

**UNCLASSIFIED**

---

---

**AD 281 937**

---

*Reproduced  
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY  
ARLINGTON HALL STATION  
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



---

---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

---

**NOTICE:** When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

62-4-5

CATALOGED BY ASTIA

AD No. 281937

281 937

Released to ASTIA  
by the Research and  
Development Dept., U.S.  
Naval Propellant Plant

NAVWEPS 7107  
(TR 114)

AN APPARATUS FOR  
THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS  
OF EXPLOSIVES

8 December 1961



U. S. NAVAL PROPELLANT PLANT,

INDIAN HEAD, MARYLAND

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
Joe L. Browning, Director

NavWeps Report 7107  
Technical Report 114

8 December 1961

Title Unclassified

AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL  
ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES

By

M. E. Baicar  
W. G. Gough  
E. F. Hare

U. S. NAVAL PROPELLANT PLANT  
Indian Head, Maryland

O. A. WESCHE  
Captain, USNavy  
Commanding Officer

J. E. DODGEN  
Commander, USNR  
Technical Director

**FOREWORD**

**The work described in this report was funded under  
SP 271-12.**

**J. G. Tuono  
Head, Chemical Physics  
Division**

**Approved by:**

**Bodo Bartocha  
Associate Director for Research**

**Released by:**

**O. A. WESCHE  
Captain, USNavy  
Commanding Officer**

NAVWEPS REPORT 7107

Abstract Unclassified

ABSTRACT

A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either ground (2.5 g) or right-cylinder (1/2 to 2 in.) propellant samples. Heating rate is  $0.50^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ}$  C/minute. One feature of this apparatus is the aluminum-foil-covered asbestos oven with a blow-off top. This top plus the fact that the sample holders reduce to dust upon detonation make the replacement of the oven an extremely rare occurrence. Should the oven have to be replaced, however, the cost is only about \$100.00.

## CONTENTS

<u>Heading:</u>	<u>Page no.</u>
Foreword _____	iii
Abstract _____	vi
Apparatus _____	2
Sample Treatment _____	6
Results _____	8
References _____	13

## FIGURES

1. Asbestos Millboard Oven and Top _____	3
2. Components Used for Heating Samples _____	4
3. DTA Oven with Components Assembled _____	5
4. Aluminum Block Test Assembly _____	7
5. Differential Thermal Analysis of $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ _____	10
6. Differential Thermal Analysis of $\text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4$ _____	11

## AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES

To study such problems as the reactions and interactions of ingredients, the temperature at which propellant samples will spontaneously (auto-) ignite as a result of heating during processing or storage, and the effect of the mass and geometrical configuration of the sample on the temperature of autoignition, a differential thermal analysis (DTA) apparatus has been constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant to study comparatively large samples of propellant. This apparatus has produced reasonably accurate and reproducible results for the past 5 years; in view of this fact and the queries received, it was felt that it might be of some general interest. For recent reviews of the DTA technique, the reader is referred to Smothers and Chiang, <sup>(1)</sup> Murphy, <sup>(2)</sup> and Bohon. <sup>(3)</sup>

DTA, which has long been used in the ceramics industry, basically comprises the measurement of the absorption or evolution of heat and the determination of the temperature at which thermal phenomena occur by comparing the behavior of a sample with that of a thermally inert reference substance with which it is being heated. The three components of the typical DTA apparatus are an oven or furnace in which the sample and reference are heated, a device to control the rate of heating, and a means of continuously measuring and recording the temperature of the sample and reference.

Because of the nature of the materials studied, safety and inexpensive replacement were of major consideration in the design. The DTA apparatus described in this report is built around an asbestos oven which can be completely replaced for about \$100. The oven is placed in a barricaded room; its large volume, its "easily blown off" top, and the fact that the sample holders reduce to dust upon detonation make the replacement of the oven an extremely rare occurrence.


## APPARATUS

The heating rate controller employed in this apparatus is the Weston Electrical Instrument Corporation (Tag) Program Controller Model 48352 consisting of a cam-operated programmer which continuously changes the "set point" in one arm of a bridge circuit in the controller. This photoelectric, "on-off" controller senses the oven temperature by means of a copper-constantan thermocouple and makes or breaks the oven heater circuit as required. The end result is a very linear increase in oven temperature over the entire range of the instrument (0° - 350° C).

