

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 298 138

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

298138

CATALOGED BY ASTIA

AS AD NO.

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT 35

DISINFECTION OF
MICROBIAL AEROSOL CHAMBERS
WITH BETA-PROPIOLACTONE

MARCH 1963

MAR 14 1963

ASTIA

A

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
BIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES
FORT DETRICK

NO OTS

U .S. ARMY CHEMICAL-BIOLOGICAL--RADIOLOGICAL AGENCY
U.S. ARMY BIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland

The work reported here was performed
under Project 4B11-05--015, "Safety
Measures for Protection Against BW
Research and Development Hazards",
Task -01.

Robert L. Alg
George J. Harris
Manuel S. Barbeito

SAFETY DIRECTOR

Project 1C022-301A07201

March 1963

This document or any portion thereof may not be reproduced without specific authorization from the Commanding Officer, Biological Laboratories, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland; however, ASTIA is authorized to reproduce the document for U.S. Government purposes.

The information in this report has not been cleared for release to the general public.

ASTIA AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this document from ASTIA.

Foreign announcement and dissemination of this document by ASTIA is limited.

FOREWORD

This publication is based upon research first reported in BL Technical Report 26, published July, 1960.

We sincerely appreciate the assistance of Dr. C. R. Phillips and Mr. R. K. Hoffman in guiding the planning and evaluating of these tests.

ABSTRACT

Aerosol chambers contaminated with bacterial spores and with vegetative cells, either wet or dry, have been successfully decontaminated with beta-propiolactone, a recently developed gaseous sterilant. Test factors of concentration, time, humidity, temperature, corrosion, and the removal of residual products have been investigated, and optimum treatment conditions have been established. Personnel protection and assay procedures for determining deterioration and residual beta-propiolactone are discussed.

CONTENTS


Foreword	3
Abstract	3
I. INTRODUCTION	4
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS OF TESTING	5
III. RESULTS	7
IV. DISCUSSION	8
A. Residual BPL	8
B. Corrosion Problems with BPL	8
C. Personnel Protection	8
V. CONCLUSIONS	9
Literature Cited	11
References	11

APPENDICES

A. LABORATORY ASSAY PROCEDURES FOR BETA-PROPIOLACTONE	12
B. DISSEMINATING DEVICES	14

I. INTRODUCTION

The search for liquids and vapors for sterilizing enclosed areas contaminated with microorganisms prompted investigation of the efficacy of vaporized beta-propiolactone. Beta-propiolactone* (BPL) is an alkylating agent with an empirical formula $\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_2-\text{C}=\text{O}$, similar in structure to



ethylene oxide. It is a colorless liquid at room temperature, boils at 162.3°C, and has a specific gravity of 1.1490,¹ and a vapor pressure of about 3.4 millimeters of mercury at 25°C.² The vapor is nonflammable and noncorrosive at the recommended concentration. Commercial grade BPL (97 per cent) is stable for several years when stored at 4°C,² and the half-life of aqueous solutions is approximately three and one-half hours at 25°C.³ These characteristics, in addition to its known bactericidal and sporicidal action, justified the evaluation of BPL vapor as a sterilant for aerosol vessels.

The sterilization efficacy of BPL vapor is a direct function of concentration, relative humidity, and temperature. A temperature of 25°C or higher is desirable; the effectiveness of the disinfectant decreases rapidly at relative humidities below 70 per cent.

Although BPL has several disadvantages (toxicity, solvent action), it is in several respects superior to most other sterilants. Among the advantages of BPL are: (a) it has good bactericidal properties, (b) it acts rapidly, (c) its residual end-products are easily neutralized and are readily soluble in water, and (d) its vapors are lachrymatory (it thereby serves as its own warning device), but are less irritating than those of other sterilants.

