

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 4 2 6 2 4 3

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

CATALOGED BY DDC
AS AD No. _____

426243

BIASED SAMPLING;

THE NONCENTRAL HYPERGEOMETRIC PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

BY

KENNETH TED WALLENIS

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 70

NOVEMBER 29, 1963

SUPPORTED BY THE ARMY, NAVY AND AIR FORCE UNDER
CONTRACT Nonr-225(53) (NR-042-002)
WITH THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



BIASED SAMPLING; THE NONCENTRAL HYPERGEOMETRIC PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

by

Kenneth Ted Wallenius

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 70

November 29, 1963

Supported by the Army, Navy and Air Force under

Contract Nonr-225(53) (NR-042-002)

with the Office of Naval Research

Gerald J. Lieberman, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for

any Purpose of the United States Government

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

BIASED SAMPLING; THE NONCENTRAL HYPERGEOMETRIC PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

by

Kenneth Ted Wallenius

0. Preliminaries: Suppose a lot of transistors is to be divided among two purchasers. The lot is dichotomized by some quality criterion and let us assume there are m high quality items and n other items of tolerable quality. Purchaser G, who has contracted for r items, suspects the supplier of favoring purchaser H with respect to the m high quality items. He therefore decides to test the hypothesis that the lot has been divided in a random manner against the alternative that the supplier is biased in favor of purchaser H. Fisher's exact test is appropriate to test the null hypothesis but in order to examine the sensitivity of the test one must define and parametrize "degree of bias" and obtain the non null distribution.

This report gives an intuitively appealing meaning to "degree of bias" when sampling from a finite population and obtains a distribution herein called the noncentral hypergeometric probability distribution. Various properties of the distribution are studied and asymptotic results given.

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. The problem: Consider a set S of l elements which is dichotomized in some manner (say, by an observable characteristic) into subsets M and N containing m and $n = l - m$ elements, respectively, and a sampling mechanism which in some way selects a subset R of r elements from S . Let a be a realization of the random variable A denoting the number of elements in $R \cap M$. The results of such an experiment are usually displayed in the form of a 2×2 contingency table such as

Table 1.1

a	c	m
b	d	n
r	s	l

where

$$\begin{aligned}b &= r - a \\c &= m - a \\d &= n - r + a \\s &= l - r\end{aligned}$$

We wish to test the hypothesis that the sampling mechanism is random against the alternative of "non-randomness". The notion of random sampling from a finite population is well defined by

Definition 1.1: A sample, each of whose elements is drawn from a finite population, is said to be a random sample if, at each draw, all elements available for selection have an equal probability of being selected [8].

Under the hypothesis of randomness, the random variable A has a

hypergeometric distribution with parameters m, n and r and hence Fisher's "exact" test [6] is applicable, i.e. the hypothesis of randomness is accepted if and only if $L \leq a \leq U$ where L and U are percentage points of the appropriate hypergeometric distribution and depend on the specified significance level α . The alternative of "non-random selection", however, is not well defined and hence discussions of the sensitivity of the test are impossible.

The purpose of this paper is to define non-random or biased selection in an intuitively appealing way and to parametrize the degree of bias in order to obtain the non-null distribution of the test statistic A and thereby determine operating characteristics of Fisher's "exact" test.

In order to relate the model under consideration to other models which yield data in the form of table 1.1, a brief survey of statistical inference based on 2×2 tables is given below.

1.2. 2×2 tables: Several types of statistical investigations lead to data displayable in the form of table 1.1. The particular "table" obtained as the result of a random experiment can be compared to the set of all realizable tables and thus provide a basis for inference. G. A. Barnard [1] and E. S. Pearson [9] have suggested classifying models by the number of fixed marginal totals. For example, if m, n , and r are fixed then specifying a value $A = a$ completely determines the other entries so that, in this case, the sample space is one dimensional. If r is not fixed, then the sample space is two dimensional since one needs to specify a value $(A, B) = (a, b)$ to completely determine the table. These classifications, together with the names used by Barnard and

Pearson are given below in

Table 1.2

Fixed Parameters	Classification		Sample Space
	Barnard	Pearson	
m, n, r	Independence trial	I	$\begin{array}{ c c c } \hline A & & m \\ \hline & & n \\ \hline r & & \\ \hline \end{array} \longleftrightarrow A$ $\max(0, r-n) \leq A \leq \min(r, m)$
m, n	Comparative trial	II	$\begin{array}{ c c c } \hline A & & m \\ \hline B & & n \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \longleftrightarrow (A, B)$ $0 \leq A + B \leq m + n, A \leq m$
l	Double Dichotomy	III	$\begin{array}{ c c c } \hline A & C & \\ \hline B & & \\ \hline & & l \\ \hline \end{array} \longleftrightarrow (A, B, C)$ $0 \leq A + B + C \leq l$

Classically, the type II table is pertinent to the problem of comparing binomial parameters of 2 large populations from which random samples of sizes m and n have been drawn while the type III table is used in connection with testing a single large population for independence of 2 characteristics based on a sample of size l . In these two cases, uniformly most powerful unbiased tests exist [7] and the sensitivity of such tests are discussed, for example, in [2], [4], [11], [12] and [14]. Type I tables are frequently employed in connection with

testing the hypothesis that two treatments, say T_1 and T_2 , are identical in so far as producing a "reaction" in a group of i individuals which has been randomly divided into two groups so that m receive T_1 and $n = i - m$ receive T_2 . Without further assumptions, the inferences pertain only to the reactions of the group being tested. Indeed, it may be impossible to repeat the experiment if, for example, reaction implies death or some other less abrupt change in susceptibility. Pearson [10] considers a particular one-sided sensitivity analysis which, however, is not applicable to the problem under consideration.

SECTION 2

BIASED SAMPLING

2.1. Definition and Parametrization: Imagine a sampling mechanism sequentially drawing a sample of size r from the set described in section 1.1. Prior to the k^{th} draw, $k=1, \dots, r$, let $m(k)$ and $n(k)$ denote the number of elements remaining in sets M and N , respectively, and let

$$X(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k^{\text{th}} \text{ draw is an element of } M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^r X(k) .$$

Definition 2.1: The sampling mechanism is biased by θ , $0 < \theta < \infty$, if and only if

$$\frac{P\{X(k) = 1\}}{P\{X(k) = 0\}} = \frac{m(k)}{\theta n(k)} . \quad (2.1.1)$$

Remarks:

(1) Replacing $P\{X(k) = 0\}$ by $1 - P\{X(k) = 1\}$ we obtain

$$P\{X(k) = 1\} = \frac{m(k)}{m(k) + \theta n(k)} . \quad (2.1.2)$$

(2) If $m(k) = n(k)$ then $P\{X(k) = 0\} = \theta P\{X(k) = 1\}$ so that definition 2.1 has the intuitively desirable property that when the remaining sets are equal in size, a bias of θ implies the sampling mechanism is " θ times more likely" to select an element from N than from M .

(3) If, at the k^{th} draw, $m(k) = \theta n(k)$ then

$P\{X(k) = 1\} = P\{X(k) = 0\} = 1/2$. Hence, even though the sampling mechanism is biased by θ , it is equally likely that the k^{th} draw will yield an element from M as an element from N when the elements remaining in M are θ times more numerous than those remaining in N .

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} P\{X(k) = 1\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m(k) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } m(k) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{Hence, for a large}$$

degree of bias, the k^{th} draw will be an element from set M provided M has not been totally depleted.

(5) For $\theta = 1$, definitions 2.1 and 1.1 coincide and clearly A has a hypergeometric probability distribution with parameters m , n , and r . For this reason, the distribution of A for $0 < \theta < \infty$ will be called noncentral hypergeometric with noncentrality parameter θ and will be denoted $P_{\theta}(A = a)$.

2.2 Example: The main result of this paper was motivated by the following genetics study [3]. A small rocky island located about 30 miles seaward of San Francisco Bay has supported a population of rabbits for at least 100 years. This population affords the geneticist an ideal nonlaboratory environment in which to study genetic fixation ^{1/} because

^{1/} Genetic fixation in a specified population is the tendency for all but one allele to disappear from a particular gene locus. [13]

- (a) Migration of animals in nonexistent
- (b) The population is greatly reduced each summer by the sparse supply of food ^{2/}
- (c) Absence of predators
- (d) The terrian facilitates trapping to the extent that it is safe to assume that sampling error is negligible.

One of the loci under consideration is blood type. If no genetic selection^{3/} has taken place in the population, the number of generations and the effective size of the breeding population can be used to determine the probability of fixation [5]. For the case in question, this probability is approximately 0.04. Since the blood type locus was observed to be polymorphic,^{4/} a study was made to determine if selection was occurring in neonate survival. Neonates were captured, blood typed, released and, after three months, the surviving animals were recaptured. The data are given below in

Table 2.2

	Survived	Died	Totals
Homozygotes	41	54	95
Heterozygotes	34	86	120
Totals	75	140	215

-
- ^{2/} In 1961, for example, only 69 of 1200 neonate rabbits survived to an age where reproduction could occur.
 - ^{3/} Changes in allele frequency between generations, other than those attributable to random gamete and survival sampling, are called selective changes. [13]
 - ^{4/} More than one allele present in the population at the locus in question; the opposite of genetic fixation. [13]

The hypothesis to be tested is that the sample of size 75 was drawn randomly by "nature" from the population of size 215 against the alternative that nature is biased (i.e., selection against one phenotype or the other by the mechanism of death had occurred). To test this hypothesis, one simply applies Fisher's exact test at an appropriate level using the values

$$\begin{cases} m = 95 \\ n = 120 \\ r = 75 \\ A = 41 \end{cases} .$$

Attempting to parametrize the alternative and obtain the power function of the test motivated this paper. After the noncentral hypergeometric distribution is obtained in section 3, it will be applied in section 5 to a discussion of the power of the above test.

SECTION 3

THE NONCENTRAL HYPERGEOMETRIC DISTRIBUTION

3.1. A First Expression: In this subsection we shall obtain an expression for $P_\theta\{A = a\}$ by a straight forward enumeration method. This form, although not mathematically appealing, will be used in a discussion of bounds on $P_\theta\{A = a\}$ and in certain asymptotic results in section 4. A simpler and more desirable expression will be obtained in subsection 3.2.

Using the notation introduced in Section 2, let $X = \{X(1), X(2), \dots, X(r)\}$ be the random variable denoting the outcome of drawing r elements from $M \cup N$ and $x = \{x(1), x(2), \dots, x(r)\}$ be a realization on X . Then, using (2.1.2) and $P\{X = x\} = P\{X(1) = x(1)\} \times P\{X(2) = x(2) | X(1)\} P\{X(3) = x(3) | X(1), X(2)\} \dots P\{X(r) = x(r) | X(1), X(2), \dots, X(r-1)\}$ we obtain

$$P\{X = x\} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{x(k) m(k, x) + [1-x(k)] \theta n(k, x)}{m(k, x) + \theta n(k, x)} & \max\{0, r-n\} \leq \sum_{k=1}^r x(k) \leq \min\{r, m\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.1.1)$$

where $m(k, x)$ and $n(k, x)$ are the number of elements remaining in M and N respectively prior to the k^{th} draw. Actually, $m(k, x)$ and $n(k, x)$ depend only on $x(1), x(2), \dots, x(k-1)$; more precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} m(k, x) &= m - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x(j) \\ n(k, x) &= n - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} [1-x(j)] \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.2)$$

$$\text{Then, } P_{\theta}\{A = a\} = \sum_{x \in X(a)} P\{X = x\} \text{ where } X(a) = \left\{ x \mid \sum_{k=1}^r x(k) = a \right\} \quad (3.1.3)$$

Viewing the $\binom{r}{a}$ elements in $X(a)$ as binary numbers instead of vectors for a moment, let us order $X(a)$ by decreasing magnitude and index the ordered set with j .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } j = 1 & \quad (1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ j = 2 & \quad (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad \vdots \\ j = \binom{r}{a} & \quad (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where there are exactly a 1's and $r-a$ 0's. Denote the j^{th} x in the ordering of $X(a)$ by x_j . By using (3.1.1) and (3.1.3) and observing that, for each $x_j \in X(a)$,

$$\prod_{k=1}^r \left\{ x_j(k) m(k, x_j) + [1 - x_j(k)] \theta n(k, x_j) \right\} = (m)_a (n)_{r-a} \theta^{r-a} \quad \text{where}$$

$(m)_a = m(m-1) \dots (m-a+1)$ we obtain

$$P_{\theta}\{A=a\} = (m)_a (n)_{r-a} \theta^{r-a} \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{r}{a}} \prod_{k=1}^r \left[m(k, x_j) + \theta n(k, x_j) \right]^{-1}. \quad (3.1.4)$$

As a verification, note that for $\theta = 1$

$$\prod_{k=1}^r \left[m(k, x_j) + \theta n(k, x_j) \right]^{-1} = \left[(\ell)_r \right]^{-1}$$

so that $P\{A=a\} = \frac{(m)_a (n)_{r-a}}{(\ell)_r}$ which is the central hypergeometric case.

