

MRL REPORT NO. 125
ASTIA DOCUMENT NO.

CATALOGED BY ASTIA

AS AD No. 293 835

293 835


63-2-2

LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS

REPORT NO. 125
OMS CODE 5010.11.842
DA593-32-007

A. J. FREEMAN

NOVEMBER 1962



MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORY
U. S. ARMY MATERIALS RESEARCH AGENCY
WATERTOWN ARSENAL
WATERTOWN 72, MASS.

Initial distribution of this report has been made in accordance with the distribution list contained herein.

ASTIA AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from ASTIA, and U. S. Army Materials Research Agency, Watertown Arsenal, Watertown 72, Massachusetts.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position.

MRL REPORT NO. 125
ASTIA DOCUMENT NO.

LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS

Report No. 125
OMS Code 5010.11.842
DA593-32-007

Author:

A J Freeman

A. J. FREEMAN
Physicist
U. S. Army Materials Research Agency

Approved:

R Beeuwkes, Jr.

R. BEEUWKES, JR.
Chief Scientist (Acting)
U. S. Army Materials Research Agency

November 1962

MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORY
U. S. ARMY MATERIALS RESEARCH AGENCY
WATERTOWN ARSENAL
WATERTOWN 72, MASS.

LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS

A. J. Freeman

Materials Research Laboratory, U. S. Army Materials Research Agency,
Watertown, Massachusetts

A simple model is used to obtain information about localized magnetic moments in metals from Mössbauer (or nuclear magnetic resonance) experiments. The formulation emphasizes the explicit dependence of the hyperfine field on the spin S' of the Fe atom and shows why the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field follows a Brillouin function of the total localized spin S (with $S \neq S'$). The moment of Fe atoms in dilute concentrations of Pd, Rh, and Mo are determined and the apparent contradiction between Mössbauer and susceptibility measurements for Rh and Mo is discussed.

Large magnetic moments associated with Fe atoms dissolved in non-magnetic 4d metals have been extensively studied by means of susceptibility measurements¹. (At the Pd end of the series, the moments are found to be large, i.e., $\sim 9-12 \mu_B$ [Bohr magnetons]). Theoretical interpretations have emphasized the localized nature of these moments^{1,2}. Recently reported Mössbauer measurements by Craig et.al.³ on dilute solid solutions of Fe⁵⁷ in Pd have confirmed the magnitude of the moment reported earlier¹ and have demonstrated that the moment associated with the Fe impurity acts, at low temperatures, like an isolated magnetic moment in an external magnetic field. However, for the cases of Fe in Rh and Fe in Mo, Craig et.al. find no hyperfine splitting due to a localized moment in apparent contradiction with the susceptibility experiments which give a moment of 2.2 and 2.1 μ_B respectively. The magnitude of the moment on the Fe atoms themselves has not been measured and hence the magnitude and spatial distribution of the magnetization of the localized states has not been determined.

This note is concerned with these aspects of the problem of the observed localized moments in metals. Starting with a basically simple idea, we show that measurements of the hyperfine field (e.g., by means of Mossbauer or NMR methods) can give this information - whereas susceptibility measurements cannot - and that the nature of the coupling between the spin on the Fe atom and the polarization of its surroundings can be inferred. In addition, the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field is derived and is shown to agree with experiment. However, in contrast with earlier work³, our formulation emphasizes the explicit dependence of the hyperfine field H_{int} on the spin S' of the Fe atom and demonstrates why H_{int} versus temperature follows a Brillouin function of the total localized spin S (with $S \neq S'$).

We assume, as is generally done⁴, that the internal field is proportional to the time average value of the z component of the spin on the Fe atom,

$$H_{int} = A \langle S'_z \rangle. \quad (1)$$

This relationship is valid for the Fermi Hamiltonian for a free atom with $L = 0$ (in which case $A \propto |\psi(0)|^2$), and appears to hold, at least qualitatively, for a number of cases in metals and alloys.⁴ While an exact visualization of the origin of A is not necessary, it is perhaps reasonable to assume that, for the case at hand, A arises mostly from the polarization of the s electrons in the core and conduction bands by the localized (3d) spin density on the iron atom. Since contributions to H_{int} from the polarized host atoms are also proportional to S' these may also be included in A .

