

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD508757

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO: unclassified

FROM: confidential

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; Apr 1970. Other requests shall be referred to AFRPL [RPOR/STINFO], Edwards AFB, CA 93523.

AUTHORITY

Air Force Rocket Propulsion ltr, 15 Mar 1971; Air Force Rocket Propulsion ltr, 5 Feb 1986

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
(UNCLASSIFIED)

285022000-101
ERDC SUB-CONTROL STR.

C70-1081

AIR FORCE REUSABLE ROCKET ENGINE PROGRAM

XLRI29-F-1

(2)

DEMONSTRATOR ENGINE DESIGN

AFRPL-TR-70-6

APRIL 1970

R. R. ATHERTON

PRATT & WHITNEY AIRCRAFT

DIVISION OF UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

FLORIDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

CLASSIFICATION
CHANGED TO UNCLASSIFIED
AUTH. CHIEF OF DDC 2/10/81
DATED 2/10/81
(SIGNED & DATED)

TECHNICAL REPORT

AFRPL-TR-70-6 VOL 1

GROUP 4
DOWNGRADED AT 5 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS

PATENT SECURITY NOTICE

PORTIONS OF THIS DOCUMENT CONTAIN SUBJECT MATTER COVERED BY A U.S. PATENT OFFICE SECURITY ORDER WITH MODIFYING SECURITY REQUIREMENTS. PERMIT, HANDLING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PERMIT AS DESCRIBED ON PAGE A AND INDICATED HEREIN. VIOLATORS MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PENALTIES PRESCRIBED BY TITLE 35, U. S. C. (1952), SECTIONS 182 AND 185.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18 U. S. C., SECTIONS 793 AND 794. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

AIR FORCE ROCKET PROPULSION LABORATORY

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND

EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE

EDWARDS, CALIFORNIA

RPOR-ST/AFR

AD 508757

to out, they
may

UNCLASSIFIED

"When U. S. Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto."

This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 793 and 794, the transmission or the revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

In addition to security requirements which must be met, this document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AERPI (RPOB/STINFO), Edwards, California 93523."

PATENT SECRECY NOTICE

Material in this publication relating to
LAMINATED CHAMBER COOLING MEANS AND A SLOT
TUBE INJECTOR CONCEPT

reveals subject matter contained in U. S. Patent Application Serial No. 319,047 and 725,954 entitled "High Pressure Rocket and Cooling Means" and "Slot Tube Swirler Injector," respectively, which have been placed under Secrecy Orders issued by the Commissioner of Patents. These Secrecy Orders have been modified by a SECURITY REQUIREMENTS PERMIT.

A Secrecy Order prohibits publication or disclosure of the invention, or any material information with respect thereto. It is separate and distinct, and has nothing to do with the classification of Government contracts.

By statute, violation of a Secrecy Order is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$10,000 and/or imprisonment for not more than two years.

A SECURITY REQUIREMENTS PERMIT authorizes disclosure of the invention or any material information with respect thereto, to the extent set forth by the security requirements of the Government contract which imposes the highest security classification on the subject matter of the application, except that export is prohibited.

Disclosure of these inventions or any material information with respect thereto is prohibited except by written consent of the Commissioner of Patents or as authorized by the permits.

The foregoing does not in any way lessen responsibility for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of the existing laws relating to espionage and national security.

CONFIDENTIAL

C20-1081

(UNCLASSIFIED TITLE)

AIR FORCE REUSABLE ROCKET ENGINE PROGRAM

XLRI29-P-1

DEMONSTRATOR ENGINE DESIGN

AFRPL-TR-70-6

APRIL 1970

GROUP 4
DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS:
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS

PATENT SECRECY NOTICE

PORTIONS OF THIS DOCUMENT CONTAIN SUBJECT MATTER COVERED BY A U.S. PATENT OFFICE SECRECY ORDER WITH MODIFYING SECURITY REQUIREMENTS PERMIT. HANDLING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PERMIT AS DESCRIBED ON PAGE A AND INDICATED HEREIN. VIOLATORS MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PENALTIES PRESCRIBED BY, TITLE 35, U. S. C. (1952), SECTIONS 182 AND 186.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18 U. S. C., SECTIONS 793 AND 794. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

FOREWORD

(U) This Milestone Report is issued at the time in the program when the design of all major components as well as the design of the demonstrator engine system has been completed. It presents the design approach, mechanical description, and operating characteristics of the XLR129-P-1 engine and each major component. This report is issued as a technical report in compliance with the requirements of Contract F04611-68-C-0002. Classified information has been extracted from documents listed under References.

(U) This publication was prepared by the Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Florida Research and Development Center as PWA FR-3337.

(U) This Technical Report has been reviewed and is approved.

Robert E. Probst
Captain, USAF
Program Manager
Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED ABSTRACT

(U) This report describes the design of the XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Rocket Engine and its principal components. The program is being conducted by Pratt & Whitney Aircraft under Air Force sponsorship at the Florida Research and Development Center. Design of all components, the second of five program phases, has been completed. Included in the report is the design approach, mechanical description, and operating characteristics for each component. The engine is designed to operate with liquid oxygen and liquid hydrogen propellants, uses the staged combustion cycle, incorporates variable thrust, and variable mixture ratio capability. The XLR129-P-1 engine, having a high area ratio nozzle, is designed to be reusable as in aircraft engine practice, and provides 250,000 pounds thrust in vacuum. The program started 6 November 1967, and is planned for 54 months. The major program objectives include: (1) design of the components and engine system with a series of component tests to support the design effort, (2) development of the components to qualify them for engine use and to demonstrate the life of life-limited sub-components, and (3) a series of engine tests to demonstrate operational capabilities.

UNCLASSIFIED

PREFACE

(U) This volume contains introductory and summary material relating to the requirements of the XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Rocket Engine Program and to the components and characteristics of the engine system. Detailed component descriptions are contained in Volume 2. Volume 3 contains data pertaining to the control system, demonstrator engine mockup, and plumbing system. Also included in Volume 3 are appendixes containing structural design criteria and data.

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
	VOLUME 1	
I	INTRODUCTION.	1
	A. General	1
	B. Program Tasks	3
II	SUMMARY	5
	A. Demonstrator Engine	5
	1. Background	5
	2. Design	7
	B. Preburner Injector	7
	C. Transition Case	9
	D. Main Burner Injector	10
	E. Main Burner Chamber	11
	F. Primary Nozzle	12
	G. Two-Position Nozzle and Translating Mechanism	13
	H. Low-Speed Inducer	14
	I. Fuel Turbopump	14
	J. Oxidizer Turbopump	15
	K. Control System	19
III	ENGINE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND PERFORMANCE	21
	A. Description	21
	B. Operating Characteristics	24
	1. Steady-State Operating Parameters	24
	2. Start, Shutdown, and Throttle Transients	31
	C. Layout and Schematic	31
	D. Weight	31

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	Title	Page
E.	Interfaces	31
F.	Design Criteria	40
G.	Systems Analysis	40
	1. General	40
	2. Initial System Analysis	41
	3. Special Design Cycle Studies	47
H.	Performance Data	56

VOLUME 2

IV	COMPONENT DESCRIPTION	59
A.	Preburner Injector and Ignition System	59
	1. Introduction	59
	2. Design Requirements	61
	3. Design Criteria	61
	4. Mechanical Description	62
	5. Operating Characteristics	67
	6. Design Approach	72
	7. Ignition Exciter and Plug Assemblies	98
B.	Transition Case	103
	1. Introduction	103
	2. Design Requirements	103
	3. Design Criteria	105
	4. Mechanical Description	106
	5. Operating Characteristics	119
	6. Design Approach	119
C.	Main Burner Injector and Ignition System	151
	1. Introduction	151
	2. Design Requirements	153
	3. Design Criteria	153
	4. Mechanical Description	154
	5. Operating Characteristics	160
	6. Design Approach	168
D.	Main Burner Chamber	199
	1. Introduction	199

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	Title	Page
	2. Design Requirements	199
	3. Design Criteria	200
	4. Mechanical Description	202
	5. Operating Characteristics	214
	6. Design Approach	215
E.	Primary Nozzle	231
	1. Introduction	231
	2. Design Requirements	231
	3. Design Criteria	233
	4. Mechanical Description	233
	5. Operating Characteristics	240
	6. Design Approach	240
F.	Two-Position Nozzle and Translating Mechanism . . .	249
	1. Introduction	249
	2. Design Requirements	249
	3. Design Criteria	251
	4. Mechanical Description	251
	5. Operating Characteristics	258
	6. Design Approach	259
G.	Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	299
	1. Introduction	299
	2. Design Requirements	299
	3. Design Criteria	299
	4. Mechanical Description	302
	5. Operating Characteristics	308
	6. Design Approach	308
H.	Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer	339
	1. Introduction	339
	2. Design Requirements	341
	3. Design Criteria	341
	4. Mechanical Description	347
	5. Operating Characteristics	348
	6. Design Approach	348
I.	Fuel Turbopump	361
	1. Introduction	361
	2. Design Requirements	361
	3. Design Criteria	361
	4. Mechanical Description	361

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	Title	Page
	5. Operating Characteristics	406
	6. Design Approach	406
J.	Oxidizer Turbopump	453
	1. Introduction	453
	2. Design Requirements	455
	3. Design Criteria	455
	4. Mechanical Description	455
	5. Operating Characteristics	490
	6. Design Approach	490

VOLUME 3

V	CONTROL SYSTEM	521
A.	System Description	521
	1. System Requirements - General	521
	2. System Requirement - Specific	521
	3. Engine Description	522
	4. Control Component Description	522
	5. Vendor Control System Effort	525
	6. Reliability	529
B.	Preburner Oxidizer Valve	531
	1. Introduction	531
	2. Design Requirements	531
	3. Design Criteria	534
	4. Mechanical Description	534
	5. Operating Characteristics	539
	6. Design Approach	541
C.	Preburner Fuel Valve	553
	1. Introduction	553
	2. Design Requirements	553
	3. Design Criteria	553
	4. Mechanical Description	556
	5. Operating Characteristics	557
	6. Design Approach	561
D.	Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve	579
	1. Introduction	579
	2. Design Requirements	580
	3. Design Criteria	580

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	Title	Page
	4. Mechanical Description	581
	5. Operating Characteristics	587
	6. Design Approach	589
E.	Two-Position Nozzle Coolant Supply System	613
	1. Introduction	613
	2. Design Requirements	614
	3. Design Criteria	614
	4. Mechanical Description	615
	5. Operating Characteristics	619
	6. Design Approach	620
F.	Propellant Vent Valves	623
	1. Introduction	623
	2. Design Requirements	623
	3. Design Criteria	623
	4. Mechanical Description	624
	5. Operating Characteristics	626
	6. Design Approach	626
G.	Helium System	631
	1. Introduction	631
	2. Design Requirements	631
	3. Design Criteria	633
	4. Mechanical Description	634
	5. Operating Characteristics	636
	6. Design Approach	637
H.	Static Seals	639
	1. Introduction	639
	2. Design Requirements	640
	3. Design Criteria	640
	4. Mechanical Description	641
	5. Operating Characteristics	643
	6. Design Approach	648
VI	DEMONSTRATOR ENGINE MOCKUP AND PLUMBING	659
A.	Engine Mockup	659
	1. Introduction	659
	2. Description of Engine Configuration	659
	3. Component Design	664

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded)

Section	Title	Page
	4. Engine Plumbing Design	664
	5. Primary Component Locations	664
	6. Envelope Definition of Vendor-Supplied Components	665
	7. Engine Instrumentation	665
	8. Two-Position Nozzle	665
	9. General	665
B.	Plumbing	667
	1. Introduction	667
	2. Design Philosophy and Criteria	667
	3. Preburner Fuel Line	669
	4. Fuel Pump Discharge Lines	675
	5. Oxidizer Pump Discharge Lines	677
	6. Preburner Oxidizer Supply Line	681
	7. Main Burner Oxidizer Line	683
	8. Fuel Turbopump Inlet Line	686
	9. Oxidizer Turbopump Inlet Line	688
	10. Fuel Low-Speed Inducer, Turbine Supply Line . .	688
	11. Primary Nozzle Fuel Supply Line	691
	12. Main Chamber Coolant Supply Line	691
	13. Small Line Connector and Seal Selection	693
	14. Summary	709
Appendixes		
I	DESIGN STRUCTURAL CRITERIA	711
II	INCONEL 718 THREADED CONNECTORS FOR A 6000-PSI FLUID SYSTEM	712
	A. Introduction	712
	B. Summary	712
	C. Program Activities	712
	1. Seal Design	713
	2. Fitting Design	714
	3. Drawings and Specifications	715
	D. References	715
III	FINAL DESIGNS FOR INCONEL THREADED FITTINGS	716

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Engine Program Schedule . .	2
2.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Engine	6
3.	(U) Preburner Injector Cross Section	8
4.	(U) Transition Case Full-Scale Mockup	9
5.	(U) Main Burner Injector Configuration	10
6.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Assembly Side View	11
7.	(U) Primary Nozzle Configuration	12
8.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Design	13
9.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	16
10.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer	17
11.	(U) Fuel Turbopump Assembly	18
12.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Assembly	19
13.	(U) Operating Range for Demonstrator Engine	21
14.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Engine	22
15.	(U) Demonstrator Engine Propellant Flow Schematic . . .	23
16.	(U) Demonstrator Engine Estimated Start, Shutdown, and Throttle Transient Data	31
17.	(U) Demonstrator Engine Layout	33
18.	(U) Demonstrator Engine Complete Propellant Flow Schematic	37
19.	(U) Fuel Inlet Operating Region	38
20.	(U) Oxidizer Inlet Operating Region	39
21.	(U) Propellant Temperature Limits for Fuel Trim Capability	40
22.	(U) Effect of Pump Interstage Tap-Off Location on Lightweight Heat Exchanger Coolant Valve	51

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
23.	(U) Effect of Pump Interstage Tap-Off Location on Engine Impulse Efficiency	52
24.	(U) Effect of Low-Speed Inducer Tap-Off Location on Lightweight Heat Exchanger Coolant Valve	53
25.	(U) Effect of Low-Speed Inducer Tap-Off Location on Engine Impulse Efficiency	54
26.	(U) Effect of Fuel Preburner Supply Tap-Off Location on Lightweight Heat Exchanger Coolant Valve	55
27.	(U) Effect of Fuel Preburner Supply Tap-Off Location on Engine Impulse Efficiency	56
28.	(U) Altitude Performance for Demonstrator Engine (Booster Application)	57
29.	(U) Sea Level Specific Impulse vs Mixture Ratio (Booster Application)	57
30.	(U) Vacuum Specific Impulse vs Thrust (Booster Application)	58
31.	(U) Preburner and Torch Location	60
32.	(U) Preburner Injector Cross Section	63
33.	(U) Preburner Injector Face Pattern	64
34.	(U) Preburner Torch Assembly	65
35.	(U) Preburner Torch Assembly, Mounting and Sealing	66
36.	(U) Preburner Torch Assembly Propellant Flow	67
37.	(U) Preburner Combustion Temperature	68
38.	(U) Effective Oxidizer Injection $\Delta P/P$	68
39.	(U) Preburner Fuel Injector $\Delta P/P$	69
40.	(U) Preburner Combustion Chamber Pressure	69
41.	(U) Preburner Injector Primary-to-Total Oxidizer Flow Split	70

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
42.	(U) Flow Rate through Preburner Fuel Injector	70
43.	(U) Flow Rate through Preburner Oxidizer Injector . . .	71
44.	(U) Preburner Injector Momentum Ratio	71
45.	(U) Preburner Injector Mixture Ratio vs Thrust	72
46.	(U) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics at Start (Altitude) . . .	73
47.	(C) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 100%-75%, $r = 5$	73
48.	(C) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 100%-50%, $r = 7$	74
49.	(C) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 100%-50%, $r = 7$	74
50.	(C) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 50%-20%, $r = 5$	75
51.	(C) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 50%-20%, $r = 6$	75
52.	(C) Preburner and Main Burner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 50%-20%, $r = 7$	76
53.	(U) Preburner Temperature Profile, Rig 35117, Test No. 1.01, 11-Inch Rake	78
54.	(U) Preburner Temperature Profile, Rig 35117, Test No. 1.01, 11-Inch Rake	78
55.	(U) Preburner Temperature Profile, Rig 35117, Test No. 2.01, 11-Inch Rake	79
56.	(U) Preburner Temperature Profile with Primary-To- Total Oxidizer Flow Split Variation	79

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
57.	(U) Preburner Temperature Profile, Rig 35117-2, Test No. 4.02, 11-inch Rake	80
58.	(U) Comparison of Build 2 Scrub Liner Damage	81
59.	(U) Locations of Burn Damage to Stability Liner	82
60.	(U) Swirl Patterns on Liner Walls	82
61.	(U) Injector Secondary Burner Area After Test No. 2.01.	85
62.	(U) Element Test Matrix Test Results	90
63.	(U) Preburner Rig Configuration	91
64.	(U) Nut-Retained One-Piece Oxidizer Element	92
65.	(U) Capnut Retained Two-Piece Oxidizer Element	92
66.	(U) Faceplate Support Grating Concept	93
67.	(U) Transition Case Concept with Integral Injector Fuel Manifold	94
68.	(U) Igniter Chamber Pressures Created by Lit and Unlit Propellant Flowrates	96
69.	(U) Igniter Propellant Momentum	96
70.	(U) Igniter Momentum for Various Igniter Back Pressures	97
71.	(U) Transition Case Location	104
72.	(U) Transition Case Full-Scale Mockup	105
73.	(U) Engine Maneuver Loads	106
74.	(U) Transition Case Assembly	107
75.	(U) Outer Case and Cooling Liner	108
76.	(U) Preburner Flow Duct	110
77.	(U) Preburner Flow Duct to Centerbody Piston Ring Seal	111

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
78.	(U) Connections of Front and Rear Sections of Hot Gas Scrub Liner	112
79.	(U) Preburner Flow Duct Front Support	113
80.	(U) Transition Case Centerbody	114
81.	(U) Centerbody Inner Liner Assembly	115
82.	(U) Gimbal Thrust Ball Assembly	117
83.	(U) Gimbal Ball Retaining Clamp Assembly	117
84.	(U) Engine/Vehicle Interface	118
85.	(U) Preburner Duct Liner Inlet Environment	120
86.	(U) Transition Case Exit Conditions	120
87.	(U) Preburner Duct Surface Temperatures	121
88.	(U) Preburner Duct Temperature Distribution	122
89.	(U) Gimbal Ball Forces	122
90.	(U) Transition Case - Component and Cooling Liner Arrangement	123
91.	(U) Candidate Transition Case Configurations	125
92.	(U) Component Arrangement Study with Canted Transition Case	125
93.	(U) Component Arrangement Study with Co-Planar Transition Case	126
94.	(U) Structural Model Test Program	126
95.	(U) Canted Spherical Internal Duct Configuration	128
96.	(U) Co-Planar Internal Duct Configuration	128
97.	(U) Truncated Sphere Model	129
98.	(U) Intersecting Sphere Model	129
99.	(U) Canted Ring Model	130

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
100.	(U) Stress Profile, Transition Case Study Model	132
101.	(U) Interaction Curves for Inconel 718 and Waspaloy . .	136
102.	(U) Stress Calculation Diagram	138
103.	(U) Uniaxial-Multiaxial Stress Materials Data	138
104.	(U) Schematic of Test Model	139
105.	(U) Inconel 718 T-Weld Test Specimen	142
106.	(U) Flow Model Installation	145
107.	(U) Transition Case Duct Flow Model Facility Schematic	145
108.	(U) Photograph of Flow Characteristics	146
109.	(U) Traverse Probes Locations	147
110.	(U) Calculated Flow Characteristics	147
111.	(U) Main Burner Injector and Torch Location	152
112.	(U) Main Burner Injector Configuration	155
113.	(U) Typical Main Burner Injector Cross Section	156
114.	(U) Typical Spraybar	156
115.	(U) Segmented Injector Concept	157
116.	(U) Main Burner Injector Spraybar Cross Section	158
117.	(U) Main Burner Torch Assembly	159
118.	(U) Main Burner Torch Assembly, Propellant Flow Mounting and Sealing	159
119.	(U) Main Chamber Pressure	161
120.	(U) Main Burner Fuel Injector Gas Temperature	161
121.	(U) Main Burner Fuel Pressure Drop	162
122.	(U) Main Burner Oxidizer Pressure Drop	162

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
123.	(U) Main Burner Oxidizer Injector Flow Rate	163
124.	(U) Main Burner Fuel Injector Flow Rate	163
125.	(U) Fuel-to-Oxidizer Momentum Ratio	164
126.	(U) Main Burner Injector Mixture Ratio	164
127.	(U) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics at Start (Altitude) . . .	165
128.	(C) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 100%-75%, $r = 5$	165
129.	(C) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 100%-75%, $r = 6$	166
130.	(C) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 100%-50%, $r = 7$	166
131.	(C) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 50%-20%, $r = 5$	167
132.	(C) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 50%-20%, $r = 6$	167
133.	(C) Main Burner and Preburner Torch Steady-State Operating Characteristics, Thrust 50%-20%, $r = 7$	168
134.	(U) Corrected Efficiency Data as Functions of Mixture Ratio	170
135.	(U) 50K Performance Trends	171
136.	(U) 100% Thrust Combustion Performance	171
137.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Torch Igniter Assembly	174
138.	(U) Main Chamber Igniter Spark Plug	175
139.	(U) Main Burner and Preburner Chamber Bolt Limitations	175

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
140.	(U) Parametric Study Inlet Diameter vs Manifold Diameter	176
141.	(U) Conceptual Changes	177
142.	(U) Velocity Transition	178
143.	(U) Spraybar Effective Area vs Diameter	179
144.	(U) Material Cycle Life	180
145.	(U) Spraybar Injector Joint Thermal Gradient	181
146.	(U) Oxidizer Mass Flux vs Chamber Radius Unclassed Elements	182
147.	(U) Radial Mass Flow Unit Area Distribution	183
148.	(U) Oxidizer Droplet Size	184
149.	(U) Oxidizer Droplet Size Distribution	185
150.	(U) Shutdown Transient Faceplate and Support Structure	187
151.	(U) Faceplate Low Cycle Fatigue (Faceplate at 320°R)	188
152.	(U) Faceplate Maximum Temperature vs Coolant Mass Flux	191
153.	(U) Estimated 0.2% Yield vs Temperature	191
154.	(U) Main Burner Igniter Concept No. 1	193
155.	(U) Main Burner Igniter Concept No. 2	194
156.	(U) Main Burner Igniter Concept No. 3	195
157.	(U) Main Burner Igniter Concept No. 4	195
158.	(U) Main Burner and Preburner Igniter Concept No. 5	196
159.	(U) Main Burner Igniter Concept No. 6	197
160.	(U) Location of Main Burner Chamber Assembly	201
161.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Assembly, Side View	202

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
162.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Assembly, View Looking Aft . . .	203
163.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Assembly Outer Shell, Side View	204
164.	(U) Forward Chamber Liner Coolant Metering	206
165.	(U) Forward Chamber Liner Coolant Metering Installation, Side View	206
166.	(U) Rear Chamber Liner Coolant Metering and Supply . .	207
167.	(U) Rear Chamber Liner Coolant Metering, Side View . .	207
168.	(U) Forward Chamber Liner, Zones 1 through 10	207
169.	(U) Forward Chamber Liner, Zones 11 through 17	208
170.	(U) Rear Chamber Liner, Zones 18 through 28	208
171.	(U) Definition of Converging Portion of Combustion Chamber	209
172.	(U) Typical Cylindrical Wafer	210
173.	(U) Effect of Wafer Radial Thickness on Coolant Requirements for a Typical High Pressure Rocket Engine	211
174.	(U) The Effect of Wafer Heat Exchanger Radial Thickness on Wafer OD Temperature	212
175.	(U) Front Chamber Liner Typical Port for Igniter and Pressure Probe	212
176.	(U) Location of Lands on Chamber Wafer	213
177.	(U) Pulse Gun Installation in Igniter Boss	213
178.	(U) Total Transpiration Coolant Flow	215
179.	(U) Effect of Transpiration Coolant Flow on Specific Impulse	215
180.	(U) Main Chamber Configuration	216
181.	(U) Phase I Transpiration Cooled Liner Shows Inward Bowing Following Testing	217

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
182.	(U) Cross Section of the Radially Restrained Thrust Chamber Liner	219
183.	(U) Flange Concepts	220
184.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Liner Coolant Schemes	220
185.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Liner Throat Schemes	221
186.	(U) Main Burner Chamber Liner Diverging Section	221
187.	(U) Orifice Schemes	222
188.	(U) Wall Temperature Variations for Cylindrical Section	224
189.	(U) Wall Temperature Variations for Throat Section	224
190.	(U) Wall Temperature Variations for Exit Section	225
191.	(U) Low-Cycle Fatigue Tests	227
192.	(U) Cycle Life Curves	228
193.	(U) Regeneratively Cooled Primary Nozzle Location	232
194.	(U) Regeneratively Cooled Primary Nozzle	234
195.	(U) Primary Nozzle Configuration	234
196.	(U) Total Thrust vs Axial Position (Maximum Operating Thrust)	235
197.	(U) Primary Nozzle Wall Static Pressure	235
198.	(U) Preburner Supply Heat Exchanger	236
199.	(U) Preburner-to-Transpiration Heat Exchanger Flange	238
200.	(U) Transpiration Supply Heat Exchanger	239
201.	(U) Heat Balance Calculation	241
202.	(U) Regenerative Nozzle Film Coefficients for Various Hot Wall Temperatures	242
203.	(U) Subroutine Arrangement for Heat Exchanger Analysis	244

XX

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
204.	(U) Thermal Low Cycle Fatigue Life for Different Materials at Various Temperatures	245
205.	(U) Material Yield Strength Comparison	246
206.	(U) Low Cycle Fatigue Test Results	246
207.	(C) Predicted Thermal Gradient of Regenerative Nozzle Tube Nearest Throat ($\epsilon = 5.3$)	248
208.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle and Translating Mechanism Location	250
209.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Schematic	252
210.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Design	252
211.	(U) Typical Nozzle Shell Configuration	253
212.	(U) Translating Mechanism	254
213.	(U) Drive Motor, Gearbox and Locking Device for Translating Mechanism	256
214.	(U) Ball Nut Gimbal and Support	257
215.	(U) Translating Mechanism Forward Supports	257
216.	(U) 50K Translatable Nozzle in Fixture	260
217.	(U) 50K Two-Position Nozzle Skirt Wall Pressure	260
218.	(U) 50K Two-Position Nozzle Performance	261
219.	(U) Translating Secondary Nozzle Test	262
220.	(U) Fluid Temperature vs Area Ratio	263
221.	(U) Heat Flux vs Area Ratio	263
222.	(U) Inside Film Coefficient vs Area Ratio	264
223.	(U) Coolant Passageway Area vs Area Ratio	264
224.	(U) Comparison of Thermal Fatigue Life	265
225.	(U) Nozzle Configuration Comparison	269

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
226.	(U) Support Band Configurations Considered	271
227.	(U) Band Height vs Moment of Inertia for Several Configurations	271
228.	(U) Corrugation Fabricated by Die Forming	272
229.	(U) Corrugation Sample Panel	272
230.	(U) Integral Band Design Sample Panel	274
231.	(U) Hydrostatic Test Samples	274
232.	(U) Failed Hydrostatic Test Samples	275
233.	(U) Resistance Weld Test Samples	275
234.	(U) Thermal Fatigue Cycling Setup	277
235.	(U) ΔT vs Cycle Life for 0.005-inch Thick Corrugations	280
236.	(U) ΔT vs Cycle Life for 0.010-inch Thick Corrugations	280
237.	(U) Thermal Fatigue of Inconel 625 (AMS 5599) Tube and Corrugated Sheet	281
238.	(C) Two-Position Nozzle Wall Temperature Distribution at Inlet Region ($\epsilon = 5.3:1$) of Nozzle, °R	283
239.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Temperatures	284
240.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Stress and Coolant Pressure . .	284
241.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Geometry	285
242.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Stiffening Band Locations . . .	286
243.	(U) Flight Altitude Seal	286
244.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Translating Mechanism	288
245.	(U) Ball Screw Shaft Load Distribution	289
246.	(U) Ball Bearing Screw Shaft Deflections	289

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
247.	(U) XLRI29-P-1 Two-Position Nozzle Loads	290
248.	(U) Translating Mechanism Ring Gear and Support	291
249.	(U) Translating System Hydraulic Control	294
250.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	300
251.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Location	301
252.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	303
253.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Thrust Piston and Front Bearing Arrangement	304
254.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Internal Flow System	305
255.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Stator Assembly	306
256.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Predicted Pressure Rise vs Flow	309
257.	(U) Conceptual 250K Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	310
258.	(U) Design and Off-Design Suction Capability, Various Inducers (H ₂ O or Equivalent)	311
259.	(U) Optimum Incidence Various Inducers	312
260.	(U) Effect of Solidity on Suction Capability	313
261.	(U) Effect of Solidity on Overall Rotor Efficiency	313
262.	(U) Effect of Blade Angle on Efficiency	314
263.	(U) Effect of Blade Camber on Efficiency	315
264.	(U) XLRI29 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	320
265.	(U) XLRI29 Liquid Oxygen Fuel Low-Speed Inducer	321
266.	(U) XLRI29 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Predicted Head Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient	322
267.	(U) XLRI29 LO ₂ Low-Speed Inducer Predicted Heat Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient	323

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
268.	(U) XLR129 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Off-Design Efficiency	323
269.	(U) XLR129 LO2 Low-Speed Inducer Off-Design Efficiency	324
270.	(U) XLR129 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Design Point Optimization	332
271.	(U) XLR129 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Design Point Optimization	333
272.	(U) XLR129 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Design Point Optimization	334
273.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer	339
274.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Location	340
275.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer	343
276.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Rotor Assembly	344
277.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Turbine and Variable Guide Vanes	345
278.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Disk	346
279.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Predicted Pressure Rise vs Flow	347
280.	(U) Conceptual 250K Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer	349
281.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Internal Pressure Loading on Blade and Root Static Pressure	350
282.	(U) 1.0 in. Chord Vane Flow Pattern Schematic	354
283.	(U) 1.5 in. Chord Vane Flow Pattern Schematic	355
284.	(U) Hydraulic Radial Inflow Turbine Channel Velocity Distribution (Relative).	357
285.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Disk Geometry	359
286.	(U) Fuel Turbopump Assembly	362

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
287.	(U) Fuel Turbopump Location	363
288.	(U) High-Speed Inducer	373
289.	(U) High-Speed Inducer Attachment	373
290.	(U) Front Bearing and Mount Assembly	290
291.	(U) Roller Bearing Configuration	375
292.	(U) Front Bearing Preload	375
293.	(U) Front Bearing Coolant Flowpath	376
294.	(U) Front Bearing Coolant Flow Rate	377
295.	(U) First-Stage Impeller Stresses and Deflections . . .	378
296.	(U) Second-Stage Impeller Stresses and Deflections . .	379
297.	(U) Thrust Balance System	380
298.	(U) Thrust Piston Fluid Supply and Discharge	380
299.	(U) Rear Bearing and Mount Assembly	381
300.	(U) Rear Bearing Preloading	381
301.	(U) Rear Bearing Coolant Flowpath	382
302.	(U) Rear Bearing Coolant Flowrate	383
303.	(U) Turbine Section	384
304.	(U) Turbine Velocity Triangles	388
305.	(U) Turbine Inlet Duct	389
306.	(U) First-Stage Stator Installation	390
307.	(U) First-Stage Disk, Blade, and Shroud Installation .	392
308.	(U) Second-Stage Stator Installation	393
309.	(U) Second-Stage Stator Flow Guide	394
310.	(U) Second-Stage Stator Diaphragm Seal	394

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
311.	(U) Rotor Assembly Shaft Transition Section Isotherms	396
312.	(U) Turbine Discharge Turnaround Manifold	396
313.	(U) Turbine Coolant Flows and Pressure	399
314.	(U) Rotor Assembly	403
315.	(U) Rotor Assembly Coolant Flows	403
316.	(U) Fuel Turbopump Housings	404
317.	(U) Maximum Stresses and Deflections in Critical Areas of the Housings	406
318.	(U) Fuel Turbopump Predicted Pressure Rise vs Inlet Flow	407
319.	(U) HPH-50 Turbopump Rotor	408
320.	(U) HPH-50 Model No. 2 Pump Performance	408
321.	(U) 350K Pump Rotor	410
322.	(U) 350K Liquid Hydrogen Pump Operating Characteristics	410
323.	(U) Original 350K Fuel Pump and Drive Turbine Configuration	412
324.	(U) Final 350K Fuel Pump and Drive Turbine Configuration	413
325.	(U) Bearing Test Rig	415
326.	(U) 350K Fuel High-Speed Inducer, Nondimensionalized Head vs Nondimensionalized Flow	417
327.	(U) 350K Fuel Pump Suction Performance	417
328.	(U) 350K Fuel Pump 1st-Stage, Stage Head Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient (LH ₂ Data)	418
329.	(U) 350K Fuel Pump 1st-Stage Overall Efficiency vs Flow Coefficient (LH ₂ Data)	418

