

AD _____

USAARU REPORT NO. 67-1
COMMENT ON CORRELATION COEFFICIENT USE

By
R. A. Avner, Capt., MSC

JULY 1966

U. S. ARMY AEROMEDICAL RESEARCH UNIT
Fort Rucker, Alabama



Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
<small>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</small>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY <small>(Corporate author)</small> US Army Aeromedical Research Unit Fort Rucker, Alabama		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified
		2b. GROUP
3. REPORT TITLE COMMENT ON CORRELATION COEFFICIENT USE		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES <small>(Type of report and inclusive dates)</small>		
5. AUTHOR(S) <small>(Last name, first name, initial)</small> Avner, R. A., Capt., MSC		
6. REPORT DATE July 1966	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 2	7b. NO. OF REFS 3
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) USAARU Report No. 67-1	
b. PROJECT NO. 3AO 2560 1A 819		
c. Task No. 036 (FY 67)	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) <small>(Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)</small>	
d.		
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited. Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Documentation Center (DDC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY US Army Medical Research and Development Command, Washington, D. C. 20315	
13. ABSTRACT In computing the Pearson r , observations are <u>identified</u> on a nominal scale. The <u>values</u> assigned these observations are measured on a ratio or interval scale. Confusion of these two facts has led to the mistaken assumption that the Pearson r can measure degree of association between nominally measured variables:		

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
<p>Statistics Correlation Non-Parametric</p>						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

NOTICE

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Documentation Center (DDC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DDC (formerly ASTIA).

Change of Address

Organizations receiving reports from the US Army Aeromedical Research Unit on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about unit reports.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Distribution Statement

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

AD _____

USAARU REPORT NO. 67-1

COMMENT ON CORRELATION COEFFICIENT USE

By

R. A. Avner, Capt., MSC

JULY 1966

U. S. ARMY AEROMEDICAL RESEARCH UNIT
Fort Rucker, Alabama


U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command

Distribution Statement. Distribution of this document is unlimited.

ABSTRACT

In computing the Pearson r , observations are identified on a nominal scale. The values assigned these observations are measured on a ratio or interval scale. Confusion of these two facts has led to the mistaken assumption that the Pearson r can measure degree of association between nominally measured variables.

APPROVED:



WILLIAM P. SCHANE

Lt Colonel, MC
Acting Commander

COMMENT ON CORRELATION COEFFICIENT USE

Cronholm (1965) has stated that, despite being "...erroneously... restricted..." by certain writers (Senders, 1958; Siegel, 1956), the Pearson product - moment correlation coefficient, r , "... may be used legitimately as a measure of the linear dependence between two groups of nominally scaled observations." Cronholm suggests a modification in the usual use of r in which the nominally identified observations consist of categories rather than subjects and the ratio or interval scaled values assigned these observations are frequencies (or proportions) rather than scores.

Such a procedure has in fact been used in the past as a means of measuring such things as reliability of item difficulties. Unfortunately, while it is a legitimate correlation coefficient, such an r is not a "measure of the linear dependence between two groups of nominally scaled observations." It is rather a measure of the linear dependence between two groups of ratio scaled observations (i.e., the category frequencies) and, as such, is certainly not proscribed by Senders or Siegel.

If it is desired to measure the degree of association between frequencies or proportions of observations from 2 samples falling into the same category, this is a useful statistic. It should not be assumed however that computation of this type of r gives any measure of the degree of association between categorizations

of observations. For this more common problem the standard nonparametric measures are recommended.

References

- *1. Cronholm, J. N. "Note on the Use of the Correlation Coefficient."
Psychol. Rep., 1965, 16,668.
2. Senders, V. L. Measurement and statistics. New York: Oxford Univer.
Press, 1958.
3. Siegel, S. Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral sciences.
New York: McGraw-Hill, 1956.

* Also published as Army Medical Research Laboratory Reprint Report No: 642,
30 Sept 1965, Ft. Knox, Kentucky