

63-3-3


CATALOG
AS AD NO. 403346

403 346

Nonr - 3603(00)

Special Report

HEAT OF FORMATION OF
 LiAlH_4



National Research Corporation

FOR ERRATA

AD 403346

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE CHANGES

TO BASIC DOCUMENT

403346

AD 403346

ERRATA SHEET

Pertaining to
Special Report

"HEAT OF FORMATION OF LITHIUM ALUMINUM HYDRIDE"

April 26, 1963 Nonr-3608(00)

In this work, a correction for the presence of LiAlO_2 in the LiAlH_4 was required. It was necessary to know its heat of reaction with HCl . This was calculated from heats of formation data of the products and reactants. In so doing, the value for the heat of formation of LiAlO_2 was obtained from Kubaschewski and Evans, "Metallurgical Thermochemistry", as -12.9 kcal/mole. The heat of reaction obtained from this calculation was reported (last line, page 4) as -319.5 kcal/mole. A check of this calculation shows that the heat of formation value given by Kubaschewski was from the oxides, and, as such should not have been used in my calculation. The correct value of the heat of formation of LiAlO_2 from the elements, can be calculated to be -284 kcal/mole. Using this value in the calculation to determine the heat of reaction of LiAlO_2 with HCl , we arrive at -49.4 kcal/mole. Since an experimentally measured value exists (of which we were not aware at the time of the writing), this value should be used in preference to the calculated value. The measured value is that of J. P. Coughlin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 2397 (1957) and reported to be -46 kcal/mole.

1964

On the basis of the above, the following changes should be made:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Reads</u>	<u>Change to</u>
5	5	-2.240	-0.339 kcal
5	7	-2.313	-0.412
5	8	-163.640	-165.541
5	9	-168.558	-170.520
5	16	-168.56	-170.52
5	20	-26.63	-24.67
6	17	-168.56	-170.52
6	23	-26.63	-24.67
ii	8	-163.56	-170.52
ii	11	-26.63	-24.67
ii	12	10%	omit

The heat of formation of LiAlH_4 determined in this laboratory is therefore corrected from -26.63 to -24.67 kcal/mole.

Research Division
NATIONAL RESEARCH CORPORATION
70 Memorial Drive
Cambridge 42, Massachusetts

NRC Project 54-1-0226

Nonr - 3608(00)

Special Report

HEAT OF FORMATION OF
 LiAlH_4

Prepared by:

Ludwig G. Fasolino
Ludwig G. Fasolino
Project Manager

Approved by:

Allen L. Klibanoff
Allen L. Klibanoff
Program Director

Reviewed by:

Philip J. Clough
Philip J. Clough, Assistant
Director of Research

Submitted to:

Department of the Navy
Office of Naval Research
Washington 25, D. C.

26 April 1963

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	11
I INTRODUCTION	1
II APPARATUS	1
III CALIBRATIONS	2
IV MATERIALS	2
V EXPERIMENTAL	2
VI RESULTS	3
VII DISCUSSION	5
VIII BIBLIOGRAPHY	7
APPENDIX I APPARATUS	
APPENDIX II CALIBRATIONS	
APPENDIX III MATERIALS	
TABLE I ELECTRICAL CALIBRATIONS	
TABLE II HEATS OF REACTION OF LITHIUM AND ALUMINUM	
TABLE III HEATS OF REACTION OF LITHIUM ALUMINUM HYDRIDE AND MAGNESIUM	
FIGURE I CALORIMETER	
FIGURE II REACTION BOMB	
FIGURE III HEATER CIRCUIT	
FIGURE IV THERMISTOR BRIDGE	

ABSTRACT

The heat of formation of lithium aluminum hydride (LiAlH_4) has been determined by measuring the heats of solution of aluminum, lithium, and lithium aluminum hydride in 4N HCl. The heats of solution were found to be:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Delta H_{298^\circ}, \text{ kcal/mole} & & \\ \text{Al} & = & -128.14 \pm 0.39 \\ \text{Li} & = & -67.05 \pm 0.53 \\ \text{LiAlH}_4 & = & -168.56 \pm 1.31 \end{array}$$

