

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 418708

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


64  
105



*Division of Engineering*  
BROWN UNIVERSITY  
PROVIDENCE, R. I.

A7ORL-63-318

64-4



ON THE SCATTERING OF ELECTROMAGNETIC  
WAVES BY A DIELECTRIC CYLINDER

BY

WILLIAM STREIFER and RALPH D. KODIS

*Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories*  
*Office of Aerospace Research*  
*Contract A7 19 (628) 2498*  
*Scientific Report A7 2498, 1*

*December 1962*

AFCRL-63-318

ON THE SCATTERING OF ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES

BY A DIELECTRIC CYLINDER

by

William Streifer and Ralph D. Kodis

Brown University

Providence, Rhode Island

Contract No. AF 19(628)-2498

Project No. 5635

Task No. 563502

Scientific Report No. 1

December 1962

Prepared

for

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories

Office of Aerospace Research

United States Air Force

Bedford, Massachusetts

"Requests for additional copies by Agencies of the Department of Defense, their contractors, and other Government agencies should be directed to the:

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER (DDC)  
CAMERON STATION  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA"

"All other persons and organizations should apply to the:

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
OFFICE OF TECHNICAL SERVICES  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C."

## ABSTRACT

The scattering of E-polarized cylindrical electromagnetic waves by an infinitely long dielectric cylinder is investigated. For small incident wavelength the slowly converging series solution is converted to a sum of integrals. An expansion is performed so that the integrals may be divided into two classes depending on the relative locations of source and observation points. Those in the first class have points of stationary phase and an asymptotic evaluation gives contributions which are identified as those of geometric optics. The remaining integrals are evaluated as residue sums. A plot of normalized back-scattering cross section vs. normalized radius for  $n = .4$  is given.

Introduction:

The scattering of electromagnetic waves by an infinite dielectric cylinder of large radius has been treated by Beckmann and Franz [1], [2] among others. They employ the Watson transformation to convert the slowly convergent series solution into a sum of integrals, some of which are evaluated by the method of stationary phase and yield geometric optics terms. The remainder are transformed to residue sums and interpreted as diffraction effects. The pole locations they use in evaluating these residues are only qualitatively determined.

In the following the authors employ the Poisson sum formula as suggested by Wu [3] to obtain integrals similar to those found by Beckmann and Franz. However, the present work is an extension of theirs in three respects: (1), more precise pole locations are used in the residue computation; (2), the refractive index of the cylinder is taken to be less than one; and (3), numerical results are included.

Formulation:

If an electromagnetic wave emanates from an infinite line source with its electric vector parallel to the axis of an infinite dielectric cylinder, the governing equation in the coordinate system illustrated in figure 1 is

$$[\nabla_{r\theta}^2 + k^2(r)] G(F, F') = -\delta(F - F'), \quad (1)$$

where

$$k(r) = \begin{cases} k_1 & r < a \\ k_2 & r > a, \end{cases} \quad k_1, k_2 \text{ real.}$$

The function  $G(F, F')$ , together with its derivative  $\partial_r G(F, F')$ , is continuous at the cylinder surface  $r = |F| = a$  and satisfies the radiation condition

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r^{1/2} (\partial_r - ik_z) G] = 0.$$

The solution of (1) in  $r \geq a$ , found by separation of variables, is

$$G(F, F') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i}{8} \left[ H_n^{(1)}(k_z r') H_n^{(2)}(k_z r) + H_n^{(1)}(k_z r') H_n^{(1)}(k_z r) \frac{H_n^{(2)}(x)}{H_n^{(1)}(x)} R(n) \right] e^{in\theta}, \quad (2a)$$

where

$$R(n) = - \frac{x \frac{H_n^{(2)'}}{H_n^{(2)}(x)} - y \frac{J_n'(y)}{J_n(y)}}{x \frac{H_n^{(1)'}}{H_n^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_n'(y)}{J_n(y)}}, \quad (2b)$$

and  $x = k_z a$ ,  $y = k_t a$

The line source may be at either the primed or unprimed point, provided  $r' > r$

For large cylinders, i.e.  $x, y \gg 1$ , the series (2a) converges very slowly, and it is convenient to employ the Poisson sum formula [3] to obtain

$$G(\bar{F}, \bar{F}') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_\nu^{(1)}(k_z r') H_\nu^{(2)}(k_z r) + H_\nu^{(1)}(k_z r') H_\nu^{(1)}(k_z r) \frac{H_\nu^{(2)}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} R(\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu. \quad (3)$$