The aerosol chambers used in these tests cannot be sealed to permit sterilization with steam under pressure, ethylene oxide gas mixtures, or other procedures requiring pressure of vacuum. Use of highly corrosive chemical sprays such as peracetic acid are not normally feasible because of deleterious effects on the materials of the chambers. Until May 1956, these chambers were sterilized routinely by admitting formaldehyde in the presence of large amounts of steam. For safety reasons, the vessels were maintained at a slightly reduced air pressure (1.0 inch of water) during sterilization. Although formaldehyde has proved satisfactory, in many cases its use imposed serious operational limitations. These limitations can be summarized as follows:

*Source: Cleanese Corp. of America, 180 Madison Ave., New York, 16, N. Y.

(a) Formaldehyde tends to deposit solid polymers (i.e. para-formaldehyde) that are difficult to neutralize and remove following the sterilization process. These polymers slowly revert to formaldehyde gas, thus creating a problem of recontamination. It is necessary to steam and air-wash the chamber for one or two 2-hour periods followed by an air-wash of 24 to 48 hours' duration to remove the polymerization products and to prevent subsequent release of formaldehyde vapor.

(b) Even when the formaldehyde vapor concentration is reduced below the detectable limits of the chromotropic acid assay, there still may be a residual effect that will affect the validity of aerosol data.

(c) The toxicity of formaldehyde vapors and polymers to human tissue creates a problem, because it is often necessary for workers to enter the chambers after sterilization to work on equipment or remove pieces of equipment for repair.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS OF TESTING

The microorganisms used in the tests were aerosolized in three test chambers with capacities of 50,000 liters, 100,000 liters, and 1,000,000 liters.

Serratia marcescens and spores of Bacillus subtilis var. niger and Bacillus anthracis were used as test organisms. The volume of test material aerosolized in the smaller chambers varied from 10 to 35 milliliters of a suspension containing 2×10^{10} organisms per milliliter. In the 1,000,000-liter chamber, 100 milliliters of a suspension containing 2×10^{10} organisms per milliliter was used.

The same basic pattern was followed in all of the sterilization tests. The relative humidity (RH) of the aerosol chamber was adjusted prior to the dissemination of the BPL to obtain a final RH of 80 to 85 per cent. Incomplete disinfection results if the RH is below 70 per cent; if the RH is too high condensation occurs, causing fallout of the beta-propiolactone onto the surfaces. Approximately 15 minutes prior to sterilization the BPL to be disseminated was mixed with water in a 1:3 ratio.

Preliminary tests indicated that three milligrams of BPL per liter of air did not sterilize the test chambers; therefore, the amount of BPL was increased to give an air concentration of approximately six milligrams per liter. The concentration of BPL vapor in the air was measured by withdrawing air samples and analyzing the samples spectrometrically.

After a contact period of 30 minutes, an additional 3 milligrams of BPL per liter of chamber volume was disseminated to compensate for fallout

(as condensation) of the vapor and to maintain a theoretical concentration of six milligrams of BPL per liter of air.* A final contact period of one hour was maintained (total contact time, one and one-half hours). The inside of the 1,000,000-liter chamber was then washed with 275 gallons of 0.5 per cent sodium thiosulfate solution to neutralize the BPL. The neutralization treatment was followed by a water rinse to remove residual thiosulfate and other residual products. Further tests have shown that no thiosulfate wash is necessary and that water alone is entirely satisfactory.

Following the BPL contact period and subsequent water rinse, the viable test microorganisms were detected by sampling the interior surfaces of the chambers with sterile cotton swabs that had been immersed in physiological saline. The cotton swab samples were streaked on media suitable for the recovery of the microorganisms under test, as follows:

Bacillus anthracis - five per cent sheep's blood agar

Bacillus subtilis var. niger - nutrient agar

Serratia marcescens - nutrient agar containing 0.5 per cent Tamol "N".**

All plate samples were read after 24 and 48 hours of incubation at optimum temperature.

* See Appendix B for disseminating devices and sources.

** Tamol "N" leaches the red pigment from the colony into the surrounding agar for rapid identification.

III. RESULTS

The interiors of the chambers were purposely contaminated with vegetative cells of Serratia marcescens, or spores of either Bacillus anthracis or Bacillus subtilis var. niger. A total of 39 sterilization trials were conducted in the three chambers as follows:

Chamber Capacity Liters	Microorganisms		
	<u>B. anthracis</u>	<u>S. marcescens</u>	<u>B. subtilis</u>
50,000	5	16	0
100,000	5	7	2
1,000,000	0	1	3 ^a /

- a. In each of two of these tests viable cells were recovered on one cotton swab.

