

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 410163

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

CATALOGED BY DDC
AS AD No. 410163

410163

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract Nonr 220(26)
Task No. NR 055-388

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 23

Relative Signs of the ^{31}P - ^1H and ^{31}P -C- ^1H n. m. r
Coupling Constants


George M. Whitesides, Jesse L. Beauchamp and John D. Roberts

Prepared for publication in
in
Journal of the American Chemical Society

California Institute of Technology
Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering
Pasadena, California

April 1963

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government



0

Relative Signs of the ^{31}P - ^1H and ^{31}P - C - ^1H n. m. r.
Coupling Constants.¹

(1) Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation.

Sir:

The possibility that terms other than Fermi contact interaction make significant contributions to nuclear spin-spin coupling with heavy nuclei has stimulated considerable interest in the n. m. r. spectra of compounds containing heteroatoms. In particular, relative signs and magnitudes of J_{XCH} and J_{XCCH} have been reported for ethyl derivatives of several heavy isotopes of spin $1/2$.² In order to understand better

(2) For a summary and references, see G. Klose, Ann. Physik, 9, 262 (1962); P. T. Narasimhan and M. T. Rogers, J. Chem. Phys., 34, 1049 (1961).

the factors responsible for spin coupling with a representative heavy nucleus, we have analyzed the spectra of $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{P}$, $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{PH}$ and $(\text{CH}_3)\text{PH}_2$ and have obtained relative signs and magnitudes of J_{PH} and J_{PCH} .

The proton spectrum of methylphosphine (Fig. 1) may be treated as the superposition of an A_3B_2 and an A_3X_2 spectrum, using the method of effective chemical shifts.² Inspection of the spectrum suggests that the methyl region can be separated into a triplet at lower field and a more complicated group of lines at higher field.

Association of the triplet with the simpler half of the P-H spectrum, also occurring as the lower field part, immediately suggests that J_{PH} and J_{PCH} have the same sign. The spectrum observed for CH_3PH_2 and the spectrum calculated using the parameters in the table are shown in Fig. 1. In both methyl- and dimethylphosphine J_{PH} and J_{PCH} have the same sign; double-resonance experiments performed by Drs. G. Juvinall and S. L. Manatt of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory confirm this conclusion.

TABLE I^a

CHEMICAL SHIFTS AND COUPLING CONSTANTS
FOR PHOSPHINE DERIVATIVES

Compound	J_{PH}	J_{PCH}	J_{HCPH}	ν_{H}	ν_{CH_3}
PH_3^{b}	182.2				
CH_3PH_2	± 186.4	± 4.1	8.2	157.7	58.5
$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{PH}$	± 191.6	± 3.6	7.7	187.7	63.8
$(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{P}$		2.7			56.3

^aChemical shifts and coupling constants were moderately temperature dependent; the values reported are for 33°. Chemical shifts are given in cps at 60 Mcps from TMS as internal standard.

^bR. M. Lynden-Bell, Trans. Faraday Soc., 57, 888 (1961).

Anet³ has recently demonstrated by double-resonance experi-

(3) F. A. L. Anet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3767 (1962).

ments that J_{CH_3} and J_{HCH} have opposite sign in $\text{H}_2\text{D}^{13}\text{COH}$; Lynden-Bell and Sheppard⁴ have reached the same conclusion for J_{CH_3} and J_{CCH}

⁴R. M. Lynden-Bell and N. Sheppard, Proc. Roy. Soc., A269, 385 (1962).

in $\text{H}_3^{13}\text{C}^{13}\text{CH}_3$ by analysis of the high resolution spectrum.⁴ In contrast, J_{PH} and J_{PCH} have the same sign. Theoretical treatments have indicated that contact interaction will dominate the coupling of directly bonded atoms⁵; more approximate treatments of HCH ⁶ and ^{13}CCH ⁷ lead to the same conclusion.

(5) M. Karplus and D. M. Grant, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 45, 1269 (1959); N. Muller and D. E. Pritchard, J. Chem. Phys., 31, 768, 1471 (1959); J. N. Shoolery, ibid., 31, 1421 (1959).

(6) H. S. Gutowsky, M. Karplus and D. M. Grant, J. Chem. Phys., 31, 1278 (1959) and references therein.


(7) G. T. Karabatsos, J. D. Graham and F. Vane, J. Phys. Chem., 65, 1657 (1961).

The observed difference in relative sign of J_{XH} and J_{XCH} for $\text{X} = ^1\text{H}$, ^{13}C and for $\text{X} = ^{31}\text{P}$ suggests that some mechanism other than the usual σ -bond interactions contribute to spin-spin coupling with phosphorus. Spin-orbital and electron-dipole interaction would be expected to be relatively more important in coupling to ^{31}P than to ^1H or ^{13}C due both to the greater anisotropy of the screening around phosphorus⁸ and to the relatively small degree of s character of its bonding orbitals.⁹ However, Klose has been able to justify the spectrum of

(8) J. A. Pople, Mol. Phys., 1, 216 (1958).

(9) The bond angles in methylphosphine suggest that the phosphorus bonding orbitals in this compound have predominantly p-character: $\angle \text{CPH} = 97^\circ 30'$, $\angle \text{HPH} = 93^\circ 23'$. See E. L. Breig and C. C. Liu, J. Chem. Phys., 35, 2139 (1961).

