

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

**AD456356**

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

**Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Document partially illegible.**

FROM:

**Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;  
Administrative/Operational Use; OCT 1964. Other requests shall be referred to Office of Naval Research and the Office of Scientific Research, Washington, DC. Document partially illegible.**

AUTHORITY

**ONR memo, 22 May 1967**

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

AD. 4 5 6 3 5 6

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION


CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

CATALOGED BY DDC

AS AD No 456356



456356

NEARLY-FREE MOLECULAR FLOW: A  
COMPARISON OF THEORY AND EXPERIMENT

by

F. S. Sherman  
D. R. Willis  
G. J. Maslach



Report No. AS-64-16  
Contract Nonr -222(45)  
October 15, 1964

INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Berkeley, California

CONTRACT N-onr-222(45)  
REPORT AS-64-16  
OCTOBER 15, 1964

JOINTLY SPONSORED BY  
THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH AND  
THE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH.

---

NEARLY FREE MOLECULAR FLOW:  
A COMPARISON OF THEORY AND EXPERIMENT

---

by

F. S. Sherman  
D. R. Willis  
G. J. Maslach

Paper presented at 11th International Congress for  
Applied Mechanics, Munich, Germany  
August 30 - September 5, 1964  
(To appear in Proceedings)

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted  
for any purpose of the United States Government

FACULTY INVESTIGATORS:

S. A. SCHAAF, PROFESSOR OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES  
L. TALBOT, PROFESSOR OF AERONAUTICAL SCIENCES  
G. J. MASLACH, PROFESSOR OF AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING  
F. C. HURLBUT, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF AERONAUTICAL SCIENCES

# ABSTRACT

Measured drag coefficients for cylinders, normal strips and spheres in nearly free-molecular flow at  $M = 6, 8$  and  $10$  are correlated with a collision-rate parameter suggested by the modified Krook model, and compared with theoretical estimates based on a variety of kinetic models and methods of analysis.

The comparison of theory and experiment and the comparative effectiveness of various rarefaction parameters are discussed, and suggestions for further experimentation are made.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                      | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| ABSTRACT                                                             | 1           |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION                                                     | 1           |
| 2.0 RESUME' OF THEORIES OF NEARLY FREE-MOLECULAR FLOW                | 2           |
| 3.0 INTERPRETATION OF COLLISION-RATE PARAMETERS                      | 4           |
| 4.0 RESUME' OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS                                  | 7           |
| 4.1 Cylinder and Strip Drag                                          | 7           |
| 4.2 Sphere Drag                                                      | 9           |
| 5.0 SUMMARY OF THEORETICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON<br>WITH EXPERIMENT | 9           |
| 5.1 Cylinder and Strip Drag                                          | 10          |
| 5.2 Sphere Drag                                                      | 10          |
| 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTED FURTHER WORK                           | 11          |
| REFERENCES                                                           | 13          |
| TABLES                                                               | 14          |
| FIGURES                                                              | 17          |

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The principal piece of information which is currently needed to complete a description of the various regimes of rarefied gas dynamics, for purposes both of understanding and engineering prediction, concerns the behavior of typical flow patterns at their first departures from their free-molecular flow limits. Given this, together with the well-established theory of free-molecular flow and the theories and copious experimental data covering the slip flow regime, we can interpolate to describe the remaining transition flow regime with fair confidence.

Theoretical treatments of nearly free-molecular flows have run considerably ahead of experimental studies because of the difficulty of producing laboratory flows of known and suitably uniform condition with sufficiently large mean free paths. This latter difficulty is currently being overcome in a number of laboratories, by use of shock tunnels, or cryopumped steady-flow tunnels with cooled nozzles and boundary layer suction. At Berkeley, we are exploring new ranges of high Mach number, large-mean-free-path flow by use of the free jet testing technique.

Experimental and theoretical investigations of the free-jet flow field (Ashkenas and Sherman, 1964), and drag data for cylinders and strips (Maslach, et.al., 1964) have been presented earlier this year, at the Fourth International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, held at Toronto. For the present paper we have made a critical review of this drag data, re-reducing it in terms of a rarefaction parameter  $\alpha_0$ , which is both more theoretically pertinent and more accurately measurable than the free stream Knudsen number. The sphere drag data of Kinslow and Potter (1962) are similarly re-expressed. The theories tested by comparison with these data are all those known (except those of a semi-empirical nature), and include some hitherto unpublished results.

## 2.0 RESUME' OF THEORIES OF NEARLY FREE-MOLECULAR FLOW

We limit our discussion to those methods used to predict the drag of typical aerodynamic bodies placed in an infinite gaseous medium. (The same methods can often be applied to predict the heat transfer characteristics as well.) The semi-empirical methods of Rott and Whittenbury (1961), Brooks and Reis (1963), and Kinslow and Potter (1962), in which a free parameter in the theory is adjusted to give best agreement with experimental data, will not be discussed here. While they provide simple formulas for interpolation in the transition regime, they do not give a priori estimates independent of experiment.

The complexity of the general Maxwell-Boltzmann collision operator is so great that all results to date have been obtained by either assuming hard sphere molecules and calculating approximately the effect of collisions involving molecules emitted from the body ("first-collision" methods), or by replacing the collision operator by a relaxation type term and obtaining an approximate solution to the kinetic equation by iteration starting from the free molecular solution (iterative methods).