From this data, the heat of formation of lithium aluminum hydride was calculated to be:

$$\Delta H_f^\circ \text{ at } 25^\circ\text{C} = -26.63 \pm 0.31 \text{ kcal/mole}$$

This value differs by 11% from the value of -24.08 ± 0.35 kcal/mole as determined by Davis, et al,¹ which is the value presented in Circular 500 NBS. This latter value was the only one found by a search of the literature. The value determined in this work alters any chemical propulsion calculation utilizing this information.

I INTRODUCTION

Accurate calculations in chemical thermodynamics depend upon the existence of reliable thermochemical information. It is often found that the available data is scarce and/or uncertain. A literature search has shown that the only reported value for the heat of formation of LiAlH_4 was determined by W. D. Davis, et al,¹ and found to be -24.08 ± 0.35 kcal/mole.

In that work the heat of reaction of the hydride with $\text{HCl} \cdot 50 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ was measured. By applying the known heats of formation of the other reactants and products, the heat of formation of the hydride was calculated.

The work reported here describes the experimental determination of the heat of formation of LiAlH_4 by separately measuring the heat of solution of aluminum, lithium, and lithium aluminum hydride in 4N HCl. From this heat of solution data, the heat of formation of the hydride was obtained by applying Hess' Law of Constant Heat Summation.

II APPARATUS

The calorimeter is described in detail in Appendix I. It consists essentially of an adiabatically operated, Parr combustion calorimeter, modified to increase its sensitivity. The temperature sensing element is a thermistor in a Wheatstone bridge network with a sensitivity of $6.8 \times 10^{-5}^\circ\text{C}$ or 0.17 calories. Under adiabatic conditions the thermal leak rate is 7×10^{-5} deg. min^{-1} . The reaction was carried out in a bomb in which the liberated hydrogen was confined.

III CALIBRATION

Appendix II gives the details of the apparatus calibrations. The system was calibrated electrically by the standard procedures. A chemical check was made by measuring the heat of reaction of magnesium. As shown in the appendix, favorable agreement is found with existing data for the material.

IV MATERIALS

The following materials were used in this work:

<u>Material</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Purity</u>
Aluminum	Mallinkrodt Chemicals	99.9 %+
Lithium	Lithium Corporation of America	99.9 %+
Magnesium	New England Lime Company	99.9 %+
LiAlH ₄	Metals Hydride, Incorporated	97.08%+

The analysis of the above data is presented in Appendix III.

The analysis of the LiAlH₄ shows:

	<u>% by weight</u>
LiAlH ₄	97.08
LiCl	.92
LiAlO ₂	1.22
inert	.78

V EXPERIMENTAL

One-hundred fifty ml. of 4N HCl was added to the bomb. To this (for the aluminum and lithium aluminum runs) was added 4 drops (0.17 gm) of 10% platinum chloride solution as a catalyst to hasten the reaction rates. It was found that without the use


of the catalyst for the hydride runs, the final phases of dissolution were too slow. The rate of dissolution of aluminum was increased many-fold.

The samples were sealed under argon in glass ampoules. A dry box was used for preliminary preparation of the lithium and the lithium aluminum hydride. The sample-containing ampoule was placed in the bomb, the lid put in place, and the air exhausted from the bomb. Argon was allowed to replace the air. This procedure prevented the possible ignition of the hydrogen-oxygen mixture which would be present during and after a run. The omission of this step resulted in an H_2-O_2 combustion in an early run.

The bomb was placed in the calorimeter bucket and 2000 ml of distilled water added. The bucket and jacket temperatures were adjusted to 24.85 to 24.86°C. Upon reaching equilibrium conditions the reaction was initiated by turning down the central shaft which lowered the baffle plates and crushed the glass ampoule. All runs were performed adiabatically. The evolved hydrogen was confined within the bomb during each of the runs.

