

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD518182

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO: UNCLASSIFIED

FROM: CONFIDENTIAL

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:
Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;
Administrative/Operational Use; 30 APR 1971.
Other requests shall be referred to Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development (Army), Washington, DC 20310.

AUTHORITY

AGO D/A ltr dtd 23 Jan 1976 AGO D/A ltr dtd 23 Jan 1976

UNCLASSIFIED

AD. 578182

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED
TO: UNCLASSIFIED
FROM: CONFIDENTIAL
AUTHORITY:

AGC, D/A Hk 23 JAN 76

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY

MARKING

The classified or limited status of this report applies to each page, unless otherwise marked.

Separate page printouts MUST be marked accordingly.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 AND 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.



CONFIDENTIAL

**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310**

DAAG-PAP-A (M) (1 Nov 71)

DAFD-OTT

16 November 1971

SUBJECT: Operational Reports - Lessons Learned, Cavalry Units - 3d Sqdn, 17th Cav; 1st Sqdn, 9th Cav; and 7th Sqdn, 1st Cav for Period Ending 30 April 1971 (U)

AD 518182 SEE DISTRIBUTION

1. Section 2 of reports, subject as above, are forwarded for review and evaluation in accordance with para 4b, AR 525-15.

2. The information contained in these reports is provided to insure that lessons learned during current operations are used to the benefit of future operations and may be adapted for use in developing training material.

Information of actions initiated as a result of your evaluation should be forwarded to the Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, ATTN: DAFD-OTT, within 90 days of receipt of this letter.

4. As Section 1 of the report is not pertinent to the Lessons Learned program it has been omitted.

BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY:

Verne L. Bowers
VERNE L. BOWERS
Major General, USA
The Adjutant General

DDC
RECEIVED
DEC 1 1971
D

- 3 Incl
1. DAFD-OTT 711088
2. DAFD-OTT 711089
3. DAFD-OTT 711204

DISTRIBUTION:

- Commanding Generals
US Continental Army Command
US Army Combat Developments Command
US Army Materiel Command
Commandants
US Army Command and General Staff College
US Army Armor School
US Army Aviation School
US Army Infantry School

(Continued on page 2)

This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws (Title 18, U.S.C., sections 793 and 794), the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

Regraded unclassified when separated from classified inclosure.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

2

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

Copies furnished:

Office, Chief of Staff, US Army
Deputy Chiefs of Staff
Chief of Research and Development
Assistant Chiefs of Staff
Chief of Engineers
The Provost Marshal General
OSD(SA) Assistant for Southeast Asia Forces
Commanders in Chief
 US Army, Europe
 US Strike Command
Commanding Generals
 US Army, Alaska
 US Army Flight Training Center
Commander, US Army Forces Southern Command
Commandant of the Marine Corps
Defense Documentation Center
Commanding Officers
 US Army Land Warfare Laboratory
 US Army Logistics Doctrine, Systems & Readiness Agency
 US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Center

CONFIDENTIAL

3

CONFIDENTIAL

1 May 1971

AVBAUY-CC

SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry for Period Ending 30 April 1971. RCS CSFOR-65 (R2) (U)

PARAGRAPH 2
Lessons Learned

1. (U) PERSONNEL: None

2. (C) INTELLIGENCE:

a. Observation: Many agent reports were being received on secure teletype 2 to 3 days after they were reported to U.S. agent handlers. This, added to the time between an agent making an observation and his reporting to his handler, made much of the information 5 to 7 days old before it was in the hands of 3/17 ACS elements operating in the field who could exploit the information.

b. Evaluation: With the constantly changing situation in a combat zone, many of these agent reports were useless because the reported enemy unit had moved out of the area before 3/17 ACS elements received the information. A closer liaison with intelligence units operating within the 3/17 ACS area of operation was necessary.

c. Recommendation: Air Cavalry units should be supplied with the locations of MI field offices within their area of operation and these same field be briefed as to the U.S. units operating within their Area of Operation to better facilitate the dissemination of information. Also both the field offices and user units should take it upon themselves to establish a liaison system.

d. Command Action: Liaison has been established between the 3/17 ACS and a field team operating out of Thu Loi. As they receive the information typewritten copies are forwarded directly to 3/17 ACS. Using this system, it has been possible to cut the time span from the agent making an observation until the user unit receives it to as little as 12 hours.

3. (U) OPERATIONS:

a. Observation #1

(1) Observation: The need for a practical, safe and attractive base for our revetments was solved when this unit hauled in gravel for that purpose.

(2) Evaluation: The use of gravel greatly reduces the possibility of an accident. As with PSP, or any other metal base, there is always the possibility of catching a skid shoe and causing an accident. The use of gravel also alleviates the possibility of the aircraft skidding or sliding on a wet or oily surface. Since this unit installed the gravel, there have been absolutely no drainage problems.

(3) Recommendation: That all units take a look at their revetments to find if any of these potentially dangerous situations exist. If so, recommend that they consider the possibility of reforming their revetments with at least six inches of gravel.

DAFD-OTT (4) Command Action: None.
711088
Incl 1

CONFIDENTIAL

**DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 5200.10**

4

CONFIDENTIAL

AVR/UY-GC

1 May 1971

SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry for Period Ending 30 April 1971. RCS CSFOR-65 (R2) (U)

b. Observation #2

(1) **Observation:** Immediately after experiencing an engine failure in an AH-1G, the gunner attempted to jettison the wing stores and was unable to do so.

(2) **Evaluation:** Subsequent investigation determined that the gunner's jettison switch was defective. Since that incident, we have been testing the jettison system of all our AH-1G's. Another was found to have a faulty salvo jettison time delay relay. A test or check of the wing stores jettison system is not included in any of the periodic maintenance inspection checklists. In testing the impulse cartridge receptacle for activation of the electrical current, the inboard system will register a continuous current, however, the outboard system registers only a sudden electric impulse as the salvo mode is activated. Unless the impulse is observed, it may be thought that the outboard system is not receiving the activation current.

(3) **Recommendation:** That a check of the wing store jettison system be included on the preventive maintenance periodic inspection so that it will be tested at least every 100 hours.

(4) **Command Action:** None.

c. Observation #3

(1) **Observation:** The use of a light fire team of AH-1G's as a visual reconnaissance team is, under most circumstances, unproductive and wastes valuable blade hours on the aircraft.

(2) **Evaluation:** The altitude and airspeed which an AH-1G must fly prevents any detailed observations of the terrain, especially in densely wooded areas. The size of the aircraft also presents a large target for enemy gunners while flying at an altitude low enough to attempt a visual reconnaissance.

(3) **Recommendation:** That a light fire team of AH-1G's not be used as a visual reconnaissance team.

(4) **Command Action:** None.

d. Observation #4

(1) **Observation:** During Lt Troop's tenure in Cambodia, ground to air fire usually does not start until early afternoon.

(2) **Evaluation:** The majority of all ground to air fires Lt Troop has had, has been in the afternoon. Evidently, the enemy knows that late in the day we cannot concentrate full firepower on the area because of the relatively short time until darkness falls. He knows that we must then break contact.

2

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

1 May 1971

AFBAUY-GC

SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry
for Period Ending 30 April 1971. RCS GSPOR-65 (R2) (U)

The only times any of our aircraft have been fired on in the morning is when the scout has uncovered something important or we have been in support of troops in contact.