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
330.	(U) 350K Fuel Pump 2nd-Stage Head Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient (LH ₂ Data)	419
331.	(U) 350K Fuel Pump 2nd-Stage Overall Efficiency vs Flow Coefficient (LH ₂ Data)	419
332.	(U) Design and Off-Design Suction Capability, Various Inducers (H ₂ O or Equivalent)	420
333.	(U) Incidence Angle vs Inlet Tip Blade Angle	421
334.	(U) Design Parameters Optimized from Test Data	423
335.	(U) Fuel Turbopump 1st-Stage Impeller Mean Flowpath	424
336.	(U) Fuel Turbopump 2nd-Stage Impeller Mean Flowpath	425
337.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump Relative Flow Area Distribution, 1st-Stage Impeller.	425
338.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Relative Flow Area Distribution, 2nd-Stage Impeller	426
339.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump 2nd-Stage Diffuser Configuration	428
340.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump 1st-Stage Head Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient	430
341.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump 2nd-Stage Head Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient	430
342.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump 1st-Stage Efficiency vs Flow Coefficient	431
343.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump 2nd-Stage Efficiency vs Flow Coefficient	431
344.	(U) Fuel Turbopump Predicted Thrust Unbalance and Thrust Piston Capability vs Engine Thrust for Various Engine Mixture Ratios	432
345.	(U) Axial Thrust Change vs Stage Diameter Ratio	432
346.	(U) Bleed Flows Expressed as a Percentage of Turbine Inlet Flow	434

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
347.	(U) Turbine Efficiency Change vs Mean Diameter	435
348.	(U) Obtaining Peak Efficiency by Varying Annulus	436
349.	(U) Second-Stage Turbine Efficiency Change vs Annular Area	436
350.	(U) Airfoil Selection by Varying Load Coefficient	437
351.	(U) First-Stage Vane Change in Foil Efficiency vs Load Coefficient	439
352.	(U) First-Stage Vane Change in Foil Efficiency vs Number of Airfoils with Constant Chord	439
353.	(U) First-Stage Blade Change in Foil Efficiency vs Load Coefficient	440
354.	(U) Second-Stage Vane Change in Foil Efficiency vs Load Coefficient	441
355.	(U) Second-Stage Vane Efficiency Change vs Number of Airfoils with Constant Chord	442
356.	(U) Second-Stage Blade Change in Foil Efficiency vs Load Coefficient	443
357.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump Turbine Blade Root Design	447
358.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Fuel Pump Turbine Blade Resonance Diagram	448
359.	(U) Front Bearing Loading	449
360.	(U) Rear Bearing Loading	452
361.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Assembly	453
362.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Location	454
363.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump High-Speed Inducer	463
364.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump High-Speed Inducer Stresses	464
365.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Impeller	465
366.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Impeller Stresses	465

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
367.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Bearings and Bearing Mounts . .	467
368.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Front Bearing Coolant Flow . . .	468
369.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Rear Bearing Coolant Flow . . .	469
370.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Thrust Balance System	469
371.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Thrust Piston Clearance and Flow Rate	470
372.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Thrust Piston Deflection	471
373.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Seal Package	471
374.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Bellows-Actuated Lift-Off Seal .	472
375.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine	474
376.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Velocity Triangles . . .	478
377.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Inlet Duct	479
378.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Stages and Exhaust System	480
379.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Hub and Tie Bolt Temperature Profile	483
380.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turnaround Manifold and Exit Diffuser Temperatures	484
381.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Coolant Flow System . .	486
382.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Disk Coolant Flow . . .	486
383.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Support Coolant Flow . .	487
384.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Inlet Housing	488
385.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Center Housing	489
386.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Housing Stresses	490
387.	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Performance Map	491
388.	(U) HPO-50 Pump Performance	492

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
389.	(U) 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Rotor Assembly	493
390.	(U) 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Demonstrated Heat-Flow Performance Map	494
391.	(U) 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Demonstrated Pressure Rise vs Flow	496
392.	(U) Head Degradation in 350K Engine Oxidizer Pump . . .	496
393.	(U) Overall Stage Efficiency of 350K Oxidizer Turbopump	497
394.	(U) Relative Flow Area Distribution vs Flowpath Length of the 350K Oxidizer Turbopump	498
395.	(U) Tip Streamline Static Head vs Flowpath Length for Original 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Configuration	499
396.	(U) 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Inducer Head-Flow Coefficient Map	499
397.	(U) Cavitation Performance of 350K Oxidizer Turbopump .	500
398.	(U) 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Diffuser Configuration . .	501
399.	(U) Collection System Pressure Rise Characteristics of 350K Oxidizer Turbopump	502
400.	(U) Pressure Recovery Characteristics of a Collection System Test Rig	502
401.	(U) Impeller Contour Comparison	503
402.	(U) 350K Oxidizer Turbopump Low-Speed Data Match of Head Coefficient and Flow Coefficient	504
403.	(U) Design and Off-Design Suction Capability, Various Inducers (H ₂ O or Equivalent)	506
404.	(U) Incidence Angle at Maximum Suction Specific Speed vs Inlet Tip Blade Angle for Various Inducers	507
405.	(U) XLR129 Oxidizer Pump Flow Area Distribution	507

XXX

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
406.	(U) Theoretical Static Head Distribution for XLR129 Oxidizer Turbopump	508
407.	(U) Relative Velocity for XLR129 Oxidizer Turbopump Flowpath	509
408.	(U) Design Parameters Optimized from Test Data	509
409.	(U) XLR129 Oxidizer Turbopump Diffuser Configuration	510
410.	(U) XLR129 Oxidizer Turbopump Predicted Head Coefficient vs Flow Coefficient	512
411.	(U) XLR129 Oxidizer Turbopump Predicted Stage Efficiency vs Flow Coefficient Map	512
412.	(U) Net Axial Thrust vs Engine Thrust Level	513
413.	(U) XLR129 Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Bleed Flow Expressed as Percent of Turbine Inlet Flow	514
414.	(U) Change in Turbine Efficiency vs Percent Change in Annulus Area	515
415.	(U) Change in Load Coefficient vs Airfoil Efficiency	516
416.	(U) Housing Material Comparison	519
417.	(U) Demonstrator Engine Layout	523
418.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Location	532
419.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Control Characteristics	533
420.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Disassembled	535
421.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Layout	536
422.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Port Contour	537
423.	(U) Preburner Dome Cross Section	538
424.	(U) Secondary Oxidizer Flow Passage	538
425.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve and Injector Flow Passage Schematic	539

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
426.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Area Error/Positional Error vs Valve Stroke	540
427.	(U) Preburner Oxidizer Valve Effective Area vs Stroke	541
428.	(U) Flow Divider Valve	542
429.	(U) Upper Piston Rings Analyzed	543
430.	(U) Lower Piston Rings Analyzed	543
431.	(U) Nomenclature Explanation and Definition	545
432.	(U) Actuation Force vs Inlet Pressure	548
433.	(U) Preburner Dome Structural Analysis	548
434.	(U) Translating Shaft Lip Seal Package	549
435.	(U) Balance Piston Lip Seal Package and Upper Piston Ring	549
436.	(U) Lower Piston Ring Installation	550
437.	(U) Preburner Fuel Valve	554
438.	(U) Preburner Fuel Valve Operating Requirement	555
439.	(U) Hoop Seal Cross Section	556
440.	(U) Shaft Lip Seal	557
441.	(U) Main Flow Effective Area Schedule	558
442.	(U) Coolant Tapoff Area Schedule	558
443.	(U) Dynamic Torque Coefficient vs Shaft Angular Position	559
444.	(U) Shutoff Seal Torque	559
445.	(U) Internal Sleeve Valve (Out Flow) Candidate	561
446.	(U) External Sleeve Valve Candidate	562
447.	(U) Internal Sleeve Valve (Fixed Ports) Candidate	562

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
448.	(U) Internal Sleeve Valve (Movable Ports) Candidate . .	563
449.	(U) Sleeve Valve Diameter vs Stroke	563
450.	(U) Dynamic Force vs Thrust (Internal Sleeve Valve - Reverse Flow)	564
451.	(U) Dynamic Force vs Thrust (External Sleeve Valve) . .	565
452.	(U) Dynamic Force vs Thrust (Internal Sleeve Valve - Fixed Ports)	565
453.	(U) Dynamic Force vs Thrust (Internal Sleeve Valve - Movable Ports)	566
454.	(U) Dynamic Force Comparison of Sleeve Valve Candidates	566
455.	(U) Pintle Valve Candidate	567
456.	(U) Maximum Stroke vs Contour Angle (Pintle Valve) . .	568
457.	(U) Dynamic Force vs Thrust (Pintle Valve)	568
458.	(U) Inverted Pintle Valve Candidate	569
459.	(U) Throat Size Selection (Inverted Pintle)	569
460.	(U) Parametric Sizing for Inverted Pintle	570
461.	(U) Butterfly Valve Candidate	571
462.	(U) Angular Position vs Throat Diameter (Butterfly Valve)	571
463.	(U) Dynamic Torque vs Thrust (Butterfly Valve)	572
464.	(U) Effective Area vs Angular Position (Butterfly Valve)	572
465.	(U) Area Error vs Angular Position (Butterfly Valve) .	573
466.	(U) Preburner Fuel Valve Installation Schematic	573
467.	(U) Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve	579
468.	(U) Main Chamber Oxidizer Control Valve Operating Requirements	582

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
469.	(U) Hoop Seal Assembly Cross Section	583
470.	(U) Force, Load and Stress Analysis Summary	584
471.	(U) Shaft Lip Seals	585
472.	(U) Flange Stress Analysis Summary	586
473.	(U) Valve Pressure Drop vs Thrust	587
474.	(U) Valve Effective Area vs Shaft Angle	588
475.	(U) Valve Area Error per Degree Shaft Angle	588
476.	(U) Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve Shutoff Seal Cross Section Rig F-33466-11	590
477.	(U) Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve Shutoff Seal Overall View Rig F-33446-11	590
478.	(U) Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve Shutoff Seal Element, Rig F-33466-11	591
479.	(U) Revised Shaft Lip Seal Design	591
480.	(U) Cam-Actuated Shutoff Seal	592
481.	(U) Cam-Actuated Seal Parts Layout	593
482.	(U) Shutoff Seal Leakage vs Shutoff Cycles, Rig F-33466-11 Recorded 15 Minutes after Valve Closed	594
483.	(U) Seal Leakage vs Time, Rig F-33466-11	595
484.	(U) Disk Seal Leakage vs Shaft Angle, Rig F-33466-11	595
485.	(U) Post-Test Leakage, Rig F-33466-11	596
486.	(U) Hoop Seal Wear, Rig F-33466-11	597
487.	(U) Disk Seal Post-Test Condition, Rig F-33466-11	597
488.	(U) Water Calibration Results, Rig F-33466-11	598
489.	(U) Hoop Seal Condition, Post-Test, Rig F-33466-11	599
490.	(U) Hoop Seal Element Failure, Rig F-33466-11	599

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
491.	(U) Possible Cavitation Damage to Hoop Seal, Rig F-33466-11	600
492.	(U) Post-Test, Disk Sealing Surface Common Rig F-33466-11	600
493.	(U) Post-Test Teardown of Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve, Rig F-33466-11	601
494.	(U) Shutoff Seal Leakage vs Percent of Test Goal, Rig F-35106-8	603
495.	(U) Shutoff Seal Leakage vs Time, Rig F-35106-8	604
496.	(U) Shutoff Seal Leakage vs Shaft Position, Rig F-35106-8	604
497.	(U) Shutoff Seal Leakage vs Shutoff Cycles, Rig F-35106-8	605
498.	(U) Shutoff Seal Wear, Rig F-35106-8	606
499.	(U) Disk Surface Post-Test Condition, Rig F-35106-8	606
500.	(U) Water Calibration Results, Rig F-35106-8	607
501.	(U) Seal Element Damage After Water Calibration, Rig F-35106-8	607
502.	(U) Damaged Seal Element Rig F-35106-8	608
503.	(U) Location of Seal Damage Area	608
504.	(U) Support Area Crack, Rig F-35106-8	609
505.	(U) Disk Seal Surface, Rig F-35106-8	609
506.	(U) Crack in Cam Drive, Rig F-35106-8	610
507.	(U) Post-Test Parts Layout, Rig F-35106-8	611
508.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Coolant Supply System, Extended Position	613
509.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Coolant Supply System, Control Valve and Venturi	614

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
510.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Coolant Supply System, Coolant Flow	615
511.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Choked Venturi Configuration .	616
512.	(U) Triangular Transfer Tube Assembly Coolant Inlet Trunnion	617
513.	(U) Triangular Transfer Tube Assembly Closed-End Trunnion	617
514.	(U) Single Transfer Tube Assembly Ball Joint	618
515.	(U) Single Transfer Tube Assembly Ball Joint Passages and Shaft Retention	618
516.	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Venturi Flow, $r = 7$	619
517.	(U) Propellant Vent Valve	624
518.	(U) Shutoff Seal	625
519.	(U) Poppet Valve	627
520.	(U) Ball Valve	627
521.	(U) Blade Valve	628
522.	(U) XLR129-P-1 Helium System	632
523.	(U) Helium System Envelope	636
524.	(U) Helium System Mission Definition	637
525.	(U) Inverted C-Ring Detail Part, Fit and Installed Shape	640
526.	(U) Static Seal Rig	641
527.	(U) Flange Rework, Static Seal Test Rig	642
528.	(U) Pivot Ring Seal Configuration	642
529.	(U) Lead Plated Pivot Ring Static Seal Rig Test Results, Rig 35120-33	643
530.	(U) Toroidal-Segment Seal Test Results, Rig 35120-28	644

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
531.	(U) Toroidal-Segment Static Seal	646
532.	(U) Sealing Surfaces on Typical Installation	647
533.	(U) Radial and Axial Force Computation	647
534.	(U) Coupling Configuration	648
535.	(U) Predicted Coupling Deflection of Seal vs Weight . .	649
536.	(U) Finite Element Computer Program Predictions	651
537.	(U) Axial Deflection at ID of Flange, Rig 35120-3 . . .	652
538.	(U) Comparison of Predicted and Measured Stresses on OD Wall, Rig 35120-3	653
539.	(U) Static Seal Rig Zero Deflection Flanges	654
540.	(U) Static Seal Rig 0.002-Inch Deflection Flanges . . .	655
541.	(U) Static Seal Rig Flange Weight vs Seal Point Deflection	656
542.	(U) Static Seal Rig Deflection Compensation Schemes . .	657
543.	(U) Engineering Mockup, Two-Position Nozzle Retracted - 12-Inch Section Only (Sheet 1)	660
544.	(U) Engineering Mockup, Two-Position Nozzle Retracted - 12-Inch Section Only (Sheet 2)	660
545.	(U) Engineering Mockup, Two-Position Nozzle Retracted - 12-Inch Section Only (Sheet 3)	661
546.	(U) Engineering Mockup (Sheet 4)	661
547.	(U) Engineering Mockup (Sheet 5)	662
548.	(U) Engineering Mockup (Sheet 6)	662
549.	(U) Engineering Mockup (Sheet 7)	663
550.	(U) Engineering Mockup (Sheet 8)	663
551.	(U) Preburner Fuel Line	670

xxxvii

CONFIDENTIAL

(This page is Unclassified)

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Concluded)