3.2 A Preferred Expression: While (3.1.4) is correct, it is a complicated notational expression and leaves much to be desired. The attack used in this subsection is that of partial difference equations. In order to write down a partial difference equation we shall need to modify the notation for $P_{\theta}(A=a)$ in order to include reference to the particular values of the parameters. Therefore, let us replace

$$P_{\theta}(A=a) \text{ by } P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r).$$

Conditioning on $X(1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) &= E \left[P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r \mid X(1)) \right] \\ &= P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r \mid X(1) = 1) P(X(1) = 1) \\ &\quad + P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r \mid X(1) = 0) P(X(1) = 0) \\ &= P_{\theta}(a-1; m-1, n, r-1) \frac{m}{m+n\theta} + P_{\theta}(a; m, n-1, r-1) \frac{n\theta}{m+n\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $m + n\theta$ we obtain

$$(m+n\theta) P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) = m P_{\theta}(a-1; m-1, n, r-1) + n\theta P_{\theta}(a; m, n-1, r-1) \quad (3.2.1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$P_{\theta}(a; 0, n, r) = \begin{cases} 0 & a > 0 \\ 1 & a = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2.2)$$

$$P_{\theta}(a; m, 0, r) = \begin{cases} 0 & a < r \\ 1 & a = r \end{cases} \quad (3.2.3)$$

$$P_{\theta}(a; m, n, 0) = \begin{cases} 0 & a > 0 \\ 1 & a = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2.4)$$

$$P_{\theta}(0; m, n, r) = \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{\left(\frac{m}{\theta} + n\right)_r} \quad (3.2.5)$$

The first three boundary conditions need no explanation; the fourth is obtained directly from (3.1.4).

Now (3.2.1) is a partial difference equation in four variables. Often, by assuming the discrete variables are continuous and viewing the partial difference equation as a partial differential equation, a simplification of the former will be suggested by the form of the solution of the latter. Rewriting (3.2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} m(P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) - P_{\theta}(a-1; m-1, n, r-1)) + n\theta (P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) - \\ P_{\theta}(a; m, n-1, r-1)) = 0 \quad \text{and, by adding and subtracting appropriate} \\ \text{terms we obtain} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) - P_{\theta}(a; m-1, n, r)) \\ + m(P_{\theta}(a; m-1, n, r) - P_{\theta}(a; m-1, n, r-1)) \\ + m(P_{\theta}(a; m-1, n, r-1) - P_{\theta}(a-1; m-1, n, r-1)) \\ + n\theta (P(a; m, n, r) - P_{\theta}(a; m, n-1, r)) \\ + n\theta (P(a; m, n-1, r) - P(a; m, n-1, r-1)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.6)$$

which leads to

$$m \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial m} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial a} \right) + \theta n \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \right) = 0. \quad (3.2.7)$$

Applying the method of characteristics,

$$\frac{dm}{m} = \frac{dr}{m + n\theta} = \frac{da}{m} = \frac{dn}{n\theta} = \frac{dp}{0}. \quad (3.2.8)$$

The first and third members of (3.2.8) yield

$$m = a + c_1. \quad (3.2.9)$$

The first and fourth members of (3.2.8) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \ln n &= \theta \ln m + \ln C_2 & (3.2.10) \\ \text{or } n &= C_2 m^\theta . \end{aligned}$$

The first and second members of (3.2.8) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{dm} &= \frac{m+n\theta}{m} \\ &= 1 + \frac{n\theta}{m} \\ &= 1 + \theta C_2 m^{\theta-1} \text{ which has the solution:} \\ r &= m + C_2 m^\theta + C_3 \\ &= m + n + C_3 . & (3.2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the solution of (3.2.7) will be some function of (c_1, c_2, c_3) or, using (3.2.9), (3.2.10) and (3.2.11)

$$P_\theta(a; m, n, r) = F(m-a, nm^{-\theta}, r-m-n) . \quad (3.2.12)$$

This result suggests the following change of variables. Let

$$P_\theta(a; m, n, r) = Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n) . \quad (3.2.13)$$

Then (3.2.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (m+n\theta) Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n) &= m Q(m+n-r, m-a, m-1, n) \\ &+ n\theta Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n-1) . & (3.2.14) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the first two arguments, $(m+n-r)$ and $(m-a)$ are identical in each term of (3.2.14) so that the number of variables has been reduced from four to two. In terms of Q , the boundary conditions

(3.2.2) through (3.2.5) become

$$Q(n-r, -a, 0, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & a > 0 \\ 1 & a = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2.15)$$

$$Q(m-r, m-a, m, 0) = \begin{cases} 0 & a < r \\ 1 & a = r \end{cases} \quad (3.2.16)$$

$$Q(m+n, m-a, m, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & a > 0 \\ 1 & a = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2.17)$$

$$Q(m+n-r, m, m, n) = \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{\left(\frac{m}{\theta} + n\right)_r} \quad (3.2.18)$$

Now, define

$$\Phi_{m,n}(x,y) = \sum_{r=0}^{m+n-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n) x^r y^a \quad (3.2.19)$$

Boundary conditions on $\Phi_{m,n}(x,y)$ are easily obtained from (3.2.15) and (3.2.16).

$$\Phi_{0,n}(x,y) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} Q(n-r, 0, 0, n) x^r = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \quad (3.2.20)$$

$$\Phi_{m,0}(x,y) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} Q(m-r, m-r, m, 0) x^r y^r = \frac{(xy)^m - 1}{xy - 1} \quad (3.2.21)$$

Multiplying (3.2.19) by $m+n\theta$ and splitting off the term for $r=0$ we obtain

$$(m+n\theta) \Phi_{m,n}(x,y) = \sum_{r=1}^{m+n-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} (m+n\theta) Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n) x^r y^a + (m+n\theta)$$

and, applying (3.2.14) to the right hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (m+n\theta) \Phi_{m,n}(x,y) &= m \sum_{r=1}^{m+n-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} Q(m+n-r, m-a, m-1, n) x^r y^a \\ &\quad + n\theta \sum_{r=1}^{m+n-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n-1) x^r y^a \\ &\quad + (m + n\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.22)$$

In the first term of the right hand side of (3.2.22), $\max(0, r-n)$ can be replaced by $\max(1, r-n)$ since $Q(m+n-r, m, m-1, n) = 0$. Then, replacing a by $a+1$, we obtain

$$m \sum_{r=1}^{m+n-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-1-n\}}^{\min\{r-1, m-1\}} Q(m-1+n-[r-1], m-1-a, m-1, n) x^r y^{a+1}$$

and, replacing r by $r+1$, we have

$$m \sum_{r=0}^{m-1+n-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m-1\}} Q(m-1+n-r, m-1-a, m-1, n) x^{r+1} y^{a+1} = mxy \phi_{m-1, n}(x, y). \quad (3.2.23)$$

In the second term of the right hand side of (3.2.22), $\min(r, m)$ can be replaced by $\min(r-1, m)$ since $Q(m+n-r, m-r, m, n-1) = 0$. Then, replacing r by $r+1$ we obtain

$$n\theta \sum_{r=0}^{m+n-1-1} \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-[n-1]\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} Q(m+n-1-r, m-a, m, n-1) x^{r+1} y^a = n\theta x \phi_{m, n-1}(x, y). \quad (3.2.24)$$

Substituting (3.2.23) and (3.2.24) into (3.2.22) yields

$$(m+n\theta) \phi_{m, n}(x, y) = mxy \phi_{m-1, n}(x, y) + n\theta x \phi_{m, n-1}(x, y) + (m+n\theta). \quad (3.2.25)$$

Let $\psi(\xi, \eta)$ be the generating function of $\phi_{m, n}(x, y)$:

$$\psi(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m, n} \frac{\xi^m}{m!} \frac{\eta^n}{n!}. \quad (3.2.26)$$

Boundary conditions on $\psi(\xi, \eta)$ are easily obtained from (3.2.20) and (3.2.21) as

$$\psi(0, \eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{0, n} \frac{\eta^n}{n!}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{x-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^n-1)\eta^n}{n!} \\
&= \frac{e^{x\eta} - e^{\eta}}{x-1} \quad (3.2.27)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\xi, 0) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m,0} \frac{\xi^m}{m!} \\
&= \frac{1}{xy-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [(xy)^m - 1] \xi^m \\
&= \frac{e^{xy\xi} - e^{\xi}}{xy-1} \quad (3.2.28)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.2.26) one obtains by differentiation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi \psi_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \\
\eta \theta \psi_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \theta \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \quad \text{and hence} \\
\xi \psi_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) + \eta \theta \psi_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m+n\theta) \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \\
&+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \phi_{m,0} \frac{\xi^m}{m!} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \theta \phi_{0,n} \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \quad (3.2.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.2.25) into the first term on the right hand side of (3.2.29) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
xy \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m \phi_{m-1,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} + x\theta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \phi_{m,n-1} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \\
+ \theta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \quad (3.2.30)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the terms of (3.2.30) one at a time:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & xy \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m \phi_{m-1,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} \\
 &= xy \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^{m+1} \eta^n}{m! n!} \\
 &= \xi xy \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} - xy \xi \psi(\xi, 0) \\
 &= \xi xy \psi(\xi, \eta) - xy \xi \left(\frac{e^{xy\xi} - e^{\xi}}{xy - 1} \right) \quad (3.2.31)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x\theta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \phi_{m,n-1} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} \\
 &= x\theta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^{n+1}}{m! n!} \\
 &= x\theta \eta \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} - x\theta \eta \psi(0, \eta) \\
 &= x\theta \eta \psi(\xi, \eta) - x\theta \eta \left(\frac{e^{x\eta} - e^{\eta}}{x - 1} \right) \quad (3.2.32)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{m+1} \eta^n}{m! n!} \\
 &= \xi (e^{\xi+\eta} - e^{\xi}) \quad (3.2.33)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \\
&= \theta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^m \eta^{n+1}}{m!n!} \\
&= \eta \theta \left(e^{\xi+\eta} - e^{\eta} \right). \tag{3.2.34}
\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (3.2.29), after applying (3.2.21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \left(\frac{(xy)^{m-1}}{xy-1} \right) \frac{\xi^m}{m!} \\
&= \frac{1}{xy-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(xy\xi)^m}{(m-1)!} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^m}{(m-1)!} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{xy-1} \left(xy\xi e^{xy\xi} - \xi e^{\xi} \right). \tag{3.2.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the third term on the right hand side of (3.2.29), after applying (3.2.20), becomes

$$\frac{\theta}{x-1} \left(x\eta e^{x\eta} - \eta e^{\eta} \right). \tag{3.2.36}$$

Substituting (3.2.31) through (3.2.36) into (3.2.29) and simplifying the resulting expression yields;

$$\xi \left[\psi_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) - xy\psi(\xi, \eta) \right] + \theta \eta \left[\psi_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) - x\psi(\xi, \eta) \right] = (\xi + \theta\eta)e^{\xi+\eta} \tag{3.2.37}$$

We now seek a solution of (3.2.37) which satisfies the boundary conditions (3.2.27) and (3.2.28).

$$\text{Let } U(\xi, \eta) = e^{-xy\xi - x\eta} \psi(\xi, \eta).$$

$$\text{Then } U_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) = e^{-xy\xi - x\eta} \left[\psi_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) - xy\psi(\xi, \eta) \right]$$

$$U_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) = e^{-xy\xi - x\eta} \left[\psi_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) - x\psi(\xi, \eta) \right]$$

and (3.2.37) becomes

$$\xi U_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) + \theta \eta U_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) = (\xi + \theta \eta) e^{-\xi(xy-1) - \eta(x-1)} \quad (3.2.38)$$

The boundary conditions become

$$U(0, \eta) = \frac{1 - e^{-\eta(x-1)}}{x-1} \quad (3.2.39)$$

$$U(\xi, 0) = \frac{1 - e^{-\xi(xy-1)}}{xy-1} \quad (3.2.40)$$

The Mellin transform of a function $U(\xi, \eta)$ with respect to η (ξ will be treated as a parameter) is defined to be

$$\mathcal{U}(\xi, s) = \int_0^{\infty} \eta^{s-1} U(\xi, \eta) d\eta \quad \text{where}$$

s is a complex variable suitably restricted to insure convergence, if the transform exists at all. Taking the Mellin transform of both sides of (3.2.38) yields

$$\xi \int_0^\infty \eta^{s-1} U_\xi(\xi, \eta) d\eta + \theta \int_0^\infty \eta^{s-1} \left[\eta U_\eta(\xi, \eta) \right] d\eta$$

$$= e^{-\xi(xy-1)} \left[\xi \int_0^\infty \eta^{s-1} e^{-(x-1)\eta} d\eta + \theta \int_0^\infty \eta^s e^{-(x-1)\eta} d\eta \right].$$

Simplifying, we obtain

$$\xi U_\xi(\xi, s) - \theta s U_\eta(\xi, s) = e^{-\xi(xy-1)} \left[\xi(x-1)^{-s} \Gamma(s) + \theta(x-1)^{-(s+1)} \Gamma(s+1) \right]$$

and, multiplying by the integrating factor $\xi^{-\theta s-1}$ gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\xi^{-\theta s} U(\xi, s) \right] = \xi^{-\theta s-1} e^{-\xi(xy-1)} \left[\xi(x-1)^{-s} \Gamma(s) + \theta(x-1)^{-(s+1)} \Gamma(s+1) \right]$$

and hence,

$$U(\xi, s) = \xi^{\theta s} \int_C^\xi \tau^{-\theta s-1} e^{-\tau(xy-1)} \left[\tau(x-1)^{-s} \Gamma(s) + \theta(x-1)^{-(s+1)} \Gamma(s+1) \right] d\tau$$

where C is a constant of integration which may be a function of η .

