

UNCLASSIFIED

AD **407 007**

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION


CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

407 007
AS HV INV. 407007
UNIVERSITY BY UAC



Research
Laboratories

U

UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

A

EAST HARTFORD,
CONNECTICUT

RECEIVED
JUN 1953
TISIA

UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION
RESEARCH LABORATORIES
EAST HARTFORD, CONN.

B-910068-2

Analytical and Experimental
Investigations of the Fracture
Mechanisms of Controlled
Polyphase Alloys

May 31, 1963

Prepared under Navy, Bureau of Naval Weapons

Contract N600(19)59361

Quarterly Progress Report No. 2

Period: January 30, 1963 through April 29, 1963

REPORTED BY

J. A. Ford
J. A. Ford

R. W. Hertzberg
R. W. Hertzberg

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report directly from ASTIA.

UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION
RESEARCH LABORATORIES
EAST HARTFORD, CONN.

B-910068-2

Analytical and Experimental
Investigations of the Fracture
Mechanisms of Controlled
Polyphase Alloys

May 31, 1963

Prepared under Navy, Bureau of Naval Weapons

Contract N600(19)59361

Quarterly Progress Report No. 2

Period: January 30, 1963 through April 29, 1963

This report applies to work
on Contract N600(19)59361

SUMMARY

The results of continued investigations on selected unidirectionally solidified binary eutectic alloys are reported in this quarterly progress report. Large grains have been produced in the Al-CuAl₂ eutectic ingots. Micro-bend specimens, whose length dimension made an angle of zero, thirty, forty-five, sixty, and ninety degrees to the lamellae orientation, were prepared and tests carried out for the zero and ninety degree orientations indicated average tensile strengths of 46,800 psi and 22,900 psi, respectively. The failure mechanism(s) are characterized by Al platelet plastic deformation and by CuAl₂ platelet cleavage.

Preliminary information on the effect of Cr whisker orientation on the fracture mechanism(s) of unidirectionally solidified Cu-Cr ingots has been obtained for the case of whiskers oriented at 45° to the tensile load axis. The predominant failure mode was of the shear type. Also, the fracture mode of specimens solidified at high growth rates and tested parallel to the fiber orientation has been determined to be controlled by Cr whisker failure. Both shear and tensile failure modes have been identified.

Extensive examination has revealed that the shoulder failures in the Al-Al₃Ni controlled ingots were not due to microstructural peculiarities, but more probably to stress concentrations and/or load eccentricity. The Al₃Ni whiskers have been successfully extracted from the Al-matrix using a 5% aqueous HCl solution.

Analytical and Experimental Investigations of the
Fracture Mechanisms of Controlled Polyphase Alloys

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
CONTROLLED SOLIDIFICATION PROCEDURE	1
Al-CuAl ₂ System	1
MECHANICAL TESTING PROCEDURE	1
Cu-Cr System	2
Al-Al ₃ Ni System	2
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	2
Controlled Solidification Experiments	2
Mechanical Test Results	2
FUTURE WORK	4
REFERENCES	6
TABLE I	7
FIGURES 1 - 7	8
DISTRIBUTION LIST	15

Analytical and Experimental Investigations of the
Fracture Mechanisms of Controlled Polyphase Alloys

INTRODUCTION

This is the second quarterly progress report for Contract No. N600(19)59361 entitled "Analytical and Experimental Investigations of the Fracture Mechanisms of Controlled Polyphase Alloys," covering the period from January 30, 1963 through April 29, 1963. The objective of this investigation is to determine the role of highly oriented fibrous and lamellar second-phase particles on the mechanical properties of selected eutectic alloys.

This report contains a brief description of results of the experimental work carried out during the second quarter and of the work to be conducted during the next report period.

