

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 295 740

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

295 740

ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

for

RESEARCH PROJECT - NR - 049 - 167
CONTRACT NUMBER - Nonr - 1100(20)

on

ADAPTIVE AND SELF-OPTIMIZING CONTROL

Submitted to

Information Systems Branch

Office of Naval Research

Washington, D. C.

By

Rufus Oldenburger

Principal Investigator

School of Mechanical Engineering

Purdue University

Contractor:

Purdue Research Foundation
Lafayette, Indiana

February 1, 1963

This report covers the period of February 1, 1962 to February 1, 1963. A large part of the effort during this year was devoted to completing the research of L. J. Schrock and the writer on "Quenching of adaptive control system response to test signal" and preparing the results for publication. A technical report with the above title was issued in March, 1962 to the Distribution List, and a paper with the same title was presented to the American Institute of Electrical Engineers at the AIEE Fall General Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, October 11, 1962. This paper (AIEE No. 62-1382) will be published in the AIEE Transactions. The problem of quenching arose in a study of adaptive control. The theory actually applies to quenching the response of a system to a known signal whether or not adaptive control is involved. A test signal may be employed to identify the parameters of a system to be controlled. In adaptive control this identification is done automatically.

Unfortunately, the test signal disturbs the system, resulting in an undesired response. This response may be of appreciable magnitude and duration. As soon as the system is known, the response of the system to an arbitrary input can be computed. The problem is to quench the response to the test signal by the introduction of an appropriate signal. The systems treated are assumed to be described by linear differential equations with slowly varying coefficients so that these coefficients may be assumed to be constant during a given identification, and subsequent quenching, period. Two cases are treated. One occurs where the input is unbounded. In this case the quenching signal is a linear combination of a properly weighted impulse and weighted derivatives of this impulse. Such combinations may be approximated in practice. Quenching is assumed to be optimum if the integral squared error is a minimum.

In the second case the absolute value of the input is bounded. In this case quenching that is optimum in every reasonable engineering sense can be obtained. The bounded quenching signal is obtained by scheduling the lengths of time that its value is either at the upper or lower bound. As soon as the system is identified, quenching can be accomplished by scheduling of the system input, regardless of the other disturbances to which the system is subject during identification and quenching. If the system to be identified is simple second order, that is, a double integrator with gain as a parameter, and the test signal is a step input, the quenching signal is independent of the system and depends only on the test signal and the known bound on the input. Thus it is relatively easy to schedule the quenching signal in this case. If the system to be identified also involves a first order lag, the quenching signal, up to the instant it is removed, is independent of the system parameters. The instant of removal depends on the time constant of the system, but not the system gain. Thus it is again relatively easy to schedule the quenching signal. This signal is computed without a knowledge of system characteristics until the system time constant is determined. When this time constant is known, the shut off time is computed. Thus in the basic cases treated, the quenching signal is independent of system gain. The physical equipment required for quenching is simplified accordingly.

When a controlled system has been identified, a mathematical model of it can be constructed. In automatically adapting the controller to the system, the characteristics of the controller are made to match those of the system model. In using the model it is assumed that the responses of the model and the system to the same input are identical for practical purposes. Actually they differ, and the designer should know by how much. The delay line synthesizer has been employed successfully for identification. The synthesizer is based on the principle that a rational transfer derivative operator may be represented by an infinite series, where this series is a linear combination of pure delays, all of these delays being positive integral multiples of a given delay. In physical applications it is possible to work only with a finite number of terms. The closeness with which the response of the delay line model agrees with that of the system depends on the number of terms of the infinite series kept for the model, and on the nature of the input. Research on this subject began with first order systems. Substantial mathematical simplification of the problem was achieved by writing the transfer operator as an infinite product of linear operators. The principle investigator had previously used the same technique to simplify the mathematics of systems with distributed constants. By this technique it is possible to write the transfer operator of the model as a product of the transfer operator of the given system by an operator that can be readily approximated to any desired accuracy. The effect of the second factor on performance can be conveniently determined. The responses of the model and system to commonly occurring inputs such as steps, sinusoids, pulses and ramps were correlated with the number of terms used in the model. These results are being extended to other inputs and higher order systems. Experience shows that the transfer characteristics of physical systems to be controlled generally can be represented by rational operators to sufficient accuracy for engineering design purposes.