Since the mathematics is simpler and a solution satisfying the boundary conditions is obtained by taking $C=0$, let us do so; also, let us make the change of variable $t = \frac{\tau}{\xi}$.

$$U(\xi, s) = \int_0^1 t^{-\theta s-1} e^{-\xi(xy-1)t} \left[\xi t(x-1)^{-s} \Gamma(s) + \theta(x-1)^{-(s+1)} \Gamma(s+1) \right] dt.$$

Inverting, we obtain

$$U(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^1 e^{-[\xi(xy-1)t + \eta(x-1)t^\theta]} \left(\xi + \theta \eta t^{\theta-1} \right) dt. \quad (3.2.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U(0, \eta) &= \int_0^1 e^{-\eta(x-1)t^\theta} \theta \eta t^{\theta-1} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{x-1} \int_0^1 e^{-\eta(x-1)t^\theta} \eta \theta (x-1) t^{\theta-1} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{x-1} e^{-\eta(x-1)t^\theta} \Big|_1^0 \\
&= \frac{1}{x-1} (1 - e^{-\eta(x-1)}) \text{ which checks with (3.2.39)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\xi, 0) &= \int_0^1 e^{-\xi(xy-1)t^\xi} \xi dt \\
&= \frac{1}{xy-1} e^{-\xi(xy-1)t^\xi} \Big|_1^0 \\
&= \frac{1}{xy-1} (1 - e^{-\xi(xy-1)}) \text{ which checks with (3.2.40),}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2.41) we can now recover $\psi(\xi, \eta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\xi, \eta) &= \int_0^1 e^{\xi[(1-xy)t+xy] + [(1-x)t^\theta + x]} (\xi + \theta \eta t^{\theta-1}) dt \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_0^1 [(1-xy)t+xy]^m [(1-x)t^\theta + x]^n [\xi + \theta \eta t^{\theta-1}] dt \right\} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} \quad (3.2.42)
\end{aligned}$$

From this expression, the coefficient of

$$\frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m! n!} \text{ is seen to be}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{m,n}(x,y) &= m \int_0^1 [(1-xy)t + xy]^{m-1} [(1-x)t^\theta + x]^n dt \\
&\quad + n\theta \int_0^1 [(1-xy)t + xy]^m [(1-x)t^\theta + x]^{n-1} t^{\theta-1} dt
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2.43}$$

From the definition (3.2.19) of $\phi_{m,n}(x,y)$ we observe that

$$\sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} Q(m+n-r, m-a, m, n) y^a = \frac{1}{r!} \left. \frac{\partial^r \phi_{m,n}(x,y)}{\partial x^r} \right|_{x=0}$$

Considering the first term on the right hand side of (3.2.43), and applying Leibniz's rule for differentiation and interchanging the order of differentiation and integration,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{m}{r!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} \int_0^1 [(1-xy)t + xy]^{m-1} [(1-x)t^\theta + x]^n dt \Big|_{x=0} \\
&= \frac{m}{r!} \int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \frac{\partial^k [(1-xy)t + xy]^{m-1}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^{r-k} [(1-x)t^\theta + x]^n}{\partial x^{r-k}} \Big|_{x=0} \right\} dt \\
&= \frac{m}{r!} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (m-1)_k (y[1-t])^k t^{m-1-k} (n)_{r-k} ([1-t]^\theta)^{r-k} t^{\theta(n-r+k)} dt \\
&= m \sum_{k=0}^r \left[\frac{(m-1)_k (n)_{r-k}}{k! r-k!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^t (1-t)^\theta t^{r-k} t^{m-k-1} t^{\theta(n-r+k)} dt \right] y^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$= m \sum_{k=0}^r \left[\binom{m-1}{m} \binom{n}{r-k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^\theta t^{r-k} t^{m-k-1} + \theta(n-r+k) dt \right] y^k \quad (3.2.44)$$

Similarly, the second term on the right hand side of (3.2.43), when differentiated, becomes

$$n\theta \sum_{k=0}^r \left[\binom{m}{k} \binom{n-1}{r-k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^\theta t^{r-k} t^{m-k-1} + \theta(n-r+k) dt \right] y^k, \quad (3.2.45)$$

Here, it is understood that $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ if $b > a$. By combining (3.2.44) and (3.2.45) and by uniqueness of power series, we find that

$$P_\theta(a; m, n, r) = \left[m \binom{m-1}{a} \binom{n}{r-a} + n\theta \binom{m}{a} \binom{n-1}{r-a} \right] \times \int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t)^\theta t^{r-a} t^{m-a-1} + \theta(n-r+a) dt$$

Some manipulation then results in:

$$P_\theta(a; m, n, r) = \binom{m}{a} \binom{n}{r-a} [m-a+\theta(n-r+a)] \int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t)^\theta t^{r-a} t^{m-a-1} + \theta(n-r+a) dt \quad (3.2.46)$$

3.3 Compliments and verifications: In this subsection we shall verify that (3.2.46) has required properties of a bonifide probability distribution and satisfies the defining conditions (3.2.1) through (3.2.5)

Theorem 3.3.1: For $r < m+n$ and $\max\{0, r-n\} \leq a \leq \min\{r, m\}$, $P_\theta(a; m, n, r)$ as given in (3.2.46) is discrete probability mass function.

Proof:

Clearly $P_\theta(a; m, n, r) \geq 0$. The generating function for

$$\sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} P_\theta(a; m, n, r)$$

can be obtained by setting $y = 1$ in (3.2,19)

$$\phi_{m,n}(x,1) = \sum_{r=0}^{m+n-1} \left\{ \sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) \right\} x^r \quad (3.3.1)$$

$$= m \int_0^1 [(1-x)t + x]^{m-1} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n dt \\ + n\theta \int_0^1 [(1-x)t + x]^m [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^{n-1} t^{\theta-1} dt \quad (3.3.2)$$

by (3.2.43).

Integrating the first term on the right hand side of (3.3.2) by parts:

$$\text{Let } U = [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n, \quad dV = m[(1-x)t + x]^{m-1} dt$$

$$\text{then } dU = n[(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^{n-1} \theta(1-x)t^{\theta-1} dt, \quad V = \frac{1}{(1-x)} [(1-x)t + x]^m$$

we obtain the expression

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n [(1-x)t + x]^m \Big|_0^1 - n\theta \int_0^1 [(1-x)t + x]^m [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^{n-1} t^{\theta-1} dt$$

which, when substituted in (3.3.2) gives

$$\phi_{m,n}(x,1) = \frac{1-x^{m+n}}{1-x} = \sum_{r=0}^{m+n-1} x^r. \quad (3.3.3)$$

Since (3.3.1) and (3.3.3) are identities in x , we have

$$\sum_{a=\max\{0, r-n\}}^{\min\{r, m\}} \binom{m}{a} \binom{n}{r-a} [m-a+\theta(n-r+a)] \int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t^{\theta})^{r-a} t^{m-a-1+\theta(n-r+a)} dt = 1 \quad (3.3.4)$$

for $r < m + n$, which completes the proof.

An integration by parts and some manipulations with the binomial coefficients if required to show that $P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r)$ as given by (3.2.46) satisfies the partial difference equation (3.2.1). An example of the type of computations necessary to show that the boundary conditions are satisfied is given below. The others require similar computations.

$$P_{\theta}(a; 0, n, r)$$

$$= \binom{0}{a} \binom{n}{r} [-a + \theta(n-r+a)] \int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t^{\theta})^{r-a} t^{-a-1+\theta(n-r+a)} dt$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a > 0 \\ \binom{n}{r} [\theta(n-r)] \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\theta} t^{r-\theta(n-r)-1} dt & \text{if } a=0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & a > 0 \\ \frac{n!}{r!(n-r-1)!} \beta(r+1, n-r) & a=0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & a > 0 \\ 1 & a = 0 \end{cases}$$

3.4 Moments: One can always write down an expression for the k^{th} moment as a finite sum of terms simply by using the moment definition. For example, the first moment is, by definition,

$$E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r) =$$

$$\sum_{a=0}^r a \binom{m}{a} \binom{n}{r-a} [m-a+\theta(n-r+a)] \int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t^{\theta})^{r-a} t^{m-a-1+\theta(n-r+a)} dt. \quad (3.4.1)$$

This is not an attractive form and requires considerable computational effort to obtain a numerical result for given values of m, n, r and θ . A closed form of (3.4.1) would be desirable but unfortunately is not obtainable. However, some rather interesting side results were obtained as byproducts of attempts to simplify (3.4.1).

The first approach used was to condition $E_\theta(A; m, n, r)$ on the result $X(1)$ of the first draw.

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta(A; m, n, r) &= E \left[E_\theta(A; m, n, r | X(1)) \right] \\ &= \left[1 + E_\theta(A; m-1, n, r-1) \right] \frac{m}{m+n\theta} + E_\theta(A; m, n-1, r-1) \frac{n\theta}{m+n\theta} \end{aligned}$$

or, simplifying,

$$(m + n\theta) E_\theta(A; m, n, r) = m E_\theta(A; m-1, r-1) + n\theta E_\theta(A; m, n-1, r-1) + m. \quad (3.4.2)$$

Clearly, $E_\theta(A; m, n, r)$ must satisfy the boundary conditions

$$E_\theta(A; 0, n, r) = 0 \quad (3.4.3)$$

$$E_\theta(A; m, 0, r) = r. \quad (3.4.4)$$

Define $D_\theta(m + n - r, m, n) = E_\theta(A; m, n, r)$

The (3.4.2) through (3.4.4) become

$$(m+n\theta)D_\theta(m+n-r, m, n) = mD_\theta(m+n-r, m-1, n) + n\theta D_\theta(m+n-r, m, n-1) + m \quad (3.4.5)$$

$$D_\theta(n-r, 0, n) = 0 \quad (3.4.6)$$

$$D_\theta(m-r, m, 0) = r. \quad (3.4.7)$$

$$\text{Define } \Omega_{m,n}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{m+n} D_\theta(m+n-r, m, n) x^r. \quad (3.4.8)$$

$$\text{Then } \Omega_{0,n}(x) = 0 \quad (3.4.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \Omega_{m,0}(x) &= \sum_{r=1}^m rx^r = x \sum_{r=1}^m rx^{r-1} \\ &= x \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x^{m+1}-1}{x-1} \right) \\ &= x \frac{mx^{m+1} - (m+1)x^m + 1}{(x-1)^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.10)$$

Multiplying (3.4.8) by $(m+n\theta)$ and using (3.4.5) gives

$$(m+n\theta)\Omega_{m,n}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{m+n} mD_{\theta}(m+n-r, m-1, n)x^r + \sum_{r=1}^{m+n} n\theta D_{\theta}(m+n-r, m, n-1)x^r + \sum_{r=1}^{m+n} mx^r.$$

Replacing r by $r+1$ and noting the first two terms are zero when $r=0$ gives

$$(m+n\theta)\Omega_{m,n}(x) = mx\Omega_{m-1,n}(x) + n\theta x\Omega_{m,n-1}(x) + mx \frac{x^{m+n}-1}{x-1} . \quad (3.4.11)$$

$$\text{Now define } \Delta(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{m,n} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} . \quad (3.4.12)$$

Then (3.4.9) and (3.4.10) become

$$\Delta(0, \eta) = 0 \quad (3.4.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\xi, 0) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{m,0}(x) \frac{\xi^m}{m!} \\ &= \frac{x}{(x-1)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{mx^{m+1} - [m+1]x^m + 1}{m!} \xi^m \\ &= \frac{xe^{x\xi}}{(x-1)^2} \left(x^2\xi - x\xi - 1 + e^{\xi(1-x)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.14)$$

Differentiating Δ with respect to ξ and η and adding gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi \Delta_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) + \eta \theta \Delta_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n\theta) \Omega_{m,n}(x) \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m x \Omega_{m-1,n}(x) \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \theta x \Omega_{m,n-1}(x) \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m x \left(\frac{x^{m+n-1}}{x-1} \right) \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!}. \quad (3.4.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the terms of the right hand side of (3.4.15) one at a time:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m x \Omega_{m-1,n}(x) \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} = \xi x \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{m-1,n}(x) \frac{\xi^{m-1} \eta^n}{(m-1)!n!} = x \xi \Delta(\xi, \eta) \quad (3.4.16)$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \theta x \Omega_{m,n-1}(x) \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} = \theta x \eta \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{m,n-1}(x) \frac{\xi^m \eta^{n-1}}{m!(n-1)!} = x \theta \eta \Delta(\xi, \eta) \quad (3.4.17)$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m x \frac{x^{m+n-1}}{x-1} \frac{\xi^m \eta^n}{m!n!} = \frac{\xi x}{x-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x^{m+n-1}) \frac{\xi^{m-1} \eta^n}{(m-1)!n!} = \frac{\xi x}{x-1} \left(x e^{x(\xi+\eta)} - e^{\xi+\eta} \right) \quad (3.4.18)$$

Substituting (3.4.16) through (3.4.18) into (3.4.15) and simplifying we obtain

$$\xi(\Delta_{\xi} - x\Delta) + \theta \eta(\Delta_{\eta} - x\Delta) = \frac{\xi x}{x-1} \left(x e^{x(\xi+\eta)} - e^{\xi+\eta} \right). \quad (3.4.19)$$

Let $\Delta(\xi, \eta) = e^{x(\xi+\eta)} V(\xi, \eta)$. Then (3.4.19), (3.4.13) and (3.4.14) become

$$\xi V_{\xi}(\xi, \eta) + \theta \eta V_{\eta}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\xi x}{x-1} \left(x - e^{(1-x)(\xi+\eta)} \right) \quad (3.4.20)$$

$$V(0, \eta) = 0 \quad (3.4.21)$$

$$V(\xi, 0) = \frac{x}{(x-1)^2} \left(x^2 \xi - x\xi - 1 + e^{\xi(1-x)} \right). \quad (3.4.22)$$

Let us apply the method of characteristics to solve (3.4.20):

$$\frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \frac{d\eta}{\eta} = \frac{dV}{\frac{\xi x}{x-1} \left(x - e^{(1-x)(\xi+\eta)} \right)} \quad (3.4.23)$$

The first and second members yield $\eta = c_1 \xi^\theta$.