(3) Recommendation: Trying to get most VR hours in the morning would be one solution. Also, since the afternoon reconnaissance is a must, try and save your VR blocks closest to your base for this time. If a ship did go down your QRF and Pipsmoke-Chinook element would be closer at hand and darkness would not then be such an overriding factor as it would be in an area a long distance from your base.

(4) Command Action: None.

4. (U) Organization: None.

5. (U) Training: None.

6. (U) Logistics:

a. Observation #1

(1) Observation: With the phase out of the U.S. effort in III MR no support units remain to maintain aircraft rearming and refueling facilities at forward bases.

(2) Evaluation: The withdrawal of the 1st Air Cavalry Division resulted in the close out of divisional support element which operated aircraft rearming and refueling facilities at Quan Loi, Lai Khe, Kon Tum and Loc Ninh. As a result this squadron was directed by the 12th Aviation Group to assume responsibility for the facilities of this squadron.

(3) Recommendation: That QM and ordnance detachments be attached to this squadron for the operation of fixed rearming and refueling facilities.

(4) Command Action: This squadron has requested to 12th Aviation Group (Combat) that support detachments be attached.

c. Observation #2

(1) Observation: No installation engineer support was made available to A Troop, 3/17th ACS at Quan Loi.

(2) Evaluation: The original movement of A Troop to Quan Loi in August 1970 was intended for a period of only two to three months. However, the location of A Troop at Quan Loi was extended for an indefinite period. Due to the fact that all real property at Quan Loi belongs to ARVN, no installation engineer support is available.

(3) Recommendation: Provisions should be developed under which PA&E installation engineer support can be provided to U.S. units located on ARVN bases.

(4) Command Action: This squadron has requested thru 12th Aviation Group (Combat) that PA&E support be made available to A Troop at Quan Loi.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

6

AVBAUY-CC

1 May 1971

SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry for Period Ending 30 April 1971. RGS CSFOR-65 (R2) (U)

7. (U) Communications: None.

8. (U) Material: None.

9. (U) Other:


a. Observation #1

(1) Observation: During the period 2 November 1970 to 10 April 1971 the 3/17th ACS was OPCON to the 1st Cavalry Division and further OPCON to the 1/9 Air Cavalry Squadron.

(2) Evaluation: Initially, this arrangement caused no command and control problems as the 1/9 Squadron Commander was the senior commander. However, in January 1971, the 1/9 changed commanders. The new commander was junior to the commander of the 3/17 but no change was made in command relationship between the two units. Had the two commanders not worked together previously and had they not been thoroughly mission oriented conflicts could have arisen.

(3) Recommendations: When one unit is placed under the Operational Control of another the unit with the senior commander should be the controlling unit.

(4) Command Action: None.


CARL J. HALLAND
LTC, FA
Commanding

11 Inclosures

- 1. Unit Organization
- 2. Unit Locations
- 3. Unit Strength (Military)
- 4. Unit Strength (Civilian)
- 5. Awards & Decorations
- 6. Friendly Losses
- 7. Intelligence
- 8. Aircraft Status
- 9. Operations Results
- 10. Aircraft Loss and Damage

~~11. Communications~~

Incl 11 w/d HQ DA

Distribution:

- 3/17 A Plus
- 2- CINCUSARPAC, ATTN: GPOP-DT
- 2- USARPAC, ATTN: GPOP-DT
- 3- USARV, ATTN: AVHDC-DO
- 2- 1st AVN BDE, ATTN: AVB&FC-O
- 6- 12TH AVN GP (CPT), ATTN: AVBAUS-C
- 2- II FFV, ATTN: AVFEC-P

4

CONFIDENTIAL

7

CONFIDENTIAL

AVBAUS-C (1 May 71) 1st Ind
SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned, 3/17th ACS, for Period
Ending 30 April 1971 RCS CSPOR-65 (R3) (U)

DA, HEADQUARTERS, 12TH AVIATION GROUP (COMBAT), APO 96266 19 May 1971

THRU: Commanding General, Third Regional Assistance Command, ATTN:
MACIR-G3PT, APO 96266

Commanding General, 1st Aviation Brigade, ATTN: AVBAGC, APO 96384

Commanding General, US Army Vietnam, ATTN: AVHCG-DST, APO 96375

Commander-in-chief, US Army Pacific, ATTN: GPOD-DT, APO 96558

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of the
Army, Washington, D.C. 20310

1. (U) This headquarters has reviewed and concurs with the Operational
Report - Lessons Learned, 3/17th Air Cav Sqdn for the period ending 30
April 71.

2. (C) The following comments are provided:

a. The report was submitted in incorrect format.

b. Section 1, paragraph 8, communications should be reported under
Lessons Learned.

c. Reference Section 2, paragraph 2, intelligence. This headquarters
is coordinating with field offices at all posts where 12th Avn Gp (Cbt)
units are stationed to provide timely intelligence to each aviation unit.

d. Reference Section 2, paragraph 3b, jettison of wing stores. All
units within 12th Avn Gp (Cbt) assigned AH-1G aircraft have been directed
to incorporate a wing store check into the preventive maintenance periodic
inspection. This check is to be conducted IAW TM 55-1500-221-20 and as
outlined in USAFV Weekly Summary #265 dated 5 April 71.

e. Reference Section 2, paragraph 4a, rearm and refuel facilities.
This headquarters published orders on 28 April 71 assigning a CM
detachment to 3/17th ACS effective 15 May 71. This action will enable
3/17th ACS to meet its operational requirement.

5

CONFIDENTIAL


CONFIDENTIAL

8

AVBAUS-C (1 May 71) 1st Ind
SUBJECT: ORLL for Period Ending 30 April 1971

f. Reference Section 2, paragraph 4b, Engineer support at Quan Loi. This headquarters has forwarded a request for permanent PA&E engineer support at Quan Loi. Coordination has been accomplished with the TRAC Engineer Officer to provide immediate assistance from the 159th Engr. Gp.

FOR THE COMMANDER:


JOHN H. SUTHERLAND
MAJ, IN
Adjutant

CONFIDENTIAL

9

CONFIDENTIAL

MACTR-G3PT (1 May 71) 2d Ind
SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th Air
Cavalry for Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65(R3) (U)

HQ, Third Regional Assistance Command, APO San Francisco 96266 6 JUN 1971

THRU: Commanding General, 1st Aviation Brigade, ATTN: AVBA-C, APO 96384

Commanding General, US Army Vietnam, ATTN: AVHDO-DO, APO 96375

Commander-in-Chief, US Army Pacific, ATTN: GPOP-DT, APO 96538

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of the
Army, Washington, D.C. 20310.

1. (U) This headquarters has reviewed the Operational Report - Lessons
Learned for the period ending 30 April 1971 from Headquarters, 3d Squadron,
17th Air Cavalry.


2. (C) Comments follow:

a. Reference item concerning ground to air fire, page 16, para 3d;
concur. This fact had not previously been noted. An article on this will
appear in the next TRAC PERINTREP.

b. Reference item concerning AH-1G wing stores jettison system, page
16, para 3(b)(1); concur with observation, evaluation and recommendation.
It is, therefore, recommended that the unit submit an EIR (Equipment
Improvement Recommendation) IAW provisions of para 3-7.4, TM 38-750 to US
Army Aviation System Command.

c. Reference item concerning installation engineer support, page 17,
para 6b; concur. Existing regulations do not authorize PA&E to provide
facilities engineering support on facilities transferred to GVN. As ARVN
facility engineer services are limited, US units located on ARVN bases must
handle their own building repairs on a self help basis. A request for
PA&E support at Quan Loi and Tay Ninh, as an exception to policy, was in-
dorsed through this headquarters on 8 May 71 to the USARV Engineer.

