

CHAMBERLAIN

MANUFACTURING

Corporation

WATERLOO, IOWA

AD 677529

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

AD _____

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT, AND FABRICATION OF
PROJECTILE METAL PARTS FOR
CARTRIDGE, HE, 152-MM, XM657

CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744


Submitted 25 January 1968

This Work Was Supported By The U. S. Army Materiel Command,
Technical Supervision By Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS REPORT IS NOT LIMITED

ORDNANCE DIVISION

Reproduced by the
CLEARINGHOUSE
for Federal Scientific & Technical
Information Springfield Va. 22151



75

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

AD _____

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT, AND FABRICATION OF
PROJECTILE METAL PARTS FOR
CARTRIDGE, HE, 152-MM, XM657

CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

Submitted 25 January 1968

This Work Was Supported By The U. S. Army Materiel Command,
Technical Supervision By Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS REPORT IS NOT LIMITED

Approved By Everett S. Frank
Everett S. Frank
Engineering Supervisor

Submitted By Irving Herman
Irving Herman
Vice President

COPY NO.: 26

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
ABSTRACT	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. CONCLUSIONS	3
3. RECOMMENDATIONS	4
4. DESIGN ACTIVITY	5
4.1 Projectile Body	5
4.2 Rotating Band	8
5. FABRICATION AND PRODUCTION	15
5.1 Press Forming	15
5.2 Heat Treatment	20
5.3 Machining	23
6. DRAWINGS	27
7. INSPECTION	29
8. SHIPMENTS	30
APPENDIX A - PHOTOGRAPHS	31
APPENDIX B - PROCESS DRAWINGS	47
DISTRIBUTION LIST	68

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

ABSTRACT

On 13 March 1967 Chamberlain Manufacturing Corporation began the design, development, and fabrication of projectile metal parts for the Cartridge, HE, 152-mm, XM657E1. All projectiles were fabricated from AISI 4340 steel by hot forge-cold draw forming methods. Major problems in forming the projectile nose were solved by external contour machining and annealing of the drawn cans, then nosing the parts while cold, in one operation. Annealing of the projectile immediately after nosing was found necessary to avoid cracking. Weight control problems were solved by close control of the ogive wall thickness and relaxing the tolerance on the bourrelet relief diameter. Final requirements of the subject contract were completed with the shipment of 292 projectiles on 29 November 1967. According to proving ground reports, all projectiles performed satisfactorily during tests.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On 13 March 1967 the subject contract was executed to design, develop, and fabricate projectiles for the Cartridge, HE, 152-mm, XM657E1. Although the Company did not receive the final executed document until 31 March 1967, work was started at an earlier date because of the officially stated urgency of the program. Armed Service Procurement Regulations allow incurrence of pre-contract costs in cases of this nature.
- 1.2 The original contractual scope of work divided the program into the following tasks:
- 1.2.1 Conduct of design studies utilizing state-of-the-art information, preliminary drawings and data furnished by the Project Officer.
- 1.2.2 Preparation of Ordnance format component, fabrication and assembly drawings for the designs tested including calculations and/or other data supporting designs for tests.
- 1.2.3 Development of fabrication and metal forming processes to produce a one-piece projectile of the desired configuration and physical requirements.
- 1.2.4 Fabrication of 50 projectiles for submission to the Artillery Ammunition Laboratory for testing.
- 1.3 During the performance of the work outlined above, negotiations were progressing between Picatinny Arsenal and the Company for an additional 1,200 projectiles. This larger quantity was considered in planning and performing various aspects of the work. On 8 May 1967 this facility received Modification 2 to the subject contract which required fabrication of 1,200 additional projectiles. In general, the configuration and physical properties of all projectiles were to be sufficient to withstand firing from the XM81 gun. Safety in handling and firing was to be the prime requirement.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

- 1.4 Some observations in the following report are preliminary and are not substantiated by detailed study. However, they are based on the author's experience with and understanding of the fabrication processes involved.
- 1.5 The final shipment in fulfillment of the subject contract was made on 29 November 1967.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