The first and third members yield

$$\frac{dV}{d\xi} = \frac{x}{x-1} \left((x - e^{(1-x)(\xi + c_1 \xi^\theta)}) \right) \quad \text{so that}$$

$$V(\xi, \eta) = \frac{x}{x-1} \int_0^\xi (x - e^{(1-x)\tau + c_1 \tau^\theta}) d\tau.$$

Let $t = \frac{\tau}{\xi}$, $\xi dt = d\tau$. Then

$$V(\xi, \eta) = \frac{x\xi}{x-1} \int_0^1 (x - e^{(1-x)(\xi t + \eta t^\theta)}) dt. \quad (3.4.24)$$

We see that the boundary conditions (3.4.21) and (3.4.22) are satisfied since $V(0, \rho) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V(\xi, 0) &= \frac{x\xi}{x-1} \left(x - \frac{1}{\xi(1-x)} \left[e^{(1-x)\xi} - 1 \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{(x-1)^2} \left(x^2 \xi - x\xi + e^{(1-x)\xi} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\xi, \eta) &= \frac{x\xi e^{x(\xi+\eta)}}{x-1} \left(x - \int_0^1 e^{(1-x)(\eta t + \xi t^\theta)} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{x-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(x^{m+n+1} - \int_0^1 [(1-x)t + x]^m [(1-x)t^\theta]^n dt \right) \frac{\xi^{m+1} \eta^n}{m!n!} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.25)$$

Which yields $\Omega_{m,n}(x)$ as the coefficient of $\frac{x^m t^n}{m!n!}$:

$$\Omega_{m,n}(x) = \frac{mx}{x-1} \left(x^{m+n} - \int_0^1 [(1-x)t+x]^{m-1} [(1-x)t^\theta+x]^\theta dt \right) \quad (3.4.26)$$

To recover $D_\theta(m+n-r, m, n)$ we note

$$r! D_\theta(m+n-r, m, n) = \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \left(\Omega_{m,n}(x) \right)_{x=0} \quad \text{From (3.4.26)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \left(\Omega_{m,n}(x) \right)_{x=0} &= m \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \int_0^1 [(1-t)x+t]^{m-1} [(1-t^\theta)x+t^\theta]^\theta dt \right)_{x=0} \\ &= m \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \left[\frac{d^j}{dx^j} \int_0^1 [(1-t)x+t]^{m-1} [(1-t^\theta)x+t^\theta]^\theta dt \frac{d^{r-j}}{dx^{r-j}} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right) \right]_{x=0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.27)$$

$$\text{Now } \frac{d^{r-j}}{dx^{r-j}} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)_{x=0} = \begin{cases} 0 & j = r \\ (r-j)! & j < r \end{cases} \quad (3.4.28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{d^j}{dx^j} \int_0^1 [(1-t)x+t]^{m-1} [(1-t^\theta)x+t^\theta]^\theta dt \right]_{x=0} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} [(1-t)x+t]^{m-1} \frac{d^{j-k}}{dx^{j-k}} [(1-t^\theta)x+t^\theta]^\theta \right]_{x=0} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} (m-1)_k (n)_{j-k} \int_0^1 t^{m-1-k} (1-t)^k t^\theta (n-j+k) (1-t^\theta)^{k-j} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j j! \binom{m-1}{k} \binom{n}{j-k} \int_0^1 (1-t) (1-t^\theta)^{k-j} t^{m-1-k+\theta(n-j+k)} dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.29)$$

Substituting (3.4.28) and (3.4.29) into (3.4.27) gives:

$$E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r) = m \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{m-1}{k} \binom{n}{j-k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^{\theta} t^{j-k} t^{m-k-1+\theta(n-j+k)} dt \quad (3.4.29)$$

which is certainly no improvement over (3.4.1).

Another approach is to recognize that

$$E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r) = \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} \left[\left[\frac{\partial \phi_{m,n}(x,y)}{\partial y} \right]_{y=1} \right]_{x=0}$$

where $\phi_{m,n}$ is defined by (3.2.19). Using (3.2.43) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r!} \left[\frac{\partial \phi_{m,n}(x,y)}{\partial y} \right]_{y=1} &= \frac{m(m-1)}{r!} \int_0^1 [(1-x)t + x]^{m-2} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n x(1-t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{mn\theta}{r!} \int_0^1 [(1-x)t + x]^{m-1} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^{n-1} x(1-t)t^{\theta-1} dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.30)$$

Denote the first term on the right hand side of (3.4.30) by $h(x)$ and apply Leibniz's rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^r h(x)}{\partial x^r} \right|_{x=0} &= \frac{m(m-1)}{r!} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} x [(1-x)t + x]^{m-2} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n (1-t) dt \\ &= \frac{m(m-1)}{r!} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} x \frac{\partial^{r-k}}{\partial x^{r-k}} [(1-x)t + x]^{m-2} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n (1-t) dt \Big|_{x=0} \\ &= \frac{m(m-1)}{r!} \int_0^1 r \frac{\partial^{r-1}}{\partial x^{r-1}} [(1-x)t + x]^{m-2} [(1-x)t^{\theta} + x]^n (1-t) dt \Big|_{x=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{m(m-1)}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (r-k-1) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} [(1-t)x + t]^{m-2} \frac{\partial^{r-1-k}}{\partial x^{r-1-k}} [(1-t^\theta)x + t^\theta]^n (1-t) dt \Big|_{x=0} \\
&= \frac{m(m-1)}{(r-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (r-k-1) \int_0^1 (m-2)_k t^{m-2-k} (1-t)^{k+1} (n)_{r-1-k} t^{\theta(n-r+1+k)} (1-t^\theta)^{r-1-k} dt \\
&= m(m-1) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (m-k-2)(r-k-1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k+1} (1-t)^\theta t^{r-k-1} t^{m-2-k+\theta(n-r+k+1)} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.31}$$

Similarly, the second term on the right hand side of (3.4.30), when differentiated r times and x placed equal to zero gives

$$mn\theta \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (m-k-1)(r-k-1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k+1} (1-t)^\theta t^{r-k-1} t^{m-2-k+\theta(n-r+k+1)} dt. \tag{3.4.32}$$

Combining (3.4.31) and (3.4.32) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\theta \{A; m, n, r\} &= m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left\{ (m-1)(m-k-2)(r-k-1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + n\theta (m-k-1)(r-k-1) \right\} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k+1} (1-t)^\theta t^{r-k-1} t^{m-2-k+\theta(n-r+k+1)} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.33}$$

Writing $(1-t)^{k+1} = (1-t)^k - t(1-t)^k$ and breaking up the integral in (3.4.33) into two parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_\theta \{A; m, n, r\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left\{ k(m-k-1)(r-k-1) + n\theta (m-k-1)(r-k-1) \right\} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^\theta t^{r-1-k} t^{m-k-1+\theta(n-r+1-k)} dt \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (m-k-1)(r-k-1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^\theta t^{r-1-k} t^{m-k-1+\theta(n-r+1-k)} dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r-1) \\
&+ m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \binom{n}{r-1-k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^{\theta} t^{r-1-k} t^{m-k-1+\theta(n-r+1-k)} dt. \quad (3.4.34)
\end{aligned}$$

But, since $A = \sum_{j=1}^r X(j)$, we have

$E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r) = E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r-1) + P(X(r) = 1)$ and hence, in (3.4.34), we can identify the unconditional probability of drawing an element from M on the r^{th} draw as

$$P(X(r)=1) = m \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \binom{n}{r-1-k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^{\theta} t^{r-1-k} t^{m-k-1+\theta(n-r+1-k)} dt \quad (3.4.35)$$

which is a valuable result itself.

Equation (3.4.35) gives us insight into interpreting (3.4.29)

Replacing j by $j-1$ in (3.4.29) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r) &= m \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \binom{n}{j-1-k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^k (1-t)^{\theta} t^{j-1-k} t^{m-k-1+\theta(m-j+1+k)} dt \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^r P(X(j) = 1)
\end{aligned}$$

so that (3.4.29) simply states

$$E_{\theta}(A; m, n, r) = \sum_{j=1}^r E[X(j)].$$

SECTION 4

ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS

4.1 When m and n are large compared to r .

Using (3.1.4) we shall obtain crude bounds on $P_\theta(A; m, n, r)$ which converge to the same quantity as m and n go to infinity while $m/n = \eta$ is held fixed. We first prove a lemma concerning each term on the right hand side of (3.1.4).

Lemma 4.1.1 For all k and j such that

$$1 \leq k \leq r \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{a}, \theta \begin{Bmatrix} > \\ < \end{Bmatrix} 1 \text{ implies}$$

$$m(k, x_{\binom{r}{a}})^{\theta n(k, x_{\binom{r}{a}})} \begin{Bmatrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{Bmatrix} m(k, x_j) + \theta n(k, x_j) \begin{Bmatrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{Bmatrix} m(k, x_1) + \theta n(k, x_1).$$

Proof: Let $\theta = 1 + \epsilon$, $\epsilon > -1$. For all k and j such that $1 \leq k \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq \binom{r}{a}$

$$\begin{aligned} m(k, x_j) + \theta n(k, x_j) &= m(k, x_j) + n(k, x_j) + \epsilon n(k, x_j) \\ &= m+n-k+1 + \epsilon n(k, x_j) \text{ by (3.1.2).} \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $n(k, x_j)$ and the ordering imposed on $x(a)$ we have

$$n(k, x_{\binom{r}{a}}) \leq n(k, x_j) \leq n(k, x_1).$$

Multiplying this inequality through by ϵ (the inequalities are reversed if $\epsilon < 0$) and adding $m+n-k+1$ to each term proves the lemma.

$$\text{Let } \mu = m + n\theta \text{ and } (d)_m = d(d-1)\dots(d-m+1).$$

Using (3.1.2) and the definition of x_1 we see that

$$\prod_{k=1}^r \left[m(k, x_1) + \theta n(k, x_1) \right]^{-1} = \left[\mu(\mu-1) \dots (\mu-a)(\mu-a-\theta) \dots (\mu-a-[r-a-1]\theta) \right]^{-1}$$

$$= \left[(\mu)_a \left(\frac{\mu-a}{\theta} \right)_{r-a} \theta^{r-a} \right]^{-1} \quad (4.1.1)$$

and, using the definition of $x_{\frac{r}{a}}$

$$\prod_{k=1}^r \left[m(k, x_{\frac{r}{a}}) + \theta n(k, x_{\frac{r}{a}}) \right]^{-1} = \left[\mu(\mu-\theta) \dots (\mu-[r-a]\theta)(\mu-[r-a]\theta-1) \dots \right. \\ \left. (\mu-[r-a]\theta-a+1) \right]^{-1}$$

$$= \left[\left(\frac{\mu}{\theta} \right)_{r-a} (\mu-[r-a]\theta)_a \theta^{r-a} \right]^{-1} \quad (4.1.2)$$

Using lemma 4.1.1 and substituting (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) into (3.1.4) for $\theta \left\{ \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} \right\} 1$ gives

$$\binom{r}{a} \frac{(\mu)_a (n)_{r-a}}{(\mu+n\theta)_a (n+\frac{\mu-a}{\theta})_{r-a}} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{matrix} \right\} P_{\theta}\{a; m, n, r\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{matrix} \right\} \binom{r}{a} \frac{(\mu)_a (n)_{r-a}}{(\mu+[n-r+a]\theta)_a (n+\frac{\mu}{\theta})_{r-a}} \quad (4.1.3)$$

For $\theta = 1$, the bounds coincide and equal the correct central hypergeometric probability.

Lemma 4.1.2

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(am+b)_\alpha}{(cm+d)_\alpha} = \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{where } a, b \text{ and } d \text{ are real, } \alpha \text{ is any}$$

positive integer and $c \neq 0$.