The first-collision methods have been restricted to the case where the molecules emitted from the body have an average speed very much less than the hypersonic free stream speed. The natural small parameter is  $\beta = d n_{\infty} \sigma^2 S_b$  where  $d$  is a typical dimension of the body,  $n_{\infty}$  the number density in the free stream,  $\sigma$  the diameter of the hard sphere molecule, and  $S_b^2 = m u_{\infty}^2 / 2kT_b$ . Assuming that the molecules are emitted from the body with a Maxwellian distribution specified by  $T_b$ , the results for the drag coefficient are of the form

$$C_D - C_{D_{fm}} = \beta \int \ln(\beta/S_b) G_1(S_b) + \beta G_2(S_b) + O(\beta^2 \ln \beta) \quad (1)$$

where

$j = 0$  for a three-dimensional body

$j = 1$  for a two-dimensional body

and  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are of order unity for  $S_b \gtrsim 1$ .

The possible relationships between and the physical variables measured (or inferred) in the experiment are discussed in the next section.

The iterative methods fall into two classes. In the first class (Knudsen and integral iteration) the kinetic equation is rewritten as an integral equation and one iteration is performed starting from the free molecular solution (Willis, 1959). The collision term is represented by the "modified Krook" expression which was specifically designed for non-equilibrium situations (Maslach, et.al., 1964). The natural small parameter for this method is given by

$$\alpha = \delta n_\infty (d/2) (m/2kT_b)^{1/2}$$

where  $\delta$  is a constant appearing in the collision model, such that the total number of collisions in the free stream is  $\frac{1}{2}\delta n_\infty^2$  per unit time and volume. The calculations were restricted to supersonic Mach numbers, but  $T_b$  was allowed to vary in the range between (roughly)  $T_\infty$  and  $T_0$ .

Typical drag results from this method are of the form

$$C_D - C_{D_{fm}} = \alpha j \ln(\alpha/S_b) H_1(S_b, S_\infty) + \alpha H_2(S_b, S_\infty) + O(\alpha^2 \ln \alpha) \quad (2)$$

where  $j$  is defined as above and  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are functions of  $S_b$  and  $S_\infty$  which are of order unity for  $S_b$  and  $S_\infty$  both greater than one. For the two-dimensional geometries studied (cylinder and strip) only the  $H_1$  term has been evaluated to date. Results using the simple Krook model (Bhatnagar, Gross and Krook, 1954) have also been obtained from the integral iteration method.

The second class of iterative methods uses a linearized form of the simple Krook model for the collision term and performs an iteration after taking a Fourier transform of the kinetic equation with respect to the spatial coordinate (Rose, 1964). The results for the drag of a finite length cylinder and for a sphere are of the same form as would be given by the integral iteration method.

It should be noted that only the terms of order  $\alpha$  for the three-dimensional and order  $\alpha \ln \alpha$  for the two-dimensional problems can be obtained by iterating on the formal free-molecular solution. To calculate higher order terms it would be necessary to take proper account of the non-uniform validity of the free-molecular solution far from the bodies (Pao, 1964).

### 3.0 INTERPRETATION OF COLLISION-RATE PARAMETERS

Wind tunnel drag measurements have conventionally been reported as functions of free stream Mach number, Reynolds number, and the ratio of the body temperature to free stream temperature  $T_w/T_\infty$ . Often a Knudsen number is deduced from the formula<sup>\*</sup>

$$Kn_\infty = \lambda_\infty/d = (\gamma\pi/2)^{1/2} M_\infty/Re_d \quad (3)$$

and results presented in terms of this parameter. For a comparison between theory and experiment we have to postulate a value for  $T_b$  (in all cases to date it has been set equal to  $T_w$ ) and relate  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  to the experimental parameters. One suggestion for obtaining a relation is to equate the actual viscosity of the gas, at some appropriate reference temperature, to the Chapman-Enskog viscosity corresponding to the collision model.

---

<sup>\*</sup>However, other formulas have also been used. A return to presenting results in terms of  $Re/M$  might prevent possible confusion.

This choice is motivated by the fact that  $\mu$  is, except for very low temperatures, a known function of temperature and that like the drag perturbation it is an evidence of the transfer of momentum due to inter-molecular collisions. In previous work (Maslach, et.al., 1964)  $T_\infty$  was used as the reference temperature. This choice has the advantage of reducing the theoretical formulas naturally to a convenient form in terms of  $Kn_\infty$ . Based on this choice it was shown there that the first-collision results using hard sphere molecules are in serious disagreement with experiment, while fair to good agreement is given by the integral iteration results.

There are, however, two points in favor of a different choice of the reference temperature. In the first place, for the higher Mach numbers used in the unheated experiments at Berkeley,  $T_\infty$  is so low that the viscosity is not well known and has to be estimated. In the second place, intuitive arguments suggest that the important collisions are those between molecules emitted from the body and free stream molecules. Typical relative kinetic energies involved in such collisions correspond to temperatures in an equilibrium gas (for which the Chapman-Enskog results are valid) of the order of the stagnation temperature (in fact, it can be as much as  $1.6 T_0$  for an insulated body). As the stagnation temperature is a well-determined quantity for wind tunnel tests, we will consider the effect of using it as the reference temperature.

