

# **SECURITY**

---

# **MARKING**

**The classified or limited status of this report applies to each page, unless otherwise marked.**

**Separate page printouts MUST be marked accordingly.**

---

**THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 AND 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.**

**NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.**

474663



INITIAL-VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE MOTION  
OF A BODY IN AN UNDULATING SEA.


I. FIXED EQUILIBRIUM POSITION

by

John V. Wehausen

Supported by  
The Office of Naval Research

Report No. NA-65-4  
Contract Nonr-3656(17)  
October 1965



INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Berkeley, California

INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE MOTION  
OF A BODY IN AN UNDULATING SEA  
I. FIXED EQUILIBRIUM POSITION

by  
John V. Wehausen

Supported by the  
Office of Naval Research  
under  
Contract No. Nonr-3656(17)

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted  
for any purpose of the United States Government

Office of Research Services  
University of California  
Berkeley

October 1965

## ABSTRACT

By modification of a method first introduced by Volterra for the solution of certain initial-value problems for water waves, integro-differential equations are derived for the motion of a body floating in a wavy sea and oscillating about an equilibrium position, given its initial position and velocity and the initial configuration and velocity of the fluid. In addition, it is shown how Cummins' decomposition of the hydrodynamic force and moment resulting from the 'forced motion' fits naturally into the present treatment and how Haskind's relations between the force and moment caused by diffracted waves and by forced waves can be extended to the situation considered here.

## I. INTRODUCTION

We shall consider a body moving on or beneath the free surface of a heavy inviscid fluid. The initial position and velocity of both fluid and body are assumed to be known, and we further allow the possible presence of 'known waves' which may diffract upon the body and also cause it to move if its motion is not constrained. The motion of the fluid at the initial instant will be assumed to be irrotational. Hence it remains so. The body is supposed to have zero average velocity in both translation and rotation and its motions are assumed small enough so that the boundary conditions to be satisfied on the body can be linearized and satisfied in its equilibrium position. Concomitant with this, we assume that the boundary conditions on the free surface can also be linearized. We shall be concerned with various aspects of the motion after the initial instant.

The method of analysis which is used was introduced by Volterra (1934), has been carried further by Finkelstein (1957), and is expounded in both Stoker's Water waves (1957, pp. 187-196) and in Wehausen and Laitone's Gravity waves (1960, pp. 603-607). The novelty here consists in certain decompositions of the velocity potential which allow one to derive Cummin's (1962) results for the initial-value problem with no waves present by what seems to the author to be a more direct approach and at the same time to find analogues for unsteady motion of the Haskind relations (1957; see also Newman, 1962) between the force and moment acting on the body associated with diffracted waves and with forced waves.

In the last section the various forces and moments acting on the body are put together in the equations of motion for the (small) translational and rotational motions of the body. These take the form of six coupled integro-differential equations. Certain coefficients and kernels occurring in these equations require prior solution of integral equations in which the shape of the body but not its motion is involved. This is one of the

advantages of linearization. The solution of the equations themselves is not considered here. We mention, however, that Ursell (1964) has treated the initial-value problem for a half-immersed circular cylinder in still water, but by a quite different method than that used here. Earlier, Sretenskii (1937) had derived an integro-differential equation for a special case of the present problem and solved it numerically for a particular body.

The integral equations mentioned above are discussed in Appendix III, where it is shown that they can be reduced to Fredholm integral equations. No attempt is made to establish the existence of a solution. Uniqueness of solution follows easily from a slight extension of Volterra's original treatment of this problem.

Some of the results, for example, the Cummins decomposition, can be extended to allow the body to have a constant translational velocity.

## II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

Let  $Oxyz$  be an inertial right-handed coordinate system with  $Oy$  directed oppositely to gravity and with  $Oxz$  lying in the plane of the undisturbed free surface. Let  $\hat{O}\hat{x}\hat{y}\hat{z}$  be a system fixed in the body and coinciding with  $Oxyz$  when the body is at rest in its equilibrium position. Then, if excursions of the body from its equilibrium position are 'small', and if one discards terms higher than first order in the excursions, the two systems are related by the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z) &= (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) + (x_1(t), y_1(t), z_1(t)) + (\alpha(t), \beta(t), \gamma(t)) \times (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \\ &= (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) + (x_1, y_1, z_1) + (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \times (x, y, z) \end{aligned} \quad (1a)$$

which we may also write in the following form:

$$\underline{r} = \underline{\hat{r}} + \sum_{k=1}^3 [\alpha_k(t) \underline{e}_k + \alpha_{k+3}(t) \underline{e}_k \times \underline{r}], \quad (1b)$$

where  $\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2, \underline{e}_3$ , are the unit vectors in the directions  $Ox, Oy, Oz$ , respectively, and

$$\alpha_1 = x_1, \alpha_2 = y_1, \alpha_3 = z_1, \alpha_4 = \alpha, \alpha_5 = \beta, \alpha_6 = \gamma.$$

Here  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  describes the translational displacements and  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  the angular ones. Henceforth we shall not need the coordinate system  $\hat{O}\hat{x}\hat{y}\hat{z}$ .

