

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD512014

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO: **unclassified**

FROM: **confidential**

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:
Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:
**Distribution authorized to DoD only;
Administrative/Operational Use; Sep 1970.
Other requests shall be referred to
Director, Naval Research Laboratory,
Washington, DC 20390. NOFORN.**

AUTHORITY

NRL ltr, 22 Aug 2002; NRL ltr, 22 Aug 2002

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD512014
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES
TO
confidential
FROM
secret
AUTHORITY
30 Sep 1982, per document marking, DoDD 5200.10

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

✓
SECRET-NOFORN

NRL Memorandum Report 2170

Copy No. 43 of 75 Copies

AD 512014

**The Effect of F-8 Aircraft Maneuvers
on Atoll (AA-2) Performance**
[Unclassified Title]

H. TOOTHMAN AND C. LOUGHMILLER

*Airborne Radar Branch
Radar Division*

September 1970

**SPECIAL HANDLING REQUIRED
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS**



NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
Washington, D.C.

SECRET-NOFORN

Downgraded at 12 year intervals:
Not automatically declassified.

In addition to security requirements which apply to this document and must be met, each transmittal outside the Department of Defense must have prior approval of the Director, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20390

SECRET-NOFORN

SECURITY

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

SECRET-NOFORN

SECRET

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: The Effect of F-8 Aircraft Maneuvers on ATOLL (AA-2) Performance.

Background

(S) This report is the second of a series which describe the effectiveness of maneuvers as a countermeasure against the ATOLL. The ATOLL is the most often observed air-to-air missile in communist controlled countries such as North Vietnam. It is an accurate copy of the early Sidewinder, and data which permit its accurate simulation are readily available.

Findings


(S) The optimum maneuver as a countermeasure for the F-8 aircraft is a high-g turn toward the missile, but even this maneuver does not insure the missile will miss. Specifically, there exist situations in which a maneuver initiated before the missile is launched, will not guarantee the missile will miss. One such condition occurs when an ATOLL is launched at short range from a position directly on the tail of the F-8.

R & D Implications

(S) Since maneuver alone is not fully effective, alternative countermeasures must be considered. The effectiveness of IR suppression, flares, and other active countermeasures should be examined. However, it should be noted that no countermeasure will be fully effective unless a threat detection system is developed to alert the pilot of the threat and/or to operate a reflexive type countermeasure system.

Recommended Action

(S) Countermeasures cannot be fully successful until a threat detection system is developed which will operate effectively in the lethal zone of the ATOLL. This study points out the need for an alerting device to protect the rear hemisphere of our fighter and attack aircraft. A missile launch detector and/or tail-warning radar system is needed and should be developed to reduce the effectiveness of the ATOLL and similar missiles.



Clair M. Loughmiller
Tactical Analysis Section
Airborne Radar Branch

Best Available Copy

SECRET

SECRET-NOFORN

ABSTRACT (S)

An analysis was made to determine the capability of the F-8 aircraft to evade the ATOLL missile by maneuver. Realistic computer simulations of both the ATOLL and the F-8 were combined with the IR characteristics of the F-8 and the atmosphere to provide the tool for analysis. The simulation results define maneuver requirements for the F-8 in the air combat maneuvering environment. It is concluded that maneuver alone is not always a sufficient countermeasure.

PROBLEM STATUS (C)

This is a final report on the effectiveness of maneuvers by an F-8 aircraft as a countermeasure against ATOLL. Work on other countermeasures and other aircraft is continuing.

AUTHORIZATION

53D01-03

A-05-533647/652-1/S3190000

SECRET-NOFORN

Best Available Copy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
PROBLEM STATUS	i
AUTHORIZATION	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. COMPUTER REPRESENTATION	1
A. General Description	2
B. F-8 Characteristics	3
III. ATOLL PERFORMANCE	3
A. Non-Maneuvering Target	3
B. Tactical Situation	4
C. Launch Aspect Angle Effects	5
D. Launch Range Effects	6
IV. CONCLUSIONS	7
V. RECOMMENDATIONS	7
REFERENCES	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
DISTRIBUTION LIST	