The appropriate formulas relating the viscosity to the collisional parameters are, for hard sphere molecules

$$\sigma^2 = (m kT/\pi)^{1/2} / [\pi \mu(T)] \quad (4)$$

and for the simple Krook model

$$\delta = kT/\mu(T) \quad (5)$$

For the modified Krook model there are some questions as to the meaning of the viscosity, but we propose to use the same formula as for the simple Krook model.

Using the above formulas we find that

$$\frac{\beta}{(1/Kn_\infty)} = \left( \frac{S_b}{2\pi^{1/2}} \right) C_1 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{(1/Kn_\infty)} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{4} \left( \frac{S_b}{S_\infty} \right) C_2 \quad (7)$$

where

$$C_1 = (T_{\text{ref}}/T_\infty)^{1/2} [\mu(T_\infty)/\mu(T_{\text{ref}})]$$

$$C_2 = (T_{\text{ref}}/T_\infty) [\mu(T_\infty)/\mu(T_{\text{ref}})]$$

When  $T_{\text{ref}}$  is taken as  $T_\infty$ , both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are unity. The effect of taking  $T_{\text{ref}} = T_0$ , the stagnation temperature, is shown below for typical conditions in the Berkeley and AEDC (Kinslow and Potter, 1962) facilities.

| $M_\infty$ | $T_0 (^{\circ}\text{K})$ | $C_1$ | $C_2$ |
|------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| 6          | 290                      | 0.42  | 1.15  |
| 10         | 290                      | 0.26  | 1.40  |
| 10         | 3350                     | 0.53  | 2.40  |

It can be seen that there will be a marked effect of the choice of  $T_{\text{ref}}$ , particularly for the hard sphere molecules. (Indicative of the fact that  $[\mu(T)/T^{1/2}]$  is by no means constant for appreciable ranges of temperature.)

If we decide to use  $T_0$  as the reference temperature it is in fact more convenient to work directly with  $\alpha_0$  or  $\beta_0$  which are related to the physical parameters by

$$\beta_o = \text{Re}^*(T_o/T_b)^{1/2} / [\pi(2\pi)^{1/2}]$$

$$\alpha_o = \text{Re}^*(T_o/T_b)^{1/2} / 4 S_o \quad (8)$$

where

$$\text{Re}^* = \rho_\infty u_\infty d / \mu(T_o)$$

and

$$S_o^2 = m u_\infty^2 / 2kT_o$$

As  $S_o$  is of order unity for hypersonic conditions [approaching  $(\gamma/\gamma-1)^{1/2}$  for infinite free stream Mach number] the two parameters are seen to have essentially the same meaning.  $\text{Re}^*$  is a convenient parameter depending only upon  $\mu(T_o)$  which is well known, and  $\rho_\infty$ , the free stream density, which is relatively easy to infer from experimental measurements.

#### 4.0 RESUME' OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

##### 4.1 Cylinder and Strip Drag

The basic references for these data are the reports of Maslach (1963), Tang (1964), and Ko (1964), which explain in detail the microbalance techniques, the procedures used to convert drag data from the radially diverging free jet flows into drag coefficients for uniform flow, and a number of special tests performed to verify the independence of the final results from the flow property gradients of the free jets.

Aside from probable random errors of 1 to 2 percent in the force readings and in the model diameters, the principal uncertainty in the computed quantities  $C_D$  and  $\alpha_o$  comes from residual non-uniformities of 2 to 3 percent in density and dynamic pressure in the model vicinity in the case of Maslach's tests, which employed a set of well-designed but not quite perfect converging-diverging nozzles. In the free jet tests, a possibility of systematic error in density and dynamic pressure arises when we use the inviscid-flow theory to predict these quantities as a function of position,

given the stagnation chamber pressure and temperature. It seems natural to assume that viscous boundary-layer growth in the converging nozzle may decrease the effective nozzle diameter and correspondingly compress the scale of the entire jet flow pattern, but a definitive determination of this effect over the present range of nozzle Reynolds numbers ( $2350 < \rho_* u_* D / \mu_* < 25600$ ) has not yet been made. In our re-reduction of the data of Tang and Ko we have chosen to ignore any such effect, using the formulas

$$\left. \begin{aligned} q/p_o &= 0.309 (x/D - 0.13)^{-2} \\ \text{and} \\ \rho/\rho_o &= 0.0887 (x/D - 0.40)^{-2} \end{aligned} \right\} x \geq 3D \quad (9)$$

which are accurate fits to theoretical data for air, obtained by the method of characteristics.

The principal experimental results for cylinder and strip drag are the following:

1) For  $M = 6, 8$ , and  $10$ , and for  $0.004 \leq \alpha_o \leq 0.285$ , the measured drag coefficients varied linearly with  $\alpha_o \ln \alpha_o$ , with 76 percent of the cylinder drag coefficients and 94 percent of the strip drag coefficients falling within  $\pm 2$  percent of a "best fit" straight line.

2) Extrapolation of these straight lines to  $\alpha_o = 0$  gave free-molecular drag coefficients which were within 1 percent of values computed with an assumption of fully diffuse and accommodated molecular reemission from the model surface.

3) A plot of  $C_{D_{fm}} - C_D$  versus  $-\alpha_o \ln \alpha_o$  collapsed all data for each model geometry onto a single straight line independent of Mach number. The slope of this line is  $2.6 \pm 0.1$  for the strips, and  $1.8 \pm 0.1$  for the cylinders. (See Fig. 1.)