When Debye's asymptotic forms for the Hankel and Bessel functions [4] are substituted in (3) it is evident that for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  every integral with  $m \geq 0$  has many stationary phase points. These contributions may be separated by expanding  $R(\nu)$  (See Appendix A):

$$R(\nu) = R_1(\nu) + (1+R_1)(1+R_2) \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} R_2^{p-1} \left[ \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(y)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(y)} \right]^p, \quad (4)$$

where

$$R_1(\nu) = -\frac{1}{L(\nu)} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(2)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(x)} - y \frac{H_\nu^{(2)'}(y)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(y)} \right\},$$

$$R_2(\nu) = -\frac{1}{L(\nu)} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(y)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(y)} \right\},$$

and

$$L(\nu) = x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_\nu^{(2)'}(y)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(y)}$$

Substituting (4) in (3), interchanging summation and integration, and carrying out various algebraic manipulations, we obtain:

$$G(\bar{r}, \bar{r}') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_\nu^{(2)}(k_2 r) + H_\nu^{(2)}(k_2 r') H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_\nu^{(2)}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} R(\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu + \quad (5a)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_\nu^{(2)}(k_2 r) + H_\nu^{(2)}(k_2 r') H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_\nu^{(2)}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} R(-\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu + \quad (5b)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_\nu^{(2)}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} (1+R_1)(1+R_2) R_2^{p-1} \left[ \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(y)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(y)} \right]^p e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu + \quad (5c)$$

$$+ \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_2 r) + H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} R_1(\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} d\nu + \quad (5d)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} (1+R_1)(1+R_2) R_2^{\nu-1} \left[ \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right]^p e^{i\nu\theta} d\nu + \quad (5e)$$

$$- \frac{i}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)]^2 H_{-\nu}^{(2)}(y) J_{-\nu}(y)} \frac{e^{i\nu\theta}}{L(\nu) \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\}} d\nu \quad (5f)$$

Each of the integrals (5a) - (5f) which has a stationary phase point yields a characteristic ray of geometric optics. Some of these rays can reach only a restricted region which depends upon the relative dielectric constant of the cylinder and the relative position of source and observation points. With the index of refraction  $N = y/x < 1$  and the observation point at  $r < r'$ , three regions can be distinguished (see figure 1).

#### Region 1 - Forward Scattering

In this, the "shadow" region, it is convenient to rewrite  $G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$  in the form:

$$G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_2 r) + H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} R(\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu + \quad (6a)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_2 r) + H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} R(-\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu +$$

(6b)

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} (1+R_1)(1+R_2) R_2^{p-1} \left[ \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right]^p e^{i\nu\theta} e^{2\pi i m \nu} d\nu, \quad (6c)$$

where (5c) and (5c) as well as (5d), (5f), and (5b) have been combined.

The denominator of (6c) contains the factor  $H_{\nu}^{(1)}(y) H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)$  which increases exponentially when  $\nu > y$ . The principle contribution of those integrals therefore occurs in the range  $(-y, y)$ . Substitution of the Debye asymptotic forms in this interval yields

$$I_{pm} \sim (4\pi)^{-1} \exp[i\pi(p-1)/2] \int_{-A}^A \frac{(1+R_1)(1+R_2)(-R_2)^{p-1}}{\{[(k_2 r')^2 - \nu^2][(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2]\}^{1/4}} [1 + O(y^{-1})] d\nu, \quad (7a)$$

where

$$0 < y - A = O(y),$$

and

$$R_1 \sim -R_2 \sim \frac{(x^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2} - (y^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2}}{(x^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2} + (y^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2}}. \quad (7b)$$

The phase of the integrand is

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta = & [(k_2 r')^2 - \nu^2]^{1/2} + [(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2]^{1/2} - 2(x^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2} + 2p(y^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2} + \\ & -\nu \cos^{-1}(\nu/k_2 r') - \nu \cos^{-1}(\nu/k_2 r) + 2\nu \cos^{-1}(\nu/x) - 2\nu p \cos^{-1}(\nu/y) + \nu\theta + 2\pi m\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (7c)$$

where the inverse cosine functions are bounded by 0 and  $\pi$ . If  $\nu_{\sigma}$  is a point of stationary phase, the condition  $\partial\Theta/\partial\nu|_{\nu_{\sigma}} = 0$  leads to

$$\cos^{-1}(\nu_{\sigma}/k_2 r') + \cos^{-1}(\nu_{\sigma}/k_2 r) - 2\cos^{-1}(\nu_{\sigma}/x) + 2p \cos^{-1}(\nu_{\sigma}/y) = \theta + 2\pi m. \quad (8)$$

This equation does not have solutions for all values of  $m$  and  $p$ . For a fixed value of  $p$ , for example, the left side of (8) has a maximum in  $(-A, A)$  which always occurs at  $\nu_{\sigma} = -A$ . As  $A$  approaches  $y$  this maximum

value approaches  $2\pi p - U$ , where

$$U = \cos^{-1}(y/k_2 r_1) + \cos^{-1}(y/k_2 r) - 2 \cos^{-1} N.$$

On the other hand, at  $\nu_\sigma = A$  the value is minimum and approaches  $U$  as  $A$  approaches  $y$ . Since the right hand side of (8) must be within these limits, the possible values of  $m$  satisfy

$$2\pi p - (U + \theta) > 2\pi m > U - \theta.$$

But  $m$  is an integer, and

$$\pi > U > 0, \quad \pi \geq \theta \geq 0$$

so that

$$p-1 \geq m \geq 1.$$

It is clear that for  $m = 0$ , (8) has a solution only when  $\theta > U$ . The equation of the line separating regions 2 and 3 is  $\theta = U$  (see figure 1).