In practice, the inputs or rates of change of inputs to a controlled system are physically bounded or limited by the designer to protect the equipment. The problem of nonlinear control is that of obtaining the best control subject to the bounds involved. Since in this case the controller is generally nonlinear, such control is said to be optimum nonlinear. The bounds are often on the absolute values of the rates of change of the inputs. The principal investigator treated linear systems with one controlled variable and one controlling variable with the rate of change of the controlling variable bounded. He proved that under rather general conditions a single control function will yield optimum response to step disturbances. This function will not give optimum or near optimum response to arbitrary disturbances since staircase approximations to commonly occurring random disturbances involve intervals between steps that are too short. The situation is different if piecewise linear approximations are employed where the slopes of the line segments are arbitrary. A disturbance is said to be controllable if its rate of change is such that a perfect controller can keep up with the disturbance and maintain the system error at or very near zero. If the rate of change is too great this is not possible, a system error will arise, and the disturbance is uncontrollable. Successful controllers are designed so that the disturbances are largely controllable.

Otherwise there would be continually objectionable system errors and the controller would be relatively ineffective. In engineering practice enough power usually is selected for the controller so that the disturbances are controllable. One would then expect disturbances fluctuating violently or rapidly from one direction to the other to be infrequent. This is actually the case so that a disturbance may be approximated by a piecewise linear one where near optimum control can be obtained by using a control function chosen to give optimum response to a ramp disturbance. N. P. Smith and the principal investigator obtained this function for commonly occurring linear systems. When control with this function was tested on an analog computer it was found that definitely better response was obtained for arbitrary as well as ramp disturbances than can be secured by known techniques, such as with a control function optimum for step disturbances. This discovery led to an analytical study of the reasons for the unexpected improvement obtained in the laboratory.

The principal investigator proved that if an uncontrollable disturbance is followed by a sufficiently long controllable portion, and the disturbance is known in advance, there is one and only one best action of the controller that will yield a response optimum in every reasonable engineering sense, such as minimum time to equilibrium where the system error is zero, minimum area between the error curve and the time axis, minimum overswing, minimum underswing, etc. A controller is said to be near optimum if it yields approximately the same system response as if the disturbance were known in advance. It was proved that the control function optimum for ramps normally yields nearly optimum response to an uncontrollable disturbance followed by a one or two segment piecewise linear controllable section. An effort is being made to extend the proof to an uncontrolled disturbance followed by a piecewise linear controllable section composed of an arbitrary number of straight line segments. The controller optimum for ramps essentially adapts itself to the rate of change of the disturbance. Until now optimum control studies have been limited almost entirely to bringing a system from one state to another while the system is undisturbed during the transition. The new approach allows the system to be subject to an arbitrary disturbance while the controller brings it from its initial to its final state.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

November 16, 1962

001	1	Assistant Sec. of Def. for Res. and Eng.	02 Copies
001	2	Information Office Library Branch	
001	3	Pentagon Building	
001	4	Washington 25, D. C.	
002	1	Armed Services Technical Information Agency	10 Copies
002	2	Arlington Hall Station	
002	3	Arlington 12, Virginia	
003	1	Chief of Naval Research	02 Copies
003	2	Department of the Navy	
003	3	Washington 25, D. C.	
003	4	Attn Code 437, Information Systems Branch	
004	1	Chief of Naval Operations	
004	2	OP-07T-12	
004	3	Navy Department	
004	4	Washington 25, D. C.	
005	1	Director, Naval Research Laboratory	06 Copies
005	2	Technical Information Officer /Code 2000/	
005	3	Washington 25, D. C.	
006	1	Commanding Officer, Office of Naval Research	10 Copies
006	2	Navy #100, Fleet Post Office	
006	3	New York, New York	
007	1	Commanding Officer, O N R Branch Office	
007	2	346 Broadway	
007	3	New York 13, New York	
008	1	Commanding Officer, O N R Branch Office	
008	2	495 Summer Street	
008	3	Boston 10, Massachusetts	
010	1	Bureau of Ships	
010	2	Department of the Navy	
010	3	Washington 25, D. C.	
010	4	Attn Code 607A NTDS	
011	1	Bureau of Naval Weapons	
011	2	Department of the Navy	
011	3	Washington 25, D. C.	
011	4	Attn RAAV Avionics Division	
012	1	Bureau of Naval Weapons	
012	2	Department of the Navy	
012	3	Washington 25, D. C.	
012	4	Attn RMWC Missile Weapons Control Div.	