#### 4.2 Sphere Drag

These data were taken directly from the paper of Kinslow and Potter (1962), which contains all information necessary for the calculation of  $\alpha_o$ . All of Kinslow and Potter's data for which  $\alpha_o \leq 2.5$  are shown in Fig. 2, which has been prepared with the theoretical value of  $C_{D_{fm}}$  for fully diffuse and accommodated reemission.

Unfortunately for our present aims, these data do not extend to values of  $\alpha_o$  much below 0.5, and do not suffice either to define directly the slope of an initially linear dependence of  $C_D$  upon  $\alpha_o$ , or to permit extrapolation to  $\alpha_o = 0$  to provide a check on the assumption of diffuse reemission. We note, however, that

- 1) In a correlation of  $C_D - C_{D_{fm}}$  versus  $\alpha_o$ , the data show no systematic dependence upon  $S_b$  in the range  $0.5 \leq \alpha_o \leq 2.5$ ,  $4.5 \leq S_b \leq 6.3$ .
- 2) Three-fourths of the measured values of  $C_D$  are predicted to  $\pm 2$  percent accuracy or better by the simple formula

$$C_D = C_{D_{fm}} - 0.50 \alpha_o + 0.09 \alpha_o^2 \quad (10)$$

#### 5.0 SUMMARY OF THEORETICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

A summary of the theoretical formulas for drag are given in Tables I - III. For uniformity of comparison all results are expressed in terms of  $\alpha_o$  (using Eq. 8 to convert the hard sphere results), and restricted to the case  $S_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ . The only parameter now entering the expressions is  $S_b$ , and it is further assumed that this dependence can be represented by a power series in  $1/S_b$ . Some of the expressions represent asymptotic expansions in  $1/S_b$  (i.e., "cold wall" analyses); others represent best fits to numerical data.

### 5.1 Cylinder and Strip Drag

For the same collision model the differences between the cylinder and strip results are quite modest, and are in the direction suggested by intuition and confirmed by experiment. There are marked differences between the results predicted from the different collision models.

For Berkeley experiments  $S_b \approx 1.68$ , and only the integral iteration results are applicable. From Fig. 1 we can judge that the theories are qualitatively very successful when  $\alpha$  is interpreted as  $\alpha_0$ . For both cylinders and strips the modified Krook model underestimates the measured  $C_{D_{fm}} - C_D$  in the approximate ratio 2:3, the simple Krook model overestimates in the ratios 2.3:1 for cylinders, 2.1:1 for strips. A much better comparison could be given if the theoretical contribution of order  $\alpha_0$  were also calculated. (This is by no means a simple matter, unfortunately.) The presently available data are too scattered to provide any experimental estimate of the coefficient of a term of order  $\alpha_0$ , except to suggest from the effectiveness of a simple linear fit of  $C_{D_{fm}} - C_D$  versus  $\alpha_0 \ln \alpha_0$ , that this coefficient cannot be much larger than unity.

### 5.2 Sphere Drag

Results are shown in Table III and Fig. 2. When we interpret the theories in terms of  $\alpha_0$ , the most successful appears to be that based on the simple Krook model, analyzed by the Fourier transform method (Rose, 1964). This successfully predicts the observed lack of marked dependence upon  $S_b$  and overestimates the apparent initial slope (inferred from the fitting formula 10) by a ratio of 1.4:1. The modified-Krook model appears next best, predicting a much larger effect of  $S_b$  (but one which might still well be masked by the scatter of the data), and overestimating the apparent initial slope by ratios of 1.6:1 ( $S_b = 6.3$ ) to 1.9:1 ( $S_b = 4.5$ ). The results for a rigid sphere collision model seem worst off, showing about

the same  $S_b$ -dependence as the modified Krook model, but overestimating the apparent initial slope about twice as badly.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTED FURTHER WORK

1. The present study indicates that analyses of nearly free molecular flow, based on either the simple Krook model or the modified Krook model, are qualitatively successful in predicting the drag perturbation ( $C_{D_{fm}} - C_D$ ) for two- and three-dimensional bodies, and that quantitative discrepancies are modest (not exceeding a factor of 2 in the present range of investigation) when the collision rate parameter is evaluated at stagnation temperature.

2. Analyses based upon rigid sphere collision models appear, at the present stage of their development, to be less successful than those based on the Krook models.

3. The modified-Krook model, which has been worked out for all the body geometries under discussion, underestimates the leading term of the drag perturbation in the two-dimensional case, and overestimates it for the sphere, when  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ .

4. Experimental data are needed, to extend the range of  $S_b$  for two-dimensional bodies, and to get closer to free-molecular conditions, for wide ranges of  $S_\infty$  and  $S_b$ , for three-dimensional bodies. To be of any use in guiding theoretical developments, these data must not contain random or systematic errors exceeding  $\pm 2$  percent of  $C_D$ , and if the data are to provide any estimates of the coefficients of higher-order terms in the theoretical perturbation expansions, the restriction on error becomes about  $\pm 1/2$  percent.