Of the 2850 surface samples taken following these sterilization trials, only one sample from each of two different sterilization trials was positive for the test organisms. The failure to achieve sterilization in these two trials was attributed to faulty dispersion of the BPL caused by (a) excessively long dissemination time, and (b) the solvent action of BPL on the painted inner surface of the disseminating device. These failures are indicative of the need for dispersing the BPL quickly throughout the spaces to be sterilized.* Rapid dissemination of BPL is required to compensate for the accelerated decay of BPL when aerosolized as small droplets which collectively represent a large surface area.

* See Appendix B.

IV. DISCUSSION

Before BPL was used to sterilize chambers, other sterilants were used effectively, but with undesirable limitations. These included limitations imposed by the chambers themselves, the corrosive effect of chemical sprays, the formation of polymers requiring lengthy neutralization procedures, cleansing problems of the interior surfaces of the chambers, residual traces that could affect the validity of subsequent experiments, and toxicity to humans.

A. RESIDUAL BPL

The primary advantage of BPL as a sterilant in the chambers is its ease of removal after the sterilization cycle. In the tests described here, residual BPL was neutralized by washing the inside of the chamber with 0.5 per cent sodium thiosulfate solution. Subsequently, a series of measurements to determine residual BPL after treatment indicated that the thiosulfate wash was not necessary. Quantitative assay for residual BPL following treatment without a thiosulfate wash indicated a residuum of less than one gamma per liter of air within one-half hour after a cold water wash. Of more critical importance than the chemical assay is the biological effect of using fastidious vegetative microorganisms. To date, no biological interference has been detected between tests in which the thiosulfate wash was used and those in which it was omitted.

B. CORROSION PROBLEMS WITH BPL

The use of any sterilant in chambers raises questions of corrosive action on metal fittings and damage to rubber products such as gloves and gaskets. Corrosion of metal products by BPL is negligible, but occasionally galvanic corrosion may occur as in any bimetallic system. BPL may have a deleterious effect on neoprene products if it is not removed with water immediately following the contact period.

C. PERSONNEL PROTECTION

BPL is not only toxic upon inhalation, but also can cause erythema and vesication if a high concentration of its vapor, or the liquid, remains in contact with the skin for an extended time. The vapor of BPL in low concentrations is irritating and lachrymatory.¹ Severe skin burns have resulted from spills of liquid BPL on shoes and clothing. The incorporation of brilliant green dye as one part per million in the BPL-water mixture can aid in visual detection of BPL on skin and clothing. The presence of the dye on clothing indicated the need for an immediate clothing change and shower to prevent skin burns. The incorporation of the dye in the BPL-water mixture does not lessen its sterilization effectiveness. When handling BPL, wearing of protective clothing, including rubber boots, gloves, apron, and face shield is recommended.

BPL as a vapor may be detected by the gas detection tubes developed by the Chemical Corps, which were recently incorporated in Civil Defense Survival Kits.⁴ The sensitivity of the detection tubes is 0.002 milligram of BPL. This test is based on the blue color caused by the alkylation of gamma (p-nitrobenzyl) pyridine absorbed on silica gel inside a small glass tube through which air is drawn by a hand pump. Similar detection tubes are also available commercially.*

V. CONCLUSIONS

The tests described indicate that beta-propiolactone is an excellent sterilant for the air and surfaces in closed chambers. A calculated concentration of six milligrams of BPL per liter of air is needed at the beginning of the contact period, followed one-half hour later by three milligrams of BPL per liter of air for a total contact time of one and one-half hours to complete the sterilization. A device that rapidly disseminates the BPL-water mixture should be used so that a sterilizing concentration is maintained during the entire contact time.

The quantity of residual beta-propiolactone present one-half hour after commencing the water wash following sterilization is so negligible that tests capable of detecting one gamma per liter of air did not indicate the presence of beta-propiolactone. Tests indicated, moreover, that no neutralization of BPL or its breakdown products was needed.