Distribution List - 11/16/62

page 2

013	1	Bureau of Naval Weapons
013	2	Department of the Navy
013	3	Washington 25, D. C.
013	4	Attn RUDC ASW Detection & Control Div.
016	1	Bureau of Ships
016	2	Department of the Navy
016	3	Washington 25, D. C.
016	4	Attn Communications Branch Code 686
019	1	Naval Ordnance Laboratory
019	2	White Oaks
019	3	Silver Spring 19, Maryland
019	4	Attn Technical Library
020	1	David Taylor Model Basin
020	2	Washington 7, D. C.
020	3	Attn Technical Library
022	1	Naval Electronics Laboratory
022	2	San Diego 52, California
022	3	Attn Technical Library
024	1	University of Illinois
024	2	Control Systems Laboratory
024	3	Urbana, Illinois
024	4	Attn D. Alpert
027	1	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories
027	2	Laurence C. Hanscom Field
027	3	Bedford, Massachusetts
027	4	Attn Research Library, CRX2-R
028	1	Technical Information Officer
028	2	US Army Signal Research & Dev. Lab.
028	3	Fort Monmouth, New Jersey
028	4	Attn Data Equipment Branch
031	1	National Security Agency
031	2	Port George G. Meade, Maryland
031	3	Attn R-4, Howard Campaigne
032	1	U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory
032	2	Dahlgren, Virginia
032	3	Attn Head, Computation Div., G. H. Gleissner
033	1	National Bureau of Standards
033	2	Data Processing Systems Division
033	3	Room 239, Bldg. 10
033	4	Attn A. K. Smilow
033	5	Washington 25, D. C.
034	1	Aberdeen Proving Ground, BRL
034	2	Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland
034	3	Attn J. H. Giese, Chief Computation Lab.

Distribution List - 11/16/62

page 3

045	1	Office of Naval Research
045	2	Resident Representative
045	3	Purdue University
045	4	233 Executive Building
045	5	West Lafayette, Indiana
053	1	Commanding Officer
053	2	O N R, Branch Office
053	3	John Crerar Library Bldg.
053	4	86 East Randolph Street
053	5	Chicago 1, Illinois
054	1	Commanding Officer
054	2	O N R Branch Office
054	3	1030 E. Green Street
054	4	Pasadena, California
055	1	Commanding Officer
055	2	O N R Branch Office
055	3	1000 Geary Street
055	4	San Francisco 9, California
057	1	National Bureau of Standards
057	2	Washington 25, D. C.
057	3	Attn Mr. R. D. Elbourn
060	1	Dynamic Analysis and Control Laboratory
060	2	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
060	3	Cambridge, Massachusetts
060	4	Attn D. W. Baumann
061	1	Syracuse University
061	2	Electrical Eng. Dept.
061	3	Syracuse 10, New York
061	4	Attn Dr. Stanford Goldman
071	1	Communications Sciences Lab
071	2	University of Michigan
071	3	180 Friese Building
071	4	Ann Arbor, Michigan
071	5	Attn Gordon E. Peterson
081	1	Stanford University
081	2	Stanford, California
081	3	Attn Electronics Lab., Prof. John G. Linvill
082	1	Stanford University
082	2	Stanford, California
082	3	Attn Electronic Lab., Prof. Gene Franklin
084	1	University of Illinois
084	2	Urbana, Illinois
084	3	Attn Electrical Engrg. Dept.,
084	4	Prof. H. Von Foerster

Distribution List - 11/16/62

page 4

085	1	Univ. of California
085	2	Institute of Eng. Research
085	3	Berkeley 4, California
085	4	Attn Prof. A. J. Thomasian
091	1	Univ. of California - LA
091	2	Los Angeles 24, California
091	3	Attn Dept. of Engineering, Prof. Gerald Estrin
101	1	Naval Research Laboratory
101	2	Washington 25, D. C.
101	3	Attn Security Systems
101	4	Code 5266, Mr. G. Abraham
104	1	Zator Company
104	2	140 1/2 Mt. Auburn
104	3	Cambridge 38, Massachusetts
104	4	Attn R. J. Solomonoff
106	1	NASA
106	2	Goddard Space Flight Center
106	3	Washington 25, D. C.
106	4	Attn Arthur Shapiro
118	1	National Physical Laboratory
118	2	Teddington, Middlesex
118	3	England
118	4	Attn Dr. A. M. Uttley, Superintendent,
118	5	Autonomics Division
133	1	Diamond Ordnance Fuse Laboratory
133	2	Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness St.
133	3	Washington 25, D. C.
133	4	ORDTL-012, E. W. Channel
139	1	Harvard University
139	2	Cambridge, Massachusetts
139	3	Attn School of Applied Science,
139	4	Dean Harvey Brook
146	1	Wright Air Development Division
146	2	Electronic Technology Laboratory
146	3	Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio
146	4	Attn Lt. Col. L. M. Butsch, Jr.
146	5	ASRUEB
148	1	Laboratory for Electronics, Inc.
148	2	1079 Commonwealth Ave.
148	3	Boston 15, Massachusetts
148	4	Attn Dr. H. Fuller
149	1	Stanford Research Institute
149	2	Computer Laboratory
149	3	Menlo Park, California
149	4	Attn H. D. Crane

