

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 290 604

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

AEROPROJECTS INCORPORATED
310 EAST ROSEDALE AVENUE, WEST CHESTER, PENNSYLVANIA

November 16, 1962

Bureau of Naval Weapons
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.

Qualified requesters may
obtain copies of this
report from ASTIA.

Attention: RRMA-231

Via: Inspector of Naval Material
10 North 8th Street
Reading, Pennsylvania

Subject: ULTRASONIC WELDING OF REFRACTORY METALS
Progress Report No. 9
For the Period 1 June through 31 July, 1962
Navy Contract No. NOW 61-0410-c

Gentlemen:

During this report period, D-31 alloy (10 Mo-10Ti-Cb) was selected for ultrasonic welding study. Although the alloy's metallurgical characteristics indicate potentially good weldability, considerable difficulty has been encountered heretofore* in obtaining crack-free, consistent-strength joints.

To support our studies, a review of existent literature covering this type of alloy has been made. Despite the fact that little published data exist on columbium and its alloys, our investigative consensus indicates that material quality in general, and its contamination in particular, may be controlling factors in previously encountered ultrasonic welding difficulty.

Further studies are being made of D-31. It is anticipated that they will provide a deeper understanding of the problems involved, and will supply, therefore, the basis for development of suitable solutions.

Some of the data contained in this report has been deduced from work performed by this contractor for the United States Air Force**.


* Progress Reports No. 2, 3, 5.

** Development of Ultrasonic Welding Equipment for Refractory Metals.
Contract AF 33(600)-43026, ASD Project No. 7-888, Fabrication
Branch Manufacturing Technology Laboratory. AFSC Aeronautical
Systems Division, United States Air Force.

290 604

CATALOGED BY ASTIA
AS AD NO.

290604



WELDING D-31

Table I gives the results of comparative studies of the weldability of 0.005-inch D-31. Materials designated "old" refer to D-31 procured early in 1961. Those marked "new" refer to purchases made in 1962. The tensile-shear data have been analyzed statistically in a manner convenient to a designer.

An examination of Table I and Figures 1 through 7 leads to the following preliminary conclusions regarding the weldability of 0.005-inch D-31 alloy foil:

- a. "New" D-31 possesses good ultrasonic weldability. Crack-free welds with good tensile-shear consistency can be made, at least with the foil size under study. Figures 3 and 6, for instance, show weld interfaces with complete bonding and lack of surface-film residues.
- b. Good ultrasonic welds can be attained over a considerable power range: 850 watts with the 0.25-inch spherical sonotrode-tip radius (Study No. 2, Table I) to 1600 watts with the 0.75-inch tip radius (Study No. 10, Table I; Figures 5, 6). Weld strengths consistency is good, standard deviation is low.
- c. The material is somewhat sensitive to clamping force. For example, an increase in the clamping force of 50 pounds, (650 to 700 lbs) or approximately 8 percent (Studies No. 4, 6, Table I) improved weld consistency so that the expected minimum (~~8~~-36) tensile-shear increased from 12 pounds to 53 pounds, and standard deviation (~~8~~) decreased from 17 pounds to 8.5 pounds, respectively.
- d. D-31 seems to be sensitive to tip surface condition and to surface cleanliness (Figure 7).
- e. Although good welds may be made with low power and low clamping force (as obtained from the threshold curves) (Study No. 2, Table I), better consistency and higher-strength levels are obtained with higher power and higher clamping force (Studies No. 6, 10, Table I; Figures 5, 6).
- f. Welding slightly below minimum clamping force yields poor results (Studies No. 7, 8, Table I; Figures 2, 4; Table II). Some welds made with low clamping force are good (Figures 2, 3) but strength inconsistency exists, with the majority of specimens showing a lack of bonding. Little cracking has been observed. Cracks would be anticipated with the use of low clamping force and the lower-quality material which the specimen stock in Study 7, Table I apparently is.

Department of the Navy
November 16, 1962
Page Three

- g. The most suitable sonotrode-tip radius appears to be 0.5 inch (100t) (Study 6, Table I; Figure 1). The 0.25-inch tip radius could be used, despite resultant high deformation, or the 0.75-inch tip radius (100t). However, higher power and clamping force are required with larger tip radius (Study 10, Table I; Figures 5, 6).
- h. Material quality appears to exert a paramount controlling effect on weld quality, strength level, and consistency (Studies 1-2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-8, 9-10, Table I; Progress Report No. 5, p. 4). Material procured in 1962 displayed considerably superior weldability over that procured early in 1961. The processing history of the material is not available, however, and the producer is apparently unwilling to reveal it. Hence, any definite conclusions may not be drawn from the limited studies.

INSPECTION TECHNIQUES

Further investigations have been made into developing a reliable, inexpensive method for weld-quality inspection*. Two possibilities for such inspection have been determined: One is by use of an infra-red radiometer**, and the other by use of an "Ultrasonic Image Converter"***. Since the latter method is currently being reinvestigated by the Armour Research Foundation of the Illinois Institute of Technology under the sponsorship of the Air Force and the Bureau of Ships, additional information is being requested from Armour.

FUTURE WORK

Future work will be concentrated on attempting to establish a correlation between the structures and microhardness of D-31 as investigated, and ultrasonic weld quality and strength. In addition, studies continue into the ultrasonic weldability of Mo-0.5Ti alloy. The results of such studies will be covered in the next Progress Report.

* Progress Reports No. 4 through 8.