FOR THE COMMANDER:



KENNETH A. PAYANT
MAJ, AGC
Assistant Adjutant General

7

CONFIDENTIAL

10


AVBAGC (1 May 71) 3d Ind (4)
SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th
Air Cavalry for Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65
(R3) (U)

DA, Headquarters, 1st Aviation Brigade, APO 96384 8 JUN 1971

TO: Commanding General, United States Army Vietnam, ATTN: AVHGS-DST
APO 96375

(U) This headquarters has reviewed the Operational Report - Lessons
Learned for the period ending 30 April 1971, and concurs.

FOR THE COMMANDER:


E. L. BARNARD
CPT, AGC
Asst AG

AVHDO-DO (1 May 71) 4th Ind

SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned of 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry
for Period Ending 30 April 1971. RCS CSFOR-65 (R3) (U)

Headquarters, United States Army Vietnam, APO San Francisco 96375 12 JUL 1971

TO: Commander in Chief, United States Army Pacific, ATTN: GPOP-FD,
APO 96558

1. This Headquarters has reviewed the Operational Report-Lessons Learned for the period ending 30 April 1971 from Headquarters, 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry and concurs with comments of indorsing headquarters.

2. Additional comment follows:

Reference item concerning "Observation #2," page 17, paragraph 6b, 1st Indorsement, paragraph 2f and 2nd Indorsement, paragraph 2c. At the time the decision was made to retain elements of the 3/17th ACS at Quan Loi and Tay Ninh, no Facilities Engineering (FE) Support was provided and the units were treated like any other small units around the country that are at a location for a short period of time. Since that time, the policy has been reviewed and we now retain the property and provide minimum Facilities Engineering Support to US units on ARVN bases. The Facilities Engineering contract is being modified to provide Facilities Engineering Support to the units at Tay Ninh. The requirement to provide support at Quan Loi no longer exists due to relocation of the unit.

FOR THE COMMANDER:



Cy furn:
3/17th ACS
1st Avn Bde

12

GPOP-FD (1 Msy 71) 5th Ind (U)
SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned, HQ 3d Squadron
17th Air Cavalry for Period Ending 30 April 1971
RCS CSFOR-65 (R3)

HQ, US Army, Pacific, APO San Francisco 96558 12 AUG 1971

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development,
Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20310

This headquarters concurs in subject report as indorsed.

FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF:


M. L. Mah

M. L. MAH
2LT, AGC
Asst AG

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

UNIT ORGANIZATION
SEMI-ANNUAL ORLL

Incl 1 to Incl 1



14

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 April 1971

UNIT LOCATIONS, Semi-Annual ORLL

<u>ASSIGNED UNITS</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>APO</u>
Headquarters Troop	Phu Loi	96289
A Troop	Quan Loi	96289
B Troop	Phu Loi	96289
D Troop	Phu Loi	96289
334th Aviation Company (Attack Helicopter)	Phu Loi	96289
F/4 Cav	Lai Khe	96289
93d Medical Detachment	Lai Khe	96289

INCLOSURE 2 to Incl 1

12
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

15

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH AIR CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 April 1971

UNIT STRENGTHS (MILITARY), Semi-Annual ORLL

<u>SUBORDINATE UNIT</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>		<u>WO</u>		<u>EM</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	
	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>
HQ Troop	24	27	7	4	181	175	212	206
A TROOP	18	25	31	18	182	173	231	216
B TROOP	18	21	31	19	182	162	231	202
D TROOP	5	5	0	0	127	113	132	118
F TROOP	16	24	34	27	216	196	266	247
334TH AVN CO	17	21	39	26	198	161	254	208
93D MED DET	1	1	0	0	5	6	6	7
3/17 LCS	99	124	142	94	1091	986	1332	1204

INCLOSURE 3 to Incl 1

13

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

UNIT STRENGTHS (CIVILIAN), SEMI-ANNUAL ORLL

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>DAC</u>		<u>VN</u>		<u>3D NATL</u>		<u>CONTRACTORS</u>		<u>TECH REPS</u>	
	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>O/H</u>
HQ TROOP	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
A TROOP	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
B TROOP	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
F/4	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
D TROOP	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
334TH	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
SQUADRON	0	0	35	35	0	0	0	0	0	0

INCLOSURE 4 to Incl 1

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

AWARDS AND DECORATIONS: SEMI-ANNUAL ORLL

<u>NAME OF AWARD</u>	<u>RECOMMENDED</u>	<u>APPROVED</u>	<u>PENDING</u>	<u>DOWN GRADED</u>
MEDAL OF HONOR	0	0	0	0
DSC	0	0	0	0
SS	5	2	3	2
LM	1	0	1	0
TFC	24	15	5	4
SM	13	0	13	0
BSM "V"	15	0	15	0
BSM	99	75	15	9
AM "V"	6	6	0	0
AM	175	174	1	0
ARCOM "V"	4	0	4	0
ARCOM	531	309	222	0
PH	6	7	0	0
TOTAL	879	639	279	15

INCLOSURE 5 to Incl 1

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

FRIENDLY LOSSES, QUARTERLY ORLL

	KIA			WIA			MIA		
	<u>OFF</u>	<u>WO</u>	<u>EM</u>	<u>OFF</u>	<u>WO</u>	<u>EM</u>	<u>OFF</u>	<u>WO</u>	<u>EM</u>
NOV 70	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
DEC 70	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
JAN 71	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0
FEB 71	1	0	2	1	1	16	0	0	0
MAR 71	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
APR 71	0	0	2	1	3	2	0	0	0

DIED NOT AS A RESULT
OF HOSTILE ACTION

	<u>OFF</u>	<u>WO</u>	<u>EM</u>
NOV 70	0	0	0
DEC 70	0	0	3
JAN 71	0	0	0
FEB 71	1	3	3
MAR 71	0	0	0
APR 71	0	0	0

WOUNDED OR INJURED NOT AS A
RESULT OF HOSTILE ACTION

	<u>OFF</u>	<u>WO</u>	<u>EM</u>
	0	0	5
	1	1	4
	0	0	1
	0	0	1
	0	0	2
	0	0	2

INCLOSURE 6 to Incl 1

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

CONFIDENTIAL

20

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

INTELLIGENCE: SEMI-ANNUAL ORLL

ENEMY UNIT

CURRENT PROBABLE LOCATION

MR-6 HQ
MR-7 HQ
BA LONG (P) HQ
445 VC MF Inf Bn

Vic AN 8850
SE of GIA RAY
NE of NUI HAY TAO
Vic NUI BE w/1 Company in LONG
HAI Mountain

33 NVA Inf Regt
HQ & Spt Units
1 NVA Inf Bn
2 NVA Inf Bn
3 NVA Inf Bn

South of NUI ONG
South of NUI ONG
SE of VO DAT
East of Blackhorse

274 VC Inf Regt
HQ & Spt Units
1 VC Inf Bn
2 VC Inf Bn
3 VC Inf Bn

N HAT DICH AND TINH SON Area
SE of XUAN LOC
South of HUNG NGHIA (H)
SE of Binh Sen Plantation