Distribution List - 11/16/62

page 5

151	1	General Electric Co.
151	2	Schenectady 5, N. Y.
151	3	Attn Library, L. M. E. Dept., Bldg. 28-501
152	1	The Rand Corp.
152	2	1700 Main St.
152	3	Santa Monica, California
152	4	Attn Numerical Analysis Dept.
152	5	Willis H. Ware
162	1	Carnegie Institute of Technology
162	2	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
162	3	Attn Director, Computation Cntr.,
162	4	Alan J. Perlis
163	1	Rome Air Development Center, RCOR
163	2	DCS/Operations, USAF
163	3	Griffiss Air Force Base, New York
163	4	Attn Irving J. Gabelman
165	1	Air Force Office of Scientific Research
165	2	Directorate of Information Sciences
165	3	Washington 25, D. C.
165	4	Attn Dr. Harold Wooster
175	1	Stanford Research Institute
175	2	Menlo Park, California
175	3	Attn Dr. Charles Rosen
175	4	Applied Physics Laboratory
197	1	L. G. Hanscom Field /AF-CRL-CRRB/
197	2	Bedford, Mass.
197	3	Attn Dr. H. H. Zachirnt
202	1	Department of the Army
202	2	Office of the Chief of Research & Development
202	3	Pentagon, Room 3D442
202	4	Washington 25, D. C.
202	5	Attn Mr. L. H. Geiger
203	1	Bell Telephone Laboratories
203	2	Murray Hill Laboratory
203	3	Murray Hill, New Jersey
203	4	Attn Dr. Edward F. Moore
210	1	Carnegie Institute of Technology
210	2	Systems and Communication Sciences
210	3	Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania
210	4	Attn Dr. Allen Newell
271	1	Department of Commerce
271	2	U. S. Patent Office
271	3	Washington 25, D. C.
271	4	Attn Mr. Herbert R. Koller

Distribution List - 11/16/62
page 6

275	1	Northwestern University
275	2	Computer Sciences Lab.
275	3	The Technological Inst.
275	4	Evanston, Illinois
275	5	Attn Dr. Julius T. Tou
295	1	University of Pennsylvania
295	2	Moore School of Electrical Engineering
295	3	200 South 33rd Street
295	4	Philadelphia 4, Pennsylvania
295	5	Attn Miss Anna Louise Campion
314	1	University of Pennsylvania
314	2	Mechanical Languages Projects
314	3	Moore School of Electrical Engineering
314	4	Philadelphia 4, Pennsylvania
314	5	Attn Dr. Saul Gorn, Director
331	1	Applied Physics Laboratory
331	2	Johns Hopkins University
331	3	8621 Georgia Avenue
331	4	Silver Spring, Maryland
331	5	Attn Document Library
333	1	Bureau of Supplies and Accounts, Chief
333	2	Navy Department
333	3	Washington, D. C.
333	4	Attn Code W3
339	1	U. S. Naval Avionics Facility
339	2	Indianapolis 18, Indiana
339	3	Attn Librarian, Code 031. 2
344	1	National Aeronautics & Space Administration
344	2	Goddard Space Flight Center
344	3	Greenbelt, Maryland
344	4	Attn Chief, Data Systems Div., C. V. L. Smith
351	1	Federal Aviation Agency
351	2	Bureau of Research and Development
351	3	Washington 25, D. C.
351	4	Attn/ RD-375 /Mr. Harry Hayman
361	1	Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc.
361	2	PO Box 235
361	3	Buffalo 21, New York
361	4	Attn/Systems Requirements Dept/AE Murray/
363	1	Institute for Space Studies
363	2	475 Riverside Drive
363	3	New York 27, New York
363	4	Attn Mr. Albert Arking

Distribution List - 11/16/62
page 7

371	1	Stanford University
371	2	Electronics Laboratory
371	3	Stanford, California
371	4	Attn Prof. N. M. Abramson
373	1	Lincoln Laboratory
373	2	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
373	3	Lexington 73, Massachusetts
373	4	Attn Library
411	1	Commanding Officer
411	2	U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
411	3	Monterey, California
411	4	Attn Dr. Richard Dorf
422	1	Waddell Dynamics, Incorporated
422	2	5770 Soledad Road
422	3	La Jolla, California
422	4	Attn B. L. Waddell, President
430	1	Electronics Research Laboratory
430	2	University of California
430	3	Berkeley 4, California
430	4	Attn/ Director
432	1	Mr. Gordon Stanley
432	2	7685 South Sheridan Ct.
432	3	Littleton, Colorado
432	4	/Martin/Denver/
536	1	Institute for Defense Analysis
536	2	Communications Research Division
536	3	Von Neumann Hall
536	4	Princeton, New Jersey