

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 280 306

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

280306

AD No.

ASTIA FILE COPY

280 306

3049

ON OPTIMAL ADVERTISING CAPITAL AND RESEARCH
EXPENDITURES UNDER DYNAMIC CONDITIONS

BY
PHOEBUS J. DHRYMES

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 112
APRIL 13, 1962

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-225 (50)
(NR-047-004)
FOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL STUDIES IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES
Applied Mathematics and Statistics Laboratories
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
Stanford, California



ASTIA
REGISTERED

ON OPTIMAL ADVERTISING CAPITAL AND RESEARCH
EXPENDITURES UNDER DYNAMIC CONDITIONS

by

Phoebus J. Dhrymes

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 112

April 13, 1962

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-225(50)

(NR-047-004)

FOR

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for
any Purpose of the United States Government

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL STUDIES IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES

Applied Mathematics and Statistics Laboratories

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

Stanford, California

ON OPTIMAL ADVERTISING CAPITAL AND RESEARCH
EXPENDITURES UNDER DYNAMIC CONDITIONS*

by

Phoebus J. Dhrymes
Stanford University

0. Preliminaries:

The purpose of this paper is to extend the results obtained by Nerlove and Arrow in a recent paper. In particular, it will be shown that an analysis similar to theirs can be employed to study the characterization of optimal expenditures policies where the firm is permitted to manipulate not only its demand but its cost function as well.

We deal first with the case where the only additional policy variable employed is expenditure on the capital stock. We shall employ assumptions parallel to those of Nerlove and Arrow [1].

1. Formulation of the Problem.

Consider a firm with demand function

$$(1) \quad q = f(p, A_1, z), \quad f \in C''$$

where p is price of output, A_1 is level of "good will" and z is an exogenous variable.

* This work was done while the author was a National Science Foundation Post-Doctoral Fellow and was supported in part by Office of Naval Research Contract Nonr-225(50) at Stanford University. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

I wish to thank Professor K. J. Arrow and M. Kurz for helpful comments.

The firm's cost function is given by:

$$(2) \quad C = C(q, A_2) \quad C \in C''$$

where q is output and A_2 is the capital stock.

It is assumed, if necessary after suitable normalization, that a dollar's expenditure on the capital stock increments it by one unit, and similarly with respect to advertising expenditure and the level of "good will." If both stocks are assumed to decay exponentially, then we have the relations

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{A}_1 &= a_1 - \delta_1 A_1 \\ \dot{A}_2 &= a_2 - \delta_2 A_2 \end{aligned}$$

where the a_i denote expenditure on the i^{th} stock and δ_i are positive constants. The initial stocks are given by:

$$(3a) \quad \begin{aligned} A_1(0) &= A_{10} \\ A_2(0) &= A_{20} \end{aligned}$$

The problem now is to choose $p(t)$, $A_1(t)$, $A_2(t)$ so as to maximize

$$(4) \quad V(A_1, A_2, p, z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \left[pf(p, A_1, z) - C(q, A_2) - \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i \right] dt - \sum_{i=1}^2 A_{i0}$$

subject to (3) and (3a). The inclusion of the term $\sum_{i=1}^2 A_{i0}$ in the maximand of (4) is innocuous since it is a fixed number. If holding A_1, A_2, z constant we maximize (4) with respect to p , we shall obtain a solution

$$(4a) \quad \hat{p}(t) = P(A_1, A_2, z),$$

which gives the optimal time path in terms of A_1, A_2 , and z .

Inserting (4) and (3) into (5) and performing an integration by parts yields:

$$(5) \quad S(A_1, A_2, z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \left[R(A_1, A_2, z) - \sum_{i=1}^2 (\alpha + \delta_i) A_i \right] dt$$

where $R(A_1, A_2, z) = \hat{p} f(p, A_1, z) - C(\hat{q}, A_2)$ and we have assumed

$$(5a) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\alpha t} [A_1(t) + A_2(t)] = 0$$

for the optimal $A_1(t), A_2(t)$. As will be shown later, this involves little loss of generality.

