

AD624138

USING LINEAR PROGRAMMING AS A SIMPLEX SUBROUTINE

R. J. Clasen

November 1965

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION			
Hardcopy	Microfilm		
\$2.00	\$0.50	34 pp	ad
ARCHIVE COPY			

Code 1

P-3267

ABSTRACT

This Paper discusses the problems involved in using linear programming as a subroutine of a larger routine. Proposals are made for eliminating the tolerance selection problem, and for improving the accuracy of inversions.

Sample programs are given in FORTRAN IV and in ALGOL.

USING LINEAR PROGRAMMING AS A SIMPLEX SUBROUTINE

R. J. Clasen[†]

The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California

INTRODUCTION

Use of linear programming has increased rapidly in recent years. The major use was and still is to solve a problem stated in terms of linear programming; generally, only the answer to the problem was necessary. The one difficulty, usually, was preparing the input in a suitable format, and obtaining the output answer in an equally suitable format.

However, a problem occasionally arises of which only a small part involves obtaining the answer to a linear programming problem. For example, one may use linear programming to initially estimate the solution to a chemical equilibrium problem [1]. In this case, it would be convenient to have linear programming as a subroutine. We

[†] Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governmental or private research sponsors. Papers are reproduced by The RAND Corporation as a courtesy to members of its staff.

define our linear programming problem as that of determining x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that

$$\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j$$

is a minimum over all sets x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n that satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad j=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The details of the construction of such a subroutine are the subject of this Paper. The criteria for determining what procedures should be used are:

- 1) Accuracy of the solution, including its independence of row and column scale factors,
- 2) Storage needed for data,
- 3) Length of the subroutine needed,
- 4) Speed of solution--

in that order.

DETERMINING TOLERANCES

A person solving linear programming problems with the simplex method [2] generally has trouble estimating certain

tolerances. Typically, the following three tolerances are needed:

- 1) The Pivot Tolerance: a number in the pivot column is considered zero if its absolute value does not exceed the pivot tolerance.
- 2) The Zero Tolerance: a number in the solution vector is considered zero if its absolute value does not exceed the zero tolerance.
- 3) The Cost Tolerance: a reduced cost is considered zero if its absolute value does not exceed the cost tolerance.

We abbreviate these tolerances as TP, TZ, and TC, respectively.

When using a simplex subroutine, the user is unable to see his input numbers before he uses the subroutine; hence, he is not able to determine the proper tolerances. Therefore, the simplex subroutine should either calculate its own tolerances, or use a method that does not need tolerances. We used a combination of these two procedures.

Before giving the procedure for obtaining tolerances, let us first review the basic steps in the simplex method using the "explicit inverse" method:

- 1) Check the solution vector for feasibility. Let the basic part of the solution vector be denoted w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m .[†]

[†]This is what Cutler and Wolfe [3] call $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_m}$.

- 2) Calculate the prices--the "phase one" prices if the problem is not yet feasible, the "phase two" prices if the problem is feasible.
- 3) Calculate the reduced costs and find the column, JT, with the minimum reduced cost, MRC. If $MRC \geq 0$, terminate the subroutine; if $MRC < 0$, the pivot column is column JT.
- 4) Obtain the column vector JT by multiplying the inverse and the original column JT. Let the column obtained be called y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m .
- 5) Obtain the pivot row, IR, by using the subscript that causes the quantity $\frac{w_i}{y_i}$ to be a minimum for all non-zero y_i for which $\frac{w_i}{y_i} \geq 0$. Slight variations of this rule may be used when $w_i \leq 0$. If no row is found, then for each i either $y_i = 0$ or $\frac{w_i}{y_i} < 0$. In this case, we have an infinite solution and the subroutine is terminated.
- 6) Update the inverse, the "phase two" prices, and the w_i by pivoting on (IR, JT).

These steps are typically repeated until the subroutine terminates at either Step 3 or Step 5. The initial basis may be vacuous (or "artificial") and the initial inverse may be the identity. In addition to these steps, every $m/2$ to m iterations, it is usually desirable to "re-invert" the basis, so that the round-off error is not too large. If the re-inversion is to be done every NVER times, we adjoin to this system a counter, INVC (which is zero initially), and the following step:

- 7) Increase INVC by 1. If $INVC < NVER$, go to Step 1. Otherwise set INVC to zero and invert the basis, then go to Step 1.

The pivot tolerance (TP) is used in Step 5 to determine whether or not a y_i is zero. A satisfactory method of computing this tolerance is first to compute, on every iteration, $YMAX = \max_{i=1}^m |y_i|$. Then the pivot tolerance for that iteration is taken to be $TP = YMAX * 2^{-16}$. Then, on a machine that carries numbers in floating point notation to a relative accuracy of 2^{-27} , we assume $y_i = 0$ in Step 5 if $|y_i| \leq TP$. Thus we are, in effect, assuming the last 11 bits are not significant. While this is an ad hoc rule, it has worked reasonably well for problems on the order of 50 constraints.

The zero tolerance (TZ) is typically used in Step 1 to eliminate small negative numbers when determining feasibility. We do not calculate a zero tolerance, but we eliminate the small negative numbers at the source, i.e., in Step 6--the pivot. In other words, when we calculate a new solution vector w_i' , we keep the old solution vector w_i ; then, if $w_i \geq 0$ and $w_i' < 0$, we set w_i' to zero. These negative w_i can also arise after a reinversion. If the reinversion produces a full basis, and the problem was feasible before the reinversion began, we set to zero any negative w_i generated by the inversion.