The stationary phase evaluation of (7a) yields

$$I_{pm} \sim \left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1+R_{1\sigma})(1+R_{2\sigma})(-R_{2\sigma})^{p-1} \exp\{i[\pi/4 + (p-1)\pi/2]\} \exp(i\Theta_\sigma) [1 + O(y^{-1})]}{\left\{ \frac{2p}{[(k_2 r_1)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2][(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \frac{2p}{(y^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{2}{(x^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{[(k_2 r_1)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{[(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (9a)$$

where the subscript  $\sigma$  denotes evaluation at  $\nu_\sigma$ , and in particular

$$\Theta_\sigma = k_2 \left\{ [r_1^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} + [r^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2 [a^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} + 2pk_1 [a^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_1)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(9b)

The physical interpretation of these results as the rays of geometric optics is given in [1]. We note briefly that the function multiplying  $k_2$  in (9b) is the distance the ray travels outside the cylinder, while the multiplicand of  $k_1$  corresponds to the  $p$  equal paths the ray traverses inside. Thus the rays undergo  $p-1$  internal reflections as is borne out by the factor  $R_{2\sigma}^{p-1}$ , since the quantities  $R_{1\sigma}$ ,  $R_{2\sigma}$ ,  $(1 + R_{1\sigma})$ , and  $(1 + R_{2\sigma})$  are the Fresnel reflection and transmission coefficients. Furthermore when  $m < p/2$  the ray encircles the origin  $m$  times in a counterclockwise direction, while for  $m \geq p/2$ , the clockwise encirclements are given by  $p - m$ . The localization principle [5] as well as Snell's law are verified by the interpretation of  $v_\sigma/k$  as the distance from the ray to the origin, where  $k = k_2$  for the external ray and  $k_1$  for the internal. If  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_r$  are respectively the angles of incidence and refraction we have

$$\sin \alpha_i = v_\sigma/k_2 \qquad \sin \alpha_r = v_\sigma/k_1$$

so that

$$\frac{\sin \alpha_i}{\sin \alpha_r} = \frac{k_1}{k_2} = N,$$

which is Snell's law. These quantities are illustrated in figure 2.

The integrals in (6a) and (6b) do not have stationary points in region 1; they are evaluated as residue sums. Only the lower half plane solutions of

$$x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} = 0 \tag{10}$$

are used to locate the poles of  $R(\nu)$  since with  $m < 0$  the integrals (6a) require that the contour be closed below the axis. The pole locations  $\nu = -\nu_2$ , as computed in [6] are shown in figure 3. The integrals in (6b) are similarly

evaluated at the poles of  $R(-\nu)$  in the upper half plane, i.e. at  $\nu = \nu_L$

Thus (6a) is equal to

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} 2\pi i \sum_{\nu_L} \frac{i}{\nu_L} \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) x [H_\nu^{(1)}(x) H_\nu^{(2)'}(x) - H_\nu^{(2)}(x) H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)] e^{-i\nu\theta} e^{-2\pi i m \nu}}{[H_\nu^{(1)}(x)]^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} \right\}_{\nu = -\nu_L}$$

$$= i \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{\nu_L} \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_\nu^{(1)}(x)]^2} \frac{e^{-i\nu\theta} e^{-2\pi i m \nu}}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} \right\}_{\nu = -\nu_L}}$$

Under the conditions  $\nu_L - x = O(x^{1/3})$  and  $\nu_L - y = O(y)$ , the asymptotic form of this residue sum is

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{\nu_L} \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\exp\{ik_2 [\sqrt{r^2 - (\nu/k_2)^2} + \sqrt{r^2 - (\nu/k_2)^2}] - i\nu [\cos^2 \nu/k_2 r + \cos^2 \nu/k_2 r + \theta + 2\pi m]\} [1 + O(x^{-1})]}{\{[(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2][(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2]\}^{1/4} [p(x, \nu) Ai(-q e^{-i\pi/3})]^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} \right\}_{\nu = -\nu_L} \quad (11a)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} \right\}_{\nu = -\nu_L} = -\frac{(\nu_L^2 - y^2)}{x} + \frac{4(\nu_L^2 - y^2)(\nu_L - x)}{15x^2} + 2(\nu_L - x) + O(1), \quad (11b)$$