5. Most of the data correlations we have shown in terms of  $\alpha_0$  look just about as good in terms of  $\alpha_\infty$ , but in two-dimensional flow the theories fit the data better when  $\alpha_0$  is used. For the sphere data, the Krook models look about equally good with either  $\alpha_0$  or  $\alpha_\infty$ ,  $\alpha_0$  leading to an over-

estimate of initial slope, and  $\alpha_{\infty}$  to an approximately equal underestimate. The rigid sphere theory for sphere drag looks much better in terms of  $\alpha_0$  than  $\alpha_{\infty}$ . The intuitive attractiveness of  $\alpha_0$  and the fact that use of it bypasses the nasty question of evaluating viscosities or collision cross sections at very low temperatures also contribute to our commendation of it as a most useful rarefaction parameter for the nearly-free molecular flow regime.

REFERENCES

1. Ashkenas, H., and Sherman, F. S. (1964), Proceedings, 4th Int'l Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Toronto (to appear).
2. Baker, R. M. L., Jr., and Charwat, A. F. (1958), Phys. Fluids 1, 73.
3. Bhatnagar, P. L., Gross, E. P., and Krook, M. (1954), Phys. Rev. 94, 511.
4. Brooks, W. B., and Reis, G. E. (1963), in Rarefied Gas Dynamics (ed. J. Laurmann), Academic Press, New York, Vol. II, p. 291.
5. Kinslow, M., and Potter, J. L. (1962), AEDC, Arnold AFB, Tenn. Report AEDC-TDR-62-2015 (also AIAA J. (1963), 1, 11, 2467).
6. Ko, D. R-S (1964), Univ. of Calif. Aero. Sci. Div. Report AS-64-4.
7. Lunc, M., and Lubonski, J. (1956), Arch. Mech. Stosowanej, Warsaw, Poland 8, 597.
8. Maslach, G. J., Willis, D. R., Tang, S. S-P, and Ko, D. R-S (1964), Proceedings, 4th Int'l Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Toronto (to appear) (also Univ. of Calif. Aero. Sci. Div. Report AS-64-16, 1964).
10. Rose, M. (1964), Proceedings, 4th Int'l Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Toronto (to appear) (also to appear in Phys. Fluids).
11. Rott, N., and Whittenbury, C. G. (1961), Douglas Aircraft Co. Report SM-38S24.
12. Tang, S. S-P (1964), Univ. of Calif. Aero. Sci. Div. Report AS-64-3.
13. Willis, D. R. (1959), Princeton Univ. Aero. Eng. Report 442.

TABLE I. CYLINDER DRAG  $S_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$C_D - C_{D_{fm}} = (-\alpha_o \ln \alpha_o) F(S_b)$$

$$F(S_b) = d_o + d_1(1/S_b) + d_2(1/S_b)^2 \dots$$

| Collision Model            | Method             | $d_o$  | $d_1$ | $d_2$ | $d_3$ | $F(1.68)$      |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Modified Krook             | Integral Iteration | -0.21  | -1.7  | -     | -     | -1.15          |
| Simple Krook               | Integral Iteration | -0.59  | -3.9  | -3.1  | -0.6  | -4.1           |
| Simple Krook               | Transform (Rose)   | -0.56* | -     | -     | -     | -              |
| -----                      |                    |        |       |       |       |                |
| BEST FIT EXPERIMENTAL DATA |                    |        |       |       |       | -1.8 $\pm$ 0.1 |

\* Assuming that Rose's expression which is given as  $\alpha_o \ln$  (aspect ratio) goes over to  $-\alpha_o \ln \alpha_o$  as the aspect ratio of the cylinder becomes very much larger than the Knudsen number.

TABLE II. NORMAL STRIP DRAG  $S_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$C_D - C_{D_{fm}} = -(\alpha_o \ln \alpha_o) F(S_b)$$

$$F(S_b) = d_o + d_1(1/S_b) + d_2(1/S_b)^2$$

| Collision Model            | Method                               | $d_o$  | $d_1$ | $d_2$ | $d_3$ | $F(1.68)$      |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Modified Krook             | Integral Iteration                   | -0.27  | -1.86 | -     | -     | -1.66          |
| Simple Krook               | Integral Iteration                   | -0.75  | -4.7  | -4.7  | -1.0  | -5.4           |
| Hard Spheres               | First Collision<br>(Lunc & Lubonski) | -0.56* | -     | -     | -     | -              |
| -----                      |                                      |        |       |       |       |                |
| BEST FIT EXPERIMENTAL DATA |                                      |        |       |       |       | -2.6 $\pm$ 0.1 |


\*Lunc and Lubonski's formula is given in terms of a mean speed  $\bar{\xi}_b$  for molecules leaving the strip. We have taken  $\bar{\xi}_b = (9\pi kT_b/8)^{1/2}$  which gives the same free molecular drag as a Maxwellian emission at temperature  $T_b$ .