2. CONCLUSIONS

- 2.1 The XM657E1 Projectile can be hot-forged and cold-formed successfully using the method described in Section 5 of this report.
- 2.2 The pre-nosing profile of the partially-drawn can is extremely critical because the shape of the ogive changes rapidly during the nosing process. For instance, during experiments, changing the contour position .200 inch axially varied the nose thread length from .25 inch to 1.0 inch.
- 2.3 Nosing of this projectile cannot be accomplished by conventional hot-nosing methods. Annealing is necessary immediately after nosing to prevent cracking of the body. No delay can be tolerated in the performance of this annealing operation.
- 2.4 Finish of the nosing die and lubrication of the part are critical. These conditions especially influence the eventual position of the nose threads and the nose wall thickness.
- 2.5 Final control of the projectile weight is accomplished by machining of the bourrelet weight relief diameter.
- 2.6 Approximate yield strength levels of 130,000 psi and 115,000 psi were achieved in the projectile sidewall and transversely in the base, respectively, in recognition of the safety factor required.
- 2.7 Proving ground test reports indicated that the projectile design which evolved is functional and has the required safety factor.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

3. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 External contour machining and annealing of the partially drawn can is recommended before nosing.
- 3.2 It is recommended that contour-machined drawn cans be nosed cold in one operation.
- 3.3 Annealing of the nosed end of the projectile without delay after nosing is recommended to prevent their cracking.
- 3.4 Fragmentation tests should be performed on groups of projectiles of this design made from various materials and with various metallurgical structures to determine the optimum material and heat treatment procedure.
- 3.5 Fabrication of additional quantities of this projectile is recommended.
- 3.6 The following dimensional revisions are recommended:
 - 3.6.1 To facilitate inspection, change the base thread shoulder radius from .060+.010 inch to .030+.040 inch.
 - 3.6.2 Change nose wall thickness dimension from .330-.030 inch to .340-.070 inch to facilitate better control.
 - 3.6.3 Maximum wall thickness should not vary more than .030 inch in any single plane.
 - 3.6.4 A maximum outside diameter of 5.595 inch presently appears on the drawing for both the painted and unpainted projectile. To allow for the thickness of the coat of paint, the maximum outside diameter of the painted projectile should be changed to 5.597 inch.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

4. DESIGN ACTIVITY

4.1 Projectile Body

4.1.1 Tolerance, tolerance accumulations and dimensional practices based on the probable method of manufacture were discussed with an Arsenal representative at this facility on 30 March 1967. The objective of this discussion was to develop a projectile design which would provide a large safety factor against premature functioning and other malfunctioning. An initial design study of the XM657 projectile, shown by Drawing No. J7897-1 on the following page, resulted from this meeting. Preliminary calculations based on strength requirements indicated that the projectile design would withstand firing stresses successfully. The material selected for the projectile was AISI 4340 steel which was known to be through-hardening, amenable to forge and cold drawing forming techniques and would provide the required strength. The through-hardening characteristic was especially important in the relatively thick base of the projectile.

4.1.2 It was reported that the first 40 projectiles had weight variations of approximately three pounds. As a first approach to investigating this problem, one each heavy and light projectile was checked dimensionally to determine the most feasible area for weight control. Tabulated on Page 7 are the results of this inspection.

4.1.3 Because the bourrelet relief had a .005 inch tolerance, the only weight control areas which remained were the ogive wall and the thickened area just aft of the nose thread. The Technical Supervisor requested that effort be made to effect weight control within .5 pound by thinning the nose wall in the ogive area. He also gave permission to experiment with various inside blend radii to determine the radius most compatible with fabrication and structural integrity. Extensive nosing experiments were performed and are described fully in Paragraphs 5.1.5 through 5.1.5.3.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744


DIMENSIONS OF ONE HEAVY AND ONE LIGHT
WEIGHT XM657E1 PROJECTILE

DISTANCE FROM BASE (Inches)	PROJECTILE 34			PROJECTILE 60		
	RIGHT SIDE	LEFT SIDE	AVG.	RIGHT SIDE	LEFT SIDE	AVG.
10.50	.243	.256	.249	.318	.322	.320
10.25	.263	.262	.262	.317	.322	.320
10.00	.267	.265	.266	.320	.322	.321
9.75	.275	.273	.274	.328	.328	.328
9.50	.289	.281	.285	.335	.336	.336
9.25	.293	.290	.292	.337	.339	.338
9.00	.299	.298	.298	.338	.341	.340
8.75	.306	.306	.306	.345	.347	.346
8.50	.310	.311	.310	.347	.351	.349
8.25	.305	.309	.307	.339	.346	.342
8.00	.299	.302	.301	.333	.338	.335
7.75	.310	.308	.309	.338	.343	.340
7.50	.313	.314	.313	.328	.337	.332
7.25	.319	.312	.315	.334	.338	.336
7.00	.335	.322	.328	.353	.354	.354
Overall Length	.150			--		
Thread Length	.960			.960		
Weight (Pound)	30.02			33.17		