The motion of the fluid may be described by means of a velocity potential  $\phi(x, y, z, t)$ . The linearized boundary conditions which it must satisfy are the following:

$$\phi_{tt}(x, 0, z, t) + g\phi_y = 0, \quad (2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n|_S &= V_n(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 [\dot{\alpha}_k(t) \underline{n} \cdot \underline{e}_k + \dot{\alpha}_{k+3}(t) (\underline{r} \times \underline{n}) \cdot \underline{e}_k] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^6 \dot{\alpha}_k(t) n_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2b)$$

$$\phi_n|_B = 0, \quad (2c)$$

where  $S$  is the wetted surface of the body in its equilibrium position and  $B$  the bottom. We shall always take the normal vector  $\underline{n}$  to be pointing out of the fluid. The components  $n_4, n_5, n_6$  are defined by

$$n_k = (\underline{r} \times \underline{n}) \cdot \underline{e}_{k-3}, \quad k = 4, 5, 6.$$

If the fluid is infinitely deep, the last condition is replaced by

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} \phi_y = 0. \quad (2d)$$

In addition, we require that  $\phi, \phi_t, \text{grad } \phi$  be bounded in the region occupied by fluid.

We shall further suppose that  $\phi(x, y, z, 0), Y(x, z, 0),$  and  $Y_t(x, z, 0)$  are known, where  $Y(x, z, t),$  the free surface elevation, is given in linearized theory by

$$Y(x, z, t) = -\frac{1}{g} \phi_t(x, 0, z, t). \quad (3)$$

### III. VOLTERRA'S METHOD

Let  $G(x, y, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta; t) = G(P; Q; t)$  be a Green function defined in  $y \leq 0, \eta \leq 0$  which, in addition to being of the form

$$G = r^{-1} + H(P; Q; t), \quad r = [(x-\xi)^2 + (y-\eta)^2 + (z-\zeta)^2]^{1/2}, \quad (4)$$

where  $H$  is harmonic in the region of definition, satisfies the following conditions:

$$G_{tt}(P; \xi, 0, \zeta; t) + gG_\eta = 0,$$

$$G_\nu|_{Q \in B} = 0,$$

$$G(P; \xi, 0, \zeta; 0) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$G(P; Q; -t) = G(P; Q; t),$$

$$G = O(R^{-2}), \quad G_R = O(R^{-3}) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $R = [(x-\xi)^2 + (z-\zeta)^2]^{1/2}$ , and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} = n_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + n_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + n_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}.$$

Such functions can be constructed for infinitely deep fluid and for the case of a horizontal bottom at  $y = -h$  when the fluid is not bounded in any horizontal direction [see, e.g., Wehausen and Laitone (1960), p. 604]. The method of images allows easy extension to certain cases with vertical walls. In all cases

$$G(P; Q; t) = G(Q; P; t). \quad (6)$$

Volterra's method for the problem at hand starts out by

applying Green's Theorem to the functions  $\phi_t(x, y, z, \tau)$  and  $G(P; Q; t - \tau)$  in the region bounded by the free surface  $F$ , the body surface  $S$ , the bottom  $B$ , and a large vertical cylinder  $\Sigma_R$  of radius  $R$ . One then makes use of the boundary conditions satisfied by  $\phi$  and  $G$ , lets  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , and finally integrates with respect to  $\tau$  from 0 to  $t$ . Since the details of the manipulations are easily accessible [e.g., Stoker (1957), pp. 192-196, or Wehausen and Laitone (1960), pp. 604-606], we give here only the result. Define the operator  $\mathcal{L}\{\phi\}$  by

$$\mathcal{L}\{\phi\} \equiv 4\pi\phi(P, t) + \iint_S \phi(Q, t) G_{,v}(P; Q; 0) dS(Q) + \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \phi(Q, \tau) G_{,vt}(P; Q; t - \tau) dS(Q). \quad (7)$$

When we have need to display its variables, we shall write  $\mathcal{L}\{\phi\}(P, t)$ . Volterra's method leads to the following equation which must be satisfied by  $\phi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{\phi\} = & 4\pi\phi(P, 0) + \iint_S \phi(Q, 0) G_{,v}(P; Q; t) dS \\ & + \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \dot{v}_v(Q, \tau) G(P; Q; t - \tau) dS \\ & - \iint_F [Y_t(\xi, \zeta, 0) G(P; \xi, 0, \zeta; t) + YG_{,tt}] d\xi d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is easy to verify that  $\phi_t$  must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{\phi_t\} = & \iint_S \dot{v}_v(Q, t) G(P; Q; 0) + \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \dot{v}_v(Q, \tau) G_{,t}(P; Q; t - \tau) dS \\ & - \iint_F [Y_t(\xi, \zeta, 0) G_{,t}(P; \xi, 0, \zeta; t) + YG_{,tt}] d\xi d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

These, as well as equations which will appear later, can be made to yield integral equations for a function defined only on  $S$  by letting  $P$  converge to a point of the surface  $S$ . If we also call this point  $P$ , then the equations above are modified only by having  $4\pi$  replaced by  $2\pi$ . The solutions of these integral equations and those to appear later are unique

up to a constant; that is, the only bounded solution of  $\mathcal{L}\{\phi\} = 0$  is  $\phi = \text{const}$ . This can be proved by a slight modification of Volterra's original analysis [see Wehausen and Laitone (1960), p. 606].