CONTROLLED SOLIDIFICATION PROCEDURE

Al-CuAl₂ System

Several master heats of high-purity Al and Cu (99.999) were prepared by induction melting in 1½-in. dia graphite crucibles. These master heats were then unidirectionally solidified in a newly designed high thermal-mass resistance furnace at a rate of 2.05 cm/hr. This experimental procedure was utilized in order to eliminate both the colony and banding defects.

MECHANICAL TESTING PROCEDURE

Continued tensile tests of unidirectionally solidified Cu-Cr ingots have been made and Al₃Ni whiskers have been extracted from unidirectionally solidified Al-Al₃Ni ingots for testing.

Cu-Cr System

Substandard tensile specimens (0.125-in. dia) were machined at an angle of 45° to the growth direction from a $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. dia Cu-Cr ingot grown at 1.28 cm/hr. These specimens were tensile tested at strain rates of 0.03 in./in./min.

Al-Al₃Ni System

The Al₃Ni whiskers have been successfully extracted from the Al-Al₃Ni unidirectionally solidified ingots using a 5% aqueous HCl solution. The extraction procedure must be carefully controlled in order to remove the whiskers without any damage. Some whisker surface attack by the extraction reagent will occur if the concentration of the HCl is allowed to increase to 15%. These extracted Al₃Ni whiskers will be tensile tested using the procedure outlined in the first progress report.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Controlled Solidification Experiments

Al-CuAl₂ System

The banding problem discussed in the first progress report has been successfully eliminated by using a high thermal-mass resistance furnace as a heat source which does not produce significant temperature fluctuations in the melt. Using this technique, several $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. dia ingots were grown at 2.05 cm/hr. Large grains were produced in which no extensive banding occurred for over an inch in length which permitted machining of single-grain specimens for the microbend tests. Figure 1 compares an as-cast ingot cross-section to a unidirectionally solidified cross-section in which a single eutectic grain of $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. length was produced.

Mechanical Test Results

Cu-Cr System

Further tensile tests were performed on specimens solidified at both slow and fast growth rates. The test bars solidified at slow growth rates failed by a single shear mode and those solidified at fast growth rates failed by a mixture of tensile and shear modes, respectively, as discussed in the first progress report. The shear mode found in both specimen groups has already been associated

with the presence of "elongated dimples" induced by the fracture of Cr fibers (Ref. 1). Studies of the tensile failure mode revealed a fibrous fracture surface apparently caused by large amounts of plastic deformation. If the Cr fibers were still the controlling factor in fracture nucleation, the fibrous regions might reveal "equiaxed dimples" as shown by the Al-Al₃Ni alloy and discussed in the first progress report. Though there are some indications of such dimples (Fig. 2a), the extensive plastic deformation occurring during the fracture process probably distorted this simple pattern into a disordered array of mounds and troughs with Cr fibers generally associated with the mounds (Fig. 2b). It appears, therefore, that fracture of the Cr fibers serves as the initiation site for both the fibrous and shear failure modes.

The effect of varying fiber orientation on fracture mechanisms of the alloy was studied. As the shear process associated with failure for specimens oriented parallel to the growth direction involves rotation of the Cr fibers in the shear band to a position nearly parallel to the fracture plane, it was of interest to study the behavior of the alloy when the fibers were initially positioned parallel to a plane of maximum resolved shear stress. Two substandard tensile bars were machined at 45° to the growth direction and tested. The resulting fracture mode was of the shear type. Electron fractography revealed that Cr fibers had nucleated the "elongated dimples" structure associated with shear failure (Fig. 3). Metallographic sections will be made to determine the relative position of the fracture plane and direction with respect to the position of the fibers in the sample.

Al-CuAl₂ System

Having successfully produced large grains of the Al-CuAl₂ eutectic, a group of microbend specimens were machined from one grain at specific angles in order to study the variation of strength with plate orientation. Seven circular discs whose surfaces were normal to the growth direction were cut from an ingot solidified at 2.05 cm/hr. Each disc was used to make one set of bend specimens wherein the length dimension of the sample made an angle of either zero, thirty, forty-five, sixty or ninety degrees with the plate direction (Fig. 4). Two discs were used for each of the sets containing plate orientations of zero and ninety degrees. By machining all samples from the same grain, the effect of crystallography on the mechanical behavior of specimens within each set was eliminated.

