama Attn: Technical Library	1	Chief, Division of Engineering Maritime Administration 620 Building Washington 25, D. C.	1
NSD - ARCD Box 68, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina	1	Cryogenic Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards Boulder, Colorado Attn: Library	1
Chief of Staff, U. S. Air Force The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C. Attn: AFPR-AS/M	1	Mr. Harold Hocking National Aeronautics and Space Administration 1512 H. Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.	5
Commander Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: SSM	1	Mr. Edmund E. Mason Chief, Lubrication & Wear Branch Lewis Research Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio	1
Commander Aeronautical Systems Division of the Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson AF Base, Ohio Attn: AASD-2, J. L. Morris AASD-3, F. C. Heaton AASD-7-1, E. L. McPadden, Jr.	5 1 1	Mr. Rudolph E. Beyer George C. Marshall Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Guidance and Control Division Gyro-Stabilizer Branch Bartlesville, Alabama	5
ASD (AEROSPACE) Attn: E. W. Madory Wright-Patterson AF Base, Ohio	1	Mr. E. V. Savage Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post Office Box Y Oak Ridge, Tennessee	1
Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia	10		

Page 4

AIRSEARCH Manufacturing Company Sky Harbor Airport 402 South 36th Street Phoenix, Arizona Attn: Mr. E. S. Cain Librarian	1 1	Bendix Aviation Corporation Research Laboratories Division Northfield, Michigan Attn: Mr. Ralph E. Larsen	1 1
Mr. William D. Stimml Research Laboratories Library Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Company Milwaukee 1, Wisconsin	1	Mr. C. N. Adams Physics Technology Department Aero-Space Division The Boeing Company Seattle 24, Washington	1
J. W. Fecher Division American Optical Company 4709 Baum Blvd. Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1	Bryant Chucking Grinder Company 60 Clinton Avenue Springfield, Vermont Attn: Mr. Donald Cunn	1
American Society of Lubrication Engineers 5 North Wabash Avenue Chicago 2, Illinois	1	Cadillac Gage Company P. O. Box 3006 Detroit 5, Michigan Attn: Mr. J. Taylor, Project Engineer	1
Chairman Research Committee on Lubrication The American Society of Mechanical Engineers United Engineering Center 345 East 47th Street New York 17, New York	2	Dr. Dorey J. Sendell Director of Development Carrier Research and Development Co. Carrier Parkway Syracuse, New York	1
Mr. James R. Kerr, President Licensing Division AVCO Stratford, Connecticut	1	Chascoe Vought Corporation P. O. Box 5907 Dallas, Texas Attn: Mr. R. C. Blaylock Vice President, (Engineering)	1
Research Precision Mechanisms Division of the Barden Corporation 4 Old Newtown Road Danbury, Connecticut Attn: B. L. Mum, Vice President - General Manager	1	Chrysler Corporation Defense Operations P. O. Box 757 Detroit 31, Michigan Attn: Mr. C. W. Balder	1
Beomer Engineering Company Industrial Park Port Washington, Pennsylvania	1	J. D. Mearns & Associates Consulting Engineers 3906 Main Street Houston 2, Texas	1
Utica Division The Bendix Corporation 211 Seward Avenue Utica, New York Attn: Mr. Russell T. Dethsh Supervisory Engineer	1	Mr. B. V. Birmingham Cryogenic Engineering Laboratory National Bureau of Standards Boulder, Colorado	2
		Curtiss Wright Corporation Wright Aeronautical Division Department 8332 Wood Ridge New Jersey Attn: W. J. Durner Chief Project Engineering	1

Daytron Pacific
9320 Lincoln Boulevard
Los Angeles 45, California
Attn: Robert H. Smith
Special Project Engineer 1

Ford Instrument Company
31-10 Thomson Avenue
Long Island City 1, New York
Attn: Mr. Jarvis 1

Mr. Adolf Egli
Ford Motor Company
Engineering and Research Staff
P. O. Box 2053
Dearborn, Michigan 1

Dr. John E. Mayer, Jr.
Non-Metallics Section
Applied Science Department
Scientific Laboratory
Ford Motor Company
P. O. Box 2053
Dearborn, Michigan 1

AIResearch Manufacturing Division
The Garrett Corporation
9051 S. Sepulveda Boulevard
Los Angeles, California
Attn: Jerry Glaser, Supervisor
Mechanical Lab.-Dept. 93-17 1

General Atomic Division
General Dynamics Corporation
P. O. Box 608
San Diego 12, California
Attn: Mr. F. W. Simpson 1

Bearing and Lubricant Center
General Engineering Laboratory
General Electric Company
1 River Road
Schenectady, New York
Attn: G. R. Fox, Manager 5

Mr. L. W. Wiam
General Electric Company
Aircraft Accessory Turbine Department
950 Western Avenue, Bldg. 3-74
Lyons, Massachusetts 1

Research Laboratories
General Motors Corporation
General Motors Technical Center
12 Mile and Howard Roads
Warren, Michigan
Attn: Mr. E. Roland Hahl,
Mechanical Development Dept. 1

