

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 296 314

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

63-2-4

PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE
POINT MUGU, CALIFORNIA

Technical Memorandum No. PMR-TM-60-11


A STUDY OF NONMILITARY SHIPPING
IN THE PACIFIC OCEAN AREA

By
E. Neuron and L. A. Leake

17 March 1961

Approved by:

H. GUMBEL
Head, Operations Research Group



296 314

296 314
ANALOGS BY ASTIA
AS AD NO. _____

\$ 8.60 (95p)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his gratitude to the many people who assisted him by providing information and advice during the course of this study. In particular he wishes to thank Mrs. Gladys L. Dupuy of the Operations Research Group, Pacific Missile Range, and Mr. Jack K. Prince, Geographer, Technical Library, Pacific Missile Range, for their valuable assistance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	3
DEFINITIONS	4
Lane Traffic	4
Local Traffic	5
Fishing Traffic	5
Military Traffic	6
DATA SOURCES	6
Lane Traffic	6
Liner Traffic	7
Tramp Traffic	7
Local Traffic	7
Fishing Traffic	7
LOCATION OF SHIPPING	8
Shipping Lanes	8
Local Traffic Areas	9
Fishing Areas	9
DENSITY OF SHIP TRAFFIC	29
Lane Traffic	29
Liner Traffic	30
Total Lane Traffic	30
Local Traffic	60
Fishing Traffic	60
CHARACTERISTICS OF VESSELS	61
Lane Traffic	64
Vessel Size	64
Vessel Speed	65
Number of People Onboard	65
Nationality (Flag)	67
Local Traffic	69
Vessel Size	69
Vessel Speed	69
Number of People Onboard	69
Nationality (Flag)	70
Fishing Traffic	70
Vessel Size	70
Vessel Speed	71

	Page
Number of People Onboard	71
Nationality (Flag)	71
DISCUSSION	71
REFERENCES	74
BIBLIOGRAPHY	78
APPENDIXES	
Appendix A. Shipping Companies	83
Appendix B. Estimation of Combined Liner and Tramp Traffic Distribution in Pacific Ocean Area by Gross Tonnage	89
TABLES	
Table 1. Location of Ocean Station Vessels in Pacific Ocean Area	7
Table 2. High-Sea Distances Between Ports	13
Table 3. Liner Traffic by Port-of-Call	31
Table 4. Lane Traffic by Port-of-Call	43
Table 5. Comparison of Total Shipping Traffic to Liner Traffic	58
Table 6. Characteristics of Principal Types of U.S. Vessels	64
Table 7. Estimate of Nationality of Vessels in Pacific Ocean Area	68
Table 8. Characteristics of U.S. Commercial Fishing Vessels	70
CHARTS	
Chart 1. Shipping Lanes in Pacific Ocean Area	9
Chart 2. Local Traffic: Number of Vessels Reported in Each Local Area	25
Chart 3. Fishing Traffic: Number of Vessels Estimated in Each Fishing Area	27
Chart 4. Lane Traffic; 100 Nautical Miles or More Off- shore, in Ship Trips Per Year.	55
ILLUSTRATIONS	
Figure 1. Gross Weight of Ships Versus Block Surface Area	63
Figure 2. Speed of Ships in Lane Traffic Versus Block Sur- face Area	66
Figure 3. Estimate of Passenger Capacity Versus Ratio of Gross Weight to Dead Weight of Ships, by Nation	67

SUMMARY

The geographical interests of the Pacific Missile Range cover the entire Pacific Ocean Area and part of the Indian Ocean (to longitude 90° E). Before the interests of the Pacific Missile Range in the Indian Ocean were specifically defined, a study was initiated to determine the density and characteristics of all nonmilitary shipping in the Pacific Ocean. This report presents the results of this study.

Numerous sources of data on Pacific shipping were thoroughly searched in this study, including government publications from many nations, books and periodicals, and information procured directly from shipping companies. This vast quantity of available data was sifted to obtain information on the location and density of Pacific shipping and on the size, speed, number of people onboard, and nationality of the vessels employed in various areas for various uses. The nonmilitary shipping was divided into three categories of traffic, (1) that which travels on sea lanes or trade routes, (2) that which operates in coastal or other "local" zones, and (3) that which engages in pelagic fishing (away from coastal or local zones).

The primary results of the study are summarized in three charts (charts 2, 3, and 4) which show the density of lane, local, and fishing traffic throughout the Pacific Ocean. These results show that the greatest shipping densities were concentrated primarily near focal points of Pacific trade, in the South and East China Seas, and on the routes between Yokohama and San Francisco, Honolulu and the California coast, and from the Panama Canal north along the west coast of Central and North America.

INTRODUCTION

The operation of the Pacific Missile Range requires a great amount of information about conditions and activities throughout the Pacific Ocean Area. * This report is intended to provide a portion of this information in the form of estimates of the quantity and nature of nonmilitary shipping in this area. The study upon which this report is based concerned the actual number of ships or ship trips per year as well as selected characteristics of the ships for various portions of the Pacific Ocean Area, as opposed to studies published for other purposes, which concentrate on total tonnage of shipping and monetary values of trade or movement of people.

The references and bibliography suggest the extent to which the search for Pacific shipping data was pursued. Information was obtained for as many foreign countries as was possible; however, it should be noted that the data sources were almost exclusively from the non-communist community of nations. The few items of information available on shipping by communist countries were usually of such form that they were of little, if any, use.

Two sources of Pacific shipping data which were not used, but which were consulted for comparative purposes, were the Navy's Fleet Operational Control Centers at San Francisco and Honolulu, Hawaii. These centers maintain, for all of the Pacific Ocean, underway position information on those commercial vessels for which reports are submitted. If reports were submitted on all vessels, there would be no need for writing the present report; however, all vessels are not reported into the Operational Control Centers. Discussions with personnel at the Center in San Francisco did not reveal any estimates of the number that fail to be reported. Therefore, this report should provide a more exhaustive indication of total Pacific shipping than would data from the Operational Control Centers.

In this study, the boundaries of the Pacific Ocean Area are defined more rigorously than is usually done. The Pacific Ocean Area is usually considered to be bounded on the north by the Arctic Ocean at latitude 66°30' N, on the south by the Antarctic Ocean at latitude 66°30' S, on the east by the continent of the Americas, and on the west by the continent of Asia. Areas of uncertainty exist along the southwest and the south-southeast edge of the area. Therefore, the southwest boundary of the Pacific Ocean Area is defined for this study as rhumb lines from Singapore to Djambi (Sumatra), Djambi to Djakarta (Java), Djakarta to Darwin (Australia), Darwin to Hobart (Tasmania), Hobart to Bluff (New Zealand), and then the meridian of Bluff, 168°19' E, from Bluff to latitude 66°30' S. The south-southeast boundary of the area is defined for this study as the meridian of Cape Horn, 67°16' W, from the Cape to latitude 66°30' S.

*A part of the Indian Ocean (to longitude 90° E) is also of interest to the Pacific Missile Range. However, this study was initiated before interests in the Indian Ocean were specifically defined; therefore, this area is not covered in this report.

As a result of World War II, a new shipping pattern is emerging in the Pacific Ocean Area, particularly in the Pacific Island groups. In this report, the situation for the years 1959 and 1960 is estimated, but no attempt is made to provide a forecast of future developments.

DEFINITIONS

When Alfred Thayer Mahan propounded the first consistent theory of sea power, he wrote the following in "The Influence of Sea Power Upon History, 1660-1783," published in Boston in 1890.

The first and most obvious light in which the sea presents itself from the political and social point of view is that of a great highway; or better, perhaps, of a wide common, over which men may pass in all directions, but on which some well worn paths show that controlling reasons have led them to choose certain lines of travel rather than others. These lines of travel are called trade routes (shipping lanes in this Pacific Ocean Area study); and the reasons which have determined them are to be sought in the history of the world.

Mahan emphasizes that the sea transport of goods in the past has been faster and cheaper than land transport. In many parts of the world this still holds true. Since Mahan's time, air transport has become competitive with sea and land transport, at least as far as the transportation of people is concerned. However, sea transport at this time seems to remain the most efficient method of carrying bulk cargo.

Mahan's concern with fishing seems to be less positive, except as a source of sea-wise recruits for a nation's naval forces. However, with the world's constantly expanding population, the world-wide importance of fishing is continuously increasing, and one of the nations whose existence is most affected by this harvesting of the sea, Japan, is located in the Pacific Ocean Area.

For purposes of data gathering and presentation, the total shipping traffic has been subdivided into lane traffic, local traffic, and fishing traffic.

Lane Traffic

Lane traffic is characterized by ship travel between ports over fairly well defined sea lanes and consists of the following types of traffic:

1. Liner traffic, which is characterized by regularity of departure and arrival and repeated travel over the same routes to the same ports-of-call.
2. Tramp traffic, which is characterized by opportunistic ship movement as cargo presents itself.

The liner traffic degenerates by imperceptible degrees to tramp traffic. Since the dividing line between liner and tramp traffic is not sharp, the listing of ship trips in schedules such as reference 1 or similar publications has been accepted in this study as a general indication that the ship is a liner.

Local Traffic

Local traffic is characterized by ship travel confined to the immediate neighborhood of the country or port of registry or travel by ships chartered to operate in waters under the control of a single nation. For the purpose of this study, local traffic consists of vessels which travel exclusively within an island group or confine themselves to areas within 50 nautical miles of a coast.

Local traffic includes traffic in the coastal trade, pleasure and fishing craft which hug the shore, and a special class of shipping called "ocean station vessels," which are floating weather observation stations.

Coastal trade is rather difficult to define. In reference 2, for instance, the interpretation of the use of this term in statistical tables of the reference is gone into at considerable length. The main difficulty arises from the fact that ocean-going vessels arriving at a continent from distant shores may thereafter operate in a form of coastal trade along the shore of that continent. For example, a ship coming from Europe and transiting the Panama Canal may well unload portions of its European cargo in several ports along the South American Shore. In each port-of-call it may also load local products for transportation either to other ports on the South American Continent or for transportation to Europe. Hence, in a way, this vessel is engaged in coastal trade. The statistical rules of reference 2 treat the coastal portion of such a vessel's journey as engagement in coastal trade. Nevertheless, where vessels were found to be scheduled in this manner, they were not considered active in coastal trade for the purposes of this study. Traffic from the U. S. Atlantic Coast to the U. S. Pacific Coast is called coastwise shipping in U. S. mercantile statistics. In this study, traffic of this type is not distinguished from any other traffic transiting the Panama Canal; only ships in continuous coastwise trade have been classified as local traffic.

Fishing Traffic

Fishing traffic is characterized by ships engaged in pelagic fishing (in the general sense rather than the specific biological sense) at distances greater than 50 nautical miles off the coast of the country of registry.

Military Traffic

Military traffic is characterized as consisting of the movement of fighting ships and the direct or indirect movement of ships engaged in logistic support of military units. This type of shipping is not considered in this study.

DATA SOURCES

All publications examined for use as data sources in this study are listed in the References and Bibliography sections. Data sources are usually related to the type of ship traffic considered.

Lane Traffic

Liner Traffic

References 1 and 3 through 6 provide the names of 129 shipping companies operating scheduled traffic in the Pacific Ocean Area. An additional operating company was found as the result of an inquiry about the schedule of a different company. All of these 130 companies are listed in appendix A. In numerical order, the five references provide approximately 62, 18, 12, 6, and 1 per cent of the shipping company names. The references also provide adequate schedules for 97 of the 130 shipping companies. Of the remaining 33 companies, 5 could not be located in the available registry books and their addresses were not available; 4 companies, 2 in South America, 1 in New Zealand, and 1 in Australia, were considered to operate under the classification of local traffic and therefore were not considered as liner traffic. Letters requesting information on the traffic scheduled for the Pacific Ocean Area were sent to the remainder of the companies. Answers were received from all but four companies. Therefore, schedule data were finally available from 117 companies. All of these data were used in this study.

Size, speed, crew and passenger capacity, and nationality (flag) were the ship characteristics of interest in this study. To determine some of these characteristics, references 7 and 8 were searched to find which ships were owned by a sample of 53 of the 130 listed companies. Of the 53 companies, 19 were not listed in references 7 and 8. On the other hand, some of the listed companies were operators of vessels belonging to other listed or unlisted companies.

Passenger capacity was established partially from references 3 and 9.

Since most of the U.S. shipping companies take advantage of the government subsidies available, their ships must conform to standards and designs of the U.S. Maritime Administration. Details concerning these vessels were obtained from reference 9.

Tramp Traffic

As Steward R. Bross explains in his book "Ocean Shipping" (reference 10), all present-day shipping has its origins in the tramp service, and this service still comprises the greater part of the world's total merchant shipping. Unfortunately, information on tramp shipping appears to be only in the form of travel stories or descriptions of the pitfalls and difficulties of tramp vessel operation. Nothing useful for this study could be found in any of the libraries consulted. The only recourse left was to estimate the amount of tramp traffic in the Pacific Ocean Area by an indirect method, as described in later sections of this report.

Local Traffic

Data on local traffic of the "non-fishing" variety were obtained from references 4 through 6 and 11 through 16. Data on the number of local mercantile and fishing vessels for various locations were obtained from references 17 through 21, and the sizes of some local fishing fleets were estimated from references 2 and 22 through 30. The positions of the ocean station vessels located in the Pacific Ocean Area (table 1) were obtained from reference 31; characteristics of these vessels before conversion to their present use are listed in reference 32.

**Table 1. Location of Ocean Station Vessels
in Pacific Ocean Area**

Vessel Designation	Position		Nationality
	Latitude	Longitude	
N (nectar)	30° N	140° W	U. S. A
P (papa)	50° N	145° W	Canada
T (tango)	29° N	135° E	Japan
V (victor)	34° N	164° E	U. S. A

Note: Compiled from H.O. publication No. 206 and a
National Geographic Magazine Pacific Ocean Map.

Fishing Traffic

Fishing traffic information, except for size and value of yearly catch, is difficult to find. Data on fishing areas were obtained from references 33 through 42. Other data on fishing traffic were obtained from references 23 through 25 and 33 through 45.

LOCATION OF SHIPPING

Shipping Lanes

The locations of the shipping lanes ascertained in this study are shown in chart 1. The existence of a shipping lane between any two ports was determined by studying all available data on liner traffic. Because of the lack of information, tramp traffic was assumed to use only the shipping lanes already established by investigation of the liner data. However, there is every reason to suspect that additional ports-of-call and shipping lanes would have been established if information on tramp traffic could have been obtained.