Test results, utilizing four-point loading, have been obtained for specimens with zero and ninety degree lamellar orientation. The data, Table I, indicate that the nominal outer fiber stress at fracture for lamellae oriented parallel to the test bar length was more than twice that for samples containing lamellae perpendicular to the length. The relative strengths of these specimens result from the presence of a maximum and a minimum amount of reinforcing by lamellae parallel and perpendicular, respectively, to the specimen length.

The data marked by asterisks in Table I indicate fracture strengths of specimens tested with the growth direction normal to the maximum stress surfaces, while the remaining data are for specimens which were positioned with the growth direction parallel to the maximum stress surfaces (Fig. 5). More data will be obtained before any definite conclusions are drawn concerning any change in mechanical behavior of either group as a function of the angle of rotation of the test bar about an axis parallel to the length dimension.

Light microscopy and electron fractography have established that for the case of lamellae parallel to the length dimension of the specimen, the Al platelets fail by plastic deformation while the CuAl_2 platelets cleave (Fig. 6). When the lamellae are normal to the test bar length dimension, the entire fracture surface appears to consist of cleaved CuAl_2 platelets (Fig. 7). Careful examination will be made to determine whether any interfacial decohesion had occurred along with the cleavage process.

Al- Al_3Ni

Metallographic sections were made in the region of the shoulder failures of those test specimens reported in the first progress report. One section revealed that there was no microstructural detail peculiar to the head end of the ingot which could be associated with the incipient fracture path. Careful examination of the unfailed shoulder of each test bar revealed the nucleation of one or more cracks. It is, therefore, felt that the shoulder failure was due to a stress concentration and/or load eccentricity and not to any microstructural detail found only at the head end of the ingot. In order to relieve the stress concentration, future tensile specimens will be machined with a more generous shoulder radius.

FUTURE WORK

During the next report period, work will continue on the following items:

1. Al- CuAl_2 microbend samples with lamellae oriented at thirty, forty-five and sixty degrees to the specimen length will be tested. In addition to calculation of maximum outer fiber strength, the elastic modulus of each sample will be obtained graphically and the modulus of the CuAl_2 phase obtained analytically.
2. Cu-Cr specimens will be prepared with Cr fibers oriented normal to the applied stress direction. Work will continue on the testing of individual Cr fibers and determining fiber size and spacing as a function of growth rate.

The data marked by asterisks in Table I indicate fracture strengths of specimens tested with the growth direction normal to the maximum stress surfaces, while the remaining data are for specimens which were positioned with the growth direction parallel to the maximum stress surfaces (Fig. 5). More data will be obtained before any definite conclusions are drawn concerning any change in mechanical behavior of either group as a function of the angle of rotation of the test bar about an axis parallel to the length dimension.

Light microscopy and electron fractography have established that for the case of lamellae parallel to the length dimension of the specimen, the Al platelets fail by plastic deformation while the CuAl_2 platelets cleave (Fig. 6). When the lamellae are normal to the test bar length dimension, the entire fracture surface appears to consist of cleaved CuAl_2 platelets (Fig. 7). Careful examination will be made to determine whether any interfacial decohesion had occurred along with the cleavage process.

Al- Al_3Ni

Metallographic sections were made in the region of the shoulder failures of those test specimens reported in the first progress report. One section revealed that there was no microstructural detail peculiar to the head end of the ingot which could be associated with the incipient fracture path. Careful examination of the unfailed shoulder of each test bar revealed the nucleation of one or more cracks. It is, therefore, felt that the shoulder failure was due to a stress concentration and/or load eccentricity and not to any microstructural detail found only at the head end of the ingot. In order to relieve the stress concentration, future tensile specimens will be machined with a more generous shoulder radius.