A. C. Spark Plug Division
General Motors Corporation
Milwaukee 1, Wisconsin
Attn: Allan Knudsen 1

Mr. Walter Carow
Kearfott Division
General Precision Inc.
1150 McBride Avenue
Little Falls, New Jersey 1

Mr. John C. Stiles
Kearfott Division
General Precision Inc.
1150 McBride Avenue
Little Falls, New Jersey 1

Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corp.
Bethpage, Long Island, New York
Attn: Mr. David W. Craig, Jr.
Mechanical Design Section
Engineering Department 1

Hydraulics, Incorporated
200 Monroe Street
Rockville, Maryland 1

International Business Machine Corp.
Research Laboratory
San Jose, California
Attn: Dr. V. E. Langlois 5

Mr. B. A. Napier, Engr. Div. Mgr.
Incor, Incorporated
110 Ionic Avenue NW
Grand Rapids, Michigan 1

Mr. L. R. Barr, V. P.
Lear-Romac Division
Abbe Pond
Elyria, Ohio 1

Dr. Calus G. Goetsel, D/53-30
Bldg. 201, Plant 2, Palo Alto
Lockheed Missiles & Space Co.
P. O. Box 504
Sunnyvale, California 1

Dr. J. S. Amman
Litton Systems, Inc.
5500 Canoga Avenue
Woodland Hills, California 2

Mr. Don Moore
Litton Systems
5500 Canoga Avenue
Woodland Hills, California 1

Mr. A. H. Thomas
Aero Division
The Harquest Corporation
16555 Outcrop Street
Van Nuys, California 1

Mr. Kendall Purkins
Vice President (Mgr)
McDonnell Aircraft Corporation
Lambert St. - St. Louis
Municipal Airport
Box 516
St. Louis 3, Missouri 1

Dr. Bono Sternlicht
Mechanical Technology Incorporated
1 Herbert Drive
Latham, New York 3

Mr. J. W. Lovar
Chief, Engineer-Inertial Components
Honeywell Aero Division
2600 Ridgway Road
Minneapolis, Minnesota 1

Mr. Carl P. Grosser, Jr.
Director of Research
New Hampshire Ball Bearings, Inc.
Peterborough, New Hampshire 1

Mrs. Alice Ward, Librarian
Barden Division of United Aircraft Corp.
Helen Street
Hartford, Connecticut 1

Mr. M. Wildams, D/342-5 B/5
Automatics Division
North American Aviation Inc.
5150 East Imperial Highway
Downey, California 1

Harthorp Corporation
Burrill Division
1001 East Broadway
Northridge, California
Attn: Technical Information, 3125 1

Mr. W. L. Siemon
Kortronics
A Division of Harthorp Corp.
500 East Orangehurst Avenue
Anaheim, California 1

Kortronics
A Division of Harthorp Corporation
100 Hrose Street
Wareham, Massachusetts
Attn: Mr. E. L. Swinson, Tech. Asst.
Precision Products Department 1

Fruitt & Whitney Aircraft
Division of UAC - CAME
P. O. Box 611
Middletown, Connecticut
Attn: Librarian 1

Library, Bldg. 10-2-5
Radio Corporation of America
Cannon 2, New Jersey 1

Mr. Robert S. Siegler
Rocketdyne
Rusloonia Subdivision
6633 Canoga Avenue
Canoga Park, California 3

Ryan Aeronautical Company
Attn: Engineering Library
Lindbergh Field
San Diego 12, California 1

Mr. M. A. Vreeland
Sanderson & Furber
78 Wall Street
New York 5, New York 1

Jack & Heinen, A Division of
The Siegler Corporation
1725 Eye Street, Suite 505
Washington 6, D. C. 1

Mr. Paul A. Pitt, Vice President
Engineering & Research
Solar Aircraft Company
2200 Pacific Highway
San Diego 12, California 1

Mr. W. G. Wing
Sperry Gyroscope Company
C-8
Great Neck, New York 1

Mrs. Florence Turnbull
Engineering Librarian
Sperry Gyroscope Company
Great Neck, New York 1

Sundstrand Aviation-Deaver
2480 West 70th Avenue
Deaver 21, Colorado 1

Mr. E. Fred Hicks
Tribo-Metics Laboratories
Vermilion, Ohio 1

Mr. James W. Salassi, President
Turbocraft, Inc.
1946 S. Myrtle Avenue
Monrovia, California 1

Universal Match Corporation
Avionics Department Technical Library
4407 Cook Avenue
St. Louis 13, Missouri 1

Waukesha Bearings Corporation
P. O. Box 346
Waukesha, Wisconsin
Attn: Mr. J. M. Gruber, Ch. Engr. 1

Mr. John Boyd
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Research Laboratories
East Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 1

Mr. E. Walter
Director of Research
Worthington Corporation
Harrison, New Jersey 1

Dr. W. A. Gross
Amper Corporation
934 Charter Blvd.
Redwood City, California 5

Professor J. M. H. H. H.
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Union College
Schenectady 6, New York 3