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

- 4.1.4 The Technical Supervisor specified a weight range of 30.1 to 30.6 pounds for the finished and banded projectile. To facilitate this control, the bourrelet weight relief diameter was re-toleranced to a range of 5.935-.005 inches to 5.935-.065 inches. This revision, and revisions to the base boss thread requested by the Arsenal are incorporated in Drawing No. J7897-1, Revisions B through D, on the following page. Company-conducted weight calculations showed that the projectile weight would vary from 28.61 to 29.75 pounds without the .9 pound finish machined rotating band. In the ogive area from 2.5 to 4.5 inches aft of the nose, calculated weight varied a maximum of .26 pound considering the full wall thickness tolerance of .030 inch. Using the wall thickness measured at two points and a wall variation of ± 2 sigma the total projectile weight variation was .54 pound. A graph and supporting data are on Pages 10 and 11.
- 4.2 Rotating Band
- 4.2.1 A Chamberlain Manufacturing Corporation representative witnessed the Aberdeen Proving Ground recovery firing of the first ten XM657 Projectiles produced under the subject contract. On-site firing data indicated that chamber pressure, range and impact points all were within expected values. However, gilding metal from rotating bands wiped back about 3/16 inch from the bottom of the bands. Chamberlain's designers had expected this condition because no relief had been provided for metal displacement. Therefore, as requested by the Technical Supervisor, a cannellure was added to the rotating band as shown in the revised detail of Drawing No. J7897-2 on Page 12.
- 4.2.2 Later, in response to a telephone request from the Technical Supervisor, this facility checked density of the rotating band before and after banding. Specimens were cut from a band blank and an applied band was removed from a partially complete projectile body. The applied band had been worked in a six-jaw West Tire setter at a gauge pressure of 1,800 psi. Test specimens were cut at random from the two bands. The specific gravity of each specimen was determined by weighing on a Sartorius-Werke laboratory balance



CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

FOR GROUPED DATA SET 1

<u>X-VALUE</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
.2525	1
.2575	1
.2625	4
.2675	7
.2725	14
.2775	37
.2825	48
.2875	39
.2925	37
.2975	19
.3025	9
.3075	7
.3125	2

NUMBER OF VALUES = 225
ARITHMETIC MEAN = .285611 $\bar{T}$ = .286
STANDARD DEVIATION = 1.02583 E-2 σ = .010
SAMPLE VARIANCE = 1.05233 E-4

FOR GROUPED DATA SET 1

<u>X-VALUE</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
.3025	2
.3075	3
.3125	12
.3175	31
.3225	43
.3275	52
.3325	42
.3375	21
.3425	13
.3475	6

NUMBER OF VALUES = 225
ARITHMETIC MEAN = .327144 $\bar{T}$ = .327
STANDARD DEVIATION = 8.90717 E-3 σ = .009
SAMPLE VARIANCE = 7.93376 E-5

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

accurate to .1 milligram. First, the weight in air was determined. Then a length of string was attached to the specimen and the new weight was registered. The specimen was suspended in a beaker of water and the scale reading was taken. Assuming that W = weight in air and w = weight in water, the specific gravity was obtained via the following formula:

$$\text{Specific Gravity} = \frac{W}{W - w}$$

The density (lb./in.³) of each specimen then was obtained by multiplying its specific gravity (gm/cm³) by the standard metric to English conversion factor of .03613. Below are the values obtained from the density checks.

UNAPPLIED SAMPLES

	<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
Weight in Air (gm)	19.8288	16.4228
Plus String	19.8434	16.4321
Weight in Water (gm)	<u>17.5936</u>	<u>14.5644</u>
	2.2498	1.8677
Specific Gravity =	8.814 gm/cm ³	.793 gm/cm ³
Density =	.3184 lb./in. ³	.3177 lb./in. ³

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

APPLIED SAMPLES

	<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
Weight in Air (gm)	29.2438	31.0050
Plus String	29.2556	31.0168
Weight in Water (gm)	<u>25.9286</u>	<u>27.5118</u>
	3.3270	3.5050
Specific Gravity =	8.780 gm/cm ³	8.846 gm/cm ³
Density =	.3176 lb./in. ³	.3196 lb./in. ³

In the above calculations, no correction was made for the density of the water at room temperature. Chamberlain Manufacturing Corporation personnel are satisfied that gilding metal rotating bands are approximately the same density applied to the projectile as in the blank stage.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744


5. FABRICATION AND PRODUCTION

5.1 Press Forming


5.1.1 The initial quantity of 50 projectile bodies was forged at the Chamberlain Manufacturing Corporation, Waterloo, Iowa plant using multiple-purpose equipment typical of a research and development facility. Forging was performed on the additional 1,200 projectile bodies at the Chamberlain-operated Scranton Army Ammunition Plant because the equipment at that facility was better suited to production. A representative of the Waterloo facility witnessed the production of these forgings at Scranton.