We make a preliminary decomposition of  $\phi$  as follows:

$$\phi = \phi_F + \phi_W, \quad (10)$$

where  $\phi_F$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{\phi_F\} &= \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \dot{V}_v(Q, \tau) G(P; Q; t-\tau) dS \\ &= \iint_S V_v(Q, t) G(P; Q; 0) dS - \iint_S V_v(Q, 0) G(P; Q; t) dS \\ &\quad + \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S V_v(Q, \tau) G_t(P; Q; t-\tau) dS \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and  $\phi_W$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{\phi_W\} &= 4\pi\phi(P, 0) + \iint_S \phi(Q, 0) G_v(P; Q; t) dS \\ &\quad - \iint_F [Y_t(\xi, \zeta, 0) G(P; \xi, 0, \zeta; t) + YG_t] d\xi d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$\phi_F$ , the velocity potential for the 'forced motion', then satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\phi_F(P, 0) = \phi_{F,t}(P, 0) = 0, \quad \phi_{F,n}(P, t)|_S = V_n \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (13)$$

The boundary conditions satisfied by  $\phi_W$  are

$$\phi_W(P, 0) = \phi(P, 0), \quad \phi_{W,t}(P, 0) = \phi_t(P, 0), \quad \phi_{W,n}(P, t)|_S = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (14)$$

$\phi_W$  evidently describes the motion which would take place with the given initial conditions and a fixed body.

#### IV. CUMMINS' DECOMPOSITION

Define the function  $\phi_i$  to be the solution of

$$\mathcal{L}\{\phi_i\} = \iint_S n_i(Q) G(P; Q; t) dS, \quad i=1, \dots, b. \quad (15)$$

The functions  $\phi_i$  are evidently special cases of  $\phi_F$  where one velocity or angular-velocity component undergoes a unit jump while the others remain zero. Hence  $\phi_i$  satisfies the boundary condition

$$\phi_{i,n}|_S = n_i \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (16)$$

Because of the discontinuity in  $V_n$  at  $t=0$ , we may expect that  $\phi_i(P; +0) \neq 0$ . This point and the equations for  $\phi_i(P; +0)$  and  $\phi_{i,t}(P; +0)$  are discussed in Appendix I. That such a motion is incompatible with the assumed linearized boundary conditions is irrelevant, for these are auxiliary functions to be used only for the purpose described below and not to describe a real motion.

We now assert that  $\phi_F$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$\phi_F(P; t) = \sum_{i=1}^b \int_0^t \ddot{\alpha}_i(\tau) \phi_i(P; t-\tau) d\tau. \quad (17)$$

In fact, by direct substitution followed by a change of variables in the third integral in the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$ , one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^b \int_0^t \ddot{\alpha}_i(\tau) \phi_i(P; t-\tau) d\tau\right\} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^b \int_0^t \ddot{\alpha}_i(\tau) \mathcal{L}\{\phi_i\}(P; t-\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From the equation satisfied by  $\phi_i$  this equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^b \int_0^t \ddot{\alpha}_i(\tau) d\tau \iint_S n_i(Q) G(P; Q; t-\tau) dS \\ = \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \dot{V}_n(Q; \tau) G(P; Q; t-\tau) dS. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (17) satisfies the integral equation (11). Since the solution of this integral equation is unique up to an additive constant, which is of no importance here, the assertion is correct. This is essentially Cummins' decomposition (1962), as we shall see later on.

The force and moment acting upon the body which are a result of that part of the fluid motion described by  $\phi_F$  are given in linearized theory by

$$F_i(t) = -\rho \iint_S \phi_{F,t} (P,t) n_i (P) dS(P), \quad i=1, \dots, 6, \quad (18)$$

where the force components are  $(F_1, F_2, F_3)$ , the moment components are  $(F_4, F_5, F_6)$ , and the  $n_i$  are as before. The decomposition above yields immediately

$$F_i(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^6 \left[ \ddot{\alpha}_k(t) \rho \iint_S \phi_k(P,0) n_i dS + \int_0^t d\tau \ddot{\alpha}_k(\tau) \rho \iint_S \phi_{k,t}(P,t-\tau) n_i dS \right]. \quad (19)$$

Let us define

$$\mu_{ik} = \iint_S \phi_k(P,0) n_i dS, \quad L_{ik}(t) = \rho \iint_S \phi_{k,t}(P,t) n_i dS. \quad (20)$$

Then the equation for  $F_i$  may be written

$$F_i(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^6 \left[ \mu_{ik} \ddot{\alpha}_k(t) + \int_0^t L_{ik}(t-\tau) \ddot{\alpha}_k(\tau) d\tau \right]. \quad (21)$$

The constants  $\mu_{ik}$ , which depend only upon the shape of the surface  $S$ , are the "added masses", following Cummins' use of this term.

Cummins, in making his decomposition, introduced two functions, which we shall call  $\psi_k(P)$  and  $\chi_k(P;t)$ , instead of the one function  $\phi_k$ . It is not difficult to show that these functions may be identified with  $\phi_k(P,0)$  and  $\phi_{k,t}(P,t)$ , respectively. For  $\phi_k(P,0)$  it is only necessary to show that

$$\phi_{k,n} \Big|_S = n_k \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_k(x,0,z;0) = 0. \quad (22)$$

The former is already satisfied and we need show only the latter.