Since the materials most often studied in this equipment are completely or partially organic in composition, the heating rate adopted as standard is  $0.50 \pm 0.02^\circ \text{C/minute}$ ; this is necessary to minimize the lag between the occurrence and detection of the thermal phenomena which lag is, in large measure, due to the difference between the thermal conductivities of the sample and the calcined  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  reference. The slow rate of heating, of course, results in a reduction in the sharpness of the endothermal (minima) and exothermal (maxima) peaks characteristic of the substance being examined. This is partially offset by the use of very large sample sizes, usually 2.5 grams of sample or more. In view of the size of the sample and the nature of the material, the ability to withstand ignition or detonation was purposely designed into the apparatus.

The temperature of the sample ( $T_s$ ) and of the reference ( $T_R$ ) are recorded as a function of time on a Brown Electronik six-point recorder; alternatively  $\Delta T = T_s - T_R$  is plotted against  $T_R$  or  $T_s$  on an X-Y Function Plotter.

The oven used in this apparatus was fabricated at NPP from one-half-inch asbestos millboard sheets glued together with sodium silicate; its dimensions are shown in Figure 1. The ends of the oven were nailed to the sides and the outer and inner surfaces were completely covered with a very thin aluminum foil. It has been found better in practice to cover the oven's interior with heavy aluminum foil. The thick end was cut to accommodate the "squirrel cage" (or "snail") blower shown with the other oven components in Figure 2. The heat is supplied by five chromalox heaters connected in parallel; as previously described, the controller-operated switch is placed in one side of the heater power line. The control thermocouple is placed, as shown in Figure 3, at the outlet of the blower



- 4 3/8" - DIA. HOLE THRU
- 5 1/8" - DIA. COUNTER BORE,  
2 1/4" - DEEP (MAX)
- 6 1/8" - DIA. COUNTER BORE,  
2" - DEEP (MAX)




FIGURE 1. ASBESTOS MILLBOARD OVEN AND TOP




FIGURE 2. COMPONENTS USED FOR HEATING SAMPLES




FIGURE 3. DTA OVEN WITH COMPONENTS ASSEMBLED

used to circulate the air rapidly throughout the oven. By this arrangement, heat evolved by a sample is dissipated throughout the entire oven and the control couple is affected immediately causing the heaters to work less frequently. The success of this approach is demonstrated by the fact that no change in rate was observed in a reference being heated with a 2-inch right cylinder of propellant (ca 170 grams) during the exothermal degradation which preceded its ignition.

### SAMPLE TREATMENT

The sample preparation and treatment depend on the nature of the sample and the purpose of the investigation. Such materials as inorganic salts are ground with a mortar and pestle to a fine powder and are centrifuged 2 minutes before insertion of the thermocouple. Propellant samples may be standardized as to geometry and packing density by grinding the propellant and sieving it to pass No. 20 and hold on No. 50 U. S. Standard Screens. The material is then dried and a 5-gram sample weighed into a 16-mm Pyrex test tube and centrifuged in a laboratory centrifuge for 2 minutes.

Where grinding would cause separation and stratification of its components, propellant compositions are studied in the form of equidimensional right cylinders measuring from 1/2 to 2 inches. This configuration is also used when the mass effect on  $T_1$  is under investigation. A central hole is drilled in the sample cylinder to a depth which is slightly over half its height; this hole is made sufficiently large to just accommodate a 30-gage iron-constantan couple which is sheathed in a glass capillary melting point tube ca 2-mm O.D. Since the thermocouples will interact with inorganic oxidizer salts such as are often found in propellant formulations, the use of the glass sheath has been made a standard practice. Comparisons between the bare versus sheathed couples show that no appreciable lag is introduced in the detection of the thermal phenomena by the use of these thin-walled tubes.

The propellant cylinders are inserted in a glass tube of appropriate diameter (Figures 2 and 4); the thermocouple is positioned by passing the melting point tube through the center of a Teflon gasket which is star-shaped to give the sample free access to the atmosphere in the oven (air). If the sample tends to gas or otherwise react to push out its thermocouple, two small holes can be drilled in




FIGURE 4. ALUMINUM BLOCK TEST ASSEMBLY

the sample tube just at the top of the glass sheath and a fine, insulated iron wire threaded between the insulated couple leads (over top the glass sheath) and through the small holes in the sample tube. The ends of this wire are then twisted together; the restraining action of this wire and of the Teflon gasket keep the thermocouple securely embedded in the sample even during burning.