MR-10 HQ
BINH LONG (P) HQ
368 VC LF Inf Bn
PHUOC LONG (P) HQ
K 28 District Unit
SR 5 HQ
1 DONG NAI VC MF Inf Bn
2 DONG NAI NVA Inf Bn
4 DONG NAI NVA Inf Bn

North of the FINGER
NE of AN LOC
Dispersed NE of AN LOC
BU GIA MAP
Vic BU GIA MAP
Western War Zone "D", Base Area 350
PHU GIAO (D)
TAN UYEN (D)
CHAU THANH (D)

5th VC Inf Div
HQ & Spt Units
E6 Regt
174 NVA Inf Regt
22 Arty Bn
24 AA Bn
27 Recon Bn
95 Sapper Bn

NW of SNOUL, Cambodia
NE of SNOUL, Cambodia
SW of SNOUL, Cambodia
Vic of SNOUL, Cambodia
NW of Bu Dep in Cambodia
NE of SNOUL, Cambodia
Vic SNOUL, Cambodia

INCLOSURE 7 to Incl 1

21

CONFIDENTIAL

ENEMY UNIT

CURRENT PROBABLE LOCATION

7 NVA Inf Div
HQ & Spt Units
141 NVA Inf Regt
165 NVA Inf Regt
209 NVA Inf Regt
22 Arty Bn
24 AA Bn
95 Sapper Bn

Chup Plantation, Cambodia
NE of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
NE of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
Vic of SUONG, Cambodia
NE of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
NE of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
Chup Plantation, Cambodia

9 VC Inf Div
HQ & Supt Units
95C NVA Inf Regt
271 VC Inf Regt
272 VC Inf Regt
22 Arty Bn
24 AA Bn
95 Sapper Bn

NW of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
NW of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
Chup Plantation, Cambodia
W of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
E of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
E of Chup Plantation, Cambodia
Chup Plantation, Cambodia

The information obtained concerning all areas and enemy units provided input into allied intelligence channels.

INCLOSURE 7 (CONT)

18

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

22

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

AIRCRAFT STATUS, SEMI-ANNUAL ORLL

SUBORDINATE UNIT	UH1H		UH1G		OH58		OH6A	
	AUTH	O/H	AUTH	O/H	AUTH	O/H	AUTH	C/H
HQ TROOP	7	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
A TROOP	8	7	9	9	10	10	0	0
B TROOP	8	7	9	8	10	10	0	0
F/4	8	8	9	9	0	0	10	10
334TH	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
SQUADRON	32	28	48	40	20	23	10	10

INCLOSURE 8 to Incl 1

19

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

OPERATIONAL RESULTS, SEMI-ANNUAL ORIL

UNIT	Sorties Flown		Troops Lifted	Cargo Tons	Enemy KIA	Structures Destroyed		Sampans Destroyed		Acft Loss (Acft, Cbt, Main)		Acft Dam (Acft, Cbt)
	1994	1971				Den	Dest	Den	Dest	Acft	Cbt	
HQ TROOP	1994	158	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A TROOP	18138	8240	2	147	14	93	9	43	19	9	9	9
B TROOP	12547	15676	4	93	9	80	12	46	14	5	5	5
334th Avn Co (Atk. Helicopter)	7530	2118	0	236	6	48	0	0	17	10	10	10
F/4	2853	1282	1.5	13	0	41	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	43,162	28,174	12.5	489	29	262	21	89	50	25	25	25

Incl 9 to Incl 1

CONFIDENTIAL

24

HEADQUARTERS
3D SQUADRON, 17TH CAVALRY
PERIOD ENDING
30 APRIL 1971

AIRCRAFT LOSS AND DAMAGE, SEMI-ANNUAL ORLL

1. The aircraft loss figure includes aircraft retrograde to excessive maintenance requirements as a result of accident or combat damage.

2. F Troop, 4th Cav figures include only the month of April.

		<u>UH1H</u>	<u>AH1G</u>	<u>OH58</u>	<u>OH6A</u>
HQ TROOP	Aircraft Loss	1	0	0	0
	Aircraft Damage	0	0	0	0
A TROOP	Aircraft Loss	10	4	5	0
	Aircraft Damage	1	2	6	0
B TROOP	Aircraft Loss	6	6	2	0
	Aircraft Damage	2	1	2	0
F TROOP	Aircraft Loss	0	0	0	0
	Aircraft Damage	0	0	0	1
334TH	Aircraft Loss	4	13	1	0
	Aircraft Damage	0	10	0	0

INCLOSURE 10 to Incl 1

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned, 1st Sqdn, 9th Cav (U)

2. **Lessons Learned:** Commanders Observation, Evaluation, and Recommendation.

- a. Personnel, None
- b. Intelligence, None
- c. Operations:

(1) Use of ARVN Platoons as Aero Rifle Platoons for Air Cavalry missions (Browns).

(a) **OBSERVATION:** As American forces withdrew from Vietnam, it became necessary to back up the Aero Rifle Platoon with ARVN quick reaction forces. The difference in language caused some confusion on the ground during maneuver and during delivery of Aerial firepower.

(b) **EVALUATION:** ARVN Platoons, normally from the Intelligence and Reconnaissance Company, were trained in the specialized skills required of the Aero Rifle Platoons. These platoons, called "Browns" were used in place of the Blues. A U.S. advisor was put on the ground with the Browns to preclude the language barrier. This initial procedure was modified for the Dry Season Campaign in Cambodia. The Browns were used but without the advisor. To resolve the language problem, a command and control helicopter was used with the Air Cavalry Commander and a representative from the ARVN Command, sitting side by side to resolve the problem.

(c) **RECOMMENDATION:**

(1) That Brown Platoons, if utilized by a U.S. Air Cavalry Unit, be placed OPCON to that Air Cavalry Unit. The order placing the Browns OPCON should specifically state the Cavalry Commander is authorized to make Brown insertion where he considers justified without regard for ARVN unit boundaries. This would allow the commander to rapidly adjust his assets to properly orchestrate the battle field.

DAFD-OTT
711089
Incl 2

CONFIDENTIAL

**DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 5200.10**

(d) COMMAND ACTION:

(1) This command, with organic assets, trained the Browns in Aero Rifle Platoon tactics and techniques.

(2) This command initiated action which resulted in the Browns being placed OPCON to this command.

(3) This command made numerous Brown insertions to secure downed A/C and to perform other ARP missions.

(2) Use of additional attack helicopters to support Air Cavalry Operations.

(a) OBSERVATION: The load carrying restrictions of the AH-1G, precludes the gunships of a Pink Team staying on station as long as the OH-6A on VR missions. To increase station time, the ordnance load must be reduced to allow greater fuel loads.

(b) EVALUATION: The need exists for an attack helicopter unit to be immediately responsive to the needs of the Air Cavalry VR Team operating outside static fire base artillery fans. Endurance should not be a factor for these aircraft and POL can be reduced and ordnance increased to maximize firepower. The standard NATO call for fire plus the capability for in flight briefing greatly reduces the time attack helicopters needs on station for engaging its target.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: That an attack helicopter unit operate with Air Cavalry routinely.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: This command implemented the system outlined above and it worked effectively.

(3) Attachment and assignment of Air Cavalry Troops.

(a) OBSERVATION: To be totally effective, the Air Cavalry Troop must remain under the control of the Squadron Commander.