Consider the equation satisfied by  $\phi_k(P; t_0)$ :

$$4\pi \phi_k(P; t_0) + \iint_S \phi_k(Q; t_0) G_{\nu} (P; Q; 0) dS \\ = \iint_S n_{\nu}(Q) G (P; Q; 0) dS \quad (23)$$

Because of the symmetry of  $G$  in  $P$  and  $Q$  it follows from the third boundary condition satisfied by  $G$  that

$$G(x, 0, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta; 0) = 0 \quad (24)$$

for all  $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ . But then also

$$G_{\xi}(x, 0, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta; 0) = G_{\eta} = G_{\zeta} = 0 \quad (25)$$

and hence

$$G_{\nu}(x, 0, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta; 0) = n_1 G_{\xi} + n_2 G_{\eta} + n_3 G_{\zeta} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Thus the equation for  $\phi_k(P; t_0)$  reduces for  $P = (x, 0, z)$  to

$$\phi_k(x, 0, z; t_0) = 0.$$

Consider next  $\phi_{kt}(P; t)$ . It satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{L}\{\phi_{kt}\} = \iint_S n_k(Q) G_t(P, Q; t) dS - \iint_S \phi_k(Q; t_0) G_{\nu t}(P, Q; t) dS. \quad (27)$$

The boundary conditions which Cummins imposes on  $\chi_k$  are the following:

$$\chi_{ktt}(x, 0, z, t) + g\chi_{ky} = 0,$$

$$\chi_{kt}(x, 0, z, 0) + g\chi_{ky}(x, 0, z) = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\chi_{kn}|_S = 0,$$

$$\chi_k(P; 0) = 0.$$

It is evident that the first two are satisfied with  $\phi_{kt}(P, t)$  as  $\chi_k$  and  $\phi_k(P, +0)$  as  $\psi_k$  because  $\phi_k$  satisfies the free-surface condition. The third condition follows from  $\phi_{knl}|_S = n_k$  since  $n_k$  is independent of  $t$ . There remains the last one. If we set  $t=0$  in the equation for  $\phi_{kt}$ , it reduces to

$$4\pi \phi_{kt}(P, +0) + \iint_S \phi_{kt}(Q, +0) G_z(P; Q; 0) dS = 0, \quad (29)$$

because  $G_z(P; Q; 0) = 0$ .  $\phi_{kt}(P, +0) = 0$  is obviously a solution. That this is the only solution is shown in Appendix II. Thus  $\phi_{kt}$  satisfies all the conditions imposed by Cummins upon  $\chi_k$ .

Cummins' formulas for  $\phi_F$  and  $F_L$  differ from those given above in only inessential ways. Instead of starting with initial data at  $t=0$ , he starts from a state of rest at  $t=-\infty$ . Hence his integrals extend from  $-\infty$  to  $t$  instead of from 0 to  $t$ . In addition, he has integrated once by parts. The analogous formulæ in the present setting are

$$\phi_F(P, t) = \sum_{k=1}^6 \dot{\alpha}_k(t) \phi_k(P, +0) - \dot{\alpha}_k(0) \phi_k(P, t) + \int_0^t \dot{\alpha}_k(\tau) \phi_{kt}(P, t-\tau) d\tau, \quad (30)$$

$$F_i(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^6 \left[ \mu_{ik} \ddot{\alpha}_k(t) - L_{ik}(t) \dot{\alpha}_k(0) + \int_0^t \dot{L}_{ik}(t-\tau) \dot{\alpha}_k(\tau) d\tau \right].$$

For a steady oscillatory motion it is well known that  $F_i(t)$  can be expressed in the form

$$F_i(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^6 \left[ \mu_{ik}(\sigma) \ddot{\alpha}_k(t) + \lambda_{ik}(\sigma) \dot{\alpha}_k(t) \right], \quad (31)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the frequency of oscillation, and where, as can be easily proved,  $\mu_{ik} = \mu_{ki}$ ,  $\lambda_{ik} = \lambda_{ki}$ . The same argument shows that  $\mu_{ik}$  as defined above is also symmetric. This argument is not directly applicable to  $L_{ik}(t)$  because of the time derivatives in the free-surface boundary condition.

However, it is still possible to derive the symmetry of  $L_{ik}$  (and  $\mu_{ik}$ ) by a modification of this argument. Consider again the region of fluid bounded by  $S$ ,  $F$ ,  $B$  and  $\Sigma_R$ . If we make use of the fact that  $\phi_{kn} = 0$  on  $B$  and that  $\phi_k = O(R^{-2})$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , as follows from equation (15), then from Green's Theorem applied to the region under consideration we have, with the space variables suppressed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \iint_S [\phi_k(\tau) \phi_{kn}(t-\tau) - \phi_{kn}(\tau) \phi_k(t-\tau)] dS \\
 &+ \iint_F [\phi_k(\tau) \phi_{ky}(t-\tau) - \phi_{ky}(\tau) \phi_k(t-\tau)] dS \\
 &= \iint_S [\phi_k(\tau) n_i - \phi_i(t-\tau) n_k] dS \\
 &+ \frac{1}{g} \iint_F \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} [\phi_k(\tau) \phi_{it}(t-\tau) + \phi_{kt}(\tau) \phi_i(t-\tau)] dS
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In writing the second equation use has been made of the boundary conditions on  $S$  and on the free surface. If we now integrate with respect to  $\tau$  from 0 to  $t$  and recall that  $\phi(x, 0, z, 0) = 0$  and  $\phi_{kt}(x, y, z, 0) = 0$ , we find

$$0 = \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S [\phi_k(\tau) n_i - \phi_i(t-\tau) n_k] dS.$$

In the second term we make the change of variables  $\tau' = t - \tau$ .