For paramagnets, thermal relaxation times are so fast, relative to the γ -ray lifetime, that in the absence of an externally applied field H the average value of the spin $\langle S'_z \rangle$ is zero and hence no hyperfine splitting is observed in a Mössbauer measurement. When $H \neq 0$, one has the usual case of the alignment of the spins of the paramagnetic atoms and a thermal average of S'_z is given by,

$$\langle S'_z \rangle_T = S' B_{S'}(x). \quad (2)$$

Here, $B_{S'}(x)$ is the Brillouin function for spin S' , the parameter x is $g\mu_B S' H/kT$, and g is the gyromagnetic ratio (which we take to be 2 in what follows). For small x , i.e., large T or very small H , $B_{S'}(x)$ is given by $(S'+1)x/3S'$. The field at the nucleus, H_n , as a function of temperature is then,

$$H_n = H + H_{int} = H + A \langle S'_z \rangle_T = H + A S' B_{S'}(x). \quad (3)$$

Since H is an additive constant, it is convenient to subtract H from the observed H_n and to discuss the remainder, i.e., H_{int} . (Since $H_n < 0$ Craig et.al.³ actually "added" H to H_n to obtain H_{int} .) For "ordinary" paramagnets, i.e., those for which the spin which gives rise to the hyperfine field and the spin which gives rise to the susceptibility are one and the same, Eq. 3 has previously been used successfully to explain⁵ the observations⁶ with the Mössbauer effect.

Consider now a spin S' rigidly coupled to its polarized surroundings, the system forming a resultant spin S . (In general this coupling can be ferromagnet or anti-ferromagnetic in nature, but we shall assume in what follows that the coupling is ferromagnetic). Suppose we now take a collection of such spins S to form a paramagnetic system. In an external field, $\langle S_z \rangle_T$ is of course given by a Brillouin function for the spin S . Because of the rigid coupling, S' "follows" S , and so $\langle S'_z \rangle_T$ is now given by,

$$\frac{\langle S'_z \rangle_T}{S'} = \frac{\langle S_z \rangle_T}{S} = B_S(x) \quad (4)$$

instead of by Eq. 2, which holds only for a system of free spins S' . Therefore, we have that,

$$H_{int} = A \langle S'_z \rangle_T = A S' B_S(x) \quad (5)$$

which, in the region of small x , is given by,

$$H_{int} = A S' \left[\frac{(S+1)}{3k} g \mu_B \frac{H}{T} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Equations 5 and 6 display: (1) the physical origin of H_{int} through S' and A and (2) the temperature dependence of H_{int} through the usual susceptibility

factor (which is concerned with the total spin S).

It follows from Eq. 5 that the saturation value of H_{int} is given by,

$$H_{sat} = A S' \quad (7)$$

and so we may therefore write,

$$\frac{H_{int}}{H_{sat}} = \frac{(S+1)}{3k} g\mu_B \frac{H}{T} \quad (8)$$

Equation 8 is the phenomenological expression used by Craig et. al. to fit their data for Pd in the linear region and to determine S and hence μ . (They find that $\mu \approx 12.6 \mu_B$ and $S \approx 13/2$.) Hence, we may take the agreement of our derived expression with experiment to indicate that our simple model is consistent with experience.

The above formulation allows us to determine S' provided we can obtain the value of A. Mössbauer measurements⁷ at low temperatures ($T=4^{\circ}K$) for Fe^{57} in a widely varying range of Co concentration (3 to 100%) in Co-Pd alloys give a value for A of ≈ -300 kgauss (which is also the value for Fe^{57} in metallic iron). Using this value of A as a reasonable approximation for the case of Fe^{57} in Pd and the observed H_{sat} value of -295 kgauss, we find that $S' \approx 1$ and $\mu \approx 2 \mu_B$.