Step 3 requires the cost tolerance (TC) to determine whether a small negative cost is "really" zero. "Really" zero means the number would be zero if infinite computing accuracy were used. The present method is to temporarily ignore the possibility that a reduced cost is a rounded zero, and to find the minimum reduced cost using no (or a zero) tolerance. Then we proceed as usual to Step 4 to obtain the prospective pivot column and the pivot tolerance. We use the number TP for TC, but we do not terminate the first time the minimum reduced cost exceeds -TC. Instead, we require the minimum reduced cost to exceed -TC for two consecutive iterations.

Now, if the column to be pivoted on "really" had a zero reduced cost, we may find no pivot row in Step 5. If we do find a pivot row in a column with a reduced cost of zero, it does no harm (except possibly some lost time) to pivot in this column, since we will merely obtain an alternate optimal solution. If in Step 5 we find no pivot row, we do not declare an infinite solution unless the problem is feasible, and the minimum reduced cost (MRC) calculated in Step 3 satisfies: $-1000 * MRC < YMAX$. If these conditions are not satisfied, we declare an optimal solution if the problem is in a feasible state, or a "no feasible

solution" if the problem is in an infeasible state. This additional test effectively prevents a false declaration of an "infinite solution."

Some linear programming routines allow redundant constraints. Because we use an effective zero tolerance of zero, we do not allow redundant rows. Hence if we are not able to pivot in every row, we declare an infeasible solution. Thus, a problem is infeasible if there exist numbers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m (m being the number of constraints) not all zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m p_i a_{ij} \leq 0 \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3,\dots,n$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m p_i b_i \geq 0 .$$

If we have no feasible solution to a problem, the shadow prices obtained will be proportional to the above p_i . (The proportion may be the negative of the p_i above, in which case both inequalities above would be reversed.)

INVERSION METHOD

The method of inverting in our subroutine differs from the usual procedure. A typical method of inverting is to pivot in each column that is part of the basis, pivoting in the row in which the column entry has the largest absolute value. For example, suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

where ϵ is a small number whose absolute value is less than half the accuracy to which unity can be represented. Thus, on an IBM 7090, ϵ might be 10^{-9} . Now pivoting in the first column, we would chose the first row and obtain:

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

But we assume that ϵ is small enough so that $\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}$ numerically becomes $-\frac{1}{2}$. Then we pivot in the second row of the second column and obtain:

$$\bar{A} = I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

hence, $w_1 = 0$, $w_2 = 1$. We note the correct answer is $w_1 = \epsilon$, $w_2 = 1 - 2\epsilon$. The ϵ has been absorbed into the round-off error.

The following pivot scheme avoids this problem:

- 1) Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m be the transformed column in which we wish to pivot. Let $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_m$ be the current values of the transformed constant vector (i.e., what was previously called w_i).
- 2) Let S be the set of integers such that $i \in S$ if $|y_i| > TP$ where TP is the pivot tolerance calculated as above.
- 3) Let T be the set of integers such that $i \in T$ if $\bar{b}_i = 0$.
- 4) If $S \cap T$ is not vacuous, do Step A; if $S \cap T$ is vacuous, do Step B.

A) Let $IR, IR \in S \cap T$, be an integer such that $|y_{IR}| \geq |y_i|$ for all $i \in S \cap T$.

B) Let $IR, IR \in S$, be an integer such that

$$\left| \frac{y_{IR}}{\bar{b}_{IR}} \right| \geq \left| \frac{y_i}{\bar{b}_i} \right| \text{ for all } i \in S.$$

Thus we are effectively pivoting in the row that has the largest ratio $|y_i/\bar{b}_i|$. In the above example, the largest ratio in the first column is the second row; hence the result of the first pivot is

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-2\epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the $1-2\epsilon$ will be rounded, presumably, to unity. Then we pivot in the first row of the second column to obtain:

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we get $w_2 = 1$, $w_1 = \epsilon$, which is actually the correct answer within round-off error. This method has the disadvantage that, in not choosing the largest element in a column to determine the pivot row, we may get an element that barely exceeds the pivot tolerance. But we nonetheless believe that the increased accuracy justifies this approach.

Other choices could have been made for the pivot element. For example, instead of pivoting on columns in column order, one could first choose the eligible row with the smallest w_j , then choose from that row of the matrix the eligible element with the largest absolute value. This was not done because of timing considerations, and because it would make the selections scale-dependent. Note that, in our method, the only scale-dependent operation is finding the pivot column in Step 3.

The simplex subroutine described here is available⁺ as a FORTRAN IV routine through the IBM-users group (SHARE). The cards for this subroutine may be obtained by writing directly to

SHARE Distribution Agency
DP Program Information Department
IBM Corporation
40 Saw Mill River Road
Hawthorne, New York 10532

and asking for SHARE Distribution Agency No. 3384.

⁺ Employees of RAND may obtain a copy from the SHARE program librarian, Pearl Leonhardt, by asking for the W026 routine.

Appendix

THE FORTRAN SUBROUTINE

The simplex subroutine, SIMPLE, may be used to solve a general linear programming problem of the form: Find $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n C_j x_j \quad (1)$$

is a minimum over all sets $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ that satisfy the independent constraints:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i \quad i=1,2,3,\dots,m, \quad (2)$$

and $x_j \geq 0 \quad j=1,2,\dots,n$, where C_j, a_{ij}, b_i are fixed numbers.

USAGE

The a_{ij} is stored in a two-dimensional array, A, with a_{ij} in cell A(i,j); C_j is stored in a one-dimensional array, C, with C_j in cell C(j); and b_i is stored in a one-dimensional array, B, with b_i in cell B(i).

The calling sequence is

```
CALL SIMPLE (II,M,N,A,B,C,KO,X,P,JH,XX,Y,PE,E)
```

where

II = 0;

M = Number of rows, m;

N = Number of variables, n;

A, B, C are as above;

KO = A subscripted variable
of dimension 6;

X = A subscripted variable
of dimension n or more;

P, JH, XX, Y, and PE = Subscripted variables of
dimension m or more; and

E = A subscripted variable
of dimension m^2 or more.