VI RESULTS

From the amounts of materials used, the following equations represent the reactions studied:




Heats of reaction at constant volume, ΔE , were converted to their corresponding constant pressure values by the equation:

$$\Delta H = \Delta E + \Delta n RT$$

Tables II and III present the experimental data and calculations. Run Li-9 shows no measureable effect by doubling the sample weight. Runs Al-10 and Al-11 were made in 4N HCl containing lithium ions at a concentration equal to that following a lithium run. No significant difference is noted when compared with aluminum runs made in the absence of the lithium ions. The possibility of interaction at these dilutions is shown to be negligible.

Since the only data available on the heat of solution of lithium aluminum hydride was done in 1N HCl, a separate run was made at this dilution (Run LiAlH₄-17). No significant difference was detected when compared with other hydride runs in 4N HCl. A comparison of the data is, therefore, possible.


A correction for the catalyst used was made as follows:



where: $\Delta H_{298} = -72.8 \text{ kcal/mole}$

The correction for the amount used was +2.5 cal. The total heat evolved for those runs in which the catalyst was employed was 1200 to 1800 calories.

Corrections for the impurities in the LiAlH₄ are based on the following equations:



$\Delta H [1]$ was obtained from Circular 500⁷. $\Delta H [2]$ was calculated from heats of formation data obtained from the same source.

The actual corrections for the impurities are as follows:

$$\text{LiCl} = -.073 \text{ kcal}$$

$$\text{LiAlO}_2 = -2.240 \text{ kcal}$$

$$\text{LiAlH}_4 \text{ purity} = 97.08\%$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \Delta H_{298} (\text{LiAlH}_4) &= -165.953 - (-2.313) \\ &= -163.640 \text{ kcal/mole } 97.08\% \text{ LiAlH}_4 \\ &= -168.558 \text{ kcal/mole LiAlH}_4 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation of heat of formation of LiAlH_4 :

	kcal/mole
$\text{Al} + 3\text{HCl (aq)} \longrightarrow$	-128.14 $\pm$ 0.31%
$\text{AlCl}_3 + 3/2 \text{ H}_2$	or 0.397 kcal
$\text{Li} + \text{HCl (aq)} \longrightarrow$	-67.05 $\pm$ 0.79%
$\text{LiCl} + 1/2 \text{ H}_2$	or 0.529 kcal
$\text{LiAlH}_4 + 4\text{HCl (aq)} \longrightarrow$	-168.56 $\pm$ 0.78%
$\text{LiCl} + \text{AlCl}_3 + 4 \text{ H}_2$	or 1.31 kcal
$\text{Li} + \text{Al} + 2 \text{ H}_2 \longrightarrow \text{LiAlH}_4$	
$\Delta H_{298} = \Delta H_1 + \Delta H_2 - \Delta H_3$	
$= -26.63 \text{ kcal/mole } \pm 1.15\% \text{ or } 0.31 \text{ kcal}$	

VII DISCUSSION

A comparison of this data with existing data is presented below:



<u>Investigator</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>ΔH_{298}</u>	<u>Comment</u>
Richards, et al ⁸	1910	-126.0	H ₂ liberated
Biltz, et al ⁹	1922	-125.1	---
Young ¹⁰	1944	-126.8	H ₂ liberated
Messer ¹¹	1960	-127.5	H ₂ liberated
Circular 500 NBS*	1952	-128.5	---
This work	1963	-128.1	H ₂ confined

No data of the heat of reaction of lithium with aqueous HCl has been found. The value of -66.5 kcal/mole has been obtained by calculation^{12,13}. This work reports -67.05 kcal/mole as determined directly. Calculation based on Circular 500 data yields -67.44 kcal/mole.