This study established 367 shipping lanes for liner traffic and 113 ports-of-call. Some of these shipping lanes run concurrently with others for great distances.


Of the 113 ports-of-call, 8 lie on the periphery of the Pacific Ocean Area and are designated as exit and entry ports because these are the only points at which vessels enter or leave the area under study. The exit and entry ports are as follows:


Eastern boundary:	Panama (Balboa) Punta Arenas
Western boundary:	Singapore Djakarta (formerly called Batavia) Port Darwin Melbourne Hobart Bluff


No regular shipping lane penetrated the Antarctic Seas. A regular seasonal shipping lane through the Bering Strait is used by Russian vessels traveling between Siberia and the Russian Far East possessions. This shipping lane was not included in this study because its location and the number of ships traveling on it was incompletely known.

The maritime custom and law of "the shortest and fastest course" was assumed to prevail for shipping lane traffic in general, because of the absence of a track agreement for the Pacific Ocean Area. * In addition, the geographical

*For the North Atlantic Area, a U. S. Government statute requires U. S. operated vessels to follow three specific lane routes eastward of the 70th meridian. At least 10 operating companies adhere to the so-called North Atlantic Track Agreement; but many ships are still free to follow their own notion of "shortest and fastest course to proceed to their destination" as required by present maritime custom and law. In the Stockholm-Andrea Doria disaster, neither operating company was a signatory of the track agreement, and considerable discussion was caused by the fact that the Stockholm was several miles north of the east-bound standard track (references 46 and 47).







PACIFIC OCEAN

Compiled from the latest information to 1938

Rocks and dangers below sea level have been omitted from this chart. For such details see charts of larger scale.


For Symbols and Abbreviations, see H. O. Chart No. 1.


MILICATOR PROJECTION
Scale 1:21,912,000 at Lat. 0°


NOTE

The boundaries shown upon this chart are approximate only and should not be regarded as having official significance.

3







locations of the shipping lanes were selected to correspond with the recommendations of references 48 and 49 or, where these references fail to provide data, were estimated from references 50 and 51. Distances between ports, obtained from references 52 and 53, are tabulated in table 2. In most cases, the direction of travel between ports is of no importance because a single preferred shipping lane exists between two ports. However, in 11 cases, dual lanes are specified, the prime example being the lanes between Yokohama and the ports of Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia, for which a more northerly lane is preferred for eastward travel and a more southerly lane for westward travel. While references 48 through 53 help to establish the center lines of shipping lanes, few if any ships travel consistently upon these center lines. The question arises as to how far ships normally deviate from the center lines. It has been estimated that in favorable conditions a ship should be able to stay within 5 nautical miles of the center line of the shipping lane. However, conditions are not always favorable; as a matter of fact, on long voyages almost invariably a portion of the trip will occur in less favorable weather conditions. Since it can be assumed that a Captain will try to take advantage of any aid wind and waves will provide, or at least will want to minimize the adverse effect of these forces, he will pursue a path as close to the shortest track available, conformant to the weather conditions he meets on the way. The amount of perpendicular deviation of a ship from the center line of a shipping lane will of course depend on the total length of the shipping lane. It is estimated that, except in disaster conditions, the maximum economically permissible deviation from the center of the shipping lane is one-twentieth of the length of the lane.

Local Traffic Areas

The local traffic areas determined in this study are presented on chart 2. Local traffic areas were located whenever data on local shipping could be obtained; i. e., when descriptions or statistics of a country, province, or island group provided the number of vessels permanently stationed along its coasts. If such data could be found, then, for a continental shore line, a strip of sea 50 nautical miles wide and extending along the shore of the country from national boundary to national boundary was indicated as the local traffic area. For an isolated island or group of islands, the 50-mile strip is continuous. Local traffic areas for Trust Territories containing more than one island group, such as the U.S. Trust Territory of Pacific Islands, were considered to extend to the borders of the Trust Territory.

The nominal position of each ocean station vessel (table 1) is the center of a 10-nautical-mile square in which the ship normally operates.

Fishing Areas

The locations of fishing areas determined in this study (extracted from references 33 through 42 and 45) are indicated on chart 3.

Table 2. High-Sea Distances Between Ports

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	ACAPULCO	ALEXSHAFEN	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ARICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BORA-BORA	BRISBANE	BUENAVENTURA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHANARAL	CHILUNG (KEELUNG)	CHIMBOTE	COOS BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DJAKARTA	EASTER ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO	GLACIER BAY	GUAM	GUATEMALA	GUAYAQUIL
ACAPULCO																															574	
ALEXSHAFEN																																
AMAPALA																										19						
ANTOFAGASTA						325									813	173																
APIA																																
ARICA																																
AUCKLAND																																
AVARUA																																
BALI																																
BLUFF																																
BORA-BORA																																
BRISBANE																																
BUENAVENTURA																																
CAIRNS																																
CALLAO																																
CHANARAL																																
CHILUNG (KEELUNG)																																
CHIMBOTE																																
COOS BAY																																
DA NANG (TOURANE)																																
DARVEL BAY																																
DILI																																
DJAKARTA																																
EASTER ISLAND																																
EL SALVADOR																																
ENSENADA																																
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS																																
GOLFITO																																
GLACIER BAY																																
GUAM																																
GUATEMALA																																
GUAYAQUIL																																
GUAYMAS																																
HOBART																																
HOLLANDIA																																
HONG KONG																																
HONOLULU																																
ILOILO; CEBU																																
INCHON																																
JERSEY																																
JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.																																
JUNEAU																																
KAOBUNG (TAKAO)																																
KAYLUNG																																
KETCHIKAN																																
KITMAT																																
KOBE																																
KRUNG THEP (BANGKOK)																																
KWAIJALEN																																
LARUAN																																
LAE																																
LOMBURUM																																
LOS ANGELES																																
MADANG																																

'AL

2

2

Table 2 (Part 2).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	BONOLULU	ILOILO, CEBU	INCORON	JERSEYTON	JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.	JUNEAU	KAOHUUNG (TAHAU)	KAVIENG	KETCHIKAN	KITMAT	KOBE	KUONG TRIP (BANGKOK)	KWAIJALEN	LABUAN	LAE	LOMBURU	LORENGAU	LOS ANGELES	MADANG	MAKASAR	MANILA	MANZARILLO	MATATLAN	MELBOURNE	MIRI	MOLLENDU	NAURU	NICARAGUA	NORFOLK IS.	NOUMEA
ACAPULCO	3200																	1001												
ALEXISAPEN																			7											
AMAPALA																														
ANTOFAGASTA																														
APIA																														
ARICA																									137					
AUCKLAND	3020																							1040						
AVARUA																														
BALI																					201530									
BLUFF																														
BORA-BORA																														
BRISBANE														1300			0370			0019							001			191
BUENAVENTURA																		2010												
CAIRNS																					0720									
CALLAO				1200																						400				
CEAMARAL																														
CHILUNG (KEELUNG)		700				220					030	2000									771									
CHIMOTE																														
COOS BAY																														
DA NANG (TOURANE)																														
DARVEL BAY																														
DFU																														
DIKARTIA		0070																			1500									
EASTER ISLAND																														
EL SALVADOR																						1007								
ERENRADA																														
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS																			001											
GOLFITO																		2040												
GLACIER BAY									200																					
GUAM	2010	0007																			1000									
GUATEMALA																		2000												
GUAYAGUIL																		2010												
GUAYMAS																		1100						300						
HOBBART																								073						
HOLLANDIA																														
HONG KONG	000		0000			020			1070	1300	2000						0300			031										
HONOLULU																														
ILOILO, CEBU											0000							2200			0707									
INCORON											700																			
JERSEYTON																														
JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.																														
JUNEAU																														
KAOHUUNG (TAHAU)																														
KAVIENG																200			200											
KETCHIKAN																														
KITMAT																														
KOBE																														
KUONG TRIP (BANGKOK)																														
KWAIJALEN																														
LABUAN																														
LAE																														
LOMBURU																														
LORENGAU																														
LOS ANGELES	2200																													
MADANG																														

1

Table 2 (Part 2).[illegible]

(Continued on next page).

2

Table 2 (Part 3).[illegible]

Table 2 (Part 4).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	ACAPULCO	ALBUQUERQUE	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ATICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BOJA-BORA	BREKANE	BURAYESTUA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHAMAL	CHILING (REELUNG)	CHIMUTE	COCHIN BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DIARAKTA	EASTEN ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO
MAKASAR									280																			
MANILA											2300						771						1559					
MANZANILLO																												
MAZATLAN																												
MELBOURNE							1000				2004																	
MIRI																												
MOLLENDU						137									400													
NAURU											1001																	
NICARAGUA			72																						72			
NORFOLK IS.																												
NOUMEA																												
OCEAN IS.											2020																	
OKINAWA																	200											
PAGO PAGO																												
PAITA																		200										
PANAMA CANAL	1426						2516				2007	2002	1200												748	2772		
PAPEETE							2516				141																	
PORT ALBERT																												
PORTLAND							2072												207									
PORT MORESBY																												
PUERTO MONTT																												
PUNTA ARENAS																												
PUNTARENAS			230										201															
PUSAN																												
RABAU											2430																	
RAROTONGA							2420																					
SAGOH																				504								
SALAVERRY															200													
SAMARAI											2071																	
SAN ANTONIO				620																								
SANDAKAN																												
SAN DIEGO																										49		
SAN FRANCISCO	1832						2000										2000											
SEATTLE																												
SHANGHAI																												
SINGAPORE											2470												1522	525				
SKAGWAY																												11
SURABAJA							1200		100															450				
SUYA					247		1100																					
SYDNEY							1200				530													2029				
TALARA																												
TALCAHUANO																												
TARAKAN													2200															
TAWAU																												
TIENTSIN																												
TONGA ISLANDS							2000																					
TOWNSVILLE																									2594			
TUNGTAO																												
UCLUELET																												
VAL PARAISSO				270		202									1200										1992			
VANCOUVER											2047								200								1113	
VILA																												
WAKE																												
WELLINGTON							262			446					2721													
WEXAK		151																										
YOKOHAMA																												

[illegible]

2

Table 2 (Part 5).[illegible]

1


Table 2 (Part 5).[illegible]

(Continued on next page).


2


Table 2 (Part 6).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	PORTLAND	PORT MORESBY	PUERTO MORETT	PUNTA ARENAS	PUNTARENAS	PUSAN	RABUL	RAROTONGA	SAGOON	SALAMERAY	SAMARAI	SAN ANTONIO	SANDAKAN	SAN DIEGO	SAN FRANCISCO	SEATTLE	SHANGHAI	SINGAPORE	SEAOWAY	SURABAJA	SUYA	SYDNEY	TALARA	TALCAHUANO	TARAKAN	TAWAU	TIENKIN	TONGA ISLANDS
MAKABAR																												
MANILA								907							3231	5636	1320		1540		3068							
MANZANILLO																												
MAZATLAN																												
MELBOURNE																						592						
MIRI																		637										
MOLLEND																												
NAURU																						2380						
NICARAGUA					389																							
NORFOLK IS.																												
NOUMEA																						730	1000					
OCEAN IS.																						9453						
OKINAWA						420																						
PAGO PAGO																					600	2377						
PATA																							37					
PANAMA CANAL	9700			471										2043	3345							7074	625					
PAPETE																												
PORT ALBERT																												
PORTLAND	X														848	120												
PORT MORESBY		X										230																
PUERTO MORETT			X	205																								
PUNTA ARENAS					X																							
PUNTARENAS						X																						
PUSAN							X																					
RABUL								X																				
RAROTONGA									X																			
SAGOON										X					3070			649										
SALAMERAY											X																	
SAMARAI							201					X										1000						
SAN ANTONIO													X											170				
SANDAKAN														X										200	233			
SAN DIEGO	1071														X	452												
SAN FRANCISCO	510							3070							452	571												
SEATTLE	120														571	X												
SHANGHAI																	X											
SINGAPORE								600										X										
SEAOWAY																			X									
SURABAJA																				X								
SUYA																					X							
SYDNEY																						X						
TALARA																							X					
TALCAHUANO				1225																				X				
TARAKAN																									X			
TAWAU																										X		
TIENKIN																											X	
TONGA ISLANDS																											X	
TOWNVILLE																											X	
YANGTIAO																											X	
UCLUKLET																											X	
VALPARAISO				623								63															X	
VANCOUVER	200														812	120											X	
VILA																											X	
WAKE																											X	
WELLINGTON																											X	
WUWAK																											X	
YOKOHAMA	2209														4490	4071		2048				4200					X	

Table 2 (Part 6).

2





PACIFIC

Compiled from U.S. Navy Hydrographic Survey


Rocks and shoals are shown in black


For Symbols and Abbreviations see page 1


The boundaries shown are not to be used for navigation

UNIT

2















Chart 2. Local Traffic; Number of Vessels Reported in Each Local Area.














Chart 3. Fishing Traffic; Number of Vessels Estimated in Each Fishing Area.

The pelagic fishing fleets which have been considered as operating in the various fishing areas of the Pacific Ocean are composed exclusively of Japanese, U.S., or U.K. vessels. There is good reason to believe that the Russian fishing fleet operates in the Pacific Ocean Area (see reference 44), but unfortunately, neither the size of that fishing fleet nor its areas of operation are known.

Information on areas in which the pelagic fishing operations are conducted is not easily obtained. Probably one of the reasons for this is the shifting of fishing areas from year to year and season to season. Indications of this type of shift appear to be present in references 33 through 42. The location of a fishing area is therefore considerably more tenuous than the lines on chart 3 suggest.

In general, tunny fishing is conducted in the fishing areas from approximately latitude 40° N to latitude 40° S. Crabbing is conducted along the east side of the Kurile Islands and in Bristol Bay, Alaska, and cod and halibut dragging is conducted on both sides of the Kurile Islands, east of Kamchatka, and south of the Aleutian Islands.

The U.S. tunny fleet operates east of longitude 170° W, and the New Zealand tunny fleet operates in the fishing areas of the southeast portion of the Pacific Ocean Area.

DENSITY OF SHIP TRAFFIC

The methods of counting vessels vary with the various classes of traffic and will be explained under the appropriate traffic headings. Seasonal variations were neglected in order to keep the study within manageable bounds, and hence, total yearly shipping was estimated where appropriate.