The dimension of A (line 0008 of FORTRAN listing) must agree (at least in the first subscript) with the dimension of A in the calling program. The other dimensions need not agree with those of the calling program. The subroutine does not change II, M, N, A, B, or C.

RESULTS

Upon exiting from the subroutine,

X(1),X(2),...,X(n) contains x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n (the solution);

P(1),P(2),...,P(m) contains the shadow prices, i.e.,
the negative of the dual solution;

KO(1) contains an 0 if the problem was feasible, 1 if the problem was infeasible, 2 if the problem had an infinite solution, and 4 or 5 if the algorithm did not terminate;

KO(2) is the number of iterations taken;

KO(3) is the number of pivots performed since the last inversion;

KO(4) is the number of inversions performed;

KO(5) is the number of pivot steps performed;

and

KO(6) contains, if the problem has an infinite solution, the number of the variable that was infinite.

If an initial basis is available, this basis may be communicated to the subroutine by letting

$$\begin{aligned} &II = 1, \\ X(i) &= \begin{cases} 0.0 & \text{if variable } i \text{ is not in basis,} \\ (\text{non-zero}) & \text{if variable } i \text{ is in basis,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the other quantities remain as above.

If the constraints (2) are linearly dependent, the problem is considered infeasible. If the problem is infeasible, (KO(1) = 1), the P(i) contains the coefficients

that generate the infeasible row; i.e., if the problem is infeasible, the infeasibility is represented by

$$\sum_{j=1}^n D(j)X(j) - \sum_{i=1}^m P(i)B(i) = E$$

where

$$D(j) = \sum_{i=1}^m P(i)A(i,j) \quad j=1,2,\dots,n$$

Then, when infeasible, $D(1), D(2), \dots, D(n)$, and $-E$ will simultaneously all be either non-negative or non-positive; and if E is zero, then $D(1), D(2), \dots, D(n)$ are all zero.

If the problem has an infinite solution, ($KO(1) = 2$), define a vector $X1$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & D\emptyset 1 \quad J=1, N \\ 1 \quad & X1(J) = 0. \\ & D\emptyset 2 \quad I=1, M \\ & \quad J= JH(I) \\ 2 \quad & X1(J) = -Y(J) \\ & \quad J = KO(6) \\ & X1(J) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the infinite solution is represented by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (X(J) + t \cdot X1(J))$$

If the problem did not terminate, then $4m+10$ iterations were insufficient to complete the solution. If $K0(1) = 5$, the problem is not yet feasible; and if $K0(1) = 4$, the problem is feasible but not yet optimal. The subroutine may be called a second time, with $II=1$, or the equation on card 0021 may be changed to allow for more iterations.

EXAMPLE

Let

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 &+ 14x_4 + 1x_5 + 1x_6 = 7, \\ 1x_2 &+ 16x_4 + \frac{1}{2}x_5 - 2x_6 = 5, \\ 1x_3 + 1x_4 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and minimize: $- 28x_4 - x_5 - 2x_6$.

Using the subroutine with DIMENSION A(50,136), we zero out A and set

A(1,1) = 3.	C(1) = 0.
A(2,2) = 1.	C(2) = 0.
A(3,3) = 1.	C(3) = 0.
A(1,4) = 14.	C(4) = -28 .
A(2,4) = 16.	
A(3,4) = 1.	
A(1,5) = 1.	C(5) = -1 .
A(2,5) = 0.5	
A(3,5) = 1.	
A(1,6) = 1.	C(6) = -2 .
A(2,6) = -2.	
B(1) = 7.	
B(2) = 5.	
B(3) = 0.	
M = 3	
N = 6	
II = 0	

Then we

```
CALL SIMPLE (II,M,N,A,B,C,KO,X,P,JH,XX,Y,PE,E)
```

where B,P,JH,XX,Y, and PE have DIMENSION of at least 3 (i.e., M), KO has DIMENSION 6, C and X have DIMENSION of at least 6 (i.e., N), and E has DIMENSION of at least 9 (i.e., M^2).

Upon returning from the subroutine, we find the following values in storage:

```
KO(1) = 0   Optimum solution,  
KO(2) = 2   Number of iterations taken,  
KO(3) = 2   Number of pivots since last inversion,  
KO(4) = 1   Number of inversions performed,  
KO(5) = 5   Total number of pivots,  
KO(6) = 0   Not applicable.
```

Also

```
P(1) = 2.  
P(2) = 0.  
P(3) = 2.38 x 10-7  $\cong$  0.
```

and

```
X(1) = 0.  
X(2) = 18.99999998  $\cong$  19.  
X(3) = 0.  
X(4) = -0. = 0.  
X(5) = 0.  
X(6) = 6.99999994  $\cong$  7.
```

Now we may calculate the reduced costs from this information using, for example, the following instructions to put the j^{th} reduced cost into $\text{CBAR}(j)$:

```
DO 12 J = 1,N
  CBAR(J) = C(J)
DO 11 I = 1,M
11  CBAR(J) = CBAR(J) + P(I)*A(I,J)
12 CONTINUE
```

This results in

```
CBAR(1) = 6.
CBAR(2) = 0.
CBAR(3) =  $2.384 \times 10^{-7} \cong 0.$ 
CBAR(4) =  $2.384 \times 10^{-7} \cong 0.$ 
CBAR(5) = 1.
CBAR(6) = 0.
```

Following is a listing of the FORTRAN program written in FORTRAN IV [4], then an ALGOL procedure using McCracken [5]. The ALGOL procedure is a translation of the FORTRAN program, so any discrepancy should be resolved in favor of the FORTRAN program. Table 1 gives the names and meanings of the symbols used in the calling sequence to the FORTRAN program, in the FORTRAN program itself, and in the ALGOL procedure.