From (5) we must now deduce the characterization of the optimal policies $A_1^*(t), A_2^*(t)$.

2. Characterization of the Solution.

Before we proceed it is necessary to impose some conditions on the function $R(A_1, A_2, z)$, for otherwise it is not possible to say anything about the solution. More specifically,

Assumption 1. For given z , the function $R(A_1, A_2, z)$ is continuous and bounded in A_1, A_2 . If z is interpreted as national income, boundedness is indeed a required specification on R since, if not imposed, we could have the profits of this firm exceeding national income.

We have, for given z :

Lemma 2.1. There exist policies $A_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$, maximizing (5) and they are finite.

Proof: Let $\overline{\Pi}(A_1, A_2, z) = R(A_1, A_2, z) - \sum_{i=1}^2 (\alpha + \beta_i) A_i$. Now if, for every t , $\overline{\Pi}$ is maximized for given z , then so is (5). On the other hand, if (5) is maximized then $\overline{\Pi}(A_1, A_2, z)$ must be maximized for each interval of t . Hence we shall merely show that $\overline{\Pi}$ assumes its maximum on the finite plane.

Since $R(A_1, A_2, z)$ is bounded, it follows that there exists a pair $(\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2)$ such that $\overline{\Pi}(A_1, A_2, z)$ is decreasing for $A_1 > \bar{A}_1$ $A_2 > \bar{A}_2$. Thus we need consider $\overline{\Pi}$ on the rectangle $0 \leq A_1 \leq \bar{A}_1$, $0 \leq A_2 \leq \bar{A}_2$. Since $\overline{\Pi}$ is continuous there exists a pair (A_1^*, A_2^*) such that $\overline{\Pi}(A_1^*, A_2^*, z) = \max.$ for given z . It is, of course, to be understood that in general A_1^*, A_2^* are functions of z .

Remark 2.2. This shows that the assumption (5a) does not restrict the generality of our result, since the lemma states that for bounded z optimal policies are finite, hence that $e^{\alpha t}$ grows "faster" than they do.

Assumption 2. The function $R(A_1, A_2, z)$ is strictly concave in A_1, A_2 for given z .

Lemma 2.3. The maximum of Lemma 2.1 is absolute and the functions $A_1^*(z), A_2^*(z)$ are continuous in z .

Proof: The first part of the lemma is redundant: we give the proof here in the interest of completeness.

Thus let $X = (A_1, A_2)$, D the domain of $\overline{\Pi}$. Then for any $Y \in D$ and sufficiently small λ ,

$$\overline{\Pi}(X^*) \geq \overline{\Pi}[(1 - \lambda) X^* + \lambda Y] \geq (1 - \lambda) \overline{\Pi}(X^*) + \lambda \overline{\Pi}(Y)$$

or

$$\overline{\Pi}(X^*) \geq \overline{\Pi}(Y) .$$

For the second part we argue as follows: Let $\{z_i: i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i = z_0$. This defines the sequence

$$\{X^*(z_i) = (A_1^*(z_i), A_2^*(z_i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} . \text{ Suppose } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X^*(z_i) = X \neq X^*(z_0) .$$

Then since the $X^*(z_i)$ represent maximizing policies we find for sufficiently small λ

$$\overline{\Pi}(X) \geq \overline{\Pi}[(1 - \lambda) X + \lambda X^*(z_0)] \geq (1 - \lambda) \overline{\Pi}(X) + \lambda \overline{\Pi}(X^*(z_0))$$

or

$$\overline{\Pi}(X) \geq \overline{\Pi}(X^*(z_0)) .$$

But strict inequality is impossible since $X^*(z_0)$ is the maximizing set for z_0 .