Lane Traffic

For lane traffic, it was decided to determine the number of ship trips per year along each traffic lane which could be located. This does not mean that each trip is made by a different ship. A ship trip is counted when a vessel clears a port for a direct run to another specified port. The recommended track for the voyage constitutes the center line of the shipping lane between those two ports (see "Location of Shipping" section). One difficulty arose because many ships continually enter and leave the Pacific Ocean Area on interocean voyages. Therefore, this area does not really constitute a closed system, although, for simplification, it was considered such in this study by assuming that the total number of ships entering the area per year at the eight ports of entry is equal to the number of ships departing the area per year at these eight ports.

Liner Traffic

Liner traffic counts are listed by port-of-call in table 3. The numbers in table 3 are not estimates; documentary evidence from the sources named in the "Data Sources" section can be cited for every ship counted. It is entirely possible that some unknown shipping companies conduct additional scheduled traffic on the same or other shipping lanes, but it is certain that the given numbers of ship trips were scheduled on the given shipping lanes in 1960. Hence, the table represents a lower bound of both liner and lane traffic in the Pacific Ocean Area.

Table 3 was generated by considering each of the 117 shipping companies for which schedules were available and the travels of its ships from port to port. The trips were listed on file cards, each card representing a shipping lane from a port of departure to a port of arrival. Each individual listing showed the number of yearly trips, followed by the code number of the shipping company in parentheses. When the data had been extracted from all schedules, the sum of all yearly trips on each file card was recorded and transferred to the appropriate position in the table. Subsequently, a short notation indicating the geographical location and character of the shipping lane (rhumb line or great circle course) was appended to the majority of the file cards.

Total Lane Traffic

The total lane traffic for the Pacific Ocean Area is presented in table 4. This table presents the total estimated number of ships traveling from and to all of the 113 Pacific ports included in this study. The number of ship trips per year on the lanes which are to seaward of a line about 100 nautical miles off the shores of the more continuous land masses is shown on chart 4.

It was not possible to show on chart 4 the trips per lane closer to shore. A look at chart 1 will reveal the excessive detail which would have been required if trips per lane had been carried up to the coastline. Consequently, ambiguities will appear in perusal of chart 4. If resolution is desired, reference to the port-to-port lane traffic reported in table 4 and the lane locations of chart 1 will be necessary. However, it must be noted that some lane segments will have traffic from and to numerous ports.

Estimation of the total lane traffic in the Pacific Ocean Area required the addition of tramp traffic to the established liner traffic. Unfortunately, there was no practical data gathering method which could be used to count this traffic separately. Such separate counting would have been vastly preferable, because there is every reason to suspect that other shipping lanes and ports-of-call would have been located, in addition to those already known from the liner traffic data. However, there is also every reason to believe that a majority of the tramp traffic would tend to use the same shipping lanes as those used by the established liner traffic, thereby essentially reinforcing the liner traffic for seasonal transport.

Table 3. Liner Traffic by Port-of-Call

1

Table 3. Liner Traffic by Port-of-Call[illegible]

(Continued on next page).



Table 3 (Part 2).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	ILOILO: CEBU	INCHON	JESSELTON	JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.	JUNEAU	KAORUNG (TAKAO)	KAVIENG	KETCHIKAN	KITIMAT	KOBE	KRUNG THEP (BANGKOK)	KWAJALEIN	LABUAN	LAE	LOMBURUM	LONGVIEW	LORENGAU	LOS ANGELES	MADANG	MAKASAR	MANILA	MANZANILLO	MAZATLAN	MELBOURNE	MIRI	MOLLENDU	NAURU	NICARAGUA
ACAPULCO																		20										
ALEXANDRIA																			8									
ANAPALU																												
ANTOFAGASTA																												
APIA																												
ARICA																										12		
AUCKLAND																		2							9			
AVARUA																												
BALI																				17		1						
BLUFF																												
BORA-BORA														17				8				10						36
BRISBANE																		14										
BUENAVENTURA																												
CAIRNS																						8						
CALLAO				1																							118	
CHAMARAL																												
CHILUNG (KEELUNG)		53				42				94	6											36						
CHIMBOTE																												
COOS BAY																												
DA NANG (TOURANE)																												
DARVEL BAY																												
DIU																												
DNAKARTA		12																				26						
EASTER ISLAND																												
EL SALVADOR																							14					
ENSENADA																		81										
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS																		28										
GOLFETTO																												
GLACIER BAY								91																				
GUAM		5																				16						
GUATEMALA																		33										
GUAYAQUIL																		7										
GUAYMAS																		8					29					
HOBART																								5				
HOLLANDIA																												
HONG KONG			12			38				247	73		2					12			202							
HONOLULU																		82				16						
ILOILO: CEBU	X									6												30						
INCHON		X								70																		
JESSELTON			X										12															
JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.				X																								
JUNEAU					X																							
KAORUNG (TAKAO)						X																						
KAVIENG							X																					
KETCHIKAN								91																				
KITIMAT									X																			
KOBE										12												45	5					14
KRUNG THEP (BANGKOK)		12									X											26						
KWAJALEIN												X																
LABUAN													17															
LAE														X														
LOMBURUM															X													
LONGVIEW																X												
LORENGAU																	X											
LOS ANGELES																		X				46						
MADANG																			X									
MAKASAR																				X								
MANILA																					X							
MANZANILLO																						X						
MAZATLAN																							X					
MELBOURNE																								X				
MIRI																									X			
MOLLENDU																										X		
NAURU																											X	
NICARAGUA																												X
TOTAL	26	58	12	1	91	92	0	91	0	417	96	0	14	34	8	0	0	304	17	17	463	14	29					

1

•



33

Table 3 (Part 3).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	PORT MOREBY	PUERTO MONTT	PUNTA ARENAS	PUNTARENAS	PUSAN	RABAU'L	RAROTONGA	SAGON	SALVERRY	SAMARAI	SAN ANTONIO	SANDAKAN	SAN DIEGO	SAN FRANCISCO	SEATTLE	SHANGHAI	SINGAPORE	SKAGWAY	SURABAJA	SYVA	SYDNEY	TALARA	TALCAHUANO	TARAKAN	TAWAU	TIENTSIN	TONGA ISLANDS	TOWNVILLE
ACAPULCO														7														
ALEXISHAFEN																												
AMAPALA				20																								
ANTOFAGARTA																												
APIA																												
ARICA																												
AUCKLAND														3			9		37	51								
AVARUA																											1	
BALI																			17									
BLUFF																												
BORA-BORA																												
BRISBANE	8									17							12				88			7				19
BUENAVENTURA				20																								
CAIRNS																												
CALLAO																												
CHANARAL																												
CHILUNG (KEELUNG)					78									26												52		
CHIMBOTE																												
COOS BAY														27														
DA NANG (TOURANE)								12																				
DARVEL BAY												17																
DIU																	209											
DEAKARTA														3			236		28									3
FASTER ISLAND																												
EL SALVADOR																												
ENSENADA													43	11														
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS																												
GOLFITO																												
GLACIER BAY																												
GUAM																												
GUATEMALA																												
QUAYAQUIL									47													26						
QUAYMAS																												
ROBART																												
HOLLANDIA																												
HONG KONG				12				37						40			254				14			14		12		
HONARA					12																							
HONOLULU													3	336	23					16								
ILOLO, CEBU								26									12											
INCHON				68																								
JERBELTON																												
JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.																												
JUNEAU																				91								
KAONHUNG (TAKAO)				16																								
KAVIENG																												
KETCHIKAN																												
KITIMAT																												
KORE				204													32	12									53	
KRUNG THEP (BANGKOK)								75									189		26									
KWAJALEIN																												
LABUAN													13															
LAE						8				17																		
LOMBURU																												
LONGVIEW																												
LORINGAU																												
LOS ANGELES					6		10						106	1110	6						9	10						
PADANG																												
SUBTOTAL	8	0	0	80	304	20	10	140	45	34	0	30	151	1542	35	20	932	91	71	53	175	30	0	22				

1

Table 3 (Part 3).[illegible]

(Continued on next page).



Table 3 (Part 4).

PORT OF DEPARTURE \ PORT OF ARRIVAL	PORT OF ARRIVAL																											
	ACAPULCO	ALEXSHAFEN	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ARICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BORA-BORA	BRISBANE	BUENAVENTURA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHAMARAL	CHILUNG (KEELUNG)	CHIMBOTE	COOS BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DIAKARTA	EAST ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO
MAKASAR									17																			
MANILA												10					15						24					
MANZANILLO																												
MAZATLAN																												
MELBOURNE							9					14																
MIRI																												
MOLLENDU						118									12													
NAURU												36																
NICARAGUA			45																						14			
NORFOLK IS.																												
NOUMEA																												
OCEAN ISLAND												12																
OKINAWA																	93											
PAGO PAGO																												
PAITA																		17										
PANAMA CANAL	19						21					8	197		25										12	93		
PAPEETE							15				1																	
PORT ALBERNI																												
PORTLAND							8												26									
PORT MORESBY																												
PUERTO MONTT																												
PUNTA ARENAS																												
PUNTARENAS			29										50															
PUSAN																												
RABAU'L												8																
RAHOTONGA							10																					
SAIGON																				12								
SALAVERRY															73													
SAMARAI												17																
SAN ANTONIO				52																								
SANDAKAN																												
SAN DIEGO																											30	
SAN FRANCISCO	1						2										6											
SEATTLE																												
SHANGHAI																												
SINGAPORE												17											208	205				
SKAGWAY																												
SURABAJA							12		17															66				
SUVA					7	7																						
SYDNEY							87					131												12				
TALARA																												
TALCAHUANO																												
TARAKAN												4																
TAWAI																					24							
TIENTSIN																												
TONGA ISLANDS							1																					
TOWNSVILLE												12												6				
TSINGTAO																												
UCIUFLET																												
VALPARAISO				29		46									90									2				
VANCOUVER												8							4							17		
VILA																												
WAKE																												
WELLINGTON							6			12					5													
WEWAK		8																										
YOKOHAMA																												
SUBTOTAL	20	8	76	81	7	184	176	0	36	12	1	277	247	0	206	0	114	17	30	12	24	208	313					
GRAND TOTAL	115	8	102	235	14	261	202	1	36	12	1	284	246	0	493	52	445	34	44	12	42	416	374					

1

Table 3 (Part 4).

VAL	ACAPULCO	ALEXANDRIA	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ARICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BORA-BORA	BRISBANE	BUENAVENTURA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHANGAI	CHILUNG (KEELUNG)	CHIMOTE	COOS BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DIAKARTA	EASTERN ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO	GLACIER BAY	GUAM	GUATEMALA	GUAYAGUIL	GUAYMAS	HOBART	HOLLANDIA	HONG KONG	HONIARA	HONOLULU	SUBTOTAL	
									17				10				15						24														491		17	540
													14																					50				50	50	
							9																												1			24	0	
																12																						130	36	
												26																										80	0	
													12																									0	12	
																	93																				22	115		
																																						15	15	
																																						17	17	
	19						21					8	197		26											12	93							120	24		18	528		
							15				1																											16	0	
								8											26																			17	51	
																																						0	0	
																																						0	79	
														50																								12	12	
																																						8	10	
																																						93	105	
																																						73	17	
																																						52	52	
																																						0	0	
																																						3	33	
	1																																					10	287	
																																						32	32	
																																						121	121	
																																						320	660	
																																						91	91	
																																						10	20	
																																							200	
																																							5	200
																																							0	
																																							0	
																																							4	
																																							24	
																																							20	
																																							1	
																																							12	
																																							6	

(Continued on next page).

2

Table 3 (Part 5).[illegible]

(Continued on next page).

Table 3 (Part 6).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE		PORT MORESBY	PUERTO MONTT	PUNTA ARENAS	PUNTARENAS	PUSAN	RABUL	RAROTONGA	SAIGON	SALAVERRY	SAMARAI	SAN ANTONIO	SANDAKAN	SAN DIEGO	SAN FRANCISCO	SEATTLE	SHANGHAI	SINGAPORE	SKAGWAY	SURABAJA	SUYA	SYDNEY	TALARA	TALCAHUANO	TARAKAN	TAWAU	TIENTSIN
MAKASAR																											
MANTILA									62						12	14		64		18		166					
MANZANILLO																											
MAZATLAN																											
MELBOURNE																											
MIRI																		22									
MOLLENDU																											
NAURU																											
NICARAGUA					21																						
NORFOLK IS.																											
NOUMEA																						10	12				
OCEAN ISLAND																							12				
OKINAWA						12																					
PAGO PAGO																						10	14				
PAITA																									17		
PANAMA CANAL					45										60	117							20	7			
PAPEETE																											
PORT ALBERNI																											
PORTLAND																288	207										
PORT MORESBY	X										8																
PUERTO MONTT		X	1																								
PUNTA ARENAS			X																						8		
PUNTARENAS				X																							
PUSAN					X																						
RABUL						X																					
RAROTONGA							X				6												6				
SAIGON								X								3		48									
SALAVERRY									X																		
SAMARAI							17			X																	
SAN ANTONIO											X														64		
SANDAKAN												X														1	12
SAN DIEGO													X	23													
SAN FRANCISCO									6					6	23	130											
SEATTLE																102											
SHANGHAI																	X										
SINGAPORE									30									X									
SKAGWAY																			X								
SURABAJA																				X							
SUYA																					X						
SYDNEY																						X					
TALARA										26													X				
TALCAHUANO				12																				X			
TARAKAN																									X		
TAWAU																										X	12
TIENTSIN																											X
TONGA ISLANDS																											X
TOWNSVILLE																											X
TUNGTAO																											X
UCLAFFET																											X
VALPARAISO																											X
VANCOUVER																											X
VILA																											X
WAKE																											X
WELLINGTON																											X
WFWAK																											X
YOKOHAMA																											X
SUBTOTAL		0	1	12	64	12	17	0	98	26	14	110	0	60	1207	750	60	104	0	30	30	552	24				
GRAND TOTAL		0	1	12	126	206	27	10	266	72	48	110	20	217	2060	754	122	1000	91	107	60	724	60				

1

Table 3 (Part 6).

2

Table 4. Lane Traffic by Port-of-Call

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	ACAPULCO	ALEXISHAFEN	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ARICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BORA-BORA	BRISBANE	BUENAVENTURA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHANARAL	CHILUNG (KEELUNG)	CHIMBOTE	COOS BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DIAKARTA	EASTER ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO	GLACIER BAY
ACAPULCO																													
ALEXISHAFEN																									199				
AMAPALA																													
ANTOFAGASTA						78									139	141													
APIA																													
ARICA				367											198														
AUCKLAND					16																								
AVARUA																													
BALI																													
BLUFF																													
BORA-BORA																													
BRISBANE																													
BUENAVENTURA																													
CAIRNS																													
CALLAO				70		183																							
CHANARAL																													
CHILUNG (KEELUNG)																												2	
CHIMBOTE																													
COOS BAY																													
DA NANG (TOURANE)																													
DARVEL BAY																													
DILI																													
DIAKARTA																													
EASTER ISLAND																													
EL SALVADOR				151																									
ENSENADA																													
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS																													
GOLFITO																													
GLACIER BAY																													
GUAM																													
GUATEMALA				78																									
GUAYAGUIL																													
GUAYMAS																													
HOBART																													
HOLLANDIA																													
HONG KONG																													
HONIARA																													
HONOLULU																													
ILOILO, CEBU																													
INCHON																													
JESSELTON																													
JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.																													
JUNEAU																													
KAORUING (TAKAO)																													
KAVIENG																													
KETCHIKAN																													
KITIMAT																													
KORE																													
KRUNG THEP (BANGKOK)																													
KWAJALEIN																													
LABUAN																													
LAE																													
LOMBURU																													

1

Table 4. Lane Traffic by Port-of-Call

ARRIVAL	ACAPULCO	ALEXISHAFEN	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ARICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BORA-BORA	BRISBANE	BUENAVENTURA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHANKARAL	CHILUNG (KEELUNG)	CHIMBOTE	COOS BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DJAKARTA	EASTER ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO	GLACIER BAY	GUAM	GUATEMALA	GUAYAGUIL	GUAYMAS	HOBART	HOLLANDIA	HONG KONG	HONOLULU	SUBTOTAL	

(Continued on next page).

2

Table 4 (Part 2).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE		ILOILO, CEBU	INCOR	JEBELTON	JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.	JUNEAU	KAOHUUNG (TAKAO)	KAVIENG	KETCHIKAN	KITIMAT	KORR	KRUNG TRUP (BANGKOK)	KWAALEIN	LABUAN	LAE	LOMBURUM	LONGVIEW	LORENGAU	LOS ANGELES	MADANG	MAKASAR	MANILA	MANZANILLO	MAZATLAN	MELBOURNE	MIRI	MOLLENDU	NAI'ITU
ACAPULCO																			47									
ALEXHRAFFEN																				31								
AMAPALA																												
ANTOFAGASTA																												
APIA																												
ARICA																											32	
AUCKLAND																			4						21			
AYARUA																												
BALI																					46	3						
BLUFF																												
BORA-BORA																												
BRISBANE															27				19			24						
BUENAVENTURA																			21									
CAIENS																						206					236	
CALLAO					1																							
CHAMARAL																												
CHILUNG KEELUNG		101					643				176	12										66						
CHUMOTE																												
COOK BAY																												
DA NANG (TOURANE)																												
DARVEL BAY																												
DILI																												
DNAKARTA	79																					174						
EASTER ISLAND																												
EL SALVADOR																							21					
ENSENADA																			125									
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS																												
GOLFITO																			33									
GLACIER BAY									21																			
GUAM		13																					16					
GUATEMALA																			49									
QUAYAGUIL																			11									
QUAYMAS																			15					87				
ROBART																									50			
ROLANDIA																												
ROMO KONG			96				661				638	172	26						19			483						
ROMIARA																												
ROMOLULU																			245			444						
ILOILO, CEBU	X										16											81						
INCOR	X	X									172																	
JEBELTON	X	X	X											96														
JUAN FERNANDEZ IS.	X	X	X	X																								
JUNEAU	X	X	X	X	X																							
KAOHUUNG (TAKAO)	X	X	X	X	X	X																						
KAVIENG	X	X	X	X	X	X	X										31				34							
KETCHIKAN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																				
KITIMAT	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																			
KORR	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																		
KRUNG TRUP (BANGKOK)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																	
KWAALEIN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																
LABUAN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X															
LAE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X														
LOMBURUM	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X													
LONGVIEW	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X												
LORENGAU	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X											
LOS ANGELES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X										
MADANG	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X									
MAKASAR	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X								
MANILA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							
MANZANILLO	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						
MAZATLAN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
MELBOURNE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
MIRI	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
MOLLENDU	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
NAI'ITU	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
SUBTOTAL		126	114	96	1	91	661	0	26	0	172	26	0	114	96	31	0	31	599	64	46	2713						

1

Table 4 (Part 2).

2

(Continued on next page).

Table 4 (Part 3).[illegible]

(Continued on next page).



Table 4 (Part 4).

PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE		ACAPULCO	ALEXSHAFEN	AMAPALA	ANTOFAGASTA	APIA	ARICA	AUCKLAND	AVARUA	BALI	BLUFF	BORA-BORA	BRISBANE	BUENAVENTURA	CAIRNS	CALLAO	CHAMARAL	CHILUNG (KEELUNG)	CHIMBOTE	COOS BAY	DA NANG (TOURANE)	DARVEL BAY	DILI	DJAKARTA	EASTER ISLAND	EL SALVADOR	ENSENADA	GALAPAGOS ISLANDS	GOLFITO
MAKASAR										46			32					48							72				
MANILA																													
MANZANILLO																													
MAZATLAN																													
MELBOURNE								28					39																
MIRI																													
MOLLENDU							336									32													
NAURU													51																
NICARAGUA			48																								26		
NORFOLK ISLAND																													
NOUMEA																													
OCEAN ISLAND													16																
OKINAWA																		211											
PAGO PAGO																													
PAITA																			46										
PANAMA CANAL	36							42					26	376		35										22	177		
PAPEETE								16				1																	
PORT ALBERNI																													
PORTLAND								16												34									
PORT MORESBY																													
PUERTO MONTE																													
PUNTA ARENAS																													
PUNTARENAS			78											160															
PUSAN																													
RABAU													30																
RAROTONGA								11																					
SAIGON																						58							
SALAVERRY																369													
SAMARAI													51																
SAN ANTONIO				96																									
SANDAKAN																													
SAN DIEGO																												81	
SAN FRANCISCO	2							4										18											
SEATTLE																													
SHANGHAI																													
SINGAPORE													57											668	683				
SKAGWAY																													
SURABAYA								36		37																201			
SUVA						9		46																					
SYDNEY								297					602													351			
TALANA																													
TALCAHUANO																													
TARAKAN													25																
TAWAU																							64						
TIENTSIN																													
TONGA ISLANDS								1																					
TOWNSVILLE													91													46			
TUNGTAO																													
UCLUELET																													
VALPARAISO				101			111									258										2			
VANCOUVER													20							6								24	
VILA																													
WAKE																													
WELLINGTON								55			95					47													
WEWAK		31																											
YOKOHAMA																													
SUBTOTAL		38	31	126	197	9	447	552	0	83	95	1	940	536	0	742	11	277	46	40	56	64	668	1363					
GRAND TOTAL		292	31	277	634	23	708	693	1	105	95	1	962	843	206	1375	141	1405	92	62	56	117	1336	1536					

1

•

2

Table 4 (Part 5).[illegible]

1

[illegible]

2


Table 4 (Part 6).


PORT OF ARRIVAL PORT OF DEPARTURE	PORT OF DEPARTURE																											
	PORT MORESBY	PUERTO MONTT	PUNTA ARENAS	PUNTARENAS	PUSAN	RABAU	RAROTONGA	SAMON	SALAVERRY	SAMARAI	SAN ANTONIO	SANDAKAN	SAN DIEGO	SAN FRANCISCO	SEATTLE	SHANGHAI	SINGAPORE	SKAGWAY	SURABAJA	SUYA	SYDNEY	TALARA	TALCAHUANO	TARAKAN	TAWAU	TIENPEN	TONGA ISLANDS	TOWNSVILLE
MAKASAR																												
MANILA								291						15	37		210		20		810							
MANZANILLO																												
MASATLAN																												
MELBOURNE																		67				972						
MEN																												
MOLLENDO																												
MAURE																						53						
MICARAGUA						81																						
MORFOLK ISLAND																												
MONTREA																					36	311						36
OCEAN ISLAND																						17						
OKINAWA						32																						
PAGO PAGO																					24	112						
PAITA																							46					
PANAMA CANAL						65								114	217							78	13					
PAPERETI																												
PORT ALBERT																												
PORTLAND															587	431												
PORT MORESBY	X										24																	
PUERTO MONTT		X																										
PUNTA ARENAS			X																									
PUNTARENAS				X																					93			
PUSAN					X																							
RABAU											16																	
RAROTONGA																												
SAMON															12		210											
SALAVERRY																												
SAMARAI								42																				
SAN ANTONIO																												
SANDAKAN																										3	32	
SAN DIEGO																												
SAN FRANCISCO								25							10	30	170											
SEATTLE																130												
SHANGHAI																												
SINGAPORE																												
SKAGWAY																												
SURABAJA																												
SUYA																												
SYDNEY																												
TALARA																												
TALCAHUANO																												
TARAKAN																												
TAWAU																												
TIENPEN																												
TONGA ISLANDS																												
TOWNSVILLE																												
TUNGTAO																												
UCLUELET																												
VALPARAISO																												
VANCOUVER																												
VILA																												
WAKE																												
WELLINGTON																												
WEWAK																												
YOKOHAMA																												
SUBTOTAL	0	0	06	173	32	40	0	447	126	40	338	0	124	1741	1424	264	608	0	92	248	2953	60	334	3				
GRAND TOTAL	24	0	06	228	2128	198	11	1101	380	130	338	174	430	4752	1629	350	3587	91	342	419	3807	897	384	60				


1

3

2







PACIFIC OCEAN

Compiled from the latest information to 1938

Rocks and dangers below sea level have been omitted from this chart. For such details see charts of larger scale.

For Symbols and Abbreviations, see H. O. Chart No. 1

MERCATOR PROJECTION
Scale 1:21,912,992 at Lat. 0°

NOTE


The boundaries shown upon this chart are approximate only and should not be regarded as having official significance


LEGEND


SHIP'S TRIPS PER YEAR

1 - 10	301 - 600
11 - 100	601 - 1000
101 - 300	1001 - ABOVE

3







6

In the complete absence of tramp traffic data, it was decided to increase the number of ship trips for established liner traffic by using a correction factor to arrive at an estimate of the total lane traffic.

The correction factor for use in estimating total lane traffic was determined from data obtained on the number of ships reported entering each port. Data were obtained for as many of the listed ports-of-call as possible. For each port, the ratio between the reported total yearly ship entrances and the entrances counted for the corresponding liner traffic was used as the correction factor (α) for that individual port. Table 5 shows the data obtained for 31 out of 113 listed ports and the individual correction factors derived from these data.

Correction factors thus obtained are applicable to the ports only; therefore, it was necessary to deduce the various lanes used by the traffic terminating in the ports. This deduction was accomplished by using an iterative process in which the following conditions were maintained:

1. Ships cannot be lost at sea or "stored" in port. This condition led to the following rule: In all ports, except the eight ports-of-entry specified previously, the number of vessels arriving per year must be equal to the number of vessels departing per year.
2. The ship population for a given year is considered a constant; hence, ships which enter the Pacific Ocean Area must also leave it. This condition led to the following rule: The aggregate number of vessels arriving in the eight ports-of-entry per year must be equal to the aggregate number of vessels departing these ports per year.
3. The 31 ports for which the yearly number of ship entries are known must show this particular number of entries on final analysis.
4. Only ports and shipping lanes generated by analysis of the liner traffic are to be considered.
5. Total lane traffic on any specific lane, after all allowances for tramp traffic have been made, must be equal to or larger than the liner traffic previously counted on this lane.
6. Choices must be made, if all other indications are lacking, on the basis of the known resources and trade patterns for the ports and regions involved.

Conditions 1 and 2 were necessary for the computations to be internally consistent. Although the yearly records of entrances and exits in a particular port hardly ever conform to condition 1, the error is trivial in most cases and will tend to disappear if entrances and exits of successive years are examined. The errors are caused by the selection of an arbitrary time period and the variation of time in port for the various vessels. Condition 2 was imposed because the creation of a rate of change of the lane traffic with time was considered to be beyond the bounds of this study. The rule of condition 2 was imposed specifically to allow for traffic which entered and left the area under study by different ports

Table 5. Comparison of Total Shipping Traffic to Liner Traffic

Port	Number of Vessels Entering Ports Per Year				Ratio of Overseas Vessels to Liners	Source
	Total Shipping (Reported)	Local Shipping (Estimated)	Overseas Shipping	Liners (Counted)		
Sydney	4,433	830	3,603	724	4.98:1	Official Year Book of the Commonwealth of Australia, #45-1959 (Reference 2)
Melbourne	2,583	1,075	1,508	373	4.04:1	
Brisbane	1,217	255	962	284	3.39:1	
Cairns	260	54	206	28	25.75:1	
Townsville	347	73	274	36	7.61:1	
Hobart	471	291	180	18	10:1	
Auckland	--	--	693	203	3.41:1	The New Zealand Official Year Book, 1959 (Reference 22)
Bluff	--	--	95	12	7.92:1	
Wellington	--	--	488	58	8.41:1	
Nauru	120	--	120	84	1.43:1	Ports of the World, 13th Edition, 1959 (Reference 54)
Papeete	83	--	83	78	1.06:1	
Ocean Island	33	--	33	24	1.38:1	
Labuan	253	--	253	31	8.15:1	
Saigon	1,161	--	1,161	246	4.72:1	
Singapore	3,426	--	3,426	1,030	3.33:1	
Kaohsiung	1,408	--	1,408	92	15.30:1	
Chilung	1,409	--	1,409	445	3.17:1	
Hawaiian Ports	2,990	--	2,990	622	4.81:1	
San Antonio	--	--	338	116	2.91:1	
Talcahuano	334	--	334	72	4.64:1	
Guaymas	192*	91*	101**	110	1.84:1	
Salaverry	369	--	369	73	5.05:1	
Panama Canal***	9,187	--	9,187	3,599	2.55:1	
Kitimat	61	--	61	12	5.08:1	
Port Alberni	--	--	208	156	1.33:1	
Vancouver	1,565	--	1,565	948	1.65:1	
Portland	1,588	--	1,588	910	1.75:1	
Los Angeles	4,415	--	4,415	2,445	1.81:1	
San Francisco	4,752	--	4,752	2,849	1.67:1	
Samarai and Port Moresby (Papua)	163	--	163	56	2.92:1	Pacific Islands Handbook (Reference 5)
Fiji	317	--	317	89	3.56:1	

* Appears to be reported for half year.