Equation 6 also allows us to reconcile the apparent contradiction between the Mössbauer and susceptibility measurements for Rh and Mo referred to earlier. This expression shows that although the total spin S may be large (as determined by susceptibility measurements), the hyperfine field will be small if S' is small. (Since A depends on environment⁴ it will, in general, not have the same value as estimated above; this effect on H_{int} is probably smaller than the variation in S' .) We believe that the negative

Mössbauer results for these cases are attributable to a very small moment ($\mu < 0.3\mu_B$) on the iron atoms yielding an H_{int} which is unresolved in the range of H/T at which the measurements were made.

It is not yet clear how the induced moment, about $10.6\mu_B$ per Fe atom, is distributed among the Pd host atoms. Theoretical calculations are, of course, exceedingly difficult to do². A much more likely approach is to do either NMR or Mössbauer measurements on the host nuclide, or neutron diffraction measurements on the less dilute (i.e., 1% Fe) alloys. The simplest and most naive model, which assumes a polarization of only the nearest-neighbor Pd atoms, gives a moment of approximately $0.9\mu_B$ and a spin of $1/2$ per Pd atom. The inclusion of second nearest neighbors, which is more realistic, reduces the induced Pd moments to $0.5\mu_B$ per Pd atom. It is surprising that even this crude an estimate can yield a not too unreasonable result.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to A. M. Clogston and R. E. Watson for encouragement and stimulating discussions and to G. F. Koster, R. J. Harrison, A. Paskin, and G. K. Wertheim for helpful comments.

DISTRIBUTION OF REPORTS

Materials Research Laboratory
U. S. Army Materials Research Agency
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown 72, Mass.

| <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Address</u> |
|---------------------------------|---|
| <u>Internal Distribution</u> | |
| | Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Materials Research Agency, Watertown Arsenal, Watertown 72, Mass. |
| 5 | ATTN: AMXMR-BRL, Library |
| 50 | ATTN: AMXMR, Crossfire |
| 2 | ATTN: AMXMR-OPT |
| 5 | ATTN: AMXMR-LXM, Technical Information Section |
| 5 | ATTN: AMXMR, Author |
| <u>External Distribution</u> | |
| <u>A. Department of Defense</u> | |
| | Director, Defense Research and Engineering Room 3D-1067, The Pentagon, Washington 25, D. C. |
| 1 | ATTN: Dr. James H. Gardner |
| 1 | ATTN: Dr. Earl T. Hayes |
| | Advanced Research Project Agency, Washington 25, D. C. |
| 1 | ATTN: Mr. Charles Yost |
| 10 | Armed Services Technical Information Agency, Arlington Hall Station, Arlington 12, Virginia |
| 1 | Defense Metals Information Center, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus 1, Ohio |
| <u>B. Department of Army</u> | |
| 1 | Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Research Office, Arlington Hall Station, Arlington 12, Virginia |
| | Commanding Officer, Army Research Office, (Durham) Box CM, Duke Station, Durham, North Carolina |
| 1 | ATTN: Physics Division |