The heat of reaction of LiAlH₄ in 1N HCl has been reported by Davis, et al¹. The value for ΔH_{298} in 1N HCl is given as -165.87 kcal/mole by the investigators. The material used is reported as 99.05% LiAlH₄. The value determined in this work, corrected for impurities is -168.56 kcal/mole.

For comparison of the value for the heat of formation of LiAlH₄ the Davis paper remains the only source. In that work, the heat of reaction of LiAlH₄ with 1N HCl was measured. By applying existing values of the heats of formation of the other reactants and products, the heat of formation of LiAlH₄ was calculated to be -24.08 kcal/mole. The value determined in this work is -26.63 kcal/mole.

*Calculated from data given in Circular 500

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 71 2775 (1949)
2. F.D. Rossini, Chem. Revs. 18, 233 (1936)
3. Shomate and Huffman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 65, 1625 (1943)
4. Lohr, H.R., et al, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73, 2025 (1951)
5. Westman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 2045 (1951)
6. Argue, G.R., et al, J. Phys. Chem. 65, 2041 (1961)
7. Rossini, F.D., et al, Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, NBS Circular 500, 1952
8. Richards, et al, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 32, 431 (1910)
9. Biltz, W., et al. Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem. 121, 1 (1922)
10. F. Young, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 32, 431 (1910)
11. C. Messer, AEC Report NYO 8028 (May 1960)
12. Payne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 947 (1937)
13. Brown and Latimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 58, 2228 (1936)

APPENDIX I

APPARATUS

The heats of solution were measured in a modified Parr combustion calorimeter operated adiabatically (see Figure I). The bomb (see Figure II) consisted of a nickel alloy body, the inner wall of which was gold-plated. A special lid was constructed through which passed a centered, gas-tight, moveable shaft. Two baffle plates were attached to the bottom section of the shaft which allowed the sample (contained in a glass vial) to be crushed while submerged in the acid. The plates further prevented splattering resulting from violent reactions. A thin tantalum sheet was placed on the bottom of the bomb. The bottom plate was constructed of tantalum, also. The lid bottom, shaft, and upper baffle were also gold-plated for protection against attack by the acid. A relief valve was also built into the lid to exhaust the bomb prior to a run and to release hydrogen following the run.

The lid to the calorimeter jacket was modified to allow the crushing shaft, thermistor tube, and heater tube to pass through to the calorimeter bucket contained within. Beckmann thermometers were used to monitor the bucket and jacket water temperatures. Improvements in water circulation were made by increasing the rate of stirring (small pulley used) and by placing a tube around the stirrer blades.

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

APPENDIX II

CALIBRATIONS

Temperature measurements were made by employing a GB32P8 Fenwal thermistor of 2 kilohms in one arm of a Wheatstone bridge network and powered by one mercury battery of 1.35 volts. The bridge is kept balanced by manipulating three 10-step decades totaling 111 ohms located in a second arm of the bridge. The output is amplified utilizing a Leeds and Northrup microvolt amplifier 9835-A (see Figure IV).

The sensitivity of the system is $6.8 \times 10^{-5}^{\circ}\text{C}$ per 0.5 division on the amplifier meter. Operating under adiabatic conditions the thermal leak rate was approximately 7×10^{-5} deg. min⁻¹.

The heater by which the calorimeter was electrically calibrated consisted of 15 inches of Cupron wire non-inductively wound on a threaded nylon plug and immersed in mineral oil contained in a glass well. The total resistance of the heater was 23.96 ohms as determined from voltage drop measurements. Energy from the heater is supplied by a 12 volt storage battery. The timing of the heater was measured by a Model S-10 Standard precision timer synchronized with the heater switch. The heater circuit is shown in Figure III.

The reactions studied create temperature increases of 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 deg. C. Electrical calibrations were made accordingly, because of the characteristic non-linearity of the temperature coefficient of resistance of the thermistor. The heater current was measured by the potential drop across an 0.5 ohm standard wire-wound resistor, utilizing a K-2 potentiometer.