This gives

$$\int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \phi_k(\tau) n_i dS = \int_0^t d\tau' \iint_S \phi_i(\tau') n_k dS. \tag{33}$$

After differentiating and multiplying by  $\rho^S$ , we find

$$\rho \iint_S \phi_k(t) n_i dS = \rho \iint_S \phi_i(t) n_k dS. \tag{34}$$

With  $t = 0$ , this asserts that

$$\mu_{ik} = \mu_{ki}. \tag{35}$$

Taking another derivative with respect to  $t$  , we have

$$L_{it}(t) = L_{ki}(t). \quad (36)$$

### V. THE HASKIND RELATIONS

Let us next consider  $\phi_w(P, t)$ . We shall suppose that  $\phi_w$  is the sum of two velocity potentials: One,  $\phi_I$ , will be taken as known and may be thought of as describing 'incoming waves'. The other,  $\phi_D$ , is not known and describes the 'diffracted waves'. Both  $\phi_I$  and  $\phi_D$  are assumed to be bounded. Since  $\phi_{wn}|_S = 0$ ,  $\phi_D$  must satisfy the boundary condition

$$\phi_{Dn}|_S = -\phi_{In}|_S. \quad (37)$$

Both must satisfy

$$\phi_{Dn}|_B = \phi_{In}|_B = 0. \quad (38)$$

$\phi_D$  is, of course, determined by the same integral equation which determines  $\phi_w$ .

The essence of the Haskind relations is that in the computations of the force and moment one may avoid solving for the diffracted wave provided one already knows the forced-wave potential. This result was established by Haskind (1957) for steady periodic motion. We derive here a similar result by applying Volterra's ideas.

We shall again use the facts that  $\phi_{in}|_S = n_i, i=1, \dots, 6$ ,  $\phi_{in}|_B = 0$ , and  $\phi_i = O(R^{-2})$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider now the volume of fluid bounded by  $S$ ,  $F$ ,  $B$  and  $\Sigma_R$ , where these have the same significance as earlier. Since both  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{Dt}$  are harmonic in this volume, Green's Theorem gives the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \iint_{S+F+B+\Sigma_R} [\phi_{Dt}(P, \tau) \phi_{in}(P, t-\tau) - \phi_{Dtn} \phi_i] dS \\ &= \iint_S \phi_{Dt}(P, \tau) n_i dS - \iint_S \phi_{Dtn}(P, \tau) \phi_i(P, t-\tau) dS \\ &\quad + \iint_F [\phi_{Dt}(P, \tau) \phi_{iy}(P, t-\tau) - \phi_{Dty} \phi_i] dS \\ &\quad + \iint_{\Sigma_R} [\phi_{Dt}(P, \tau) \phi_{iR}(P, t-\tau) - \phi_{DtR} \phi_i] dS. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , the integral over  $\Sigma_R$  vanishes because of the conditions satisfied by  $\phi_D$  and  $\phi_L$ . Next we make use of the free-surface condition in the integral over  $F$ . This integral can be recast as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{g} \iint_F [\phi_{Dt} (P, \tau) \phi_{Ltt} (P, t-\tau) - \phi_{Dttt} \phi_L] d\xi d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{1}{g} \iint_F \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} [\phi_{Dt} (P, \tau) \phi_{Lt} (P, t-\tau) + \phi_{Dtt} \phi_L] d\xi d\zeta$$

Integrate the equation (39) from 0 to  $t$  with respect to  $\tau$  :

$$-\int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \phi_{Dt} (P, \tau) n_L dS = -\int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \phi_{Dtn} (P, \tau) \phi_L (P, t-\tau) dS$$

$$+ \frac{1}{g} \iint_F [\phi_{Dt} (P, t) \phi_{Lt} (P, +0) + \phi_{Dtt} (P, t) \phi_L (P, +0)] d\xi d\zeta$$

$$- \frac{1}{g} \iint_F [\phi_{Dt} (P, 0) \phi_{Lt} (P, t) + \phi_{Dtt} (P, 0) \phi_L (P, t)] d\xi d\zeta. \quad (40)$$

Since, as shown earlier,  $\phi_{Lt} (P, +0) = 0$  for all  $P$  and  $\phi_L (P, +0) = 0$  for  $P \in F$ , the second term on the right vanishes. Having established this, we now differentiate (40) with respect to  $t$  :

$$-\iint_S \phi_{Dt} (P, t) n_L dS = -\iint_S \phi_{Dtn} (P, t) \phi_L (P, +0) dS$$

$$- \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \phi_{Dtn} (P, \tau) \phi_{Lt} (P, t-\tau) dS$$

$$- \frac{1}{g} \iint_F [\phi_{Dt} (P, 0) \phi_{Ltt} (P, t) + \phi_{Dtt} (P, 0) \phi_{Lt} (P, t)] d\xi d\zeta. \quad (41)$$