$(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2)_3\text{P}$ semiquantitatively by a valence-bond treatment using only contact interactions.² Alternately, the d orbitals and non-bonding electrons of phosphorus may be involved in coupling through structures of the "ylene" type (I).¹⁰ Spin-orbital or magnetic-dipole



I

(10) This structure is analogous to the hyperconjugative structures used to explain long-range coupling in hydrocarbons. Although unimportant in determining the total energy of the molecule, such structures may be very important in spin-spin coupling. See R. A. Hoffman and S. Gronowitz, Arkiv. Kemi., 16, 471 (1960).

interactions might give J_{PCH} the observed sign; important contributions from I certainly would. It is not possible to decide which factor is most important on the basis of available experimental evidence.

It is unfortunately not possible to assign an absolute sign to J_{PH} , although such an assignment would be of considerable interest. Recent calculations for several paramagnetic transition metal ions indicate that the contributions of a spin-polarized 3s orbital to the contact term may be opposite in sign to that of a 1s or 2s orbital¹¹; this result

(11) V. Heine, Phys. Review, 107, 1002 (1957); R. E. Watson and A. J. Freeman, ibid., 120, 1125, 1134 (1960).

suggests that J_{PH} may be either positive or negative, depending upon the relative contributions of the 1s, 2s and 3s electrons to the coupling.

Acknowledgement. - We are deeply indebted to Dr. F. C.
Caserio, Jr., for the phosphine samples used in this research.

Contribution 2967 from the
Gates and Crellin Laboratories of Chemistry
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California


George M. Whitesides

Jesse L. Beauchamp²

John D. Roberts

(12) National Science Foundation Undergraduate Research
Participant, 1962.

Fig. 1. Observed (upper) and calculated (lower) proton n. m. r. spectra of methyl phosphine at 60 Mcps. The spectral parameters used in the calculated spectrum are given in Table I.



TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

CONTRACTOR CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

NR NO. 055-388

CONTRACT NUMBER Nour 220(26)

DATE 16 FEB 1962

<u>NO. COPIES</u>	<u>NO. COPIES</u>
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office The John Crerar Library Building 86 East Randolph Street Chicago 1, Illinois (1)	Air Force Office of Scientific Research (SRC-E) Washington 25, D. C. (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York (1)	Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratories Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Office Branch 012 (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California (1)	Office, Chief of Research & Development Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Physical Sciences Division (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39 Navy #100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York (7)	Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 342C (2)
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Information Officer (6) Chemistry Division (2)	Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Library (4)
Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 425 (2)	ASTIA Document Service Center Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (10)
DDR&E Technical Library Room 3C-128, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C. (1)	Director of Research U.S. Army Signal Research & Development Laboratory Fort Monmouth, New Jersey (1)
Technical Director Research & Engineering Division Office of the Quartermaster General Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. (1)	Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco 24, California Attn: Technical Library (1)
Research Director Clothing & Organic Materials Division Quartermaster Research & Engineering Command U. S. Army Natick, Massachusetts (1)	Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Head, Chemistry Division (1)

REVISED 1 FEB 1962

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Page 2

CONTRACTOR CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

NR NO. 055-388

CONTRACT NUMBER Nonr 220(26)

DATE _____

	<u>NO. COPIES</u>		<u>NO. COPIES</u>
Commanding Officer Army Research Office Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina Attn: Scientific Synthesis Office	(1)	Dr. G. Barth-Wehrenalp, Director Inorganic Research Department Pennsalt Chemicals Corporation P. O. Box 4388 Philadelphia 18, Pennsylvania	(2)
Brookhaven National Laboratory Chemistry Department Upton, New York	(1)	Dr. M. S. Newman Department of Chemistry Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio	(1)
Atomic Energy Commission Division of Research Chemistry Programs Washington 25, D. C.	(1)	Dr. P. Bartlett Department of Chemistry Harvard University Cambridge, Mass.	(1)
Atomic Energy Commission Division of Technical Information Extension Post Office Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee	(1)	Dr. S. Winstein Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles 24, Calif.	(1)
U.S. Army Chemical Research and Development Laboratories Technical Library Army Chemical Center, Maryland	(1)	Dr. L. P. Hammett Department of Chemistry Columbia University New York 27, N. Y.	(1)
Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	(1)	Dr. H. C. Brown Department of Chemistry Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	(1)
Dr. John E. Leffler Department of Chemistry Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida	(1)	Dr. H. S. Gutowsky Department of Chemistry University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	(1)
Dr. R. W. Taft, Jr. Department of Chemistry Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pa.	(1)	Dr. D. A. Brown Department of Chemistry University College Upper Merrion Street Dublin, Ireland	(1)
ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston 10, Mass. Attn: Dr. A. L. Powell	(1)	Dr. T. L. Heying Organics Division Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation 275 Winchester Avenue New Haven, Conn.	(1)

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Page

CONTRACTOR CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

NR NO. 055-388

CONTRACT NUMBER Nonr 220(26)

DATE

	<u>NO. COPIES</u>	<u>NO. COPIES</u>
Monsanto Research Corporation Everett Station Boston 49, Mass. Attn: Mr. K. Warren Easley	(1)	
Dr. M. S. Cohen, Chief Propellants Synthesis Section Reaction Motors Division Denville, New Jersey	(1)	
Dr. M. J. S. Dewar Department of Chemistry University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	(1)	
Dr. T. P. Onak Department of Chemistry Los Angeles State College Los Angeles, Calif.	(1)	
Dr. Riley Schaeffer Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana	(1)	
Dr. W. N. Lipscomb Department of Chemistry Harvard University Cambridge 38, Mass.	(1)	
Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dr. R. B. Fox	(1)	
Dr. D. L. Venezky Code 6120 (added 1-7-63)	(1)	
Dr. E. Grunwald Bell Telephone Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey (added 1-7-63)	(1)	