All experimental runs, including calibrations were initiated

at a temperature between 24.85 and 24.86°C. The three ranges of thermistor resistance corresponding to temperature changes of approximately 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6°C are 18, 36, and 54 ohms respectively. The heat input was calculated as follows:

$$q, \text{ cal} = .2389 \left(\frac{E_s}{R_s} \right)^2 R_h (t_{\text{sec}}) \quad \text{where:}$$

E_s - voltage drop across standard resistor

R_s - 0.500 Ω standard wire-wound resistor

R_h - heater resistance = 23.96 Ω

t_{sec} - heating time

The results of the electrical calibration runs are shown in Table I. The uncertainties listed throughout this work are twice the standard deviation in accordance with Rossini².

In addition to the electrical calibrations, a chemical check of the apparatus was made by measuring the heat of reaction of magnesium with 1N HCl. (see Table II)

The use of 1N HCl for the magnesium runs necessitated separate energy equivalent determination since the acid concentration differed from that used in the remainder of the study. (see Table I)

The heat of reaction of magnesium with 1N HCl was found to be -111.73 ± 0.56 kcal/mole. A favorable comparison was made with other available data in which the 1N HCl solvent was used.

<u>Investigator</u>	<u>Result $\pm$ 20 kcal/mole</u>
Shomate and Huffman ³	-111.3 ± 0.02
Lohr ⁴	-111.3 ± 2.4
Westman ⁵	-111.2

Investigator

Results $\pm$ 20 kcal/mole

Argue⁶

-111.2 $\pm$ 0.25

This work

-111.7 $\pm$ 0.56

It is to be noted that in the work of this author the evolved hydrogen was confined within the bomb, whereas in the work of the others reported above, the hydrogen was allowed to escape, with corrections made accordingly.

APPENDIX III

MATERIALS

Lithium --

The lithium metal was obtained from Lithium Corporation of America as 99.9% lithium.

Spectrographic Analysis of Lithium

<u>Element sought</u>	<u>Found (ppm)</u>
Fe	1
Ni	<1
Cr	<1
Al	<10
Ti	ND<1
Cu	<5
Ca	10
Mg	10
V	ND<1
Pb	ND<5
Sn	ND<1
Mn	<1
Co	ND<10

Aluminum --

The aluminum wire was obtained from Mallinkrodt Chemicals.

<u>Element sought</u>	<u>Found (ppm)</u>
Ni	0<10
Ti	0<10
Fe	10
Cr	<10
Mg	<10
Mn	ND<5
Si	10
B	ND<10
V	ND<10
Cu	<10

Magnesium --

The magnesium metal was obtained from New England Lime Company.

Spectrographic Analysis of Magnesium

<u>Element sought</u>	<u>Found (ppm)</u>
Al	ND<10
Ca	<10
Sn	5
V	ND<10
Cu	10
Pb	100
Mn	80
B	100
Cr	ND<10
Ni	<10
Fe	80

Lithium Aluminum Hydride --

The lithium aluminum hydride was purchased from Metals Hydride Incorporated. The material was subjected to chemical analysis and yielded the following results:

Spectrographic Analysis of LiAlH_4

<u>Element sought</u>	<u>Found (ppm)</u>
Fe	<0.05
Cr	ND<0.05
Ni	ND<0.05
Si	0.05
Mg	<0.005
Pb	ND<0.05
Sn	0.03
Ca	ND<0.05
Cu	<0.03
Na	ND<0.5
B	ND<0.05

Lithium in lithium aluminum hydride --

The lithium content was found by the flame photometric method^a to be:

17.70%	- 17.71% by weight (average)
17.71%	

Aluminum in LiAlH_4 --

The aluminum content was found by the EDTA^b method to be:

69.64%	- 69.45% by weight (average)
69.56%	
69.24%	
69.37%	

Hydrogen evolution --

Analysis of the sample by reaction with 10% H_2O in dioxane mixture yielded the following:

10.31% H_2	- 10.32% H_2 by weight
10.30% H_2	
10.34% H_2	
10.32% H_2	

X-ray diffraction pattern indicated no impurity phases.