TABLE III. SPHERE DRAG  $S_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$C_D - C_{D_{fm}} = -\alpha_o [d_o + d_1(1/s_b) + d_2(1/s_b)^2 + \dots]$$

| Collision Model                                                                   | Method                            | $d_o$ | $d_1$  | $d_2$ |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Modified Krook                                                                    | Integral Iteration                | -0.37 | -3.32  | +2.57 |
| Simple Krook                                                                      | Transform (Rose)                  | -0.75 | +0.26  | -     |
| Hard Spheres                                                                      | First Collision (Baker & Charwat) | -1.0* | -4.36* | -     |
| BEST FIT EXPERIMENTAL DATA, $C_D - C_{D_{fm}} = -0.50 \alpha_o + 0.09 \alpha_o^2$ |                                   |       |        |       |

\*As in Table II, assuming an expression for the average speed of the molecules leaving the sphere which gives the same free molecular drag as Maxwellian emission at temperature  $T_b$ .



$$-\alpha_0 \ln \alpha_0$$

FIGURE 1. CYLINDER & STRIP DRAG




FIGURE 2. SPHERE DRAG

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&amp;D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |                                                                             |                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |  | 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION                                          |                 |
| University of California, Berkeley                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |  | UNCLASSIFIED                                                                |                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  | 2b. GROUP                                                                   |                 |
| 3. REPORT TITLE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |                                                                             |                 |
| Nearly Free Molecular Flow: A Comparison of Theory and Experiment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |                                                                             |                 |
| 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |                                                                             |                 |
| Technical Report                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |                                                                             |                 |
| 5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |                                                                             |                 |
| Sherman, F. S.<br>Willis, D. R.<br>Maslach, G. J.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |                                                                             |                 |
| 6. REPORT DATE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  | 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES                                                      | 7b. NO. OF REFS |
| October 15, 1964                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  | 18                                                                          | 13              |
| 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |  | 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)                                           |                 |
| N-onr-222(45)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  | AS-64-16                                                                    |                 |
| b. PROJECT NO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |                                                                             |                 |
| c.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |  | 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) |                 |
| d.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |  |                                                                             |                 |
| 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |                                                                             |                 |
| Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |                                                                             |                 |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  | 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY                                            |                 |
| Paper presented at 11th Int'l Congress for Applied Mechanics, Munich, Germany August 30 - September 5, 1964                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  | Office of Naval Research and the Office of Scientific Research              |                 |
| 13. ABSTRACT                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |  |                                                                             |                 |
| <p>Measured drag coefficients for cylinders, normal strips and spheres in nearly free-molecular flow at <math>M = 6, 8</math> and <math>10</math> are correlated with a collision-rate parameter suggested by the modified Krook model, and compared with theoretical estimates based on a variety of kinetic models and methods of analysis.</p> <p>The comparison of theory and experiment and the comparative effectiveness of various rarefaction parameters are discussed, and suggestions for further experimentation are made.</p> |  |                                                                             |                 |

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 64

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED  
Security Classification

| 14. KEY WORDS                                                | LINK A |    | LINK B |    | LINK C |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
|                                                              | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT |
| Near-free-molecule flow<br>Kinetic theory<br>Blunt body drag |        |    |        |    |        |    |

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

## March 1963

133

(1)

(1)

(1)