(b) EVALUATION: In a fast moving and fluid situation, the Squadron

CONFIDENTIAL

Commander must be able to cross attach and reinforce any troop that has located the enemy. If a troop is attached, assigned, or placed OPCON to another maneuver element, the Air Cavalry Commander has lost his flexibility and capability to develop the situation and influence the course of action. Other conditions which require cross attachment or reinforcement are: Excessive battle damage, low maintenance availability, Division directed areas of priority, or an enemy contact large enough to be exploited by two or more Air Cavalry Troops. Due to the standardized methods of operation in the Air Cavalry Squadron, effecting cross attachment or reinforcement posed no problems. The Squadron simply called the troops concerned on the radio, and directed one or more troops to provide a designated number of teams or specific type of aircraft to another troop. This capability was not limited to aircraft alone. On several occasions aircraft were shot down in a location far removed from their organic Blue Platoon. In these situations it was faster to direct another Blue Platoon to proceed to the scene and secure the downed aircraft and extract the crew. On occasion the Blue Platoon of one troop could be used as the QRF for another troop.

(c) **RECOMMENDATION:** Air Cavalry Troops must remain under the control of the Squadron Commander to provide flexible Air Cavalry response to any enemy situation.

(d) **COMMAND ACTION:** In this Squadron, the cross attachment and reinforcement of individual troops is conducted frequently. This command has effectively shown the ability and flexibility of Air Cavalry Troops under the Squadron control, in developing and influencing the course of action.

CONFIDENTIAL

(4) Air Cavalry Utilization by ground commanders.

(a) OBSERVATION: On several occasions, ground commanders did not realize the value of Air Cavalry operations and they viewed the unit as a source of 3 types of aviation assets to solve their fire power, resupply and command/control problems.

(b) EVALUATION: Each platoon of the Air Cavalry Troop makes an important contribution to the Cavalry mission and to try and change the procedure reduces the effectiveness of the unit. An Air Cavalry unit is not an aviation unit but rather a reconnaissance maneuver element similar to the armored cavalry. One time exceptions to maintaining troop integrity leads to inconsistency and will worsen rather than help the situation.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: That the Air Cavalry commander must resist every effort of the commanders to fragment their unit into an odd job aviation company. Direct the ground commander to the proper channels for requesting aviation support and continue with the Air Cavalry mission.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: We successfully implemented the recommendation.

(5) Arming of light observation helicopter.

(a) OBSERVATION: The Light Observation Helicopter when armed with the minigun and used for scout missions has certain undesirable characteristics.

(b) EVALUATION: After observing the LOH as used in its Scout role with and without the minigun, it was determined that the minigun is a hinderance to the scout. First, if the minigun is mounted, there is one crew member on the aircraft. This reduces the "Visual Reconnaissance" capability.

CONFIDENTIAL

of the Scout by one third. Second, with the minigun mounted, there is a tendency on the part of the scout to use his aircraft as a "Gunship," again reducing the VR capability of the Scout.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: Commands using the Light Observation Helicopter in scouting missions should crew the aircraft with three crew members. The gunner should be armed with the M-60 machine gun and the observer armed with the M-16.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: This Command has successfully employed the above recommendations with outstanding results.

d. Organization, None

e. Training, None

f. Logistics:

(1) Supply of forward rearm points.

(a) OBSERVATION: Movement of Air Cavalry Troops to forward laagering locations during the Cambodian operation resulted in rearm points being established at several locations. Difficulty was encountered in arming these rearm points since the TOE did not provide for a service platoon within the troops. An accurate inventory was one of the critical problems.

(b) EVALUATION: Several times the Class V stock dropped to near zero and a tactical emergency had to be declared so that the fixed wing aircraft necessary to resupply Class V could be diverted from other missions to resupply the forward rearm points. It was found necessary to impress on the unit the need for nightly ammunition inventories in order to keep Class V Stock at proper levels.

5
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

30

(2) Maintenance Standdown


(a) OBSERVATION: Each Air Cavalry Troop should receive a minimum of a two day maintenance standdown per month.

(b) EVALUATION: It is a common practice in Infantry, Armor and some Artillery units to conduct periodic standdowns for one or two days. This allows the unit to catch up on maintenance, while incurring no further battle damage. Air Cavalry is a comparable combat unit and required the same consideration.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: Within the Air Cavalry Brigade, the number of VR Teams required to effectively provide visual reconnaissance remains stable and increases dramatically during periods of enemy activity. Due to the flexibility of Air Cavalry, one troop can adequately cover another Troop's AO for one or two days and a standdown could be given to each troop on a rotating basis.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: A three day standdown has been obtained for each troop to allow them to recover and in the future a one day standdown will be provided monthly.

INCLOSURE 1: Station List and Organization Chart
2: Letter SUBJECT: Air Cavalry Brigade Operations, 5 April 1971


CARL M. FUINAM
LTC ARMOR
Commanding

CONFIDENTIAL

2

DISTRIBUTION

- 3 Command General, 3rd Bde, 1st Cavalry Division (Airmobile)
- 5 12th CAC, ATTN: AVBAUS-C, APO S.F. 96266
- 2 1st Avn Bde. ATTN: AVBAFC-O, APO S.F. 96384
- 3 USARV, ATTN: AVHCC-DT, APO S.F. 96375
- 2 CIN CUSARPAC, ATTN: CPOP-DT, APO S.F. 96558

33

CONFIDENTIAL

AVBAUS-C 1st Ind

SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned, 1/9th ACS for Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65 (R3) (U)

DA, HEADQUARTERS, 12TH AVIATION GROUP (COMBAT), APO 96266 28 May 1971

THRU: Commanding General, Third Regional Assistance Command, ATTN: MACTR-G3PT, APO 96266

Commanding General, 1st Aviation Brigade, ATTN: AVBAGC, APO 96384

Commanding General, US Army Vietnam, ATTN: AVHCG-DST, APO 96375

Commander-in-Chief, US Army Pacific, ATTN: GPOP-DT, APO 96558

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C. 20310

This headquarters has reviewed and concurs with the Operational Report-Lessons Learned, 1/9th ACS for the period ending 30 April 1971.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

John H. Sutherland
JOHN H. SUTHERLAND
MAJ, IN
Adjutant

CF: CG, 3rd Bde, 1st ACD

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

8
CONFIDENTIAL

35

MACTR-G3PT 2d Ind.
SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned of 1st Squadron, 9th Cavalry
(Airmobile), Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65 (R3) (U)

HQ, Third Regional Assistance Command, APO San Francisco 96266 2 JUN 1971

THRU: Commanding General, 1st Aviation Brigade, ATTN: AVBA-C, APO 96384


Commanding General, US Army Vietnam, ATTN: AVHDO-DO, APO 96375

Commander-In-Chief, US Army Pacific, ATTN: CPOP-DT, APO 96538

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of
the Army, Washington, D.C. 20310

(U) This headquarters has reviewed and concurs with the Operational
Report - Lessons Learned for the period ending 30 April 1971 from
Headquarters, 1st Squadron, 9th Cavalry (Airmobile).

