

Microwave Laboratory
W. W. Hansen Laboratories of Physics
Stanford University
Stanford, California

FOLDOVER IN DIODE-LOADED RESONANT CIRCUITS

By

Robert Edward Tokheim

M. L. Report No. 945

Technical Note
Contract AF 49(638)-415
Project Number 47501

August 1962

Reproduction in whole or part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

The research reported in this document has been
sponsored by the
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
~~Air Research and Development Command~~

BEST
AVAILABLE COPY

AD-407 128

ABSTRACT

Experimental investigation of foldover has been made in a medium frequency series resonant circuit using two back-to-back Varicap diodes. Foldover of this nature is a phenomenon of some importance in several practical devices, including bistable switching circuits, the parametron, the parametric limiter, the parametric amplifier and the harmonic generator. The purpose of this study was to determine how well such foldover could be predicted from theory for the series circuit. Theoretical results were based upon precise measurements of the individual circuit components. Very good agreement of theory with experiment was obtained, assuming only the fundamental frequency term in the harmonic balance method of solving the nonlinear differential equation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to express his gratitude to Dr. Bert A. Auld who, as research supervisor, has offered numerous suggestions which greatly contributed to the unfoldment of this project.

The author would like to thank his wife, Diane, for typing the thesis rough draft. He would also like to thank Elaine Gilderoy for typing the final thesis copy and Norman Bettini and Louis Nigro for making the final figure drawings. The coordinative efforts of Al Braun are also highly appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	111
Acknowledgment	iv
I. Introduction	1
A. Brief description of foldover	1
B. Effects of foldover in practical devices	1
II. Variable capacitance diodes	4
A. Single diode characteristic	4
B. Back-to-back diode characteristic	8
III. Theoretical resonance calculations	12
A. Series resonant circuit.	12
B. Solution of the basic equation	12
C. Foldover curves.	17
IV. Experimental results	18
A. Circuit description	18
B. Calibration of measuring equipment	20
C. Lumped circuit components.	20
D. Comparison of experimental and theoretical results . .	27

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
1. Foldover to the high frequency side	2
2. Foldover to the low frequency side	2
3. "Jump" phenomenon illustrated	2
4. Encased diode equivalent circuit	5
5. Approximate diode equivalent circuit	5
6. Equivalent circuit to Fig. 5 for $g \ll \omega C$	5
7. Single diode capacitance-voltage relationship	7
8. Single diode voltage-charge curve	7
9. Capacitive back-to-back diode equivalent circuit	9
10. Steady state back-to-back diode charges and applied voltage	9
11. Construction of back-to-back diode characteristic	11
12. Back-to-back diode capacitance-charge relationship	11
13. Series resonant circuit	13
14. Circuit arrangement used to obtain experimental results	19
15. Measured L and R_L vs frequency	21
16. Circuit used to determine single diode capacitance	22
17. C vs V_{dc} for single diode	25
18. V_c vs Q for single diode	26
19. Series circuit current vs frequency	29

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF FOLDOVER

The term foldover refers to the bending over of the amplitude-frequency response curve of a nonlinear resonant system--a phenomenon which is due to the amplitude dependence of the resonant frequency. A typical example of foldover to the high frequency side is shown in Fig. 1; Fig. 2 is an example of foldover to the low frequency side. Note that successively higher driving source amplitudes lead to greater bending over of the respective curves; hence the curve eventually becomes triple-valued. The middle branch of the curve is not observed physically because of its instability.

A so-called "jump" phenomenon results wherever the slope of the response curve becomes infinite. In general, resonant systems which contain a nonlinear component exhibit two kinds of "jumps" as indicated in Fig. 3, and the resulting loop indicated is often called a hysteresis effect. Typical examples are mechanical systems with nonlinear springs, electronic circuits with nonlinear inductors, and capacitors.

The present study will be concerned with the behavior of a simple resonant circuit with a nonlinear capacitor, which is realized by variable capacitance diodes. The purpose of this study will be to compare foldover in the resonant circuit as observed experimentally with that determined theoretically. Theoretical calculations will be based upon experimental measurements of the individual circuit components.

B. EFFECTS OF FOLDOVER IN PRACTICAL DEVICES

Foldover is a phenomenon of some importance in several devices incorporating nonlinear elements. Notable examples are the bistable switching circuit, parametron, parametric limiter, parametric amplifier, and the harmonic generator.




FIG. 1--Foldover to the high frequency side.




FIG. 2--Foldover to the low frequency side.




FIG 3--"Jump" phenomenon illustrated.

The bistable switching circuit using a semiconductor abrupt junction diode, which may be utilized in computers, takes two forms. Keizer¹ has described the first kind, which has an rf input and a dc output. The switching action is the aforementioned "jump" behavior at resonance and is controlled by the rectified rf input, which serves as bias for the diode as well as for the dc output. The second kind exhibits essentially the same resonance phenomenon but, since two diodes back-to-back are used, requires no bias and therefore has an rf output.

Both of the above circuits may be contrasted with the parametron, which is also a bistable device useful in computers. The parametron utilizes the two possible phases of the subharmonic output, rather than the output amplitudes, to represent the binary states.

Similar to the parametron are the parametric limiter and the parametric amplifier. All three utilize a nonlinear element which enables transfer of power from the pump frequency to a subharmonic. At large pump levels fold-over in the pump circuit can have a significant effect on the performance of the device.

Harmonic generators also exhibit the effects of foldover. DiDomenico et al.,² have examined this phenomenon to some degree for their ferroelectric harmonic generator. They showed theoretically and experimentally that the detuning effect of foldover on the input and output circuits accounts in part for output saturation at high power levels.

CHAPTER II

VARIABLE CAPACITANCE DIODES

A. SINGLE DIODE CHARACTERISTIC

In this investigation only the p-n abrupt junction semiconductor diode will be considered. The complete equivalent circuit for such a diode is shown³ in Fig. 4. The nonlinear junction capacitance is C_s and g , assumed to be linear, represents the conductance of the junction. The series resistance caused by the finite conductivity of the semiconductor material is R_s . The lead inductance is L_o and C_o is the case capacitance, both small quantities. Usually the major loss is due to R_s . In the low and high frequency ranges L_o is negligible. Therefore a useful equivalent circuit becomes the one of Fig. 5, if leakage current is important, or Fig. 6, when dc leakage is not to be considered.

We assume that the external voltage across the diode is

$$V_c = V_{dc} + v \quad ,$$

where V_{dc} is the static dc bias voltage and v is the dynamic variational voltage (containing both dc and time-varying terms) about the static dc bias, and that the total charge on the diode is

$$Q = Q_{dc} + q \quad ,$$

where Q_{dc} is the dc charge due to the static bias voltage and q is the variational charge (containing both dc and time-varying terms) about this value.

The variational capacitance is then

$$C(V_c) = \frac{dq}{dv} = \frac{dQ}{dV_c} = C_o + C_1 (V_c + V_o)^{-1/2} \quad , \quad (2.1)$$




FIG. 4--Encased diode equivalent circuit.




FIG. 5--Approximate diode equivalent circuit.




FIG. 6--Equivalent circuit to Fig. 5 for $g \ll \omega C$.

where V_0 is the junction contact potential and C_0 is the case capacitance. The variational capacitance $C(V_c)$ is sketched in Fig. 7. When $v \ll V_{dc}$ and V_0 , the variational capacitance becomes the small signal capacitance

$$C(V_{dc}) = C_0 + C_1 (V_{dc} + V_0)^{-1/2}, \quad (2.2)$$

which is a function of the static dc bias only. At large signal levels the variational capacitance becomes, in addition, a function of v .

In the subsequent analysis of nonlinear resonance it is necessary to have an expression for the variational voltage v across the diode in terms of the variational charge q . This is found by first integrating (2.1),

$$Q = \int_c^{V_c} C(V_c) dV_c = C_0 V_c + 2C_1 [(V_c + V_0)^{1/2} - V_0^{1/2}] \quad (2.3)$$

The inverse characteristic (sketched in Fig. 8) is then approximated by a power series in Q retaining only the first three terms:

$$V_c = aQ + bQ^2 + dQ^3 \quad (2.4)$$

The desired relation $v(q)$ is then found by substituting $V_c = V_{dc} + v$ and $Q = Q_{dc} + q$ into (2.4). This gives

$$v = a'q + b'q^2 + d'q^3, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$a' = a + 2bQ_{dc} + 3dQ_{dc}^2$$

$$b' = b + 3dQ_{dc}$$

$$d' = d$$




FIG. 7--Single diode capacitance-voltage relationship.




FIG. 8--Single diode voltage-charge curve.

Note that the variational elastance of the single diode as a function of Q is found by differentiating (2.4) with respect to Q :

$$\frac{1}{C} = a + 2bQ + 3dQ^2 \quad . \quad (2.6)$$

B. BACK-TO-BACK DIODE CHARACTERISTIC

Here we consider two identical abrupt junction semiconductor diodes connected back-to-back. We shall call this unit the back-to-back diode, and for the purposes of this analysis we shall assume that the cathodes are in common.

To investigate the performance of the back-to-back diode, assume that a sinusoidal voltage source is applied across its terminals. Initially both diodes are assumed to conduct in the forward direction until each achieves its maximum charge during the cycle. This net positive charge which is now between the diodes may not escape except by leakage through the high inverse diode resistance on either side. The entrapped charge merely alternates between the two diode cathodes. To examine this in detail, consider Fig. 9. Symbolically, two capacitors are shown, but recall that, as a diode, each conducts in the direction indicated by the arrow. The sinusoidal voltage applied to the diode is v , and Q_1 and Q_2 are the charges on diodes (1) and (2), respectively.

When v reaches its positive maximum, the total voltage is across diode (1), and the corresponding maximum charge is Q_0 , or $Q_1 = Q_0$; also $Q_2 = 0$ on diode (2). At the negative maximum of v , we have $Q_2 = Q_0$ and $Q_1 = 0$. Thus the charge Q_0 alternates between the two diodes such that

$$Q_0 = Q_1 + Q_2 \quad . \quad (2.7)$$

Since the diodes are identical, at $v = 0$ we must have $Q_1 = Q_2 = \frac{Q_0}{2}$. This enables us to sketch the approximate variation of Q_1 and Q_2 with reference to v (Fig. 10). Note that Q_1 and Q_2 are not sinusoidal.




FIG. 9--Capacitive back-to-back diode equivalent circuit.




FIG. 10--Steady state back-to-back diode charges and applied voltage.

Because of the symmetry of the system, the average variational charge on each diode is zero, and therefore

$$\langle Q_1 \rangle = \langle Q_2 \rangle = \frac{Q_0}{2} \quad (2.8)$$

The diodes are then self-biased to the charge level $Q_0/2$.

With q as the variational charge on each diode and hence in the external circuit, we have

$$q \doteq Q_1 - \frac{Q_0}{2} = \frac{Q_0}{2} - Q_2 \quad ; \quad (2.9)$$

therefore

$$|q| \leq \frac{Q_0}{2} \equiv q_m \quad (2.10)$$

The relation between variational voltage and variational charge is found from

$$v = V_c(q_m + q) - V_c(q_m - q) \quad , \quad (2.11)$$

by using the approximation (2.4) to the single diode characteristic $V_c(Q)$. Thus we obtain

$$v = a'q + d'q^3 \quad , \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$a' = 2(a + 2bq_m + 3dq_m^2)$$

$$d' = 2d$$

Figure 11 shows how v would be constructed graphically directly from $V_c(Q)$, knowing q_m . The variational elastance S of the back-to-back combination is then

$$S = a' + 3d'q^2 \quad (2.13)$$

The back-to-back diode capacitance obtained from (2.13) is sketched in Fig. 12 as a function of q .




FIG. 11--Construction of back-to-back diode characteristic.




FIG. 12--Back-to-back diode capacitance-charge relationship.

CHAPTER III

THEORETICAL RESONANCE CALCULATIONS

A. SERIES RESONANT CIRCUIT

By considering a lumped element resistance for the losses, we show in Fig. 13 the simple series resonant circuit whose behavior we wish to determine.

In this figure the Thevenin equivalent constant voltage generator V_a has arbitrary but constant phase angle β_o , V_{dc} is the dc bias, L is the ferrite core choke, and the Thevenin equivalent resistance R comprises the inductor, diode, current probe and generator resistances.

B. SOLUTION OF THE BASIC EQUATION


From Fig. 13 we find the series circuit equation to be

$$V_a \cos (\omega t + \beta_o) = R \frac{dq}{dt} + L \frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + v \quad (3.1)$$


For the single diode case the approximation to the diode variational voltage v in terms of the variational charge q is given by (2.5). Thus, we obtain

$$V_a \cos (\omega t + \beta_o) = R \frac{dq}{dt} + L \frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + a'q + b'q^2 + d'q^3 \quad (3.2)$$

A trial solution to (3.2) may begin with the linear solution $q = q_m \cos \omega t$. It immediately becomes evident that the quadratic term of (3.2) forces us to assume a dc component of q which varies with q_m^2 . It is this dc component of q which introduces a dependence of the effective bias on the amplitude of the force oscillation. This can lead to the occurrence of relaxation oscillations.



a. Single diode.



b. Back-to-back diode.

FIG. 13--Series resonant circuit.

For the back-to-back diode case, we insert the diode voltage (2.12) into (3.1), and thus obtain

$$V_a \cos (\omega t + \beta_0) = R \frac{dq}{dt} + L \frac{d^2 q}{dt^2} + a' q + d' q^3 \quad (3.3)$$

Note that (3.3) contains no quadratic term; since the right hand side of (3.3) is an odd function of q , we see that no dc component will arise in the solution.

Originally we had hoped to avoid relaxation oscillations completely by employing the back-to-back diode. However, since the dynamic bias on the diodes varies with the amplitude of the oscillating charge, it appears that relaxation oscillations may be possible. It has been mentioned already that the back-to-back arrangement requires no external dc bias. Another important advantage of the back-to-back diode is that one obtains greater foldover than with the single diode, since maximum nonlinearity occurs at low signal levels. We should especially note that the back-to-back diode gives foldover to the high frequency side, and the single diode to the low frequency side (Fig. 2). This is predicted by the theory and has been observed experimentally.

We shall now apply the harmonic balance method to the solution of the differential equation (3.3). The procedure is to assume a Fourier series expansion for q and determine the constants by setting the combined coefficients of like frequency terms equal to zero. For our purposes we shall take only the first term of the assumed solution as $q = q_m \cos \omega t$ and substitute it into (3.3). We find immediately the condition on the phase of the source voltage

$$\sin \beta_0 = \frac{\omega R q_m}{V_a} \quad (3.4)$$

It is useful to speak of the equation error ϵ , obtained by moving the source term to the right hand side of (3.3). This ϵ is a measure of the accuracy of the solution. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon = \cos \omega t (-V_a \cos \beta_0 - L \omega^2 q_m + a' q_m + \frac{3}{4} d' q_m^3) \\ + \cos 3\omega t (-\frac{1}{4} d' q_m^3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In our assumed solution we have only two variables, q_m and ω . Hence, we can only set the coefficients of the first harmonic term equal to zero. The equation error would then be given by the third harmonic term. Given another variable (by adding a $\cos 3\omega t$ term) we could then require the third harmonic term to vanish also. There would then, however, be an error term containing the fifth harmonic.

Equating the first term to zero gives, accordingly,

$$-V_a \cos \beta_0 + q_m (a' - L\omega^2) + \frac{3}{4} d' q_m^3 = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

By eliminating β_0 from (3.4) and (3.6), we find

$$V_a^2 = \omega^2 q_m^2 R^2 + [(a' - L\omega^2) q_m + \frac{3}{4} d' q_m^3]^2 \quad (3.7)$$

To find $\omega^2(q_m)$ from (3.7), we solve for ω^2 :

$$\omega^2 = B \pm (B^2 - C)^{1/2} \quad , \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$B = \frac{U}{q_m L} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R}{L} \right)^2 \quad (3.9)$$

$$C = \left(\frac{U}{q_m L} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{V_a}{q_m L} \right)^2 \quad , \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$U = a' q_m + \frac{3}{4} d' q_m^3 \quad (3.11)$$

It is useful to make further definitions:

$$\alpha = \frac{U}{q_m L} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\delta = \frac{V_a}{q_m L} \quad , \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R}{L} \right)^2 \quad . \quad (3.14)$$

By substituting into (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$B = \alpha - \gamma \quad (3.15)$$

$$C = \alpha^2 - \delta^2 \quad . \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.15), (3.16) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\omega^2 = \alpha - \gamma \pm (\delta^2 - 2\alpha\gamma + \gamma^2)^{1/2} \quad . \quad (3.17)$$

Consider the approximation to (3.17), assuming $\gamma \ll \alpha$. This inequality is well satisfied in practice for circuits with reasonably high Q . Equation (3.17) then becomes

$$\omega^2 = \alpha \pm (\delta^2 - 2\alpha\gamma)^{1/2} \quad . \quad (3.18)$$

A few comments should perhaps be made about methods of solution other than by harmonic balance.⁴ Iteration is one of the most straightforward methods available. Here we assume the linear solution $q_0 = q_m \cos \omega t$ as the zeroth approximation. This solution may be improved by iteration, requiring for each successive approximation that there be no aperiodic terms. This gives an approximation for ω^2 in terms of q_m . The first approximation gives the same result as the harmonic balance method.

Another possible method is that of frequency perturbation. Here we let $\theta = \omega t$ be the new independent variable and expand q and ω in power series of a perturbation parameter defined as the coefficient of the nonlinear term of the diode variational voltage. We assume periodic boundary conditions and zero initial conditions for all but the zeroth order solution. The result is again the same as above for the first order approximation.

The method of Rauscher which expands about the backbone curve is also an interesting possibility. However, the integrals and results in each

approximation are less amenable to convenient manipulation than are those of the above methods.

C. FOLDOVER CURVES

The practical procedure we have followed has been to plot the lossless response obtained by letting $\gamma = 0$ in (3.18). We obtain

$$\omega^2 = \alpha \pm \delta \quad (3.19)$$

In general, unless the loss is excessive, (3.19) gives an excellent approximation to the actual response curve except in the vicinity of the resonance peak, where (3.18) should be used. We see that α determines the backbone, or free oscillation ($V_a = 0$) curve, and δ , the deviation of ω^2 about the backbone.

For a circuit with reasonably high Q (i.e., $\gamma \ll \alpha$), the high frequency jump point (or point of vertical tangency on the high frequency side) is very nearly on the backbone curve. Therefore we shall calculate the amplitude of each resonance peak on the backbone curve and then assume that it corresponds with the point of vertical tangency. Hence, by setting $\omega^2 = \alpha$, we obtain, from (3.18)

$$\delta^2 = 2\alpha\gamma \quad (3.20)$$

as the approximate condition.

The condition on q_m for the low frequency jump point (or point of vertical tangency on the low frequency side) is found approximately by differentiating (3.19) with respect to q_m and setting the result to zero. This gives us

$$4b q_m^2 + 7.5d q_m^3 = V_a \quad (3.21)$$

The reason we may use the lossless response (3.19) to obtain (3.21) is that the small amplitude associated with the low frequency jump makes $\delta^2 \gg 2\alpha\gamma$ in (3.18).

CHAPTER IV

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. CIRCUIT DESCRIPTION

The general circuit arrangement employed to obtain the experimental results is shown in Fig. 14. The selection of components begins with consideration of the nonlinear element, namely, the specifications of the variable capacitance diode. High Q , high maximum working voltage, and a large maximum-to-minimum capacitance ratio are all important factors. Desirable inductor features are high Q and a high self-resonant frequency in comparison with the operating frequency. The Miller 2.5 mh ferrite core rf choke fulfilled these requirements the most satisfactorily. The capacitance of the variable capacitance diodes available then establishes the resonant frequency of the inductor-capacitor combination to be of the order of 1 Mc. The above, together with knowledge of the frequency ranges of the only low frequency signal generator immediately available, enabled us to select the component values. We found that the series circuit with two 2.5 mh rf chokes in parallel, and with a diode obtained by placing two Pacific Semiconductor V-100 Varicap diodes back-to-back, gave significant foldover within the lowest frequency range (0.35 to 0.75 Mc) of the signal generator.

The signal generator used in this experiment has an output impedance of about 50 Ω . Since this series resistance would cause an excessive reduction of the circuit Q , a 10 Ω shunt resistor is used to reduce the generator output resistance to a small fraction of the inductor resistance. The circuit current was measured by means of a Tektronix p 6016 current probe and amplifier. The probe behaves much like a transformer, the primary winding of which is the circuit lead itself. Several turns were employed, with almost negligible loading of the series circuit by the probe. The probe output amplifier was followed by the vacuum tube voltmeter (VTVM), which actually monitored the circuit current.




FIG. 14--Circuit arrangement used to obtain experimental results.

B. CALIBRATION OF MEASURING EQUIPMENT

The specifications of the VTVM indicate a flat frequency response over the region of interest and a voltage precision of at least $\pm 3\%$ full scale. These specifications were checked by various methods, including using a calibrated oscilloscope. By using the VTVM to calibrate the constant voltage generator from 0.35 to 0.75 Mc, we found that the generator voltage decreased at a rate of $2\%/Mc$ with reference to the voltage at 0.45 Mc, the signal resonance frequency of the circuit being studied.

We next used the VTVM to calibrate the current probe gain over the same frequency range, and found that the gain decreased at a rate of $21\%/Mc$ with reference to the gain at 0.45 Mc. The gain is a function of the number of amp-turns on the primary winding of the probe. The number of primary turns was chosen to be $n = 7$ because this gives a safe maximum gain without saturation, even with the maximum circuit current we expect. With $n = 7$ the input impedance of the current probe at 0.75 Mc is a maximum of $3 + j4\Omega$ and at 0.35 Mc, a minimum of $2 + j3\Omega$. These values were calculated from the probe circuit given in the instruction manual. The reactance term in either case has a negligible effect on the resonant frequency. We shall assume, however, an average contribution of 2.5Ω to the series circuit resistance over the entire frequency range.

C. LUMPED CIRCUIT COMPONENTS

The inductance L and the effective resistance R_L of the two 2.5 mh rf chokes in parallel were measured as a function of frequency by the Boonton 160-A R-X meter. Figure 15 shows a plot of the results. Note that the inductance is nearly constant, whereas the resistance more than quadruples from 0.35 to 0.75 Mc. These changes must, of course, be taken into account when calculating foldover curves from theory.

The setup shown in Fig. 16 was used to determine the single diode capacitance as a function of bias voltage V_{dc} . A back-to-back diode combination was used as in the large signal experiment to enable us to test the symmetry of the diodes, which can be done by merely reversing the bias field. The source voltage is made sufficiently small to assure us of measuring only the small signal capacitance, provided that for small




FIG. 15--Measured L and R_L vs. frequency.




FIG. 16--Circuit used to determine single diode capacitance.

bias voltages, where the capacitance nonlinearity is large, we determine the resonant frequency by extrapolating the small foldover of the current amplitude response to zero.

Consider now, using the same nomenclature as in Chapter II.B, the voltage across the back-to-back diode:

$$v + V_{dc} = V_c \left(Q_{dc} + \frac{Q_0}{2} + q \right) - V_c \left(\frac{Q_0}{2} - q \right) \quad , \quad (4.1)$$

where Q_{dc} is the additional charge on diode (1) (Fig. 16) due to V_{dc} . Differentiating with respect to the variational charge q gives the measured elastance

$$\frac{1}{C_m} = \frac{dv}{dq} = \frac{dV_c}{dq} \left(Q_{dc} + \frac{Q_0}{2} + q \right) + \frac{dV_c}{dq} \left(\frac{Q_0}{2} - q \right) \quad . \quad (4.2)$$

Since the external bias does not appear across diode (2), we conclude that for small q , corresponding to the small signal voltage source,

$$\frac{dV_c}{dq} \left(\frac{Q_0}{2} - q \right) = \frac{1}{C_{max}} \quad , \quad (4.3)$$

where C_{max} is the zero bias single diode capacitance. When $V_{dc} = 0$ we conclude from (4.2) that

$$\frac{1}{C_m(0)} = \frac{dv}{dq} = \frac{2}{C_{max}} \quad , \quad (4.4)$$

and we find the single diode capacitance C at a bias voltage V_{dc} by subtracting $1/C_{max}$ from the measured elastance:

$$\frac{1}{C} = \frac{1}{C_m} - \frac{1}{C_{max}} \quad . \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain

$$C(V_c) = \frac{2 C_m(0) C_m}{2 C_m(0) - C_m} \quad . \quad (4.6)$$

Applying (4.6) to our measurements gives the results plotted in Fig. 17. Note that at 4 volts dc we have $C = 90.6 \mu\text{f}$, which is well within the $\pm 20\%$ tolerance on the value $100 \mu\text{f}$ specified by the manufacturer.

The best approximate analytical expression to the curve is next determined by assuming the theoretical square root law behavior of the abrupt junction diode (2.1). A good fit was obtained with the expression

$$C = 6.5 + \frac{181}{\sqrt{V_c + 0.6}} \mu\text{f} \quad , \quad (4.7)$$

giving 0.6 volts as the contact potential and $6.5 \mu\text{f}$ as the case capacitance (Fig. 17).

By evaluating the integrated expression for Q , Eq. (2.3), we obtain

$$Q = 0.0065 V_c + 0.362 \left[(V_c + 0.6)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 0.78 \right] \quad , \quad (4.8)$$

where Q is in units of 10^{-9} coulombs, and will remain so hereafter. We now wish to find $V_c(Q)$ by obtaining the inverse of (4.8). Then we shall determine the back-to-back diode voltage $v(q)$ by the substitution of $V_c(Q)$ into (2.11). If we take the exact inverse of (4.8), the expression we finally get for $v(q)$ is very complicated and makes the differential equation nearly impossible to solve. Therefore, we find the best three-term power series approximation to $V_c(Q)$, the inverse of (4.8), which is plotted in Fig. 18. The resulting approximate expression is

$$V_c = 4.17Q + 6.81 Q^2 - 0.44 Q^3 \quad . \quad (4.9)$$

Equation (2.12) gives the back-to-back diode voltage $v(q)$ in terms of the coefficients of the power series of (4.9). We shall subsequently work with these coefficients (a,b,d) rather than with the voltage expressions themselves.

The last lumped circuit parameter to be considered is the total resistance of the circuit. Figure 15 gives us the effective resistance R_L of the inductor as a function of frequency. From the specification




FIG. 17--C vs V_{dc} for single diode.




FIG. 18-- V_c vs Q for single diode.

sheet for the single diode, we find that $Q = 1600$ at 0.5 Mc. By using the equivalent circuit of Fig. 6, this yields a series resistance R_s' of 2Ω . Hence for the back-to-back diode we get about 4Ω , since the diodes are in series and neither one is conducting. Including the voltage source and current probe resistances, we obtain, then, for the total equivalent resistance of the circuit

$$R = R_L + 14.5\Omega \quad (4.10)$$

D. COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL RESULTS

Having determined the lumped components R and L and the $v(q)$ approximation to the back-to-back diode characteristic, we may now calculate the theoretical foldover curves utilizing the simplified equations of Chapter III. The expression for α , Eq. (3.12), is first written in a more useful form,

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{L} (a + 2bq_m + 3.75 dq_m^2) \quad , \quad (4.11)$$

where a , b and d are given by (4.9). Note that the reciprocal of the quantity in brackets in (4.11) is very nearly the single diode elastance (2.6) at $Q = q_m$, the back-to-back diode operating point. This observation suggests that when the approximation to $V_c(q)$ is found, we should match the slope of V_c rather than the magnitude, if we must make a choice. In the present case both magnitude and slope can be matched. The expressions for δ (3.13) and γ (3.14) are already in simplified form. In order to compare theory with experiment, it is desirable to express amplitude in terms of charge rather than current, that is,

$$I = \dot{q} = -\omega q_m \sin \omega t \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$I_{rms} = \frac{\omega q_m}{\sqrt{2}} \quad , \quad (4.13)$$

which is the quantity actually measured experimentally. When using the lossless expression for ω^2 , Eq. (3.19), we noted that it was more expedient to solve first for $\omega^2 L$, and then to find the frequency from a plot of $\omega^2 L$ vs frequency. Calculations were begun by assuming a value for q_m , then using (3.19) to find I_{rms} vs frequency. For amplitudes greater than one-half the resonance peak, it was necessary to obtain a correction on δL in (3.19) by considering the corresponding term in the more accurate expression (3.18), in order to account for the effect of resistance on the foldover curve.

The experimental results, obtained by the procedure outlined in Chapter IV. A and IV. B and the theoretical results, calculated in the manner described above, are plotted together in Fig. 19. The entire theoretical curve for maximum excitation ($V_a = 0.40$) is shown to indicate the shape of the resonance. Note that the middle branch of this curve is unstable because it is between the loci of vertical tangents. Curves for other amplitudes are shown in skeleton form, indicating only their high and low frequency jump points. The resistance is given with each amplitude to show how increasing amplitude of excitation forces a change in the resistance by causing greater foldover.

It is useful to compare theoretical with experimental results for the frequencies at the high and low frequency jump points, since these points indicate the behavior at the extremes of the foldover curve. We find the theoretical frequencies to be within $\pm 0.8\%$ of the experimental values. Theoretical amplitudes of the high frequency jumps are, on the average, 8.6% lower than experimental amplitudes. On the other hand, theoretical amplitudes of the low frequency jumps are, on the average, 16.4% lower than their respective experimental values. Another useful comparison is the amount of foldover, defined as the frequency shift between the two vertical tangent locus points for a given amplitude curve. The amount of foldover for our theoretical maximum amplitude curve is 0.159 Mc, only 6.6% less than the experimental value of 0.170 Mc. Smaller




FIG. 19--Series circuit current vs frequency.

theoretical amplitude curves have a lesser amount of foldover and a correspondingly better agreement with the experimental amount of foldover.

The above comparisons indicate very good agreement in frequencies but do not indicate such good agreement in amplitudes. We would find it difficult to account for the difference in amplitudes alone if we considered the effect of component errors on the theoretical results, since the frequencies agree so well. However, the experimental amplitudes themselves may be in error because of the effect of VTVM precision error on V_a and the current probe gain. If this error were present, it could amount to perhaps as much as 10% or so in the amplitude of the foldover curves.

REFERENCES

1. E. O. Keizer, "A Carrier-Energized Bistable Circuit Using Variable-Capacitance Diodes," R.C.A. Review, pp. 475-85, (December, 1957).
2. M. DiDomenico Jr, D.A. Johnson, and R. H. Pantell, "Ferroelectric Harmonic Generator and the Large-Signal Microwave Characteristics of a Ferroelectric Ceramic," J. App. Phys. 33 5, 1697-1706 (May 1962).
3. L. J. Giacoletto, John O'Connell, "A Variable-Capacitance Germanium Junction Diode for UHF," R.C.A. Review, pp. 68-85 (March 1956).
4. J. J. Stoker, Nonlinear Vibrations, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1950.

DISTRIBUTION LIST
Contract AF 49(638)-415
Project Number 47501

GOVERNMENTAL

Agency	cc	Agency	cc
Commander AF Research Division Washington 25, D. C. Attn: RRRTL	2	Armed Services Technical Information Agency	10
Commander Wright Air Development Division Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio Attn: WWAD	4	Commander AF Special Weapons Center Kirtland Air Force Base New Mexico Attn: SWOI	1
Commander AF Cambridge Research Laboratories Laurence G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts Attn: CRREL	1	Director of Research and Development Headquarters USAF Washington 25, D. C. Attn: AFDRD	1
Commander Rome Air Development Center Griffiss Air Force Base Rome, New York Attn: RCOIL-2	1	Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 420	1
Commander Detachment 1 Hq. AF Research Division The Shell Building Brussels, Belgium	2	Director, Naval Research Labs. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Officer	1
P. O. Box AA Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio	1	Director, Army Research Office Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Scientific Information Branch	1
Aeronautical Research Laboratories Building 450 Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio Attn: Technical Library	1	Chief, Physics Branch Division of Research U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.	1
		U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Technical Information Extension P. O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee	1

Agency	cc	Agency	cc
National Bureau of Standards		Commander	1
Library	1	Air Research and Development	
Room 203, Northwest Building		Command	
Washington 25, D. C.		Andrews Air Force Base	
Director, Department of Commerce	1	Washington 25, D. C.	
Office of Technical Services		Attn: RDR 2 cys	
Washington, D. C.		RDRB 1 cy	
Physics Program	1	RDRB 1 cy	
National Science Foundation		RDRB 1 cy	
Washington 25, D. C.		RDRS 1 cy	
Director, Office of Ordnance		Dr. Bertram A. Mulcahy	6
Research	1	Chief, Division of Research	
Box CM, Duke Station		Information	
Durham, North Carolina		National Aeronautics and Space	
ARO, Inc.	1	Administration	
Arnold Air Force Station		1520 H. Street Northwest	
Tullahoma, Tennessee		Washington 25, D. C.	
Attn: AEDC Library		Dr. W. R. Luebke	1
Commanding General	1	Supporting Research Group	
U. S. Army Signal Corps Research		Eitel-McCullough, Inc.	
and Development Laboratory		201 Industrial Way	
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey		San Carlos, California	
Attn: SIGFM/EL-RPO		General Electric Company	1
Advanced Research Projects Agency	1	Power Tube Department	
Washington 25, D. C.		Electronic Components Division	
Commander	1	Building 269, Room 205	
AF Missile Development Center		One River Road	
Holloman Air Force Base		Schenectady 5, New York	
New Mexico		National Aeronautics and Space	6
Attn: HDOI		Administration	
Commander		Washington 25, D. C.	
Army Rocket and Guided Missile		Commander	1
Agency		AF Flight Test Center	
Redstone Arsenal		Edwards Air Force Base	
Alabama		California	
Attn: ORDXR-OTL		Attn: FTOTL	
Commandant, Air Force Institute		Chairman	1
of Technology (AU) Library	1	Canadian Joint Staff	
MCLI-LIB, Bldg. 125, Area B		For DRB/DSIS	
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base		2450 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W.	
Ohio		Washington 25, D. C.	

Agency	cc	Agency	cc
Commander Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Office of Aerospace Research Laurence G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts Attn: CROOTR	1	J. W. Nielson, Manager Solid State Materials Lab. Research Center for the Airtron Div. for Litton Industries 200 East Hanover Avenue Morris Plains, New Jersey	1
Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C. Attn: SRYP	5	L. F. Broadway, Head Research Laboratories Electrical and Musical Industries, Ltd. Blyth Road, Hayes Middlesex, England	1
Office of Naval Research 346 Broadway New York 12, New York Attn: I. Rowe	1	H. Okanobori, Chief Planning Section The Radio Research Labs. Ministry of Posts and Tele- communications Kokubunji, P. O., Koganei-shi Tokyo, Japan	1
Oak Ridge National Laboratory Central Research Laboratory P. O. Box X Oak Ridge, Tennessee	1	General Electric Company Research Laboratory P. O. Box 1088 Schenectady, New York Attn: Virgil L. Stout, Manager	1
Institute for Defense Analysis Research and Engineering Support Division 1825 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington 9, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Office	1	Institute of the Aeronautical Sciences 2 East 64th Street New York, New York Attn: Librarian	1
Raytheon Company Research Division 28 Seyon Street Waltham 54, Massachusetts	1	Dr. J. T. Senise Instituto Tecnologico de Aero- nautica Sao Jose dos Campos Sao Paulo, Brazil	1
Philips Laboratories A Division of North American Philips Company, Inc Irvington-on-Hudson New York	1	Dr. E. Nalos General Electric Research Lab. European Office Pelikan Strasse 37 Zurich, Switzerland	1
Wayland D. George Radiation Systems Department Hughes Aircraft Company Florence and Teale Streets Culver City, California	1	SFD Laboratories 800 Rahway Avenue Union, New Jersey Attn: J. A. Saloom, President	1
Dr. J. E. Drummond, Head Plasma Physics Laboratory Boeing Scientific Research Labs. Boeing Airplane Company P. O. Box 3707 Seattle 24, Washington	1		