** Assumed twice for full year.

*** Transits.

and which connected the area, by water, with the rest of the earth's surface. Conditions 3 through 6 appear to be self explanatory.

The process of initial estimation of the traffic on a lane, prior to the iteration process, involved two correction factors, one deriving from the other. The correction factor α , for each port, has already been explained and will henceforth be called "port factor" to differentiate it from the correction factor β , the

"lane factor," which is needed to estimate the traffic on each individual lane in the Pacific Ocean Area. The lane factors had to be deduced from the available port factors. There are three different situations, each of which will be considered separately, although in the aggregate they form a single over-all picture.

The first situation exists when the lane connecting two ports terminates at one end in a port for which a port factor is available and at the other end in a port for which a port factor could not be generated. In this case, regardless of the situations for the other lanes terminating in these two ports, the lane under consideration is considered to have a lane factor equal to the known port factor. That is, $\beta = \alpha$. No conceptual difficulty attaches to this procedure.

The second situation exists when port factors are available for both ports in which the shipping lane terminates. In this case, a lane factor is chosen somewhere between the two port factors. The factor chosen must be such that it will not swamp the smaller port nor unduly penalize the larger port. For this reason, the following formula was used to determine the lane factor:

$$\beta = \frac{C_1 \alpha_2 + C_2 \alpha_1}{C_1 + C_2}$$

where subscripts 1 and 2 are used to identify the port and the symbol C is used for the number of ship entrances reported per year for a port. This formula yields a weighted mean and has the following desirable properties: As C_1 becomes very much larger than C_2 , the value of the lane factor β tends to approach the port factor of the smaller port, α_2 ; if C_1 approaches equality with C_2 , the lane factor β approaches the arithmetic mean of the two port factors; if α_1 and α_2 approach equality (α_0), then the lane factor β approaches α_0 . In addition, the lane factor β is always assured of having a value between the larger and the smaller port factor.

The third situation exists when port factors have not been generated for either of the two ports in which the shipping lane terminates. In this case, the two ports are assumed to have equal factors which have a value identical to the average correction for the 31 ports considered. This correction factor was computed to be 2.7.

The lane traffic in the Pacific Ocean Area was estimated, port by port and region by region, on the basis of the preceding conditions and rules. The work was started with the 31 ports for which port factors were available. The port with the fewest shipping lanes was corrected first. Each correction increased the estimated number of yearly ship trips on the lanes radiating from this port until the aggregate number of yearly arrivals for all the lanes terminating in this port equaled the number of yearly arrivals reported for this port. The operation was repeated with the port having the next to the fewest number of lanes, etc., until about 15 ports had been treated in this fashion. By that time it became necessary to treat groups of ports, generally in the same region of the Pacific Ocean Area, as interacting units and to adjust the estimates of traffic flow between them with great care. The final adjustments necessary to meet the postulated conditions

had to be performed on an area-wide basis for the Pacific Ocean Area.

It should be understood that this problem does not admit a unique solution. There exists, rather, a great number of possible solutions, one of which has been computed and presented in table 4 as the lane traffic of the Pacific Ocean Area. The ratio of the total lane traffic in ship trips per year versus the total liner traffic in ship trips per year is very nearly 2.7 to 1, which checks well against the computed average port factor of 2.7.

Local Traffic

Estimates of the number of ships considered as local traffic for various areas are shown in chart 2. In general, these represent estimates of the maximum number of vessels of all sizes occupying this sea area permanently. Counting procedures for local traffic consisted largely of determining the number of vessels permanently stationed in one area. However, the data sources vary and were completely lacking for some areas. For instance, some of the members of the British Commonwealth of Nations even include rowboats in the number of registered vessels listed for themselves and their dependencies. On the other hand, in some other areas, notably the United States Trust Territory in the Pacific, Government publications merely list the vessels officially engaged in interisland trade, neglecting the sometimes sizable native craft. (This view is supported in reference 54, Sea Transportation section, pp. 3-11.) Occasional cursory mention of the existence of schooners employed in interisland trade indicates that some of the counts in the Pacific Island Area are low. In general, where numbers below 100 appear on chart 2, it can be assumed that the vessels are sizable (50- to 500-ton burden) and that the number represents only an unknown fraction of the indigenous shipping of that area.

In contrast, the number of vessels noted in areas such as the United States Pacific Coast includes a great number of small pleasure craft or craft which are used for family fishing, etc. Such craft are used only periodically and probably not all at the same time. The numbers in these areas represent, therefore, a maximum possible number of vessels afloat. The number of mercantile vessels compared with the number of family craft in these areas is less than 10 per cent, although these vessels may be much larger than the family craft and may carry many people.

Fishing Traffic

Very little information could be obtained on the number of fishing vessels in the various fishing areas, therefore, the estimates for the number of fishing vessels in the various areas, as shown on chart 3, should be taken as probable

maximums.* Since fishing is an intermittent operation, the number of fishing vessels in a fishing area may drop to zero at times.

CHARACTERISTICS OF VESSELS

Exact determination of the names and characteristics of those ships employed at any one time in the Pacific Ocean trade was considered impossible. Therefore, those ships which were operated by the companies engaged in the trade of the area were listed, on the theory that one thereby examined the fleet which was actually or potentially in use. The original attempt at a complete listing of these ships failed, partially because about 30 per cent of the initial sample companies were not listed in either reference 7 or 8, and partially because the labor of searching and listing, when better appreciated, was considered excessive. For search purposes, the shipping organizations were listed alphabetically. (See appendix A.) Only 106 companies were on the list during the sampling procedure. Another 24 were added to the list subsequently. Of the first 40 companies investigated, 13 were not listed and some of the listed companies were found to have 45 or more ships, each of which required separate investigation. Therefore, after the first 40 companies had been investigated, only every fifth company was studied and its vessels listed. If several of the vessels of the company were found to be identical in the four characteristics of interest, only one ship name was recorded, with a notation of the number of similar ships.

This method of sampling the remainder of the shipping organizations was based on the assumption that they appeared, as far as the four ship characteristics are concerned, in random order upon the list. The assumptions are not quite correct. It appears that the number of medium and small shipping companies in the United Kingdom is much greater, proportionally, than in the United States and Japan, for instance, where shipping seems to be concentrated in fewer and larger companies. Moreover, the merchant fleets of the various countries are based on different needs, and hence ship characteristics are likely to depend, at least to some extent, on the company's nationality. However, it is considered that the size and the speed of the vessels in a certain type of service would be less affected by considerations of nationality than by considerations of use; hence, for these two characteristics, the sample appears to be an adequate representation of shipping characteristics in the Pacific Ocean Area.

*A recent publication, arriving too late to be included in this study, provides additional information which would have permitted more accurate estimates for the distribution of Japanese fishing vessels. In general, the number of vessels per Japanese fishing area would have been estimated differently had this publication been used, and the numbers given would vary by 20 to 30 per cent. The publication is "L'expansion de la Pêche Japonaise," by M. Alain Huetz de Lemp, Les Cahiers d'Outre-Mer, No. 49, 18^{me} Année, Jan-March 1960, published by the Institute of Geography of the Faculty of Letters in Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France.

Passenger and crew capacity and ship nationality were investigated by using different techniques. These techniques will be explained under the traffic heading for each particular characteristic.

The sizes of vessels used for mercantile purposes vary from 6 to 1,031 feet in length and from 2 to 119 feet in beam. Gross weight of ships versus block surface area (length times beam) is shown in figure 1. Naturally, size varies with purpose, and hence, is considered under the traffic class.

Ship speed has historically been governed by economics and technology, although other considerations frequently enter into the decisions. In general, as propulsion technology develops, unit power is obtained either by smaller and lighter installations or with a greater economy of fuel. In either case, the ship can become faster by the installation of more units of power in equal space, can carry greater loads if power and hull size is maintained, or can carry the same load with the same power on a smaller hull with a corresponding increase in speed. Since the speed of a vessel is usually the result of a compromise of various requirements, the choice of the individual solution rests with the purchaser of the vessel; therefore, the speed of a vessel of given size has a tendency to vary with the year the ship was built or refitted with new engines, as well as with the requirements of the owner, and a considerable speed variation exists for ships of identical size.

The nationality of a merchant vessel is defined in law (reference 55) as the existence of a genuine link between the ship and a state. Such a link entitles the vessel to fly the flag of that particular nation, which thereupon governs the internal discipline of the ship while at sea and to a limited degree when in port. The vessel and its commanding officer must, of course, obey the international laws and in addition are bound by any agreement the flag nation may have with any other nation.

The primary document attesting to nationality is called the registry, the document upon which most statistical treatments of world shipping are based. It should be noted that the nationality of the owner of the vessel and the nationality of the vessel itself are not the same thing. For instance, in 1959, 27 per cent of the U.S. foreign commerce was carried in ships owned by U.S. companies but flying so-called flags of convenience or necessity, being registered in Honduras, Liberia, and Panama (reference 56). Only 20 per cent of the U.S. foreign trade of the same year moved in U.S. registered bottoms. References 9, 56, and many others indicate a steady decline of the number of U.S. flag vessels since the second world war, such that in 1958 approximately six times more foreign flag dry cargo ships than U.S. flag vessels entered and cleared U.S. ports in the U.S. foreign trade. The private U.S. fleet represents only 10 per cent of the world's shipping today, one-half that of Great Britain which moreover is rapidly modernizing its merchant fleet.




Figure 1. Gross Weight of Ships Versus Block Surface Area.

Lane Traffic

Vessel Size

The distribution of vessels in the lane traffic class by size and the method of obtaining this distribution are shown in appendix B. Vessel sizes were listed in terms of gross tons, with the ship considered to have a capacity of 100 cubic feet per ton, the most universally acceptable measure of ship size. (For information on the measurement rules of ships see references 10 and 57.)

The characteristics of principal types of U. S. vessels (table 6) were used as a guide in estimating the length of the medium vessel employed in lane traffic. The length of such a vessel was estimated at 456 feet and its beam at 62 feet.

Table 6. Characteristics of Principal Types of U. S. Vessels*

Type of Vessel	Over-All Length (Ft In.)	Beam (Ft In.)	Gross Weight (Tons)	Speed (Knots)	Passenger Capacity	Number in Crew
Liberty EC2-S-C1	441 6	58 10 $\frac{1}{4}$	7,170	11.0	None	40
Victory VC2-S-AP2	455 3	62	7,600	17.0	None	52
C1-A	412 3	60	5,155	14.0	12	49
C1-B	417 9	60	6,829	14.0	12	49
C2	459 3	63	6,200	15.5	8	54
C3	492	69 6	7,900	16.5	12	53
Mariner C4	563 7 $\frac{1}{2}$	76	9,215	20.0	12	58
Tanker T2-SE-A1	523 6	68	10,200	14.5	None	51
Passenger P2-SE2-R3	609 5 $\frac{1}{2}$	75 6	15,350	19.0	550	338
Passenger G3-SBR1	455 3	62	8,481	17.0	95	98
Reefer R2-ST-AU1	455 5	61	7,074	18.5	12	62

* Compiled from data contained in reference 10.

The medium ship is a vessel of about 7,100 gross tons, with a block surface area of about 28,000 square feet. The notion of block surface area was used only to correlate tonnage and liner dimensions.

Vessel Speed

Speed versus the block surface area of a sample of ships used in the lane traffic is shown in figure 2. It is apparent that vessel speed, s , increases with vessel size. The general relation was estimated and found to approximate

$$s = 0.211 \times a + 8.68,$$


where "a" is the block surface area of the vessel in thousands of square feet. For the average lane traffic vessel, this relationship indicates a speed of 14.6 knots or, considering the steady increase of ship speeds in the last few years, a rounded-off speed of 15 knots.

Number of People Onboard

The human population on a vessel consists of crew and passengers. The two groups must be considered separately. The number of crew personnel on a specific vessel will, under near-normal conditions, vary only within a small tolerance. The number of passengers onboard can, however, vary from zero to a maximum depending solely on the whim of the traveling public.

By common agreement, a cargo vessel may carry a maximum of 12 passengers. If 13 or more passengers are carried, the vessel becomes either a combination passenger and cargo vessel or a passenger vessel. Assuming that all passenger spaces are filled, the crew of a cargo vessel will outnumber the passengers by a ratio of 4 to 1 or greater. This ratio decreases as more passenger accommodations are provided, such that at a passenger capacity of 100, the ratio is slightly less than unity, and at a passenger capacity of approximately 1,000, the ratio tends toward one-half. Indication of this trend is apparent in table 6. These factors become important when it is necessary to estimate the number of people onboard a vessel, because no source has been located which gives a complete description of this shipping characteristic. Information on the passenger capacity of vessels capable of carrying 500 or more passengers is contained in reference 9, which, however, does not provide the size of the crew. Examination of the information in reference 9 indicates that there is a relationship between the size of the vessel, the passenger capacity of the vessel, and the nationality of the vessel. As an aid in estimating the number of people onboard a vessel, an illustration, figure 3, has been prepared to show the passenger capacity of vessels versus their ratio of gross-weight-to-dead-weight tonnage for different nationalities.

Estimating the number of people onboard a vessel is complicated by the fact that not all the passenger spaces need be filled. Statistics on air traffic are compiled by the use of a measure named "load factor" which is the ratio between the occupied seats and the seating capacity of the aircraft. No similar measure of the use of passenger vessels was found in the shipping literature; however, the term is used in this study to describe the ratio between the number of passengers onboard and the passenger capacity of the vessel.






Figure 3. Estimate of Passenger Capacity Versus Ratio of Gross Weight to Dead Weight of Ships, by Nation.

Extensive reading of the literature indicates that the airlines are more sensitive to load factor than are the shipping companies; that is, to operate profitably, the airline needs a higher load factor than does the shipping line. The World Almanac for 1960 quotes a world-wide airline load factor of 0.61. The load factor for world-wide shipping is probably below this figure.