Thus the $A_1^*(z)$, $A_2^*(z)$ are continuous in z .

Lemma 2.4. If (A_1^*, A_2^*) is the maximum for $\overline{\Pi}(A_1, A_2)$ the optimum expenditure policy for $A_{10} \leq A_1^*$, $i = 1, 2$, $t > 0$, is given by

$$a_i^* = \delta_i A_i^* \quad i = 1, 2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^* &= \delta_i A_i^* \quad \text{if} \quad A_{10} = A_1^* \quad i = 1, 2, t = 0 \\ &= +\infty \quad A_{10} < A_1^* . \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since expenditures are not constrained, the assertion for $A_{10} < A_1^*$, $t = 0$, follows from the fact that (A_1^*, A_2^*) is a maximum.

The assertion for $t > 0$, $A_{10} = A_1^*$, is an immediate consequence of (3) and Lemma 2.3.

It remains next to deal with the situation where $A_{10} > A_1^*$ for at least one i . Now the decay of stocks constrains the manner of decumulation, since stocks cannot be decumulated faster than they decay. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the admissible family of decumulation paths. For any path $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}$, let $c(\Gamma)$ be the cost associated with it; note that an admissible path may involve partial maintenance for an otherwise redundant stock.

The problem is then to find a path Γ^* minimizing the line integral

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Gamma} [\overline{\Pi}(A_1^*, A_2^*, z) - \overline{\Pi}(A_1, A_2, z)] - c(\Gamma)$$

with the constraint $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}$. This is itself a calculus of variations problem which is too cumbersome to be considered here. Instead we shall show that, by restricting the form of the function $\overline{\Pi}$, we obtain a simple characterization of the solution affording maximal correspondence with the results of Nerlove and Arrow.

Observe first that for given $\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2$, the functions $\overline{\Pi}(\bar{A}_1, A_2)$, $\overline{\Pi}(A_1, \bar{A}_2)$ are concave in A_2, A_1 , respectively. To this we add:

Assumption 3. The function $\overline{\Pi}(A_1, A_2)$ has a maximum at A_2^* for any fixed A_1 , and a maximum at A_1^* for any fixed A_2 .

It should be pointed out that while Assumptions 1 and 2 have their direct analogues in [1], Assumption 3 deals with a situation that does not arise in the problem of Nerlove and Arrow.

We have:

Lemma 2.5. If $A_{10} > A_1^*$ $i = 1, 2$ then there exist constants τ_i $i = 1, 2$ such that optimal expenditure policies are given by:

$$a_1^* = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$a_1^* = \delta_1 A_1^* \quad t > \tau_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

Proof: Let $X_0 = (A_{10}, A_{20})$, $X_1 = (A_1^*, A_{20})$, $X_2 = (A_{10}, A_2^*)$, $X_3 = (A_1^*, A_2^*)$ and R_0 be the closed rectangle with vertices X_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Since the functions $\Pi(A_1, A_{20})$, $\Pi(A_{10}, A_2)$ are concave in A_1 , A_2 respectively, it follows by Assumption 3 that:

$$\Pi(X_0) - \Pi(X_1) \leq \Pi_1(X_1)(A_{10} - A_1^*) = 0$$

$$\Pi(X_0) - \Pi(X_2) \leq \Pi_2(X_2)(A_{20} - A_2^*) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\Pi(X_i) \geq \Pi(X_0), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

It is, of course, apparent that any optimal policy must remain within R_0 .

Since R_0 is a (closed bounded) convex set for any $X \in R_0$ we have

$$\Pi(X) \geq \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda_i \Pi(X_i) \geq \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda_i \Pi(X_0) = \Pi(X_0)$$

where

$$0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^3 \lambda_i = 1.$$


This shows that if the firm maintains none of the stocks, its profit does not decline. We next show that it is not optimal to partially maintain either of the two stocks. Consider any sequence of points, $\{X^{(i)}\}$, such that $X^{(i)} \in R_0$, $X^{(0)} = X_0$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X^{(i)} = X^*$, and let R_1 be the (closed) rectangles having opposite vertices, X^* and $X^{(i)}$. We have $R_0 \supset R_1 \supset R_2 \dots$. An application of the previous argument shows that if X is any point in R_n , then $\overline{\Pi}(X) \geq \overline{\Pi}(X^{(n)})$.