Proof: The proof is immediate by writing:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(am + b)_\alpha}{(cm + d)_\alpha} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(a + \frac{b}{m})(a + \frac{b-1}{m})(a + \frac{b-2}{m}) \dots (a + \frac{b-\alpha+1}{m})}{(c + \frac{d}{m})(c + \frac{d-1}{m})(c + \frac{d-2}{m}) \dots (c + \frac{d-\alpha+1}{m})} = (\frac{a}{c})^\alpha.$$

Let $\eta = \frac{m}{n}$ in (4.1.3) and apply lemma 4.1.2 to both the upper bound U and the lower bound L:

$$U = \binom{r}{a} \frac{(\eta m)_a (\eta m)_{r-a}}{([1+\eta\theta]m + [a-r]\theta)_a ([\eta + \frac{1}{\theta}]m)_{r-a}} \longrightarrow \binom{r}{a} \left(\frac{1}{1+\eta\theta}\right)^a \left(\frac{\eta\theta}{1+\eta\theta}\right)^{r-a} \quad (4.1.4)$$

$$L = \binom{r}{a} \frac{(\eta m)_a (\eta m)_{r-a}}{([1 + \eta\theta]m)_a ([\frac{1}{\theta} + \eta]m - \frac{a}{\theta})_{r-a}} \longrightarrow \binom{r}{a} \left(\frac{1}{1+\eta\theta}\right)^a \left(\frac{\eta\theta}{1+\eta\theta}\right)^{r-a} \quad (4.1.5)$$

so that the bounds, and hence $P_\theta(a; m, n, r)$, both approach a binomial probability with parameters r and $p = \frac{1}{1+\eta\theta}$. Therefore, if r is small with respect to m and n , one can use the properties of the binomial distribution to investigate pertinent questions such as estimation and moment problems. For example, the usual minimum variance unbiased estimate of p in a binomial distribution can be used to estimate θ by

$$\frac{a}{r} = \hat{p} = \frac{1}{1+\eta\hat{\theta}} \quad \text{implies} \quad \hat{\theta} = \frac{m}{n} / \left(\frac{a}{r-a}\right) \quad (4.1.6)$$

and the first two moments of the distribution $P_\theta(A; m, n, r)$ are

$$\mu = \frac{rm}{m + n\theta} \quad (4.1.7)$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{r m n \theta}{(m + n\theta)^2}.$$

For $m = n = 20$, table 4.1 shows the comparative values of the

exact probabilities $P_{\theta}(a; 20, 20, r)$ with the binomial approximation $B(p, r)$ with $p = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$.

Table 4.1

r	a	$P_{\theta}(a; 20, 20, r)$		$B(\frac{1}{1+\eta\theta}, r)$	
		$\theta = 2$	$\theta = 4$	$\theta = 2$	$\theta = 4$
3	0	.2808	.4951	.2963	.5120
	1	.4599	.4003	.4444	.3840
	2	.2260	.0970	.2222	.0960
	3	.0333	.0070	.0370	.0080
5	0	.1088	.2918	.1317	.3277
	1	.3278	.4268	.3292	.4096
	2	.3534	.2234	.3292	.2048
	3	.1704	.0523	.1646	.0512
	4	.0368	.0055	.0412	.0064
	5	.0028	.0002	.0041	.0003

4.2. When m, n, and r are large.

Let us now investigate the behavior of $P_{\theta}(A; m, n, r)$ as given in (3.2.46) as m, n and r approach infinity while the ratios $\frac{n}{m} = \eta$ and $\frac{r}{m} = \rho$ are held fixed.

Lemma 4.2.1 (Laplace's method)

Let $h(t)$ be a twice continuously differentiable real function of the real variable t on $\alpha < t < \beta$ and let $g(t)$ be continuous on $\alpha < t < \beta$. Let $h(t)$ have a unique maximum at $t = t_0$, $\alpha < t_0 < \beta$, and $g(t_0) \neq 0$. Then, for large m,

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) e^{mh(t)} dt \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-mh''(t_0)}} g(t_0) e^{mh(t_0)} \quad (4.2.1)$$

Remark: ① If $h(t)$ has a finite number of maxima, the integral can be broken into a finite sum of integrals, each satisfying the unique maximum requirement.

② If $t_0 = \beta$ and $h(t)$ is well behaved at $t = \beta$, then the right hand side of (4.2.1) must be halved.

Lemma 4.2.2

Let $\frac{r}{m} = \rho > 0$, $\frac{n}{m} = \eta > 0$ and $t_0 = \left[\frac{1+\theta(\eta-\rho)}{1+\theta\eta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$. Then, for large m ,

$$\int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t^\theta)^{r-a} t^{m-a-1+\theta(n-r+a)} dt \\ \sim \frac{1}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{r}} (1-t_0)^a (1-t_0^\theta)^{r-a+1} t_0^{m-a+\theta(n-r+a-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Proof: Assume the parameters have been labeled so that $r \leq n$. Thus can always be done without loss of generality and insures $0 < t_0 < 1$.

Rewriting the integral in the form

$$\int_0^1 g(t) e^{mh(t)} dt, \text{ where } g(t) = \left(\frac{1-t}{1-t^\theta} \right)^a t^{a(\theta-1)-1}$$

and $h(t) = \rho \ln(1-t^\theta) + [1+\theta(\eta-\rho)] \ln t$, we note that $h(t)$ is twice continuously differentiable on $(0,1)$ and $g(t)$ is continuous on $(0,1)$.

Furthermore, $h'(t) = 0$ has the unique solution

$$t_0 = \left[\frac{1+\theta(\eta-\rho)}{1+\theta\eta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \text{ and } h''(t_0) = -\frac{\rho\theta^2 t_0^\theta}{t_0^2 (1+t_0^\theta)^2} < 0 \text{ so that } t_0 \text{ is the}$$

unique maximum of $h(t)$ on $(0,1)$. Lemma 4.2.1 therefore applies and, after some simplification, the stated result is obtained.

Lemma 4.2.3 For $\frac{r}{m} = \rho > 0$, $\frac{n}{m} = \eta > 0$

$$(i) \quad \binom{m}{a} \sim \frac{1}{a!} m^{\frac{1}{2}} (m-a)^{a - \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(ii) \quad \binom{n}{r-a} \sim \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} (m-r+a)^{n-r+a + \frac{1}{2}} (r-a)^{n-a + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

Proof: (i) By Stirling's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{m}{a} &= \frac{1}{a!} \frac{m!}{(m-a)!} \sim \frac{1}{a!} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi m} \left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^m}{\sqrt{2\pi(m-a)} \left(\frac{m-a}{e}\right)^{m-a}} \\ &= \frac{1}{a!} \sqrt{\frac{m}{m-a}} e^{-a} \left(1 - \frac{a}{m}\right)^{-m} (m-a)^a \\ &\sim \frac{1}{a!} m^{\frac{1}{2}} (m-a)^{a - \frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad \binom{n}{r-a} &= \frac{\eta m!}{(\rho m-a)! ([\eta-\rho]m+a)!} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi \eta m} \left(\frac{\eta m}{e}\right)^{\eta m}}{\sqrt{2\pi(\rho m-a)} \left(\frac{\rho m-a}{e}\right)^{\rho m-a} \sqrt{2\pi([\eta-\rho]m+a)} \left(\frac{[\eta-\rho]m+a}{e}\right)^{[\eta-\rho]m+a}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{(r-a)(n-r+a)}} \left(\frac{\eta m}{([\eta-\rho]m+a)}\right)^{nm} \left(\frac{([\eta-\rho]m+a)}{\rho m-a}\right)^{\rho m} \left(\frac{\rho m-a}{([\eta-\rho]m+a)}\right)^a \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{(r-a)(n-r+a)}} \left(\frac{n}{n-r+a}\right)^n \left(\frac{n-r+a}{r-a}\right)^r \left(\frac{r-a}{n-r+a}\right)^a \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(n-r+a)^{n-r+a + \frac{1}{2}} (r-a)^{r-a + \frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying lemmas 4.2.2 and 4.2.3, we obtain the asymptotic expansion

$$P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) \sim \frac{1}{a!} \left(\frac{m-a+\theta[n-r+a]}{\theta[n-r+a]} \right) \left(\frac{mn t_0^{\theta}}{r(r-a)(m-a)(n-r+a)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.2.2)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{(m-a)(r-a)(1-t_0)^{\theta} t_0^{\theta}}{(n-r+a)(1-t_0)^{\theta} t_0^{\theta}} \right)^a \left(\frac{(n-r+a)(1-t_0)^{\theta}}{(r-a)t_0^{\theta}} \right)^{r+1} \left(\frac{n t_0^{\theta}}{n-r+a} \right)^n t_0^m.$$

4.3. When m, n, r and a are large:

Using lemma 4.2.1 we now prove

Lemma 4.3.1.

Let $\frac{r}{m} = \rho > 0$, $\frac{n}{m} = \eta > 0$, $\frac{a}{m} = \alpha > 0$. Then there exists a unique solution $t_1 \in (0,1)$ of the equation

$$(1+\eta)t^{\theta+1} - (1+\theta\eta-\alpha)t^{\theta} - (1+\theta[\eta-\rho+\alpha])t+1-\alpha+\theta[\eta-\rho+\alpha] = 0$$

and for large m ,

$$\int_0^1 (1-t)^a (1-t)^{\theta} r-a_t m-a-1+\theta(n-r+a) dt$$

$$\sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{at_1(1-t_1)^2+(r-a)\theta^2 t_1^{\theta}(1-t_1)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-t_1)^{a+1} (1-t_1)^{\theta} r-a-1 t_1^{m-a+\theta(n-r+a)} \quad (4.3.1)$$

Proof: Rewriting the integral in the form

$$\int_0^1 g(t) e^{mh(t)} dt \quad \text{where } g(t) = t^{-1} \quad \text{and } h(t) = \ln(1-t)^{\alpha} (1-t)^{\rho-\alpha} t^{1-\alpha+\theta[\eta-\rho+\alpha]},$$

we note that $g(t)$ is continuous in $(0,1)$ and $h(t)$ is twice continuously differentiable. Furthermore, $h(0) = h(1) = -\infty$ so that there exists

at least one relative maximum of $h(t)$ in $(0,1)$. Let t_1 be any solution in $(0,1)$ of

$$h'(t) = -\frac{\alpha}{1-t} - \frac{(\rho-\alpha)\theta t^{\theta-1}}{1-t^\theta} + \frac{1-\alpha+\theta[\eta-\rho+\alpha]}{t} = 0.$$

Simplifying, let t_1 be any solution of

$$(1+\theta\eta)t^{\theta+1} - (1+\theta\eta-\alpha)t^\theta - (1+\theta[\eta-\rho+\alpha])t + 1 - \alpha + \theta[\eta-\rho+\alpha] = 0, \quad (4.3.2)$$

in $(0,1)$. To show that there is only one such solution, it suffices to show that $h''(t_1) < 0$ since a continuously differentiable function cannot have two relative maxima without a relative minimum separating them.

$$\begin{aligned} h''(t) &= -\frac{\alpha}{(1-t)^2} - \frac{(\rho-\alpha)\theta t^{\theta-2}(\theta-1+t^\theta)}{(1-t^\theta)^2} - \frac{1-\alpha+\theta(\eta-\rho+\alpha)}{t^2} \\ h''(t_1) &= -\frac{\alpha}{(1-t_1)^2} - \frac{(\rho-\alpha)\theta^2 t_1^{\theta-2}}{(1-t_1^\theta)^2} + \left[\frac{(\rho-\alpha)\theta t_1^{\theta-1}}{1-t_1^\theta} - \frac{1-\alpha+\theta(\eta-\rho+\alpha)}{t_1} \right] \frac{1}{t_1} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{(1-t_1)^2} - \frac{(\rho-\alpha)\theta^2 t_1^{\theta-2}}{(1-t_1^\theta)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{(1-t_1)t_1} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{(1-t_1)^2 t_1} - \frac{(\rho-\alpha)\theta^2 t_1^\theta}{(1-t_1^\theta)^2 t_1^2} < 0 \quad \text{since } \rho - \alpha \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $0 < t_1 < 1$. Hence, t_1 is the unique maximum of $h(t)$. Applying lemma 4.2.1 then proves the lemma.

Using Stirling's formula we obtain

$$\binom{m}{a} \sim \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi a(m-a)}} \left(\frac{m}{m-a}\right)^m \left(\frac{m-a}{a}\right)^a \quad (4.3.3)$$

$$\text{and } \binom{n}{r-a} \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi(r-a)(n-r+a)}} \left(\frac{n}{n-r+a}\right)^n \left(\frac{n-r+a}{r-a}\right)^{r-a} \quad (4.3.4)$$

Then, using (4.3.1), (4.3.3) and (4.3.4) we obtain

$$P_{\theta}(a; m, n, r) \sim \left(\frac{mn(m-a+\theta[n-r+a])^2(1-t_1)^2(1-t_1^{\theta})^2}{2\pi a(m-a)(r-a)(n-r+a)(at_1[1-t_1^{\theta}]^2+[r-a]\theta^2 t_1^{\theta}[1-t_1]^2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.3.5)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{(m-a)(1-t_1)}{at_1} \right)^a \left(\frac{(n-r+a)(1-t_1^{\theta})}{(r-a)t_1^{\theta}} \right)^{r-a} \left(\frac{mt_1}{m-a} \right)^m \left(\frac{nt_1^{\theta}}{n-r+a} \right)^n$$

Tables 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 furnish examples of the accuracy of the asymptotic expansion (4.3.5) for moderate and large values of the parameters, respectively.