FUTURE WORK

During the next report period, work will continue on the following items:

1. Al- CuAl_2 microbend samples with lamellae oriented at thirty, forty-five and sixty degrees to the specimen length will be tested. In addition to calculation of maximum outer fiber strength, the elastic modulus of each sample will be obtained graphically and the modulus of the CuAl_2 phase obtained analytically.
2. Cu-Cr specimens will be prepared with Cr fibers oriented normal to the applied stress direction. Work will continue on the testing of individual Cr fibers and determining fiber size and spacing as a function of growth rate.

3. Tensile bars of the Al-Al₃Ni eutectic will be prepared with an increased shoulder radius and tested. Attempts will be made to determine the modulus of the alloy by ultrasonic techniques. Extracted fibers will be tested to obtain the strength and elastic properties of the Al₃Ni phase. These properties will be used in an attempt to mathematically predict the behavior of this material.

REFERENCES

1. Hertzberg, R. W., and R. W. Kraft: Fracture Mechanisms in Controlled Cu-Cr Eutectic Alloy. Accepted for publication in the Transactions of AIME.
2. Ford, J. A., and R. W. Hertzberg: Analytical and Experimental Investigations of the Fracture Mechanisms of Controlled Polyphase Alloys. Quarterly Progress Report No. 1, Navy, Bureau of Naval Weapons Contract N600(19)59361, February 1963.

TABLE I

Outer Fiber Strength of Al-CuAl₂ Microbend Specimens

Lamellae Parallel to Specimen Length Specimen Sets 6 and 7		Lamellae Perpendicular to Specimen Length Specimen Set 1	
<u>Sample Number</u>	<u>Strength</u>	<u>Sample Number</u>	<u>Strength</u>
63-268-02-6a	42,300	63-268-02-1a	19,700
63-268-02-6b	44,900	63-268-02-1b	17,500
63-268-02-6c	47,600*	63-268-02-1c	33,900
63-268-02-7a	47,400	63-268-02-1d	26,700*
63-268-02-7b	47,600	63-268-02-1e	16,700*
63-268-02-7c	49,800*		
63-268-02-7d	47,700*		


$$\sigma_{\text{avg}} = 46,800$$

$$\sigma_{\text{avg}} = 22,900$$


* Specimens tested with growth direction normal to maximum stress surface.