From this it readily follows that partial maintenance of any of the stocks is nonoptimal. Thus optimal policy is to decumulate as fast as possible. Because of the constraints, we must have:

$$A_1(t) = A_{10} e^{-\delta_1 t} \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_1$$

where $A_1(\tau_1) = A_1^*$, $i = 1, 2$. Thereafter Lemma 2.4 applies.

Perhaps an illustration will clarify the method of reasoning.



Suppose with partial maintenance of A_2 , after the lapse of time Δt , we arrive at $X^{(1)}$, in the figure above. Without partial maintenance we arrive at $X^{(2)}$. An application of the previous reasoning shows $\overline{\Pi}(X^{(2)}) \geq \overline{\Pi}(X^{(1)})$. Since it is costly to arrive at $X^{(1)}$ and costless to arrive at $X^{(2)}$, the conclusion is clear.

It remains now to consider the optimal policy when one of the stocks is above and the other below the optimal level. A construction similar to the figure above will show that optimal policy is to increase the

deficient stock to its optimal level instantaneously and to let the redundant stock decay at its maximal rate. Details are left for the reader.

3. Extension to the Case of Research Expenditures.

Let A_3 denote the stock of knowledge or level of efficiency which may be thought of as a kind of integral effect of expenditures on research and development.

If assumptions analogous to (3) are made about A_3 , then the preceding formulation shows that the lemmas of Section 2 still are valid, provided we make an assumption analogous to Assumption 3 for the function $\prod(A_1, A_2, A_3, z)$.

The variable A_3 enters into the cost function so that now the firm has two alternatives in manipulating its cost structure. However, the qualitative characterization of the solution will be considerably simplified if we bear in mind that it is very realistic to assume that $\delta_3 = 0$, i.e., that knowledge or efficiency does not decay, or that "we can't forget." Hence if a solution yields $A_{30}^* < A_{30}$, then we ought to treat the level of efficiency as a datum and thus resolve our problem. This is the case where we have friction moving "backward." On the other hand, it is possible to argue that such initial conditions do not or cannot arise in practice so we may neglect this case by suitably restricting the class of admissible initial conditions. In this case, the analogues of Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, and 2.4 are easily established and this, in conjunction with Lemma 2.5, is sufficient to characterize the solution.

REFERENCE

- [1] Nerlove, M. and Arrow, K. J., "Optimal Advertising Policy under Dynamic Conditions." Economica (forthcoming).

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

CONTRACT Nour-225(50)

(NR 047-004)

Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, N. Y.	1	Director Operations Evaluation Group Office of Chief of Naval Operations (OP-0380) Navy Dept. Washington 25, D. C.	2	Professor Max R. Bloom College of Business Administration Syracuse University Syracuse 10, New York	1
Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 N. Green Street Pasadena 1, California	1	Headquarters Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area United States Air Force Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma	1	Dean L. M. E. Bolter School of Engineering University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1
Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, California	1	Industrial College of the Armed Forces Fort Lesley J. McNair Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. L. L. Menkel	1	Professor James H. Boles University of California Agricultural Experiment Station Berkeley 4, California	1
Office of Naval Research Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2	Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division Von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1	Professor O. W. Brownlee Department of Economics University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1
Office of Naval Research Logistics Branch, Code 436 Dept. of the Navy T3-Bldg. Washington 25, D. C.	10	Library American Power Jet Company 705 Grand Avenue Ridgefield, New Jersey	1	Professor S. S. Cairns Head, Dept. of Mathematics University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	1
Headquarters, USAF Attn: AFASC - 6P Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 85 N. Randolph Street Chicago 1, Illinois	1	Mr. Samuel L. Carrell 7022 Tokalon Drive Dallas, Texas	1
Logistics Research Project The George Washington University 707 - 22nd Street, N. W. Washington 7, D. C.	1	Superintendent U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Attn: Library Monterey, California	1	Professor A. Charnes The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1
Operations Research Office The Johns Hopkins University 6935 Arlington Road Bethesda 14, Maryland	1	Electronic Computer Division Code 280 Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	2	Professor John S. Chipman Department of Economics University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1
United States Air Force Air University Library Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama	1	The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Professor Carl Crist Behavioral Sciences 202 Junipero Serra Stanford, California	1
U. S. Naval Supply Research and Development Facility Naval Supply Depot Bayonne, New Jersey	1	Management Controls, Ref. Library Roxington Rand, Div. of Sperry Rand Corp. 315 Fourth Avenue New York 10, N. Y.	1	Professor Randolph Church U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California	1
Weapons Systems Evaluation Group Pentagon Bldg. Washington 25, D. C.	1	University of Washington Inst. for Economic Research Seattle 5, Washington	1	Professor C. W. Churchman School of Business Administration University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, California Attn: Technical Library	1	University of California Bureau of Business and Economic Research Berkeley 4, California	1	Professor W. W. Cooper Director of Research Department of Economics Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1
Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia	10	Mr. Adam Abruzzi Applied Statistics Group Department of Management Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey	1	Professor Gerald Dabreu Cowles Foundation for Research in Eco. Yale Station, Box 2125 New Haven, Connecticut	1
The Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Tech. Information Office	1	Dr. S. G. Allen Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California	1	Professor Robert Dorfman Department of Economics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1
Chief, Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Advanced Logistics Research Division (Code W3) Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor Roland Bach Department of Economics Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1	Mr. Louis Doros Management Engineering Staff Bureau of Yards and Docks Navy Department Washington 25, D. C.	1
Naval War College Logistics Dept., Luce Hall Newport, Rhode Island	1	Professor E. F. Beckenbach Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Rear Adm. H. E. Eccles, USN, Ret. 101 Washington Street Newport, Rhode Island	1
Director National Security Agency Attn: C5141(Nr.20087) Fort George G. Meade, Maryland	1	Dr. Martin J. Beckmann Department of Economics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island	1	Mr. Daniel Ellsberg The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1
Director National Science Foundation Washington 25, D. C.	1	Dr. Richard Bellman The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Alain Enthoven 3009 Holly Street Alexandria, Virginia	1
NACA Office for Aeronautics 1724 F. Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Chief, Office of Aeronautical Engineering	1			Professor Eberhard Fels 445 CL University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1				