The calcined  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  reference is placed in a similar tube; equal volumes of sample and reference are compared. Chromatographic adsorption alumina (80-200 mesh) was selected as the reference; this was heated at about  $650^\circ\text{C}$  for several hours and stored in a desiccator over anhydrous  $\text{CaSO}_4$  until used.

As shown in Figure 2, the glass sample tube is placed in a ceramic holder ( $2\text{-}1/2 \times 2\text{-}1/2 \times 4\text{-}1/2$  inches) drilled to accommodate it. This holder is cut from an Armstrong insulating fire

## NAVWEPS REPORT 7107

brick (A-16)  $9 \times 4\text{-}1/2 \times 2\text{-}1/2$  inches weighing about 1 pound 14 ounces; this is a very light, porous material which reduces to a powder should the sample detonate.

A variety of aluminum heating blocks have also been used in this asbestos oven to hold the sample tube; one such block shown in Figure 4 is a right cylinder 6 inches in diameter and 8 inches in height, containing three sample wells 4 inches in depth and  $5/8$  inch in diameter. The centers of these holes are located on the circumference of a circle 3 inches in diameter having the center of the block as its origin; a line drawn from the center of a sample well to the center of the block and thence to the center of an adjacent sample well forms an angle of 120 degrees. This large mass of metal acts as a heat sink and tends to "flatten out" the peaks in the temperature versus time curve due to thermal phenomena occurring in the sample. Large samples will heat or cool the block sufficiently to affect TR on occasion, and several instances have been encountered in which the heat absorbed by the aluminum block was sufficient to prevent the ignition of a propellant sample which ignited in the ceramic block. In the present design, the aluminum block has the advantage of permitting the simultaneous heating of reference, resin or oxidizer salt, and a propellant containing the resin or oxidizer salt for a simultaneous comparison of propellant versus  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , ingredient versus  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , and propellant versus ingredient. In general, however, it is felt that the ceramic block produces more realistic results.

There is little to choose between the ceramic and aluminum blocks as far as the thermal symmetry of sample and reference are concerned. The aluminum block is placed in the center of the oven on the top transite plate on which the ceramic blocks (Figures 2 and 3) are also placed. The tube positions in both setups have been shown in  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  versus  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  runs to be within  $0.2^\circ \text{C}$  or less of each other in repeated runs in different ovens over the temperature range  $0^\circ - 300^\circ \text{C}$ .

## RESULTS

Differential thermal analyses of the organic materials for which this apparatus was designed are available, at present, only in classified publications. The reproducibility of the apparatus can be demonstrated, however, by the DTA curves for  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  and

$\text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4$  shown in Figures 5 and 6. J. T. Baker Reagent grade ammonium nitrate was used without further purification. The ammonium perchlorate was Fisher Certified Reagent grade which had been further purified by recrystallization from aqueous solution to eliminate any possibility of organic contamination.

If one takes the point at which  $\Delta T$  makes its first significant change as the temperature of the phase transition, one obtains temperatures of 35°, 86°, and 126° C, for the three crystalline modifications and 168° C for the melting point of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ . These DTA temperatures agree remarkably well with the accepted temperatures for these phenomena; namely, 32.3°, 84.2°, 125.2°, and 169.6° C. The occurrence of the IV - III phase transition at 35° C instead of 32° C is not unexpected; Hendricks, Posnjak, and Kracek<sup>(4)</sup> noted that, during heating, this modification is first observed from 36° to 40° C unless both phases are initially present. We observed no significant absorption of heat below 35° C but the phase transition, once started proceeds vigorously. The sample subsequently cools about 0.5° C so that a plot of  $T_g$  versus  $\Delta T$  would show a closed loop in the DTA curve.

The effect of having a large heat sink in contact with the sample is well depicted by the comparison between the firebrick and aluminum block holders. In Figure 5, for example, heat is more rapidly conducted to the sample by the metal so that the endothermal phase transitions are more readily accomplished than in the firebrick. On the other hand, the decomposition of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  is clearly shown to be exothermal in the firebrick whereas the aluminum block minimizes this effect.