| No. of Copies | Address |
|---|---|
| C. <u>U. S. Army Materiel Command - Research and Development Directorate</u> | |
| | Commanding General, U. S. Army Materiel Command, Washington 25, D. C. |
| 1 | ATTN: AMCRD-RS, Research Division, Bldg. T-7 |
| 1 | ATTN: AMCRD-DE, Development Division, Bldg. T-7 |
| 2 | ATTN: AMC Detachment No. 1, Bldg. Tempo I (Mr. J. Kaufman and Dr. P. Kosting) |
| 1 | ATTN: Chief Scientist, AMC |
| | Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Ballistics Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland |
| 1 | ATTN: Dr. Coy Glass |
| | Commanding General, U. S. Army Quartermaster Research and Engineering Command, Natick, Mass. |
| 1 | ATTN: AMXRE, Pioneering Research Division, Dr. S. D. Bailey |
| | Commanding Officer, Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories, Washington 25, D. C. |
| 1 | ATTN: AMXDO-TIB |
| D. <u>U. S. Army Materiel Command - Sub Commands and Installations</u> | |
| | Commanding General, U. S. Army Mobility Command, 28251 Van Dyke Ave., Center Line, Michigan |
| 1 | ATTN: ATAC, Physical Sciences Laboratory |
| 1 | Commanding General, Transportation Research Command, Fort Eustis, Virginia |
| 1 | Commanding Officer, Engineer R&D Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, Virginia |
| | Commanding General, U. S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama |
| 1 | ATTN: Technical Library |
| 1 | ATTN: Directorate of R&D, Mr. J. E. Norman |
| 1 | ATTN: Chief Scientist, Dr. W. W. Carter |
| 1 | ATTN: Dr. B. Steverding |
| | Commanding General, White Sands Missile Range, White Sands, New Mexico |
| 1 | ATTN: Chief Scientist |
| 1 | Commanding General, U. S. Army Supply and Maintenance Command, Washington 25, D. C. |

| No. of Copies | Address |
|---|--|
| E. <u>Department of Army - Other Army Agencies</u> | |
| 1 | Director, Research Analysis Corporation, 6935 Arlington Road, Bethesda, Maryland |
| F. <u>Department of Navy</u> | |
| 1 | Commander, Office of Naval Research, Washington 25, D. C. |
| 1 | Director, Naval Research Laboratory, Anacostia Station, Washington 25, D. C. |
| G. <u>Department of Air Force</u> | |
| 1 | Commander, Office of Scientific Research, Air R&D Command, Temporary Bldg. T, Washington 25, D. C. |
| | Commanding General, Air Force Materials Central, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio |
| 1 | ATTN: Physics Laboratory |
| 1 | ATTN: Aeronautical Research Laboratories |
| | Commanding General, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Hanscomb Field, Bedford, Mass. |
| 1 | ATTN: CRRE, Electronic Research Directorate |
| H. <u>Other Government Agencies</u> | |
| 1 | Director, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C. |
| | Commanding General, U. S. Army Weapons Command, Rock Island Arsenal, Rock Island, Illinois |
| 1 | ATTN: Chief Scientist |
| | Commanding Officer, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, New York |
| 1 | ATTN: Research Division, Dr. R. Weigle |
| | Commanding Officer, Springfield Armory, Springfield 1, Mass. |
| 1 | ATTN: R&D Division |
| | Commanding Officer, Rock Island Arsenal, Rock Island, Illinois |
| 1 | ATTN: 9320, R&D Division |

**No. of
Copies**

Address

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Commanding General, U. S. Army Munitions Command, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey ATTN: Chief Scientist |
| 1 | Commanding Officer, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey ATTN: Feltman Research Laboratories |
| 1 | ATTN: Technical Library |
| 1 | Commanding Officer, Frankford Arsenal, Bridge and Tacony Streets, Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania ATTN: Pitman-Dunn Laboratories |
| 1 | ATTN: Research Institute |
| 1 | Commanding General, U. S. Army Chemical- Biological-Radiological Agency, Edgewood, Maryland ATTN: Nuclear Defense Laboratory, Nuclear Physics Division |
| 1 | Commanding General, U. S. Army Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey ATTN: SRDL, Institute for Fundamental Research |
| 1 | Commanding General, U. S. Army Test and Evaluation Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland ATTN: Library |
| 1 | Chairman, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission ATTN: Division of Technical Information, Washington 25, D. C. |
| 1 | ATTN: Division of Technical Information Extension, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, Tennessee |
| 1 | National Aeronautic and Space Administration, Washington 25, D. C. ATTN: Mr. George C. Deutsch |
| 1 | Director, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama ATTN: Dr. W. Lucas |
| 1 | Director, Lewis Flight Laboratories, Cleveland Airport, Cleveland, Ohio |
| 1 | Director, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 3, California ATTN: Dr. L. Jaffe |