Table 1
SYMBOL NAMES AND MEANINGS

Calling Sequence	FORTRAN Program		ALGOL Procedure (Lower Case)	Usage
		Subroutine		
II		INFLAG	INFLAG	Input flag denoting whether a basis is specified.
M		MX	M	Number of constraints.
N		NN	N	Number of variables.
A		A	A	Constraint matrix.
B		B	B	Right hand side.
C		C	C	Cost vector.
KO		KO	KO	Output parameters.
(See below) ^a		KB	KB	List of rows pivoted on (by column).
P		P	P	Shadow prices.
JH		JH	JH	List of columns pivoted on (by row).
XX		X	X	Basis part of solution vectors (w_1, w_2, \dots).
Y		Y	Y	Last pivot column.
PE		PE	PE	Negative of dual solution (stored as P when feasible).
E		E	E	Inverse of basis: element e_{ij} is stored in $E(i+m, (j-1))$.
X		(See below) ^a	Z	Full solution vector.

^aIn the FORTRAN subroutine, the quantities corresponding to the ALGOL symbols Z and KB are stored in the same location, but at different stages of the algorithm solution.

FORTRAN PROGRAM

```
SIBFTC SIMPLE RFF
C AUTOMATIC SIMPLEX REDUNDANT EQUATIONS CAUSE INFEASIBILITY
SUBROUTINE SIMPLE(INFLAG,MX,MM,A,B,C,KO,KB,P,JH,X,Y,PE,E)
REAL B(1),C(1),P(1),X(1),Y(1),PE(1),E(1)
INTEGER INFLAG,MX,MM,KO(6),KB(1),JH(1)
EQUIVALENCE (X,LL)
C THE FOLLOWING DIMENSION SHOULD BE THE SAME HERE AS IT IS IN CALLER.
REAL A(50,136)
REAL AA,AIJT,BB,COST,DT,RCOST,TEXP,TPIV,TY,XOLD,XX,XY,YI,YMAX
INTEGER I,IA,INVC,IR,ITER,J,JT,K,KBJ,L,LL,M,M2,MM,N
INTEGER NCUT,NPIV,NUMVR,NVER
LOGICAL FEAS,VER,NEG,TRIG,KQ,ABSC
C
C SET INITIAL VALUES, SET CONSTANT VALUES
ITER = 0
NUMVR = 0
NMPV = 0
M = MX
N = MM
TEXP = .5**16
NCUT = 4*M + 10
NVER = M/2 + 5
M2 = M**2
FEAS = .FALSE.
IF (INFLAG.NE.0) GO TO 1400
C *NEW* START PHASE ONE WITH SINGLETON BASIS
DO 1402 J = 1,N
KB(J) = 0
KQ = .FALSE.
DO 1403 I = 1,M
IF (A(I,J).EQ.0.0) GO TO 1403
IF (KQ.OR.(A(I,J).LT.0.0)) GO TO 1402
KQ = .TRUE.
1403 CONTINUE
KB(J) = 1
1402 CONTINUE
1400 DO 1401 I = 1,M
JH(I) = -1
1401 CONTINUE
C *VER* CREATE INVERSE FROM 'KB' AND 'JH' (STEP 7)
1320 VER = .TRUE.
INVC = 0
NUMVR = NUMVR + 1
TRIG = .FALSE.
DO 1101 I = 1,M2
F(I) = 0.0
1101 CONTINUE
MM=1
DO 1113 I = 1,M
F(MM) = 1.0
PE(I) = 0.0
X(I) = B(I)
IF (JH(I) .NE.0) JH(I) = -1
MM = MM + M + 1
1113 CONTINUE
```

```
C          FORM INVERSE
DO 1102 JT = 1,M
  IF (KB(JT).EQ.0) GO TO 1102
  GO TO 600
C 600     CALL JMY
C          CHOOSE PIVOT
1114     TY = 0.0
         KO = .FALSE.
         DO 1104 I = 1,M
           IF (JH(I).NE.-1.OR.ABS(Y(I)).LE.TP(IV)) GO TO 1104
           IF (KO) GO TO 1116
           IF (X(I).EQ.0.) GO TO 1115
           IF (ABS(Y(I)/X(I)).LE.TY) GO TO 1104
           TY = ABS(Y(I)/X(I))
           GO TO 1118
1115     KO = .TRUE.
         GO TO 1117
1116     IF (X(I).NE.0..OR.ABS(Y(I)).LE.TY) GO TO 1104
1117     TY = ABS(Y(I))
1118     IR = I
1104     CONTINUE
         KB(JT) = 0
C          TEST PIVOT
         IF (TY.LE.0.) GO TO 1102
C          PIVOT
         GO TO 900
C 900     CALL PIV
1102     CONTINUE
C          RESET ARTIFICIALS
         DO 1109 I = 1,M
           IF (JH(I).EQ.-1) JH(I) = 0
           IF (JH(I).EQ.0) FEAS = .FALSE.
1109     CONTINUE
1200     VER = .FALSE.
C          *** PERFORM ONE ITERATION ***
C* 'XCK' DETERMINE FEASIBILITY (STEP 1)
         NEG = .FALSE.
         IF (FEAS) GO TO 500
         FEAS = .TRUE.
         DO 1201 I = 1,M
           IF (X(I).LT.0.0) GO TO 1250
           IF (JH(I).EQ.0) FEAS = .FALSE.
1201     CONTINUE
C* 'GET' GET APPLICABLE PRICES (STEP 2)
         IF (.NOT.FEAS) GO TO 501
500     DO 503 I = 1,M
         P(I) = PE(I)
         IF (X(I).LT.0.) X(I) = 0.
503     CONTINUE
         ABSC = .FALSE.
         GO TO 599
1250     FEAS = .FALSE.
         NEG = .TRUE.
501     DO 504 J = 1, M
         P(J) = 0.
```

LSUB0056
LSUB0057
LSUB0058
LSUB0059
LSUB0060
LSUB0061
LSUB0062
LSUB0063
LSUB0064
LSUB0065
LSUB0066
LSUB0067
LSUB0068
LSUB0069
LSUB0070
LSUB0071
LSUB0072
LSUB0073
LSUB0074
LSUB0075
LSUB0076
LSUB0077
LSUB0078
LSUB0079
LSUB0080
LSUB0081
LSUB0082
LSUB0083
LSUB0084
LSUB0085
LSUB0086
LSUB0087
LSUB0088
LSUB0089
LSUB0090
LSUB0091
LSUB0092
LSUB0093
LSUB0094
LSUB0095
LSUB0096
LSUB0097
LSUB0098
LSUB0099
LSUB0100
LSUB0101
LSUB0102
LSUB0103
LSUB0104
LSUB0105
LSUB0106
LSUB0107
LSUB0108
LSUB0109
LSUB0110

```
504 CONTINUE                                LSUB0111
      ABSC = .TRUE.                          LSUB0112
      DO 505 I = 1,M                         LSUB0113
        MM = I                               LSUB0114
        IF (X(I).GE.0.0) GO TO 507          LSUB0115
        ABSC = .FALSE.                      LSUB0116
      DO 508 J = 1,M                         LSUB0117
        P(J) = P(J) + E(MM)                LSUB0118
        MM = MM + M                         LSUB0119
      508 CONTINUE                          LSUB0120
      GO TO 505                              LSUB0121
      507 IF (JH(I).NE.0) GO TO 505         LSUB0122
      IF (X(I).NE.0.0) ABSC = .FALSE.      LSUB0123
      DO 510 J = 1,M                         LSUB0124
        P(J) = P(J) - E(MM)                LSUB0125
        MM = MM + M                         LSUB0126
      510 CONTINUE                          LSUB0127
      505 CONTINUE                          LSUB0128
C *MIN*   FIND MINIMUM REDUCED COST        (STEP 3) LSUB0129
      599 JT = 0                             LSUB0130
      BB = 0.0                              LSUB0131
      DO 701 J = 1,M                         LSUB0132
        IF (KB(J).NE.0) GO TO 701          LSUB0133
        DT = 0.0                            LSUB0134
      DO 303 I = 1,M                         LSUB0135
        DT = DT + P(I) * A(I,J)           LSUB0136
      303 CONTINUE                          LSUB0137
        IF (FEAS) DT = DT + C(J)          LSUB0138
        IF (ABSC) DT = -ABS(DT)           LSUB0139
        IF (DT.GE.BB) GO TO 701           LSUB0140
        BB = DT                            LSUB0141
        JT = J                             LSUB0142
      701 CONTINUE                          LSUB0143
C TEST FOR NO PIVOT COLUMN                 LSUB0144
      IF (JT.LE.0) GO TO 203               LSUB0145
C TEST FOR ITERATION LIMIT EXCEEDED        LSUB0146
      IF (ITER.GE.NCUT) GO TO 160         LSUB0147
      ITER = ITER + 1                      LSUB0148
C *JMY*   MULTIPLY INVERSE TIMES A(I,JT)  (STEP 4) LSUB0149
      600 DO 610 I = 1,M                   LSUB0150
        Y(I) = 0.0                         LSUB0151
      610 CONTINUE                          LSUB0152
        LL = 0                             LSUB0153
        COST = C(JT)                       LSUB0154
      DO 605 I = 1,M                         LSUB0155
        AIJT = A(I,JT)                    LSUB0156
        IF (AIJT.EQ.0.0) GO TO 602        LSUB0157
        COST = COST + AIJT * PE(I)        LSUB0158
      DO 606 J = 1,M                         LSUB0159
        LL = LL + 1                        LSUB0160
        Y(J) = Y(J) + AIJT * E(LL)        LSUB0161
      606 CONTINUE                          LSUB0162
      GO TO 605                              LSUB0163
      602 LL = LL + 1                       LSUB0164
      605 CONTINUE                          LSUB0165
```

```
C      COMPUTE PIVOT TOLERANCE                                LSUB0166
YMAX = 0.0                                                  LSUB0167
DO 620 I = 1,M                                             LSUB0168
  YMAX = AMAX1( ABS(Y(I)),YMAX )                            LSUB0169
620 CONTINUE                                              LSUB0170
  TPIV = YMAX * TEXP                                       LSUB0171
C      RETURN TO INVERSION ROUTINE, IF INVERTING          LSUB0172
  IF (VFR) GO TO 1114                                       LSUB0173
C      COST TOLERANCE CONTROL                               LSUB0174
RCOST = YMAX/BB                                           LSUB0175
  IF (TRIG.AND.BB.GE.-TPIV) GO TO 203                       LSUB0176
  TRIG = .FALSE.                                           LSUB0177
  IF (BB.GE.-TPIV) TRIG = .TRUE.                            LSUB0178
C * 'ROW' SELECT PIVOT ROW                                (STEP 5) LSUB0179
C AMONG EQS. WITH X=0, FIND MAXIMUM Y AMONG ARTIFICIALS, OR, IF NONE, LSUB0180
C GET MAX POSITIVE Y(I) AMONG REALS.                       LSUB0181
  IR = 0                                                  LSUB0182
  AA = 0.0                                               LSUB0183
  KO = .FALSE.                                           LSUB0184
  DO 1050 I = 1,M                                         LSUB0185
    IF (X(I).NE.C.0.OR.Y(I).LE.TPIV) GO TO 1050           LSUB0186
    IF (JH(I).EQ.0) GO TO 1044                             LSUB0187
    IF (KO) GO TO 1050                                     LSUB0188
1045  IF (Y(I).LE.AA) GO TO 1050                           LSUB0189
    GO TO 1047                                             LSUB0190
1044  IF (KO) GO TO 1045                                   LSUB0191
    KO = .TRUE.                                           LSUB0192
1047  AA = Y(I)                                           LSUB0193
    IR = I                                                LSUB0194
1050 CONTINUE                                             LSUB0195
  IF (IR.NE.0) GO TO 1099                                  LSUB0196
  AA = 1.0E+20                                           LSUB0197
C      FIND MIN. PIVOT AMONG POSITIVE EQUATIONS          LSUB0198
DO 1010 I = 1,M                                           LSUB0199
  IF (Y(I).LE.TPIV.OR.X(I).LE.0.0.OR.Y(I)*AA.LE.X(I) ) GO TO 1010 LSUB0200
  AA = X(I)/Y(I)                                          LSUB0201
  IR = I                                                  LSUB0202
1010 CONTINUE                                             LSUB0203
  IF (.NOT.NEG) GO TO 1099                                 LSUB0204
C      FIND PIVOT AMONG NEGATIVE EQUATIONS, IN WHICH X/Y IS LESS THAN THE LSUB0205
C MINIMUM X/Y IN THE POSITIVE EQUATIONS, THAT HAS THE LARGEST ABS(Y) LSUB0206
  BB = - TPIV                                             LSUB0207
  DO 1030 I = 1,M                                         LSUB0208
    IF (X(I).GE.0.0.OR.Y(I).GE.BB.OR.Y(I)*AA.GT.X(I) ) GO TO 1030 LSUB0209
    BB = Y(I)                                             LSUB0210
    IR = I                                                LSUB0211
1030 CONTINUE                                             LSUB0212
C      TEST FOR NO PIVOT ROW                               LSUB0213
1099 IF (IR.LE.0) GO TO 207                                 LSUB0214
C * 'PIV' PIVOT ON (IR,JT)                                (STEP 6) LSUB0215
  IA = JH(IR)                                             LSUB0216
  IF (IA.GT.0) KB(IA) = 0                                  LSUB0217
  NMPV = NMPV + 1                                         LSUB0218
  JH(IR) = JT                                             LSUB0219
  KB(JT) = IR                                             LSUB0220
```

```

YI = -Y(IR)
Y(IR) = -1.0
LL = 0
C
DO 904 J = 1,M
L = LL + IR
IF (E(L).NE.0.0) GO TO 905
LL = LL + M
GO TO 904
905 XY = E(L) / YI
PE(J) = PE(J) + COST * XY
E(L) = 0.0
DO 906 I = 1,M
LL = LL + 1
E(LL) = E(LL) + XY * Y(I)
906 CONTINUE
904 CONTINUE
C
TRANSFORM X
XY = X(IR) / YI
DO 908 I = 1, M
XOLD = X(I)
X(I) = XOLD + XY * Y(I)
IF (.NOT.VER.AND.X(I).LT.0..AND.XOLD.GF.0.) X(I) = 0.
908 CONTINUE
Y(IR) = -YI
X(IR) = -XY
IF (VER) GO TO 1102
IF (NUMPV.LE.M) GO TO 1200
C TEST FOR INVERSION ON THIS ITERATION
INVC = INVC + 1
IF (INVC.EQ.NVER) GO TO 1320
GO TO 1200
C* END OF ALGORITHM, SET EXIT VALUES ***
207 IF (.NOT.FEAS.OR.RCOST.LE.-1000.) GO TO 2L3
C
INFINITE SOLUTION
K = 2
GO TO 250
C
PROBLEM IS CYCLING
160 K = 4
GO TO 250
C
FEASIBLE OR INFEASIBLE SOLUTION
203 K = 0
250 IF (.NOT.FEAS) K = K + 1
DO 1399 J = 1,M
XX = 0.0
KBJ = KBJ
IF (KBJ.NE.0) XX = X(KBJ)
KB(J) = LL
1399 CONTINUE
KO(1) = K
KO(2) = ITER
KO(3) = INVC
KO(4) = NUMVR
KO(5) = NUMPV
KO(6) = JT
RETURN
END