Gravimetric chlorine analysis showed 0.77% Cl. Assuming this to be in the form of LiCl , this would yield 0.92% LiCl in sample.

On the basis of the hydrogen evolution data, the material is 97.08% LiAlH_4 . By calculation, this material should contain 17.76% lithium and 68.95% aluminum. As stated above 17.71% lithium and 69.45% aluminum were found. It was assumed that the difference between the calculated and found aluminum was in the form of LiAlO_2 , a compound which would result from the pick-up of moisture. This would result in 1.22% LiAlO_2 .

The additional lithium resulting from the LiCl and LiAlO_2

^aThe uncertainty of the method at $\pm 2\sigma$ = 0.38%

^bThe uncertainty of the method at $\pm 2\sigma$ = 0.14%

would increase the calculated lithium content by 0.27% resulting in a total lithium of 18.03%. The lithium found was 17.71% $\pm 2\sigma = \pm 0.38$, indicating the upper limit at 18.09%, below which the total calculated lithium lies.

The above analytical study indicates the material to be:

LiAlH_4	97.08%
LiCl	.92
LiAlO_2	1.22
inert	<u>.78</u>
	100.0%

TABLE I
ELECTRICAL CALIBRATIONS

Run No.	q, cal	$\Delta R, \Omega$	$E = q/\Delta R,$ cal/ Ω
C-Al-14	1151.8	31.884	-36.12
C-Al-15	1154.1	32.088	-35.97
C-Al-27	1278.7	35.652	-35.87
C-Al-28	1336.3	37.041	-36.08
C-Al-29	1068.8	29.700	-35.99
C-Al-30	1295.6	35.856	-36.13
Mean			-36.03
Uncertainty			0.08
%			0.22
C-Li-16	669.0	18.597	-35.97
C-Li-17	676.9	18.856	-35.90
C-Li-18	743.3	20.657	-35.98
C-Li-19	758.5	21.038	-36.05
C-Li-20	663.9	18.462	-35.96
Mean			-35.97
Uncertainty			0.06
%			0.17
C-LiAlH ₄ -21	1847.2	51.020	-36.21
C-LiAlH ₄ -22	1661.5	45.957	-36.15
C-LiAlH ₄ -23	1598.8	43.824	-36.48
C-LiAlH ₄ -24	1864.2	51.285	-36.35
C-LiAlH ₄ -26	1737.8	48.009	-36.20
Mean			-36.28
Uncertainty			0.14
%			0.38
C-Mg-1	1088.06	30.043	-36.21
C-Mg-6	1081.85	29.970	-36.09
Mean			-36.15
Uncertainty			0.12
%			0.33

TABLE II

HEAT OF REACTION OF ALUMINUM WITH 4N HCl

Run No.	m, gm	$\Delta R, \Omega$	E, cal/ Ω	E ΔR $^{\circ}$ pt	$-\Delta E_{298}$ cal/mole	$-\Delta H_{298}$ cal/mole
Al-4	0.2404	31.909	36.03	1147.2	128757	127869
Al-5	0.2398	31.812	36.03	1143.7	128685	127797
Al-6	0.2696	36.010	36.03	1294.9	129593	128705
Al-7	0.2700	36.067	36.03	1297.0	129611	128723
Al-9	0.2701	35.971	36.03	1293.5	129213	128325
Al-10	0.2719	363.04	36.03	1305.5	129549	128661
Al-11	0.2707	36.034	36.03	1295.8	129.156	128268
Al-12	0.2703	36.111	36.03	1296.1	129377	128489
Mean						128230
Mean - C _{break}						12814
Uncertainty						266
%						0.21