In Figure 6 are shown two typical DTA curves of  $\text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4$ ; the endotherm at 243° C is due to the rhombic-to-cubic crystalline phase transition; the accepted value of which is 240° C. Once again the presence of the aluminum block facilitates the endothermal transformation. It was frequently noted in the DTA examination of commercial grade ammonium perchlorate or even with reagent grade material which had been ground in a Wiley mill ordinarily used to grind organic material that the 240° C endotherm occurred in the middle of a large exotherm. This exotherm is undoubtedly due to an interaction between the perchlorate and the organic compound since its onset is noted at the same temperature for a number of different organic compounds.




FIGURE 5. DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$




FIGURE 6. DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF NH<sub>4</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>

REFERENCES

- (1) W. J. Smothers and Yao Chiang. **DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS: THEORY AND PRACTICE.** New York: Chemical Publishing Co., Inc. 1958.
- (2) C. B. Murphy. "Differential Thermal Analysis." *Anal. Chem.* 30:867-72 (1958).
- (3) Robert L. Bohon. "Differential Thermal Analysis of Explosives and Propellants under Controlled Atmospheres." *Anal. Chem.* 33:1451-3, No. 10 (1961).
- (4) S. B. Hendricks, E. Posnjak, and F. C. Kracek. "Molecular Rotation in the Solid State. The Variation of the Crystal Structure of Ammonium Nitrate with Temperature." *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 54:2766-86 (1932).

**DISTRIBUTION LIST**

<u>Addressee</u>	<u>Copy no.</u>
Naval Propellant Plant (Archives copy)	1
U. S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines 4800 Forbes Street Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania Attn: M. P. Benoy, Reports Librarian Explosives Research Lab.	2
Nat'l Aeronautics & Space Administration 1520 H Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Chief, Division of Research Information	3-9
Commander Air Force Flight Test Center Edwards Air Force Base, California Attn: FTRS	10
Commander Air Proving Ground Center Eglin Air Force Base Florida Attn: Technical Library	11
Headquarters, Space Systems Division Air Force Systems Command P. O. Box 262, Air Force Unit Post Office Los Angeles 45, California Attn: TDC	12
Commander Armed Services Tech. Info. Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia Attn: TIPCR	13-22

**Commander**  
**Air Force Flight Test Center**  
**Edwards Air Force Base, California**  
**Attn: FTRP** 23

**Commander**  
**Aeronautical Systems Division**  
**Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio**  
**Attn: ASRCNP** 24

**Commanding General**  
**Aberdeen Proving Ground**  
**Maryland**  
**Attn: Ballistic Research Laboratories**  
**ORDBG-BLI** 25-26

**Commanding General**  
**USA Ordnance Arsenal, Frankford**  
**Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania**  
**Attn: Propellant and Explosives**  
**Section, 1331** 27

**Commanding Officer**  
**Ordnance Office**  
**Duke Station**  
**Durham, North Carolina** 28

**Commanding Officer**  
**Picatinny Arsenal**  
**Dover, New Jersey**  
**Attn: Library** 29-30

**Commander**  
**Army Rocket and Guided Missile Agency**  
**Redstone Arsenal, Alabama**  
**Attn: Technical Library**  
**ORDXR-OTL** 31-34

Commanding General  
White Sands Missile Range  
New Mexico  
Attn: ORDBS-OM-TL 35-37

Commanding Officer  
Radford Arsenal  
Radford, Virginia 38

Commanding General  
Ordnance Ammunition Command  
Joliet, Illinois  
Attn: ORDLY-AREL, Engr. Library 39

Commanding Officer  
Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: ORDTL (012) 40

Commander  
Army Ballistic Missile Agency  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama  
Attn: ORDAB-HSI 41

Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: DLI-3 42-43

Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: A. D. Wilroy, Jr.  
NPP Representative  
Room 3126 44

Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: RMMP-2 45

Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: RMMP-331 46

Commander  
U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory  
White Oak  
Silver Spring, Maryland  
Attn: Library 47-48

Commander  
U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory  
Dahlgren, Virginia  
Attn: Technical Library 49

Commander  
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station  
China Lake, California  
Attn: Technical Library Branch 50-52

Director U. S. Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington 20, D. C.  
Attn: Chemistry Division, Code 6130  
R. R. Miller 53

Department of the Navy  
Office of Naval Research  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: Code 426 54

Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Dept. of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: CS 55

Commanding Officer  
Officer of Naval Research  
1030 E. Green Street  
Pasadena 1, California 56

**Director  
Special Projects Office  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.** 57

**Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Attn: RRRE-6** 58

**Hercules Powder Company  
Allegany Ballistics Laboratory  
P. O. Box 210  
Cumberland, Maryland  
Attn: Library** 59-60

**Armour Research Foundation  
of Illinois Institute of Technology  
Technology Center  
Chicago 16, Illinois  
Attn: Fluid Dynamics and Propulsion  
Research, Department D** 61

**Atlantic Research Corporation  
Shirley Highway and Edsall Road  
Alexandria, Virginia** 62-63

**Explosives Department  
Hercules Powder Company  
Delaware Trust Building  
Wilmington 99, Delaware  
Attn: A. M. Ball** 64

**Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
4800 Oak Grove Drive  
Pasadena 3, California  
Attn: I. E. Newlan  
Chief, Reports Group** 65

**Arthur D. Little, Inc.**  
**15 Acorn Park**  
**Cambridge 40, Massachusetts**  
**Attn: W. A. Sawyer** 66

**The Martin Company**  
**Baltimore 3, Maryland**  
**Attn: T. W. Woodard** 67

**Midwest Research Institute**  
**425 Volker Boulevard**  
**Kansas City 10, Missouri**  
**Attn: Librarian** 68

**Forrestal Research Center**  
**Princeton University**  
**Princeton, New Jersey**  
**Attn: Librarian** 69

**Purdue University**  
**Department of Chemistry**  
**Lafayette, Indiana**  
**Attn: Dr. Henry Feuer** 70

**Rohm and Haas Company**  
**Redstone Arsenal Research Division**  
**Huntsville, Alabama**  
**Attn: Librarian** 71

**Solid Propellant Information Agency**  
**Applied Physics Laboratory**  
**The Johns Hopkins University**  
**Silver Spring, Maryland** 72-74

**Thiokol Chemical Corporation**  
**Redstone Division**  
**Huntsville, Alabama**  
**Attn: Technical Director** 75-76

**Thiokol Chemical Corporation**  
Elkton Division  
Elkton, Maryland  
Attn: Librarian 77

**Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation**  
Marion, Illinois  
Attn: Research Library  
Box 508 78-79

**Reaction Motors Division**  
Thiokol Chemical Corporation  
Denville, New Jersey  
Attn: Librarian 80

**Rocketdyne, A Division of**  
North American Aviation, Inc.  
Solid Propulsion Operations  
P. O. Box 548, McGregor, Texas  
Attn: Library 81

**B. F. Goodrich Aviation Products**  
P. O. Box 395  
Rialto, California  
Attn: Mr. A. B. Japs, Manager  
Rocket Motor Development 82

**American Machine and Foundry Co.**  
Mechanics Research Department  
7501 North Natchez Avenue  
Niles 48, Illinois  
Attn: Phil Rosenberg 83

**Southwest Research Institute**  
Department of Chemistry and  
Chemical Engineering  
8500 Culebra Road  
San Antonio 6, Texas  
Attn: Dr. Robert C. Dehart 84

**Rocketdyne**  
6633 Canoga Avenue  
Canoga Park, California  
Attn: Library, Dept. 596-306 85-87

**Grand Central Rocket Company**  
P. O. Box 111  
Redlands, California  
Attn: Head Librarian 88-90

**Wyandotte Chemicals Corporation**  
Wyandotte, Michigan  
Attn: R. A. Graham  
Department of Contract Research  
Research and Development Division 91

**Aerojet-General Corporation**  
Box 1168  
Sacramento, California  
Attn: R. G. Weltz, Head  
Technical Information Center 92-94

**Thiokol Chemical Corporation**  
Wasatch Division  
Box 524  
Brigham City, Utah  
Attn: Library Section 95-96

**Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation**  
Research Library 1-K-3  
275 Winchester Avenue  
New Haven, Connecticut  
Attn: Mail Control Room  
Miss Laura M. Kajuti 97-99

**Shell Development Company**  
4560 Horton Street  
Emeryville 8, California 100

Hughes Tool Company  
Aircraft Division  
Culver City, California 101

U. S. Borax Research Corporation  
412 Crescent Way  
Anaheim, California 102

Ethyl Corporation  
P. O. Box 3091, Istrouma Branch  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 103