FOR THE COMMANDER:



7n ROBERT F. CARRELL
LTC, AGC
Adjutant General

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

AVBAGC (UN-DATED) 3rd Ind (4)
SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Headquarters, 1st Squadron
9th Cavalry (Airmobile), Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65
(R3) (U)

DA, Headquarters, 1st Aviation Brigade, APO 96384 5 JUN 1971

TO: Commanding General, United States Army, Vietnam, ATTN: AVHGS-DST,
APO 96375

1. This headquarters has received subject Operational Report-Lessons Learned and concurs, except as stated below.

2. Reference Para 2f(1) of subject report. Present TOE's do not authorize the MOS 55B series personnel at the troop level. To alleviate this problem units must submit fully justified request for modification of existing authorizations. To prevent zero balances of Class V requires continuing checks of stocks on hand and expended. The daily inventory conducted by the 1/9 Cavalry coupled with reordering based on daily usage adjusted for time required from shipment to receipt should prevent shortages from occurring.

3. Reference paragraph 2f(2) nonconcur with the recommendation that each cavalry troop stand down maintenance two to three days per month. It is preferable to have only a portion of the troops aircraft down at any one time for maintenance as opposed to all down for a two or three day period. Otherwise a severe manpower and equipment shortage will exist on those days when all equipment is down, with an excess maintenance capability during the remainder of the month. Additionally, there will be a tendency to delay maintenance which should be completed on a daily basis for accomplishment during the stand down period allowing small faults to progress to a more serious state. Scheduled maintenance has proven to be a reliable system which should be continued in all aviation units.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

E. L. Barnard
E. L. BARNARD
CPT, AGC
Asst AG

37

AVHDO-DO (Undated) 4th Ind
SUBJECT: Operational Report-Lessons Learned, 1st Squadron 9th Cavalry
(Airmobile), Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65

Headquarters, United States Army Vietnam, APO San Francisco 96375 18 JUN 1971

TO: Commander in Chief, United States Army Pacific, ATTN: GPOP-FD,
APO 96558

This Headquarters has reviewed the Operational Report-Lessons Learned for the period ending 30 April 1971 from Headquarters, 1st Squadron 9th Cavalry (Airmobile) and concurs with comments of indorsing headquarters.

FOR THE COMMANDER:


GEDDES F. MACLAREN
CPT. INF.
Acting Asst Adjutant General

Cy furn:
1/9th Cav (AM)
1st Avn Bde

GPOP-FD (Undated) 5th Ind (U)

SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned, 1st Squadron 9th Cavalry (Airmobile), period ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65 (R3)

HQ, US Army, Pacific, APO San Francisco 96558 22 JUL 1971

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20310

This headquarters concurs in subject report as indorsed.

FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF:



L.M. OZAKI
CPT, AGC
Asst AG

CONFIDENTIAL

IMMEDIATE
PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Station List, 1st Squadron 9th Cavalry, 30 April 1971 as of 2400H (U)

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>FORWARD CP</u>	<u>REAR</u>	<u>APO</u>
HQ	Tay Ninh East	Di An	96289
HHT	Di An	Di An	96289
A Troop	Tay Ninh West	Di An	96289
C Troop	Tay Ninh West	Di An	96289

~~Incl 1~~


-13

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

40

1ST SQUADRON 9TH CAVALRY
ORGANIZATION CHART



14

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 HEADQUARTERS, 1ST SQUADRON (AIRMOBILE) 9TH CAVALRY
 1ST AVIATION BRIGADE
 APO San Francisco 96289

AVBAUX-C

5 April 1971

SUBJECT: Air Cavalry Brigade Operations

1. This Squadron recently compiled the data concerning the formation and employment of the largest grouping of Air Cavalry the Army has known. This group was a defacto Air Cavalry Combat Brigade and we recorded our observations while conducting operations with eight Air Cavalry Troops, two ground Cavalry Troops, a Ranger Company, and a Combat Tracker Team. The report as you receive it has no official sanction; however, it can serve some purpose to those following the footsteps of the "First Team." This document was officially submitted to 1st Cavalry Division, prior to the Squadron being reassigned to the 1st Aviation Brigade on 10 April 1971. There has been no official comment returned.

2. As so often happens when administrative reports are compiled in the midst of actual combat operations, the most important lessons are overlooked. While omitted in the report, we must emphasize two important points.

a. The proposed combination of the attack helicopter battalion with the Air Cavalry Squadron within the Air Cavalry Combat Brigade is extremely important. Air Cavalry must operate with maximum fuel and go light on ordnance to increase time for visual reconnaissance in the operational area. On the other hand, the attack helicopter battalion operates with maximum ordnance since time on station is not as important as is the firepower it delivers. This concept was proven time and time again in the 1st Air Cavalry Division which used its Aerial Field Artillery to provide that additional firepower.

b. There are pros and cons about arming the LOH with the minigun. It is my opinion, after observing the Scout with and without the minigun, that the minigun is a hinderance to the Scout. First, if the minigun is mounted, there is one less crewmember on the aircraft. This reduces the "Visual Reconnaissance" capability of the Scout by one third. Second, with the minigun mounted there is a tendency on the part of the Scout to use his aircraft as a "Gunship", again reducing the VR capability of the Scout.

~~Incl 2~~

15
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

42

AVBAUX-C

12 April 1971

SUBJECT: Air Cavalry Brigade Operations

3. Our hope in compiling the attached report was to assist the formation of the Air Cavalry Combat Brigade at Fort Hood. We are willing to provide any additional data that may be available.

/S/ CARL M. PUTNAM

/T/ CARL M. PUTNAM
LTC ARMOR
Commanding

DISTRIBUTION:

CG, II Field Force, USAHV Vietnam
CG, USACDC, Fort Belvoir, Virginia
CG, Project MASSTER, Fort Hood, Texas
CG, USA Aviation Center, Fort Rucker, Alabama
CG, 1st Cavalry Division (AM) Vietnam
CG, Tricap Division, Fort Hood, Texas
CG, USA Armor Center, Fort Knox, Kentucky
CG, 3d Brigade 1st Cavalry Division (Sep) Vietnam
CG, 1st Aviation Brigade, Vietnam
Director Army Aviation, DACSFOR
D&A, II Corps, MACV
CO, 12th Combat Aviation Group, 1st Aviation Brigade, Vietnam
Director, Doctrine Directorate, USACDC, Fort Belvoir, Virginia
CO, USACDC, Armor Agency, Fort Knox, Kentucky
Chief Armor Branch, OPO, Department of the Army
Col Gilbert R. Mead, HQ USACDC, Fort Belvoir, Virginia
Col Robert H. Nevins Jr., 9th ROK Div. Vietnam
Col William R. Gearan, DACSFOR
LTC Jack W. Anderson, DCSOPS
LTC Ronald T. Walker, OACSFOR
LTC Robert F. Mollinelli
Maj. Ronald G. Maxson, US Army Armor School, Fort Knox, Kentucky
LTD Billy Williams, 3d Squadron, 17th Cavalry, Vietnam

16

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

AVBAWY
 SUBJECT: OR-LL 7th Squadron, 1st Air Cavalry, Period Ending
 30 April 1971 (U)

6 May 1971

2. (C) Lessons Learned: Commanders Observations, Evaluations and Recommendations.

- a. Personnel: None
- b. Intelligence: None
- c. Operations:

(1) Non-Air Cavalry Missions

(a) OBSERVATION: The Troops of the 7/1 Air Cavalry Squadron are continuing to receive and conduct Non-Air Cavalry missions, i.e., movement of large units from point A to point B, single ship resupply missions into relatively unsecured landing zones, etc.

(b) EVALUATION: Although demonstrating the versatility of the air cavalry concept, the use limitations imposed on flying assets should necessitate better utilization of the air cavalry package to coincide more closely with its stated mission. An air cavalry troop should be utilized on operations where a number of troops must be inserted but not just for the actual movement of a large number of troops. As soon as landing zones are cleared for insertions, the air cavalry troop should perform screening, blocking, and/or reconnaissance type missions. Not only does this concept permit the air assets to be used in the most efficient manner, but it enables a smaller ground force to accomplish their mission more quickly and effectively.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: Using units should review and screen mission requirements, and place more emphasis on placing the correct type mission with the most applicable air assets, i.e., air cavalry package, VNAF package, or assault helicopter company.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: As a result of the air cavalry troops being utilized in various roles, air mission commanders were instructed to thoroughly brief the ground unit on the troop's capabilities. Additionally, all aviators were given instruction as to the many roles the troop could be expected to fill.

(2) Aviator Complacency

DAFD-OTT
 711204
 Incl 3

CONFIDENTIAL

**DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;
 DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
 DOD DIR 5200.10**

CONFIDENTIAL

44

AVBAWY
SUBJECT: OR-LL (Cont)

6 May 1971

(a) OBSERVATION: On 2 December 1970, the pilot of a UH-1H helicopter was wounded, and later died, as a result of enemy fire. The entire incident happened after mission completion and the package had been released to return to Vinh Long.

(b) EVALUATION: Although the death of one pilot itself cannot usually be the basis for a lesson learned, the facts surrounding this incident were of some value to this unit. After departing Thoi Binh, RVN, the flight of three UH-1H aircraft was climbing to enroute altitude, and was paralleling a canal line that had always been used as a visual enroute navigational aid. Passing through 800 feet, the flight entered a preplanned enemy helicopter trap that wounded the Aircraft Commander of the first aircraft, and put numerous bullet holes into two aircraft of the flight. Other pertinent facts of value: (1) the AH-1C gunships and OH-6A scouts had already departed over the same area and had not received fire. (2) practically all pilots had removed and were not wearing their chest protectors. This fact alone has no bearing because the wound was sustained in the head, except that it could possibly have been a saving factor at another time. Since neither Cobras nor LOH's received fire, it can be assumed that the UH-1H's would not have been fired upon if they had been accompanied by gunships. Also, if the flight had been at a higher altitude, they probably would not have drawn the enemy fire which consisted of only small arms.

(c) RECOMMENDATIONS: (1) Since this action was caused mostly by aviator complacency, wide dissemination of this incident could prove of value to other aviators flying in combat operations. (2) When released from a mission, AH-1C's, OH-6A's, and the UH-1H's should return in the same flight if at all possible. If not, pilots are to gain altitude over secure areas to minimize exposure to hostile fire at low altitudes.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: All aircraft flights have been instructed to fly to and from the AO at 1500 feet ACL. Chest protectors are mandatory for all crew members during any flights away from the base airfield. Chest protectors will be worn when flying from the AO back to base camp after being released from a mission. Whenever possible, the entire flight or package will fly together for mutual protection.

(3) Utilization of Aero-Scouts

(a) OBSERVATION: The Aero Scouts are often misutilized as an offensive weapon. The job of the scouts is to find and fix the enemy and depart the area promptly and let the Cobras and troop insertions take over.

(b) EVALUATION: There is no reason for the Aero Scouts to re-enter an area where they have recently received intense fire. Too many LOH's have been shot down by entering the hot area again. The Air Mission Commander should closely monitor the feasibility of letting the LOH team go

2
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

AVBAWY
SUBJECT: OR-LL (Cont)

6 May 1971

back into a known hot area, even after Cobra strikes are put into the area. The scout can recon-by-fire but that is not to be misinterpreted as staying on station and fighting it out with the enemy. The LOH pilot sometimes gets complacent and develops the attitude that he cannot be shot down which is far from being correct.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: All Air Mission Commanders and all Aero-Scout pilots should at all times be made aware of the inherent danger of re-entering a known hostile area with a team of OH-6A's.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: This Squadron continues to encourage the LOH pilots not to re-enter a known hostile area for the second or third time, through safety meetings, instructions in each troops SOP, and debriefings which are held by the AMC after each days flight.

(4) Operations in a mid-intensity environment concerning flight altitudes.

(a) OBSERVATION: The concept of using AH-1G's, circling at 1200-1500 feet above the ground covering the OH-6A scout aircraft low-level, was found to incur a large number of aircraft hits in the Cobras during Operation Lamson 719.

(b) EVALUATION: In a mid-intensity environment with 12.7MM, 23MM, 37 MM, and 57MM anti-aircraft weapons, circling over the same general terrain at 1200-1500 feet AGL is not practical. The number of 12.7MM encountered in Laos made it wise to try different altitudes and fly irregular patterns. B Troop aircraft tried altitudes from 1500 feet to 8500 feet AGL, with the least amount of hits taken between 3500 feet and 5500 feet. Altitudes above 5500 feet drew fire from larger caliber weapons, such as 23MM or 37MM weapons.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: Aircraft operating in an environment with a large number of 12.7MM, 23MM, and 37MM weapons should operate between 3500 and 5500 feet.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: This information was disseminated through command channels to the 223rd Aviation Battalion and 2nd Squadron, 17th Armored Cavalry and to the 1st Aviation Brigade via commander's conferences.

(5) Reporting procedures while attached to one unit and under operational control of another.

(a) OBSERVATION: It was noted while attached to the 223rd Aviation Battalion and under the operational control of the 101st Airborne

CONFIDENTIAL

46

AVBANY
SUBJECT: OR-LL (Cont)

6 May 1971

Division during Lamson 719, that a double reporting system was in effect. B Troop had to make the same operational reports to both units. Most of the reports wanted the same information while a few differed in format and asked for different information.

(b) EVALUATION: Under this system, the unit involved was required to make a greater number of reports and it was later discovered that information from some reports was being counted twice in some instances due to the double reporting system.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: In such circumstances, instructions from the controlling headquarters should clarify and monitor reporting channels and in most instances designate a single channel for reporting.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: The problem was made known to the 223rd Aviation Battalion Headquarters through commander conferences.

(6) Informing Subordinate Units

(a) OBSERVATION: Units experienced conflicts between patrols operating in the same area.

(b) EVALUATION: Within the period covered by this report numerous incidents in which patrols have been endangered by other friendly unit combat patrol actions in the same area. These included ambushes being illuminated by helicopters and being fired upon by the perimeter, RF and US patrols running across each other's path, and convoys being delayed or aborted due to poor road conditions or impassable obstacles. All units should be required to report any operations in which they are involved in their TOC for further dissemination to other units.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: The TOC's at all levels distribute information on friendly operations within their areas of operations, giving special emphasis to any possible conflicting missions.

(7) Use of Grappling Hooks on Dismounted Patrols.

(a) OBSERVATION The use of a length of rope and grappling hook can be a valuable asset in heavily booby trapped areas.

(b) EVALUATION: During this reporting period training missions were conducted with RF's in areas that were in places heavily booby trapped. The density of booby traps was so great at times that the areas could not be reconned effectively. It is not desirable to bypass these areas because they may contain enemy caches or other materials needed by the enemy. Often it is impractical to carry enough demolitions equipment

4

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

AVRAWY
SUBJECT: OR-LL (Cont)

6 May 1971

to blow the booby traps in place. Thus, a practical and feasible alternative is to use a grappling hook to detonate booby traps. This method, when used properly, is rapid, safe, and when used in conjunction with normal visual caution is quite effective.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: That a grappling hook be included in the basic gear for dismounted patrols.

(8) Respect Toward Vietnamese Personnel

(a) OBSERVATION: The conduct of US military personnel on and off duty is a major consideration in relations with the Vietnamese people.

(b) EVALUATION: During this reporting period there have been operations such as convoys and patrols during which some personnel showed little or no respect to Vietnamese soldiers and civilians. The Vietnamese are very observant and are greatly influenced by manners, respect, and professional behavior. Unfavorable conflicts between US and Vietnamese personnel serve no useful purpose and can only harm the cause of the American government and slow down the Vietnamization program.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: That commanders at all levels emphasize the importance and necessity of treating the Vietnamese people, both civilian and military with dignity and respect. Any unnecessary occurrences of abuse to Vietnamese personnel be reported and corrective measures taken.

(9) Rearming and Pulling Maintenance on AH-1G in the AO.

(a) OBSERVATION: The turn around time for AH-1G's between rearming and take off has on occasion been unacceptably too long. Maintenance problems were often encountered which could not be repaired in the field.

(b) EVALUATION: The AH-1G's when completely expended required additional assistance to rearm, especially when the turret stores had been expended. Since the AH-1G does not carry a crew chief, minor maintenance problems that develop in either the aircraft itself or in the complex rearming system often delay the mission.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: That a crew chief and armorer for the AH-1G be taken to the stage field to preclude these problems.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: An AH-1G crew chief and armorer will go to the staging area with one of the lift ships on each mission.

e. Training: None

AVBAWY
SUBJECT: OR-LL (Cont)

6 May 1971

- f. Logistics: None
- g. Communications: None
- h. Material

(1) 2.75" FFAR

(a) OBSERVATION: A 2.75" FFAR with a flechette warhead lost the warhead while in flight. Prior to the impact the warhead detonated at 300 feet.

(b) EVALUATION: The flechette warhead is designed to detonate when the rocket motor has expended. Even so the warhead did detonate prior to impact without a rocket motor attached.

(c) RECOMMENDATION: That proper torque be applied to the warhead and that overflight of populated areas be avoided when feasible in accordance with the mission.

(d) COMMAND ACTION: The torque will be checked on all warheads of flechette rockets prior to rearming. All AH-1G's will avoid overflight of populated areas.

- i. Other: None

George W. Shallcross
GEORGE W. SHALLCROSS
LTC, AR
Commanding

2 Incl
as

CONFIDENTIAL

AVBAWS-C (6 May 71) 1st Ind

SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned, 7th Squadron, 1st Air Cavalry,
Period Ending 30 April 1971, RCS CSFOR-65 (R3) (U)

DA, HEADQUARTERS, 164th Combat Aviation Group, APO 96215 29 May 1971

TO: Commanding General, 1st Aviation Brigade, ATTN: AVBAGC, APO 96384

1. (U) The attached 7th Squadron, 1st Air Cavalry ORLL for the period ending 30 April 1971 has been reviewed by this headquarters.

2. (C) The following comments are made on the report:

a. Paragraph 2a: The 164th CAG is able to maintain combat operations with the present reduced level of personnel. However, continued critical shortages in hard skill maintenance personnel will affect mission capability if continued over an extended period.

b. Paragraph 2c: DAAG, in conjunction with the 164th CAG, is conducting monthly airmobility seminars in each of the Division level headquarters in MR 4. Participants include the ARVN commanders and staff, U.S. Advisors, and aviation personnel from this Group. The discussion of problem areas during these seminars has and will continue to improve airmobile operation in MR IV.

FOR THE COMMANDER:



WARREN I. KLEIN
CPT, FA
Assistant Adjutant

51

AVBAGC (6 May 71) 2nd Ind (u)
SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned, Headquarters, 7th
Squadron, 1st Air Cavalry, Period Ending 30 April 1971,
RCS CSFOR-65 (R3) (U)

DA, HEADQUARTERS, 1st Aviation Brigade, APO 96384

5 JUN 1971

TO: Commanding General, United States Army Vietnam, ATTN: AVHGS-DST,
APO 96375

(U) This Headquarters has reviewed the Operational Report - Lessons
Learned, Period Ending 30 April 1971, and concurs.

FOR THE COMMANDER:


E.L. BARNARD
CPT, AGC
Asst AG

53

CPOP-FD (6 May 71) 4th Ind (U)


SUBJECT: Operational Report - Lessons Learned for the 7th Squadron, 1st Air Cavalry, period ending 30 April 1971 and Troop D, 3d Squadron, 5th Armored Cavalry for the period 1 November 1970 to 1 February 1971, designated Troop C, 3d Squadron, 17th Air Cavalry for the period 1 February 1971 to 1 May 1971, RCS CSFOR-65 (R3)

HQ, US Army, Pacific, APO San Francisco 96558 22 JUL 1971

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20310

This headquarters concurs in subject report as indorsed.

FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF:


L.M. OZANI
CPT, AGC
Asst AG

7/1 ACS OPERATIONAL STATISTICS
FOR PERIOD ENDING 30 APRIL 1971 (C)

TROOP	SORTIES	TROOPS MOVED	TON CARGO	ENEMY KBA	STRUCTURES DESTROYED	SAMPANS	AIRCRAFT LOSS	AIRCRAFT DAMAGED	FRIENDLY KIA
A	11,814	14,825	161	337	656	407	7	40	1
B	19,685	6,354	50	118	430	98	14	36	6
C	18,398	11,711	636	369	777	418	6	51	2
C 3/17	12,659	14,280	98	243	757	426	19	22	0
TOTALS	62,556	47,170	745	1,177	2,620	1,349	44	159	9

A Troop A/C Loss: (1) UH-1H; (6) OH-6A; A/S Damaged: (8) UH-1H; (3) OH-6A; (1) AH-1G
 B Troop A/C Loss: (4) UH-1H; (6) OH-6A; (4) AH-1G; A/C Damaged: (9) UH-1H; (13) OH-6A; (14) OH-1G
 C Troop A/C Loss: (1) UH-1H; (2) OH-6A; (1) AH-1G; A/C Damaged: (20) UH-1H; (15) OH-6A; (16) AH-1G
 C 3/17 A/C Loss: (8) UH-1H; (8) OH-6A; (3) AH-1G; A/C Damaged: (15) UH-1H; (12) OH-6A; (5) AH-1G

Sub 2

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

CONFIDENTIAL

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D		
<i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
HQ, DAFD-OTT, Washington, D. C. 20310		CONFIDENTIAL
		2b. GROUP
		4
3. REPORT TITLE		
Operational Report - Lessons Learned, Cavalry Units, 3d Sqdn, 17th Cav; 1st Sqdn, 9th Cav; and 7th Sqdn, 1st Cav for Period Ending 30 Apr 71.		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		
Experiences of unit engaged in counterinsurgency operations, 1 Nov 70 to 30 Apr 71.		
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)		
CO's, 3d Sqdn, 17th Cav; 1st Sqdn, 9th Cav; and 7th Sqdn, 1st Cav.		
6. REPORT DATE	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
May 1971		
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
b. PROJECT NO.	711088	
c.	711089	
d.	711204	
	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
	N/A	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
N/A	DAFD, Washington, D. C. 20310	
13. ABSTRACT		

CONFIDENTIAL

DD FORM 1 NOV 68 1473

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

(This page is unclassified)