```

```

LSUB0221
LSUB0222
LSUB0223
LSUB0224
LSUB0225
LSUB0226
LSUB0227
LSUB0228
LSUB0229
LSUB0230
LSUB0231
LSUB0232
LSUB0233
LSUB0234
LSUB0235
LSUB0236
LSUB0237
LSUB0238
LSUB0239
LSUB0240
LSUB0241
LSUB0242
LSUB0243
LSUB0244
LSUB0245
LSUB0246
LSUB0247
LSUB0248
LSUB0249
LSUB0250
LSUB0251
LSUB0252
LSUB0253
LSUB0254
LSUB0255
LSUB0256
LSUB0257
LSUB0258
LSUB0259
LSUB0260
LSUB0261
LSUB0262
LSUB0263
LSUB0264
LSUB0265
LSUB0266
LSUB0267
LSUB0268
LSUB0269
LSUB0270
LSUB0271
LSUB0272
LSUB0273
LSUB0274
LSUB0275
LSUB0276
LSUB0277
277

```

ALGOL PROCEDURE

(* used in place of X)

procedure SIMPLE (inflag, m, n, a, b, c, ko, kb, p, jh, x, y, {e, e, z};
value m, n; real array b, p, x, y, pe [1:m], c, z [1:n], e [1:m+2],
a [1:m, 1:n]; integer array ko [1:b], jh [1:m], kb [1:n];
integer m, n, inflag;

comment SIMPLE solves the linear programming problem:

find $z(1), z(2), z(3), \dots, z(n)$ that

minimizes $\sum_{j=1}^n c(j) \cdot z(j)$ subject to

$\sum_{j=1}^n a(i, j) \cdot z(j) = b(i)$ for $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ and

$x(1) \geq 0, x(2) \geq 0, x(3) \geq 0, \dots, x(n) \geq 0.$

SIMPLE simultaneously solves the dual problem:

find $w(1), w(2), w(3), \dots, w(m)$ that

maximizes $\sum_{i=1}^m b(i) \cdot w(i)$ subject to

$\sum_{i=1}^m a(i, j) \cdot w(i) \leq c(j)$ for $j=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$

where no sign restriction is put on w . The **NEGATIVE** of w appears in p .