- Dr. J. L. Tuck  
Physics Division  
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory  
University of California  
Los Alamos, New Mexico (1)
- Professor A. F. Charney  
Department of Engineering  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California (1)
- Dean L. M. K. Switzer  
College of Engineering  
U.C.L.A.  
Los Angeles, California (1)
- Director  
Engineering Center  
University of Southern California  
University Park  
Los Angeles, California (1)
- Professor J. Kaplan  
Department of Physics  
U.C.L.A.  
Los Angeles, California (1)
- Aeronautical Sciences Laboratory  
University of California  
Richmond Field Station  
Richmond 6th Street  
Richmond, California (1)
- William H. Dorrance, Head  
Aero-Analysis Department  
2400 East El Segundo Boulevard  
El Segundo, California (1)
- American Machine and Foundry Company  
Attn: Mechanics Research Department  
7501 N. Natchez Avenue  
Niles, Illinois (1)
- Australian Weapons Research  
Establishment  
c/o Defense Research and Development  
Australian Joint Service Staff  
P. O. Box 4837  
Washington 8, D. C. (1)
- Dr. S. C. Lin  
Aero-Engine Research Laboratory  
2380 River Road, Parkers  
Avenue 19, Massachusetts (1)
- Mr. J. T. Hamilton (Dr. S. 1-34)  
Chief of Flight Technology  
The Boeing Company  
Aero-Space Division  
P. O. Box 3707  
Seattle 24, Washington (1)
- Technical Information Center  
Chance Vought Corporation  
P. O. Box 1907  
Dallas 22, Texas (1)
- Dr. A. E. S. Green  
Chief of Physics  
General Dynamics-Corvair  
Mail Zone 6-172  
San Diego 12, California (1)
- M. A. Barry, G-25  
Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc.  
3000 Ocean Park Boulevard  
Santa Monica, California (1)
- Patchold Engine and Aircraft Company  
Guided Missiles Division  
Oyadanch, Long Island, New York (1)
- Dr. J. S. Isenberg  
Technical Director  
Flight Sciences Laboratory, Inc.  
1905 Sheridan Drive  
Buffalo 23, New York (1)
- Dr. M. Flite  
General Atomic  
P. O. Box 608  
San Diego 12, California (1)
- Mr. Lawrence L. Chason, Manager  
MSVD Library  
General Electric Company  
Missile and Space Vehicle Department  
3190 Chestnut Street  
Philadelphia 1, Pennsylvania (1)
- Dr. Nagamatsu  
General Electric Company  
Research Laboratory  
P. O. Box 1088  
Schenectady, New York (1)
- Dr. Donald R. White  
General Electric Company  
Research Laboratory  
P. O. Box 1088  
Schenectady, New York (1)
- Dr. Alpher  
General Electric Company  
Research Laboratory  
P. O. Box 1088  
Schenectady, New York (1)
- Dr. G. Weber  
General Mills, Inc.  
Electronics Group  
2303 E. Hennepin Avenue  
Minneapolis 13, Minnesota (1)
- Dr. Robert N. Bolyer, Jr.  
Research Laboratories  
General Motors Corporation  
12 Mile and Mount Road  
Warren, Michigan (1)
- Professor J. O. Hirschfelder  
Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory  
University of Wisconsin  
Post Office Box 2127  
Madison 5, Wisconsin (1)
- Aerofleet General Corporation  
Attn: Vera T. Greiner, Librarian  
6342 N. Irwindale Avenue  
Anaheim, California (1)
- Professor G. M. Patterson  
University of Toronto  
Institute of Aerophysics  
Toronto 4, Canada (1)
- Engineering Library  
Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corp.  
Bethpage, Long Island, New York (1)
- Mr. E. D. Marriot  
Hughes Aircraft Company  
Building 7-Mail Station 1000  
Culver City, California (1)
- Mr. Marshall P. Tulin  
Hydroaerotics, Inc.  
Pindell School Road  
Howard County  
Laurel, Maryland (1)
- Dr. H. Cohen  
IBM Research Center  
P. O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, New York (1)
- Mr. Ronald Smith  
Chief Scientist  
Lockheed Missiles and Space Company  
P. O. Box 500  
Junnyvale, California (1)
- Engineering Library  
The Marquardt Corporation  
15555 Saticoy  
Van Nuys, California (1)
- Mr. L. G. Cusper  
The Martin Company  
Department 2-10, Bell Box, J-1072  
Baltimore 14, Maryland (1)
- The Martin Company  
DNRD, Research Library, 4-52  
P. O. Box 179  
Denver 1, Colorado (1)
- Dr. J. M. Levy, Director  
Midwest Research Institute  
Physics and Mathematics Division  
72 Walker Boulevard  
Kansas City 10, Missouri (1)
- Midwest Research Institute  
Attn: Library  
72 Walker Boulevard  
Kansas City 10, Missouri (1)
- Dr. R. C. Fleeman  
National Physical Laboratory  
Teddington, Middlesex, England (1)
- Mr. R. Oriskany-Buckernan  
Head, University  
National Aeronautical Establishment  
National Research Council  
Ottawa 2, Canada (1)
- Dr. J. L. Ann Dwyer  
North American Aviation, Inc.  
Space and Information Systems Division  
12710 Lakewood Boulevard  
Downey, California (1)
- Northrop Corporation  
Radar Division  
Attn: Technical Information  
1901 E. Broadway  
Northridge, California (1)
- Raytheon Development Corporation  
A Division of Thompson Submarine  
Incorporated  
Attn: Technical Information Services  
8433 Fall Brook Avenue  
Campbell Park, California (1)
- Mr. E. Williams  
Rand Corporation  
1703 Main Street  
Santa Monica, California (1)
- Dr. R. A. Perry, Chief  
Aircraft Simulation Laboratory  
Applied Research & Development  
Republic Aviation Corporation  
Farmdale, New York (1)
- Republic Aviation Corporation  
Attn: Mr. F. J. Sinkovitch  
Space Systems and Research  
Farmingdale, Long Island, New York (1)
- Dr. L. F. Crabtree  
Ministry of Aviation  
Royal Aircraft Establishment  
Aerodynamics Department  
Farnborough, Hants, England (1)
- Dr. J. D. Shreve, Jr.  
Sundt Corporation  
Sandia Base  
Albuquerque, New Mexico (1)
- Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc.  
Whippany Laboratory  
Whippany, New Jersey  
Attn: Lloyd P. Wagner  
Classified Library, 20-1658 (1)
- General Dynamics Corporation  
Astronautics Division  
Box 1128  
San Diego 12, California  
Attn: Engineering Library (1)
- General Motors Corporation  
Defense Systems Division  
Box 1  
Santa Barbara, California  
Attn: Gail T. Fletcher (1)
- Hallidie Corporation  
117 East Colorado Boulevard  
Pasadena, California  
Attn: Dr. Saul Feldman (1)
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Lincoln Laboratory  
P. O. Box 73  
Lexington 73, Massachusetts  
Attn: Mary A. Greenlee, Librarian (1)
- Attn: Seymour Edlberg (1)
- Attn: Glen F. Pippert (1)
- Radio Corporation of America  
Missile and Surface Radar Division  
Morristown, New Jersey  
