

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 288 785

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED


DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

63-1-4
5386

(1)



288 785

AD. No. _____

288 785*

ASTIA FILE COPY

ASTIA
RECEIVED
AUG 9 1962
TISIA A

**DISPLAY
DEVICES**



LITTON INDUSTRIES
ELECTRON TUBE DIVISION
960 INDUSTRIAL ROAD
SAN CARLOS, CALIFORNIA

\$ 1.10

**ANALYSIS OF TYPE 1DP11 CATHODE
RAY TUBE EVALUATING MECHANICAL
AND ELECTRICAL PERFORMANCE**

Contract AF 33(600)-41344

Item 8

**Litton Industries, Display Devices Department
960 Industrial Road, San Carlos, California**

August 3, 1962



**ANALYSIS OF TYPE IDP11 CATHODE RAY TUBE EVALUATING
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL PERFORMANCE**

SUMMARY

Three National Union Type IDP11 cathode ray tubes were examined and analyzed in compliance with Para. 1, Supplemental Agreement No. 1, to contract AF 33(600)-41344, dated February 10, 1961. The testing was performed in accordance with the approved test program procedures employed for verifying miniature cathode ray tubes furnished and delivered by Litton Electron Tube Corp. in fulfillment of above contract. Test procedure amendment is stated in detail and the test results are tabulated. The CRT's were arbitrarily labeled #1, #2, and #3, since no serial numbers could be found on these tubes.

The following factors were evaluated: (1) beam centering; (2) resolution; (3) deflection sensitivity; (4) deflection linearity; (5) effectiveness of magnetic shielding; (6) physical dimensions.

(1) Beam Centering

Variations in outside diameters and observed eccentricities of the envelopes made it impractical to locate mechanically the center of the screen. An overlay mask outlining usable screen requirements was prepared photographically. The film mask was centered on the undeflected but focused spot on the tube face. Beam centering was judged acceptable if the area outlined by the mask would be contained within the useful area of the screen.

(2) Resolution

Size of undeflected spot was measured with calibrated microscope. Filament, anode, and focus voltages were carefully adjusted to optimum values recommended for these tubes by their manufacturer and were continuously monitored. In all tubes the grid cutoff voltage was found to be far in excess of the nominal value. In Tube #2 grid cutoff voltage application caused an approximate 3/8" spot deflection on the tube face. Since a spot displacement is wholly undesirable this tube would have to be considered a reject on this one character-

$E_a = 600$ V DC
 $E_f = 6.3$ V AC

DATA ON MINIATURE CATHODE RAY TUBES
 ELECTRICAL TEST RESULTS: 1DP11

TEST	Nominal	Units	TUBE NUMBER		
			#1	#2	#3
SPOT SIZE (UNDEFLECTED)	--	Mill	21	20	22
SPOT POSITION			OK	OK	OK
FOCUS VOLTAGE	150	V DC	150	150	150
GRID CUTOFF VOLTAGE	-40	V DC	-60	-55	-52
DEFLECTION FACTOR $D_1 - D_2$	260	V/in.	332	334	334
DEFLECTION FACTOR $D_3 - D_4$	280	V/in.	342	231	237
DEFLECTION FACTOR UNIFORMITY $D_1 - D_2$	0.300	Ratio	.307	.319	.340
DEFLECTION FACTOR UNIFORMITY $D_3 - D_4$	0.300	Ratio	.297	.310	.360
ANGLE BETWEEN TRACES	90	De- grees	OK	OK	OK
OUTSIDE DIAMETER NEAR SCREEN	.875 max.	IN	.840	.842	.834