Work is continuing on the problem of estimating the average number of people onboard per vessel on the various shipping lanes, and estimates, on the basis of a load factor of 1.0, are being prepared for a later addendum to this report.

Nationality (Flag)

The average distribution of ships by nationality in the lane traffic over the

Pacific Ocean Area has been estimated, and percentages of the total traffic attributable to the various nations are shown in table 7. This table was derived by computing the percentage of liner trips conducted under the flag of each nation versus total liner trips, and then estimating the proportional engagement of various nations in the tramp traffic. Reference 9 indicates that, because of high operating costs, the U.S. flag tramp vessel is rapidly vanishing. The tenor of these remarks led to the assumption that, although tramp traffic as a whole outnumbers liner traffic, the U.S. flag tramp traffic is in the order of 5 per cent of the U.S. flag liner traffic. The remaining tramp traffic was assumed to be distributed proportionally to the liner traffic of the other flag nations. From these figures, the estimates of the average distribution of the ships in the lane traffic by nationality were computed. The figure of 12 per cent for the U.S. flag vessels checks fairly well with the 10 per cent of world shipping sailing under the U.S. flag, and the figure of 26 per cent for British Commonwealth of Nations flag vessels checks well with the world-wide ratio of 2 to 1 between British and U.S. flag vessels.

**Table 7. Estimate of Nationality of Vessels
in Pacific Ocean Area**

Nation	Per Cent of Liner Traffic	Per Cent of Estimated Lane Traffic
Chile	0.69	0.88
Columbia	0.35	0.45
Denmark	3.80	4.81
Ecuador	0.04	0.05
France	1.20	1.54
Germany	7.50	9.53
British Commonwealth	20.70	26.24
Honduras	0.24	0.31
Italy	1.50	1.91
Japan	14.10	17.85
Netherlands	9.60	12.19
Norway	7.00	8.84
Panama	0.03	0.04
Philippines	0.46	0.60
Sweden	2.00	2.54
U. S. A.	30.60	11.96
Unknown	0.20	0.26

Local Traffic

Vessel Size

The size of vessels in local traffic varies more widely than the size of vessels in any other traffic class.

Along the shores of continents, essentially three classes of ships can be found, the sizable vessel for ferrying freight and passengers in the immediate vicinity of the coast, the commercial fishing vessel used on the continental shelf, and the small family or individual-use type of vessel. Vessels of the first type, numbering a few hundred along a long stretch of coast, can be about 400 feet in length, 50 feet in beam, and have a 5,000-gross-ton burden, although most are smaller. The commercial fishing vessels, the second type, are between 20 and 100 feet in length and from 7 to 40 feet in beam. These vessels number in the thousands. Family-size vessels rarely exceed 35 feet in length and 12 feet in beam, but they are the most numerous type of vessel.

On the basis of these dimensions, a typical local craft along a continental shore was estimated to be 30 feet long with a beam of 10 feet.

Interisland trade vessels are usually in the 50- to 500-gross-ton range, and a typical vessel has been described as similar in size to a tuna clipper.

The ocean station vessels are generally converted seaplane tenders (WAVP) or frigates (FFE), about 300 feet long and 30 feet in beam (reference 32).

Vessel Speed

Speed variation among vessels in local traffic is as extreme as size variation. Speeds range from that of a poled or rowed vessel to the 45 knots of a skimming speedboat.

In general, the large mercantile vessels operating close to the coast have speeds comparable to that of an average vessel in the lane traffic, an estimated 15 knots. The average commercial fishing vessel has a speed of about 8 knots (table 8). The average small family-size craft probably makes about 5 knots in these days of outboard motors.

Number of People Onboard

The number of people onboard large mercantile vessels operating close to the coast is estimated in conjunction with the estimation of the number of people onboard similar ships employed in the lane traffic. People onboard coastal fishing vessels vary from 1 to 13 and average approximately 6 per vessel. The average pleasure craft or family-type vessel is considered to carry three persons. Interisland vessels are considered to carry 15 persons. The ocean station vessels are government operated and carry a crew of 70 to 200 people.

Table 8. Characteristics of U. S. Commercial Fishing Vessels*

Type of Vessel	Over-All Length (Ft)	Beam (Ft)	Net Weight (Tons)	Speed (Knots)	Number in Crew	Endurance (Days)
Tuna Clipper	68-150	20-32	60-300	10-12	9-21	35-85
Halibut Schooner	55-85	15-23	30-55	6-10	5-10	20
Salmon Troller	25-60	8-18	5-26	6-10	1-3	14
Pacific Dragger	48-100	15-25	20-90	9-12	4-7	1-10
Beam Trawler	45-60	13-40	14-30	6-9	3-4	1
Salmon Purse Seiner	35-80	16.5-22	7-40	8.5-14	4-9	1-2
Herring and Salmon Purse Seiner	50-90	14-28	20-100	8-15	5-12	1-5
Sardine and Tuna Purse Seiner	65-100	19-28	50-150	8-10	10-13	1-30
Salmon Gill Netter	22-32	7-16.5	1/2-7	7-22	1-2	1-2
Shark Gill Netter	30-60	10-15	6-25	8-11	4-6	2-6
Dungeness Crab Trap	30-65	10-14	- -	8-14	2-3	1-10

*Compiled from data contained in reference 25.

Nationality (Flag)

The vessels in local traffic carry the flag of the nation in whose waters they are operated. In the case of joint ownership of archipelagos such as the French-British Condominium of the Samos Islands, known vessels are considered to be divided between the appropriate flags in proportion to the number of nationals of each country residing in the area.

The nationality of ocean station vessels is noted in table 1.

Fishing Traffic

Vessel Size

The characteristics of a majority of the United States fishing vessels, coastal as well as pelagic, by type are shown in table 8. Since tunny fishing is considered the primary use of the pelagic fishing fleets, the average size of a tuna clipper was considered representative of a typical pelagic fishing vessel. Hence, a typical fishing vessel has a length of 109 feet and a beam of 26 feet.

Vessel Speed

From table 8, the speed of the average tunny fishing vessel is estimated at 11 knots. The salmon and halibut fishing vessels are a bit slower, so that 10 knots appears as a reasonable value for the average pelagic fishing vessel.

Number of People Onboard

Pelagic fishing vessels carry a crew of from 5 to 21 people. Casual references to Japanese fishing vessels in various issues of reference 58 indicate that the crews of Japanese tuna vessels are larger; from 25 to 30 people. It is therefore considered that in the tunny fishing areas east of longitude 170° W, average crew size is 15 persons; west of longitude 170° W, average crew size is 25 persons. In the crabbing and halibut fishing grounds, crew size is estimated to average 10 persons per vessel.

Nationality (Flag)

The fishing fleets of the Pacific Ocean Area primarily fly the Japanese and U.S. flags. A relatively small number of New Zealand vessels fish in the southwestern area of the Pacific. The number of fishing ships and the location of fishing areas shown in chart 3 give indication of the flag of the vessels because it was assumed that (1) Japanese fishing is conducted west of longitude 170° W, (2) U.S. fishing east of 170° W, and (3) New Zealand fishing south of the equator. The cod and halibut fishing areas near the Kurile Islands, Kamchatka, and south of the Aleutians are used primarily by Japanese flag vessels. Japanese crabbing vessels are active east of the Kurile Islands and in Bristol Bay, Alaska.

DISCUSSION

Aside from the natural focal points of world shipping in the Pacific Ocean Area, such as Singapore, Panama, San Bernardino Straits, etc., the areas of greatest shipping density are the South and East China Seas and the area between Honolulu and the California Coast. The South China Sea is traversed by all ship traffic to and from Hong Kong, a matter of almost 10,000 ship trips a year, with a large portion of the vessels entering the East China Sea on the way to Japan and other points. Along the California Coast, the ports of San Francisco and Los Angeles constitute trading centers of almost equal magnitude, each being a center for about 9,500 ship trips per year, of which less than one-half are coastal trips.

Of the great trans-Pacific shipping routes shown in chart 4, the most frequented is that between Yokohama and San Francisco (1,249 ship trips per year), with the Honolulu-San Francisco route second (1,008 ship trips per year), and the San Francisco-Honolulu route third (797 ship trips per year).

A great amount of traffic was found to be moving from Panama north along the west coast of Central and North America. If the impression created by some of the sources is correct, the traffic must formerly have been much greater since many sources indicate a decline of shipping on these routes.

The largest vessels, averaging 456 feet in length and 62 feet in beam, are used in lane traffic; the next largest vessels, averaging 109 feet in length and 26 feet in beam, are used in pelagic fishing, and the smallest vessels, averaging 30 feet in length and 10 feet in beam, consist of local craft.

Vessels in lane traffic, as a group, have the greatest speed, averaging 15 knots; vessels in local traffic vary in speed from 5 to 15 knots; and fishing vessels average 10 knots in speed.

The number of people onboard lane traffic vessels was not determined, but the number of people onboard local traffic vessels varies from an average of 3 for family-type craft to 15 for interisland vessels. Ocean station vessels have crews of 70 to 200 people. The crews of pelagic fishing vessels vary from 5 to 30 people.

Approximately 75 per cent of the vessels used in lane traffic fly the flags of 5 nations: British Commonwealth of Nations, 26 per cent; Japan, 18 per cent; U.S., 12 per cent; Germany, 10 per cent; and Norway, 9 per cent. Most pelagic fishing vessels fly the flag of Japan or the U.S., although some fly the flag of New Zealand.

This study has produced an approximate picture of the nonmilitary shipping situation in the Pacific Ocean Area for the 1959-1960 period. The various portions of the picture, however, are of different accuracy. The estimate of area-wide mercantile high-sea shipping, in ship trips per year, shown in table 4 and on charts 1 and 4 is probably most accurate. This does not mean that the accuracy is uniformly good for all portions of the Pacific Ocean Area, although a U.S. Coast Guard count of vessels reporting weather information for portions of November 1957, when crudely evaluated for the San Francisco-Honolulu run, appears to be in very close agreement (within 4 per cent) with the estimates of this study for that run. Because the source material was primarily of U.S. origin and hence dealt primarily with travel originating in or departing from the U.S., it is probable that the accuracy of the lane traffic estimates for the Western Pacific is lower than that for the Eastern Pacific, in the aggregate as well as for individual lanes.

However, even the lane traffic picture presented herein should not be considered a precise representation of Pacific lane traffic. The decision to consider all estimated non-scheduled traffic as going over those routes for which liner traffic had been established was obviously an oversimplification. Tramps, for example, will certainly make trips over routes for which schedules do not exist, including routes to ports not even included herein. The best that can actually be said of the estimated lane traffic presented here is that it does provide an approximate estimate of the lane traffic density throughout the Pacific Ocean.

No other known source of information has attempted to provide such an estimate on a comprehensive basis.

As mentioned before, the Navy's Fleet Operation Control Centers maintain information on the tracks of many merchant vessels. Comparison of data from the Hawaiian Operation Control Center (HOCC) for the month of November 1960 with those obtained herein revealed the following:

1. Table 4 of this report shows greater traffic on the lanes established herein than did the HOCC data.
2. On the other hand, the HOCC data showed some ships traveling on routes for which no traffic is indicated in table 4. The HOCC data show these routes as having a low volume of traffic compared to the major routes for which traffic is listed in table 4. There are at least two possible reasons for the omission of routes in table 4 for which HOCC shows traffic. One was previously given; i.e., some tramp traffic undoubtedly travels on routes on which liners are not scheduled. The other is that liner schedules from some companies probably were not obtained for this study.

Data for July 1960 from the San Francisco Operational Control Center (SFOCC) did not show ports of origin, therefore, the above type of comparisons were not directly possible. Attempts at determining the ports of origin were made, however, and the data for routes from Los Angeles and San Francisco to Honolulu were in approximate agreement with the HOCC data. One other means of comparison was employed with the SFOCC data. With this method, the number of ships traversing a 300-nautical-mile length of lane between 11° - 14° N and 91° - 96° W during July 1960 was determined (271 ships). Extrapolating the data for this month yields an estimate of 3,250 ships per year on this lane segment. For the same segment, chart 4 shows slightly over 5,000 ships per year - a discrepancy in the direction expected.

The accuracy of local traffic estimates is only as good as the statistical data provided by the various countries. The figures quoted are for registered vessels. No attempt has been made to estimate usage rates which would vary seasonally as well as show weekly cycles in some instances. Since the cycle variations could be of several orders of magnitude, it was considered advisable to merely describe a maximum population available for use.

The estimates of total number of vessels employed in pelagic fishing are believed to be accurate within 5 per cent except that no data on the Russian fleet could be obtained. The estimated distribution of these fishing vessels in the various fishing areas is much less accurate, since it is largely based on descriptive rather than numerical material; aside from the seasonal factor, the numbers assigned to the various areas could be off by as much as 50 per cent in some areas. The estimates were deliberately kept high to account for possible participation by

vessels of nations that did not submit recent reports to the international bodies from whose statistics most of the numerical information was extracted.

Accuracy of route location is believed to be as good as the chart scale will permit. The accuracy of the fishing area boundaries is questionable because exact information on boundaries is lacking and because of suspected variation of boundaries from season to season and year to year.

Characteristics of the vessels employed are, in general, well documented, except for the number of crewmen and passengers carried. In this characteristic, reliance had to be placed on descriptive data and extrapolation from rather scarce and possibly biased numerical data.

The accuracy of this study could certainly be improved by additional work. However, it is believed that the effort required would be disproportionate to the amount of improvement. If interest is concentrated in specific, small, geographic areas, other more direct methods of counting and observing can be employed.

REFERENCES

1. Transportation Guides, Inc. Official Steamship and Airways Guide. New York.
2. Commonwealth of Australia Bureau of Census and Statistics. Year Book of the Commonwealth of Australia (No. 45). Canberra, 1959.
3. Pacific Shipper. 400 Front St., San Francisco.
4. Trade and Travel Publications, Ltd. The South American Handbook, 1956 and 1957, ed. by Howell Daires. London.
5. Pacific Publications PTY, Ltd. Pacific Islands Handbook, 8th edition, Sydney, N.S.W., Australia, Technipress House, 1959.
6. High Commissioner of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. 1958 Annual Report to the Secretary of the Interior. Washington, D.C., Government Printing Office.
7. The Shipping World, Ltd. The Shipping World Year Book and Who's Who, 1958-1959. London.

8. Lloyd's Register of Shipping, Register Books 1956-57. 71 Fenchurch St., London E. C. 3, 1956-57. Vol.III, Shipowners.
9. U.S. Department of Commerce, Maritime Administration. **The Handbook of Merchant Shipping Statistics Through 1958.**
10. Bross, Steward R. **Ocean Shipping.** Cambridge, Cornell Maritime Press, 1956.
11. United Kingdom. Gilbert and Ellice Island Colony and the Central and Southern Line Islands, Report for 1954 and 1955. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1957.
12. United Kingdom. New Hebrides (Anglo-French Condominium), 1955 and 1956. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1958.
13. United Kingdom. Colony of North Borneo, Annual Report, 1957. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1958.
14. United Kingdom. Tonga, Biennial Report, 1956 and 1957. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1959.
15. United Kingdom. Sarawak, Annual Report, 1957. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1958.
16. Ministeris de Hacienda y Comercio. **Annario Estadistico del Peru, 1954.** Lima, Peru.
17. The Mediterranean and Pacific Territories Colonial Office. **An Economic Survey of the Colonial Territories, 1951.** Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1953. Vol.VI, No. 281-6.
18. United Kingdom. Colony of Singapore, Annual Report, 1956. Singapore, Government Printing Office.
19. United Kingdom. Fiji, Report for the Year 1957. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1958.
20. United Kingdom. State of Brunei, Annual Report, 1957. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1958.
21. U.S. Department of State. **Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands, 1957.** Washington, D. C., U.S. Government Printing Office. (Publication 6607.)
22. New Zealand Department of Statistics. **New Zealand Official Year Book, 1959.** Wellington, New Zealand.
23. Morgan, Robert. **World Sea Fisheries.** New York and London, Pitman Publishing Company, 1955.
24. **Japanese Fisheries, Their Development and Present Status,** ed. and pub. by Asia Kyokai. Tokyo, Japan, 1957.
25. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Commercial Fishing Vessels and Gear,** by Gustaf T. Sundstrom. Washington, D. C., May 1957. (Circular No. 48.)

26. Dominion of Canada Bureau of Statistics. **Canada Year Book, 1957-58.** Ottawa, Canada.
27. United Kingdom. Federation of Malaya, **Annual Report, 1956.** Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1957.
28. Japanese Bureau of Statistics. **Japan Statistical Year Book, 1958.** Office of the Prime Minister.
29. Statistical Abstract of the Republic of China, 1957.
30. Yearbook of Fishery Statistics, 1958. Vol. IX. Printed in Italy, 1959 FAO.
31. U.S. Naval Hydrographic Office. **Radio Weather Aids.** Washington, D.C., January 1958. (H. O. No. 206.)
32. **Jane's Fighting Ships, 1959-1960,** ed. by Raymond V. B. Blackman. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1959.
33. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Live-Bait Fishing for Tuna in the Central Pacific,** by Isaac I. Ikehara. Washington, D.C., July 1953. (Fisheries No. 107.)
34. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Longline Fishing for Deep-Swimming Tunas in the Central Pacific, January-June 1952,** by Garth I. Murphy and Richard S. Shomura. Washington, D.C., August 1953. (Fisheries No. 108.)
35. Nakamura, Hiroshi. **Tuna Longline Fishery and Fishing Grounds.** Association of Japanese Tuna Fishing Cooperatives, Tokyo, 1951. Washington, D.C., January 1954. (Fisheries No. 112.)
36. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Longline Fishing for Deep-Swimming Tunas in the Central Pacific, August-November 1952,** by Garth I. Murphy and Richard S. Shomura. Washington, D.C., February 1955. (Fisheries No. 137.)
37. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Central North Pacific Albacore Surveys, January 1954-February 1955,** by Richard S. Shomura and Tamio Otsu. Washington, D.C., June 1956. (Fisheries No. 173.)
38. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Japanese Albacore and Bigeye Tuna Size Composition Studies,** tr by W. G. Van Campen. Washington, D.C., June 1956. (Fisheries No. 182.)
39. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Longline Fishing for Tuna in the Central Equatorial Pacific, 1954,** by Edwin S. Iversen and Howard O. Yoshida. Washington, D.C., August 1956. (Fisheries No. 184.)
40. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Longline and Troll Fishing for Tuna in the Central Equatorial Pacific, January 1955 to February 1956,** by Edwin S. Iversen and Howard O. Yoshida. Washington, D.C., February 1957. (Fisheries No. 203.)

41. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Central North Pacific Albacore Surveys, May to November 1955**, by Joseph J. Fraham. Washington, D.C., April 1957. (Fisheries No. 212.)
42. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Preliminary Fisheries Survey of the Hawaiian-Line Islands Areas, Part II - Notes on the Tuna and Bait Resources of the Hawaiian, Leeward, and the Line Islands**, by Fred C. June. Washington, D.C., January 1951. Vol.13, No. 1. Sep. No. 270.
43. U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. **Fishery Statistics of the United States, 1958**. Washington, D.C. (Statistical Digest No. 49.)
44. Guill, James H. "Soviet Maritime Expansion in the Pacific," in **U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings**. November 1959. Vol.85, No. 11.
45. "Ocean Harvest" in Science Section of **TIME**, 8 February 1960.
46. Carrothers, John C. "Uneasy Lies the Head that Wears a Crown," in **U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings**. January 1957. Vol.83, No. 1.
47. Rude, Gilbert T., Capt., U.S.C. and G.S. (Retired). "North Atlantic Lane Routes," in **U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings**. October 1957. Vol.83, No. 10.
48. U.S. Navy Hydrographic Office. **Sailing Directions**.
 - a. H. O. Pub. No. 78 - **Philippine Islands, Vol. I**
 - b. H. O. Pub. No. 79 - **Philippine Islands, Vol. II**
 - c. H. O. Pub. No. 84 - **West Coasts of Mexico and Central America**
 - d. H. O. Pub. No. 126 - **Soenda Strait and the Western and Northwest Coasts of Borneo and Off-Lying Islands**
 - e. H. O. Pub. No. 164 - **New Guinea**
 - f. H. O. Pub. No. 165B - **The Pacific Islands, Vol. II**
 - g. H. O. Pub. No. 168 - **Southeast Coast of Australia**
 - h. H. O. Pub. No. 169 - **The East Coast of Australia**
 - i. H. O. Pub. No. 171 - **New Zealand**
 - j. H. O. Pub. No. 174 - **South America, Vol. III**
49. U.S. Department of Commerce. **United States Coast Pilots, Coast and Geodetic Survey**.
 - a. **Serial No. 735 - Hawaiian Islands, 1 January 1950**
 - b. **Serial No. 750 - Pacific Coast, California, Oregon, and Washington, 2 June 1951**
50. U.S. Navy Hydrographic Office. **Pilot Chart of the North Pacific Ocean**. Washington, D.C., September 1959. (H. O. No. 1401 N. P.)
51. U.S. Navy Hydrographic Office. **Atlas of Pilot Charts, South Pacific and Indian Oceans**. Second edition. Washington, D.C., 1959. (H. O. Pub. No. 577.)
52. U.S. Department of Commerce. **Distances Between United States Ports (Revised 1938 edition)**. U.S. Coast Pilot Series. Washington, D.C., 1938. (Serial No. 444.)

53. U.S. Navy Hydrographic Office. Table of Distances Between Ports. Washington, D.C., 1948. (H. O. No. 117, 1943.)
54. Pacific Missile Range. Transportation Patterns in the Marshall Islands, by Edwin B. Doran, Jr. (Sea Transportation Section.) Pt. Mugu, Calif., PMR, 20 August 1960. (PMR Technical Memorandum No. PMR-TM-60-9) pp. 3-11.
55. U.S. Naval Institute. International Law for Seagoing Officers, by Burdick H. Brittin, CDR, USN, and L. B. Watson. Second Edition. Annapolis, 1960.
56. Campbell, E. G. "Mahan's Message on the Merchant Marine," in U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, May 1960.
57. Rimington, Gritchell. Merchant Fleets. New York, Dodd, Mead, and Company, Inc., 1944.
58. Pacific Island Monthly. Sydney N. S. W., Australia, Pacific Publications PTY, Ltd.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Sources of Specific Information Used in Study in Addition to References

- Canadian Almanac and Directory, 1959. 112th edition. Copp Clark Publishing Company, 1959.
- Cook, Philip H. "Some Missionary Ships in the Pacific," in The American Neptune, October 1950.
- Japan Economic Year Book, 1954.
- Kassell, Bernard M, CDR, USN. "Soviet Logistics in the Arctic," in U. S. Naval Institute Proceedings. February 1959. Vol. 85, No. 2.
- Kaster, Howard B. "Hydrographic Office Ship Routing System," in U. S. Naval Institute Proceedings. January 1960. (See p. 142, April 1959 Proceedings.) Vol. 86, No. 1.
- Lyman, John. "Register Tonnage and its Measurement, Part II," in The American Neptune, October 1950.
- Oxford Economic Atlas of the World, 1954.
- Pacific Missile Range. Fifth PMR Site Survey Volume 1. Guadalcanal Report, by J. K. Holcomb, CDR, USN. Pt. Mugu, PMR (PMR Technical Memorandum No. PMR-TM-59-5).
- Ports of the World, 13th edition, ed. by Sir Archibald Hurd. London, The Shipping World, Ltd., 1959.
- Saunders, M. G. The Soviet Navy. New York, Frederick A. Praeger.
- Talbot-Booth, E. C. Merchant Ships. (The standard work of reference on Merchant ships of the world.) New York, MacMillan Co., 1944.

- Trunbull, Robert.** *Paradise in Trust.* (A report on Americans in Micronesia, 1946-1958) New York, William Sloane Assoc., 1959.
- U. S. Department of Commerce.** *Dry Cargo Service and Area Report.* Maritime Admin. Quarterly.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.** *Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the U. S., 1946.* Vol. II, Transport Statistics; 1945-46.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Foreign Trade Division.** *Vessel Entrances and Clearances.* (Annual, FT 975, C 3.164: 975.)
- U. S. Navy Hydrographic Office.** *World Port Index.* Second Edition. Washington, D. C., U. S. Hydrographic Officer under the authority of the Secretary of the Navy, 1957. (H. O. No. 950.)
- U. S. Treasury Department, Bureau of Customs.** *Merchant Marine Statistics.*

Sources Used for Background Information Only

- Austey, V.** *The Trade of the Indian Ocean.* Longmans, Green, and Co., 1929.
- Bartholomew.** *Atlas of the World's Commerce* (UCLA library No. HF 1023 B28a - oversized volume).
- City of Los Angeles, Harbor Department.** *Port of Los Angeles Shipping Directory,* 10th edition. Los Angeles, January 1956. P. 12 ff, Agents, Steamship Lines, World Trade; p. 13 ff, Routes and Sailing Frequencies, Geogr. area served and approximate sailing frequency.
- Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística.** *Annario General de Estadística.* Colombia, 1957.
- Freeman, O. W.** *Geography of the Pacific.* Third Printing. Wiley, 1959.
- Hauser and Leonard.** *Government Statistics for Business Use.* 2nd edition. 1956. Pp. 205-8, United States Shipping Statistics, Ch. 7, Foreign Trade Statistics.
- High Commissioner Delmas H. Nucker.** *Annual Report of High Commissioner of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, Department of the Interior,* 1955 and 1958. Pp. 25-28 of 1955 Report, (available at PMR).
- Kells, Lyman M., Kern, Willis F., and James R. Bland.** *Piloting and Maneuvering of Ships.* New York, McGraw-Hill, 1943.
- Muckle, W.** *Modern Naval Architecture.* London, Temple Press, Ltd., 1951.
- National Railway Publication Co.** *Official Guide to the Railways and Steam Navigation Lines of the United States, Porto Rico, Canada, Mexico, and Cuba.* New York, 1868.
- Pacific Fisherman Handbook.** 1940.
- Philippine Bureau of Fisheries.** *Philippine Fisheries Handbook.* 1952.

- "Shipping," in *The World Almanac*, 1959. Pp. 676 ff.
- Tuckey, J. K. *Maritime Geography and Statistics*. 1815.
- The Mercantile Marine Atlas*, ed. by George Goodall. 14th edition. George Philip and Sons, 1952.
- Ullman, Edward. *American Geography: Inventory and Prospect*, 1954; *Assoc. of American Geographers*, pp. 311-331, *Transportation Geography*.
- United Kingdom. *The Colonial Office List*, 1959. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1959.
- United Nations. *Non-Self-Governing Territories*, New York, 1957. Ch. IX, pp. 433-494, *Pacific Territory*.
- United Nations Statistical Office, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. *Statistical Yearbook*, 1958. Pp. 138-143 and 309-336.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. *Statistical Abstract of the United States*, 1958. Section 22, "Waterways, Water Traffic and Shipping."
- United States Department of Commerce Publications, 1952. Part III, pp. 50-59, *Foreign Trade*; pp. 150-195 *Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce*.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Foreign Trade Division. *Quarterly Summary of Foreign Commerce of the U. S.* (C 3.147 Item 147-A).
- United States Foreign Water-Borne Commerce: A Review of. (Annual . . . C 3.211/2.)
- Waterborne Foreign Trade Statistics. (Monthly and Annual - FT 985, C 3.164:985.)
- U. S. Department of Commerce, Maritime Administration. *A Statistical Analysis of the World's Merchant Fleets*. December 1957.
- Essential United States Foreign Trade Routes, May 1957.
- Merchant Fleets of the World. (Semi-annual C 39.212.) (UCLA library document department, listed under "Government Statistics.")
- Report of Volume of Waterborne Foreign Commerce of U. S. by Port of Origin and Destination.
- U. S. Department of Interior. *United States Policy With Regard to High Seas Fisheries*. Circular 222 Sys., December 1948.
- U. S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. *Albacore Fishing Grounds Development in 1939*, tr. from the Japanese language by Wilvan G. Van Campen. Issuance probably November 1950. (Special Scientific Report: Fisheries No. 33.)
- The Japanese Tuna Fishing Industry. Five articles translated from the Japanese language by Wilvan G. Van Campen. July 1952. (Special Scientific Report: Fisheries No. 79.)

----- Tunas and Tuna Fisheries of the World, 1930-53, by Wilvan G. Van Campen and Earl E. Hoven. 1956. (Fisheries No. 111.)