SECRET-NOFORN

THE EFFECT OF F-8 AIRCRAFT MANEUVERS ON ATOLL
(AA-2) PERFORMANCE
(Unclassified Title)

I. INTRODUCTION

(S) By Ref. (1), the Naval Air Systems Command, AIR-533365C, requested the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) to investigate the capability of various U.S. aircraft to counter the ATOLL missile. As one part of the overall investigation, Ref. (1) tasks NRL to study how U.S. aircraft can defeat the ATOLL by maneuvering. The importance of this problem stems from the fact that Russian-built MIG aircraft armed with the ATOLL missile, have engaged U.S. aircraft in Vietnam. Reference (2), which describes the effectiveness of maneuvers by the F-4B aircraft as a countermeasure against the ATOLL, is the first report of a series resulting from this study. This second report in the series describes the effectiveness of maneuvers by the F-8 aircraft. It will be followed by reports which describe the use of IR flares as currently mechanized on the F-4 and F-8 aircraft, the use of several IR suppression techniques on the F-4, and the use of certain active IR countermeasure devices on the F-4 and/or the A-4.

II. COMPUTER REPRESENTATION

A. General Description

(C) A four degree of freedom computer model of the F-8 aircraft and a six degree of freedom computer model of the ATOLL have been constructed for this

SECRET-NOFORN

Best Available Copy

SECRET-NOFORN

study. The thrust, drag, and lift characteristics of the F-8 are included to assure realistic simulation of maneuvers. Measured F-8 IR data, atmospheric attenuation formulas, and ATOLL detector sensitivity measurements were used to develop an IR signal model. ATOLL tracking error data were combined with a Sidewinder IA tracking model for the electronics' characteristics. Sidewinder IA gas servo performance data are included in the guidance and autopilot model. Tables of aerodynamic moments along with normal and axial forces for the Sidewinder IA are used in the computer program to calculate missile response. The time and position at which ATOLL fuzing and warhead detonation occur are calculated with respect to the F-8 tailpipe. A full description of the missile model is found in Ref. (2) and will not be repeated in this report except where differences are noted.

B. Characteristics of the F-8 Aircraft

(U) The characteristics of the F-8 aircraft as used in this study are given in Tables 1-4. The maximum lift characteristic of the F-8 as a function of Mach number is shown in Table 1. These data are used to limit the computed maneuver of the F-8 so that maneuvers beyond its aerodynamic capability, as a function of speed and altitude, are not used in the study. The military thrust of the F-8 as a function of Mach number and altitude is given in Table 2. Table 3 lists the total drag coefficient of the F-8 as a function of Mach number and lift coefficient. IR radiance is found in Table 4 and is based on data taken at the Naval Weapons Center (NWC), China Lake, and shown on Fig. 1.

SECRET-NOFORN

III. ATOLL PERFORMANCE

A. Non-Maneuvering Target

(S) The lethal zones for the ATOLL when fired against a non-maneuvering F-8 aircraft are not included in this report because they are very much like those for the F-4B as reported in Ref. (2). These zones for the two aircraft are very similar since there is negligible aerodynamic difference between two aircraft flying a straight line at a constant speed. The lethal firing zones are different only because of differences in IR radiancy of the two aircraft. Although the F-4B has two engines while the F-8 has only one, the NWC measurements shown in Fig. 1, indicate that IR radiancy of the F-8 is equal to or slightly greater than that of the F-4B. Thus the effective attack zone against the F-8 will be somewhat expanded over the corresponding zone for the F-4B.

B. Tactical Situation

(S) The earlier study for the F-4B aircraft, Ref. (2), demonstrated that the ATOLL has successful launch zones for every situation examined. In that study, it was hoped that a maneuver initiated when the ATOLL was launched would be a fully effective countermeasure. Since that hope was not realized, this study considers launches of the ATOLL while the target is maneuvering. Thus this effort is not intended to compare the relative effectiveness of F-8 and F-4B maneuvers. Rather, it intends to determine what must be done to defeat the ATOLL missile in the air combat maneuvering (ACM) environment.