AD Accession No. AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
Materials Research Laboratory, AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS - A. J. Freeman
MRL Report No. 125, November 1962, 7 pp -
OMS Code 5010.11.842, DA Proj 593-32-007,
Unclassified Report

A simple model is used to obtain information about localized magnetic moments in metals from Mössbauer (for nuclear magnetic resonance) experiments. The formulation emphasizes the explicit dependence of the hyperfine field on the spin S of the Fe atom and shows why the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field follows a Brillouin function of the total localized spin S (with $S \neq S'$). The moment of Fe atoms in dilute concentrations of Pd, Rh, and Mo are determined and the apparent contradiction between Mössbauer and susceptibility measurements for Rh and Mo is discussed.

NO DISTRIBUTION LIMITATIONS

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Localized magnetic moments
2. Mössbauer effect
3. Metals and alloys

I. Freeman, A. J.

II. OMS Code 5010.11.842

III. DA Proj 593-32-007

AD Accession No. AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
Materials Research Laboratory, AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS - A. J. Freeman
MRL Report No. 125, November 1962, 7 pp -
OMS Code 5010.11.842, DA Proj 593-32-007,
Unclassified Report

A simple model is used to obtain information about localized magnetic moments in metals from Mössbauer (for nuclear magnetic resonance) experiments. The formulation emphasizes the explicit dependence of the hyperfine field on the spin S of the Fe atom and shows why the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field follows a Brillouin function of the total localized spin S (with $S \neq S'$). The moment of Fe atoms in dilute concentrations of Pd, Rh, and Mo are determined and the apparent contradiction between Mössbauer and susceptibility measurements for Rh and Mo is discussed.

NO DISTRIBUTION LIMITATIONS

AD Accession No. AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
Materials Research Laboratory, AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS - A. J. Freeman
MRL Report No. 125, November 1962, 7 pp -
OMS Code 5010.11.842, DA Proj 593-32-007,
Unclassified Report

A simple model is used to obtain information about localized magnetic moments in metals from Mössbauer (for nuclear magnetic resonance) experiments. The formulation emphasizes the explicit dependence of the hyperfine field on the spin S of the Fe atom and shows why the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field follows a Brillouin function of the total localized spin S (with $S \neq S'$). The moment of Fe atoms in dilute concentrations of Pd, Rh, and Mo are determined and the apparent contradiction between Mössbauer and susceptibility measurements for Rh and Mo is discussed.

NO DISTRIBUTION LIMITATIONS

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Localized magnetic moments
2. Mössbauer effect
3. Metals and alloys

I. Freeman, A. J.

II. OMS Code 5010.11.842

III. DA Proj 593-32-007

AD Accession No. AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
Materials Research Laboratory, AMRA, Watertown 72, Mass.
LOCALIZED MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN METALS - A. J. Freeman
MRL Report No. 125, November 1962, 7 pp -
OMS Code 5010.11.842, DA Proj 593-32-007,
Unclassified Report

A simple model is used to obtain information about localized magnetic moments in metals from Mössbauer (for nuclear magnetic resonance) experiments. The formulation emphasizes the explicit dependence of the hyperfine field on the spin S of the Fe atom and shows why the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field follows a Brillouin function of the total localized spin S (with $S \neq S'$). The moment of Fe atoms in dilute concentrations of Pd, Rh, and Mo are determined and the apparent contradiction between Mössbauer and susceptibility measurements for Rh and Mo is discussed.

NO DISTRIBUTION LIMITATIONS

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Localized magnetic moments
2. Mössbauer effect
3. Metals and alloys

I. Freeman, A. J.

II. OMS Code 5010.11.842

III. DA Proj 593-32-007

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Localized magnetic moments
2. Mössbauer effect
3. Metals and alloys

I. Freeman, A. J.

II. OMS Code 5010.11.842

III. DA Proj 593-32-007

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED