

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 296 795

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

63-2-4

NOLTR 62-177

CATALOGED BY ASTIA
AS AD NO.

296795

NOL

A GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM
FOR $u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$

17 OCTOBER 1962



UNITED STATES NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY, WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NOLTR 62-177

296 795

- RELEASED TO ASTIA
BY THE NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
- ☒ Without restrictions
 - ☐ For Release to Military and Government Agencies Only.
 - ☐ Approval by BuWeps required for release to contractors.
 - ☐ Approval by BuWeps required for all subsequent release.

Mathematics Department Report

A GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM
FOR $u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$

Prepared by:
James Conlan

ABSTRACT: This paper proves an existence theorem for the partial differential equation $u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$ with certain linear combinations of u , u_x and u_y given on two non characteristic curves.

PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 1962

U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NOLTR 62-177

17 October 1962

A Generalized Boundary Value Problem for $u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$

This report deals with a generalization of the Coursat problem for $u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$.

This work was performed under NOL Task No. FR-30.

ROBERT ODENING
Captain, USN
Commander



RICHARD C. ROBERTS
By direction

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. The boundary value problem	3
3. The finite difference scheme	5
4. The sequences of approximating functions	9
5. Convergence to a solution	13
6. Other conditions on f	15
REFERENCES	18

A GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM
FOR $u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$

INTRODUCTION

1. The Euler-Cauchy polygon method for proving the existence of a solution for

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y), \quad y(0) = y_0,$$

was extended by J. B. Diaz [3] to the characteristic boundary value problem for a hyperbolic partial differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{xy} &= f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y), \\ u(x, 0) &= \sigma(x), \quad u(0, y) = \tau(y), \quad \sigma(0) = \tau(0). \end{aligned}$$

Here f is assumed to be bounded and continuous for (x, y) in some rectangle R , and for all possible values of u, u_x, u_y , and to satisfy a Lipschitz condition in u_x, u_y . Under these conditions an existence theorem in the large, i.e., throughout all of R , was obtained.

This method has been further extended by I. I. Glick [4] to the n -dimensional analogue of (1.1),

$$u_{x_1 \dots x_n} = f, \quad u = \sigma_1 \quad \text{on } x_1 = 0,$$

where f is a function of the x_i 's of u and of all mixed partial derivatives of u of order less than n , and where the σ_i 's satisfy certain obvious compatibility conditions.

In the 2-dimensional case the method was extended by J. Conlan [2] to the Cauchy problem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{xy} &= f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y) , \\
 u_x &= \sigma(x) && \text{on } y = x, \\
 u_y &= \tau(y) && \text{on } y = x, \\
 u(0,0) &= 0 ,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.2}$$

and to the mixed boundary value problem, i.e., boundary conditions in (1.2) replaced by

$$u(0, y) = \tau(y) , \quad u(x, x) = \sigma(x) , \quad \sigma(0) = \tau(0) .$$

In this paper we consider the 2-dimensional problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{xy} &= f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y) , \\
 u_x &= \sigma + au_y + bu && \text{on } y = \eta(x) , \\
 u_y &= \tau + cu_x + du && \text{on } x = \xi(y) , \\
 \eta(0) &= \xi(0) = 0 , \\
 u(0,0) &= u_0, \text{ a constant ,}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.3}$$

where σ , a , b are continuous functions of x , and τ , c , d are continuous functions of y . The method of proof used here is again by an extension of the method used in [3]. Using a different method, A. K. Aziz and J.B.Diaz[1]

have treated the corresponding problem for the linear equation $u_{xy} + au_x + bu_y + cu = d$ where a, b, c , and d are functions only of x and y . We refer the reader to [1] for a discussion of the history of the problem, and for an extensive bibliography. In the linear case Aziz and Diaz were able to obtain an existence theorem in the large. However for problem (1.3) all we get is an existence theorem in the small.

We note that the characteristic boundary value problem, the Cauchy problem, and the mixed boundary value problem are special cases of (1.3). So also is the Goursat problem, i.e., boundary conditions in (1.3) replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad & u(x, \eta(x)) = \sigma(x) \quad , \\
 & u(\xi(y), y) = \tau(y) \quad , \\
 & u(0, 0) = \sigma(0) = \tau(0) = 0 \quad .
 \end{aligned}$$

To see this differentiate the first two of (1.4), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_x(x, \eta(x)) + \eta'(x)u_y(x, \eta(x)) &= \sigma'(x) \quad , \\
 \xi'(y)u_x(\xi(y), y) + u_y(\xi(y), y) &= \tau'(y) \quad ,
 \end{aligned}$$

and these are of the same form as the boundary conditions of (1.3).

2. The boundary value problem.

Let R consist of the points (x, y) such that $0 \leq x \leq A$ and $0 \leq y \leq B$. Let S consist of those points (u, p, q) such that $-\infty < u, p, q < \infty$. And let C be the cartesian product $R \times S$. The precise formulation of the problem to be solved is given in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. Hypotheses:

- (a) f is a continuous real valued function of (x, y, u, p, q) in C .
- (b) There is a constant $M > 0$ such that $|f(x, y, u, p, q)| < M$ in C .
- (c) f satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition in (p, q) , i.e., there is a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, y, u, \bar{p}, \bar{q}) - f(x, y, u, p, q)| \leq L(|\bar{p} - p| + |\bar{q} - q|)$$
 whenever the arguments of f are in C .
- (d) σ, a, b, η are continuous functions of x for $0 \leq x \leq A$, and τ, c, d, ξ are continuous functions of y for $0 \leq y \leq B$.
- (e) $|a(x) \cdot c(y)| < 1$ for (x, y) in R .
- (f) $\xi(0) = \eta(0) = 0$, and $0 \leq \xi(y) \leq A$, $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq B$.
- (g) There is a continuous function of x , say ζ , such that $\zeta(0) = 0$, $\zeta(A) = B$, and such that $y = \zeta(x)$ has an inverse for $0 \leq x \leq A$. Moreover the graph of $y = \eta(x)$ lies below that of $y = \zeta(x)$ and the graph of $x = \xi(y)$ lies above it, i.e., $\eta(x) \leq \zeta(x)$ and $\xi(y) \leq \zeta^{-1}(y)$.
- (h) Letting $\|a\| = \sup \{ |a(x)| : 0 \leq x \leq A \}$, etc., we assume that

$$A \left\{ \frac{\|a\|}{1 - \|a\|} + \frac{\|d\|}{\|c\|} \right\} + B \left\{ \frac{\|b\|}{1 - \|a\|} + \frac{\|d\|}{\|c\|} \right\} < 1.$$
- (i) $\|a\| \|c\| \exp L(A+B) < 1$.

Conclusion:

There is at least one real valued function $u(x, y)$ which is continuous together with its partial derivatives u_x, u_y, u_{xy} in R , and which satisfies the partial differential equation

$$u_{xy}(x, y) = f[x, y, u(x, y), u_x(x, y), u_y(x, y)]$$

and which satisfies the following boundary conditions (here we use the notation $u_1(x,y) = u_x(x,y)$ and $u_2(x,y) = u_y(x,y)$),

$$u_1[x, \eta(x)] = \sigma(x) + a(x) u_2[x, \eta(x)] + b(x) u[x, \eta(x)] ,$$

$$u_2[\xi(y), y] = \tau(y) + c(y) u_1[\xi(y), y] + d(y) u[\xi(y), y] ,$$

$$u(0,0) = u_0 .$$

The proof of this theorem will occupy the next several sections.

We note that the above boundary value problem is equivalent to the following system of integral equations, where $F(x,y) = f[x,y,u(x,y), u_1(x,y), u_2(x,y)]$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad u(x,y) &= \int_0^x \left\{ \sigma(s) + a(s) u_2[s, \eta(s)] + b(s) u[s, \eta(s)] \right\} ds \\ &+ \int_0^y \left\{ \tau(t) + c(t) u_1[\xi(t), t] + d(t) u[\xi(t), t] \right\} dt \\ &+ \left\{ \int_0^y dt \int_{\xi(t)}^x ds - \int_0^x ds \int_0^{\eta(s)} dt \right\} F(s,t) + u_0 , \\ u_1(x,y) &= \sigma(x) + a(x) u_2[x, \eta(x)] + b(x) u[x, \eta(x)] + \int_{\eta(x)}^y F(x,t) dt , \\ u_2(x,y) &= \tau(y) + c(y) u_1[\xi(y), y] + d(y) u[\xi(y), y] + \int_{\xi(y)}^x F(s,y) ds . \end{aligned}$$

3. The finite difference scheme.

Let n be a positive integer and let $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = A$. Let $y_j = \zeta(x_j)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n$, where ζ is given in condition (g) of theorem 2.1. Then for $0 \leq j, k < n-1$ let

$$R_{j,k} = \{ (x,y) : x_j \leq x < x_{j+1}, y_k \leq y < y_{k+1} \} ,$$

and

$$R_{n-1,k} = \{ (x,y) : x_{n-1} \leq x \leq x_n, y_k \leq y < y_{k+1} \},$$

etc.

We next introduce the following functions. For (x,y) in $R_{j,k}$ let

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} x_{j-1} & \text{if } j > 0 \\ x_j & \text{if } j = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \mu(y) = \begin{cases} y_{k-1} & \text{if } k > 0 \\ y_k & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$\hat{\pi}(x,y) = \sigma(x) + a(x)\rho(x,y) + b(x) \Theta(x,y),$$

$$\hat{\rho}(x,y) = \tau(y) + c(y)\pi(x,y) + d(y) \Theta(x,y),$$

$$\Theta(x,y) = \int_0^x \hat{\pi}[\lambda(s), \eta(\lambda(s))] ds + \int_0^y \hat{\rho}[\xi(\mu(t)), \mu(t)] dt$$

$$+ \left\{ \int_0^y dt \int_{\xi[\mu(t)]}^x ds - \int_0^x ds \int_0^{\eta[\lambda(s)]} dt \right\} \phi(s,t) + u_0,$$

$$\pi(x,y) = \begin{cases} (\Theta_{j+1,k} - \Theta_{j,k}) / (x_{j+1} - x_j), & j \geq 0, k \geq 1, \\ (\Theta_{j,0} - \Theta_{j-1,0}) / (x_j - x_{j-1}), & j \geq 1, k = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Theta_{j,k} = \Theta(x_j, y_k)$, etc.,

$$\rho(x,y) = \begin{cases} (\Theta_{j,k+1} - \Theta_{j,k}) / (y_{k+1} - y_k), & j \geq 1, k \geq 0, \\ (\Theta_{0,k} - \Theta_{0,k-1}) / (y_k - y_{k-1}), & j = 0, k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For (x,y) in $R_{0,0}$ let $\pi(x,y) = u_1(0,0)$, $\rho(x,y) = u_2(0,0)$ where

$$u_1(0,0) = \sigma(0) + a(0) u_2(0,0),$$

$$u_2(0,0) = \tau(0) + c(0) u_1(0,0).$$

Let

$$\phi(x,y) = f[\lambda(x), \mu(y), \Theta(\lambda(x), \mu(y)), \pi(x,y), \rho(x,y)].$$

One can now verify that if Θ , π , ρ have been computed in cells $R_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$, then it is possible to compute Θ , π , ρ in cells $R_{k,j}$ and $R_{i,k}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq k$ in terms of quantities already computed in the previous cells.

If $j \geq 0, k \geq 1$ then for (x,y) in $R_{j,k}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \pi(x,y) &= \pi_{j,k} = (\Theta_{j+1,k} - \Theta_{j,k}) / (x_{j+1} - x_j) \\
 &= \frac{1}{x_{j+1} - x_j} \left\{ \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \hat{\pi}[\lambda(s), \eta(\lambda(s))] ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[\int_0^{y_k} dt \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} ds - \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} ds \int_0^{\eta[\lambda(s)]} dt \right] \phi(s,t) \right\} \\
 &= \hat{\pi}[x_{j-1}, \eta(x_{j-1})] + \int_{\eta(x_{j-1})}^{y_k} \phi(x_j, t) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where x_{j-1} is to be replaced by x_j when $j = 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Theta(x,y) &= \hat{\pi}[\lambda(x), \eta(\lambda(x))] + \int_{\eta[\lambda(x)]}^y \phi(x,t) dt \\
 &= \hat{\pi}[x_{j-1}, \eta(x_{j-1})] + \int_{\eta(x_{j-1})}^y \phi(x,t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(x,y) = \phi(x_j, y)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Theta(x, y_k) = \pi(x, y_k) \quad \text{if } x_j < x < x_{j+1} ,$$

$$\frac{\partial^+}{\partial x} \Theta(x_j, y_k) = \pi(x_j, y_k) .$$

In the case of $j \geq 1$, $k = 0$ we get

$$\frac{\partial^+}{\partial x} \Theta(x_{j-1}, y_k) = \pi(x_j, y_k) .$$

Similarly if $j \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ then

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(x, y) = \rho(x_j, y_k) = (\Theta_{j, k+1} - \Theta_{j, k}) / (y_{k+1} - y_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \left\{ \int_{y_k}^{y_{k+1}} \hat{p}[\xi(\mu(t)), \mu(t)] dt \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{y_k}^{y_{k+1}} dt \int_{\xi[\mu(t)]}^{x_j} \phi(s, t) ds \right\}$$

$$= \hat{p}[\xi(y_{k-1}), y_{k-1}] + \int_{\xi(y_{k-1})}^{x_j} \phi(s, y_k) ds ,$$

where y_{k-1} is to be replaced by y_k when $k = 0$. Then as above

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Theta(x_j, y) = \rho(x_j, y) \quad \text{if } y_k < y < y_{k+1} ,$$

$$\frac{\partial^+}{\partial y} \Theta(x_j, y_k) = \rho(x_j, y_k) .$$

If $j = 0, k \geq 1$ then

$$\frac{\partial^+}{\partial y} \Theta(x_j, y_{k-1}) = \rho(x_j, y_k) .$$

We now introduce the functions p, q defined as follows:

$$p(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^+}{\partial x} \Theta(x, y), & x = x_j \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Theta(x, y), & x_j < x < x_{j+1} \end{cases}$$

$$q(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^+}{\partial y} \Theta(x, y), & y = y_k \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Theta(x, y), & y_k < y < y_{k+1} . \end{cases}$$

In what follows we will be interested in a sequence of subdivisions of R such that as n tends to infinity

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_n = \sup \{ |x_{j+1} - x_j| + |y_{k+1} - y_k| : 0 \leq j < n, 0 \leq k < n \}$$

tends to zero.

To each n will correspond a particular Θ, π, ρ, p, q etc., and when we wish to indicate this explicitly we will write $\Theta^{(n)}, \pi^{(n)}, \rho^{(n)}, p^{(n)}, q^{(n)}$ etc.

4. The sequences of approximating functions.

We now want to show that the sequences $\{ \Theta^{(n)} \}, \{ p^{(n)} \}, \{ q^{(n)} \}$ contain subsequences $\{ \Theta^{(k(n))} \}, \{ p^{(k(n))} \}, \{ q^{(k(n))} \}$ such that $\Theta^{(k(n))} \rightarrow u, p^{(k(n))} \rightarrow u_1$, and $q^{(k(n))} \rightarrow u_2$, where u is a solution to the boundary value problem. Let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 1. A family of functions $\{g^{(n)}(x,y)\}$ is equioscillating to zero on R if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ and an $n_0 > 0$ such that $|g^{(n)}(\bar{x},\bar{y}) - g^{(n)}(x,y)| < \epsilon$ whenever $n > n_0$, $|\bar{x}-x| < \delta$, $|\bar{y}-y| < \delta$, $(\bar{x},\bar{y})$ in R and (x,y) in R .

We want to show that $\{\Theta^{(n)}\}$, $\{p^{(n)}\}$, $\{q^{(n)}\}$ are uniformly bounded in absolute value and are equioscillating to zero on R .

Theorem 4.1. $\{\Theta^{(n)}\}$, $\{p^{(n)}\}$, $\{q^{(n)}\}$ are uniformly bounded in absolute value on R .

Proof: We will first prove the theorem for $\{\Theta^{(n)}\}$, $\{\pi^{(n)}\}$, $\{\rho^{(n)}\}$.

From the definitions of Θ , π , ρ (dropping the superscript n) we see that there is a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$||\pi|| \leq M_1 + ||a|| ||\rho|| + ||b|| ||\Theta||,$$

$$||\rho|| \leq M_1 + ||c|| ||\pi|| + ||d|| ||\Theta||,$$

$$||\Theta|| \leq M_1 + A ||\pi|| + B ||\rho||.$$

Hence

$$||\pi|| \leq M_1 + ||a|| \{M_1 + ||c|| ||\pi|| + ||d|| ||\Theta||\} + ||b|| ||\Theta||,$$

and since $||a|| ||c|| < 1$,

$$||\pi|| \leq \{M_1(1+||a||) + (||a|| ||d|| + ||b||) ||\Theta||\} \{1 - ||a|| ||c||\}^{-1}.$$

Similarly

$$||\rho|| \leq \{M_1(1+||c||) + (||b|| ||c|| + ||d||) ||\Theta||\} \{1 - ||a|| ||c||\}^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$||\Theta|| \leq M_1 \left\{ 1 + \frac{A(1+||a||) + B(1+||c||)}{1 - ||a|| ||c||} \right\} + \left\{ A(||a|| ||d|| + ||b||) + B(||b|| ||c|| + ||d||) \right\} \frac{||\Theta||}{1 - ||a|| ||c||}$$

and so by condition (h) of theorem (2.1) the $||\theta||$'s are uniformly bounded, and hence so are the $||\pi||$'s and the $||\rho||$'s.

But it is obvious that the uniform boundedness of the $||\pi||$'s implies the uniform boundedness of the $||p||$'s, and similarly for the $||\rho||$'s and $||q||$'s.

In order to show that the sequences are equioscillating to zero we need the following result.

Theorem 4.2. If $0 \leq g(x) \leq M$ and $0 \leq g(x) \leq \gamma + L \left| \int_a^x g(s) ds \right|$ for $0 \leq a \leq A$, $0 \leq x \leq A$, then $g(x) \leq \gamma \exp(LA)$.

Proof: If $a < x$ then

$$g(x) \leq \gamma + L \int_a^x \left\{ \gamma + L \int_a^{s_1} g(s_1) ds_1 \right\} ds \leq \gamma(1+LA) + L^2 \int_a^x ds \int_a^s g(s_1) ds_1,$$

$$\leq \dots \leq \gamma \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(LA)^n}{n!} + M \frac{(LA)^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}.$$

Theorem 4.3. Each of the sequences $\{\theta^{(n)}\}$, $\{p^{(n)}\}$, $\{q^{(n)}\}$ is equioscillating to zero on R.

Proof: The theorem is obviously true for $\{\theta^{(n)}\}$. We next consider $\{\pi^{(n)}\}$ and $\{\rho^{(n)}\}$. Let us assume (x,y) in $R_{j,k}$ and $(\bar{x},\bar{y})$ in $R_{j,K}$ and j,J,k,K all ≥ 1 . Again suppressing the superscript n , we have

$$|\pi(\bar{x},\bar{y}) - \pi(x,y)| \leq |\pi(\bar{x},\bar{y}) - \pi(\bar{x},y)| + |\pi(\bar{x},y) - \pi(x,y)|.$$

It is obvious that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that (for n large enough) $|\pi(\bar{x},\bar{y}) - \pi(\bar{x},y)| < \varepsilon/2$ whenever $(\bar{y}-y) < \delta$. Hence we need only consider the term $|\pi(\bar{x},y) - \pi(x,y)|$. Similarly it is only necessary to consider $|\rho(x,\bar{y}) - \rho(x,y)|$. But then by (3.1), (3.2), and applying the Lipschitz condition to f ,

$$|\pi(\bar{x}, y) - \pi(x, y)| \leq \gamma + ||a|| |\rho(x, \eta(x_j)) - \rho(x, \eta(x_j))|$$

$$+ L \left| \int_{\eta(x)}^y |\pi(\bar{x}, t) - \pi(x, t)| dt \right|,$$

$$|\rho(x, \bar{y}) - \rho(x, y)| \leq \gamma + ||c|| |\pi(\xi(y_k), y) - \pi(\xi(y_k), y)|$$

$$+ L \left| \int_{\xi(y)}^x |\rho(s, \bar{y}) - \rho(s, y)| ds \right|,$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is equioscillating to zero.

Therefore by theorem 4.2,

$$|\pi(\bar{x}, y) - \pi(x, y)| \leq \left\{ \gamma + ||a|| |\rho(x, \eta(x_j)) - \rho(x, \eta(x_j))| \right\} \exp(LA)$$

$$|\rho(x, \bar{y}) - \rho(x, y)| \leq \left\{ \gamma + ||c|| |\pi(\xi(y_k), y) - \pi(\xi(y_k), y)| \right\} \exp(LB)$$

If we choose constants β, ω, ψ such that

$$0 \leq \gamma \exp(LA) = \beta, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \exp(LB) = \beta, \quad ||a|| \exp(LA) = \omega, \quad ||c|| \exp(LB) = \psi,$$

then

$$|\pi(x_j, y_k) - \pi(x_j, y_k)| \leq \beta + \omega |\rho[x_j, \eta(x_j)] - \rho[x_j, \eta(x_j)]|,$$

$$|\rho(x_j, y_k) - \rho(x_j, y_k)| \leq \beta + \psi |\pi[\xi(y_k), y_k] - \pi[\xi(y_k), y_k]|.$$

Repeated application of these last inequalities gives

$$|\pi(x_j, y_k) - \pi(x_j, y_k)| \leq \beta + \omega \left\{ \beta + \psi |\pi[\xi(\eta(x_j)), \eta(x_j)] - \pi[\xi(\eta(x_j)), \eta(x_j)]| \right\}$$

$$= \beta(1+\omega) + \omega\psi |\pi[\xi(\eta(x_j)), \eta(x_j)] - \pi[\xi(\eta(x_j)), \eta(x_j)]| \leq \beta(1+\omega) \sum_{r=0}^m (\omega\psi)^r +$$

$$(\omega\psi)^{m+1} \sup \left\{ |\pi(\bar{x}, y) - \pi(x, y)|; (\bar{x}, y) \in R, (x, y) \in R \right\}.$$

Thus if $\omega\psi < 1$ then $\{ \pi^{(n)} \}$ is equioscillating to zero. But

$\omega\psi = ||a|| ||c|| \exp[L(A+B)]$, and this is < 1 by hypotheses (i) of theorem 2.1. We dispose of $\{p^{(n)}\}$ in a similar way.

It is obvious from the definition of p, q that since $\{\pi^{(n)}\}, \{\rho^{(n)}\}$ are equioscillating to zero on R , then so are $\{p^{(n)}\}, \{q^{(n)}\}$.

5. Convergence to a solution.

Since each of the sequences $\{\theta^{(n)}\}, \{p^{(n)}\}, \{q^{(n)}\}$ is equibounded and equioscillating to zero, we can apply Arzela's theorem. More specifically we can find a subsequence $\{n(s)\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\theta^{(n(s))}$ converges uniformly to a continuous limit function θ^* on R . Then we can find a subsequence $\{n(s(t))\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{n(s)\}$ such that $p^{(n(s(t)))}$ converges uniformly to a continuous limit function p^* on R . But then we can find a subsequence $\{n(s(t(k)))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{n(s(t))\}$ such that $q^{(n(s(t(k))))}$ converges uniformly to a continuous limit function q^* on R . If we now choose the original subsequence $\{n(s)\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ to coincide with $\{n(s(t(k)))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ then for (x, y) in R

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \theta^{(n(s))}(x, y) = \theta^*(x, y),$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} p^{(n(s))}(x, y) = p^*(x, y),$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} q^{(n(s))}(x, y) = q^*(x, y).$$

We want to show that $\theta^*(x, y)$ is a solution of the boundary value problem, and that

$$\frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial x} = p^*, \quad \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial y} = q^*.$$

To this end consider

$$(5.1) \quad \Theta^{(n(j))}(x,y) = \left\{ \int_0^x [\sigma(s) + a(s)q^*(s, \eta(s)) + b(s) \Theta^*(s, \eta(s))] ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^y [\tau(t) + c(t)p^*(\xi(t), t) + d(t) \Theta^*(\xi(t), t)] dt \right. \\ \left. + \left[\int_0^y dt \int_{\xi(t)}^x ds - \int_0^x ds \int_0^{\eta(s)} dt \right] f[s, t, \Theta^*(s, t), p^*(s, t), q^*(s, t)] + u_0 \right\}.$$

Suppressing the superscript on $\Theta^{(n(j))}$ and using the fact that

$$p[\lambda(x), \mu(y)] = \pi(x, y), \quad q[\lambda(x), \mu(y)] = \rho(x, y),$$

the above expression is just equal to

$$\int_0^x \left\{ \sigma(\lambda(s)) - \sigma(s) + a(\lambda(s))q[\lambda(s), \eta(\lambda(s))] - a(s)q^*(s, \eta(s)) \right. \\ \left. + b(\lambda(s)) \Theta[\lambda(s), \eta(\lambda(s))] - b(s)\Theta^*(s, \eta(s)) \right\} ds \\ + \int_0^y \left\{ \tau(\mu(t)) - \tau(t) + c(\mu(t))p[\xi(\mu(t)), \mu(t)] - c(t)p^*(\xi(t), t) \right. \\ \left. + d(\mu(t))\Theta[\xi(\mu(t)), \mu(t)] - d(t) \Theta^*(\xi(t), t) \right\} dt \\ + \left\{ \int_0^y dt \int_{\xi(t)}^x ds - \int_0^x ds \int_0^{\eta(s)} dt \right\} \left\{ f[\lambda(s), \mu(t), \Theta(\lambda(s), \mu(t)), \right. \\ \left. p(\lambda(s), \mu(t)), q(\lambda(s), \mu(t))] - f[s, t, \Theta^*(s, t), p^*(s, t), q^*(s, t)] \right\} + \gamma_1$$

$$\text{where } |\gamma_1| \leq M \left\{ B \sup_{0 \leq t \leq B} |\xi[\mu(t)] - \xi(t)| + A \sup_{0 \leq s \leq A} |\eta[\lambda(s)] - \eta(s)| \right\},$$

and this last term goes to zero as the α_n (defined by (3.3)) goes to zero.

The absolute value of the integrand in the double integrals is not greater than

$$|f[\lambda, \mu, \Theta(\lambda, \mu), p(\lambda, \mu), q(\lambda, \mu)] - f[\lambda, \mu, \Theta^*(\lambda, \mu), p^*(\lambda, \mu), q^*(\lambda, \mu)]| \\ + |f[\lambda, \mu, \Theta^*(\lambda, \mu), p^*(\lambda, \mu), q^*(\lambda, \mu)] - f[s, t, \Theta^*(s, t), p^*(s, t), q^*(s, t)]| .$$

By the uniform convergence of Θ, p, q to Θ^*, p^*, q^* respectively, and by the continuity of $f, \sigma, \tau, a, b, c, d$ it is clear that (5.1) goes to zero as $n(j) \rightarrow \infty$.

All that remains to do is to show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Theta^* = p^*, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Theta^* = q^* .$$

To do this proceed with p and q in the same way as we did with Θ , i.e., consider for example

$$p^{(n(j))}(x, y) - \left\{ \sigma(x) + a(x)q^*(x, \eta(x)) + b(x)\Theta^*(x, \eta(x)) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\eta(x)}^y f[x, t, \Theta^*(x, t), p^*(x, t), q^*(x, t)] dt \right\} .$$

As in the case of (5.1) this expression goes to zero as $n(j) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p^{(n(j))} = p^* = \frac{\partial \Theta^*}{\partial x} .$$

Similarly we can show that $q^* = \frac{\partial \Theta^*}{\partial y}$. This completes the proof of theorem 2.1.

6. Other conditions on f .

It was shown by Glick [3] that in the case of the n -dimensional characteristic boundary value problem the condition that $|f|$ be bounded can be replaced by the condition that f satisfy a Lipschitz condition in u as well

as in the partial derivatives of u . The following theorem shows that we can get a similar result for problem (1.3).

Theorem 6.1. We make the same assumptions in theorem 2.1, except that conditions (b) and (c) are replaced by the following condition:

f satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition in (u, p, q) i.e., there is a constant $L > 0$ such that $|f(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}) - f(x, y, u, p, q)| \leq L(|\bar{u} - u| + |\bar{p} - p| + |\bar{q} - q|)$ whenever the arguments of f are in C .

Then for A, B sufficiently small, we have the same conclusion as in theorem 2.1.

Proof: Let $\bar{f}(x, y, u, p, q) = f(x, y, 0, 0, 0)$ and let $\bar{\Theta}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{\rho}$ denote Θ, π, ρ corresponding to the function $\bar{f}$. Then since $|\bar{f}|$ is bounded on R and hence on C , the sequences $\{\bar{\Theta}^{(n)}\}, \{\bar{\pi}^{(n)}\}, \{\bar{\rho}^{(n)}\}$ are uniformly bounded on R . Hence there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\pi|| &\leq ||\bar{\pi}|| + ||\pi - \bar{\pi}|| \leq ||\bar{\pi}|| + ||a|| ||\rho - \bar{\rho}|| + ||b|| ||\Theta - \bar{\Theta}|| \\ &\quad + L \left| \int_{\eta}^y \{ ||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho|| \} dt \right| \\ &\leq M + ||a|| ||\rho|| + ||b|| ||\Theta|| + LB(||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho||). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$||\rho|| \leq M + ||c|| ||\pi|| + ||d|| ||\Theta|| + LA(||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho||),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Theta|| &\leq M + A(||a|| ||\rho|| + ||b|| ||\Theta||) + B(||c|| ||\pi|| + ||d|| ||\Theta||) \\ &\quad + LAB(||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho||). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that for A,B sufficiently small we can proceed as in section 4 to prove the uniform boundedness of $\Theta^{(n)}$, $\pi^{(n)}$, $\rho^{(n)}$. The rest of the proof goes through as before.

Finally we note that the boundedness of $|f|$ or the Lipschitz continuity of f with respect to u can be replaced by the condition

$$|f| < K(|u| + |p| + |q|)$$

for some constant $K > 0$. To see this it is only necessary to point out that in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\pi|| &\leq ||\sigma|| + ||a|| ||\rho|| + ||b|| ||\Theta|| + KB(||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho||), \\ ||\rho|| &\leq ||\pi|| + ||c|| ||\pi|| + ||d|| ||\Theta|| + KA(||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho||), \\ ||\Theta|| &\leq A(||\sigma|| + ||a|| ||\rho|| + ||b|| ||\Theta||) + B(||\pi|| + ||c|| ||\pi|| + ||d|| ||\Theta||) \\ &\quad + KAB(||\Theta|| + ||\pi|| + ||\rho||). \end{aligned}$$

and again, for A,B sufficiently small it follows that $\{\Theta^{(n)}\}$, $\{\pi^{(n)}\}$, $\{\rho^{(n)}\}$ are uniformly bounded.

This kind of condition on f has been used by Z. Szmydt [5] who treats a similar problem by a fixed point technique.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aziz, A. K., and Diaz, J. B.: On a mixed boundary value problem for linear hyperbolic partial differential equations in two independent variables. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis. Vol. 10, No. 1, 1-28(1962).
- [2] Conlan, J.: The Cauchy Problem and the mixed boundary value problem for a nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equation in two independent variables. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis. Vol. 3, No. 4, 355-380(1959).
- [3] Diaz, J. B.: On an analogue of the Euler-Cauchy polygon method for the numerical solution of $u_{xy} = F(x, y, u, u_x, u_y)$. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis. Vol. 1, No. 4, 357-390(1958).
- [4] Glick, I. I.: On an analogue of the Euler-Cauchy polygon method for the partial differential equation $u_{x_1 \dots x_n} = f$. NOLTR 61-26, U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, April 26, 1961.
- [5] Szmydt, Z.: Sur l'existence de solutions de certains problemes aux limites a un systems d'equations differentielles hyperboliques. Bulletin de l'Academie Polonaise des Sciences, serie des math., astr., et phys. Vol. VI, No. 1, 1958.

NOLTR 62-177

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (M1)

	Copies		Copies
Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Document Library Branch	2	Director of Intelligence Headquarters, USAF Washington 25, D. C. Attn: AOIN-3B	
Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. Attn: Tech. Information Branch	2	Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia Attn: Theoretical Aero- dynamics Division	1
Attn: Director, Ballistic Research Laboratories	2	Attn: Librarian	2
National Aeronautics and Space Agency Geo. C. Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Alabama Attn: M-SandS-PT (Mr. H. A. Connell)	3	Attn: Adolf Busemann	1
ASTIA Arlington Hall Arlington, Va.	10	Attn: John Stack	2
Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: DLI-3 Attn: RR-14 Attn: RMMS Attn: RRRE Attn: RRMA Attn: RMGA	4 1 1 1 1 1	National Aeronautics and Space Agency 1512 H Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: B. A. Mulcahy, Chief Div. of Research Information	
Commanding Officer and Director David Taylor Model Basin Aerodynamics Laboratory Washington 7, D. C. Attn: Library		Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California Attn: Librarian	
Commanding Officer and Director David Taylor Model Basin Applied Mathematics Laboratory Washington 7, D. C. Attn: Dr. H. Polachek Attn: Dr. D. Shanks		NASA Flight Research Center Edwards, California Attn: Mr. W. C. Williams	
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Library, Bldg. 92, Rm.211		Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, 11, Ohio Attn: Librarian Attn: Chief, Supersonic Propulsion Div.	
		Commander, Pacific Missile Range Point Mugu, California Attn: Technical Library	2
		National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Applied Math. Div.	
		Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	100

NOLTR 62-177

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (M1)

	Copies		Copies
Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Technical Library Attn: Code 406 Attn: Code 507 Attn: Code 5019		The Avco Manufacturing Corp., Research Laboratories 2385 Revere Beach Pkwy. Everett 49, Massachusetts	
Commander U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia Attn: Technical Library	2	CONVAIR Corp., A Division of General Dynamics Corp., Daingerfield, Texas.	
Commanding Officer ONR Branch Office Box 39, Navy 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York		Cornell Aeronautical Lab. Inc., P. O. Box 235, 4455 Genessee St. Buffalo 21, New York Attn: Librarian	
Office of Naval Research T-3 Building, Room 2715 Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Head, Mechanics Branch Attn: Dr. J. Weyl		Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc., Santa Monica Division 3000 Ocean Park Blvd., Santa Monica, California Attn: Chief Engineer	
Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Dr. H.M.Trent, Code 6230 Attn: Code 2027		Navy Ordnance Division Eastman Kodak Company 50 West Main Street Rochester 14, New York Attn: Mr. W. B. Forman	2
Commander Aeronautical Systems Division Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson AF Base, Ohio Attn: WCOSI-3		Missile and Ordnance Systems Dept., General Electric Co., 3198 Chestnut Street Philadelphia 4, Pennsylvania Attn: L. Chasen, Mgr. Library	2
Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee Att: Dr. A. S. Householder		Mathematics Research Center U. S. Army University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin Attn: Prof. R.E.Langer Attn: Dr. Donald Greenspan	
AER, Inc., 871 E. Washington Street Pasadena, California Attn: Dr.A.J.A.Morgan			
Allied Research Associates, Inc., 43 Leon Street Boston 15, Massachusetts Attn: Miss Joyce Larkin			

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (ML)

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
	Guggenheim Aeronautical Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California		Case Institute of Technology Cleveland 6, Ohio
1	Attn: Aeronautics Library	1	Attn: G. Kuerti
	Hughes Aircraft Co. Florence Avenue at Teale Street Culver City, California		Cornell University Graduate School of Aero. Eng. Ithaca, New York
1	Attn: Mr. Dana H. Johnson R & D Tech Library	1	Attn: Prof. W. R. Sears
	McDonnell Aircraft Corp. P. O. Box 516 St. Louis 3, Missouri		Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins University 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland
1	Attn: Engineering Library, Dept. 644	2	Attn: Technical Reports Group
	United Aircraft Corporation 400 Main Street East Hartford 8, Connecticut		The Johns Hopkins University Charles and 34th Streets Baltimore 18, Maryland
1	Attn: Chief Librarian	1	Attn: Dr. Francis H. Clauser
	Superintendent U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California		Mathematics Department Harvard University Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
1	Attn: Library, Technical Reports Section	1	Attn: Prof. G. Birkhoff
	University of California Berkeley 4, California	2	Director Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics University of Maryland College Park, Maryland
1	Attn: Dr. S. A. Schaaf		Massachusetts Institute of Tech. Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
	University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Attn: Prof. Joseph Kaye, Room 1-212
1	Attn: Dr. G. E. Forsythe		University of Michigan Randall Laboratory Ann Arbor, Michigan
	California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California	1	Attn: Prof. Otto Laporte
1	Attn: Aeronautics Department		University of Michigan Supervisor Technical Documents Services Willow Run Laboratories University of Michigan Willow Run Airport Ypsilanti, Michigan
	Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California		
1	Attn: Library		

NOLTR 62-177

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (M1)

No. of
Copies

1	University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota Attn: Mechanical Eng. Dept.
1	The Ohio State University Research Foundation Columbus 10, Ohio Attn: Security Officer, Carlos Brewer
1	Attn: Dr. Paul M. Pepper
1	Applied Math. & Statistics Lab. Stanford University Stanford, California
1	Defense Research Laboratory The University of Texas P. O. Box 8092 Austin 12, Texas Attn: H. D. Krick, Asst. Director
1	Department of Civil Engineering Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado Attn: Professor J. E. Cermak
1	Electrical Engineering Department Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland Attn: Dr. N. H. Choksy
	General Electric Company Missile and Space Division 3198 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. Jerome Persh

CATALOGING INFORMATION FOR LIBRARY USE

BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION				
	DESCRIPTORS	CODES	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AND CODE COUNT	DESCRIPTORS
SOURCE	NOL technical report	NOLTR		Unclassified - 19
REPORT NUMBER	62-177	620177	CIRCULATION LIMITATION	U019
REPORT DATE	17 October 1962	1062	CIRCULATION LIMITATION OR BIBLIOGRAPHIC	
			BIBLIOGRAPHIC (SUPPL., VOL., ETC.)	

SUBJECT ANALYSIS OF REPORT				
	DESCRIPTORS	CODES	DESCRIPTORS	CODES
Partial		PARI	Sequences	SEQU
Differential		DIFE	Approximating	APPR
Equation		EQUA	Functions	FUNC
Theorem		THEY	Convergence	CONVG
Generalized		GENA	Solution	SOLG
Boundary		BOUD	Euler	EULE
Value		VALU	Cauchy	CAUC
Problem		PROB		
Finite		FNIT		
Linear		LINN		
Combinations		CMBI		
Mathematical		MATH		

Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md. (NOL technical report 62-177) A GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR $u_{xy}=f(x,y,u,u_x,u_y)$, by James Conlan. 17 Oct. 1962. 10p. tables. NOL task FR-30. UNCLASSIFIED This paper proves an existence theorem for the partial differential equation $u_{xy}=f(x,y,u,u_x,u_y)$ with certain linear combinations of u,u_x, and u_y given on two non characteristic curves.	1. Differential equations, Partial 2. Euler-Cauchy method 3. Hyperbolic systems I. Title II. Conlan, James III. Project
Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md. (NOL technical report 62-177) A GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR $u_{xy}=f(x,y,u,u_x,u_y)$, by James Conlan. 17 Oct. 1962. 10p. tables. NOL task FR-30. UNCLASSIFIED This paper proves an existence theorem for the partial differential equation $u_{xy}=f(x,y,u,u_x,u_y)$ with certain linear combinations of u,u_x, and u_y given on two non characteristic curves.	1. Differential equations, Partial 2. Euler-Cauchy method 3. Hyperbolic systems I. Title II. Conlan, James III. Project
Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md. (NOL technical report 62-177) A GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR $u_{xy}=f(x,y,u,u_x,u_y)$, by James Conlan. 17 Oct. 1962. 10p. tables. NOL task FR-30. UNCLASSIFIED This paper proves an existence theorem for the partial differential equation $u_{xy}=f(x,y,u,u_x,u_y)$ with certain linear combinations of u,u_x, and u_y given on two non characteristic curves.	1. Differential equations, Partial 2. Euler-Cauchy method 3. Hyperbolic systems I. Title II. Conlan, James III. Project