Contract Nour-225(50)
November 1961

Dr. Merrill M. Flood Mental Health Research Institute 205 North Forest Avenue Ann Arbor, Michigan	1	Professor Leonid Hurvics Department of Economics University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1	Thomas Marchak School of Business Administration University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Professor David Gale Department of Mathematics Brown University Providence, Rhode Island	1	Professor J. B. Jackson Management Sciences Res. Proj. University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Professor Lionel M. McKenna Department of Economics University of Rochester River Campus Station Rochester 20, New York	1
Mr. Murray Geisler The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Professor Dale W. Jorgenson Department of Economics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Mr. Joseph Mohr Head, Operations Research Desk U. S. N. Training Device Center Fort Washington, L. I., New York	1
Professor B. Goltman Department of Mathematics University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1	Johns Hopkins University Library Acquisitions Department Baltimore 18, Maryland	1	Dr. Richard A. Miller 4071 West 7th Street Fort Worth 7, Texas	1
Glenn Foundation Ground-Water Studies Glenn Foundation of Agri. Economics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Capt. Walter E. Keen, USN Office of Naval Research Code 400 Department of Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor Franco Modigliani Grad. School of Industrial Administration Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1
Mr. R. J. D. Gillies Marketing Research Department Glin Matheson Chemical Corp. 275 Winchester Avenue New Haven 4, Connecticut	1	Professor Lawrence Klein Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1	Professor James Moore Dept. of Industrial Engineering Northeastern University Boston 12, Mass.	1
Dr. R. E. Gomory IBM Research Yorktown Heights, New York	1	Professor T. C. Koopmans Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics Yale Station, Box 2125 New Haven, Connecticut	1	Professor O. Morgenstern Econometric Research Program Princeton University 90-A Nassau Street Princeton, New Jersey	1
Professor Zvi Griliches Department of Economics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	Professor Harold W. Kuhn Department of Mathematics Bryn Mawr College Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania	1	D. E. Newham Chief, Industrial Engineering Div. Comptroller MAGS, San Bernardino Air Material Area Borton Air Force Base, California	1
Dr. Isadore Heller Navy Management Office Washington 25, D. C.	1	Dr. Howard Laitin The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Mr. M. L. Norden Research Division College of Engineering New York University New York 53, New York	1
Dr. Theodore E. Harris The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Dr. R. F. Lanzetta Department of Economics Washington State College Pullman, Washington	1	Professor R. E. O'Neill Department of Engineering University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1
Dr. John C. Harsanyi Department of Economics Wayne State University Detroit, Michigan	1	Professor C. E. Lehm Department of Mathematics Rensselaer Polytechnical Institute Troy, New York	1	Mr. Richard V. Palermo Operations Research Department Willow Run Laboratories Ypsilanti, Michigan	1
Professor M. E. Hestenes Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Professor W. V. Leontief Department of Economics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	Professor Stanley Reiter Department of Economics Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1
Professor C. Hildreth Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1	Dr. Bernhard Lieberman Center for International Affairs 6 Divinity Ave. Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	Professor M. Riley 501 Stephens Memorial Hall University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Mr. C. J. Hitch The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Professor S. B. Littauer Dept. of Industrial Engineering Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Professor D. Rosenblatt Department of Statistics The George Washington University Washington 7, D. C.	1
Dr. Alan J. Hoffman IBM Research Center Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York	1	Dr. E. Duncan Luce Dept. of Psychology University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1	Professor Murray Rosenblatt Department of Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island	1
Professor Austin Hoggatt School of Business Administration University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Dr. Craig A. Maguire 2994 Winchester Way Rancho Cordova, California	1	Professor A. E. Ross, Head Department of Mathematics University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, Indiana	1
Dr. C. C. Holt Grad School of Industrial Administration Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1	Benoit Mandelbrot International Business Machines Corp. Research Center P. O. Box 218, Lamb Estate Yorktown Heights, New York	1	Professor Jerome Rothenberg Department of Economics Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1
Dr. John W. Hooper Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics Yale Station, Box 2125 New Haven, Connecticut	1	Professor Julius Margolis Department of Business Administration University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Professor Albert H. Rubenstein Department of Industrial Engineering Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1
Professor E. Hotelling Dept. of Mathematical Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	1	Mr. Harry M. Markowitz Logistics Department The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Professor Herman Rubin Department of Statistics Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1
Professor E. S. Houthakker Department of Economics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	Professor Jacob Marchak School of Business Administration University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Professor Richard S. Ruben Department of Philosophy Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1
Dr. E. M. Hughes Department of Microeconomics School of Aviation Medicine U.S.A.F. Randolph Field, Texas	1				