Peninsular Chemical Research  
Incorporated  
1103-5 N. W. 5th Avenue  
P. O. Box 3597, University Station  
Gainesville, Florida 104

E. I. duPont deNemours and Company  
Eastern Laboratory  
Gibbstown, New Jersey  
Attn: Mrs. Alice R. Steward 105

Ethyl Corporation  
Research Laboratories  
1600 West Eight Mile Road  
Ferndale, Michigan  
Attn: E. B. Rifkin, Assistant Director,  
Chemical Research 106

The Dow Chemical Company  
Midland, Michigan  
Attn: Harold A. Robinson,  
Technical Director  
644 Building 107

Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co.  
900 Bush Avenue  
St. Paul 6, Minnesota  
Attn: Dr. William S. Friedlander  
Mr. H. G. Zeman  
Security Administrator 108-109

**Esso Research and Engineering Co.**  
**Chemicals Research Division**  
**P. O. Box 51**  
**Linden, New Jersey**  
**Attn: Dr. J. P. Longwell**  
**VIA: Chief, New York Ordnance District**  
**U. S. Army**  
**770 Broadway, New York 3, New York**  
**Attn: Facilities and Resources Branch** 110

**American Cyanamid Company**  
**1937 W. Main Street**  
**Stamford, Connecticut**  
**Attn: Dr. A. L. Peiker** 111

**Hercules Powder Company**  
**Bacchus Works**  
**Magna, Utah**  
**Attn: Librarian** 112

**Monsanto Chemical Company**  
**Special Projects Department**  
**Everett, Massachusetts**  
**Attn: Dr. Lucius Gilman** 113

**Allied Chemical Corporation**  
**General Chemical Division**  
**Research Laboratory, P. O. Box 405**  
**Morristown, New Jersey**  
**Attn: H. R. Neumark** 114

**National Cash Register Co.**  
**Dayton 9, Ohio**  
**Attn: Mr. B. Treadwell**  
**Mr. E. F. Hare** 115-116

**Callery Chemical Company**  
**Research and Development**  
**Callery, Pennsylvania**  
**Attn: Document Control** 117

**Pennsalt Chemicals Corporation**  
Box 4388  
Philadelphia 18, Pennsylvania  
Attn: Dr. G. Barth-Wehrenalp 118

**Space Technology Laboratory, Inc.**  
5730 Arbor-Vitae Street  
Los Angeles 45, California  
Attn: Mr. Robert C. Anderson 119

**Aeroprojects, Inc.**  
310 East Rosedale Avenue  
West Chester, Pennsylvania  
Attn: C. D. McKinney 120

**United Technology Corp.**  
P. O. Box 358  
Sunnyvale, California  
Attn: Librarian 121

**Aerojet-General Corporation**  
11711 South Woodruff Avenue  
Downey, California  
Attn: Florence Walsh  
Librarian 122

**Rocket Power/Talco**  
Research Laboratories  
3016 East Foothill Boulevard  
Pasadena, California  
Attn: Dr. Milton Farber 123

**Aerospace Corporation**  
P. O. Box 95085  
Los Angeles 45, California  
Attn: Library - Documents 124-125

**Thiokol Chemical Corp.**  
Rocket Operations Center  
P. O. Box 1640  
Ogden, Utah  
Attn: Librarian 126

Dr. Charles S. Waring  
Asst. Technical Director of Research  
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station  
China Lake, California

127

British Defence Staff  
British Embassy  
3100 Massachusetts Avenue  
Washington, D. C.

Attn: Scientific Information Officer

VIA: Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.

Attn: DSC-3

128-131

Defence Research Member  
Canadian Joint Staff (W)  
2450 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W.  
Washington 8, D. C.

VIA: Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D. C.