Table 4.3.1

 $m = n = 20, \quad r = 14$

a	$\theta = 2$			$\theta = 3$		
	Exact	Approx.	Δ	Exact	Approx.	Δ
0	.00027	—	—	.00245	—	—
1	.00458	.00499	.00041	.02579	.02812	.00233
2	.03040	.03186	.00146	.10502	.10999	.00497
3	.10478	.10832	.00354	.22253	.22993	.00740
4	.21141	.21713	.00572	.27664	.28400	.00736
5	.26587	.27211	.00624	.21483	.21981	.00498
6	.21585	.22054	.00469	.10793	.11025	.00232
7	.11521	.11767	.00246	.03572	.03647	.00075
8	.04066	.04156	.00090	.00783	.00800	.00017
9	.00943	.00966	.00023	.00113	.00116	.00003
10	.00141	.00145	.00004	.00011	.00011	0
11	.00012	.00014	.00002	0	0	0
12	0	.00001	.00001	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.3.2

 $m = 95, n = 120, r = 75$

a	$\theta = .5$			a	$\theta = 2$		
	Exact	Approx.	Δ		Exact	Approx.	Δ
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
29	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
30	.0001	.0001	0	11	.0001	.0001	0
31	.0002	.0002	0	12	.0003	.0003	0
32	.0005	.0005	0	13	.0008	.0008	0
33	.0012	.0012	0	14	.0021	.0021	0
34	.0028	.0028	0	15	.0049	.0049	0
35	.0059	.0059	0	16	.0105	.0105	0
36	.0014	.0014	0	17	.0199	.0200	.0001
37	.0202	.0203	.0001	18	.0341	.0343	.0002
38	.0332	.0333	.0001	19	.0530	.0533	.0003
39	.0501	.0503	.0002	20	.0747	.0751	.0004
40	.0698	.0700	.0002	21	.0958	.0963	.0005
41	.0894	.0898	.0004	22	.1119	.1124	.0005
42	.1056	.1060	.0004	23	.1193	.1198	.0005
43	.1147	.1152	.0005	24	.1162	.1168	.0006
44	.1146	.1150	.0004	25	.1037	.1042	.0005
45	.1052	.1056	.0004	26	.0848	.0852	.0004
46	.0887	.0891	.0004	27	.0637	.0640	.0003
47	.0686	.0689	.0003	28	.0439	.0441	.0002
48	.0486	.0489	.0003	29	.0278	.0279	.0001
49	.0316	.0317	.0001	30	.0162	.0163	.0001
50	.0187	.0188	.0001	31	.0087	.0087	0
51	.0102	.0102	0	32	.0043	.0043	0
52	.0050	.0050	0	33	.0020	.0020	0
53	.0023	.0023	0	34	.0008	.0008	0
54	.0009	.0009	0	35	.0003	.0003	0
55	.0003	.0003	0	36	.0001	.0001	0
56	.0001	.0001	0	37	0	0	0
57	0	0	0	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
75	0	0	0	75	0	0	0

SECTION 5

EXAMPLES

5.1 Example 1: A Genetics Problem

Let us analyze the data in table 2.2. Using the normal approximation to the central hypergeometric distribution with parameters $m = 95$, $n = 120$, and $r = 75$, Fisher's exact test requires rejection of the hypothesis of unbiased selection at the 5% (nominal) level if, and only if,

$$\left| a - \frac{rm}{m+n} \right| > K_{.025} \left(\frac{rmn(m+n-r)}{(m+n)^2(m+n-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $K_{.025} = 1.96$ is the 97.5 percentile of the unit normal distribution. For the values appearing in table 2.2 we find $\left| a - \frac{rm}{m+n} \right| = 7.9$ and

$$K_{.025} \left(\frac{rmn(m+n-r)}{(m+n)^2(m+n-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 6.8$$

so that the null hypothesis is rejected. If we define

$f(\theta) = \sum_{a=27}^{40} p_{\theta}(a; 95, 120, 75)$, we can display the sensitivity of the above test in the form of an operating characteristic curve. For example, referring to Figure 5.1.1, we see the probability of accepting the hypothesis of randomness when indeed "nature" is "twice as hard" on the heterozygotic rabbits (i.e., $\theta = 2$) is 0.17.




Figure 5.1.1.

5.2 Example 2: A Hypothetical Problem and Associated Operating Characteristic Curves.

Suppose a lot of transistors is to be divided among two purchasers. The lot is dichotomized by some quality criterion and let us assume there

are m high quality items and n other items of tolerable quality. Purchaser G , who has contracted for r items, suspects the supplier of favoring purchaser H with respect to the distribution of the m high quality items. He therefore decides to test the hypothesis that the lot has been divided in a random manner (definition 1.1) against the one-sided alternative that the supplier is biased (in the sense of definition 2.1) in favor of purchaser H . Fisher's exact test is appropriate and the number of high quality items received by purchaser G has a noncentral hypergeometric distribution with parameters m , n , and r . In terms of the noncentrality parameter θ , purchaser G wishes to test

$$H_0 : \theta \leq 1$$

$$H_1 : \theta > 1$$

Let A be the number of high quality items received by purchaser G in a shipment of r items. H_0 is then rejected if, and only if, $A \leq A_0$ where A_0 depends on m , n , r and the significance level α . Figures 5.2.1 - 5.2.3 show operating characteristics for $m = n = 10$ ($\alpha \approx .10$), $m = n = 12$ ($\alpha \approx .11$) and $m = n = 20$ ($\alpha \approx .05$). The curves are indexed by various values of r and the required A_0 .

5.3 The Noncentral Hypergeometric Distribution and a Class of Urn Problems.

In this subsection we shall consider a class of urn sampling problems which lead to the noncentral hypergeometric distribution. Suppose an urn contains m type 1 chips and n type 2 chips. A chip is drawn at random and kept with probability p_1 if it is type 1, $i = 1, 2$. With probability $1 - p_1$ the drawn chip is returned to the urn and a second chip is then taken at random. This process is repeated until a chip is




Figure 5.2.1. $m = n = 10$




Figure 5.2.2. $m = n = 12$




Figure 5.2.3. $m = n = 20$

retained. Let X and Y be random variables defined by

$X = 1$ if the first drawn is a type 1 chip

$Y = 1$ if the chip retained is a type 1 chip.

Clearly

$$P(X = i) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{m+n} & i = 1 \\ \frac{n}{m+n} & i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $P(Y=1)$ is the probability that a type 1 chip is drawn and retained before a type 2 chip is drawn and retained. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y=1) &= E[P(Y=1|X)] \\ &= P(Y=1|X=1)P(X=1) + P(Y=1|X=2)P(X=2) \\ &= [p_1 + (1-p_1)P(Y=1)] \frac{m}{m+n} + [(1-p_2)P(Y=1)] \frac{n}{m+n} \end{aligned}$$

Solving, we find

$$P(Y=1) = \frac{m}{m + \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)n} \quad \text{and observe that the}$$

sampling mechanism has bias $\theta = \frac{p_2}{p_1}$ in the sense of definition 2.1.

Therefore, if one continues sampling in manner described above until r chips have been retained, the number A of type 1 chips in the sample has a noncentral hypergeometric distribution with parameters m , n , and r and noncentrality depends only on the ratio of p_2 to p_1 so that the distribution of A is unchanged if, for example, $p_1 \geq p_2$ and type 1 chips are kept with probability 1 while type 2 chips are retained with probability $p^* = \frac{p_2}{p_1}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Barnard, G.A., "Significance Tests for 2 x 2 Tables", Biometrika, Vol. 34 (1947), pp. 123 - 138.
- [2] Bennett, B.M. and Hsu, P., "On the Power Function of the Exact Test for the 2 x 2 Contingency Table", Biometrika, Vol 47 (1960) pp. 393 - 398.
- [3] Cameron, D.G., Blood Group Polymorphism in the Farallon Island Rabbits, Stanford University (1964) Ph.D. Thesis (Unpublished).
- [4] Finney, D.J., "The Fisher-Yates Test of Significance in 2 x 2 Contingency Tables", Biometrika, Vol. 35 (1948) pp. 145 - 156.
- [5] Fisher, R.A., Genetic Theory of Natural Selection, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1958.
- [6] Fisher, R.A., Statistical Methods for Research Workers, Oliver and Boyd, London, 1934.
- [7] Lehman, E.L., Testing Statistical Hypotheses, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1959, pp. 140 - 146.
- [8] Parzen, E., Modern Probability Theory and Its Applications, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1960, p. 299.
- [9] Pearson, E.S., "Choice of Statistical Tests", Biometrika, Vol. 34 (1947), pp. 139 - 167.
- [10] Pearson, E.S. and Merrington, M., "2 x 2 Tables; The Power Function of the Test on a Randomized Experiment", Biometrika, Vol. 35 (1948), pp. 331 - 345.
- [11] Patnaik, P.B., "The Power Function of the Test for the Difference Between Two Proportions in a 2 x 2 Table", Biometrika, Vol. 35, (1948) pp. 157 - 175.

- [12] Sillitto, G.P., "Note on Approximations to the Power Function of the 2 x 2 Comparative Trial", Biometrika, Vol. 36 (1949) pp. 347 - 352.
- [13] Srb, Adrian, and Owen, Ray, General Genetics, W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, California, 1955.
- [14] Stevens, W.L., "Mean and Variance of an Entry in a Contingency Table", Biometrika, Vol. 38 (1951) pp. 468.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST
CONTRACT Nonr-225(53)
(NR-04 2-002)

Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia	10	Chief of Ordnance U. S. Army Research and Development Division Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ORDTB	1	Chief, Los Angeles Air Proc. Dist. San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Bendix Bldg. 1206 S. Maple Street Los Angeles, California	1
AF Plant Representative Evendale Plant General Electric Company P. O. Box 91 Cincinnati 15, Ohio	1	Chief Office of Ordnance Research Duke University, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina	1	Chief San Francisco Air Procurement Dist. Sacramento Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1515 Clay Street Oakland 12, California	1
AF Plant Representative Office Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area General Electric Company P. O. Box 91 Cincinnati 15, Ohio	1	Chief, Surveillance Branch Ballistics Research Lab. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland Attn: Mr. Brune	1	Chief Atlanta Air Procurement Dist. Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 441 West Peachtree Street N. E. Atlanta, Georgia	1
Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California Attn: Technical Library	1	Chief of Naval Materiel Code M533, Room 2236 Main Navy Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Boston Air Force Contract Management District Attn: Chief, Quality Control Div. AF Systems Command Boston Army Base Boston 10, Massachusetts	1
Atlanta Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div., WRHAQ 41 Exchange Place Atlanta 3, Georgia	1	Chief of Naval Operations Operations Evaluation Group (OP-03EG) The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	2	Chief St. Louis Air Procurement Dist. Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1114 Market Street St. Louis 1, Missouri	1
Ballistics Section Test Branch, A & A Division Yuma Test Station Yuma, Arizona Attn: J. M. Anderson	1	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: QC Division	1	Chief Indianapolis Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 54 Monument Circle Indianapolis 6, Indiana	1
Bureau of Aeronautics (AV-4422) Department of the Navy Room 2W96, W Building Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. H. R. Thoman	1	Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks Materiel Division Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: M. W. Wolman, Code A600	2	Chief Rochester Air Procurement Dist. Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 20 Symington Place, P. O. Box 1669 Rochester 3, New York	1
Bureau of Ships, Code 334 Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. A. S. Mathens	6	Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code M400	1	Chief Cleveland Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1279 W. Third Street Cleveland 13, Ohio	1
Bureau of Supplies & Accounts Code OW Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy (Ad3) Washington 25, D. C.	1	Chief Dallas Air Procurement Dist. San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Wilson Building - Room 338 Dallas 1, Texas	1
Central Contract Management Region Attn: Directorate, Quality Control Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code ReUg-3	1	Chief Statistical Engineering Lab. National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1
Chicago Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div., OCHQA 5555 South Archer Avenue Chicago 38, Illinois	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: W. S. Koontz (QcF)	1	Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York Attn: Dr. J. Laderman	1
Cleveland Air Procurement Dist. 1279 West Third Street Cleveland 13, Ohio Attn: Quality Analysis Section	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Quality Control Division (QCC) Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Dr. W. R. Pabst, Jr.	1	Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2
Computation Division Directorate of Management Analysis DCS Controller, Hq. USAF Washington 25, D. C.	3	Chief Arizona Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. 2875 Sky Harbor Blvd. Phoenix, Arizona	1		
Chief, Dayton Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Building 70 - Area "C" Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Chief San Diego Air Procurement Dist. San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division P. O. Box 1548 Old San Diego Station 4325 Pacific Highway San Diego 10, California	1		
Chief, Procurement-Maintenance Engineering Division Fort Monmouth, New Jersey Attn: Procurement Data Branch SIGEL-PMP-1	1				

Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, California	1	Commander U. S. N. O. T. S. Pasadena Annex 3202 E. Foothill Blvd. Pasadena 8, California	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Torpedo Station (QEL) Newport, Rhode Island	1
Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois Attn: R & D Division	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot (QEL) Crane, Indiana	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Torpedo Station Keyport, Washington Attn: Technical Library Quality Evaluation Lab.	1
Director for Quality Assurance U. S. Army Chemical Center and Chemical Corp. Material Command Army Chemical Center Attn: Asst. for Quality Evaluation Maryland	2	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot Banger (QEL) Bremerton, Washington	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Underwater Ordnance Station Newport, Rhode Island Attn: Technical Library	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Chemical Procurement District 290 Broadway New York 7, New York Attn: Quality Surety Division Quality Assurance Section	1 1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot (QEL) St. Juliens Creek Portsmouth, Virginia	1	Commanding Officer & Director U. S. Naval Boiler and Turbine Lab. Naval Base Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Attn: Mr. N. S. Leibman Room 100, Building 52 Connecticut Ave. and Van Ness Street Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition and Net Depot (QEL) Seal Beach, California	1	Commanding Officer and Director U. S. Navy Electronics Lab. San Diego 52, California	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Library, Rm. 211, Bldg. 92	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Ord. Arsenal, Frankford Attn: Mr. N. J. Miller (6120) Bldg. 295-1 Philadelphia 37, Pa.	1	Commander Materiel Laboratory New York Naval Shipyard, Naval Base Brooklyn 1, New York Attn: A. Walner	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: H. Walter Price Chief, Branch 62.0	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory Corona, California Attn: Clayborn L. Graves, Code 63	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Mine Defense Lab. Panama City, Florida	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Harry S. Sloane Materiel Testing (TD&A)	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory Surveillance Dept. MED Corona, California	1	Commanding Officer & Director U. S. Navy Underwater Sound Lab. Fort Trumbull New London, Connecticut	1
Commanding Officer Dugway Proving Ground Dugway, Utah Attn: Harry S. Sloane Materiel Testing (TD&A)	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: Mr. R. E. Hightower Mr. P. B. Morgan Dr. H. Ellingson	1 1 1	Commander Mobile Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: QC Division Directorate of Procurement & Production Brookley Air Force Base Alabama	1
Commanding General Ordnance Ammunition Center Joliet, Illinois Attn: ORDLY AR-V	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Magazine (QEL) Concord, California	1	Commander Southern Air Materiel Area, Pacific Attn: Quality Control Office Clark AFB, APO 74 San Francisco, California	1
Commanding Officer S. C. Supply Agency 225 South 18th Street Philadelphia 3, Pennsylvania Attn: Chief, SIGSU-H3d	2	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Mine Depot (QEL) Yorktown, Virginia	1	Commander Air Materiel Force, Pacific Area Attn: Quality Control Office FEAMCOM Air Base, APO 323 San Francisco, California	1
Commander U. S. Naval Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Propellant Plant Indian Head, Maryland Attn: Mr. Fred Frishman	1	Commander Northern Air Materiel Area, Pacific Attn: Quality Control Office FEAMCOM Air Base, APO 323 San Francisco, California	1
Commander U. S. Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California Attn: Chief Scientist	1	Commander U. S. Naval Proving Ground Dahlgren, Virginia Attn: Technical Library	1	Commander San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Norton AFB, California	1
Commanding Officer Naval Construction Battalion Center Code 620 Davisville, Rhode Island	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: R. Gardner - E. Fay	1 1	Commander Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement & Production Robins AFB, Georgia	1
Commanding Officer Naval Construction Battalion Center Gulfport, Mississippi	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Dr. D. S. Villars Dr. F. E. McVay	1 1	Commander Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Tinker Air Force Base Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	1
Commanding Officer Naval Construction Battalion Center Code 92 Port Huene, California	1	Commander U. S. Naval Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Technical Library	1		
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot (QEL) Navy No. 66 Fleet Post Office San Francisco, California	1				

Commander Rome Air Force Depot Attn: Directorate of Supply and Services Griffiss AFB, New York	1	Eastern Contract Management Region Attn: Directorate, Quality Control Olmsted AFB, Pennsylvania	1	Military Medical Supply Agency 3rd Avenue and 29th Street Brooklyn 32, New York Attn: Mr. Leon Jozwiak	1
Commander Air Materiel Force, European Area Attn: Quality Control Office APO 10 New York, New York	1	Engineering Statistics Group Research Division New York University New York 53, New York	1	N. A. C. A. 1512 M. Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Div. of Res. Information	1
Commander Air Technical Intelligence Center Attn: (AFIN-4CI) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Engineering Statistics Unit Chemical Corps Engineering Agency Army Chemical Center, Maryland Attn: Mr. T. M. Vining, Chief	1	Newark Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division 218 Market Street Newark, New Jersey	1
Commander Air Materiel Command Attn: Quality Control Office, MCQ Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	10	Federal Telephone and Radio Co. 100 Kingsland Road Clifton, New Jersey	1	New York Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division 111 East 16th Street New York 3, New York	1
Commander Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Olmsted Air Force Base Middletown, Pennsylvania	1	Military Clothing & Textile Supply Agency Philadelphia Quartermaster Center U. S. Army 2800 So. 20th Street Philadelphia 1, Pa. Attn: Policy Office, Technical Division	1	Office, Asst. Secretary of Defense (R&E) Room 3E1065, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Library	1
Commander Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement & Production Olmsted Air Force Base Middletown, Pennsylvania	1	Headquarters San Bernardino Air Materiel Area U. S. Air Force Norton AFB, California Attn: Chief, Planning & Control Offices	1	Operations Analysis Office Headquarters, Pacific Air Forces U. S. Air Force, APO/953 Attn: C. E. Thompson Senior Analyst San Francisco, California	1
Commander Memphis Air Force Depot Mallory Air Force Station Attn: Directorate of Supply and Services 3300 Jackson Avenue Memphis 1, Tennessee	1	Headquarters U. S. Army Signal Equipment Support Agency Fort Monmouth, New Jersey Attn: SIGFM/ES-PPE	1	Office, Chief of Engineers Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Procurement Division Military Supply	2
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, SBQ Norton AFB, California	2	Headquarters, Defense Supply Agency DSAH-PI Inspection and Quality Control Division Washington 25, D. C.	1	Office of Operation Analysis DCS/Operations Elgin AFB, Florida	1
Quality Control Office (SAQ) Hq. San Antonio Air Materiel Area Kelly AF Base, Texas	1	Industrial Division Office, Chief of Ordnance Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. Seymour Lorber	1	Office of the Chief (R&D), U. S. Army Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia Attn: Dr. I. R. Hershner, Jr.	1
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate Special Weapons Kelly AF Base, Texas	1	Inspection and Quality Control Division Office, Asst. Secretary of Defense (S&L) Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. John J. Riordan Mr. Irving B. Altman	1 1	Officer in Charge U. S. Navy Central Torpedo Office Newport, Rhode Island Attn: Mr. G. B. Habicht	1
Commander Ogden Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Hill AFB, Utah	1	Library Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division Von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1	Ordnance Corps Industrial Engineering Div. Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C.	1
David Taylor Model Basin Applied Mathematics Lab. (Code 820) Washington 7, D. C. Attn: Dr. Julius Lieblein	1	Library Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research College of Engineering New York University University Heights New York 53, New York	1	Ordnance Mission White Sands Proving Ground Las Cruces, New Mexico Attn: Mr. Paul G. Cox	1
Detroit Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. MOHDQ W. Warren Avenue & Lonyo Blvd. Detroit 32, Michigan Attn: MOHDQPQ	1	Logistics Research Division Attn: MCFR Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Philadelphia Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division 1411 Walnut Street Philadelphia 2, Pennsylvania	1
Director National Security Agency Attn: REMP-1 Fort George G. Meade Maryland	1	Logistics Research Project George Washington University 707 22nd Street, N. W. Washington 7, D. C.	1	Physical Research Branch Evans Signal Lab., SCEL Belmar, New Jersey Attn: Mr. Joseph Weinstein	1
Director, Development Division Field Command Armed Forces Special Weapons Project Albuquerque, New Mexico	1	Milwaukee Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. 770 N. Plankinton Avenue Milwaukee 3, Wisconsin	1	The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California Attn: Library	1

Rocket Development Group Redstone Arsenal Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Lt. E. L. Bombara	1	Professor R. E. Beckwith Graduate School of Business Administration University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California	1	Dr. Francis Dresch Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California	1
San Francisco Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division Oakland Army Terminal, Bldg. 1 West Grand & Maritime Oakland 14, California	1	Professor J. N. Barrett Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio	1	Professor Archeson J. Duncan Department of Industrial Engineering Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland	1
Scranton Ordnance Plant 196 Cedar Avenue Scranton, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. Carl D. Larsen Chief Inspector	1	Mr. Carlton M. Beyer Office of Guided Missiles Office of Asst. Secretary of Defense (R&E) Washington, D. C.	1	Professor Meyer Dineen Department of Mathematics Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1
Standards Branch Procurement Division DCS Logistics, U. S. Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. Silas Williams, Jr.	1	Professor Z. W. Birnbaum Laboratory of Statistical Research Department of Mathematics University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington	1	Professor D. A. S. Fraser Department of Mathematics University of Toronto Toronto 5, Canada	1
Statistical Laboratory University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Professor Russell Bradt Department of Mathematics University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas	1	Mr. William E. Gilbert, Chief Mathematical Statistics Branch Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.	1
Superintendent U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California Attn: Library	1	Professor Irving W. Burr Department of Mathematics Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1	Mr. Leon Gilford Operations Research Inc. 8605 Cameron Street Silver Springs, Maryland	1
Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	6	Mr. G. Burrows Knolls Atomic Power Lab. Schenectady, New York	1	Mr. Bernard P. Goldsmith Associate Professor Northeastern University 360 Huntington Avenue Boston 15, Massachusetts	1
Technical Operation, Inc. and C. O. R. G. Hq. Continental Army Command Fort Monroe, Virginia	1	Dr. A. Charnes The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1	Professor Leo A. Goodman Statistical Research Center University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1
U. S. Naval Avionics Facility Indianapolis 18, Indiana Attn: Library	1	Mr. W. H. Clatworthy Bettis Plant, Westinghouse Electric Corporation Box 1468 Pittsburgh 30, Pennsylvania	1	Dr. J. Greenwood 430 Great Falls Street Falls Church, Virginia	1
U. S. Naval Engineering Experiment Station Annapolis, Maryland Attn: Mr. F. R. DelPriore	1	Professor Paul Clifford New Jersey State Teachers College Montclair, New Jersey	1	Professor Donald Gaver Westinghouse Research Labs. Eulah Road Churchill Boro Pittsburgh 35, Pennsylvania	1
U. S. Naval Inspector of Ordnance 400 S. Belger Street Mishawaka, Indiana	1	Professor W. G. Cochran Department of Statistics Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts	1	Professor Frank M. Gryna, Jr. University College Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1
U. S. Naval Inspector of Ordnance Eastman Kodak Company 50 W. Main Street Rochester 14, New York	1	Professor C. C. Cockerham Institute of Statistics State College Section North Carolina State College Raleigh, North Carolina	1	Dr. Donald Guthrie Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California	1
Western Contract Management Region Attn: Directorate, Quality Control Mira Loma AF Station, California	1	Professor Edward P. Coleman Engineering Department University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Dr. Theodore E. Harris The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1
Dr. Adam Abruzzi Dept. of Economics and Engineering Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey	1	Miss Gertrude M. Cox Institute of Statistics North Carolina State College Raleigh, North Carolina	1	Dr. Leon H. Herback Dept. of Industrial Engr. & Operations Research College of Engineering New York University New York 53, New York	1
Dr. Max Astrachan Department of Logistic The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Dr. Joseph Daly U. S. Census Bureau Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor W. Hirsch Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University New York 3, New York	1
Professor T. W. Anderson Department of Mathematical Statistics Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Professor Cyrus Derman Department of Industrial Engineering Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Mr. Eugene Hixson Code 600.1 GSFC, NASA Greenbelt, Maryland	1
Professor Fred C. Andrews Mathematics Department University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon	1	Mr. H. F. Dodge Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1		
Professor Robert Bechhofer Sibley School of Mech. Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1				

Contract Nonr-225(53)
September 1962

Dr. Paul G. Hoel Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Professor G. W. McElrath Department of Industrial Engineering University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1	Professor Herman Rubin Department of Statistics Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1
Professor Harold Hotelling Associate Director Institute of Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	1	Dr. Paul Meyer Department of Mathematics Washington State University Pullman, Washington	1	Professor Norman Rudy Statistics Department Sacramento State College Sacramento, California	1
Professor L. Hurwicz School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1	Dean Paul E. Mohn School of Engineering University of Buffalo Buffalo, New York	1	Miss Marion M. Sandomire 2281 Cedar Berkeley 9, California	1
Dr. James R. Jackson Management Sciences Research Project 65 Administration Building University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Mr. R. B. Murphy Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. 463 West Street New York 14, New York	1	Professor I. Richard Savage School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1
Dr. W. C. Jacob Agronomy Department University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	1	D. E. Newham Chief, Industrial Engineering Division Comptroller Hqrs., San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Norton Air Force Base, California	1	Professor L. J. Savage Mathematics Department University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1
Professor W. D. Jones Department of Statistics Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1	Professor J. Neyman Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Professor Henry Scheffe Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Mr. J. P. Kearney Quality Control Division General Services Administration Room 6316, Region 3 Building Washington 25, D. C.	1	Mr. Fred Okano National Aeronautics & Space Administration Reliability & Systems Analysis Office Room A-131 1520 "H" Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor Robert Schlaifer Graduate School of Business Administration Harvard University Boston 63, Massachusetts	1
Professor Oscar Kempthorne Statistics Laboratory Iowa State College Ames, Iowa	1	Professor E. R. Ott Department of Mathematics Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1	Brigadier General W. F. E. Schrader c/o Lt. Col. S. T. C. Curlewis Office of the Military Attache Australian Embassy 2001 Connecticut Ave., N. W. Box 4837 Washington 8, D. C.	1
Professor Solomon Kullback Department of Statistics George Washington University Washington 7, D. C.	1	Mr. Cyril Peckham Project Globe University of Dayton Dayton, Ohio	1	Professor Seymour Sherman Department of Mathematics Wayne State University Detroit 2, Michigan	1
Dr. Carl F. Kossack IBM Corporation 2601 So. Main Street Houston 2, Texas	1	Dr. Richard Post Department of Mathematics San Jose State College San Jose, California	1	Mr. Walter Shewhart Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Murray Hill, New Jersey	1
Mr. Howard Laitin 3705 W. Artesia Torrance, California	1	Professor P. H. Randolph Purdue University Department of Industrial Engineering Lafayette, Indiana	1	Dr. Rosedith Sitgreaves Teachers College Columbia University New York 27, New York	1
Dr. E. L. LeClerc, Chief Biometrical Services Agricultural Research Service, USDA Beltsville, Maryland	1	Professor George J. Resnikoff Department of Industrial Engineering Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago 16, Illinois	1	Dr. Milton Sobel Statistics Department University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1
Professor Sebastian B. Littauer 411 Engineering Building Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Dr. Paul R. Rider Chief Statistician Aeronautical Research Lab., WADC Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Professor Frank Spitzer Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1
Dr. Eugene Lukacs Department of Mathematics Catholic University Washington 17, D. C.	1	Professor Herbert Robbins Mathematical Statistics Department Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Mr. Arthur Stein Cornell Aeronautical Lab., Inc. P. O. Box 235 Buffalo 21, New York	1
Dr. Robert Lundegard, Head Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Code 436 Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	3	Dr. Harry G. Romig 351 Alma Real Drive Pacific Palisades, California	1	Professor W. Allen Wallis Office of the President University of Rochester Rochester 20, New York	1
Dr. Clifford J. Maloney Division of Biologics Standards National Institute of Health Bethesda 14, Maryland	1	Dr. Harry Rosenblatt Statistical Research Division Bureau of Census Washington 25, D. C.	1	Dr. Harry Weingarten United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Washington 25, D. C.	1
Professor Frank Massey School of Public Health University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Professor Murray Rosenblatt Department of Mathematics Brown University Providence, Rhode Island	1	Dr. Irving Weiss The Mire Corporation Bedford, Massachusetts	1

