

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD487792

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; JUL 1966. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Office of Scientific Research, 1400 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA 22209. This document contains export-controlled technical data.

AUTHORITY

afosr, ltr, 12 nov 1971

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA



AFOSR 66-1680

July 19, 1966

482792

Quarterly Report No. 2

1 April - 30 June, 1966

RESPONSE OF A BURNING PROPELLANT SURFACE TO EROSION TRANSIENTS

By: E. L. Capener
L. A. Dickinson
G. A. Marxman

Prepared for:


AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20333

Contract No. AF 49(638)-1665

SRI Project No. FRU-5818

Approved:

Lionel A. Dickinson, Director
Polymer & Propulsion Sciences



Distribution of this
document is unlimited

1

SUMMARY

During the second quarter, emphasis has been placed on critically evaluating our experimental and theoretical programs in order to assess their relation to published Russian work which apparently contradicted our analysis. This apparent discrepancy has been successfully resolved, for the Russian model appears to be for homogeneous propellants, and ours is for heterogeneous propellants.

EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Solid-Phase Exothermic Reactions of Propellants

As a result of our theoretical analysis of combustion instability, a more extensive study of solid-phase reactions has been initiated using differential thermal analysis. The importance of surface-coupled reactions in determining the stability bounds imposed upon our combustion model was developed in some detail in Quarterly Report 1.

Since our past experimental studies determined a relationship between burning rate and chamber pressure which represents a stability limit, it appeared desirable to investigate the effect of pressure on the results of differential thermal analysis experiments with selected propellants. This has been accomplished with ammonium perchlorate (both as-received and high purity), potassium perchlorate, and propellants formulated with a hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene and toluene diisocyanate. Under a nitrogen pressure of 500 psig, the AP thermograms show significant changes; the exotherm signifying deflagration is lowered from about 450°C to the range 300 to 325°C. When potassium perchlorate is treated similarly the pressure effect is far less pronounced, although the decomposition exotherm definitely starts at a lower temperature. A possible explanation for this type of behavior is that the concentration of the decomposition products is increased, and the local heat release is increased.

Propellants containing AP were subjected to differential thermal analysis from atmospheric pressure to 1000 psig. At atmospheric pressures two distinct decomposition exotherms peak at about 360 and 415°C. At 250 psig a third exotherm appears at 450°C. As pressure is further increased to 500 psig, these three exotherms tend to merge together. At 1000 psig the broad exotherm observed at 500 psig has become much narrower and peaks at a lower temperature, 340°C.

Spectroscopic Probe of Propellant Combustion Zone

An in-house program aimed at developing a means of identifying combustion species during transient instabilities has led to the development of a technique using a Vidicon tube to scan, in real-time, the spectrum from either a grating or prism. An emission scan from 3000 to 8000 Å can now be made in 60-microseconds. The emission spectra of an 80/20 AP/polyurethane propellant burning at 500 psig pressure shows only two luminous bands, one extending from 6150 to 6500 Å, the other from 5750 to 6000 Å. Within these bands several lesser bands of high intensity appear. Identification of the combustion species responsible for the observed spectra has proved to be difficult because of the general lack of published work identifying the nature of spectra obtained at high temperatures and pressures. It is known that spectral bands both broaden and shift under these conditions. We are concerned also that adsorption by the combustion gases under pressure and at high temperature may modify the emission spectra considerably. As a result more definitive experiments have been undertaken to investigate these problem areas. We are also developing alternative methods to extend the range of the Vidicon type instruments into the far infrared region between 1 and 5 microns.

THEORETICAL STUDIES

Our theoretical studies were primarily directed toward composite propellants, and they have confirmed that both burning rate and solid-phase reactions are important parameters. The model encompasses combustion phenomena related to both the binder and oxidizer and assumes an energy release profile which can account for both solid-phase and gas-phase reactions.

The significant conclusion of the preliminary analysis for composite propellants was that heat release in the solid phase could promote instability. However, Russian work on combustion has suggested that heat release in the solid phase would stabilize combustion.

Our knowledge of past Russian work in the double-base propellant field, coupled with our experimental stability data on double-base propellants, suggested that the Russian analysis was related to homogeneous double-base propellants. A recent examination of pertinent Russian papers showed that their relatively simple model in fact does use a single controlling activation energy concept; this rate factor may be related, for example, to the surface decomposition of nitrocellulose. The conclusions reached by the Russians appear quite valid for the model they use, which appears adequate to explain the behavior of double-base propellants.

FUTURE WORK

Our future work will aim at improving the theoretical model and clearly identifying the specific chemical processes involved in the different couplings observed for both composite and double-base propellants. It is hoped that a general theory will ultimately evolve which accounts for chamber fluid mechanics as well as propellant response.

To provide a clearer picture of the detailed mechanisms of the combustion process, an effort will be made to develop improved experimental techniques. Particular emphasis will be given to spectroscopic probing of the propellant combustion zone.

Unclassified
Security Classification

| DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D | | |
|---|---|--|
| <i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract, and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i> | | |
| 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Menlo Park, California 94025 | | 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified |
| | | 2b. GROUP |
| 3. REPORT TITLE RESPONSE OF A BURNING PROPELLANT SURFACE TO EROSIIVE TRANSIENTS | | |
| 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Scientific Recurring | | |
| 5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Capener, E. L. Dickinson, L. A. Marxman, G. A. | | |
| 6. REPORT DATE July 19, 1966 | 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 6 | 7b. NO. OF REFS - |
| 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. AF 49(638)-1665 | 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) FRU-5818 | |
| b. PROJECT NO. 9713-01 | | |
| c. 61445014 | 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) AFOSR - 66-1680 | |
| d. 681308 | | |
| 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with pr or approval of AFOSR(SRGL) | | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY ((SREP) Air Force Office of Scientific Research 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 | |
| 13. ABSTRACT <p>A review of the past quarter's activity on combustion instability is given. In the experimental work a study of solid phase exothermic reactions is being performed. An attempt is also being made to study the gas phase reactions occurring at the surface during unstable burning using real time spectroscopy. (A rapid scan of 60μ sec to study the 2 millisecond disturbance.)</p> <p>A successful result has attended our comparison of the SRI theoretical combustion model with that of the Russians. The SRI model when simplified can be related to double base propellants and closely parallels the Russian's analysis. Our analysis predicts the opposite stability characteristics that we have observed experimentally for composite and double base propellants.</p> | | |

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64

Unclassified
Security Classification

| 11A. KEYWORDS | LINK A | | LINK B | | LINK C | |
|-------------------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
| | ROLE | WT | ROLE | WT | ROLE | WT |
| Combustion Instability | | | | | | |
| Combustion Model | | | | | | |
| Double Base Propellants | | | | | | |
| Composite Propellants | | | | | | |

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive S200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required; identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.