

AFOSR 66-~~0957~~

FINAL REPORT

GRANT AF-AFOSR 9-65

April 1, 1965 - March 31, 1966

DDC
RECEIVED
JUN 27 1966

Investigations in the Field of Relational Biology

During the period of the above-referenced grant, we continued our work on the properties of the class of metabolic models which we called (M, R)-systems. The work was facilitated in having available the services of Mr. John Bramsen and Mr. Lloyd A. Demetrius as research assistants, and Dr. B. L. Foster as research associate. Each of these individuals made a useful contribution to the work of the grant during the grant period.

Mr. Bramsen was concerned with investigating the relationship between the (M, R)-systems and the rather different relational biology developed by N. Rashevsky in a series of papers (cf. N. Rashevsky, "Topology and Life," Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics, 16 (1954), 317-348). In this abstract biology, Rashevsky assumes that every relational biological structure has arisen in a canonical fashion from some primordial structure P, and that the canonical rules thus associate with each primordial P a class of possible "transformed" structures T(P). Bramsen found in the course of his investigation (Bramsen, 1966) that the primordial P is effectively determined by any sufficiently comprehensive subset of T(P), and found a set of conditions under which each structure in T(P) determines the primordial effectively and uniquely. The biological significance of this result is the following: if we suppose that the primordial corresponds to some eobiotic form which has long since disappeared from the planet, then we can always, from a sufficiently comprehensive set of extant biological organizations, determine effectively what the relational structure of the primordial must have been. If Bramsen's conditions on T are satisfied, then indeed any extant structure will uniquely and effectively determine the primordial. And once the primordial P is known, then the entire set T(P) of possible biological organizations is completely determined.

Mr. Demetrius has concerned himself with certain aspects of what we called in a previous report the Central Problem of the theory of (M, R)-systems; namely, the conditions under which an environmentally induced alteration of "metabolic" structure of these systems can be reversed by a further sequence of environmental alterations. In his recent note (Demetrius, 1966) he has applied his extensive background in the theory of automata to the study of important questions concerning the relation of the output of the altered system to the analog of the original system. This note has some

Dr. Foster has re-established his note (Foster, 1966) on the existence of a new hypothesis of the origin of life. Foster is attempting to control in dynamic

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION			
Hardcopy	Microfiche		
\$4.00	\$1.50	4	pp
ARCHIVE COPY			

Dr. Foster
con-

AD 034294

A number of other papers have been forthcoming during the grant period, in which a variety of results have been derived. The paper (Rosen, 1966a) takes up in detail the question of the induced replication maps, which we showed in earlier work (Rosen, Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics, 21 (1959), 109-128) must exist when certain natural restrictions are placed on the system. This restriction can be interpreted to mean that any two possible "genetic" components which agree on any input must agree on every input. This type of conclusion shows again the power of relational arguments, in that a general assertion of this type could not be inferred from purely metric considerations. This conclusion, moreover, is highly reminiscent of the properties of trajectories of dynamical systems, which are either disjoint or identical. A rather deep analogy with dynamical systems is thus indicated and arises from different considerations than those we suggested in previous reports. As we have remarked in the previous paragraph, the elucidation of these analogies is presently under way.

A second paper (Rosen, 1966b) is concerned with the mathematical "naturalness" of the concept of (M, R) -system, as opposed to its biological naturalness. In particular, we show that the class of (M, R) -systems which can be constructed from the sets and mappings of a given category is itself a category. Quite recently, Michael Arbib, a mathematician and system theorist at Stanford, used this result to characterize the class of sequential machines which arise from (M, R) -systems, and using this characterization, he proved a somewhat stronger version of our theorem.

We are currently in the process of preparing the first paper of a series relating (M, R) -systems to dynamical systems, analogous to our papers relating (M, R) -systems to sequential machines. When this has been accomplished, we shall have at hand a formalism equipped to deal with all these areas in a unified manner, and thence to find the conditions under which the Central Problem can be solved affirmatively.

PAPERS ARISING FROM GRANT

1. Bramsen, John. 1966. "A Matrix Approach to the Theory of Biological Mapping." Bull. Math. Biophysics, 28, 107-110.
2. Demetrius, L. A. 1966. "Abstract Biological Systems as Sequential Machines: Behavioral Reversibility." Bull. Math. Biophysics, 28 (in press).
3. Foster, B. L. 1966. "Re-establishability in Abstract Biology." Bull. Math. Biophysics, 28 (in press).
4. Rosen, R. 1966a. "A Note on Replication in (M, R) -systems." Bull. Math. Biophysics, 28 (in press).
5. _____. 1966b. "Abstract Biological Systems as Sequential Machines III." Bull. Math. Biophysics, 28 (in press).
6. _____. "Abstract Biological Systems as Dynamical Systems." In preparation.

Robert Rosen
Principal Investigator

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification -- title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1 CREATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) University of Chicago 5001 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637		2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b GROUP	
3 REPORT TITLE INVESTIGATIONS IN THE FIELD OF RELATIONAL BIOLOGY			
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report April 1, 1965 - March 31, 1966			
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Rosen, Robert			
6 REPORT DATE 31 May, 1966	7a TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 2	7b NO. OF REFS 6	
8a CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. AF-AFOSR 9-65		9a ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
b. PROJECT NO. 9777 - 01			
c. 61445014		9b OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) AFOSR 66 - 0957 1091	
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES 1. Distribution of this document is unlimited. Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC.			
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Air Force Office of Scientific Research (SRLA) Office of Aerospace Research United States Air Force 1400 Wilson Blvd Arlington, Va 22209	
13 ABSTRACT A variety of results dealing with questions arising in the relational theory of biological systems are described. These results are concerned with replication of "genetic" components of (M, R)-systems, with the structure of the class of (M, R)-systems which can be formed from the objects and mappings of a given category, with aspects of re-establishability and environmental alterations in these systems, and with some interesting properties of a relational biology first described by H. Rashevsky.			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Relational Biology Replication Sequential Machines Dynamical Systems						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.