HEAT OF REACTION OF LITHIUM WITH 4N HCl

Run No.	m, gm	$\Delta R, \Omega$	E, cal/ Ω	E ΔR $^{\circ}$ pt	$-\Delta E_{298}$ cal/mole	$-\Delta H_{298}$ cal/mole
Li-1	0.0696	18.764	35.97	674.9**	67286	66990
Li-2	0.0777	20.935	35.97	753.0**	67247	66951
Li-3	0.0768	20.758	35.97	746.7**	67465	67169
Li-7	0.0835	22.911	35.97	824.1**	68484	68188
Li-8	0.0954	25.446	35.97	915.3**	66575	66279
Li-9	0.1395	37.701	36.03	1358.4**	67569	67273
Mean						67142
Mean - C _{break}						67052
Uncertainty						516
%						0.77

*C_{Li} = Catalyst Correction = 2.5 cal/0.17 gm H.PtCl. (10% solution)

TABLE III

HEAT OF REACTION OF LITHIUM ALUMINUM HYDRIDE IN 4N HCl

Run No.	m, gm	$\Delta R, \Omega$	E, cal/ Ω	E ΔR C-pt	$-\Delta E_{298}$ cal/mole	$-\Delta H_{298}$ cal/mole
LiAlH ₄ -6	0.4108	50.327	36.28	1823.4	168458	166089
LiAlH ₄ -7	0.4306	52.739	36.28	1910.9	168424	166055
LiAlH ₄ -9	0.3992	48.823	36.28	1768.8	168162	165793
LiAlH ₄ -10	0.3367	41.196	36.28	1492.1	168188	165819
LiAlH ₄ -12	0.3862	47.130	36.28	1707.4	167789	165420
LiAlH ₄ -14	0.3312	40.957	36.28	1480.9	169697	167328
LiAlH ₄ -15	0.3369	41.359	36.28	1495.5	168471	166102
LiAlH ₄ -16	0.3471	42.498	36.28	1536.8	168036	165667
LiAlH ₄ -17*	0.3799	46.241	36.58	1686.5	168483	166114
Mean						166043
Mean - C _{break}						165953
Uncertainty						113
%						0.68

HEAT OF REACTION OF MAGNESIUM IN 1N HCl

Run No.	m, gm	$\Delta R, \Omega$	E, cal/ Ω	E ΔR C-pt	$-\Delta E_{298}$ cal/mole	$-\Delta H_{298}$ cal/mole
Mg-1	0.2400	30.627	36.15	1107.2**	112159	111567
Mg-2	0.2405	30.700	36.15	1107.3	111936	111344
Mg-3	0.2404	30.899	36.15	1117.0**	112964	112372
Mg-6	0.2404	30.930	36.15	1113.1	112569	111977
Mean						111815
Mean - C _{break}						111725
Uncertainty						454
%						0.41

*1N HCl as solvent

**No catalyst used

***Correction for breaking sample

TOP VIEW OF INNER BUCKET AND CONTENTS






FIGURE I

FIGURE II
REACTION BOMB




HEATER CIRCUIT



- S_1 - DPDT L&N switch
- C - Precision timer
- A - 0-5 amp ammeter
- F_1, F_2 - 3 amp fuses
- B - 12 volt lead storage battery
- R_1 - Rheostat (72 ohm, 3.1 amp)
- E_s - Receptacle for voltage drop measurement
- R_s - 0.5 ohm (2 watt) Shallcross resistor
- R_H - heater (Cupron ware)
- R_D - dummy heater
- P_1 - 110 volt H.C. receptacle

FIGURE III

THERMISTOR BRIDGE



- R_1, R_2, R_3 - 2000 Ohm ten turn helipots
- R_4 - 250 ohm ten turn helipots
- R_5, R_6 - 100 ohm resistors
- D_1 - .1 x 10 ohm resistance decade
- D_2 - 1 x 10 ohm resistance decade
- D_3 - 10 x 10 ohm resistance decade
- S_1 - Single pole single throw toggle switch
- E_1 - Mallory mercury battery (1.35 volts)
- P_1 - Output to amplifier
- T - Fenwal thermistor GB32P8

FIGURE IV