** Barnes Engineering Co., Stamford, Conn.

***"Sound Tube Pictures", The Iron Age, Vol. 190, No. 11, Sept. 13, 1962, pp. 171-172.

Very truly yours,


Harold L. McKaig, Jr.
Manager, Advanced Projects

HLM:ss

Table I
SUMMARY OF COMPARATIVE TENSILE-SHEAR STRENGTH DATA
OF ULTRASONICALLY WELDED 0.005-INCH D-31 ALLOY FOIL
WITH DIFFERENT WELDING PARAMETERS

Note: All tabs were degreased only by washing in acetone.
Additional abrading with No. 400 Emery (No. 12)
yielded inferior results.

Welding Parameters										Tensile-Shear Data, lbs ¹⁾					Notes
No.	Gage (inch)	Stock	Sonotrode Tip Radius, (inch)	Power, watts	Clamping Force (pound)	Time Interval, (second)	2) $\bar{x}$ - 3σ			Figure No.					
							-2)	3)	4)						
1	0.005	Old	0.25	850	400	0.75	55	15.7	8	30	1				
2	0.005	New	0.25	850	400	0.75	70	13.5	29	10					
3	0.005	Old	0.5	1400	650	0.75	48	76	-28	11					
4	0.005	New	0.5	1400	650	0.75	63	17	12	11					
5	0.005	Old	0.5	1400	700	0.75	63	11.8	28	13					
6	0.005	New	0.5	1400	700	0.75	79	8.5	53	10					
7	0.005	Old	0.75	750	300	0.5	50	18.9	-7	20	2,3,4				
8	0.005	New	0.75	750	300	0.5	64	21.1	1	10					
9	0.005	Old	0.75	1600	700	0.75	72	20.3	11	21	5,6,7				
10	0.005	New	0.75	1600	650	0.75	107	13.6	66.2	14					
11	0.010	Old	1.0	2000	750	0.75	273	22.6	206	13	Abraded				
12	0.010	Old	1.0	2000	750	0.75	135	78	-99	6					
13	0.010	Old	1.0	3200	900	0.75	132	25	57	10	Studies made on tabs sec-				
14	0.010	Old	1.0	3200	1000	0.75	119	16	75	10					
15	0.010	Old	1.0	3200	1000	0.75	129	43	0	20	tioned from dif-				
16	0.015	Old	1.0	2800	800	0.75	244.7	46.9	104	16					
17	0.015	Old	1.0	3200	1100	0.75	189.8	53.3	29.9	20	erent strips				

1) Statistically analyzed	3) σ = Standard deviation	5) N = Number of population
2) $\bar{x}$ = Expected average	4) $\bar{x} - 3\sigma$ = Expected minimum	

1) Statistically analyzed
2) $\bar{x}$ = Expected average
3) σ = Standard deviation
4) $\bar{x}-3\sigma$ = Expected minimum
5) N = Number of population

Department of the Navy
November 16, 1962
Page Five

Table II

SUMMARY OF METALLURGICAL STUDIES OF ULTRASONICALLY
SPOTWELDED 0.005 INCH D-31 WITH THE CLAMPING FORCE
BELOW THAT INDICATED BY THE THRESHOLD STUDIES
(See Study No. 7, Table I)

Spec. No.	Bond Quality	Cracking	Figure No.
2	Good	None	2,3
4	Satisfactory	None	
6	Poor	None	
8	Very Poor	None	
10	Good	None	
12	Satisfactory	Edge micro-cracks	4
14	No weld	None	
16	No weld	None	
18	No weld	None	

Department of the Navy
November 16, 1962
Page Six




Figure 1

ULTRASONIC SPOTWELD OF 0.005-INCH D-31 ALLOY FOIL
(NEW STOCK) MADE WITH 0.5-INCH SPHERICAL-TIP RADIUS

Welding Parameters: 1400/650/0.75

Etchant: Lactic Acid 50 parts
 HNO₃ 30 parts
 HF 10 parts
 in H₂O

Magnification: 100X

Department of the Navy
November 16, 1962
Page Seven




Figure 2

ULTRASONIC SPOTWELD OF 0.005-INCH D-31 ALLOY
FOIL (OLD STOCK) MADE WITH 0.75-INCH SPHERICAL-TIP RADIUS
WITH LOW CLAMPING FORCE

Welding Parameters: 750/300/0.5

Etchant: As in Figure 1

Magnification: 100X



Figure 3

DETAIL A FROM FIGURE 2

Magnification: 500X




Figure 4

ULTRASONIC SPOTWELD OF 0.005-INCH D-31 ALLOY
FOIL (OLD STOCK) MADE IDENTICALLY TO FIGURE 2,
SHOWING EDGE CRACKS

Etchant: Same as Figure 1
Magnification: 500X

Department of the Navy
November 16, 1962
Page Nine




Figure 5

ULTRASONIC SPOTWELD OF 0.005-INCH D-31 ALLOY FOIL (NEW STOCK)
MADE WITH 0.75-INCH SPHERICAL-RADIUS TIP
Welding Parameters: 1600/650/0.75
Etchant: Same as Figure 1
Magnification: 100X




Figure 6

DETAIL B FROM FIGURE 5
Magnification: 500X

Department of the Navy
November 16, 1962
Page Ten




Figure 7

SAME AS IN FIGURE 5 WITH A MICROCRACK (C)
ON THE OUTSIDE OF THE PERIPHERY OF THE WELD WHERE METAL
WAS PILED-UP WITH FOREIGN MATERIAL PARTICLES (D)
ON THE SONOTRODE-WORK INTERFACE

Etchant: Same as Figure 1
Magnification: 100X

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED