

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 407 594

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

CATALOGED BY DDC
AS AD NO. 407594

63-4-1

GENERAL DYNAMICS

GENERAL DYNAMICS | CONVAIR


Report No. 8926-163

Material - Aluminum - 7178-T6

Effect of Interrupted Aging Treatments on Mechanical
Properties

P. W. Bergstedt., H. C. Turner, W. M. Sutherland

29 January 1957



Published and Distributed
under
Contract AF33(600)-8926

1.10



GENERAL DYNAMICS | CONVAIR

MODEL
DATE

PAGE
REPORT NO.

Report No. 8926-163

Material - Aluminum - 7178-T6

Effect of Interrupted Aging Treatments on Mechanical
Properties

Abstract:

Bare 0.064" thick 7178-0 sheet, clad 0.064" thick 7178-T6 sheet, and 0.125" thick 1-1/4" by 1-1/4" extruded 7178-0 angle was solution heat treated at 870°F for 30 minutes (0.064" thick), or 40 minutes (0.125" thick), quenched in cold water and aged with a variety of interrupted aging treatments which consisted of two steps; an initial nucleation treatment and a final growth treatment. The nucleation treatments used were 212°F for 4 hours and 230°F for 3 hours. The growth treatments used with the nucleation treatments included 325°F for 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 hours. Most of the aging treatments produced excellent yield strengths, but ultimate strength and elongation losses were experienced in varying degree, although in all cases military specification minima were exceeded. The aging treatment consisting of 250°F for 3 hours plus 300°F for 4 hours produced the best and most consistent mechanical properties among those observed.

Reference: Bergstedt, P. W., Turner, H. C., Sutherland, W. M.,
"X7178-T6 Materials - Interrupted Aging Treatments,"
General Dynamics/Convair Report MP 56-239, San Diego,
California, 29 January 1957. (Reference attached).

SAN DIEGO

ENGINEERING TEST LABORATORIES

REPORT 56-239

DATE 1-29-57

MODEL F-106

TITLE

REPORT NO. 56-239

X7178-T6 MATERIALS -

INTERRUPTED ARTIFICIAL AGING

TREATMENTS

MODEL F-106

PREPARED BY P. W. Bergstedt
P. W. Bergstedt

P. W. Bergstedt

CHECKED BY H. C. Turner

H. C. Turner

CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland
W. M. Sutherland,
Group Engineer

W. M. Sutherland,
Group Engineer

GROUP MATERIALS & PROCESSES LAB.

WADC Tech. Report
REFERENCE 54-119

Lab. Record Book

No. 910

APPROVED BY E. F. Strong
E. F. Strong
Chief, Engineering
Test Laboratories

E. F. Strong
Chief, Engineering
Test Laboratories

NO. OF PAGES 6

NO. OF DIAGRAMS 4

REVISIONS

[illegible]

ANALYSIS**PREPARED BY** Bergstedt/Turner**CHECKED BY** W. M. Sutherland**REVISED BY****C O N V A I R**A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION
SAN DIEGO**PAGE** 1**REPORT NO.** 56-239**MODEL** F-106**DATE** 1-29-57

REPORT NO. 56-239
X7178-T6 MATERIALS-
INTERRUPTED ARTIFICIAL AGING
TREATMENTS

OBJECT:

1. To determine the tensile properties of X7178 aluminum alloy materials subjected to various interrupted-aging cycles after standard solution heat treatment.
2. To select a short term aging treatment which most nearly duplicates the mechanical properties obtained by standard aging practice.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. The minimum requirements of the respective military specifications were surpassed in all cases involving bare and extruded X7178 materials. The poor results obtained from the clad sheet cannot be attributed to short-time-aging effects. Most of the interrupted-aging treatments produced excellent yield strengths, but losses of varying degree were suffered in ultimate strength and ductility.
2. The best and most consistent results were obtained from the materials which were aged for 3 hours @ 250°F. plus 4 hours @ 300°F. The WADC recommendation of a 4 hour-4 hour treatment at these temperatures is in accord with these findings. The additional hour at the lower temperature does not appear to cause any significant changes in the tensile properties.

TEST PROCEDURE:

Three forms of X7178 aluminum alloy were involved in the interrupted-aging investigation:

1. Bare 0.064" X7178-O sheet
2. Clad 0.064" X7178-T6 sheet
3. 0.125" X7178-O Extruded 1 1/4" x 1 1/4" angle

Standard tensile specimens, prepared in accordance with QQ-M-151, were used throughout the test. Only longitudinal samplings were made, and the specimens were machined in the as-received conditions noted above.