In the integrals over  $S$  we may replace  $\phi_{Dtn}$  by the known function  $-\phi_{Ltn}$ . In the integral over  $F$ ,

$$\phi_{Dt} (P, 0) = \phi_t (P, 0) - \phi_{It} (P, 0), \quad \phi_{Dtt} (P, 0) = \phi_{tt} (P, 0) - \phi_{Itt} (P, 0) \quad (42)$$

so that these may be considered as known functions.

The expression for that part of the force and moment associated with the incoming and diffracted wave may now be

put in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{wi}(t) &= -\rho \iint_S \phi_{wt}(P,t) n_i(P) dS & (43) \\
 &= -\rho \iint_S [\phi_{it}(P,t) \phi_{in}(P,0) - \phi_{itn}(P,t) \phi_i(P,0)] dS \\
 &\quad + \rho \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S \phi_{itn}(P,\tau) \phi_{it}(P,t-\tau) dS \\
 &\quad - \frac{\rho}{g} \iint_F [\phi_{Dt}(P,0) \phi_{itt}(P,t) + \phi_{Dtt}(P,0) \phi_{it}(P,t)] dS, \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad i=1, \dots, 6.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the analogue of the Haskind relations.

## VI. THE HYDROSTATIC RESTORING FORCE AND MOMENT

The relation of this part of the force and moment with the geometry of the body is well known. The formulas are reproduced for convenience and completeness. We introduce the following designations:

- $V$  = volume bounded by  $S$  and the waterplane  
 $(x_B, y_B, z_B)$  = center of buoyancy of  $V$ ,  
 $W$  = waterplane area bounded by  $S$ ,  
 $(x_c, y_c, z_c)$  = centroid of  $W$ ,  
 $WD_{xx}^2$  = moment of inertia of  $W$  about  $(y, z)$ -plane  
 $WD_{zz}^2$  = moment of inertia of  $W$  about  $(x, y)$ -plane  
 $WD_{xz}^2$  = product of inertia of  $W$  about  $(x, y)$ - and  $(y, z)$ -planes

$$(c_{ik}) = -\rho g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -W & 0 & Wz_c & 0 & -Wx_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Wz_c & 0 & -[WD_{zz}^2 + Vy_B] & 0 & WD_{xz}^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -Wx_c & 0 & WD_{xz}^2 & 0 & -[WD_{xx}^2 + Vy_B] \end{pmatrix} \quad (44)$$

The components of the hydrostatic restoring force and moment can now be written as follows

$$F_{Hsi} = - \sum_{k=1}^6 c_{ik} \alpha_k(t) + \rho g V \delta_{i2} - \rho g V z_B \delta_{i4} + \rho g V x_B \delta_{i6}, \quad (45)$$

where the symbol  $\delta_{ik}$  has its usual significance.

VII. THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Let  $m$  be the mass of the body and let  $I_x, I_y, I_z, I_{xy}, I_{yz}, I_{zx}$  be its moments and products of inertia according to the usual definitions. Define the matrix  $(m_{ij})$  as follows:

$$(m_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_x & -I_{xy} & I_{xz} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{xy} & I_y & -I_{yz} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{xz} & I_{yz} & I_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

The linearized equations of motion may be written in the form

$$m_{ik} \ddot{\alpha}_k(t) = F_i + F_{wi} + F_{HSi}, \quad i=1, \dots, 6. \quad (47)$$

The usual conditions of hydrostatic equilibrium,

$$m = \rho g V, \quad x_B = z_B = 0, \quad (48)$$

are obtained when  $\alpha_k = 0$  and no surface waves are present. Making use of this and introducing the form derived earlier for  $F_i$  and  $F_{HSi}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{ik} + \mu_{ik}) \ddot{\alpha}_k(t) + c_{ik} \dot{\alpha}_k(t) + \int_0^t L_{ik}(t-\tau) \ddot{\alpha}_k(\tau) d\tau \\ = F_{wi}(t), \quad i=1, \dots, 6. \end{aligned}$$

This set of integro-differential equations for  $\alpha_k(t)$ , with initial conditions  $\alpha_k(0)$  and  $\dot{\alpha}_k(0)$ , together with the integral equations for  $\phi_k(P; t)$ , the initial motion of the fluid,  $\phi(P; 0)$  and  $\phi_t(P; 0)$ , and the given incoming-wave potential  $\phi_I(P; t)$ , determine uniquely the behavior of both body and fluid at later instants of time. Note that

the coefficients  $\mu_{ik}$ ,  $C_{ik}$  and the kernel  $L_{ik}$  depend only upon geometrical properties of the body and not upon the motion itself. This is, of course, the great virtue of Cummins' decomposition. No attempt at further analysis of (49) will be made here.