$p(x, \nu)$  and  $q$  are the Schöbe polynomials [4], and  $Ai(\eta)$  is the Airy function of the first kind. Similarly (6b) becomes

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_L} \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\exp\{ik_2 [\sqrt{r^2 - (\nu/k_2)^2} + \sqrt{r^2 - (\nu/k_2)^2}] - i\nu [\cos^2 \nu/k_2 r + \cos^2 \nu/k_2 r - \theta - 2\pi m]\} [1 + O(x^{-1})]}{\{[(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2][(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2]\}^{1/4} [p(x, \nu) Ai(-q e^{i\pi/3})]^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} \right\}_{\nu = \nu_L} \quad (12)$$

where the derivative is given by (11b).

The terms (11a) and (12) represent the well-known creeping waves [1], which radiate tangentially as they propagate around the cylinder. The exponential decay depends on the product of the angle and  $\text{Im}(\nu_2)$ . Thus the only important contributions are from the first encirclement, i.e.  $m = 0$  and  $-1$ . Physically, the waves have radiated away so much energy in their first encirclement that their next contribution is negligible. The localization principle can be applied to these waves also since  $\nu_2 \approx x$  for the counterclockwise waves which are associated with rays striking the cylinder tangentially in  $\theta > 0$ ; for the clockwise waves,  $-\nu_2 \approx -x$ , and the tangential rays are incident in  $\theta < 0$ . The geometrical model is illustrated in figure 4.

For  $\theta = \pi$ , the terms in (11a) and (12) are identical since the geometry is symmetrical about  $\theta = 0, \pi$ . Further, the residue sum for  $m = 0$  does not converge when

$$\cos^{-1}(x/k_2 r) + \cos^{-1}(x/k_2 r') = \theta,$$

which defines the common boundary of regions 1 and 2. Here the observation point moves into the region of direct illumination, and the integral with  $m = 0$  gives optical rays.

### Region 2

In region 2 it is convenient to rewrite the integral in (6b) with  $m = 0$  as,

$$\frac{i}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(2)}(k_2 r) + H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r) \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} R_1(\nu) \right] e^{i\nu\theta} d\nu + \quad (13a)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)]^2} \frac{e^{i\nu\theta}}{H_{-\nu}^{(2)}(y) J_{-\nu}(y) L(\nu)} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\} d\nu. \quad (13b)$$

The first of these integrals (13a) is evaluated by the stationary phase technique. We obtain in part

$$\frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{z}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\exp[i(k_2 r \bar{r} - \bar{r} - \pi/4)]}{(k_2 r \bar{r} - \bar{r})^{1/2}} \{1 + O[(k_2 r)^{-1}]\},$$

which is just the first term in the asymptotic series for  $(i/4) H_0^{(1)}(k_2 r \bar{r} - \bar{r})$ , the free space Green's function or equivalently the direct ray. In addition (13a) yields the singly reflected ray

$$\frac{R_{1\sigma} \exp[i(\Theta_\sigma + \pi/4)] [1 + O(x^{-1})]}{2 (2\pi)^{1/2} \left\{ [(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2] [(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2] \right\}^{1/4} \left\{ \frac{z}{(x^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{[(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{[(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_\sigma^2]^{1/2}} \right\}^{1/2}}$$

where

$$\Theta_\sigma = k_2 \left\{ [r^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_2)^2]^{1/2} + [r^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_2)^2]^{1/2} - 2 [a^2 - (\nu_\sigma/k_2)^2] \right\},$$

$$R_{1\sigma} = \begin{cases} \frac{(x^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2} - (y^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2}}{(x^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2} + (y^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2}} & \nu_\sigma < y \\ \frac{(x^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2} + i(\nu_\sigma^2 - y^2)^{1/2}}{(x^2 - \nu_\sigma^2)^{1/2} - i(\nu_\sigma^2 - y^2)^{1/2}} & \nu_\sigma > y \end{cases}$$

and  $\nu_\sigma$  is the solution of

$$\cos^{-1}(\nu_\sigma/k_2 r) + \cos^{-1}(\nu_\sigma/k_2 r) - 2 \cos^{-1}(\nu_\sigma/x) = \Theta.$$

Here we have neglected the phase contributed by  $R_1(\nu)$  for  $\nu > y$  since it is of a lower order than that given by the Hankel functions.