$inflag, m, n, a, b,$ and c are input quantities: they are not changed by the procedure. The meanings of $m, n, a, b,$ and c are as given in the above equations. $inflag$ is normally input as a zero: this signals the procedure that no initial basis is provided. If $inflag$ is input as a non-zero number, the procedure will expect a basis to be provided by setting:

$kb(j) = 0$ if column j is not in basis,
and $kb(j) \neq 0$ if column j is in the basis.

After the procedure is finished, ko(1) contains a number that denotes the condition of the problem, and z and p contain the numeric answers.

If the problem is feasible and optimal, then ko(1) = 0.

If the problem admits no feasible solution, then ko(1) = 1,

and if the problem has an infinite solution (i.e. dual

infeasible), then ko(1) = 2. If the algorithm does not

terminate after $4m+10$ iterations, ko(1) is set to 4 if the

problem is feasible, and to 5 if yet no feasible solution

has been found;

begin

real ca,bb, cost, dt, rcost, texp, tpiv, ty, ymax;

integer i, invc, ir, iter, j, jt, k, m2, mm, ncut, npiv, numvr,

nver; boolean absc, feas, kq, neg, trig, ver;

iter:= 0;

numvr:= 0;

numpv:= 0;

texp:= 2.†(-16);

ncut:= 4*m + 10.

nver := m/2 + 5;

m2:= m†2;

feas:= false;

if (inflaq ≠ 0) then go to n00;

comment start phase one with singleton basis;

for j:= 1 step 1 until n do

n04: begin

kb(j) := 0;

kq:= false;

for i:= 1 step 1 until m do

n05: begin

if (a(i, j) = 0.) then go to n03;

if (kq ∨ a(i, j) < 0.) then go to n02;

kq:= true;

n03: end n05;

kb(j) := 1;

n02: end n04;

n00: for i:= 1 step 1 until m do jh(i) := -1;

```
comment      create inverse from "kb" and "jh"      (step 7);
m20:  ver:= true;
      invc:= 0;
      numvr := numvr + 1;
      trig:= false;
      for i:= 1 step 1 until m2 do e(i) := 0.0;
      mm:= 1;
      for i:= 1 step 1 until m do
k20:  begin
      e(mm) := 1.0; pe(i) := 0; x(i) := b(i);
      if (jh(i)  $\neq$  0) then jh(i) := -1;
      mm:= mm + m + 1;
k13:  end k20;
      for jt:= 1 step 1 until n do
k21:  begin
      if (kb(jt) = 0) then go to k02;
      Get Column(jt,a,c,e,pe,y,m,cost,texp,tpiv,ymax);
      ty:= 0.0; kq:= false;
      for i:= 1 step 1 until m do
k22:  begin
      if (jh(i)  $\neq$  -1  $\vee$  abs(y(i))  $\leq$  tpiv) then go to k04;
      if (kq) then go to k16;
      if (x(i) = 0) then go to k15;
      if (abs(y(i)/x(i))  $\leq$  ty) then go to k04;
      ty:= abs(y(i)/x(i));
      go to k18;
k15:  kq:= true;
      go to k17;
k16:  if (x(i)  $\neq$  0.0  $\vee$  abs(y(i))  $>$  ty) then go to k04;
k17:  ty:= abs(y(i));
k18:  ir:= i;
k04:  end k22;
      kb(jt) := 0;
      if (ty  $\leq$  0.0) then go to k02;
      Pivot(ir,jt,e,jh,kb,pe,x,y,m,cost,numpv,ver);
k02:  end k21;
      for i:= 1 step 1 until m do
      begin
      if (jh(i) = -1) then jh(i) := 0;
      if (jh(i) = 0) then feas:= false
      end;
100:  ver:= false;
comment      determine feasibility      (step 1);
      neg:= false;
      if (feas) then go to e00;
      feas:= true;
      for i:= 1 step 1 until m do if (x(i)  $<$  0.) then go to 150
      else if (jh(i) = 0) then feas:= false ;
```

```
comment      get applicable prices                      (step 2);
  if ( $\neg$ feas) then go to e01;
e00:  for i:= 1 step 1 until m do
  begin
    p(i) := pe(i);
    if (x(i) < 0.0) then x(i) := 0.0
  end;
  absc:= false;
  go to e99;
150:  feas:= false;
  neg := true;
e01:  for j:= 1 step 1 until n do p(j) := 0.0;
  absc:= true;
  for i:= 1 step 1 until m do;
e11:  begin
  mm:= i;
  if (x(i)  $\geq$  0.0) then go to e07;
  absc:= false;
  for j:= 1 step 1 until n do
  begin
    p(j) := p(j) + e(mm);
    mm:= mm + m
  end;
  go to e05;
e07:  if (jh(i)  $\neq$  0) then go to e05;
  if (x(i)  $\neq$  0.) then absc:= false;
  for j:= 1 step 1 until n do
  begin
    p(j) := p(j) - e(mm);
    mm:= mm + m
  end;
e05:  end e11;
comment      find minimum reduced cost                (step 3);
e99:  jt:= 0;
  hb := 0.0;
q02:  for j:= 1 step 1 until n do
  begin
    if (kb(j)  $\neq$  0) then go to q01;
    dt:= 0.0;
    for i:= 1 step 1 until m do dt:= dt + p(i) * a(i, j);
    if (feas) then dt:= dt + c(j);
    if (absc) then dt:= -abs(dt);
    if (dt  $\geq$  hb) then go to q01 else hb:= dt;
    jt:= j;
q01:  end q02;
  if (jt  $\leq$  0) then go to b03;
  if (iter  $\geq$  ncut) then go to a60;
  iter:= iter +1;
```