Solution heat treatment was effected according to standard practice - 30 minutes at 870°F. for the 0.064" materials, and 40 minutes at 870°F. for the samples from the 0.125" extrusion.

After a water quench at room temperature, duplicate specimens were subjected to the various artificial aging treatments which appear in Tables I, II, and III.

ANALYSIS**PREPARED BY** Bergstedt/Turner**CHECKED BY** W. M. Sutherland**REVISED BY****C O N V A I R**A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION
SAN DIEGO**PAGE 2****REPORT NO.** 5 6-239**MODEL** F-106**DATE** 1-29-57**TEST PROCEDURE:** (Cont'd.)

The first tests were run on the bare sheet samples. After examination of the tensile test results from these specimens, the 1-hour treatment at the second aging temperature was dropped. Otherwise, the clad and extruded materials were aged according to the same schedule which was used for the bare sheet.

Laboratory circulating-air furnaces were used for both solution treatment and artificial aging. The tensile tests were performed on the 12,000 lb. Tinius-Olsen Testing Machine and in accordance with Federal Specification QQ-M-151. The tensile yield strength was taken at 0.2% offset, and the elongation was measured from a standard two-inch gauge section.

Before the test work had been completed, WADC Technical Report 54-119 was received at Convair. Since the interrupted-aging treatment considered best by WADC was not included in our work, additional specimens were prepared and heat treated per the WADC recommendation. These samples are noted in the tabulated data.

TEST RESULTS:

The tensile properties resulting from the various aging treatments of bare, clad, and extruded X7178 alloy are listed in Tables I, II, and III, respectively. Since the clad material was uniformly marginal with regard to specification minimums, no attempt was made to determine the cause of the low results. The significance of the various step-aging methods was ascertained by a comparison of results, short-time aging vs. standard aging. This was true of all the materials even though the bare and extruded X7178 yielded above-specification results in every instance.

To allow a rapid appraisal of the test data, Figure 1 was inserted in the report. Average values were used in all cases.

NOTE: The data from which this report was prepared are recorded in Laboratory Record Book No. 910.

TABLE I.
EFFECT OF VARIOUS INTERRUPTED-AGING TREATMENTS ON THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 0.064" BARE X 7178 SHEET.

SAMPLE NO.	INTERPOLATED AGING TREATMENT	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES		INTERPOLATED AGING TREATMENT	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES		SAMPLE NO.	INTERPOLATED AGING TREATMENT	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES	
		Y.F.	% ELONG.		Y.F.	% ELONG.			Y.F.	% ELONG.
3	Plus 1 hr @ 325°F	74,100	85,700	12.5	13.0	43	Plus 4 hrs @ 225°F	78,155	87,375	12.0
4	325°F	75,200	85,600	13.5	12.5	44	225°F	76,400	87,200	13.5
Avg		74,650	85,650	13.0	12.8	45	Avg	78,485	87,515	12.5
5	Plus 2 hrs @ 325°F	80,400	85,900	10.5	11.0	46	225°F	78,405	87,645	13.0
6	325°F	79,600	86,400	10.0	12.0	Avg	Avg	78,000	87,300	12.8
Avg		80,000	86,150	10.3	11.5					
7	Plus 3 hrs @ 325°F	79,200	85,500	11.0	11.5	47	Plus 4 hrs @ 225°F	78,000	85,725	11.5
8	325°F	79,300	85,500	10.0	12.0	48	225°F	80,205	86,975	11.5
Avg		79,300	85,500	10.5	11.8	Avg	Avg	79,300	86,375	11.5
9	Plus 4 hrs @ 325°F	80,800	86,000	11.0	12.0					
10	325°F	79,200	85,800	11.0	12.0					
Avg		80,000	85,900	11.0	12.0					
11	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	78,900	85,800	10.5	10.5					
12	325°F	79,100	85,800	11.0	10.5					
Avg		79,000	85,800	10.8	10.5					
13	Plus 1 hr @ 325°F	77,200	85,200	13.0	13.5					
14	325°F	75,200	85,600	13.5	12.3					
Avg		76,450	85,400	12.3	12.5					
15	Plus 2 hrs @ 325°F	78,200	86,500	13.5	12.0					
16	325°F	78,200	85,800	12.0	12.8					
Avg		78,200	86,150	12.8	11.5					
17	Plus 3 hrs @ 325°F	79,400	86,700	11.5	12.0					
18	325°F	78,200	86,800	12.0	11.0					
Avg		78,800	86,750	11.7	11.5					
19	Plus 4 hrs @ 325°F	78,200	86,100	12.0	11.0					
20	325°F	80,200	86,100	11.0	12.5					
Avg		79,200	86,100	11.5	12.8					
21	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	80,700	85,700	10.5	10.5					
22	325°F	77,200	85,100	10.0	10.3					
Avg		78,950	85,400	10.3	10.5					