The integral (13b) is evaluated as a residue sum at the poles of the integrand in the upper half plane. These are the solutions of  $L(\nu) = 0$ ,

$$x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_\nu^{(2)'}(y)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(y)} = 0$$

(14a)

as well as the solutions of

$$x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}'(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} = 0.$$

(14b)

The solutions of (14b) in the upper half plane are  $\nu_{\ell}$ , while those of (14a) are  $\nu_{\ell}$  and  $\nu_s$  (see figure 5). Thus it appears that double poles exist at  $\nu_{\ell}$ ; however, an examination of equations (14a) and (14b) shows that these solutions are not exactly coincident and in fact depend on  $2J_{-\nu}(y) \sim H_{-\nu}^{(2)}(y)$  in this part of the  $\nu$  plane. By writing  $\nu_{\ell}'$  for the roots of (14a) and  $\nu_{\ell}''$  for those of (14b) we show in Appendix B that the total residue contribution at  $\nu_{\ell}$  is negligible.

Thus (13b) is equal to

$$\sum_{\nu_s} i \frac{H_{\nu_s}^{(1)}(k_2 r') H_{\nu_s}^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_{\nu_s}^{(1)}(x)]^2} \frac{e^{i\nu_s \theta}}{\partial \nu \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\}_{\nu_s}}$$

which becomes after substitution of the asymptotic forms

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu_s} i \left\{ \frac{(x^2 - \nu_s^2)^2}{[(k_2 r')^2 - \nu_s^2][(k_2 r)^2 - \nu_s^2]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{[1 + O(x^{-1})]}{\partial \nu \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\}_{\nu_s}} \exp \left\{ ik_2 \left[ \sqrt{r'^2 - (\nu_s/k_2)^2} + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \sqrt{r^2 - (\nu_s/k_2)^2} - 2\sqrt{a^2 - (\nu_s/k_2)^2} \right] - i\nu_s \left[ \cos^{-1}(\nu_s/k_2 r') + \cos^{-1}(\nu_s/k_2 r) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2\cos^{-1}(\nu_s/x) - \theta \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (15a)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\}_{\nu_s} \sim -\frac{(x^2 - \nu_s^2)}{y} + \frac{4(x^2 - \nu_s^2)(\nu_s - y)}{15y^2} + 2(\nu_s - y) + O(1) = O(x).$$

(15b)

It is possible to interpret formulae (15a) and (15b) in terms of ray optics, but not as clearly as in the creeping wave situation. Since  $\nu_s x - y + i\text{Im}(\nu_s)$  where  $\text{Im}(\nu_s) > 0$ , the localization principle relates these terms to the rays which strike the cylinder at the angle of total reflection above the line  $\theta = 0$ . The first phase term in (15a) is the product of  $k_2$  and a distance consistent with the above interpretation (see figure 6). The second phase term

$$-i\nu_s [\cos^{-1}(\nu_s/k_2 r_1) + \cos^{-1}(\nu_s/k_2 r) - 2\cos^{-1}(\nu_s/x) - \theta]$$

is approximately equal to

$$i\nu_s [\theta + \cos^{-1}(Na/r_1) + \cos^{-1}(Na/r) - 2\cos^{-1}N],$$

which is  $i\nu_s$  multiplying the angle  $\Omega$  shown in figure 6. Thus this part of the phase represents a wave traveling counterclockwise around the cylinder with propagation constant  $\nu_s$ . Since  $\text{Im}(\nu_s) > 0$ , the exponential in (15a) has a negative real part and the wave decays in proportion to the angle; however, the  $\text{Re}(\nu_s)$  is negative and so the physical interpretation is not completely satisfactory.

### Region 3

The results derived above for (13a) and (13b) are applicable to observation points in region 3. The integrals (5c), however, as was mentioned in connection with equation (8), have no stationary phase points in region 3. After summation (5e) is equal to

$$-\frac{i}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r_1) H_\nu^{(1)}(k_2 r) e^{i\nu\theta} d\nu}{[H_\nu^{(1)}(x)]^2 H_\nu^{(2)}(y) J_\nu(y) L(\nu) \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_\nu'(y)}{J_\nu(y)} \right\}}, \quad (16)$$

which is evaluated by residues.

For  $\theta > 0$ , the contour may only be closed by an infinite semicircle in the upper half plane. The four residue series obtained from the poles  $\nu_e, \nu_s, \nu_g,$

and  $\nu_L$  (see figures 3 and 5) converge so slowly that one could as well employ the series solution (2a). It is, however, possible to approximate (16) by deforming the path of integration as shown in figure 7. The integral over the new path is neglected compared to the residues at the captured poles,  $-\nu_S$ , (see Appendix C). We note that the residues at the captured poles,  $-\nu_L$ , are negligible as shown in Appendix B. Thus (16) is approximately equal to

$$\sum_{\nu_S} i \left\{ \frac{(x^2 - \nu_S^2)^2}{[(k_z r')^2 - \nu_S^2][(k_z r)^2 - \nu_S^2]} \right\}^{1/2} \frac{[1 + O(x^{-1})]}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(y)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(y)} \right\} \nu_S} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \exp \left\{ i k_z \left[ \sqrt{r'^2 - (\nu_S/k_z)^2} + \sqrt{r^2 - (\nu_S/k_z)^2} - z \sqrt{\alpha^2 - (\nu_S/k_z)^2} \right] + \right.$$

$$\left. - i \nu_S \left[ \cos^{-1}(\nu_S/k_z r') + \cos^{-1}(\nu_S/k_z r) - 2 \cos^{-1}(\nu_S/x) + \theta \right] \right\},$$