COMPARISON BETWEEN AS-CAST AND
UNIDIRECTIONALLY SOLIDIFIED INGOTS

MAGNIFICATION: 2X



AS - CAST



UNIDIRECTIONALLY
SOLIDIFIED

ELECTRON FRACTOGRAPHS OF TENSILE MODE
OF FAILURE IN Cu-Cr ALLOY



a) WHISKERS ASSOCIATED WITH EQUIAXED DIMPLES
MAGNIFICATION: 15,500 X




b) DISTORTED ARRAY OF MOUNDS AND TROUGHS.
Cr FIBERS GENERALLY ASSOCIATED WITH MOUNDS
MAGNIFICATION: 6000 X

"ELONGATED DIMPLE" STRUCTURE ON FRACTURE SURFACE.
SPECIMEN PREPARED AT 45 DEGREES
TO GROWTH DIRECTION


MAGNIFICATION: 8600 X



SCHEMATIC ILLUSTRATING RELATION OF MICROBEND
SPECIMENS TO LAMALLAE ORIENTATION
IN $\text{Al}-\text{CuAl}_2$ EUTECTIC




SCHEMATIC OF LAMALLAE LOAD RELATIONSHIPS IN MICROBEND TESTS



FRACTURE SURFACE OF Al-CuAl_2 ALLOY ILLUSTRATING
CLEAVAGE OF CuAl_2 AND DUCTILE FAILURE OF Al

MAGNIFICATION: 14,800 X



FRACTURE SURFACE OF Al-CuAl_2 ALLOY ILLUSTRATING
CLEAVAGE OF CuAl_2 PLATELETS

MAGNIFICATION: 110 X



DISTRIBUTION LIST

1. Commander
Wright Air Development Division
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio
Attn: ASRCNC
2. Nuclear Metals, Incorporated
Concord, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. A. Kaufman
3. Battelle Memorial Institute
505 King Avenue
Defense Metals Information Center
Columbus 1, Ohio
4. Lockheed Aircraft Corporation
Lockheed Missile System Division
Hanover Street
Palo Alto, California
Attn: Dr. John McDonald
5. Avco Corporation
Research and Development Division
201 Lowell Street
Wilmington, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. Thomas Vasilos
Chief, Metals and Ceramics
6. Commander
Army Materials Research Agency
Watertown 72, Massachusetts
Attn: Mr. S. Arnold
7. Commander
Frankford Arsenal
Pitman Dunn Laboratory
Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania
Attn: Mr. D. Kleppinger
8. Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: ONR:423

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Contd.)

9. Director, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory
Metallurgy Division
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Mr. W. Pellini
10. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Division of Reactor Development
Engineering Development Branch
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Mr. J. M. Simmons, Chief, Metallurgy Section
11. The Rand Corporation
Aeronautics Department
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California
Attn: Mr. George Hoffman
12. Department of the Navy
Bureau of Ships
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 343
13. Boeing Airplane Company
Seattle Division
Seattle, Washington
Attn: Mr. E. C. Bovee, Staff Eng'r for Mtl's and Processes Staff
14. Republic Aviation Corporation
Farmingdale, Long Island, New York
Attn: Mr. Harry A. Pearl, Chief, Metl's Development Div.
15. Metals Research Department
Armour Research Foundation
Chicago 16, Illinois
Attn: Dr. N. M. Parikh
16. Commander
Naval Air Material Center
Aeronautical Materials Laboratory
Philadelphia Naval Base
Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania
17. National Aeronautics and Space Administration
1520 H Street, N. W.
Washington 25, D. C. (3 copies)

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Contd.)

18. National Academy of Sciences
Materials Advisory Board
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Capt. A. M. Blamphin
19. National Beryllium Corporation
4501 Dell Avenue
North Bergen, New Jersey
Attn: Mr. C. E. Nelson
20. Clevite Corporation
Mechanical Research Division
540 East 105th Street
Cleveland 8, Ohio
Attn: Mr. A. D. Schwope
21. Narmco Industries Incorporated
Research and Development Division
8125 Aero Drive
San Diego 11, California
Attn: Mr. Finn Claudi-Magnussen
22. Sylvania-Corning Nuclear Corporation
Bayside, Long Island, New York
Attn: Mr. Charles I. Whitman
23. Southwest Research Institute
8500 Culebra Road
San Antonio 6, Texas
Attn: Dr. George Pish
24. Lockheed Aircraft Corporation
California Division
Burbank, California
Attn: Mr. E. A. Green
25. Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Mr. John Nusser, Engineers Tech.
Organization 930, Bldg. 16, Rm. 222

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Contd.)

26. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Mines
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Mr. H. Austin Tucker
Ferrous Metals Branch
27. Dr. Eraldus Scala
Prof. Metallurgy and Materials Science
College of Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York
28. Mr. J. W. Weeton
Alloys and Composites Materials Branch
Materials Structures Division
Lewis Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.
Cleveland, Ohio
29. Department of the Navy
Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: RRMA-222
Washington 25, D. C.
30. Armed Services Technical Information Agency
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington, Virginia (8 copies)
31. Dr. Merton C. Flemings
Room 35-316
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge 77, Massachusetts
32. Mr. Cedric D. Beachem
Code 6320
Metallurgy Division
U. S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.