Van Cleef, Eugene. Trade Centers and Trade Routes. New York, D. Appleton-Century Com., Inc., 1937.

Van Zandt. The Geography of World Air Transportation.

"World Ocean and Air Transport," in Goode's World Atlas, 10th ed., 1953. Pp. 44-45.

Woytinski, G. S. and W. S. Woytinski. World Commerce and Government Trends and Outlook. Ch. 10, Water Transportation.

APPENDIX A

SHIPPING COMPANIES

Shipping Companies and their addresses, where available:

Alaska Cruiser, Inc.*

American Australian Line*
Norton, Lilly and Co.
26 Beaver Street
New York, New York

American Mail Line
The Stuart Bldg.
Seattle, Washington

American Pioneer Line*
(U. S. Lines)

American President Lines
311 California Street
San Francisco, California

Australasian United Steam Nav.,
Co. Ltd
122 Leadenhall Street
London E.C. 3
(Australian United SN, Co.)

Australia-Oriental Line-China Nav. Co.
(Joint Service)
3, Queens R, Central, Victoria, Hong Kong
Box 424B, GPO, 6 Bridge St., Sydney,
Australia 3, Old Broad Street
London, E.C. 2

The Bank Line Ltd
24 State Street
New York, New York
(Mgrs: Weir, Andrew, Shipping & Trading
Co., Baltic Exchange Bldg, 26, Bury Street,
London, E.C. 3)

Barber Steamship Lines
Dunstone House
Dunstone Park Road
Paignton, Devon

Barkley Sound Transport Co.*
(Port Alberni B.C. Canada)

Blue Funnel Line
See: Alfred Holt & Co.
India Bldgs.
Water Street
Liverpool 2, London

Blue Star Line
Albion House
34 Leadenhall Street
London, E.C. 3

British India Steam Nav. Co., Ltd
122 Leadenhall Street
London, E.C. 3

British Phosphate Commissioners
515 Collins Street
Melbourne, Australia

Burns Philp Line & Co. Ltd
5-11 Bridge Street
Box 543, GPO
Sydney, N.S.W., Australia

Canadian Transport Co., Ltd.

Chilian Line*
29 Broadway
New York, New York

*Not listed in registry.

China, Nav. Co. Ltd.
called: Cunard Steam Ship Co. Ltd.
25 Broadway
New York 4, New York

Cia. Colombiana de Cabotage Ltda.
Apartada Aereo 1276
Cali, Colombia

Cia. Naviera de los Estados De
Mexico, S.A.
(Mexican State Lines)
Apartado 53
Mazatlan, Mexico

Coldemar Line*
U. S. Navigation
17 Battery Place
New York, New York

The Colonial Sugar Refining Co. Ltd.
1 O'Connell Street
Sydney, Australia

Compagnie Maritime des Chargeurs
Reunis
3 Boulevard Malesherbes
Paris, France

Compañía Chilena de Navegación
Interoceánica
Edificio Interoceano Plaza Justicia 59,
Valparaiso, Chili

Compañía de Muelles de la Población
Vergara
Calle Blanco 951
Valparaiso, Chili

Compañía Marítimo de los FFCC
del Estado*
Calle Errazuriz, 711
Edificio Estación
Puerto, Valparaiso

Compañía Naviera Haverbeck and
Skalweit S.A.
Calle General Lagos 1931
Valdivia, Chili

Compañía Sud American de Vapores
Calle Blanco 895
Valparaiso, Chili

Crusader Line

Daido Line
General Agent: General SS Co.
added as result of inquiring
on Transatlantic SS Co.

De La Rama Lines
Suite 518
25 Broadway
New York, New York

Dutch Lines*

The East Asiatic Comp. Ltd.
24 State Street
New York, New York

Eastern and Australian SS Ltd.
122 Leadenhall
London, E. C. 3

Everett S. S. Corp.
155 Juan Luna
P. O. Box 1846
Manila, P.I.

Federal Steam Navigation Co. Ltd.
138 Leadenhall Street
London, E. C. 3

Fern-Ville Far East Line*
39 Broadway, New York

*Not listed in registry.

Flota Mercante Grancolombiana, S. A.
Carrera S. Apartado Aereo 4482
Bogota, Colombia

Fred Olsen Line*
No. Pacific Service
Fred Olsen Line Agency Ltd.
465 California Street
San Francisco, California

French Line*
General SS Co.
432 California Street
San Francisco 4, California

Fruit Express Line*

Furness Line
(Bermuda & West Indies SS Co., Ltd.)
Furness House
Leadenhall Street, London, E. C. 3

Furness Prince Line
See Furness Line

Global Transport Line

Grace Line
2 Pine Street
San Francisco, California

Gulf & So. American SS Co., Inc.
620 Gravier Street
New Orleans

Hamburg-American Line

Hanscatic Line

Hawaiian Marine-Freightways, Inc.

Holland-American Line
No. Pacific Coast Service
457 Post Street
San Francisco 2, California

Holland-Australia Line
c/o Holland-American Line

Holland-East Asia Line*
c/o Holland-American Line

INO Kaiun Kaisha Ltd.
Yokohama Service from
N. Y., Montreal, L. A.
24 State Street
New York, New York

Independent Line*
Costa Rica

The Indo-China S. N. Co. Ltd.
Jardine, Matheson & Co. Ltd.
18 Pedder Street, Victoria,
Hong Kong and 3 Lombard St.,
London, E. C. 3

Interocean Line
310 Sansome Street
San Francisco, California

Isbrandtsen Co. Inc.
26 Broadway
New York 4, New York

Isthmian Lines
71 Broadway
New York 4, New York

Italian Line
c/o General SS Co.
Italian Government
Rome, Italy

Italnavi Line

Ivaran Lines*
17 Battery Place
New York, New York

Java Pacific & Hoegh Lines

***Not listed in registry.**

Johnson Line
2 Pine Street
San Francisco, California

Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd.

Klaveners Line
c/o Oversea Shipping Co.
310 Sansome Street
San Francisco, California

Knutsen Line

Koninklijke Paketvaart-Maatschappij

Laeisz Line
Stelp & Leighton Ltd.
9-13 Fenchurch Bldgs.
Fenchurch Street, London, E.C. 2
(Laeisz, F. Line)
(Trastbrücke 1)
(Hamburg 11, Germany)

Lauro Line

Lloyd Triestino

Luckenback SS Co., Inc.

Lykes Bros. SS Co., Inc.

Maersk Line

Marina Mercante Nicaraguense, SA
Apartado Postale 508
Mangua, Nicaragua

Matson Line

Messageries Maritimes

Mexican Mail SS Co.*

Mitsubishi Line

Mitsui SS Co., Ltd.

Moore, J. J. Co.

Moore-McCormack Lines

Nedlloyd Line

New Zealand Government
(Marine Dept.)
P. O. Box 2395 Wellington, C.I.
New Zealand

The New Zealand Shipping Co. Ltd.
Rochester Bldg.
138 Leadenhall Street
London, E.C. 3 also
Wellington, New Zealand

Nippon Yusen Kaisha (N.Y.K.)
Line

Nisson Pacific Line

Nitto Line

North German Lloyd
Hamburg-American Line

Norwegian-American Line
(Around the world cruises)

Orient & Pacific Lines*

Orient Line

Osaka Shosei Kaisha (O.S.K.) Line

Ostasiatiske Kompagni
Aktieselskabet, Det.
Holbergsgade 2
Copenhagen K., Denmark

Pacific Australia Direct Line

*Not listed in registry.

Pacific Carribean Line

Pacific Far East Line, Inc.
311 California Street
San Francisco, California
(office in L. A.)

Pacific Islands Transport Line

Pacific Micronesian Line, Inc.*

Pacific Orient Express Line*
c/o General SS
432 California Street
San Francisco 4, California

Pacific Republics Line
c/o Moore McCormack

Pacific Shipowners LTD
Renwick Road (P. O. Box 299)
Suva, Fiji

The Pacific Steam Navigation Co.

Peninsular & Oriental Steam Nav. (P&O)
122 Leadenhall Street
London, E. C. 3

Peru Line

Philippine National Line

Pope & Talbot, Inc.
(Pacific Argentine Brazil Line, Inc.)
320 California Street
San Francisco 11, California

Port and Associated Lines
(The Cunard Steam-Ship Co.)

Puget Sound Nav. Co.

Royal Interocean Lines

Royal Mail Lines, Limited
c/o Furness Witly & Co. Ltd.
108 W. 6th Street
Los Angeles, California
Lloyd's Reg: Royal Mail House
Leadenhall Street, London,
E. C. 3

The Royal Netherlands SS Co.

Saguenay Shipping

S. A. Importadora y Exportadora
de la Patagonia
Avenida Roque Saenz Peña, 555
Buenos Aires

Scottish Shire Line Ltd.
4 St Mary Axe
London, E. C. 3

Seekontor Line

Shaw Savill Line

Shinnihon Line

Societa di Navigazione "Italia"*

Societa Italiana, "Litmor"
Via P. E. Bensa 1, Genoa, Italy

Standard Fruit & SS Co.

States Line
262 California Street
San Francisco 11, California

States Marine Lines

*Not listed in registry.

Swedish American Line
(single cruise)

The Tonga Shipping Agency*

Transatlantic SS Co., Ltd.
General Agent:
General SS Corp.
240 Battery Street
San Francisco, California

Union SS Co. of New Zealand, Ltd.
230 California Street
San Francisco 11, California

United Fruit Co.

United States Nav. Co. Inc.
(listed under Associated Lines)

Waterman Line
c/o Waterman SS Corp.
61 St. Joseph Street
Mobile, Alabama

Westfal-Larsen Comp. Line

Weyerhaeuser SS Co.

Yamashita Line

*Not listed in registry.

APPENDIX B

ESTIMATION OF COMBINED LINER AND TRAMP TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION IN PACIFIC OCEAN AREA BY GROSS TONNAGE

INTRODUCTION

An estimation of the gross tonnage per vessel in the Pacific Ocean carrying trade has been made to obtain an idea of the size of vessels habitually present in that area of the world. The choice of gross tonnage as the criterion was determined by its ready availability in the great majority of the reference materials examined, since the British favor its use as a yardstick of measurement.

Gross tonnage is used as a measure of the internal capacity of the vessel under consideration, 100 cubic feet per ton, in accordance with the measurement rules of one of the internationally recognized classification agencies. (See reference 57, page 16, for a partial list.)

METHODS OF ESTIMATION

The names of the shipping companies operating in the Pacific Ocean Area were obtained as a by-product of determining the number of voyages per year over the various shipping routes. These companies were listed alphabetically. The ships owned or operated by individual companies were then found, principally in Lloyd's Register, and certain of the ship characteristics noted. Not all of the companies listed were used in the sample, because the names of some could not be found in the registry books. Also, after searching for the first 40 company names on the list, this practice was abandoned as too laborious, and a sample of the remaining companies was procured by searching only for every fifth name on the list. This process produced a listing of 663 vessels and their gross tonnages, after a number of vessels known to be used solely in traffic in the Atlantic Ocean Area had been eliminated. The gross-tonnage figures were assembled into the same categories as those used in Lloyd's statistical tables. These figures formed the basis of the estimation of the distribution of gross tonnage per vessel for liner traffic (figure 1B and columns 1, 2, and 3 of table 1B). It was further reasoned that large ships were less likely to be used in tramp traffic, especially that ships of 15,000 tons gross weight or above would in all probability be reserved for liner traffic use, where their greater capacity could be used to better advantage. (See reference 57, pages 261 and 262, under definition of liners.) On the other hand, converted C3 ships could exceed 10,000 tons gross weight. Hence, an estimation of the gross tonnage per vessel




Figure 1B. Estimated Distribution of Gross Tonnage Per Vessel in Pacific Ocean Liner Traffic and Combined Liner and Tramp Traffic.

distribution for tramp traffic was made (column 4 of table 1B) by truncating the liner traffic estimate and normalizing the remaining portion, that below 15,000 tons gross weight.

Estimation of the ocean-wide proportion of tramp versus liner traffic is based on the liner count and the ship entrance data of tables 2 and 3 in the main body of this report. These tables establish that the total traffic for the 31 reporting ports relates to the total counted liner traffic for these ports by a ratio of 2.7 to 1.

It is likely that the survey of liner traffic conducted for this study is not complete; i.e., that some liner traffic has not been listed because schedules were not available. Therefore, it was assumed that the individual tonnage distributions for the liner traffic count needed revision upward by a factor of 10 per cent before being combined (column 5 of table 1B). The distribution for tramp tonnage was similarly weighted in column 6 of table 1B to account for the estimated total shipping. The distribution for combined traffic tonnage was obtained by adding the liner and tramp weighted proportions for the various tonnage intervals and normalizing the distribution (columns 7 and 8 of table 1B). Figure 1B presents the estimated tonnage distribution of the combined liner and tramp traffic in the Pacific Ocean Area.

Table 1B. Computation of Estimated Gross Tonnage Distribution Per Vessel
for Liner, Tramp, and Combined Traffic in Pacific Ocean Area

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Gross Tonnage Intervals (Tons)	Number of Ships From Liner Sample	Per Cent of Ships That Are Liners	Per Cent of Ships That Are Tramps - (Estimated - Remainder of Distribution Times 1.047)	Correction for Missing Liners (Column 3 (Times 1.10)	Correction for Missing Tramps (Column 4 Times 1.6)	Combined Traffic (Column 5 Plus Column 6)	Combined Traffic Normalized (Column 7 Times 1/2.7)
100-500	9	1.4	1.47	1.54	2.35	3.89	1.44
501-1,000	2	0.3	0.31	0.33	0.50	0.83	0.31
1,001-2,000	23	3.5	3.66	3.85	5.86	9.71	3.60
2,001-4,000	75	11.2	11.73	12.32	18.77	31.09	11.51
4,001-6,000	87	13.1	13.72	14.41	21.95	36.36	13.47
6,001-8,000	226	34.1	35.70	37.51	57.12	94.63	35.05
8,001-10,000	121	18.3	19.17	20.13	30.67	50.80	18.81
10,001-15,000	90	13.6	14.24	14.96	22.78	37.74	13.98
15,001-20,000	10	1.5	0.00	1.65	0.00	1.65	0.61
20,001-plus	20	3.0	0.00	3.30	0.00	3.30	1.22