(17)

where the summation includes only captured poles and the derivative is given by (15b). In region 3 the inequality

$$\cos^{-1}(Na/r') + \cos^{-1}(Na/r) - 2 \cos^{-1}(N) > \theta$$

(18)

is satisfied and successive terms in (17) have decreasing magnitudes.

The form of (17) is the same as (15a) with the sign of  $\theta$  reversed; indeed for  $\theta = 0$  the integrals (16) and (13b) are identical. The physical interpretation of the residues at  $\nu_S$  and  $-\nu_S$  is similar except that the former are associated with rays incident in  $\theta > 0$ .

### Plane Waves and Back-Scattering

To specialize the above results to the case of an incident plane wave of unit amplitude, one simply applies the operator

$$\lim_{r' \rightarrow \infty} -4i (\pi k_z r'/2)^{1/2} \exp [i (\pi/4 - k_z r')]$$

to the previous results. We choose here to consider the case of back-scattering

since the other case of chief interest, the scattering cross section, cannot be completely treated by the methods developed above.

The normalized back-scattering cross section is defined by

$$\sigma_B = \left| \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{2r}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_s(\theta=0) \right|^2 = |E_R|^2$$

where  $E_B$  is the scattered field, and

$$E_R = \left( \frac{1-N}{1+N} \right) e^{-2ix} + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} (1+R_{1\sigma})(1+R_{2\sigma})(-R_{2\sigma})^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{\cos \alpha_i}{\frac{p \cos \alpha_i}{N \cos \alpha_r} - 1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \exp \left\{ -2ix \left( 1 - pN \frac{\cos \alpha_r}{\cos \alpha_i} \right) + i \frac{\pi}{2} (p-1) \right\} + \quad (19a)$$

$$+ 2 \left( \frac{z}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_e} \frac{x \exp [i \nu_e (2m-1)\pi + i\pi/4]}{(\nu_e^2 - y^2) \{ p(x, \nu_e) \text{Ai}[-q(x, \nu_e) e^{-i\pi/3}] \}^2} + \quad (19b)$$

$$- 8 \left( \frac{\pi}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\nu_s} \frac{y}{(x^2 - \nu_s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -2ix \left( 1 - \nu_s^2/x^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\nu_s (\pi - 2 \cos^{-1} \nu_s/x) - i\pi/4 \right\}, \quad (19c)$$

with  $\cos \alpha_i = \left( 1 - \nu_e^2/x^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\cos \alpha_r = \left( 1 - \nu_e^2/y^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The calculation of  $\sigma_B$  was programmed for the IBM 7070 of the Brown University Computing Center. The geometric optics terms in (19a) required the iterative solution of

$$\sin \alpha_i = N \sin \alpha_r$$

and

$$\alpha_r = \frac{1}{p} [\alpha_i - \pi(p/2 - m)].$$

The creeping wave terms represented by (19b) were found to be negligible and only (19c) contributed to the diffraction effect. Figure 8 is a plot of  $\sigma_B$  vs  $k_2 a$  for  $N = .4$ .

The results developed here do not apply to observation points near  $\theta = \pi$  and the boundaries separating regions 1, 2 and 3 since these lines are caustics. Thus the forward scatter with an incident plane wave has not been completely determined and the scattering cross section cannot be calculated.

#### Appendix A

The power series (4a)

$$R(\nu) = R_1(\nu) + (1+R_1)(1+R_2) \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} R_2^{p-1} [H_\nu^{(1)}(y)/H_\nu^{(2)}(y)]^p$$

converges by the ratio test when

$$|R_2 H_\nu^{(2)}(y)/H_\nu^{(1)}(y)| < 1.$$

On the real  $\nu$  axis this condition becomes  $|R_2| < 1$  or  $R_2 R_2^* < 1$ .