Agency	cc	Agency	cc
W. E. Cantrell Senior Engineer Operational and Systems Analysis Vought Electronics P. O. Box 1500 Arlington, Texas		1 Mr. H. Wilhelmsson Research Lab. of Electronics Chalmers Institute of Technology Gilbraltargatan 5 G Gothenberg, Sweden	1
Research and Development Bomac Labs., Inc. Salem Road Beverly, Mass. Attn: Arthur McCoubrey, Manager	1	Dr. R. Shersby-Harvie S.E.R.L. Baldock, Herts England	1
Dr. J. C. Anderson Laboratories RCA, Ltd. An Associate Company of Radio Corporation of America Zurich 5, Switzerland	1	Max-Planck Institute of Physics and Astrophysics Munich, West Germany (Care of the Librarian)	1
Autonetics A Division of North American Aviation, Inc. 9150 E. Imperial Highway Downey, California Attn: Technical Librarian	1	Dr. J. E. Allen CNRN Laboratorio Gas Ionizzati Istituto di Fisica dell'Universita Piazzale dell Scienze 5 Rome, Italy	1
Geophysics Corp. of America 700 Commonwealth Avenue Boston 15, Mass. Attn: Dr. H. D. Greyber	1	The Electronics Department Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm, Sweden (Care of the Librarian)	1
Applied Mechanics Reviews Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio 6, Texas	1	Dr. Bertil Agdur Microwave Department Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm, Sweden	1
Dr C. W. Barnes Senior Research Engineer Electron Devices Lab. Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, Calif.	1	Professor H. W. Konig Institut fur Hochfrequenztechnik Technischen Hochschule Wien, Austria	1
Radio Research Lab. Kokubunji P. O. Tokyo, Japan	1	Dr. P. J. B. Clarricoats Dept. of Light Electrical Engr. The Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland	1
Philip S. Carter, Jr. Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, Calif.	1	Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California Attn: Documents Center	1
		Dr. M. O. Bryant S.E.R.L. (Admiralty) West Road, Harlow Essex, England	1

Agency	cc	Agency	cc
Dr. J. G. Linhard Euratom Plasma Physics Group Istituto di Fisica dell' Universita Piazzale dell Scienze 5 Rome, Italy	1	Professor R. W. Gould Electron Tube Lab. California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California	1
Christina Walsh Research Lab. of Electronics Chalmers Institute of Technology Gothenberg, Sweden	1	University of Illinois Dept. of Electrical Engr. Urbana, Illinois Attn: P. Coleman	1
CERN Service d'Information Scientifique Geneva 23, Switzerland Attn: Mme. L. Goldschmit-Clermont	1	Columbia University Radiation Lab. New York 27, New York Attn: C. Townes	1
The Science Library Science Museum South Kensington London, S. W. England	1	University of Minnesota Electrical Engr. Department Minneapolis 14, Minnesota Attn: H. Oskam	1
Professor Donald C. Stinson Electrical Engineering Dept. University of Arizona Tucson 25, Arizona	1	Northeastern University Physics Department Boston, Mass Attn: G. Lanza	1
University of Southern California Electrical Engr. Dept. Los Angeles 7, Calif. Attn: Z. Kaprielian	1	Stevens Institute of Technology Physics Dept. Hoboken, New Jersey Attn: W. Bostick	1
Dr. A. L. Cullen Dept. of Electrical Engineering University of Sheffield St. George's Square Sheffield 1, England	1	University of New Hampshire Department of Physics Durham, New Hampshire Attn: L. Mower	1
Dr. Hellmut Golde Dept. of Electrical Engineering University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington	1	University of California Electronics Research Lab. Berkeley 4, California Attn: J. Whinnery	1
The University of British Columbia Dept. of Electrical Engineering Microwave Lab. Vancouver 8, British Columbia Canada Attn: Dr. G. B. Walker	1	Professor Elie Roubine 78, Avenue des Ternes-XVII Paris, France	1
		Rutgers University Microwave Lab. New Brunswick, New Jersey Attn: M. Sirkis	1

Agency	cc
New York University Institute of Math. Sciences 25 Waverly Place New York 3, New York Attn: M. Kline	1
California Inst. of Tech. Electrical Engineering Dept. Pasadena, California Attn: C. Papas	1
Oxford University Oxford, England Attn: H. Motz	1
Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute Microwave Research Institute 55 Johnson Street Brooklyn 1, New York Attn: N. Marcuvitz	1
Applied Physics Laboratory The Johns Hopkins University 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Springs, Maryland Attn: Library	1
Dr. Gunter Ecker Institut fur Theoretische Physik Universitat Bonn, West Germany	1
Professor G. K. Emeleus The Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland	1
J. Van Bladel University of Wisconsin Dept. of Electrical Engr. Madison 6, Wisconsin	1
Cornell University School of Electrical Engr. Ithaca, New York Attn: Professor G. C. Dalman	1