Attn: Gertrude Rucker  
Eng. Library 127-223 (1)
- Office of Technical Services  
Department of Commerce  
Washington 25, D. C. (1)
- Professor R. Donnelly  
Institute for the Study of Metals  
University of Chicago  
5408 Ellis Avenue  
Chicago 37, Illinois (1)
- Professor R. P. Harrington, Head  
Department of Aeronautical Engineering  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati 21, Ohio (1)
- Professor T. L. B. Iley  
Department of Physics  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, Florida (1)
- Dr. H. Kendall Reynolds  
Physics Department  
University of Houston  
3801 Cullen Boulevard  
Houston, Texas (1)
- Professor A. H. Teub  
The Digital Computer Laboratory  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois (1)
- Professor H. S. Stillwell, Chairman  
Department of Aeronautical and  
Astronautical Engineering  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois (1)
- Professor W. R. Crum  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland (1)
- Professor Paul  
Institute for Fluid Mechanics and  
Applied Mathematics  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland (1)
- Professor Burgers  
Institute for Fluid Mechanics and  
Applied Mathematics  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland (1)
- Professor G. E. Uhlenbeck  
The Rockefeller Institute  
New York 21, New York (1)
- Professor A. Kuehe  
Department of Aeronautical Engineering  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, Michigan (1)
- Professor W. C. Nelson  
Department of Aeronautical Engineering  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, Michigan (1)
- Professor Keene M. Siegel  
Radiation Laboratory  
The University of Michigan  
201 Catherine Street  
Ann Arbor, Michigan (1)
- Dr. M. A. Blomdi  
Physics Department  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (1)
- Professor T. M. Donahue  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (1)
- H. J. Thompson  
Defense Research Laboratory  
University of Texas  
P. O. Box 8028  
Austin, Texas (1)
- The Library  
Institute of Aerophysics  
University of Toronto  
Toronto 5, Ontario (1)
- Professor M. A. Cook, Director  
Experimental Research Group  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, Utah (1)
- A. R. Kuhlman, Director  
Research Laboratories for the  
Engineering Sciences  
Thomson Hall  
University of Virginia  
Charlottesville, Virginia (1)
- Department Librarian  
Department of Aeronautical Engineering  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington (1)
- United Aircraft Corporation  
Research Laboratories  
409 Main Street  
East Hartford 3, Connecticut (1)
- Dr. Donald E. Davenport, Director  
Poulter Laboratories  
Stanford Research Institute  
Menlo Park, California (1)
- Dr. Duwall  
Stanford Research Institute  
Poulter Laboratories  
Menlo Park, California (1)
- Scientific and Technical Information  
Facility  
Attn: NSA Representative  
P. O. Box 3700  
Bethesda, Maryland (1)
- Professor Walter Vail  
Department of Aeronautical Engineering  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California (1)
- Dr. Manfred A. Heckl  
Bell, Beranek and Newman, Inc.  
40 Moulton Street  
Cambridge 38, Massachusetts (1)
- Mithras, Incorporated  
180 Putnam Avenue  
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts  
Attn: Dr. E. S. Rubin (1)
- AVCO Research and Advanced  
Development Division  
201 Lowell Street  
Wilmington, Massachusetts  
Attn: Chief of Aerodynamics (1)
- Professor R. Courant  
Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
25 Javerty Place  
New York 3, New York (1)
- Dr. J. Menkes  
Institute for Defense Analysis  
1000 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.  
Washington 9, D. C. (1)
- Professor J. H. Clarke  
Division of Engineering  
Brown University  
Providence 12, Rhode Island (1)
- Professor Walter C. Vincenti  
Department of Aeronautics and  
Astronautics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California (1)
- Dr. J. J. Christen  
Armour Research Foundation  
Illinois Institute of Technology  
10 West 35th Street  
Chicago 18, Illinois (1)
- Professor R. Vagstad-Larson  
Aerodynamics Laboratory  
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn  
327 Atlantic Avenue  
Freeport, New York (1)
- Stanford Research Institute  
333 Ravenswood Avenue  
Menlo Park, California  
Attn: Myles R. Berg (1)
- Professor Tang Li  
Department of Aerospace Engineering  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati 21, Ohio (1)
- Professor D. Mott  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Northwestern University  
Technological Institute  
Evanston, Illinois (1)
- Yale University  
Hasson Laboratory  
Attn: Library  
600 Temple Street  
New Haven 10, Connecticut (1)
- Professor R. F. Pringle  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Cornell University  
Ithaca 19, Massachusetts (1)
- A. H. Newman  
Physics Research Corporation  
2500 Alhambra Boulevard  
Alhambra, California (1)
- Army Research Corporation  
Attn: Mr. J. D. Lindberg  
Technical Library (1)
- F. H. Mason  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
The Pentagon  
Washington 25, D. C. (1)
- Attn: Dr. F. McNeil (1)
- Dr. H. M. B. Thompson  
Griffith Air Force Base  
Homestead, New York  
Attn: Document Library 8001-2 (1)
- Aerospac Corporation  
P. O. Box 408  
Los Angeles 74, California  
Attn: J. J. Newman, Librarian (1)
- AVCO-Research Research Laboratory  
2380 River Road, Parkers  
Avenue 19, Massachusetts  
Attn: Barbara Spencer  
Technical Library (1)
- AVCO Corporation  
201 Lowell Street  
Wilmington, Massachusetts  
Attn: Theodore Ruppel, Chief  
Research Librarian (1)
- Battelle Memorial Institute  
30 King Avenue  
Columbus 1, Ohio  
Attn: B. H. Hirschfeld  
Margaret Ann Long (1)
- Mr. C. C. Budson  
Sandia Corporation  
Sandia Base  
Albuquerque, New Mexico (1)
- Mr. R. S. Claassen, Director  
Physical Research  
Sandia Corporation  
Sandia Base  
Albuquerque, New Mexico (1)
- Dr. B. G. Low  
Manager, Gas Dynamics  
Space Sciences Laboratory  
Space Technology Center  
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania (1)
- Dr. A. Ritter  
Therm Advanced Research  
Therm, Incorporated  
Ithaca, New York (1)
- Dr. R. Post  
Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Livermore, California (1)