SECRET-NOFORN

(C) In this study, it is assumed that the missile is launched after the F-8 fully developed its final maneuver. Since Ref. (2) demonstrated that the hard turn was the most effective maneuver against the ATOLL, only that maneuver is considered in this study. It is assumed that the ATOLL is launched after the F-8 has attained the bank angle required for a coordinated turn and that the full g load has developed on the aircraft. This will occur one second after the initiation of the turn. This is a situation characteristic of the ACM environment in which the aircraft are being continuously and violently maneuvered.

(U) When the target is not maneuvering, it is reasonable to assume that an attacker using the element of surprise can achieve almost any launch point he desires. However, he may not be able to do so when his presence is known. Thus, the existence of a zone for the successful launch of an ATOLL against a maneuvering F-8 does not necessarily indicate that the maneuver is ineffective. It may be that the maneuver will prevent the attacker from reaching the successful launch zone. An analysis of whether these successful ATOLL launch zones can be attained by an attacker in the ACM case is beyond the scope of this study.

C. Launch Aspect Angle Effects

(S) Figures 2-19 contain the results of the simulation. Aspect angle stands out as the one parameter having critical importance. The result for two aspect angles, 180° (tail-on) and 160° (20° off tail), are given on each figure. Moderate to total degradation of ATOLL intercept capability is indicated at 20° off the tail for each case. ATOLL performance varies from "no capability".

regardless of target maneuver, as shown in Fig. 6(b), to "effective for any target maneuver" as shown in Fig. 2(a), but there is a maneuver which is effective in nearly every case at 20° off the tail. One exception is the case of maximum range at 30,000 feet altitude.

(S) In all "tail-on" cases except that shown on Fig. 10, the 4-g turn is not enough to produce a safe miss (25 ft.). It should be noted that a launch range of 7000 feet shown on Fig. 10 is beyond the normal maximum range of the ATOLL. In 12 of the 18 "Tail-on" cases studied, no maneuver within the capability of the F-8 aircraft would produce a safe miss. However, in all cases except the one shown in Fig. 19(b), a 5-g turn was adequate to produce a safe miss at 20° off the tail. It should be noted that in five out of the seven minimum range cases, 20° off the tail was outside of the ATOLL launch envelope for non-maneuvering F-8.

(C) The reason for the poor performance of the ATOLL at angles off the tail is the seeker lock-up technique employed. The pilot is required to point the missile directly at the target and launch. This requires the missile to maneuver merely to develop the proper lead angle for intercept. This missile maneuver requirement generally places the ATOLL's launch zone directly on the tail.

D. Launch Range Effects

(S) The effectiveness of maneuvers at minimum ATOLL range is higher in this study than in the previous F-4B aircraft study. Here, in the seven minimum

range, tail-on cases, maneuver produced a 10 feet miss in four cases as opposed to only one case in the F-4B study. Since aircraft maneuver levels used in this study are no higher than those for the previous study, the explanation for this difference lies in the fact that the F-8 is assumed to be maneuvering when the ATOLL is launched whereas the F-4B was assumed to initiate maneuver at missile launch. Thus the F-8, in this study, has been given about a one second advantage over that given the F-4B. This is a significant difference since the total missile flight time at minimum range, is approximately 3 seconds.

(S) Maneuver effectiveness increases as the missile launch range increases. For example, at the minimum range of (3000 ft) as shown on Fig. 6(a), a 7-g maneuver produces a 7.5 feet miss whereas a 7-g turn at a launch range of 5000 feet produced a miss in excess of 50 feet (see Fig. 7(a)). The same trend can be seen on Figs. 11, 12 and Figs. 14, 15 each of which assume different tactical conditions.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

(S) 1. There exist successful launch zones for ATOLL regardless of maneuvers by the F-8 aircraft. These are at minimum range and in a "tail-on" position.

(S) 2. Even though the effectiveness of the ATOLL in the ACM environment is decreased from that of a maneuver-after-launch situation, the effectiveness of maneuver alone is not sufficient to guarantee protection of the aircraft under all conditions.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

(S) 1. Pilots should be trained in ATOLL performance, with emphasis on those situations in which maneuver is an effective countermeasure and those in which it is not an effective countermeasure.

(S) 2. Studies should be made to determine the probability of enemy aircraft being able to reach a successful ATOLL launch point in the air combat maneuvering environment.