comment multiply inverse times a(.,jt) (step 4);

f00: Get Column(jt,a,c,e,pe,y,m,cost,texp,tpiv,ymax);

rcost:= ymax/bb;

if (trig \wedge bb \geq -tpiv) then go to b03;

trig:= false;

if (bb \geq -tpiv) then trig:= true;

comment select pivot row (step 5);

ir:= 0;

aa:= 0.0;

kq:= false;

for i:= 1 step 1 until m do

j02: begin

if (x(i) \neq 0.0 \vee y(i) \leq tpiv) then go to j50;

if (jh(i) = 0) then go to j44;

if (kq) then go to j50;

j45: if (y(i) \leq aa) then go to j50;

go to j47;

j44: if (kq) then go to j45;

kq:= true;

j47: aa:= y(i);

ir:= i;

j50: end j02;

if (ir \neq 0) then go to j99;

aa:= 10.*20;

for i:= 1 step 1 until m do

j03: begin

if (y(i) \leq tpiv \vee x(i) \leq 0.0 \vee y(i) * aa \leq x(i))

then go to j10;

aa:= x(i)/y(i);

ir:= i;

j10: end j03;

if (-neg) then go to j99;

bb:= -tpiv;

for i:= 1 step 1 until m do

j04: begin

if (x(i) \geq 0. \vee y(i) \geq bb \vee y(i) * aa $>$ x(i)) then go to j30;

bb:= y(i);

ir:= i;

j30: end j04;

j99: if (ir = 0) then go to b07;

comment pivot on (ir,jt) (step 6);

if (jh(ir) $>$ 0) then kb(jh(ir)) := 0;

i00: Pivot(ir,jt,e,jh,kb,pe,x,y,m,cost,numpv,ver);

if (numpv \leq m) then go to 100;

invc := invc + 1;

if (invc = nver) then go to m20;

go to 100;

```
comment end of algorithm, set exit values;
b07: if ( ¬feas ∨ rcost ≤ -1000.) then go to b03;
comment infinite solution;
      k := 2;
      go to b50;
comment problem is cycling perhaps;
a60: k := 4;
      go to b50;
comment feasible or infeasible solution;
b03: k := 0;
b50: if ( ¬feas) then k := k + 1;
      for j := 1 step 1 until n do z (j) := 0.0;
      for i := 1 step 1 until m do if (jh (i) > 0)
      then z (jh (i)) := x (i);
      ko (1) := k;
      ko (2) := iter;
      ko (3) := invc;
      ko (4) := numvr;
      ko (5) := numpv;
      ko (6) := jt;
end SIMPLE;
```

```
procedure Get Column (jt, a, c, e, pe, y, m, cost, texp, tpiv, ymax) ;
begin
      real aijt; integer i, j, LL;
f00: for i := 1 step 1 until m do y (i) := 0.0;
      LL := 0;
      cost := c (jt);
      for i := 1 step 1 until m do
f11: begin
      aijt := a (i, jt);
      if (aijt = 0.) then go to f02;
      cost := cost + aijt * pe (i);
      for j := 1 step 1 until m do
      begin
      LL := LL + 1;
      y (j) := y (j) + aijt * e (LL)
      end;
      go to f05;
f02: LL := LL + m;
f05: end f11;
      ymax := 0.0;
      for i := 1 step 1 until m do if (abs (y (i)) > ymax)
      then ymax := abs (y (i));
      tpiv := ymax * texp;
end Get Column;
```

```
procedure Pivot (ir, jt, e, jh, kb, pe, x, y, m, cost, numpv, ver) ;  
begin  
  real xy, xold, yi; integer i, j, L, LL;  
i00: numpv := numpv + 1;  
      jh(ir) := jt;  
      kb(jt) := ir;  
      yi := -y(ir);  
      y(ir) := -1.0;  
      LL := 0;  
      for j := 1 step 1 until m do  
i01:  begin  
        L := LL + ir;  
        if (e(L) ≠ 0.0) then go to i05;  
        LL := LL + m;  
        go to i04;  
i05:  xy := e(L) / yi;  
        pe(j) := pe(j) + cost * xy;  
        e(L) := 0.0;  
        for i := 1 step 1 until m do  
          begin  
            LL := LL + 1;  
            e(LL) := e(LL) + xy * y(i)  
          end;  
i04:  end i01;  
      xy := x(ir) / yi;  
      for i := 1 step 1 until m do  
        begin  
          xold := x(i);  
          x(i) := xold + xy * y(i);  
          if ( ~ver ∧ x(i) < 0. ∧ xold ≥ 0.) then x(i) := 0.  
        end;  
      y(ir) := -yi;  
      x(ir) := -xy;  
end Pivot
```

REFERENCES

1. Clasen, R. J., The Numerical Solution of the Chemical Equilibrium Problem, The RAND Corporation, RM-4345-PR, January 1965.
2. Dantzig, G. B., Linear Programming and Extensions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1963.
3. Cutler, L., and P. Wolfe, Experiments in Linear Programming: Notes on Linear Programming and Extensions--Part 63, The RAND Corporation, RM-3402-PR, February 1963.
4. International Business Machines Corporation, "IBM 7040/7044 Operating System, FORTRAN IV Language," IBM Systems Reference Library, Form C28-6329, Poughkeepsie, New York, 1963.
5. McCracken, Daniel D., A Guide to ALGOL Programming, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1962.