5.1.2 Drawing No. J7897-4B on the following page shows the press process used for this initial quantity starting with a slug cut from 6.0 inches diameter AISI 4340 round steel bar. Because of the program's urgency and the temporary tooling involved, 100 slugs were started through the process at the Waterloo facility to assure that the required initial quantity of 50 projectiles would be obtained. The cabbage (pre-form) and backward extrusion operations were performed as a continuous operation in the same die by shuttling punches on a horizontal slide attached to the press ram. An excessive amount of scale appeared on forgings received from Scranton for the production quantity of projectiles. However, most of this scale was removed by shot blasting and was not considered a major problem.

5.1.3 An isothermal anneal was prescribed after extruding to achieve good spheroidization of carbon with a minimum of pearlitic formation. Photograph No. 8043 on Page 17 shows microstructure of samples from cups forged during the initial production of projectiles. The purpose of this microstructure was to provide a structure suitable for cold drawing and nosing operations. Hardness was in the range of Rockwell "B" 92 following this anneal. All remaining operations thus can be conducted with sub-critical temperature anneals to lower the hardness. Subsequent elongation of the carbon spheroids is not a hindrance to good metal flow in the cold operations.



500X MAGNIFICATION



1000X MAGNIFICATION

PHOTO NO. 8043


Photomicrographs Of Isothermal Annealed Structure In 4340 Steel
Forged Cups.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

- 5.1.4 Following the isothermal anneal, the cups were cold drawn and trimmed to length. Photograph Nos. 8121 and 8123, Appendix A, illustrate the cold draw and trimming operations, respectively. After the outside diameter of the drawn can was machined, a partial cold drawing operation was performed. Photograph No. 8167, Appendix A, shows the partially drawn can. In preparation for nosing, the base was trimmed and the area to be nosed was machined externally. The operations described thus far were performed with no significant problems. Photograph Nos. 8066 through 8068, Appendix A, show typical base machining operations. Photograph No. 8101, Appendix A, shows the external machining of the area to be nosed.
- 5.1.5 Considerable difficulty was encountered with the nosing operation. Some projectiles did not machine clean around the entire circumference of the nosed area. Photograph Nos. 8064 and 8065, Appendix A, show this problem as it existed during machining. Observation of the nosing operation indicated that metal tended to "pull away" from the die as pieces were nosed inward. This problem was alleviated by nosing them in one operation instead of two. The study of projectile nosing was continued as described in the following paragraphs to improve still further the quality of nosing and to study the feasibility of effecting projectile weight control in the nosed area (See Paragraph 4.1.3).
- 5.1.5.1 During production of drawn cans it was noted that the pushout stage of the partial draw operation produced "ears" which were forced inward during the nosing operation. After an unsuccessful attempt to eliminate this stage of the operation and nose the completely drawn cans at 1,320°F, experiments were performed with an added contour machining operation before nosing. These experiments resulted in developing the contour shown by the sketch on the following page. Using this contour design, however, necessitated substituting a 6.060-inch diameter partial cold draw die for the 6.125-inch diameter die used previously. During these experiments it was noted that the die finish is very critical and that the piece must be well lubricated for successful performance of nosing. Dimensional inspection was performed before and after



OPER. # 80
 CONTOUR NOSE
 MACH. STAMPS

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

nosing on 17 drawn cans which were contour machined as described. The pre-nosing measurements demonstrated that in any free metal flow situation such as a partial draw, the unconfined metal will follow the path of least resistance and the metal flow cannot be controlled closely. However, measurements taken after nosing and machining indicated that contour machining before nosing resulted in improved control of ogive wall thickness and projectile weight.

- 5.1.5.2 Continued development of the contour machining concept resulted in the adoption of the partially drawn can configuration shown by the sketch on the following page. Additional modifications which improved the quality of nosing are listed below.