We write

$$R_2 = -\frac{D - NE}{D - NE^*}$$

so that

$$R_2 R_2^* = \frac{|D|^2 + N^2 |E|^2 - N(ED^* + DE^*)}{|D|^2 + N^2 |E|^2 - N(E^*D^* + DE)} \quad (A-1)$$

We have, however,

$$ED^* + DE^* - (E^*D^* + DE) = -(D - D^*)(E - E^*) \quad (A-2)$$

where

$$D - D^* = \frac{H_\nu^{(1)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(x)} - \frac{H_\nu^{(2)'}(x)}{H_\nu^{(2)}(x)} = \frac{4i}{\pi x |H_\nu^{(1)}(x)|^2}$$

and

$$E - E^* = \frac{4i}{\pi y |H_\nu^{(1)}(y)|^2} >$$

so that (A-2) is positive definite. This implies that (A-1) is less than unity and the series converges.

### Appendix B

At the solutions of (14a),  $\nu_{2'}$ , the residue calculation gives for the denominator of (13b)

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{-\nu}^{(2)}(y) J_{-\nu}(y) \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}'(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\} \Big|_{\nu_{2'}} = \\ & = y \left[ H_{-\nu}^{(2)'}(y) J_{-\nu}(y) - H_{-\nu}^{(2)}(y) J_{-\nu}'(y) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\} \Big|_{\nu_{2'}} = \\ & = -\frac{2i}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\} \Big|_{\nu_{2'}} \end{aligned}$$

where the Wronskian relation has been employed. Similarly at the solutions of (14b),  $\nu_{2''}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{-\nu}^{(2)}(y) J_{-\nu}(y) \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}'(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\} \Big|_{\nu_{2''}} = \\ & = \frac{2i}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}'(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\} \Big|_{\nu_{2''}} \end{aligned}$$

Adding the two residues and setting  $\nu_{2'} = \nu_{2''} = \nu_2$ , the result is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{2i} \frac{y \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} - \frac{J_{-\nu}'(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\}}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{-\nu}'(y)}{J_{-\nu}(y)} \right\}} \Big|_{\nu_2} \sim \\ & \sim - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\{ \frac{e^{2\pi i \nu}}{[H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)]^2} \right\}}{\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{H_{\nu}^{(2)'}(y)}{H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)} \right] \right\}^2} \Big|_{\nu_2} \sim \frac{2x^2 \cosh^{-1}(\nu_2(y) \exp(2\pi i \nu_2))}{(\nu_2^2 - y^2) [H_{\nu_2}^{(2)}(y)]^2} \end{aligned}$$

which is very small since  $\text{Im}(\nu_e) > 0$  and  $H_{\nu_e}^{(2)}(y)$  increases as an exponential function of  $\nu_e$ .

The residues of (16) at  $-\nu_e$  yield the same result, as substitution of  $-\nu$  for  $\nu$  will show.

### Appendix C

On those parts of the path shown in figure 7, which coincide with the real axis, the integrand of (16)

$$\frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r_1) H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)]^2 H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y) J_{\nu}(y) L(\nu) \left\{ x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{\nu}(y)}{J_{\nu}(y)} \right\}} e^{i\nu\theta} \quad (\text{C-1})$$

has an exponential variation given by

$$\frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r_1) H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)]^2} e^{i\nu\theta}. \quad (\text{C-2})$$

When  $|\nu| > x$  and  $|\nu| - x = O(x)$ , (C-2) is very small and decreases rapidly with increasing  $|\nu|$ .

The exponential variation of (C-1) on the circular part of the path changes from (C-2) on the arc PQ to

$$\frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r_1) H_{\nu}^{(1)}(k_2 r)}{[H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)]^2 [H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)]^2} e^{i\nu\theta} \quad (\text{C-3})$$

on the arc QRS (see figure 7). Since (C-2) is symmetric about the imaginary axis and  $[H_{\nu}^{(2)}(y)]^{-2}$  is very small in the third quadrant, the maximum value of (C-1) occurs on the arc PQR. Along PQR, (C-2) increases from

$$\exp \left[ + 2(\nu^2 - x^2)^{1/2} - 2\nu \cosh^{-1}(\nu/x) \right]$$

at P, to  $\exp(i\nu\theta)$  at R. However, (C-3) must be employed at points between Q and R, and the additional quantity  $[H_\nu^{(2)}(y)]^{-2}$  varies exponentially from unity at Q to  $\exp(-i\nu\pi)$  at R. Thus the integrand (C-1) attains its maximum value in the vicinity of Q.

At Q the exponential variation of (C-1) is

$$\exp i \left\{ [(k_2 r')^2 - \nu^2]^{1/2} + [(k_2 r)^2 - \nu^2]^{1/2} - 2[x^2 - \nu^2]^{1/2} - \nu [\cos^{-1}(\nu/k_2 r') + \right. \\ \left. + \cos^{-1}(\nu/k_2 r) - 2\cos^{-1}(\nu/x) + \theta] \right\},$$

(C-4)

and the equation of the curve passing through Q is


$$\text{Im} [(y^2 - \nu^2)^{1/2} - \nu \cos^{-1}(\nu/y)] = 0.$$

(C-5)

Since a general examination of (C-4) subject to (C-5) is quite complicated, a numerical example will be considered. Let  $k_2 r', k_2 r = 3.5x$ ,  $N = y/x = .5$ , and  $|\nu| = 1.4x$ . An approximate solution of (C-5) yields  $\nu = 1.4x \exp(-i\pi/4)$  and (C-4) is approximately equal to  $\exp[x(-1.27 + \theta)]$ . From (18), which defines region 3, we obtain  $\theta < .76$  radians and the integral over the semi-circle is negligible compared to the residues.

References

1. P. Beckmann and W. Franz, Z. Naturf. 12a, 257 (1957).
2. P. Beckmann and W. Franz, IRE AP-4, 3 (1956).
3. T. T. Wu, Phys. Rev. 104, 1201 (1956).
4. W. Streifer and R. D. Kodis, Brown University Scientific Report AF 4561/17, June 1962.
5. H. C. van de Hulst, Light Scattering by Small Particles, (John Wiley, 1957).
6. W. Streifer and R. D. Kodis, Brown University Scientific Report (1962).



$$x = k_2 a$$

$$N = y/x$$

FIG. 1 REGIONS FOR OBSERVATION POINTS






FIG. 3 ROOTS OF  $x \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)'}(x)}{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x)} - y \frac{J_{\nu}'(y)}{J_{\nu}(y)} = 0$





FIG.4 CREEPING WAVES





FIG. 5 ROOTS OF  $x \frac{H_v^{(1)'}}{H_v^{(1)}} - y \frac{H_v^{(2)'}}{H_v^{(2)}} = 0$

IN THE RIGHT HALF PLANE



$$\sqrt{r^2 - (Na)^2} - a\sqrt{1 - N^2}$$

$$\Omega = \theta + \cos^{-1} Na/r' + \cos^{-1} Na/r - 2 \cos^{-1} N$$

FIG.6 PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION OF RESIDUES, EQUATION(15a)




FIG. 7 DEFORMED PATH OF INTEGRATION




FIG. 8 NORMALIZED BACK-SCATTERING CROSS SECTION VS X

AFCLR-63-318  
Brown University, Engineering Division, Providence 12, R.I.

ON THE SCATTERING OF ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES BY A DIELECTRIC CYLINDER  
by W. Streifer and R. D. Kodis, December 1962.  
29 pp. incl. illus., 6 refs.  
Contract No. AF 19(628)-2498, Scientific Report No. 1

Unclassified Report

The scattering of E-polarized cylindrical electromagnetic waves by an infinitely long dielectric cylinder is investigated. For small incident wavelength the slowly converging series solution is converted to a sum of integrals. An expansion is performed so that the integrals may be divided into two classes depending on the relative locations of source and observation points. Those in the first class have points of stationary phase and an asymptotic evaluation gives contours which are identified as those of geometric optics. The remaining integrals are evaluated as residue sums. A plot of normalized back-scattering cross section vs. normalized radius for  $n = 1.4$  is given.

UNCLASSIFIED  
Electromagnetic Waves  
Diffraction, by Dielectric  
Cylinders  
Special Functions  
Equations, transcendental

- I. AFCLR Project 5635,  
Task 563502
- II. Contract AF 19(628)-2498
- III. Brown U., Providence, R.I.
- IV. W. Streifer, R. D. Kodis
- V. Scientific Report No. 1
- VI. In ASTIA collection

AFCLR-63-318  
Brown University, Engineering Division, Providence 12, R.I.

ON THE SCATTERING OF ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES BY A DIELECTRIC CYLINDER  
by W. Streifer and R. D. Kodis, December 1962.  
29 pp. incl. illus., 6 refs.  
Contract No. AF 19(628)-2498, Scientific Report No. 1

Unclassified Report

The scattering of E-polarized cylindrical electromagnetic waves by an infinitely long dielectric cylinder is investigated. For small incident wavelength the slowly converging series solution is converted to a sum of integrals. An expansion is performed so that the integrals may be divided into two classes depending on the relative locations of source and observation points. Those in the first class have points of stationary phase and an asymptotic evaluation gives contours which are identified as those of geometric optics. The remaining integrals are evaluated as residue sums. A plot of normalized back-scattering cross section vs. normalized radius for  $n = 1.4$  is given.

UNCLASSIFIED  
Electromagnetic Waves  
Diffraction, by Dielectric  
Cylinders  
Special Functions  
Equations, transcendental

- I. AFCLR Project 5635,  
Task 563502
- II. Contract AF 19(628)-2498
- III. Brown U., Providence, R.I.
- IV. W. Streifer, R. D. Kodis
- V. Scientific Report No. 1
- VI. In ASTIA collection

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**