Contract Non-225(50)
November 1961

Professor P. A. Samuelson Department of Economics M. I. T. Cambridge 39, Massachusetts	1	Mr. Philip Wolfe The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Dr. Tsumahiko Umemoto Dept. of Economics Gakushuin University Maiziro, Toshima-shi Tokyo, JAPAN	1
Dr. I. Richard Savage School of Business Vincent Hall University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1	Professor J. Wolfowitz Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	Professor Takuma Yasui Department of Economics Tohoku University Sendai, JAPAN	1
Professor Andrew Schuts, Jr. Dept. of Industrial Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	Professor Robert J. Wolfson CNR Inc. 9171 Wilshire Blvd. Beverly Hills, California	1	<u>Other Foreign Addresses</u>	
Professor H. A. Simon Dept. of Industrial Administration Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1	Mr. Marshall K. Wood, Chief Industrial Vulnerability Branch Office of Asst. Sec. of Defense Washington 25, D. C.	1	Jan Rasmussen School of Economics University of S. S. Wales Kensington, S. S. Wales, AUSTRALIA	1
Mr. J. R. Simpson Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code 88 Arlington Annex U. S. Department of Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor M. A. Woodbury Department of Mathematics New York University New York 53, New York	1	Professor David Slater Queen's University Kingston, Ontario CANADA	1
Professor Laurie Snell Department of Mathematics Dartmouth College Hanover, New Hampshire	1	<u>Distribution via CDR London</u>			
Professor Robert Solow Department of Economics Mass. Inst. of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts	1	Commanding Officer Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York			
Professor Robert Summers Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1	Professor Maurice Allais 62 Boulevard St. Michel (VI ^e) Paris 6e, FRANCE	1	Professor D. V. T. Bear Dept. Economics University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1
Professor Gerald Thompson Dept. of Industrial Administration Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1	Mr. William M. Gorman University of Birmingham Birmingham, ENGLAND	1	Additional copies for project leader and assistants and reserve for future requirements. 50	
Professor R. M. Thrall Department of Mathematics University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1	Mr. Frank Hahn Department of Economics Churchill College Cambridge, ENGLAND	1		
Professor L. M. Tichvinsky Department of Engineering University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Dr. Rudolf Euseer Institut für angewandte Mathematik Universität Bern Bern, SWITZERLAND	1		
Professor James Tobin Council of Economic Advisers Executive Office of The President Washington 25, D. C.	1	M. Jacques Lesourne Société des Mathématiques Appliquées 33 rue Mogador Paris 9e, FRANCE	1		
Professor C. B. Tompkins Dept. of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Dr. Edmond Malinvaud Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques 29, quai Branly Paris, FRANCE	1		
Professor A. W. Tucker Fine Hall, Box 708 Princeton, New Jersey	1	Professor Maurice McManus Faculty of Commerce and Social Science University of Birmingham Birmingham, England	1		
Professor Hirofumi Uzawa Behavioral Sciences 202 Junipero Serra Stanford, California	1	Professor Dr. Heinz Smarman Institut für Fremdenverkehrslehre Grafstrasse 39 Frankfurt a.M., GERMANY	1		
Professor D. F. Votaw, Jr. Department of Economics Yale University New Haven, Connecticut	1	Professor Henri Theil Econometric Institute Netherlands School of Economics Rotterdam, NETHERLANDS	1		
Professor W. A. Wallis 207 Haskell Hall University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	<u>Distribution via CDR San Francisco</u>			
Professor J. L. Walsh Department of Mathematics Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	U. S. Attaché/ Attaché for Air (Tokyo, Japan) APO - 500 San Francisco, California			
Dr. T. Whittin School of Industrial Management Mass. Institute of Technology Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	Professor Michio Morishima Institute of Social and Economic Research Osaka University Toyonaka, Osaka JAPAN	1		
Mr. Wayne A. Wichelgren 6995 - A Dana Street Oakland 9, California	1	Takashi Nogishi 112 Sanyacho Nagatsuku Tokyo, JAPAN	1		
		Dr. Hideohane Winkido Institute of Social and Economic Research Osaka University Toyonaka, Osaka JAPAN	1	Contract No. 825(50) November 1961 (22)	