Neoprene products deteriorate slightly following exposure to beta-propiolactone. This effect may not be detected for months in the case of thick neoprene valve diaphragms, etc., but gloves may become tacky after a single application. Tackiness may be avoided by washing the neoprene product immediately following the contact time with water or a 0.5 per cent solution of sodium thiosulfate.

Copper or brass products may be oxidized slightly by beta-propiolactone but not to a serious extent. Stainless steel is not affected, although galvanic corrosion may be accelerated if it is in contact with dissimilar metals.

The sterilization efficacy of BPL vapor is a direct function of the concentration, relative humidity, and temperature. A temperature of 25°C or higher, and a relative humidity between 70 to 85 per cent have proved satisfactory.

Particular attention should be given to recessed areas within the chamber to be sterilized, to assure that all areas can be reached by the beta-propiolactone vapor.

*Brothers Chemical Co., 575 Forest St., Orange, New Jersey.

BPL vapor has several advantages over formaldehyde as a sterilant:

- (a) Its vapors are lachrymatory, giving BPL its own warning device, but are less irritating than those of formaldehyde.
- (b) It does not polymerize as readily as formaldehyde.
- (c) It is readily soluble in water.
- (d) In aqueous solution, it has a short half-life, which may be reduced further by increasing the temperature.
- (e) It is easily removed by air washing.
- (f) It acts more rapidly than formaldehyde; however, in the liquid state BPL is more toxic than formaldehyde.

11

LITERATURE CITED

1. "Beta-Propiolactone", Celanese Corporation of America, Chemical Division, New York, New York. 1958. (Pamphlet N-61)
2. Hoffman, R. K., and Warshowsky, B. "Beta-Propiolactone vapor as a disinfectant", Appl. Microbiol. 6(5) 358-362, 1958.
3. Feazel, Charles E., and Lang, Edward W. "New vapor phase disinfectant", Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama. 1959.
4. Spinner, D. R., and Hoffman, R. K. "Method for disinfecting large enclosures with Beta-Propiolactone vapor, "Appl. Microbiol. 8(3) 152-155, 1960.

REFERENCES

"Betaprone for the sterilization of vaccines, tissue grafts, and plasma," Testagar and Company, Inc., Detroit 26, Michigan.

"Beta-Propiolactone as a sterilant," B. F. Goodrich Company, Brecksville, Ohio, January 1956.

Dawson, F. W.; Hearn, H. J.; and Hoffman, R. K. "Virucidal activity of B-Propiolactone vapor", Appl. Microbiol. 7(4) 199-201, 1959.

Progress Report 4, "Evaluation of some decontamination procedures", Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama. October 1955.

LoGrippe, Gerald A., and Hartman, Frank W. "Antigenicity of B-Propiolactone-inactivated virus vaccines", J. Immunol 75(2) 123-128, 1955.

LoGrippe, Gerald A. "Beta-Propiolactone for the sterilization of biological materials", The Henry Ford Hospital Med. Bull. 5(2) 94-99, 1957.

LoGrippe, Gerald A.; Burgess, Bueno; Teodoro, Rosario; and Fleming, Joseph L. "Procedure for bone sterilization with Beta-Propiolactone", J. Bone Joint Surgery 38-A(6) 1356-1364, 1957.

LoGrippe, Gerald A. "Antigenicity of combined B-Propiolactone and ultraviolet-inactivated virus vaccines," J. Immunol 80(3) 198-203, 1958.

APPENDIX A

LABORATORY ASSAY PROCEDURES FOR BETA-PROPIOLACTONE

Two basic laboratory procedures are used in assaying BPL. The first procedure (~~deterioration detection~~) is used for assay of the bulk product; the second procedure (detection of residual BPL) is used to assay samples containing low concentrations of BPL.

A. DETERIORATION DETECTION

The concentration of BPL in bulk must be determined immediately upon receipt and periodically during storage to indicate possible deterioration during transit and storage.