Figure	Title	Page
552.	(U) Preburner Fuel Line Interface Moment vs Linear and Angular Mismatch Dimensions	671
553.	(U) Main Fuel Pump Discharge Plumbing	676
554.	(U) General Arrangement of Oxidizer Pump Discharge Lines with Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer	678
555.	(U) Schematic of Engine Looking Aft	681
556.	(U) Main Burner Oxidizer Supply	684
557.	(U) Fuel Pump Inlet Line	687
558.	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer-to-Oxidizer Turbopump	689
559.	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Drive Fuel Supply	690
560.	(U) Primary Nozzle Fuel Supply Line	692
561.	(U) Main Chamber Coolant Supply Line	694
562.	(U) Connector and Seal	696
563.	(U) Bobbin Seal Assembly	696
564.	(U) Inconel 718 High Pressure Boss Connector	697
565.	(U) Alternative Boss Connector Design	698
566.	(U) Line Connectors and Bosses	699
567.	(U) Tube Fittings	700
568.	(U) Plumbing Road Map	701
569.	(U) Axial Load Trace and Radial Load Increments for 3/8-inch Annealed Inconel 718 Seal (Specimen No. 1)	714
570.	(C) Fittings, Installation Torque 6000 psi	716
571.	(U) Nut, Inconel 718, Fully Heat Treated	717
572.	(U) Plain Flange, Inconel 718, Fully Heat Treated	718
573.	(U) Threaded Flange, Inconel 718, Fully Heat Treated	719
574.	(U) Seal, Annealed, Inconel 718	720

xxxviii

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
I	(U) Demonstrator Engine Characteristics	1
II	(U) Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster	25
III	(U) Demonstrator Engine Estimated Weight	38
IV	(U) Cycle Definition Procedure	42
V	(U) XLR129-P-1 Design Trades	48
VI	(U) Summary of Burn Damage	83
VII	(U) Summary of Measured Parameterd During Preburner Injector Testing	86
VIII	(U) Summary of Calculated Parameters During Preburner Injector Testing	87
IX	(U) Test Matrix	88
X	(U) Dimensional Characteristics of Elements Tested. .	88
XI	(U) Test Matrix Results	89
XII	(U) Typical Igniter Steady-State Performance for Various Propellant Tap-Off Locations	99
XIII	(U) Critical Design Requirements for Ignition System Electrical Exciter	101
XIV	(U) Transition Case Weight Breakdown	127
XV	(U) Truncated Sphere Stress Data (400 psig Internal Pressure)	131
XVI	(U) Intersecting Spheres Proportional Limit Test Data	133
XVII	(U) Calculated Stresses (Allowable Based on 90% Waspaloy Data)	137
XVIII	(U) Hand-Welded Specimen Heat Treatment	140
XIX	(U) Hand-Welded Specimen Tensile Test Results	140
XX	(U) Machine-Welded Specimen Test Results	141

xxxix

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Title	Page
XXI	(U) Hand "T" Weld Specimen Testing Results	142
XXII	(U) Cooled Duct vs Uncooled Duct Weight Study	143
XXIII	(U) Estimated Mass Flow Distribution at Turbine Inlets	148
XXIV	(U) Results of Rigimesh Tensile Tests	190
XXV	(U) Chamber Internal Geometry	211
XXVI	(U) Comparison of Phase I, Contract AF04(611)-11401 and Current Design Schemes	226
XXVII	(U) Primary Nozzle Operating Conditions at Design Point	240
XXVIII	(U) Translating System Weights	258
XXIV	(U) Two-Position Nozzle Heat Exchanger Operating Conditions	258
XXIX	(U) Comparison of Material Candidates	266
XXX	(U) Properties of Inconel 625 (AMS 5599)	266
XXXI	(U) Configuration Study.	267
XXXII	(U) Thermal Fatigue Cycling Test Results	278
XXXIII	(U) Clearance Data	287
XXXIV	(U) Gear Weight Comparison Data	291
XXXV	(U) Gear Design Data	292
XXXVI	(U) Gear Design Data	293
XXXVII	(U) Translating Mechanism Lock Design Data	295
XXXVIII	(U) Ball Nut Gimbal Design Loads	296
XXXIX	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Operating Conditions at Design Point	302
XL	(U) General Selection Criteria	318
XLI	(U) Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Non-Cavitating Hydraulic Design Parameters	325

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Title	Page
XLII	(U) XLR-129 Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Non-Cavitating Hydraulic Design Parameters	327
XLIII	(U) Stress Comparison of XLR-129 Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine and the RL10 Turbine	337
XLIV	(U) Operating Point Conditions	341
XLV	(U) Vane-Streamline Deviation	354
XLVI	(U) Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Turbine Rotor Blade Stress Analysis	358
XLVII	(U) Fuel Turbopump Design Point Conditions	364
XLVIII	(C) Hydrodynamic Design Parameters 100% Thrust, $r = 5$	365
XLIX	(C) Turbine Aerodynamics at 100% Thrust and $r = 5$	385
L	(C) Thermodynamic Information for Blades and Vanes at 100% Thrust and $r = 5$	386
LI	(U) Liquid Hydrogen Pump Operational Characteristics.	409
LII	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Operating Conditions at Design Point.	456
LIII	(U) Hydraulic Design Parameters	457
LIV	(U) Mounting Effects on Oxidizer Turbopump Bearings	467
LV	(U) Front Bearing Coolant Flow	468
LVI	(U) Rear Bearing Coolant Flow	469
LVII	(C) Turbine Aerodynamics at 100% Thrust and $r = 7$	475
LVIII	(C) Thermodynamic Information for Blades and Vanes at 100% Thrust and $r = 7$	476
LIX	(U) Calculated and Allowable 2nd-Stage Turbine Blade and Disk Stresses	482
LX	(U) Oxidizer Turbopump Turbine Coolant Flow System Flow Rates, Pressures, Temperatures, and Orifice Sizes	485

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF TABLES (Concluded)

Table	Title	Page
LXI	(U) Liquid Oxygen Pump Operational Characteristics . .	493
LXII	(U) Percentage Change from Unbalanced Ring	544
LXIII	(U) Nomenclature Definition	546
LXIV	(U) Comparison of New and Tested Piston Rings	546
LXV	(U) Weight and Power	575
LXVI	(U) Valve Rating Based on Single Inlets Unbalanced Actuation Shafts	576
LXVII	(U) Valve Rating Based on Single Inlets and Balanced Actuation Shafts	577
LXVIII	(U) Valve Rating on Double Inlets (Where Applicable) and Balanced Actuation Shafts	578
LXIX	(U) Relative Development Ranking	629
LXX	(U) Solenoid Valve Description	635
LXXI	(U) XLR129 Helium System Solenoid Valve Failure Analysis	638
LXXII	(U) Applicable Commercial Seals	658
LXXIII	(U) Flange Design Summary	673
LXXIV	(U) Bolt Loads and Stress of Studs Used at Collector to Lox Inducer Flange	679
LXXV	(U) Tube Analysis Input and Results	680
LXXVI	(U) Total Tolerance Stackup	682
LXXVII	(U) Computer Design Results	685
LXXVIII	(U) Fuel Small Lines Summary	703
LXXIX	(U) Oxidizer Small Lines Summary	707
LXXX	(U) Helium Small Lines Summary	708
LXXXI	(U) Load Test Results for 3/8- and 1-in. Seals Machined from Annealed Inconel 718	714

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_{cd}	Effective Flow Area, in. ²
A_s	Slot Area, in. ²
A_o	Element Area, in. ²
A_1	Inlet flow area excluding blockage, in. ²
A_2	Exit flow area excluding blockage, in. ²
B_x	Blade Angle Distribution
B_2	Exit blade height, in.
C	Radial clearance, in.
C_L	Coefficient of Lift
C_{M1}	Inlet meridional velocity, fps
C_{M2}	Exit meridional velocity, fps
C_r	Clearance, in.
C_{U1}	Inlet tangential velocity, fps
C_{U2}	Exit tangential velocity, fps
C_1	Inlet absolute velocity, fps
C_2	Exit absolute velocity, fps
C_3	Absolute Volute Velocity, fps

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Continued)

D_1	Diffuser Inlet Diameter, in.
D_2	Diffuser Exit Diameter, in.
D_{1h}	Inlet hub diameter, in.
D_{2h}	Exit hub diameter, in.
D_{1M}	Inlet mean diameter, in.
D_{2M}	Exit mean diameter, in.
D_{1T}	Inlet tip diameter, in.
D_{2T}	Exit tip diameter, in.
i	Leading Edge Incidence, deg
I_s	Specific Impulse (instantaneous), $lb_f - sec/lb_m$
L/R	Diffuser Length to Inlet Radius Ratio
l_x	Mean axial length, in. tip
M	Momentum, Ft-lb/sec
N	Speed, rpm
S	Specific speed
$NPSH_{Fluid}$	Net positive suction head in fluid LH_2 ft required
$NPSH_{H_2O}$	Net positive suction head in H_2O ft required
P	Pressure, psi
P_c	Chamber Pressure (throat total), psia

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Continued)

P_S	Static Pressure, psi
P_{VAP}	Vapor pressure, psi/ft
P_1	Inlet pressure, psi total required
Q_1	Flow rate at inlet/exit, gpm
R_c	Cutwater Radius, in.
R_{c3}	Velocity Correction Factor, C_3/C_2
r	Mixture Ratio (oxidizer to final) by weight
S	Suction specific speed
S_{Fluid}	Suction specific speed in fluid LiH_2 capability
S_{H_2O}	Suction specific speed in H_2O capability
SMD	Sauter Mean Diameter, in.
t_c	Cutwater Thickness, in.
t_h	Hub Thickness, in.
T_{H1}	Inlet hub blade thickness, in.
T_{H2}	Exit hub blade thickness, in.
t_t	Tip Thickness, in.
T_{T1}	Inlet tip blade thickness, in.
T_{T2}	Exit tip blade thickness, in.
TSH	Thermodynamic suppression head, ft
T_1	Inlet temp., °R

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Continued)

U_{1T}	Inlet blade tip speed, fps
U_{2m}	Exit mean blade speed, fps
$\dot{W}$	Flow rate, lb/sec
$\dot{W}_c$	Cooling Flowrate, lb/sec
X	Number of Diffusers
Z	Number of Blades
σ	Surface Tension
α_2	Absolute Fluid Angle, deg
β_{1h}	Inlet hub blade angle, degrees
β_{2h}	Exit hub blade angle, degrees
β_{1M}	Inlet mean blade angle, degrees
β_{2M}	Exit mean blade angle, degrees
β_{1T}	Inlet tip blade angle, degrees
β_{2T}	Exit tip blade angle, degrees
β_3	Volute Width, in.
ΔH_{TP}	Total head rise overall, ft
ψ_{TP}	Total Head Coefficient
ΔP_{TP}	Total pressure rise, overall, psi
ΔH_{SP}	Static head rise overall, ft
ψ_{SP}	Static Head Coefficient
ΔP_{SP}	Static pressure rise overall, psi

UNCLASSIFIED

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Concluded)

ΔH_{T_I}	Static head rise rotor, ft
ψ_{S_I}	Rotor Head Coefficient
ΔP_{T_I}	Total pressure rise rotor, psi
ΔH_{S_I}	Static head rise rotor, ft
ΔP_{S_I}	Static pressure rise rotor, psi
δ	Specific Gravity
ϵ	Nozzle Area Ratio
η	Efficiency, % overall
η_c^*	(Average Temperature/Ideal Temperature) ^{0.5} x 100
η_{IO}	Engine Impulse Efficiency, percent
θ	Camber, deg
2θ	Diffuser Included Angle, deg
λ_1	Inlet Hub-Tip Diameter Ratio
λ_2	Exit Hub-Tip Diameter Ratio
μ	Viscosity, lb-sec/ft ²
ρ	Density, slug/ft ³ , lb-sec/ft ⁴
ρ_i	Inlet/exit density, lb/ft ³
σ	solidity
ϕ_{it}	Inlet Tip Flow Coefficient
ϕ_{2M}	Flow coeff. @ mean exit diameter
ϕ_{1T}	Flow coeff. @ inlet tip diameter
$\downarrow$	Head Coefficient

xlvii/xlviii (blank)

UNCLASSIFIED

**SECTION I
INTRODUCTION**

	Page
A. General	1
B. Program Tasks	3

CONFIDENTIAL

SECTION I INTRODUCTION

A. GENERAL

(U) The Air Force XLR129-P-1 Reusable Rocket Engine Program is an Advanced Development Program that covers a 54-month period starting 6 November 1967 and ending 6 May 1972. The overall objective of this program is to demonstrate the performance and mechanical integrity of a 250K, oxygen-hydrogen, reusable rocket engine having characteristics outlined in table I.

(U) Table I. Demonstrator Engine Characteristics

Nominal Thrust	250,000-lb vacuum thrust with area ratio of 166:1 244,000-lb vacuum thrust with area ratio of 75:1 209,000-lb sea level thrust with area ratio of 35:1
Minimum Delivered Specific Impulse Efficiency	96% of theoretical shifting I_g at nominal thrust; 94% of theoretical shifting I_g during throttling
Throttling Range	Continuous from 100 to 20% of nominal thrust over the mixture ratio range
Overall Mixture Ratio Range	Engine operation from 5.0:1 to 7.0:1
Rated Chamber Pressure	2740 psia
Engine Weight (with 75:1 nozzle)	3520 lb with flight-type actuators and engine command unit 3380 lb without flight-type actuators and engine command unit
Expansion Ratio	Two-position booster-type nozzle with area ratios of 35:1 and 75:1
Durability	10 hours time between overhauls, 100 reuses, 300 starts, 300 thermal cycles, 10,000 valve cycles
Single Continuous Run Duration	Capability from 10 seconds to 600 seconds
Engine Starts	Multiple restart at sea level or altitude
Thrust Vector Control	Amplitude: ± 7 deg Rate: 30 deg/sec Acceleration: 30 rad/sec ²


CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table I. Demonstrator Engine Characteristics (Continued)

Control Capability	±3% accuracy in thrust and mixture ratio at nominal thrust. Excursions from extreme to extreme in thrust and mixture ratio within 5 seconds.
Propellant Conditions	LO ₂ : 16 ft NPSH from 1 atmosphere boiling temperature to 180°R LH ₂ : 60 ft NPSH from 1 atmosphere boiling temperature to 45°R
Environmental Conditions	Sea level to vacuum conditions Combined acceleration: 10 g axial with 2 g transverse, 6.5 g axial with 3 g transverse, 3 g axial with 6 g transverse
Engine/Vehicle	The engine will receive no external power, with the exception of normal electrical power and 1500-psia helium from the vehicle

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) The XLR129-P-1 demonstrator engine program schedule is shown in figure 1. The program has been divided into five tasks. Task 1 which has already been completed, generated test and analytical data to complete the necessary technology to design the engine and components. During task 2, all the components and the demonstrator engine were designed. This milestone report describes the results of work accomplished during task 2 and presents the mechanical description, operating characteristics, and design approach of each major component and the demonstrator engine. During task 3, components will be fabricated and tested to qualify them for engine use. Task 4 will be the integration of components into the demonstrator engine and testing of the demonstrator engine. A flight engine will be defined in task 5.



(U) Figure 1. XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Engine Program Schedule

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

B. PROGRAM TASKS

(U) The entire program consists of five tasks and specific subtasks as follows:

Task 1 - Supporting Data and Analysis

Subtasks

- 1.1 - Fixed Fuel Area Preburner Injector Evaluation
- 1.2 - Roller Bearing Durability Tests
- 1.3 - Pump Inlet Evaluation
- 1.4 - Nozzle Fabrication Investigation
- 1.5 - Controls Component Test

Task 2 - Design

Subtasks

- 2.1 - Preburner Injector
- 2.2 - Main Burner Injector
- 2.3 - Nozzles
- 2.4 - Main Burner Chamber
- 2.5 - Transition Case
- 2.6 - Fuel Turbopump
- 2.7 - Oxidizer Turbopump
- 2.8 - Fuel Low-Speed Inducer
- 2.9 - Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer
- 2.10 - Control System

Task 3 - Component Development

Subtasks

- 3.1 - Preburner Injector
- 3.2 - Main Burner Injector
- 3.3 - Nozzles
- 3.4 - Main Burner Chamber

UNCLASSIFIED

Subtasks

3.5 - Transition Case

3.6 - Fuel Turbopump

3.7 - Oxidizer Turbopump

3.8 - Fuel Low-Speed Inducer

3.9 - Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer

3.10- Control System

Task 4 - Engine Integration and Demonstration

Task 5 - Flight Engine Definition

UNCLASSIFIED

SECTION II SUMMARY

	Page
A. Demonstrator Engine	5
B. Preburner Injector	7
C. Transition Case	9
D. Main Burner Injector	10
E. Main Burner Chamber	11
F. Primary Nozzle	12
G. Two-Position Nozzle and Translating Mechanism	13
H. Low-Speed Inducer	14
I. Fuel Turbopump	14
J. Oxidizer Turbopump	15
K. Control System	19

CONFIDENTIAL


SECTION II SUMMARY

A. DEMONSTRATOR ENGINE

1. Background

(C) Early studies of advanced liquid rocket engines by Pratt & Whitney Aircraft showed that high combustion chamber pressure could provide high performance and high thrust per unit area of the exhaust nozzle. These studies also showed the critical technology areas were cooling and turbo-machinery. Air Force contracts directly related to the high-pressure rocket engine investigations are: AF04(611)-7435 High-Pressure Rocket Engine Feasibility, AF04(611)-10372 Staged Combustion Research, and AF04(611)-11401 Advanced Cryogenic Rocket Engine. NASA contracts directly related to high-pressure rocket engine investigations were: NAS8-11427 Design Study Engine System for Upper Stages of Up-rated Saturn, NAS8-11714 Design, Fabricate, and Test a Breadboard Liquid Hydrogen Pump, and NAS8-20540 Liquid Oxygen Turbopump Study. The Advanced Engine Design Study, conducted under NASA contract NAS8-11427, showed that a single pre-burner, staged-combustion cycle, high-pressure, oxygen-hydrogen, bell-nozzle rocket engine provided flexibility, envelope, and the high performance required for future advanced vehicle applications. During this NASA contract, detailed cycle studies indicated a single-preburner, staged-combustion cycle provided the best compromise between delivered specific impulse, weight, and complexity. These cycle studies also showed that a 5 to 7 mixture ratio range capability and a 10:1 throttling range could be provided with a minimum number of control points. The exploratory development programs demonstrated the feasibility of these component concepts to permit the initiation of an Advanced Development Program. During Phase I of the Air Force Advanced Development Program for a High Performance Cryogenic Rocket Engine under Contract AF04(611)-11401, a two-position, translating bell-nozzle concept was developed that provided a more compact bell-nozzle engine. At the end of Phase I, which ended 30 September 1967, the high-pressure engine had evolved to a flexible design. By fitting nozzle skirts of different area ratios, the same engine could be optimized for a variety of missions. Today the engine design shown in figure 2 offers high performance, altitude compensation, versatility and long life in a compact engine package.

CONFIDENTIAL



FDC 27533E

(U) Figure 2. XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Engine

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL


2. Design

(U) During Phase II, covering the present contract (F04(611)-68-C-0002), the design of the 250K demonstrator engine and its major components, including plumbing, has now been completed. Design of the engine and major components is based on proven test technology; namely, all the major components such as the combustion devices and turbomachinery have been tested at either the 250K or 350K thrust level, demonstrating their feasibility. Design studies were also conducted on the demonstrator engine in the areas of engine mockup and plumbing. A full-scale mockup of the demonstrator engine was used as a working tool during design. Numerous design iterations have been conducted on the mockup for component arrangement and plumbing. A satisfactory component arrangement for the engine mockup has been established. In the area of the engine plumbing, satisfactory designs and arrangements have been established for the fuel pump discharge lines, the preburner fuel inlet line, the main burner oxidizer inlet line, and associated components such as actuators, rods, and small connectors. Engine system analyses have also been conducted during the program to define component design requirements, estimate capabilities of the integrated engine system, and to include the results of component and engine tests. These analyses include: steady-state analysis, transient analysis, shutdown analysis, special design-cycle studies, and generation of performance data.

B. PREBURNER INJECTOR

(C) The preburner is an oxygen-hydrogen combustor supplying hot gases to drive the oxidizer and fuel pump turbines. Because preburner gases are used to drive the fuel and oxidizer pump turbines, the design goal temperature profile is 150°R peak-to-average to permit operating the turbines at the maximum allowable average temperature. The design of the preburner injector consists of 254 dual-orifice, tangential-swirler oxidizer elements, with concentric fuel annuli around each oxidizer element. All are arranged in a hexagonal pattern shown in figure 3. This design has demonstrated a peak-to-average combustion temperature profile of 76°R in a radial plane at an average gas temperature of 2388°R. This fixed-area, fuel-injection design concept is feasible because density changes occurring in gaseous fuel allow throttling while simultaneously maintaining a suitable injection velocity. However, because liquid oxygen is essentially incompressible, the dual-orifice principle is applied to a slot-swirler element to provide suitable injection velocity over the throttled range. The preburner-injector housing has 28 slots to allow gaseous hydrogen to flow from the outer fuel manifold to the manifold behind the faceplate. Primary oxidizer flow enters the primary oxidizer manifold through six equally spaced ports in the preburner-injector housing. Secondary oxidizer flow arrives at the secondary oxidizer manifold directly from the preburner oxidizer valve. The porous injector faceplate is fabricated from Rigmesh. Ignition systems will be integral spark igniter-exciter units that are mounted on both the preburner and main chamber. Two systems will be provided for the preburner and two for the main chamber to provide total spark redundancy.

CONFIDENTIAL



FD 259768


(U) Figure 3. Preburner Injector Cross Section

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

C. TRANSITION CASE

(C) The transition case consists of four spheres; one main sphere and three small spheres whose centerlines intersect the main sphere at right angles. The smaller spheres act as the attachment points for three major components; the preburner injector, the oxidizer turbopump, and the fuel turbopump. The main sphere centerline coincides with the engine thrust axis. The entire assembly is a pressure vessel. The transition case contains internal ducting that routes preburner discharge gases through the fuel and oxidizer pump turbines and to the main burner injector as well. The goal of the transition case subtask is to demonstrate the structural adequacy of the engine transition case when operating at an internal pressure of 4856 psia and with an internal gas temperature as high as 2325°R. A full-scale mockup of the primary structure of the transition case is shown in figure 4. With incorporation of the preburner injector, fuel turbopump, and oxidizer turbopump, the transition case is a self-contained powerhead supplying the main-burner thrust chamber with high-pressure propellants necessary to produce the design thrust. Moreover, it serves as the primary combustor stage for the staged-combustion cycle. Internal ducting of the transition case splits the hot fuel-rich gases from the preburner to provide adequate gas flow to each turbine. The fuel turbine requires about twice the mass flow required to drive the oxidizer turbine. Cooling liners, positioned between the outer case and the hot-gas flowpath, are included to keep the outer case temperature below 540°R. A satisfactory design of the transition case has evolved and meets all established design criteria for this important component.




(U) Figure 4. Transition Case Full-Scale Mockup

CONFIDENTIAL

D. MAIN BURNER INJECTOR

(U) The main burner injector introduces, atomizes, and mixes liquid oxygen with the hot, fuel-rich turbine discharge (preburner combustion products) so efficient and stable combustion is achieved over the full operating range of thrust and mixture ratios. The main burner injector design consists of the oxidizer manifold and housing, spraybar-type internal manifolds, oxidizer-injection elements, and the porous faceplate as shown in figure 5. The main injector housing consists of an oxidizer-inlet horn, the oxidizer manifold, and crossover passages to the spraybars. The spraybar injector body consists of 48 individually machined spraybars brazed into the oxidizer-manifold ring. The spraybars are individually supported at the outside diameter only, thus permitting free thermal growth. This approach simplifies manufacturing and provides a lightweight design. Forty-eight radial spraybars are divided into three groups; 12 long spraybars equally spaced around the circumference, 12 medium spraybars equally spaced between the long spraybars, and 24 short spraybars equally spaced between the medium and long spraybars. This arrangement yields the maximum number of spraybars consistent with mechanical considerations, and results in good oxidizer-element density and uniform radial flow distribution. Self-atomizing injection elements are spaced along the spraybars to obtain good atomization and distribution. The fuel faceplate is made of Rigmesh, which forms the support structure as well as the porous face. The faceplate directs approximately 92% of the hot, fuel-rich, preburner combustion gases through slots surrounding the oxidizer-injector elements. The remainder of the gas passes through the porous faceplate. Major components are assembled by brazing and welding techniques that simplify manufacturing the components. This main-burner injector configuration represents a minimum overall length and weight design that satisfies the demonstrator engine cycle requirements.




(U) Figure 5. Main Burner Injector Configuration

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

E. MAIN BURNER CHAMBER

(C) The main burner chamber contains the pressure resulting from propellant combustion, serves as the structural member supporting the primary and two-position nozzles, transmits thrust, and absorbs gimbaling actuator loads. The overall goals of the main burner chamber subtask are to design, build, and demonstrate through full-scale testing, performance and operational capability of a lightweight, durable, thrust chamber for use in the demonstrator engine program over the specified throttling and mixture ratio ranges. Ignition capability must also be demonstrated at both sea level and altitude conditions. The main burner chamber design consists of two main components; an outer pressure shell and a transpiration cooled, copper wafer, chamber liner shown in figure 6. The outer pressure shell also provides the coolant manifold and serves as a mount for attaching the chamber liner in two-sections. Copper cylindrical wafers forming the chamber are divided into 28 zones. Each wafer consists of front and back plates. A zone is a collection of composite wafers fed by interconnected zone coolant manifolds. The chamber liner consists of a stackup of 512 0.040-inch thick copper wafer halves brazed together. Spiral groove patterns photoetched into one side of each wafer-half provide the path from the zone coolant manifold to the inside diameter of the chamber where they open into the main burner chamber. Composite wafers are constructed of two half-plates brazed at the unetched center plane with an axial thermal relief slot in the front wafer-half. By locating the slot in this plane, the heat exchanger spiral grooves on the opposite face are not affected. The addition of axial thermal strain relief slots minimizes the wafer thermal strain level by allowing free axial growth at the hot wall of the chamber, thus producing an acceptable low cycle-fatigue life.




FOC 31972

(U) Figure 6. Main Burner Chamber Assembly Side View

CONFIDENTIAL

F. PRIMARY NOZZLE

(C) The function of the primary nozzle is to contain the combustion gases and allow their shock-free expansion from an area ratio of 5.3:1 to 35:1. High-pressure hydrogen from the fuel pump is supplied as coolant to two regeneratively cooled portions of the primary nozzle. A second function of the primary nozzle is to provide structural support for the two-position nozzle. A third function is to act as heat exchangers to condition the hydrogen supplied to the transpiration-cooled chamber and the preburner injector. The primary nozzle design consists of two tubular, regeneratively-cooled, heat exchangers shown in figure 7. The downstream heat exchanger is double pass, and supplies hydrogen to the hydrogen inducer turbine and transpiration-cooled main burner chamber. The upstream heat exchanger is single pass, and cools the nozzle from an area ratio of 5.3 to 18 using approximately 85 percent of the pump discharge hydrogen flow prior to delivery to the preburner injector. Both heat exchangers are shaped from tubes forming the desired nozzle contour. Based upon low cycle-fatigue data, Inconel 625 (AMS 5666B) was selected for heat exchanger tube material. This material is easily welded and may be used after welding without further heat treatment. The support for the two-position nozzle is accomplished by the rear thrust bearings for the jackscrew actuators being supported in a circumferential ring at the midspan of the transpiration heat exchanger.



FD 37255


(U) Figure 7. Primary Nozzle Configuration

CONFIDENTIAL

G. TWO-POSITION NOZZLE AND TRANSLATING MECHANISM

(C) The function of the two-position nozzle in the extended position is to contain the combustion gases and allow additional shock-free expansion from an area ratio of 35:1 to 75:1. The two-position nozzle translates to provide a compact engine package in the retracted position. The translating mechanism is designed to provide positive extending and retracting of the two-position nozzle during engine operation. The two-position nozzle design consists of a circumferential coolant distribution manifold, a smooth nozzle outer skin with circumferential stiffening bands, and a corrugated inner nozzle skin shown in figure 8. The corrugated inner skin forms longitudinal coolant passages. The two-position nozzle has a baseline contour starting at an area ratio of 35:1 and extends to a ratio of 75:1. This nozzle is designed to be dump cooled with low-pressure hydrogen taken from the fuel pump interstage. During sea level and low altitude operation with the nozzle retracted, coolant flow is not required. When the nozzle is extended, coolant is expanded through small nozzles at the ends of the corrugated coolant passages. Expansion of this warm hydrogen gas produces a specific impulse comparable to the main stream specific impulse. The nozzle is designed to withstand the maximum thrust pressure load plus a 10 g axial maneuver load. The translating mechanism provides precision positioning in the extended and retracted positions. Positive locking devices maintain the nozzle position when the engine is not operating.

Material	Inconel 625
Outer Sheet	Smooth
Outer Sheet Thickness	0.016
Inner Sheet	Corrugated
Inner Sheet Thickness	0.010 in.
Number Corrugations	360
Inlet Dia	46 in.
Exit Dia	66 in.
Length	50 in.
Weight (Sea Level)	227 lb
Weight (Altitude)	186 lb



GS 12308

(U) Figure 8. Two-Position Nozzle Design

CONFIDENTIAL

H. LOW SPEED INDUCERS

(C) The function of the fuel and oxidizer low-speed inducers is to supply propellants to the main turbopumps at a pressure (NPSH) sufficient to prevent cavitation. This permits the vehicle propellant tanks to be maintained at a lower pressure thus saving tank and vehicle weight. The overall goal of the fuel and oxidizer low-speed inducer subtasks is to demonstrate performance and operational capability for use in the demonstrator engine. The three-bladed fuel inducer is driven by a single-stage partial-admission turbine. The fuel inducer operates independently of the main turbopump and at a speed lower than the main turbopump. This permits the fuel inducer to operate with a low inlet NPSH without cavitation. The fuel inducer is capable of operating with an NPSH as low as 60 feet, over a hydrogen inlet temperature range, from 36°R to 45°R. This inducer is designed for a suction specific speed of 48,400 rpm GPM^{1/2}/ft^{3/4} and a maximum pressure rise of 90 psid. The fuel low-speed inducer consists of bearings, shaft and thrust piston, turbine, and housings and is shown in figure 9. The oxidizer low-speed inducer is a single shaft axial flow unit with high suction specific speed. It is driven by a variable-admission, single-stage, radial-inflow, hydraulic turbine. The turbine is driven by fluid supplied from the discharge of the main oxidizer turbopump. The oxidizer inducer is of helical design with three blades, and is attached to the drive shaft and turbine assembly as shown in figure 10. The shaft axial thrust imbalance is absorbed by a single acting thrust balance piston. The oxidizer inducer was designed to operate at a minimum NPSH of 16 feet over an oxygen inlet temperature range from 162°R to 180°R with a suction specific speed of 44,000 rpm GPM^{1/2}/ft^{3/4} and a maximum pressure rise of 197 psid. Both inducers are designed for 100 reuses and a 10-hour life between overhaul.

I. FUEL TURBOPUMP

(C) The fuel turbopump supplies liquid hydrogen to the primary nozzle, the two-position nozzle and to the preburner injector at sufficient pressure and flowrates for engine operation from 20% to 100% maximum thrust and at mixture ratios from 5.0 to 7.0. The overall goal of the fuel turbopump subtask is to demonstrate an operational capability for use in the demonstrator engine program. The demonstrator engine requires the fuel turbopump to deliver liquid hydrogen at a flowrate of 91.3 lb/sec at a pressure of 5654 psia at its design point of 100% thrust and a mixture ratio of 5. The design of the fuel turbopump is shown in figure 11. The two-stage turbine delivers approximately 49,900 horsepower to the pump and operates at a maximum inlet temperature of 2325°R at 100% thrust and a mixture ratio of 7. The fuel pump must also demonstrate satisfactory starting capability and stable operation over the engine operating range of 20 to 100% thrust and mixture ratio range of 5 to 7. Pump life is based on 10 hours between overhaul and 100 reuses. Major components of the fuel turbopump are the pump, turbine, rotor assembly, and housings. The pump section includes the inducer, two bearings and mount systems, two impellers, and the thrust balance system. The high-speed inducer has three equally spaced helical blades. The roller bearings are 55 x 96.5 mm and are hydrogen cooled. The two pump impellers have 24 equally spaced, curved blades divided into increments of 6 long blades, 6 medium length splitter blades, and 12 short


CONFIDENTIAL

splitter blades. The thrust balance system, designed to compensate for any net axial imbalance during operation, provides a force of 50,000 pounds using a 2100 psia pressure difference. The thrust balance system consists of the thrust piston, the thrust piston housing, and the rear bearing housing. The two-stage turbine is cantilevered from the rear bearing assembly and consists of two turbine stages, inlet ducting, a support structure, and inlet and exit ducting. The pump housings consist of the inducer housing, the main housing, the thrust piston housing, and the rear bearing housing. Inconel 600 (AMS 5665) is used for the inducer housing and Inconel 718 (AMS 5663) is used for the other housings.

J. OXIDIZER TURBOPUMP


(C) The oxidizer turbopump supplies liquid oxygen to the preburner injector and main burner injector at sufficient pressure and flowrates for engine operation from 20 to 100% of maximum thrust and at a mixture ratio range from 5 to 7. The overall goal of the oxidizer turbopump subtask is to demonstrate performance and operational capability for use in the demonstrator engine program. The demonstrator engine requires the oxidizer turbopump to deliver liquid oxygen at a flowrate of 481 lb/sec at a pressure of 4800 psia at 100% thrust and mixture ratio of 7. The design of the oxidizer turbopump assembly is shown in figure 12. The oxidizer turbopump is a single-shaft unit with a single-stage shrouded centrifugal impeller driven by a two-stage, pressure-compounded turbine. The rotor shaft is supported by two antifriction 55 x 110 mm ball bearings. The forward bearing is located between the impeller and the thrust balance assembly, and the rear bearing is located in front of the turbine and separated from the turbine by a low leakage labyrinth seal. The rear bearing is cooled by liquid hydrogen and the front bearing is cooled by liquid oxygen. The bearings are separated by a low-leakage seal package that vents coolant leakage overboard. The seal package consists of one lift-off seal and five labyrinth seals. The two-stage turbine delivers a maximum of 18,000 horsepower to the pump and operates at a maximum inlet temperature of 2325°R at 100% and a mixture ratio of 7.0. The two-stage turbine consists of the turbine inlet duct, the two turbine stages, and the exit duct. Life is based on 10-hours time between overhaul and 100 reuses. The oxidizer turbopump consists of a pump, turbine, and housings. The pump section consists of the inducer impeller, bearings and mount system, thrust balance system, and the seals. The high speed inducer consists of three equally spaced helical blades having a constant tip diameter. The single stage impeller has 12 equally spaced, curved blades that are divided into increments of three full blades, three long splitter blades, and six short splitter blades. The impeller is completely shrouded and is fabricated from Inconel 718 (AMS 5563). The thrust balance system has a capability of 45,800 pounds at 100% thrust and a mixture ratio of 5. The housings consists of an inlet housing, center housing, and rear housing.

CONFIDENTIAL



(U) Figure 9. Fuel Low-Speed Inducer

UNCLASSIFIED




FD 33043A

(U) Figure 10. Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer

UNCLASSIFIED


UNCLASSIFIED



(U) Figure 11. Fuel Turbopump Assembly

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



GS 12388A

(U) Figure 12. Oxidizer Turbopump Assembly

K. CONTROL SYSTEM

(U) A closed loop control system is required to ensure safe, precise, and responsive performance of the engine throughout its operating range. The planned system will accept vehicle or "man-in-the-loop" command signals at any rate or sequence, and provide rapid response within the functional and structural limits of the engine. The system will be stable at any setting and will respond smoothly to command.

(U) Four discrete electric current signals from the vehicle will accomplish engine starting, shutdown, and modulation of thrust and mixture ratio. The control signals may originate either in the vehicle guidance control or a pilot's command console in a manned vehicle. Response of the engine to these signals will be governed by an electronic Engine Command Unit (ECU). The demonstrator engine ECU will be a solid-state electronic component incorporating all flight engine control logic. The control valves, actuators, igniters, and plumbing will be lightweight, flight-type parts contained within the engine envelope. The closed loop control system will use flowmeters in both propellant lines to generate signals proportional to actual thrust and mixture ratio. These flowmeter signals will be compared to the vehicle input signals in the ECU and will automatically correct any difference between actual and desired values by modulating the engine propellant valves. An analysis of the XLR129-P-1 rocket engine cycle has established the following four control points are required for satisfactory steady-state operation: (1) pre-burner oxidizer valve, (2) preburner fuel valve (3) main-chamber oxidizer valve, and (4) oxidizer low-speed inducer, variable turbine, actuator. Several on-off sequenced valves are also used in the control system as follows: (1) nozzle-skirt coolant valve, (2) propellant vent valves, and

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(3) helium system valves. The control system consists of a basic control computer that includes scheduled valve and oxidizer low-speed inducer turbine areas, with limited authority trim based on measured engine parameters. Various engine operating limits will be protected by override authority. Control capability protecting the engine is critical to a man-rated system. Within the demonstrator engine operating envelope, the propellant schedule in the control will prohibit operation beyond component limits. The principal control valves and subsystems have been designed, and are as follows: (1) preburner oxidizer valve, (2) preburner fuel valve, (3) main-chamber oxidizer valve, (4) two-position nozzle coolant supply system (5) propellant vent valves, and (6) helium supply system.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECTION III
ENGINE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND PERFORMANCE


	Page
A. Description	21
B. Operating Characteristics	24
C. Layout and Schematic	31
D. Weight	31
E. Interfaces	31
F. Design Criteria	40
G. Systems Analysis	40
H. Performance Data	56

CONFIDENTIAL

SECTION III ENGINE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND PERFORMANCE

A. DESCRIPTION


(U) The staged-combustion, high-pressure demonstrator engine with a two-position bell-nozzle is a 250,000-lb thrust (class), throttleable, high-performance propulsion system. The operating envelope of thrust and mixture ratio is shown in figure 13 and engine characteristics are provided in table I. Nozzle interchangeability and the two-position nozzle concept permit operation of the same engine system with optimum nozzle area ratios for improving the performance of the lower or upper stages. This interchangeability is achieved by using the same turbomachinery power package and attaching the desired nozzle skirt for the various application requirements. A cutaway view of the engine is presented in figure 14. A propellant flow schematic illustrating the principal flow-paths is presented in figure 15.



DFC 70704

(U) Figure 13. Operating Range for Demonstrator Engine

CONFIDENTIAL




CONFIDENTIAL

FDC 27533E

(U) Figure 14. XLR129-P-1 Demonstrator Engine

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



(U) Figure 15. Demonstrator Engine Propellant Flow Schematic

(U) Hydrogen and oxygen enter at the engine-driven low-speed inducers. The low-speed inducers minimize vehicle tank pressure requirements while allowing high-speed main propellant pumps to obtain high turbopump efficiencies. The fuel low-speed inducer is a single shaft unit with a high specific speed, axial-flow inducer driven by a partial-admission, single-stage, hydrogen turbine. The oxidizer low-speed inducer is also a single shaft unit with a high specific speed, axial-flow inducer driven by a variable admission radial inflow single-stage liquid oxygen turbine.

(U) The main fuel turbopump is a single shaft unit with two back-to-back centrifugal pump stages driven by a two-stage, pressure-compounded turbine. A double-acting thrust balance piston is provided between the pump and turbine.

(U) The oxidizer turbopump is a single shaft unit with a single, centrifugal pump stage driven by a two-stage, pressure compounded turbine. A single-acting thrust balance piston is provided between the pump and turbine.

(U) The preburner injector consists of dual-orifice tangential-swirler oxidizer injection elements with concentric fixed-area fuel injection. A translating sleeve valve is incorporated at the rear of the injector assembly to vary the total oxidizer flow rate to adjust engine power level and to adjust the relative flow of the primary and secondary elements. The preburner combustion chamber is an integral part of the transition case, which contains the turbine drive gas ducts and a cooled outershell. The main turbopumps are mounted to the transition case with a plug-in arrangement of the turbines to provide maintainability.

(C) The main burner injector consists of a tangential-swirler oxidizer injection elements arranged in radial spraybars. The fuel side directs fuel-rich gas flow (preburner combustion products after expansion through

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

the turbine) through slots in a porous faceplate. The combustion chamber wall is composed of a hydrogen-cooled liner extending from the injector face to an area ratio of 5.3. The liner is composed of porous plates providing transpiration cooling.

(U) The nozzle, which attaches at the end of the transpiration cooled section, is composed of two fixed regeneratively cooled sections and a retractable, low-pressure, dump-cooled section.

(U) The main fuel flow is pumped to system operating pressure levels by the main fuel pump and is ducted to cool the regeneratively cooled sections of the nozzle. The forward section is cooled with the majority of the fuel flow from the pump in a single pass heat exchanger. This flow exits from the nozzle and is ducted to the preburner. The regeneratively cooled rear section of the fixed nozzle is cooled with the remainder of the fuel flow in a two-pass heat exchanger. This flow is subsequently used as the working fluid to power the fuel low-speed inducer drive turbine and is then used to cool the porous main chamber walls.

(U) A small amount of fuel is ducted from the fuel pump interstage to cool the retractable nozzle skirt. This fuel is heated to high temperature in the skirt and expelled overboard through small nozzles at the ends of the coolant passages. A valve is provided to shut off the flow when the secondary nozzle is retracted.

(U) After being pumped to system operating pressure, the oxidizer is divided between the preburner and the main chamber. The smaller portion of the flow is supplied to the preburner and is burned with the fuel. The resulting combustion products provide the working fluid for the main turbines, which are arranged in parallel. The turbine exhaust gases are collected and directed to the main burner injector.

(U) The main burner oxidizer flow provides the oxidizer low-speed inducer turbine working fluid and uses the available pressure drop between the main oxidizer pump discharge pressure and the main chamber pressure for the turbine power. The oxidizer flow is then injected into the main burner chamber and is mixed and burned with the fuel-rich turbine exhaust gases. The resulting combustion gas is then expanded through the bell-nozzle.

(U) The primary engine control valves are located in the liquid oxygen supply lines to the preburner and the main chamber and in the liquid hydrogen supply line to the preburner.

B. OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

1. Steady-State Operating Parameters

(C) The component and engine system steady-state operating parameters are given in table II, for mixture ratios of 5, 6, and 7 at 100%, 75, 50 and 20% thrust. (These operating parameters result from an iterative optimization process as described in paragraph G.)

(U) Table II. Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster

Configuration	100% Thrust $r = 5.0$	100% Thrust $r = 6.0$	100% Thrust $r = 7.0$	75% Thrust $r = 5.0$	75% Thrust $r = 6.0$	75% Thrust $r = 7.0$
Thrust, lb	244,000	244,000	244,000	183,000	183,000	183,000
Vacuum Specific Impulse, sec $\epsilon = 75$	450	450	444	451	448	441
Sea Level Specific Impulse, sec $\epsilon = 35$	387	386	380	370	367	364
Envelope:						
Diameter, in.	69.25	69.25	69.25	69.25	69.25	69.25
Length: Nozzle Extended/Retracted, in.	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0	131.7/80	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0
Nozzle Area Ratio: Extended/Retracted	75/35	75/35	75/35	75/35	75/35	75/35
Fuel Flow, lb/sec	90.3	77.5	68.8	67.7	58.4	51.8
Oxidizer Flow, lb/sec	451.5	465.2	481.4	338.4	350.1	362.8
Total Propellant Flow, lb/sec	541.9	542.8	550.1	406.1	408.5	414.6
Main Burner Chamber						
Throat Total Pressure, psia	2806	2740	2676	2101	2059	2002
Mixture Ratio (injector)	5.56	6.68	7.94	5.53	6.77	8.06
Specific Impulse Efficiency, %	96.7	97.0	96.9	96.9	96.8	96.7
Fuel Injector Pressure Loss, psi	164	134	125	97.7	89.7	86.3
Oxidizer Injector Pressure Loss, psi	851	910	979	496	532	572
Momentum Pressure Loss, psi	-1.6	0.8	-0.4	13.2	9.7	6.4
Transpiration Coolant Flow, lb/sec	6.42	5.36	5.49	4.24	4.34	4.50
Throat Diameter, in.	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Preburner						
Total Pressure, psia	4778	4332	4152	3256	3100	3003
Mixture Ratio (preburner injector)	1.08	1.12	1.28	0.98	1.06	1.23
Temperature, °R	2026	2095	2345	1715	1984	2274
Fuel Injector Pressure Loss, psi	320.8	248.0	200.5	201.9	158	129
Oxidizer Injector and Control Valve Pressure Loss, psi						
Total Injector Propellant Flow, lb/sec	1141	944	599	1385	1065	966
Combustion Efficiency, %	157.8	138.1	128.2	108.6	98.6	92.4
Primary Nozzle	100	100	100	100	100	100
Transpiration Supply Section:						
Coolant Flow, lb/sec	7.75	6.44	6.59	5.06	5.17	5.36
Coolant Inlet Pressure, psia	5279	4723	4830	3595	3701	3836
Coolant Inlet Temperature, °R	142	133	139	114	120	129
Coolant Pressure Loss, psi	142	120	126	89	94	94
Coolant Temperature Rise, °R	266	338	341	336	350	349
Preburner Supply Section:						
Coolant Flow, lb/sec	76.5	65.5	56.7	57.7	48.4	41.7
Coolant Inlet Pressure, psia	5271	4712	4455	3561	3341	3199
Coolant Inlet Temperature, °R	142	133	142	114	121	131
Coolant Pressure Loss, psi	147	112	90.1	90	69	56.4
Coolant Temperature Rise, °R	35.7	44.2	48.5	40.7	48.8	53.4

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table II. Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster (Continued)

Configuration	50% Thrust $r = 5.0$	50% Thrust $r = 6.0$	50% Thrust $r = 7.0$	20% Thrust $r = 5.0$	20% Thrust $r = 6.0$	20% Thrust $r = 7.0$
Thrust, lb	122,000	122,000	122,000	48,800	48,800	48,800
Vacuum Specific Impulse, sec $\epsilon = 75$	449	446	438	444	439	429
Sea Level Specific Impulse, sec $\epsilon = 35$	344	339	334	269	264	262
Envelope:						
Diameter, in.	69.25	69.25	69.25	69.25	69.25	69.25
Length: Nozzle Extended/Retracted, in.	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0	131.7/80.0
Nozzle Area Ratio: Extended/Retracted	75/35	75/35	75/35	75/35	75/35	75/35
Fuel Flow, lb/sec	45.2	39.1	34.8	18.3	15.9	14.2
Oxidizer Flow, lb/sec	226.2	234.7	243.7	91.5	95.3	99.6
Total Propellant Flow, lb/sec	261.4	273.8	278.5	109.8	111.2	113.8
Main Burner Chamber						
Throat Total Pressure, psia	1396	1360	1329	552	537	526
Mixture Ratio (injector)	5.61	6.92	8.25	5.99	7.45	8.93
Specific Impulse Efficiency, %	96.8	96.6	96.4	96.3	96	95.9
Fuel Injector Pressure Loss, psi	54.1	53.5	52.8	17.4	18.0	18.3
Oxidizer Injector Pressure Loss, psi	230	246	265	13.1	42	45.6
Momentum Pressure Loss, psi	15.2	11.6	9.5	9.0	7.6	7.1
Transpiration Coolant Flow, lb/sec	2.95	3.23	3.36	1.45	1.57	1.62
Throat Diameter, in.	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Preburner						
Total Injector Pressure, psia	2026	1970	1926	761	728	719
Mixture Ratio (preburner injector)	0.80	1.01	1.21	0.76	1.00	1.24
Temperature, °R	1548	1901	2223	1464	1883	2255
Fuel Injector Pressure Loss, psi	106	86	74	30.687	27.810	22.382
Oxidizer Injector and Control Valve Pressure Loss, psi	1093	1105	1102	583	652	677
Total Injector Propellant Flow, lb/sec	67.3	62.2	58.8	23.8	22.24	21.3
Combustion Efficiency, %	100	100	100	100	100	100
Primary Nozzle						
Transpiration Supply Section:						
Coolant Flow, lb/sec	3.43	3.81	3.95	1.66	1.80	1.86
Coolant Inlet Pressure, psia	2474	2668	2779	1156	1251	1302
Coolant Inlet Temperature, °R	93.8	105	116	75.6	84.8	92.6
Coolant Pressure Loss, psi	60.7	67.9	71.9	28.7	31.5	33.2
Coolant Temperature Rise, °R	375	365	365	392	387	392
Preburner Supply Section:						
Coolant Flow, lb/sec	37.7	31.3	26.9	13.7	11.2	9.6
Coolant Inlet Pressure, psia	2185	2100	2035	785	768	750
Coolant Inlet Temperature, °R	95.4	107	117	75.0	82.0	87.6
Coolant Pressure Loss, psi	44.8	35.8	30.0	10.9	9.6	7.8
Coolant Temperature Rise, °R	45.9	55.8	60.8	53.0	72.0	74.9

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table II. Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster (Continued)

Low-Speed Inducer					
Fuel Inducer:					
Flow Rate, lb/sec					
Speed, rpm					
Pressure Rise, psi					
NPSH, ft					
Efficiency, %					
Oxidizer Inducer:					
Flow Rate, lb/sec					
Speed, rpm					
Pressure Rise, psi					
NPSH, ft					
Efficiency, %					
Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine					
Pressure Ratio					
Flow Rate, lb/sec					
Speed, rpm					
Efficiency, %					
Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Turbine					
Pressure Drop, psi					
Flow Rate, lb/sec					
Speed, rpm					
Efficiency, %					
Preburner Fuel Valve					
Flow, lb/sec					
Pressure Drop, psi					
Effective Area, in ²					
Preburner Oxidizer Valve					
Flow, lb/sec					
Pressure Drop, psi					
Effective Area, in ²					
Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve					
Flow, lb/sec					
Pressure Drop, psi					
Effective Area, in ²					
100% Thrust r = 5.0	100% Thrust r = 6.0	100% Thrust r = 7.0	75% Thrust r = 5.0	75% Thrust r = 6.0	75% Thrust r = 7.0
90.31	77.5	68.8	67.67	58.4	51.8
19,823	18,146	17,699	16,150	15,742	15,618
90.0	88.7	100.1	74.8	86.7	97.5
60.2	60.2	60.2	60.2	60.2	60.2
61.6	60.1	56.7	60.0	55.2	50.7
451.5	465.2	481	338.4	350.0	362.8
5417	4935	4904	4857	4659	4989
258	197	186	254	224.2	257
16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0
57.2	60.7	61.4	52.9	55.8	54.1
1.48	1.43	1.46	1.42	1.45	1.49
5.55	4.62	4.73	3.64	3.72	3.87
19,823	18,146	17,699	16,150	15,742	15,618
63.1	60.3	58.8	57.4	55.1	53.7
CONFIDENTIAL					
772	523.0	478	940	727.6	875
368	391	408	296	298	310
5417	4935	4904	4857	4659	4989
68.5	72.7	73.8	52.9	63.7	62.5
77	66	57	58.0	49.0	42.5
270	200	550	162	475	745
3.48	3.48	1.83	3.45	1.69	1.20
75	66	68	43	43	44
670	580	230	1220	900	795
0.54	0.49	0.82	0.215	0.260	0.286
370	390	410	283	300	310
630	1120	1520	520	847	1100
1.74	2.13	2.96	1.58	1.86	2.49