## APPENDIX I

Write the equation for  $\phi_F$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{\phi_F\} = & \iint_S [V_\nu(Q,t) - V_\nu(Q,0)] G(P;Q;0) dS \\ & - \iint_S V_\nu(Q,0) [G(P;Q;t) - G(P;Q;0)] dS \\ & + \int_0^t d\tau \iint_S V_\nu(Q,\tau) G_\tau(P;Q;t-\tau) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here  $G$  is a continuous function of  $t$ . Suppose, however, that  $V_\nu$  has a jump discontinuity at  $t=0$ . If we now let  $t \rightarrow +0$ , the equation for  $\phi_F$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\phi_F(P,+0) + \iint_S \phi_F(Q,+0) G_\nu(P;Q;0) dS \\ = \iint_S [V_\nu(Q,+0) - V_\nu(Q,0)] G(P;Q;0) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

If  $V_\nu$  were continuous at  $t=0$ , the right-hand side would vanish and the unique solution of the equation, as shown in Appendix II, is  $\phi_F(P,+0)=0$ . The analogous equation for  $\phi_{Ft}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\phi_{Ft}(P,+0) + \iint_S \phi_{Ft}(Q,+0) G_\nu(P;Q;0) dS \\ = \iint_S \dot{V}_\nu(Q,+0) G(P;Q;0) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Both are Fredholm integral equations of a type extensively studied in potential theory.

In the special case of the functions  $\phi_i$  these equations become

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\phi_i(P,+0) + \iint_S \phi_i(Q,+0) G_\nu(P;Q;0) dS \\ = \iint_S n_i(Q) G(P;Q;0) dS \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and

$$4\pi\phi_{it}(P,+0) + \iint_S \phi_{it}(Q,+0) G_\nu(P;Q;0) dS = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

As is shown in Appendix II, this has the unique solution

$$\phi_{it}(P,+0) = 0.$$

APPENDIX II

In order to see that

$$\phi_{kt}(P; t_0) = 0 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

is the unique solution of the equation (A.5) for  $\phi_{kt}(P; t_0)$ , consider any arbitrary solution and define

$$U(P) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_S \phi_{kt}(Q; t_0) G_2(P; Q; 0) dS.$$

The function  $U$  is defined both inside and outside  $S$  and is harmonic. It follows from equation (26) above that

$$U(x, 0, z) = 0.$$

Let  $P$  converge to a point of  $S$  from the inside. Then from a well-known property of such integrals

$$\lim_{P_{int} \rightarrow P \in S} U(P_{int}) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_{kt}(P, t_0) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_S \phi_{kt}(Q, 0) G_2(P; Q; 0) dS. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Next, in the equation (A.5) satisfied by  $\phi_{kt}(P, t_0)$  for  $P$  exterior to  $S$  let  $P$  converge to a point of  $S$  from the outside. This yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \phi_{kt}(P, t_0) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_S \phi_{kt}(Q, 0) G_2(P; Q; 0) dS = 0. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Hence

$$\lim_{P_{int} \rightarrow P \in S} U(P_{int}) \equiv U_{int}(P) = 0. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

It now follows that  $U \equiv 0$  in the interior region bounded by  $S$  and  $F$  (or by  $S$  alone if the body is completely submerged); one may, for example, derive this from Green's Theorem:

$$\iiint_V (\text{grad } U)^2 dV = \iint_{S+F} U U_n dS. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Since  $U \equiv 0$  inside  $S$ , then also  $U_n|_S = 0$  inside  $S$ . But then, since  $\phi_{kt}(P, t_0)$  is continuous,  $U_n$  is continuous across  $S$  [see, e.g., Kellogg (1929), p. 170, Th. X]. Hence  $U_n|_S = 0$  outside  $S$ . Since

$G_{\nu}|_{Q \in B} = 0$ , then also  $G_n|_{P \in B} = 0$  for all  $Q$ . From this follows

$$G_{n\xi} = G_{n\eta} = G_{n\xi} = 0 \quad \text{for } P \in B \quad (\text{A.13})$$

and hence

$$G_{n\nu} = 0 \quad \text{for } P \in B, Q \in S. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

We thus deduce that

$$U_n|_B = 0. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Further,

$$U = O(R^{-2}) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

from the assumed behavior of  $G$ . We may now once again apply the Green Theorem above to conclude that  $U \equiv 0$  outside  $S$ , i.e., in the region of fluid. But now it follows from the equation for  $\phi_{kt}(P, t_0)$  that  $\phi_{kt}(P, t_0) \equiv 0$ , as we wished to show.

APPENDIX III

It has been mentioned earlier that uniqueness of solution of the various integral equations follows from a slight modification of Volterra's original argument. The question of existence of solution can be reduced to the same question for a Fredholm integral equation of the second kind.