1. Pipette 25 milliliters of 0.4 M sodium thiosulfate into a flask and add 1 milliliter of 2 M dibasic potassium phosphate buffer solution.
2. Weigh out a sample of BPL in a small glass vial, between 0.3 and 0.4 gram, known to the nearest 0.1 milligram.
3. Place the weighed vial containing the BPL in the flask containing the thiosulfate-buffer solution.
4. Swirl gently to mix and allow to react for 10 to 20 minutes.
5. After this reaction period, wash down the sides of the flask with about 25 milliliters of water and titrate with 0.2 N iodine solution, using starch as an indicator.
6. Blanks. All blanks should be run so as to simulate run conditions to correct for minor errors that may occur when the thiosulfate is titrated in weakly alkaline solution. Add 5 milliliters of sodium acetate before titration.

Per cent beta-propiolactone = $\frac{A - B}{Wt} \times N \times 7.206$, where

A is milliliter of iodine required for blank,

B is milliliter of iodine required for run,

N is normality of iodine, and

Wt is weight of sample in milligrams

The correction factor, 0.995, is based upon results obtained by cryoscopic analysis and represents the practical product purity.

7. Reagents. Sodium thiosulfate approximately 0.4 Molar


Standard Iodine solution 0.2 Normal

Dibasic potassium phosphate buffer solution
(K_2HPO_4) 2 Molar

Sodium acetate 1 Molar

Starch solution 0.5 per cent

8. The reaction involved in the determination is



9. The above method of analysis for beta-propiolactone was excerpted from "Chemical and Cryoscopic Analysis of B-Propiolactone" by Willard P. Tyler and Donald W. Beesing, Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 24, Page 1511, September 1952.

B. DETECTION OF RESIDUAL BPL

The BPL in air is assayed by the following procedures:

1. Reagents

20 per cent sodium hydroxide (NaOH)

20 per cent hydroxylamine hydrochloride ($\text{NH}_2\text{OH}\cdot\text{HCl}$)

$\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot (6\text{H}_2\text{O})$ 10 per cent by weight in 6N hydrochloric acid (HCl)

2. Procedure

a. Immediately prior to sampling, place 2.5 milliliter each of NaOH and $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}\cdot\text{HCl}$ solutions in the gas washing bottle (Dreschsel) for collecting the aerosol sample.

b. Draw a measured volume of air containing BPL through the bubbler.

c. Add three milliliter of FeCl_3 reagent.

d. Shake bubbler to remove entrapped air.

e. Dilute to 100 milliliter with distilled water.

f. If a greater volume of reagents is required because of high BPL content of the sample, add proportionately more reagents, i.e., 2.5 milliliter NaOH plus 2.4 milliliter $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}\cdot\text{HCl}$ plus 3 milliliters FeCl_3 .

g. Check samples of the test solution for light transmission in an electrophotometer. Compare with a reagent blank that does not contain BPL. A blank is prepared with five milliliter of the NaOH solution, five milliliter of the $\text{NH}_2\text{OH-HCL}$ solution, and six milliliter FeCl_3 solution. The mixture is brought to 100 milliliter with distilled water. The blank is used to obtain a zero reading after the electrophotometer is nulled. A 525-millimicron filter is used for all determinations.

h. The value obtained by deducting the reading of the blank from the reading of the test solution can then be compared with a standard curve to obtain the milligrams of BPL contained in the sample.

APPENDIX B

DISSEMINATING DEVICES

The sources of BPL disseminating devices found to be satisfactory listed below represent only those types tested or used at Fort Detrick. This list probably does not include others commercially available, and is not to be construed as an endorsement of the manufacturer's product.

Pulse Jet M3A3 Smoke Generator
Army Chemical Center
Edgewood, Md.

Hydromist Vaporizer
Arnold Laboratories
2507 S. Main St.
Los Angeles 7, Calif.

Tokheim Vaporizer
1672 Wabash Ave.
Ft. Wayne, Indiana

Todd Insecticidal Fog Applicator
Army Chemical Center
Edgewood, Md.

Challenger Vaporizer
Z & W Jet Sprayer Model 5100C
Z & W Manufacturing Corp.
30240 Lokeland Blvd.
Wickliffe, Ohio