TABLE II.
EFFECT OF VARIOUS INTERRUPTED-AGING TREATMENTS ON THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 0.064" CLAD X 7178 SHEET.

SAMPLE NO.	INTERMEDIATE ACROSS THE SECTION	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES P.S.		TEMPERATURE ACROSS THE SECTION	TEMPERATURE AT THE SECTION	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES P.S.		TEMPERATURE ACROSS THE SECTION	TEMPERATURE AT THE SECTION	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES P.S.		TEMPERATURE ACROSS THE SECTION	TEMPERATURE AT THE SECTION
		YIELD STRENGTH	TENSILE STRENGTH			YIELD STRENGTH	TENSILE STRENGTH			YIELD STRENGTH	TENSILE STRENGTH		
3	19	66,150	72,000	10.5	19	66,150	72,000	10.5	19	66,150	72,000	10.5	19
4	20	68,320	72,850	12.0	20	68,320	72,850	12.0	20	68,320	72,850	12.0	20
Avg	Avg	67,210	77,425	11.3	Avg	67,210	77,425	11.3	Avg	67,210	77,425	11.3	Avg
5	21	66,770	78,215	11.5	21	66,770	78,215	11.5	21	66,770	78,215	11.5	21
6	22	67,290	72,580	10.0	22	67,290	72,580	10.0	22	67,290	72,580	10.0	22
Avg	Avg	67,030	77,900	10.8	Avg	67,030	77,900	10.8	Avg	67,030	77,900	10.8	Avg
7	23	70,170	78,040	11.5	23	70,170	78,040	11.5	23	70,170	78,040	11.5	23
8	24	68,500	72,100	10.5	24	68,500	72,100	10.5	24	68,500	72,100	10.5	24
Avg	Avg	69,385	75,080	11.0	Avg	69,385	75,080	11.0	Avg	69,385	75,080	11.0	Avg
9	25	67,870	72,220	10.5	25	67,870	72,220	10.5	25	67,870	72,220	10.5	25
10	26	66,790	76,620	10.5	26	66,790	76,620	10.5	26	66,790	76,620	10.5	26
Avg	Avg	67,305	76,930	10.5	Avg	67,305	76,930	10.5	Avg	67,305	76,930	10.5	Avg
11	27	69,210	72,930	12.0	27	69,210	72,930	12.0	27	69,210	72,930	12.0	27
12	28	66,845	71,585	12.0	28	66,845	71,585	12.0	28	66,845	71,585	12.0	28
Avg	Avg	67,900	72,260	12.0	Avg	67,900	72,260	12.0	Avg	67,900	72,260	12.0	Avg
13	29	70,115	78,040	11.5	29	70,115	78,040	11.5	29	70,115	78,040	11.5	29
14	30	68,660	72,620	12.0	30	68,660	72,620	12.0	30	68,660	72,620	12.0	30
Avg	Avg	69,390	77,850	11.8	Avg	69,390	77,850	11.8	Avg	69,390	77,850	11.8	Avg
15	31	69,120	72,990	10.5	31	69,120	72,990	10.5	31	69,120	72,990	10.5	31
16	32	69,085	72,520	11.0	32	69,085	72,520	11.0	32	69,085	72,520	11.0	32
Avg	Avg	68,570	77,640	10.8	Avg	68,570	77,640	10.8	Avg	68,570	77,640	10.8	Avg
17	33	68,940	72,320	10.0	33	68,940	72,320	10.0	33	68,940	72,320	10.0	33
18	34	68,065	72,580	10.5	34	68,065	72,580	10.5	34	68,065	72,580	10.5	34
Avg	Avg	68,490	77,455	10.3	Avg	68,490	77,455	10.3	Avg	68,490	77,455	10.3	Avg

CONWAY
SAN DIEGO

FORM 77

TABLE III.
EFFECT OF VARIOUS INTERRUPTED-AGING TREATMENTS ON THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 0.125" X 1/16" EXTRUDED 125° X 125° ANGLE.