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table II. Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster (Continued)

Low-Speed Inducer		50% Thrust $r = 5.0$	50% Thrust $r = 6.0$	50% Thrust $r = 7.0$	20% Thrust $r = 5.0$	20% Thrust $r = 6.0$	20% Thrust $r = 7.0$
Fuel Inducer:							
Flow Rate, lb/sec		45.2	39.1	34.8	18.3	15.9	14.2
Speed, rpm		12,473	12,630	12,663	7748	7978	8043
Pressure Rise, psi		58.0	70.3	77.5	32.3	37.2	39.5
NPSH, ft		60.2	60.2	60.2	60.2	60.2	60.2
Efficiency, %		54.6	47.8	42.9	35	30.2	26.9
Oxidizer Inducer:							
Flow Rate, lb/sec		226.2	234.7	243.7	91.5	95.3	99.6
Speed, rpm		3745	3906	4115	1971	2077	2163
Pressure Rise, psi		176	192	213	54	60	65
NPSH, ft		16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0
Efficiency, %		48.9	48.8	48.2	36.0	36.1	36.4
Fuel Low-Speed Inducer Turbine							
Pressure Ratio		1.44	1.49	1.52	1.51	1.55	1.57
Flow Rate, lb/sec		2.51	2.75	2.86	1.20	1.30	1.35
Speed, rpm		12,473	12,630	12,663	7748	7978	8043
Efficiency, %		50.3	48.7	47.5	34.5	34.4	33.9
Oxidizer Low-Speed Inducer Turbine							
Pressure Drop, psi		835	923.2	1062	573	650.1	695.4
Flow Rate, lb/sec		195	202	210	80.6	83.5	87.1
Speed, rpm		3745	3906	4115	1971	2077	2163
Efficiency, %		48.6	48.2	47.3	28.8	28.3	28.5
Preburner Fuel Valve							
Flow, lb/sec		38.5	32.0	27.5	14	12	10
Pressure Drop, psi		348	628	808	380	500	570
Effective Area, in ²		1.55	0.98	0.76	0.56	0.43	0.35
Preburner Oxidizer Valve							
Flow, lb/sec		23	24	25	5	5.5	6
Pressure Drop, psi		1020	1040	1040	580	640	680
Effective Area, in ²		0.130	0.140	0.140	0.04	0.04	0.04
Main Chamber Oxidizer Valve							
Flow, lb/sec		194	202	210	80	85	90
Pressure Drop, psi		360	530	640	120	140	150
Effective Area, in ²		1.42	1.60	2.02	1.22	1.29	1.46

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table II. Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster (Continued)

Two-Position Nozzle		100% Thrust r = 5.0	100% Thrust r = 6.0	100% Thrust r = 7.0	75% Thrust r = 5.0	75% Thrust r = 6.0	75% Thrust r = 7.0
Coolant Flow, lb/sec		2.33	2.24	2.24	2.02	2.02	1.99
Thrust, lb		901	905	922	760	783	792
Fuel Turbopump							
Pump:							
Number of Pump Stages		2	2	2	2	2	2
Speed, rpm		48,043	44,548	44,490	38,783	38,724	39,404
Pressure Rise, psi		5493	4845	4915	3686	3723	3841
Overall Efficiency, %		65.9	65.3	63.7	650	63.1	60.6
Impeller Tip Velocity, 1st Stage, ft/sec		2226	2064	2061	1797	1794	1826
Impeller Tip Velocity, 2nd Stage, ft/sec		2641	2449	2446	2132	2129	2166
Temperature Rise, °R		91.3	83.1	88.6	66.1	71.9	80.5
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		91.3	78.5	69.7	67.7	58.4	51.8
Turbine:							
Number of Stages		2	2	2	2	2	2
Pressure Ratio		1.59	1.49	1.47	1.46	1.43	1.42
Inlet Temperature, °R		2011	2079	2326	1702	1967	2352
Inlet Pressure, psia		4721	4283	4106	3220	3066	2971
Temperature Drop, °R		177	157.0	165	124	131.7	164
Mean Wheel Velocity, ft/sec		1488	1380	1378	1201	1200	1221
Efficiency, %		78.1	77.9	77.8	76.5	76.3	76.2
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		110.6	96.6	89.5	76.1	68.9	64.5
Oxidizer Turbopump							
Pump:							
Number of Stages		1	1	1	1	1	1
Speed, rpm		25,727	23,399	22,612	20,839	19,972	19,595
Pressure Rise, psi		5732	5139	4628	4397	3952	3726
Efficiency, %		55.6	65.5	66	61.8	63.0	63.8
Impeller Tip Velocity, ft/sec		952	866	837	771	739	735
Temperature Rise, °R		40.1	31.7	28.1	29.2	25.3	23.4
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		619.4	545.9	558.2	413.0	421.2	432.1
Turbine:							
Number of Stages		2	2	2	2	2	2
Pressure Ratio		1.59	1.49	1.46	1.45	1.42	1.42
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		48.1	42.3	39.4	33.2	30.3	28.5
Inlet Temperature, °R		2011	2079	2326	1702	1967	2352
Inlet Pressure, psia		4730	4290	4113	3226	3071	2975
Temperature Drop, °R		156.1	137	142.0	109	114	122
Mean Wheel Velocity, ft/sec		1123	1021	987	912	871	855
Efficiency, %		69.4	68.5	67.6	67.5	66.3	65.2

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table II. Demonstrator Engine Operating Characteristics, Booster (Concluded)

Two-Position Nozzle		50% Thrust r = 5.0	50% Thrust r = 6.0	50% Thrust r = 7.0	20% Thrust r = 5.0	20% Thrust r = 6.0	20% Thrust r = 7.0
Coolant Flow, lb/sec		1.77	1.75	1.70	1.42	1.35	1.27
Thrust, lb		625	640	640	406	408	402
Fuel Turbopump							
Pump:							
Number of Pump Stages		2	2	2	2	2	2
Speed, rpm		31,195	32,474	33,591	20,843	22,262	23,357
Pressure Rise, psi		2450	2630.6	2736	1092	1183	1233
Overall Efficiency, %		61.8	58.2	55.3	49	44.9	42.0
Impeller Tip Velocity, 1st Stage, ft/sec		1645	1505	1556	966	1032	1082
Impeller Tip Velocity, 2nd Stage, ft/sec		1715	1785	1847	1146	1224	1284
Temperature Rise, °R		47.4	58.2	68.1	28.6	37.1	44.3
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		45.2	39.1	34.8	18.8	16.4	14.7
Turbine:							
Number of Stages		2	2	2	2	2	2
Pressure Ratio		1.37	1.37	1.37	1.27	1.28	1.29
Inlet Temperature, °R		1534	1881	2197	1442	1850	2211
Inlet Pressure, psia		2004	1949	1905	734	721	712
Temperature Drop, °R		92.2	108.5	123	60.2	76.3	89.9
Mean Wheel Velocity, ft/sec		966	1006	1041	646	690	724
Efficiency, %		74.0	73.6	73.6	66.4	65.7	65.6
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		47.2	43.6	41.1	16.8	15.7	15.0
Oxidizer Turbopump							
Pump:							
Number of Stages		1	1	1	1	1	1
Speed, rpm		16,576	16,476	16,365	10,431	10,650	10,712
Pressure Rise, psi		2921	2862	2796	1229	1279	1291
Efficiency, %		58.5	59.4	60.3	44.9	45.5	46.5
Impeller Tip Velocity, ft/sec		613	610	605	385	394	396
Temperature Rise, °R		20.1	19.3	18.5	11.0	11.3	11.2
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		287.7	295.7	304.1	132.5	137.0	141.5
Turbine:							
Number of Stages		2	2	2	2	2	2
Pressure Ratio		1.37	1.36	1.37	1.27	1.3	1.28
Inlet Flow, lb/sec		20.7	19.2	18.2	7.4	6.9	6.66
Inlet Temperature, °R		1534	1881	2197	1442	1850	2211
Inlet Pressure, psia		2008	1952	1908	735	722	713
Temperature Drop, °R		79.8	91.8	102	722	713	70.0
Mean Wheel Velocity, ft/sec		723	719	714	49.4	61.1	467
Efficiency, %		64.6	62.8	61.6	55.3	53.5	52.1


CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

2. Start, Shutdown, and Throttle Transients

(U) Estimated start, shutdown, and throttle transient data are presented in figure 16.



(U) Figure 16. Demonstrator Engine Estimated Start, Shutdown, and Throttle Transient Data

C. LAYOUT AND SCHEMATIC

(U) The engine layout is illustrated in figure 17. The complete engine system schematic shown in figure 18 illustrates the helium supply system and the primary propellant flow paths and the interrelationship of all of the major components.


D. WEIGHT

(U) The estimated demonstrator engine weight based on lightweight rather than flightweight component designs is presented in table III. The targeted demonstrator engine weight is 3380 pounds. Component weights are discussed in more detail in the component sections of this report.

E. INTERFACES

(U) The ranges of temperature, pressure, and NPSH conditions required at the inlet to the fuel and oxidizer low-speed inducers are shown in figures 19 and 20.


CONFIDENTIAL



(U) Figure 17. Demonstrator Engine Layout

UNCLASSIFIED

Two-Position Nozzle Extended




FD 27728C

33/34 (blank)

UNCLASSIFIED

2


b. Two-Position Nozzle Stowed



(U) Figure 17 --- Concluded

UNCLASSIFIED

Two-Position Nozzle Stowed



FD 27725C

35/36 (blank)

UNCLASSIFIED

2

37

CONFIDENTIAL

(This page is Unclassified)




(U) Figure 18. Demonstrator Engine Complete Propellant Flow Schematic

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table III. Demonstrator Engine Estimated Weight

Item	Estimated Weight, lb	Targeted Weight, lb
Preburner and Hardware	92	90
Transition Case and Gimbal	324	370
Main Burner Injector and Hardware	99	115
Main Burner Chamber	410	425
Nozzle and Actuation	652	640
Fuel Turbopump	554	480
Oxidizer Turbopump	383	335
Low-Speed Inducers	348	235
Controls	230	305
Plumbing	290	310
Miscellaneous	50	75
Total	3432	3380




DFC 69932

(U) Figure 19. Fuel Inlet Operating Region

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



DFC 69933

(U) Figure 20. Oxidizer Inlet Operating Region


(U) A study selected the inlet propellant temperatures used for the design cycle analysis of the engine. Engine power requirements were found to vary significantly with engine inlet temperature. The required turbine inlet temperature varied approximately 96°R, and the fuel pump speed varied approximately 3000 rpm over the full range of inlet temperature specified for the demonstrator engine.

(U) The highest proposed inlet conditions were selected for component design to assure the engine power requirements can be met under the most severe operating conditions.

(C) The relationship required between fuel temperature and oxidizer temperature, so that the maximum turbine inlet temperature (2325°R) will not be exceeded at 100% thrust is shown on figure 21.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



DFC 70473

(U) Figure 21. Propellant Temperature Limits for Fuel Trim Capability

(U) The engine/vehicle main structural interface is a ring flange on the thrust ball cone with a 6.0-in. diameter bolt circle. Eighteen equally spaced 0.2493-in. diameter holes are provided for bolt attachment of the engine to the vehicle. (Refer to Section IV, Paragraph B for a description of the gimbal thrust ball.)

(U) Gimbal acutators for control of pitch and yaw rate are attached by 0.5625-in. thread, UNF-3A, 12-point shoulder bolts to two gimbal actuator brackets on the main burner chamber pressure shell.

F. DESIGN CRITERIA

(U) Presented in Appendix I are the structural design criteria and limits for the major components of the XLR129-P-1 rocket engine.

G. SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

1. General

(U) System analyses of the demonstrator engine are being conducted throughout the program to define component design requirements, to estimate the capabilities of the integrated engine system, and to incorporate the results of component and engine tests.

(U) An initial analytical study was conducted to define those component design criteria that meet the design requirements of engine performance (as shown in table II) within the integrated engine system. These design data were derived by steady-state and transient analyses over the complete engine operating range using digital computer mathematical models.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

The steady-state analysis consists of studies that establish a cycle balance between design limitations and component performance. The transient studies define the engine design requirements based on dynamic requirements and operating sequences for engine start, throttling, propellant utilization, and shutdown.

(U) As component and engine test data became available, the steady-state and transient analyses have been updated as required to provide the design data necessary to improve the component and module designs.

(U) The system design resulted from an iterative optimization process between mechanical and analytical studies, and component and engine test data. Using a digital computer, a cycle balance program defines component design point data and determines engine performance for the design and off-design point operating conditions. These design data are used in the completion of design layouts. Specific component limitations defined in the process of designing the individual components are again input into the cycle balance and the iteration is continued until an optimum design is established.

2. Initial System Analysis

a. Analysis Method

(U) A balance was established between component thermodynamic performance, mechanical design requirements, and engine operating requirements. This balance was established by using an optimization procedure in which component geometry and performance are varied to maximize mechanical design margin while meeting the engine operational goals. Table IV summarizes the various inputs, engineering considerations, and results of this process.

b. Analysis Criteria

(U) The engine characteristics presented in table I were used for the systems analysis of the demonstrator engine. They represent the targets toward which the demonstrator engine program are being directed. Technology limits used for the system analysis were set at the state-of-the-art level per data obtained in subscale and full-scale combustion testing under Air Force contract AF04(611)-11401 and turbopump testing under NASA contracts NAS8-20540 and NAS8-11714. Because an accurate estimate of the anticipated component performance was known prior to the design analysis, the engine was designed with confidence that the structural margins and performance levels will be sufficient to allow maximum flexibility of engine operation.

c. Steady-State Cycle Optimization

(U) The cycle balance program used for steady-state cycle optimization is configured to afford flexibility in the integration of component requirements over the thrust and mixture ratio ranges. Features include: (1) a means of selecting the optimum component design points, (2) a procedure for optimizing the turbine area match for required engine operating range, and (3) a method of evaluating component off-design performance effects on overall cycle performance.

UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Table IV. Cycle Definition Procedure

Component	Inputs or Specifications	Engineering Considerations	Results
Combustion Chamber and Nozzles	Nominal vacuum thrust	Component performance available	Structural Dimensions - chamber size, tube diameters, injector areas, etc.
	Chamber pressure (target value)	Mechanical design limits	
	Limiting engine dimensions	Weight tradeoffs	Nozzle expansion ratio
	Minimum specific impulse efficiency	Cooling requirements	Operating Performance - thrust, specific impulse, propellant flow rates, cooling flows, etc.
	Nominal mixture ratio	Component interaction	
	Durability requirements		Operating limits
	Environmental conditions		Weight
Turbopump Power Package	Pressure requirements	Component performance available	Structural Dimensions - pump and turbine diameters, injector areas, bearing sizes, etc.
	Propellant flow rates	Mechanical design limits	
	Thrust and mixture ratio range	Weight tradeoffs	Operating Performance - preburner temperature, pump pressures, speeds, efficiencies, coolant flow rates, NPSH, etc.
	Environmental and interface conditions	Design and off-design characteristics of engine cycle	
		Component integration	Operating limits
			Weight

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

(U) Table IV. Cycle Definition Procedure (Concluded)

Component	Inputs or Specifications	Engineering Considerations	Results
Control System	Engine operating modes	Design and off-design characteristics of engine cycle	Control point locations
	Operating Limits		Structural dimensions
	Engine thrust and mixture ratio accuracy	System pressure drops	Valve functions
	Environmental and interface conditions	Mechanical design limits - area turndown, valve accuracy, response, etc.	Valve sequences Valve area schedules Weight

(U) The initial step of the cycle balance program defines the chamber and nozzle geometry necessary to provide the required thrust at the nominal mixture ratio within the allowable design limitations. The main chamber combustion and nozzle efficiencies were maintained at a level consistent with the goals of the demonstrator engine program. The chamber pressure was fixed at the maximum level consistent with turbopump design limitations.

(C) The chamber geometry is established to provide 244,000-lb thrust for a booster stage vehicle application with an area ratio of 75:1.

(U) The nozzle coolant flow rates and passage sizes were varied until a balance was achieved between coolant pressure loss, nozzle weight, and coolant flow rate. The coolant pressure loss in the regenerative nozzles is important because it adds directly to fuel pump pressure. The nozzle skirt and transpiration coolant flow rates are important because these flows are not available for providing turbopump power. These flows also bypass the main injector, which increases the chamber mixture ratio and tends to decrease the overall specific impulse efficiency.

(U) The engine flow rates and pressures defined in the nozzle/chamber design calculations provide the basic data used to design the turbopump power package.

(U) The design approach taken in the cycle studies to obtain the required mixture ratio operating range was to use the extreme of the mixture ratio range as the power package design points.

(U) The fuel pressure requirement controls the power balance at the lowest mixture ratio, and the oxidizer pressure requirement controls the balance at the highest mixture ratio. In addition, the minimum available turbine power occurs at the highest mixture ratio where the fuel flow is at a minimum. At the extremes in mixture ratio, where one pump

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CONFIDENTIAL

controls the power match, the other pump is in an "overspeed" condition. Overspeed means that the pressure provided exceeds the pressure required to satisfy the flow conditions.

(C) A reduction in efficiency results when a centrifugal pump is operated at flow rates and rotor speeds other than the pump design point. By selecting the design point of the main pumps at their respective maximum flow conditions, two advantages are obtained. First, the best efficiency point of the pump coincides with the engine operating point where the respective pump is controlling the power balance; second, the reduced efficiency at the low flow condition (i.e., the other extreme mixture ratio point) tends to minimize overspeed and to minimize the control system corrections required. Thus, the fuel pump is designed for a mixture ratio of 5.0 and rated thrust, and the oxidizer pump is designed for a mixture ratio of 7.0 and rated thrust. Use of this cycle optimization technique results in appreciably reduced pump pressure and speed requirements.

(U) The basic turbopump design variables other than efficiency, namely turbine areas, pump impeller diameters, speed, and turbine inlet temperature, are optimized through an iterative procedure. Turbine areas and pump diameters are varied to meet the cycle pressure requirements within rotor speed and turbine temperature limitations.

(C) The maximum turbine inlet temperature occurs at the maximum mixture ratio point, where the preburner fuel flow is at a minimum. The maximum allowable temperature is approximately 2325°R and is determined by the turbine stresses, which vary as functions of the turbine diameter, speed, and fluid bending forces.

(U) Variation in the total turbine area (fuel turbine area plus the oxidizer turbine area) affects the total power through pressure ratio, whereas the ratio of turbine areas (fuel turbine area/oxidizer turbine area) affects the division of turbine power. As these areas are changed, the pump head requirements vary, and the pump impeller diameters are then sized to provide the required pump pressures within allowable design limitations.

(U) At a particular value of total turbine area, the ratio of oxidizer turbine area to fuel turbine area is established to balance the turbopump power at the maximum allowable turbine inlet temperature (high mixture ratio). With this area ratio fixed, the cycle is rebalanced at the low mixture ratio extreme. Because of the turbopump power trends, the fuel and oxidizer turbopump speeds increase at the low mixture ratio. If the speeds are greater than allowable, the pump diameters are changed to hold the speed within the mechanical limitations defined by critical speed, turbine wheel speed, and bearing DN.

(U) Because a modification to either the fuel or oxidizer pump at low mixture ratio will affect its operating requirements at high mixture ratio, the turbine area ratio at high mixture ratio may need to be changed. This process (changing components at one mixture ratio and checking the effects at the other mixture ratio) was continued until an optimized cycle balance was obtained.

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) In balancing the engine cycle, the components that maximized chamber pressures within the restraints of pump speed and pressure and turbine maximum temperature were selected.

(U) Combustion performance and injector characteristics are considered in conjunction with control pressure loss scheduling. In the preburner and main burner injector designs, the major performance considerations are the fluid velocities, the momentum exchange between the fuel and oxidizer, and the injector pressure potentials for combustion stability. In the control system designs, the major considerations are pressure drop for flow control potential and valve turndown ratio. Off-design performance characteristics are used to obtain basic injector and control design data to ensure that sufficient pressure drop to satisfy both the stability and control system pressure requirements is provided. At each balanced operating point the required injector and control pressure drop is maintained or exceeded.

(U) Design characteristics of the low-speed inducers were also determined within the cycle balancing effort. The inducer design discharge pressure must satisfy the main turhopump NPSH requirements and the estimated line losses between the low-speed inducers and pump.

(U) The low-speed inducer diameter and drive turbine speed were selected to provide the necessary inducer discharge pressure and turbine efficiency while remaining consistent with the available low-speed inducer inlet NPSH and inducer suction characteristics. The turbine areas were sized to provide sufficient energy to drive the inducers without increasing the maximum pressure of the main pumps.

d. Transient Analysis

(U) The transient characteristics of the XLR129-P-1 engine were investigated to identify any component design limits and to define the optimum control sequences to provide rapid, safe, and repeatable start throttling and shutdown transients. Variations in environmental conditions, such as inlet and ambient temperatures and pressures, were considered. Evaluation of the effects of engine component performance and control system on the system transients are an integral part of the design process.

(U) The basis for the control system used in the System Analysis was a detailed controls study performed under Contract NAS8-11427. This study evaluated several control systems and 17 control points for an advanced high pressure rocket engine system using a preburner cycle.

(1) Throttle Transient Analysis

(U) Transient analyses of the engine system within the extremes of main stage thrust and mixture ratio define the engine and control system dynamics, and define engine transient response and component protection required during rapid transients.

CONFIDENTIAL

(C) Engine throttle transients were simulated using representative control systems that use engine parameters as input and/or feed back to the control areas. The preburner oxidizer valve was selected to provide closed-loop thrust control by using oxidizer and fuel flowmeter flows (summed) as a thrust indication. The ratio of the flowmeter flows was fed back to the main chamber oxidizer valve to provide closed-loop mixture ratio control. Accelerations and decelerations between idle and rated thrust in 2 seconds were simulated at engine mixture ratios of 5 and 7. Three second mixture ratio excursions between 5 and 7 were simulated at 100% thrust. Throttling transient analysis revealed no limitations that would require hardware or control mode changes from that established during steady-state analysis.

(2) Start and Shutdown Transient Analysis

(U) Similar mathematical models were used to simulate the start, throttling and shutdown modes of operation. Additional calculations in the start and shutdown simulations include: (1) the propellant filling processes, (2) fluid properties for phase transitions from gas to two-phase to liquid flow, (3) preburner and main chamber ignition, and (4) low-speed performance of the main turbopumps and low-speed inducers. Similar models have been used extensively during Phase I, Contract AF04(611)-11401, Module Design task and also in conjunction with the preburner and staged combustion test programs. A summary of the conclusions of these studies is presented below:

(a) Start Transient

- (C) 1. The engine can be safely started to 20% thrust in approximately 2 seconds using a time-sequenced control method.
- (U) 2. The orifice restriction in the primary flow path of the preburner oxidizer valve must be made smaller than that established during steady-state cycle analysis to reduce the preburner temperature spike when the primary cavity fills.
- (U) 3. The use of helium purges in the secondary cavity of the preburner oxidizer injector and the main oxidizer injector is recommended to prevent back flow of the combustion product predicted to occur during the start transients.

(b) Shutdown Transient

- (C) 1. A shutdown analysis showed that the engine can be shut down safely from 20% thrust at all mixture ratios by using a single time-based propellant valve sequence that schedules all shutoff valves to their fully closed position in a maximum of 1.5 sec.
- (U) 2. The valve sequence can be modified to adjust the rate of preburner temperature decay during shutdown, if necessary for turbine stress and cycle life considerations.
- (U) 3. The shutdown transient analysis revealed no limitations that would require hardware or control mode changes from that established during steady-state cycle analysis.

CONFIDENTIAL

3. Special Design Cycle Studies

a. Design Point Trade Studies

(U) Trade studies were made to establish the sensitivity of engine characteristics to component performance levels to identify the critical component characteristics and minimize any undesirable effects. The trade factors presented in table V were determined by varying each parameter separately and rematching engine components to provide maximum chamber pressure within component limitations and cycle ground rules. The change in preburner temperature required to maintain a constant chamber pressure with variations in component performance was also calculated by using the trade factor for chamber pressure and turbine temperature defined in table V.

(U) The turbine area changes required to reoptimize (rematch) the cycle for full mixture ratio range at the indicated changes in chamber pressure were also established. For example, if main fuel turbine efficiency were increased 1 point, chamber pressure could be increased 12.6 psia over the full mixture ratio range provided the fuel turbine area was reduced by 0.25% and the main oxidizer turbine area was increased by 0.87%. The trade factors presented in table V may be assumed to be linear for small component variations.

b. Maximum Oxidizer Pump Discharge Pressure

(C) An analytical study was made to determine the optimum method of obtaining a maximum chamber pressure. Engine design cycles were established with components matched for maximum allowable oxidizer pump discharge pressures of 6500, 7000, and 7250 psia.

(C) The following major factors were noted:

1. The maximum excess thrust capability is available for an engine designed for a peak oxidizer pump discharge pressure of 7050 psia.
2. The maximum design chamber pressure increases with increasing maximum oxidizer pump discharge pressure.
3. As the peak oxidizer pump discharge pressure is decreased, the assumed fuel pump speed limit of 48,000 rpm is approached at 100%, $r = 7$.
4. With increasing oxidizer pump discharge pressure, the assumed preburner temperature limit of 2325°R is approached at 100%, $r = 5$.
5. Overall specific impulse at a mixture ratio of 5 decreases with increasing maximum oxidizer pump discharge pressure as a result of increased transpiration cooling flow.

CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table V. XLR129-P-1 Design Trades

Design Change	Magnitude of Change	Chamber Pressure psia	Preburner Temperature - °R $P_c = \text{Constant}$	Main Fuel Turbine Area, %	Main Oxidizer Turbine Area, %
1. Preburner Temperature	+ 10°R at r = Max	+ 6.9	- - -	+ 0.48	+ 0.50
2. First Stage Fuel Pump Efficiency	+ 1 point	+ 18.0	- 26.0	- 0.37	+ 1.13
3. Second Stage Fuel Pump Efficiency	+ 1 point	+ 8.1	- 12.0	- 0.08	+ 0.76
4. Main Fuel Turbine Efficiency	+ 1 point	+ 12.6	- 18.0	- 0.24	+ 0.87
5. Fuel Side Pressure Loss (Pump discharge through preburner injector)	+ 10 psi at r = Min	- 3.3	+ 4.7	+ 0.36	+ 0.48
6. Fuel Turbine Upstream Housing Loss	+ 10 psi at r = Min	- 3.0	+ 4.3	+ 0.08	- 0.19
7. Fuel Turbine Downstream Housing Loss	+ 10 psi at r = Min	- 5.9	+ 8.5	+ 0.13	- 0.37
8. Main Oxidizer Pump Efficiency	+ 1 point	+ 8.3	- 12.0	+ 0.49	- 1.24
9. Main Oxidizer Turbine Efficiency	+ 1 point	+ 9.1	- 13.0	+ 0.57	- 1.00
10. Oxidizer Side Pressure Loss (Pump discharge through preburner injector)	+ 10 psi	- 3.0	+ 4.3	- 0.17	- 0.21

48
CONFIDENTIAL

(U) Table V. XLRI29-P-1 Design Trades (Concluded)

Design	Magnitude of Change	Chamber Pressure, psia	Preburner Temperature - °R P _c = Constant	Main Fuel Turbine Area, %	Main Oxidizer Turbine Area, %
11. Maximum Oxidizer Pump Discharge Pressure	+ 100 psi at r = Min	+ 13.8	- 20.0	+ 0.76	+ 2.60
12. Maximum Fuel Pump Pressure Rise	+ 100 psi at r = Min	+ 21.0	- 30.0	- 3.0	- 5.3
13. Preburner Pressure Loss (Upstream of Turbine)	+ 10 psi	- 6.0	+ 8.6	Not Available	Not Available
14. Main Burner Hot Gas Duct Loss (Downstream of Turbine)	+ 10 psi	- 10.0	+ 14.0	Not Available	Not Available
15. Preburner/Turbine Bypass Flow	- 1.0 lb/sec	+ 22.0	- 32.0	+ 1.6	+ 1.6

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

(C) Consideration of all the above factors indicates that an engine designed for a maximum oxidizer pump discharge pressure of 7050 psia would provide the optimum design. Although a slight loss in specific impulse would result at a mixture ratio of 5, no significant loss will be encountered at a mixture ratio of 6 and above, and the chamber pressure level attainable would be consistent with present design goals. An engine designed for higher oxidizer pump pressures could operate at slightly higher chamber pressure, but the specific impulse at mixture ratios of both 5 and 6 and excess thrust capability would be reduced.

c. Transition Case Coolant Flow Source

(U) A design analysis of the transition case cooling passage indicated that structural problems may exist during the shutdown transient if the cooling is obtained from the preburner fuel valve discharge. This cycle analysis confirmed the acceptability of the alternative supply source located at the transpiration supply heat exchanger discharge.

(C) For the analysis, the transpiration supply heat exchanger configuration was maintained and its cooling flow rate was increased by the level of transition case cooling flow. The increased cooling flow at 100% thrust, mixture ratio of 7, did the following: (1) reduced the transpiration wafer inlet temperature 63°R, (2) reduced required wafer cooling flow 0.25 lb/sec, (3) increased specific impulse 0.3 second, and (4) decreased required preburner temperature 18.2°R.

(U) Rerouting of the flow reduced the maximum available fuel low-speed inducer turbine power 16% because of the increased turbine inlet line loss (higher flow) and decreased turbine temperature.


d. Two-Position Nozzle Flow Source

(U) This study investigated three engine locations for supplying the two-position nozzle coolant flow with and without a control valve. The locations investigated were: (1) the fuel pump interstage, (2) the fuel low-speed inducer discharge, and (3) the fuel preburner supply. For operation with the control valve in the system, the minimum coolant flow was scheduled into the nozzle. For operations without a control valve, an orifice was sized to provide the minimum coolant requirements at a critical engine operating point and allowed overcooling at all other operating conditions.

(U) The fuel pump interstage was chosen to supply cooling flow for the two-position nozzle because (1) acceptable nozzle cooling was provided without requiring a control valve, (2) the source was insensitive to variations in engine inlet conditions (the LSI tapoff was very sensitive to them), and (3) the slight penalty in chamber pressure and overall impulse efficiency caused by the overcooling characteristic inherent in the orifice configuration was acceptable.

(U) The engine characteristics with the three candidate locations are presented in figures 22 through 27.

CONFIDENTIAL
UNCLASSIFIED




DFC 65466

(U) Figure 22. Effect of Pump Interstage Tap-Off Location on Lightweight Heat Exchanger Coolant Valve

51
CONFIDENTIAL
UNCLASSIFIED


CONFIDENTIAL



(U) Figure 23. Effect of Pump Interstage Tap-Off Location on Engine Impulse Efficiency

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL




DF 76004

(U) Figure 24. Effect of Low-Speed Inducer Tap-Off Location on Lightweight Heat Exchanger Coolant Valve

53
CONFIDENTIAL


CONFIDENTIAL



DFC 65467
(U) Figure 25. Effect of Low-Speed Inducer Tap-Off Location on Engine Impulse Efficiency

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL




(U) Figure 26. Effect of Fuel Preburner Supply Tap-Off Location on Lightweight Heat Exchanger Coolant Valve

DFC 65468

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



DFC 76003


(U) Figure 27. Effect of Fuel Preburner Supply Tap-Off Location on Engine Impulse Efficiency

H. PERFORMANCE DATA

(C) The booster configuration operating at sea level, utilized the nozzle in the retracted position resulting in an expansion ratio of 35, which improves the thrust and specific impulse. At an altitude of 20,000 ft the two-position nozzle is translated to the extended position to provide an area ratio of 75 for improved altitude engine specific impulse. Use of the two-position nozzle provides nearly optimum performance for each operating regime. Altitude performance, i.e., thrust and specific impulse, for the booster configuration is presented in figure 28. The variation in sea level specific impulse with mixture ratio is shown in figure 29. The vacuum specific impulse variation with thrust and mixture ratio is given in figure 30.


CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



(U) Figure 28. Altitude Performance for Demonstrator Engine (Booster Application)


DFC 700690



(U) Figure 29. Sea Level Specific Impulse vs Mixture Ratio (Booster Application)

DFC 700690

UNCLASSIFIED



DFC 70091

(U) Figure 30. Vacuum Specific Impulse vs Thrust
(Booster Application)

UNCLASSIFIED