Professor J. S. Rustagi College of Medicine University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio	1	Dr. M. G. Kendall C.E.I.R., UK., Ltd. Turris Building Great West Road Brentford, Middx.	1	Professor Eduardo Valenzuela Republica 517-CIEF Santiago, Chile	1
Captain Burton L. Weller AFPR Office Martin Aircraft Corporation Denver, Colorado	1	Director, Institut de Statistique 9, Quai Saint Bernard Paris V, France	1	Mr. Cesario Villagas Instituto de Matematica y Estadistica Av. J. Herrera y Reissig Montevideo, Uruguay	1
Professor Mason Wescott Editor, Industrial Quality Control Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1	Dr. Rudolph Huser Institut für Angewandte Mathematik Universität Bern Hochschulstrasse Bern, Switzerland	1	Professor G. S. Watson Department of Mathematics University of Toronto Toronto 5, Ontario Canada	1
Professor S. S. Wilks Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey	1	Professor Arnjo Hoyland Department of Mathematics University of Oslo Blindern, Norway	1		
Professor J. Wolfowitz Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	Professor A. Walther Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Germany	1		
Dr. William W. Wolman National Aeronautics and Space Admin. Code MER, Bldg. T-2, Room C301 700 Jackson Place, N.W. Washington 25, D. C.	1	<u>Other Foreign Addresses</u>			
Dr. Max A. Woodbury Department of Mathematics College of Engineering New York University New York 53, New York	1	Professor Maurice H. Beltz University of Melbourne Carlton N. 3 Victoria, Australia	1		
Professor Marvin Zelen Mathematics Research Center U. S. Army University of Wisconsin Madison 6, Wisconsin	1	Professor Tosio Kitagawa Mathematical Institute Faculty of Science Kyusyu University Fukuoka, Japan	1		
Mrs. Dorothy M. Gifford Director, Mathematical Sciences Division Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	1	Kenichi Koyanagi Managing Director Union of Japanese Scientists & Engineers 2, 1-chome, Cyobashi Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	1		
<u>Distribution via ONR London</u>		Dr. Lal Verman Director Indian Standards Institute New Delhi, India	1		
Commanding Officer Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York		Professor P. C. Mahalanobis Indian Statistical Institute 203 Barrackpore Trunk Road Calcutta 35, India	1		
Dr. William R. Buckland 22 Ryder Street London S. W. 1 England	1	N. T. Mathew, SRC Unit India Statistical Institute 8 King George Road New Delhi, India	1		
Professor R. Fortet Institut Henri Poincare 9, Quai Saint Bernard Paris V, France	1	Professor Sigelti Moriguti Syoen Minamimati 6 Suginami-ku, Tokyo, Japan	1		
Dr. Geoffrey Gregory 10 Morris Avenue Box Hill North E 12 Victoria Australia	1	R. G. Narasimhan SRC Unit Calcutta Indian Statistical Institute 9B Esplanade East Calcutta 1, India	1		
A. Hald Feresovej 83 Virum, Denmark	1	H. D. Shourie Director National Productivity Council Golf Links New Delhi, India	1		
Dr. H. C. Hamaker Philips Research Laboratories Eindhoven, Netherlands	1	P. V. Sivaramkrishnan SQC Unit, Indian Statistical Institute Queens Road, Government Offices Building Bombay, India	1		
Mr. I. D. Hill Statistical Advisory Unit Ministry of Aviation Shell-Mex House Strand, London, W. C. 2	1	Springabhuwana SQU Unit Bangalore c/o SKSJ Technological Inst. Bangalore 1, India	1	Contract Non-225(53) September 1962	

Professor G. E. P. Box Department of Statistics University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin	1	Miss Theresa Fricke Research Institute University of Dayton Dayton 9, Ohio	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Ordnance Special Weapons Ammunition Command Dover, New Jersey	1
Professor Ralph A. Bradley Department of Statistics Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida	1	Professor J. V. Harrison Quality Control Course Director School of Logistics Area A, P. O. Box - Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Ordnance Tank Automotive Command 1501 Board Street Detroit 9, Michigan	1
Professor W. T. Federer Cornell University Department of Plant Breeding Biometrics Unit Ithaca, New York	1	Mr. Peter K. Kuffner The Hallicrafters Company 5th & Kastner Avenues Chicago 24, Illinois	1	Commanding General, U. S. Army Ordnance Weapons Command C. G. Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois Attn: Technical Library	1
Professor H. P. Goode Department of Industrial and Engineering Administration Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	Dean E. A. Trabant School of Engineering University of Buffalo Buffalo, New York	1	Director Army Ballistic Missile Agency U. S. Army Ordnance Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, Alabama	1
Professor Paul Meier Department of Statistics University of Chicago Chicago, Illinois	1	Capt. W. H. Keen, USN Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research/Code 400 Washington 25, D. C.	1	Director Army Rocket & Guided Missile Agency U. S. Army Ordnance Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, Alabama Attn: Chief, R&D Division	1
Professor W. L. Smith Statistics Department University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	1	Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California Attn: Dr. A. R. Laufer	1	Director Army Rocket & Guided Missile Agency U. S. Army Ordnance Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, Alabama Attn: Engineering Requirements Coordination OFF	1
Professor M. B. Wilk Statistics Center Rutgers - The State University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1	Mathematics Branch Army Research Office, OCRD Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C.	1	Director Feltman Research & Engineering Labs. Picatinny Arsenal Dover, New Jersey	1
Mr. William Milne Department of National Defense Inspection Services 75 St. Patrick Street Ottawa, Ontario Canada	1	Chief U.S. Army R&D Liaison Group APO 757 New York, New York Attn: Scientific Advisor	1	Director Major Item Supply Management Agency Letterkenny Ordnance Depot Chambersburg, Pennsylvania	1
Mr. C. F. Hobbs Systems Analysis Section Army Development Establishment 255 Argyle Avenue Ottawa, Ontario Canada	1	Librarian Army Logistics Management Center Fort Lee, Virginia	2	Director U. S. Army Ordnance Supply Analysis Agency Metuchen, New Jersey	1
Professor W. F. Frelberger Mathematics Department Brown University Providence, Rhode Island	1	Commanding General U.S. Army Combat Development Experiment Center Fort Ord, California Attn: Stanford Research Institute	1	Chief, Storage & Maintenance Div. Directorate for Quality Control MGS Richmond, Virginia	1
Professor Robert Thrall Department of Mathematics University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1	Technical Library U. S. Military Academy West Point, New York	1	Commanding Officer Headquarters, Ordnance Mission White Sands Missile Range Las Cruces, New Mexico Attn: ORDBS-OM-T10-TL	1
Dr. Herman Goldstine IBM Research Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York	1	Combat Operations Research Group Hq. Continental Army Command Fort Monroe, Virginia	1	Commanding Officer Watervliet Arsenal Watervliet, New York	1
Dr. F. J. Murray U. S. Army Research Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina	1	Senior Scientist Human Research Unit No. 1 Continental Army Command Fort Knox, Kentucky	1	Commanding Officer Radford Arsenal Radford, Virginia Attn: Chief, Inspection Div., ORDCB	1
Professor Jack Kiefer Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	Director Research Analysis Corp. 6935 Arlington Road Bethesda, Md.	1	Director U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Laboratories Fort Belvoir, Virginia	1
Dr. John Tischendorf Allentown Laboratory Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Allentown, Pennsylvania	1	Human Resources Research Office George Washington University Box 3596 Washington 7, D. C.	1	Office of the Quartermaster General Operational Mathematics Branch Washington 25, D. C.	1
Mr. Martin B. Broude Quality Control Division General Services Administration, FSS Room 6915, Region 3 Bldg. Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Ordnance Ammunition Command Joliet, Illinois Attn: Technical Library	1		

Commanding General U. S. Army Transportation Materiel Command Box 209, Main Office St. Louis, Missouri	1	Commanding Officer QM Research & Engineering Field Evaluation Agency Ft. Lee, Virginia	1	Electronic Systems Division Attn: Quality Control L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts	1
Technical Library U. S. Army Electronic Proving Ground Fort Huachuca, Arizona	1	Chief, Technical Evaluation Branch Army Reactors Office, DRD U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.	1	Rome Air Force Depot Management Requirements Div. Management Analysis Section Griffiss Air Force Base, New York	1
Technical Library U. S. Army Signal Research and Development Laboratories Fort Monmouth, New Jersey	1	Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin	1	Rome Air Force Depot Griffiss Air Force Base, New York Attn: ROQ	2
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Signal Electronics Research Unit P. O. Box 205 Mountain View, California	1	Librarian U. S. A. Artillery & Missile School Fort Sill, Oklahoma	1	Rome Air Force Depot Griffiss Air Force Base, New York Attn: ROPQ	1
Commanding General U. S. Army Chemical Center Corps Engineering Command Army Chemical Center, Maryland Attn: Mr. T. M. Vining	1	Commanding General Command and General Staff College Ft. Leavenworth, Kansas	1	Dayton Air Force Depot Dayton 20, Ohio Attn: MDQ	2
Director U. S. Army Chemical Research and Development Laboratories Army Chemical Center, Maryland Attn: Technical Library	1	Commanding Officer Picatinny Arsenal /ORDBB-THB/ Dover, New Jersey	1	Dayton Air Force Base Dayton 20, Ohio Attn: MDMQ	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Chemical Corps Proving Ground Dugway, Utah	1	Commanding Officer Ordnance Materials Research Office Watertown Arsenal Watertown 72, Massachusetts	1	Dayton Air Force Depot Dayton 20, Ohio Attn: MDSQ	1
Commanding Officer New York Chemical Procurement Dist. 180 Varick Street New York 14, New York Attn: Quality Surety Division	1	Commanding Officer Attn: Inspection Division Springfield Armory Springfield, Massachusetts	1	Aeronautical Systems Division Attn: Quality Control Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1
Mathematics Division Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding Officer 9550 Technical Service Unit Army Liaison Group, Project Michigan Willow Run Research Center Ypsilanti, Michigan	1	2709 Air Force Vehicle Control Group Attn: Quality Control Div. Memphis, Tennessee	1
Director Canadian Army Operational Research Estab. Department of National Defense Ottawa, Ontario, Canada Attn: B. A. Richardson C. A. De Candole CA ORE/S/2300-1975/4	1	Director Lincoln Laboratory Lexington, Massachusetts	1	Ogden Air Procurement District Attn: Quality Control Div. Hill Air Force Base, Utah	1
Statistics Department George Washington University Washington 6, D. C.	1	Applied Mathematics Labs. National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1	Richmond Air Procurement District Attn: Quality Control Div. P. O. Box 8868 Richmond 25, Virginia	1
Personnel Research Branch Personnel Research & Procedures Div. The Adjutant General's Office Washington 25, D. C.	1	Technical Information Service Attn: Reference Branch P. O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee	1	Commander U. S. N. O. T. S. Pasadena Annex 3202 E. Foothill Blvd. Pasadena 8, California	1
Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena 3, California	1	Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Quality Control Division /PQC/ Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code A630	2
National Institute of Health U. S. Public Health Service Bethesda, Maryland	1	Geography Department The George Washington University Washington 6, D. C. Attn: Professor R. D. Campbell	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Avionics Facility 21st St. & Arlington Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana	1
Headquarters Air Force Systems Command /SCZKQ/ Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio	5	Superintendent U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California Attn: Library	1	Bureau of Naval Weapons Branch Representative Eastman Kodak Co. 121 Lincoln Avenue Rochester 11, New York	1
Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington 25, D. C.	1	Program Director Mathematical Sciences Program National Science Foundation Washington 25, D. C.	1		
Scientific Director QM Research & Engineering Command U. S. Army Natick, Massachusetts	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Army Transportation Research Command Fort Eustis, Virginia Attn: Research Reference Center	1		
Commandant AM Food & Container Inst for Armed Forces U. S. Army 1819 W. Pershing Road Chicago 9, Illinois	1	Headquarters, United States Air Force Attn: AFCEA Washington 25, D. C.	1	Additional copies for project leaders and assistants, office file, and reserve for future requirements	70
		Orlando Air Procurement District Attn: Quality Control Div. Orlando Air Force Base, Florida	1		
				Contract Nonr-225(53) September 1962 (495)	