Attn: DSC-3

132-135

The B. F. Goodrich Company  
Research Center  
Brecksville, Ohio  
Attn: Charles H. Stockman

136

Headquarters  
Ogden Air Material Area  
U. S. Air Force  
Hill Air Force Base, Utah  
Attn: Merrill S. Budge, Chief  
Propellant Testing Section  
Metrology Materials Laboratory Branch

137

<b>U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory Corona, California Attn: Code 44</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>Quality Assurance Department, NPP</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Production Department, NPP</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>Research and Development Department Personnel</b>	<b>141-169</b>
<b>Naval Propellant Plant Files</b>	<b>170-174</b>

<p>Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland (NAVWEPS REPORT 7107) AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES (U). By M. E. Baicar, W. G. Gough, and E. F. Hare. 8 December 1961. 13 p. (TR 114) UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either (over)</p>	<p>1. Differential analysis - Equipment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Baicar, M. E.</li> <li>II. Gough, W. G.</li> <li>III. Hare, E. F.</li> </ol>	<p>Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland (NAVWEPS REPORT 7107) AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES (U). By M. E. Baicar, W. G. Gough, and E. F. Hare. 8 December 1961. 13 p. (TR 114) UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either (over)</p>	<p>1. Differential analysis - Equipment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Baicar, M. E.</li> <li>II. Gough, W. G.</li> <li>III. Hare, E. F.</li> </ol>
<p>Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland (NAVWEPS REPORT 7107) AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES (U). By M. E. Baicar, W. G. Gough, and E. F. Hare. 8 December 1961. 13 p. (TR 114) UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either (over)</p>	<p>1. Differential analysis - Equipment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Baicar, M. E.</li> <li>II. Gough, W. G.</li> <li>III. Hare, E. F.</li> </ol>	<p>Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland (NAVWEPS REPORT 7107) AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES (U). By M. E. Baicar, W. G. Gough, and E. F. Hare. 8 December 1961. 13 p. (TR 114) UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either (over)</p>	<p>1. Differential analysis - Equipment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Baicar, M. E.</li> <li>II. Gough, W. G.</li> <li>III. Hare, E. F.</li> </ol>
<p>Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland (NAVWEPS REPORT 7107) AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES (U). By M. E. Baicar, W. G. Gough, and E. F. Hare. 8 December 1961. 13 p. (TR 114) UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either (over)</p>	<p>1. Differential analysis - Equipment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Baicar, M. E.</li> <li>II. Gough, W. G.</li> <li>III. Hare, E. F.</li> </ol>	<p>Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland (NAVWEPS REPORT 7107) AN APPARATUS FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES (U). By M. E. Baicar, W. G. Gough, and E. F. Hare. 8 December 1961. 13 p. (TR 114) UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>A differential thermal analysis apparatus has been designed and constructed at the Naval Propellant Plant for use in studying either (over)</p>	<p>1. Differential analysis - Equipment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Baicar, M. E.</li> <li>II. Gough, W. G.</li> <li>III. Hare, E. F.</li> </ol>

<p>ground (2.5 g) or right-cylinder (1/2 to 2 in.) propellant samples. Heating rate is <math>0.50^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ} \text{ C/minute}</math>. One feature of this apparatus is the aluminum-foil-covered asbestos oven with a blow-off top. This top plus the fact that the sample holders reduce to dust upon detonation make the replacement of the oven an extremely rare occurrence. Should the oven have to be replaced, however, the cost is only about \$100.00.</p>		<p>ground (2.5 g) or right-cylinder (1/2 to 2 in.) propellant samples. Heating rate is <math>0.50^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ} \text{ C/minute}</math>. One feature of this apparatus is the aluminum-foil-covered asbestos oven with a blow-off top. This top plus the fact that the sample holders reduce to dust upon detonation make the replacement of the oven an extremely rare occurrence. Should the oven have to be replaced, however, the cost is only about \$100.00.</p>
<p>ground (2.5 g) or right-cylinder (1/2 to 2 in.) propellant samples. Heating rate is <math>0.50^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ} \text{ C/minute}</math>. One feature of this apparatus is the aluminum-foil-covered asbestos oven with a blow-off top. This top plus the fact that the sample holders reduce to dust upon detonation make the replacement of the oven an extremely rare occurrence. Should the oven have to be replaced, however, the cost is only about \$100.00.</p>		<p>ground (2.5 g) or right-cylinder (1/2 to 2 in.) propellant samples. Heating rate is <math>0.50^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ} \text{ C/minute}</math>. One feature of this apparatus is the aluminum-foil-covered asbestos oven with a blow-off top. This top plus the fact that the sample holders reduce to dust upon detonation make the replacement of the oven an extremely rare occurrence. Should the oven have to be replaced, however, the cost is only about \$100.00.</p>

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**