In order to see this, we introduce the following Laplace transforms:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}(P, \Delta) &= \Delta \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Delta t} \phi(P, t) dt & (A.17) \\ &= \phi(P, 0) + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Delta t} \phi_t(P, t) dt \\ &= \phi(P, 0) + \frac{1}{\Delta} \phi_t(P, 0) + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Delta t} \phi_{tt}(P, t) dt,\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{V}_v(P, \Delta) = \Delta \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Delta t} v_v(P, t) dt, \quad (A.18)$$

$$\tilde{G}(P; Q, \Delta) = \Delta \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\Delta t} G(P; Q; t) dt. \quad (A.19)$$

It is straightforward to confirm that the integral equations for  $\phi$  and  $\phi_k$  take the following forms after transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}4\pi \tilde{\Phi}(P, \Delta) + \iint_S \tilde{\Phi}(Q, \Delta) \tilde{G}_v(P; Q; \Delta) dS & \quad (A.20) \\ &= 4\pi \phi(P, 0) + \iint_S \phi(Q, 0) \tilde{G}_v(P; Q; \Delta) dS \\ &+ \iint_S [\tilde{V}_v(Q, \Delta) - v_v(Q, 0)] \tilde{G}(P; Q; \Delta) dS \\ &- \iint_F [Y_t(\xi, \zeta, 0) + \Delta Y(\xi, \zeta, 0)] \tilde{G}(P; \xi, 0, \zeta; \Delta) d\xi d\zeta,\end{aligned}$$

$$4\pi \tilde{\Phi}_k(P, \Delta) + \iint_S \tilde{\Phi}_k(Q, \Delta) \tilde{G}_v(P; Q; \Delta) dS = \iint_S n_v(Q) G(P; Q; \Delta) dS. \quad (A.21)$$

The integral equations for the Laplace transforms of the other potential functions which have been introduced can be deduced immediately from the first one above.

The function  $\tilde{G}(P; Q; \Delta)$  has the same structure as  $G(P; Q; t)$ ,  
i.e.,

$$\tilde{G}(P; Q; \Delta) = \frac{1}{r} + \tilde{H}(P; Q; \Delta) \quad (\text{A.22})$$

where  $\tilde{H}$  is harmonic in the region  $\gamma > 0$ . Hence, if one lets  $P$  converge to a point of the surface  $S$ , the  $4\pi$ 's above are replaced by  $2\pi$ 's and the equations appear to be typical of those occurring in Neumann problems in potential theory. This is not the case, however, for the surface  $S$  is not necessarily closed and the condition satisfied by  $\tilde{G}$  on the free surface,

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{G}(x, y, z; \xi, 0, \xi; \Delta) + g \tilde{G}_\eta = 0 \quad (\text{A.23})$$

does not allow reflection of the lower half-space into the upper one. Hence, one must deal directly with the integral equations (A.20) or (A.21). In the case of steady harmonic oscillation, in which the sign of the first term in (A.23) is changed, Fritz John (1951), has been able to prove existence of a solution for a similar equation under certain conditions, so that it seems reasonable to be hopeful in the present case.

REFERENCES

- Cummins, W.E. The impulse response function and ship motions. Schiffstechnik 9 (1962), 101-109.
- Finkelstein, A.B. The initial value problem for transient water waves. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1957), 511-522.
- Haskind, M.D. The exciting forces and wetting of ships in waves. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Otd. Tekhn Nauk 1957, no. 7, 65-79.
- John, Fritz. On the motion of floating bodies. II. Simple harmonic motions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (1950), 45-101.
- Kellogg, Oliver Dimon. Foundations of potential theory. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1929. ix + 384 pp.
- Newman, J.N. The exciting forces on fixed bodies in waves. J. Ship Res. 6, no.3 (1962), 10-17.
- Sretenskiĭ, L.N. On damping of the vertical oscillations of the center of gravity of floating bodies. Trudy Tsentral. Aero-Gidrodinam. Inst., vyp. 330 (1937), 12 pp.
- Stoker, J.J. Water waves. The mathematical theory with applications. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1957. xxviii + 567 p.
- Ursell, F. The decay of the free motion of a floating body. J. Fluid Mech. 19 (1964), 305-319.
- Volterra, Vito. Sur la théorie des ondes liquides et la méthode de Green. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 13 (1934), 1-18.

Wehausen, John V.; Laitone, Edmund V. Surface waves. Encyclopedia of Physics, vol. IX, pp. 446-778. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960.

Security Classification

**DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D**

*(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)*

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) University of California Office of Research Services (formerly Inst. of Engineering Research) Berkeley, Calif.	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION unclassified
	2b. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE  
INITIAL-VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE MOTION OF A BODY IN  
AN UNDULATING SEA. I. FIXED EQUILIBRIUM POSITION

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)  
Technical report

5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)  
Wehausen, John V.

6. REPORT DATE October 1965	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES i + 26 pp.	7b. NO. OF REFS 10
--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Nonr 3656(17)	8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) ORS Report No. NA 65-4
8b. PROJECT NO. NR 062 181	
c.	8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)
d.	

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES  
Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research Dept. of the Navy Washington 7, D.C.
-------------------------	---

13. ABSTRACT

By modification of a method first introduced by Volterra for the solution of certain initial-value problems for water waves, integro-differential equations are derived for the motion of a body floating in a wavy sea and oscillating about an equilibrium position, given its initial position and velocity and the initial configuration and velocity of the fluid. In addition, it is shown how Cummins' decomposition of the hydrodynamic force and moment resulting from the 'forced motion' fits naturally into the present treatment and how Haskind's relations between the force and moment caused by diffracted waves and by forced waves can be extended to the situation considered here.

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
1) Ship motions						
2) Hydrodynamic forces						
3) Wave forces						
4) Water waves						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.