INTER- RUPTED- AGING TREATMENT				MECHANICAL PROPERTIES		SAMPLE No.	INTER- RUPTED- AGING TREATMENT		MECHANICAL PROPERTIES		SAMPLE No.	INTER- RUPTED- AGING TREATMENT		MECHANICAL PROPERTIES			
T.Y.S.		U.T.S.		T.Y.S.			U.T.S.		T.Y.S.			U.T.S.		T.Y.S.		U.T.S.	
3	Plus 2 hrs @ 325°F	87,210	96,590	10.5	19	Plus 2 hrs @ 300°F	87,760	97,175	13.5	35	Plus 4 hrs @ 300°F	88,365	96,910	11.5	611	241.1	4.4
4	Avg	86,990	96,190	12.0	20	Avg	87,865	96,520	12.5	36	Avg	88,745	98,210	11.0	44	241.1	4.4
5	Plus 3 hrs @ 325°F	88,025	96,365	11.3	21	Plus 3 hrs @ 300°F	87,810	97,850	12.0	37	Plus 3 hrs @ 325°F	89,985	96,250	12.5	44	241.1	4.4
6	Avg	85,575	93,590	11.0	22	Avg	86,800	95,585	11.5	38	Avg	89,970	98,135	12.0	44	241.1	4.4
7	Plus 4 hrs @ 325°F	87,825	96,065	9.0	23	Plus 4 hrs @ 300°F	87,870	97,045	11.5	39	Plus 4 hrs @ 325°F	88,035	92,675	10.5	44	241.1	4.4
8	Avg	86,725	93,825	10.0	24	Avg	87,335	93,825	11.5	40	Avg	88,890	95,675	10.0	44	241.1	4.4
9	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	87,820	95,815	9.5	25	Plus 5 hrs @ 300°F	88,995	96,995	12.5	Avg	Avg	87,765	96,175	10.3	44	241.1	4.4
10	Avg	86,655	95,925	10.5	26	Avg	91,360	99,085	12.0								
		88,740	95,870	9.8	27	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	90,135	98,015	12.8								
		85,130	93,075	9.5	28	Plus 5 hrs @ 300°F	86,655	95,005	11.5								
		81,915	94,510	10.5	29	Avg	83,155	99,420	12.5								
		88,270	93,790	10.3	30	Avg	89,995	97,210	12.0								
11	Plus 2 hrs @ 325°F	86,200	94,095	12.5	31	Plus 2 hrs @ 325°F	88,365	95,715	10.0								
12	Avg	87,290	96,970	10.0	32	Avg	91,990	98,150	10.5								
		87,795	95,570	11.3	33	Plus 3 hrs @ 325°F	89,650	96,930	10.3								
13	Plus 3 hrs @ 325°F	86,425	93,100	11.0	34	Plus 3 hrs @ 300°F	87,125	95,390	9.0								
14	Avg	90,330	95,715	10.0	35	Avg	87,985	96,140	9.5								
		88,470	94,410	10.5	36	Plus 4 hrs @ 325°F	89,055	95,720	9.3								
15	Plus 4 hrs @ 325°F	85,120	92,955	10.0	37	Plus 4 hrs @ 300°F	86,510	93,695	11.0								
16	Avg	86,535	94,220	10.0	38	Avg	87,395	96,575	10.0								
		85,825	93,590	10.0	39	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	87,850	95,135	10.5								
17	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	87,225	92,875	11.5	40	Plus 5 hrs @ 300°F	87,485	91,660	11.0								
18	Avg	85,000	94,180	10.0	41	Avg	87,445	93,950	11.5								
		84,610	93,530	10.8	42	Plus 5 hrs @ 325°F	85,965	92,860	11.3								

CONTROL SPECIMENS

SAMPLE No.	STANDARD AGING TREATMENT	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES		
		T.Y.S.	U.T.S.	%ELONG.
1	24 HRS @ 250°F	90,350	99,310	12.5
2		91,625	100,930	6.5
	Avg.	90,940	100,120	9.5




Fig. 1. GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF DATA TO FACILITATE COMPARISON WITH SPECIFIED MECHANICAL PROPERTIES.