(S) 3. Studies of the effectiveness of IR flares and other active countermeasures should be pursued.

(S) 4. Further studies should be conducted to determine the effect of afterburner power on aircraft vulnerability to ATOLL.

(S) 5. Special tailpipe shielding should be investigated to reduce IR signature in the upper hemisphere of U.S. aircraft.

(S) 6. The Navy should pursue the investigation of countermeasure techniques which have potential effectiveness against a missile launched directly tail-on at minimum range.

VI. REFERENCES (U)

- (1) AIRTASK No. A05533647/6521/33190000 "Infrared Threat Analysis"
- (2) H. Toothman, C. Loughmiller, and R. Lister, "The Effect of F-4B Maneuvers on ATOLL (AA-2) Performance," NRL MR 1989, Secret-NoFORN, 1969

Best Available Copy

SECRET-NOFORN

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (U)

The authors acknowledge the considerable help received from the following people on this study.

WESTINGHOUSE AEROSPACE DIVISION

Arthur Harvey for the computer programming

Howard Noble for the reduction of data

Elmer Quesinberry for work in modeling the missile and aircraft

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Ken Powers for help in acquiring ATOLL data

Gene Younkin for help in developing Sidewinder model

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

Jacqueline Imes and Richard Lister for developing the figures

UNCLASSIFIED

<u>MACH</u>	<u>C_{LMAX}</u>
0.50	0.930
0.62	0.900
0.75	0.880
0.80	0.880
0.85	0.890
0.90	0.925
0.94	0.950
1.00	1.100
1.05	1.300
1.10	1.100
1.15	0.975
1.20	0.925
1.30	0.810
1.40	0.740
1.50	0.690

Reference area = 375 FT²

C_{LMAX} = Max tactically usable lift coefficient

TABLE 1 - F-8 MAXIMUM LIFT COEFFICIENT (U)

UNCLASSIFIED

ALTITUDE	MACH									
	.2	.3	.4	.5	.6	.7	.8	.9	1.0	
0	10,900	11,100	11,300	11,300	11,300	11,300	10,700	9,216	7,733	
5,000	9,666	9,858	10,050	10,107	10,164	10,221	9,883	8,938	7,994	
10,000	8,433	8,616	8,800	8,914	9,028	9,142	9,066	8,661	8,255	
15,000	7,200	7,375	7,550	7,721	7,892	8,064	8,250	8,383	8,516	
20,000	6,225	6,362	6,500	6,685	6,871	7,057	7,275	7,491	7,708	
25,000	5,250	5,350	5,450	5,650	5,850	6,050	6,300	6,600	6,900	
30,000	4,300	4,475	4,650	4,828	5,007	5,185	5,425	5,775	6,125	
35,000	3,350	3,600	3,850	4,007	4,164	4,321	4,550	4,950	5,350	
40,000	2,775	3,000	3,225	3,367	3,510	3,653	3,850	4,166	4,483	
45,000				2,728	2,857	2,985	3,150	3,383	3,616	
50,000				2,175	2,275	2,375	2,500	2,675	2,850	
55,000				1,621	1,692	1,764	1,850	1,966	2,083	
60,000				1,300	1,350	1,400	1,475	1,558	1,641	
65,000				978	1,007	1,035	1,100	1,150	1,200	

TABLE 2 - F-8 INSTALLED MILITARY THRUST (LBS) (U)

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

<u>MACH 0.5</u>		<u>MACH 0.85</u>		<u>MACH 0.9</u>		<u>MACH 0.925</u>	
C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D
0.000	0.0175	0.000	0.0175	0.000	0.0180	0.000	0.0200
0.020	0.0209	0.020	0.0209	0.020	0.0215	0.020	0.0235
0.040	0.0247	0.040	0.0248	0.040	0.0253	0.040	0.0274
0.080	0.0330	0.096	0.0371	0.096	0.0377	0.096	0.0398
0.120	0.0425	0.150	0.0505	0.150	0.0510	0.150	0.0530
0.150	0.0505	0.177	0.0575	0.177	0.0580	0.177	0.0600
0.177	0.0575	0.211	0.0675	0.211	0.0680	0.211	0.0700
0.211	0.0675	0.260	0.0822	0.260	0.0827	0.260	0.0847
0.260	0.0822	0.340	0.1075	0.340	0.1080	0.340	0.1100
0.340	0.1075	1.500	0.4745	1.500	0.4750	1.500	0.4770
1.500	0.4745						

<u>MACH 0.95</u>		<u>MACH 1.010</u>		<u>MACH 1.035</u>		<u>MACH 1.050</u>	
C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D
0.000	0.0245	0.000	0.0410	0.000	0.0437	0.000	0.0455
0.020	0.0281	0.020	0.0446	0.020	0.0474	0.020	0.0493
0.040	0.0319	0.040	0.0485	0.040	0.0513	0.040	0.0527
0.096	0.0444	0.073	0.0558	0.073	0.0586	0.073	0.0599
0.150	0.0575	0.160	0.0767	0.160	0.0797	0.160	0.0811
0.177	0.0645	0.211	0.0910	0.211	0.0937	0.211	0.0950
0.211	0.0745	0.260	0.1057	0.260	0.1084	0.260	0.1097
0.260	0.0892	0.340	0.1310	0.340	0.1337	0.340	0.1350
0.340	0.1145	1.500	0.4980	1.500	0.5007	1.500	0.5020
1.500	0.4815						

C_L - LIFT COEFFICIENT
 C_D - DRAG COEFFICIENT

TABLE 3 - F-8 TOTAL DRAG COEFFICIENT (C)

CONFIDENTIAL

<u>MACH 1.075</u>		<u>MACH 1.2</u>		<u>MACH 1.3</u>		<u>MACH 1.4</u>	
C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D	C_L^2	C_D
0.000	0.0455	0.000	0.0443	0.000	0.0434	0.000	0.0424
0.020	0.0493	0.040	0.0528	0.020	0.0482	0.020	0.0476
0.040	0.0533	0.100	0.0673	0.040	0.0531	0.040	0.0531
0.073	0.0605	0.160	0.0828	0.130	0.0774	0.100	0.0709
0.160	0.0819	0.220	0.0988	0.240	0.1081	0.160	0.0891
0.211	0.0955	0.240	0.1048	0.340	0.1374	0.240	0.1136
0.240	0.1102	0.305	0.1228	0.512	0.1874	0.340	0.1449
0.340	0.1355	0.340	0.1348	1.500	0.5004	1.200	0.4141
1.500	0.5025	0.350	0.1378				
		1.500	0.5013				

<u>MACH 1.5</u>	
C_L^2	C_D
0.000	0.0415
0.040	0.0539
0.120	0.0801
0.240	0.1205
0.340	0.1552
1.200	0.4535

C_L - LIFT COEFFICIENT
 C_D - DRAG COEFFICIENT

TABLE 3 - F-8 TOTAL DRAG COEFFICIENT (C) (Cont'd)

SECRET


RADIANCE (WATTS/STR.) VS. ANGLE															
λ_A TAIL (DEG. +)	λ_E TAIL (DEG. +)														
	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	60	70	80	90
0	230	226	220	211	200	191	180	167	150	141	130	100	60	20	10
5	226	223	218	210	199	190	178.5	166	150	140	128	99	60	20	10
10	220	218	213	205	197	186	176	164	150	138	125	98	58	20	10
15	211	210	205	199	191	181.5	172	166	148	135	123	95	56	19.5	10
20	200	199	197	191	183	177	166	155	143	132	120	92	53	19	10
25	191	190	186	181.5	177	167	159	148	138	126	114	89	50	18.5	10
30	180	178.5	176	172	166	159	150	141	132	121	109	83	46	17	10
35	167	166	164	160	155	148	141	135	124	114	104	77	41	17.5	10
40	150	150	150	148	143	138	132	124	116	107	98	70	37	16	10
45	141	140	138	135	132	126	121	114	107	99	79	62	33	15	10
50	130	128	125	123	120	114	109	104	98	79	78	55	28	14	10
60	100	99	98	95	92	89	83	77	70	62	55	35	20	12.5	10
70	60	60	58	56	53	50	46	41	37	33	28	20	16	11	10
80	20	20	20	19.5	19	18.5	17	17.5	16	15	14	12.5	11	10.5	10
90	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

TABLE 4 - F-8 INFRARED RADIANCE (1.8-2.7 MICRONS)(S)

SECRET

1. MILITARY - TPT 580°-625°
2. WITHOUT SHIELD

ALTITUDE = 15,25,000 FT
 RANGE = 200-650 FT
 AZIMUTH: ANGLE



INST. RESPONSE





FIG. 1 - F-8 RADIANCY DATA (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude - 5000 ft
 Target Speed - M 0.7
 Launch Altitude - 5000 ft
 Launch Speed - M 0.9
 Launch Range - 3000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 2 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET




Target Altitude = 5000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.7
 Launch Altitude = 5000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Range = 5000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 3 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 5000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 5000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Range = 3000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 4 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 5000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 5000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Range = 5000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 5 - APOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude - 5000 ft
 Target Speed - M 0.9
 Launch Altitude - 5000 ft
 Launch Speed - M 1.2
 Launch Range - 3000 ft

o Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 6 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET




Target Altitude = 5000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 5000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Range = 5000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 7 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M3000 ft
 Launch Range = 3000 ft

o Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 8 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET




Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Range = 5000 ft

o Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 9 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.8
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Range = 7000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 10 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (G)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Range = 3000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 * No Maneuver

FIG. 11 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Range = 5000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 12 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (g)

SECRET




Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Range = 7000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 13 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude - 15000 ft
 Target Speed - M 1.2
 Launch Altitude - 15000 ft
 Launch Speed - M 1.5
 Launch Range - 3000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 14 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 15000 ft
 Target Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Altitude = 15000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.5
 Launch Range = 5000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 15 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude - 15000 ft
 Target Speed - M 1.2
 Launch Altitude - 15000 ft
 Launch Speed - M 1.5
 Launch Range - 8000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 16 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET





Target Altitude = 30000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 30000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Range = 4000 ft

o Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 17 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET




Target Altitude = 30000 ft
 Target Speed = M 0.9
 Launch Altitude = 30000 ft
 Launch Speed = M 1.2
 Launch Range = 8000 ft

o Constant Turn Maneuver
 x No Maneuver

FIG. 18 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

SECRET



Target Altitude - 30000 ft
 Target Speed - M 0.9
 Launch Altitude - 30000 ft
 Launch Speed - M 1.2
 Launch Range - 12000 ft

○ Constant Turn Maneuver
 × No Maneuver

FIG. 19 - ATOLL MISS DISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF F-8 LOAD FACTOR (S)

UNCLASSIFIED

(Page 34 is Blank)

DISTRIBUTION LIST (U)

CNO	OP-506G	1
	OP-724D	1
	OP-72402	1
	OP-07T	1
NAVAIRSYSCOM	AIR-53365C	5
	AIR-5363	1
	AIR-360C	1
	AIR-5333CE	1
	AIR-53221	1
	AIR-5363IF	1
CNM	PM-73	1
	0323A	1
NWC	G. Handler	1
	K. Powers	1
	W. G. Younkln	1
	Dr. M. Rogers	1
NWC Corona Lab.	5022	1
NMC	5230	1
	5311	1
NAD, Crane	1000	1
NOL	E. Dayhoff	2
	W. Talbert	1
NRL	5330	1
	5117	1
	5367	1
Airtronics/SWL via CNMPM-73	PM-73	1
Naval Air Tech. Liason Rep, Sanders Associates, Nashua, N. H.		1
VX-4		1
VF-121		1
Defense Documentation Center, Alex., Va. Attn: TIPDR		20

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK - NOT FILLED

SECRET-NOFORN
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D		
Security classification of title, body, table, and abstract is to be entered when the document is classified.		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (If different from 1.)
Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390		SECRET-NOFORN (If different from 1.)
3. REPORT TITLE		4. NUMBER OF PAGES
THE EFFECT OF F-8 AIRCRAFT MANEUVERS ON ATOLL (AA-2) PERFORMANCE (U)		3
5. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		
A final report on one phase of a continuing problem.		
6. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)		
Harold Toothman and Clair Loughmiller		
7. REPORT DATE	8. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	9. NO. OF FIGS.
September 1970	40	2
10. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	11. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
NRL Problem D01-03	NRL Memorandum Report 2170	
12. PROJECT NO.	13. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
A-05-533647/652-1/S3190000		
14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		
In addition to security requirements which apply to this document and must be met, each transmittal outside the Department of Defense must have prior approval of Director, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20390.		
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		16. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
		Naval Air Systems Command Washington, D.C.
17. ABSTRACT (Secret)		
An analysis was made to determine the capability of the F-8 aircraft to evade the ATOLL missile by maneuver. Realistic computer simulations of both the ATOLL and the F-8 were combined with the IR characteristics of the F-8 and the atmosphere to provide the tool for analysis. The simulation results define maneuver requirements for the F-8 in the air combat maneuvering environment. It is concluded that maneuver alone is not always a sufficient countermeasure.		

DD FORM 1 NOV 61 1473

(PAGE 1)

35

SECRET-NOFORN
Security Classification

S/N 0101-807-6801

Security Classification:

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)
(PAGE 2)

**Naval Research Laboratory
Technical Library
Research Reports Section**

DATE: August 22, 2002
FROM: Mary Templeman, Code 5227
TO: Code 5300 Paul Hughes
CC: Tina Smallwood, Code 1221.1 *to 8/19/03*
SUBJ: Review of NRL Reports

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please review NRL Memo Reports 2139, 2150, 2170, 2297, 2360, 2425, 2426 and 2429 for:

- ☒ Possible Distribution Statement
- ☒ Possible Change in Classification

Thank you,

Mary Templeman

Mary Templeman
(202)767-3425
maryt@library.nrl.navy.mil

The subject report can be:

- ☒ Changed to Distribution A (Unlimited)
- ☒ Changed to Classification _____
- ☐ Other:

Paul K. Hughes IV 8/18/2003

Signature

Date

-- 1 OF 1
-- 1 - AD NUMBER: 512014
-- 2 - FIELDS AND GROUPS: 15/3, 1/2, 1/3.3, 16/4.1
-- 3 - ENTRY CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
-- 5 - CORPORATE AUTHOR: NAVAL RESEARCH LAB WASHINGTON D C
-- 6 - UNCLASSIFIED TITLE: THE EFFECT OF F-8 AIRCRAFT MANEUVERS ON
-- ATOLL (AA-2) PERFORMANCE.
-- 8 - TITLE CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
-- 9 - DESCRIPTIVE NOTE: FINAL REPT.,
--10 - PERSONAL AUTHORS: TOOTHMAN, HAROLD ; LOUGHMILLER, CLAIR ;
--11 - REPORT DATE: SEP 1970
--12 - PAGINATION: 37P MEDIA COST: \$ 7.00 PRICE CODE: AA
--14 - REPORT NUMBER: NRL-MR-2170
--16 - PROJECT NUMBER: NRL-53D01-03, A05-533-647/652-1/S3190000
--20 - REPORT CLASSIFICATION: CONFIDENTIAL
--22 - LIMITATIONS (ALPHA): DISTRIBUTION: DOD ONLY: OTHERS TO
-- DIRECTOR, NAVAL RESEARCH LAB., WASHINGTON, D. C. 20390.
--23 - DESCRIPTORS: (*AIR TO AIR MISSILES, AIRCRAFT DEFENSE SYSTEMS),
-- (*JET FIGHTERS, MANEUVERABILITY), SIMULATION, COMPUTER PROGRAMS,
-- EFFECTIVENESS, PERFORMANCE(ENGINEERING)
--24 - DESCRIPTOR CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
--29 - INITIAL INVENTORY: 20
--32 - REGRADE CATEGORY: C

--
--33 - LIMITATION CODES: 4
--34 - SOURCE SERIES: F
--35 - SOURCE CODE: 251950
--36 - ITEM LOCATION: DTIC
--38 - DECLASSIFICATION DATE: OADR
--40 - GEOPOLITICAL CODE: 1100
--41 - TYPE CODE: N
--43 - IAC DOCUMENT TYPE:
--49 - AUTHORITY FOR CHANGE: S TO C GP-3

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC
RELEASE - DISTRIBUTION
UNLIMITED