Revert to the use of the original 6.125-inch diameter partial cold draw die.

Anneal the area of the internally machined drawn can to be nosed at 1,300°F for 30 minutes in a salt bath furnace and allow to cool in ambient air.


Perform nosing in one operation as mentioned previously.

Stress relieve approximately three inches of the nosed end of the projectile at 800°F for one hour immediately after nosing and allow to cool in ambient air. This operation is imperative to prevent cracking of parts and no delay can be tolerated in its performance.

- 5.1.5.3 Nosing was performed successfully by the method described above and this method was used throughout the remainder of contract performance.

5.2 Heat Treatment

- 5.2.1 Heat treatment experiments were conducted to determine the best temperatures and times required to meet the minimum mechanical properties. The first experiment consisted of heating drawn shell bodies to 1,475°F and holding at heat for 1.5 hours, followed by an oil quench in 110°F oil and a 1,225°F temper for 1.5 hours at heat. This first attempt resulted in the following mechanical properties:



OPER. #80
CONTOUR NOSE
MACH. STRAIGHT

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

<u>SAMPLE LOCATION</u>	<u>ULT. STRENGTH (psi)</u>	<u>YIELD STRENGTH (psi)</u>	<u>% ELONGATION (1 In. Gage)</u>
Base	129,700	95,100	19.3
Sidewall	138,700	111,800	22.3
Sidewall	137,700	100,400	21.8
Base	131,500	87,700	19.3

5.2.2

A decision was reached to lower the tempering temperature to 1,100°F to increase minimum yield strength. Except for four projectiles described in Paragraph 5.2.2.1, all projectiles were heat treated by this method. Below are tensile test results of samples from projectiles so heat treated.

<u>SAMPLE LOCATION</u>	<u>ULT. STRENGTH (psi)</u>	<u>YIELD STRENGTH (psi)</u>	<u>% ELONGATION (1 In. Gage)</u>
Sidewall	154,100	131,600	16.7
Sidewall	154,300	127,500	20.3
Base	139,800	114,800	13.2
Base	141,600	115,100	13.7

Rockwell hardness readings taken on the above lot revealed the following levels for two positions 180° opposite in the weight relief undercut area.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

<u>SAMPLE</u>	<u>RANGE (R"C")</u>	<u>SAMPLE</u>	<u>RANGE (R"C")</u>
1	34-35	6	33-34
2	34-36	7	35-36
3	34-34	8	34-35
4	34-35	9	34-34
5	34-35	10	35-36

- 5.2.2.1 In accordance with a telephoned request by the Technical Supervisor, four projectiles were heat treated for special fragmentation tests. This process consisted of heating the bodies to 1,475°F, oil quenching, and tempering back to 700°F to provide a sidewall hardness of Rockwell "C" 48. However, the resulting projectiles had a hardness of Rockwell "C" 44 to 45 with about .020 inch outside decarburization. Measurements showed that this heat treatment distorted the bourrelet dimensions slightly but only the aft bourrelet dimension was slightly out of tolerance.



5.3 Machining

- 5.3.1 Drawing No. J7897-5B on the following page shows the prototype machining sequence which evolved from setups using multiple purpose equipment and existing tooling. This process was used for the production of the first 50 projectiles. The following discussion explains the drawing schematic more fully.


OPERATION

DESCRIPTION


- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 | Chuck on as-drawn bourrelet. Rough bore the minor nose diameter and rough cut to length on nose end. |
|---|--|




WILLIAM
JOHN HENRY




FINISH BACK
EXCEPT THREAD

[illegible]

INTERNAL SECURITY




NEW AND SEAR




ENDING

INDEX
PRINT
FINAL DISPOSITION
BACK
FILE

11/23 01 100 304760





BACK TO LENGTHEN MACH.
ON OR. SIDE CHAMBER 1
INFLAP HOSE



THREAT BASE

NEW 241'S AND 2011W
2500-2600S AND 2111W
2000-2500S AND 2011W
27-28 2711-2811

APPLY AND

CONTOUR BAND
TEAM 0936 TO 0957H

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

<u>OPERATION</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
2	Reverse part, chuck on bourrelet. Machine base cavity leaving .020 inch surplus thickness. Turn major thread diameter.
3	Heat treat, 1,475°F for 1.5 hours, quench in 110°F agitated oil, temper at 1,100°F for 1.5 hours.
4	Grip on base spud and use live center cup on the ogive. Turn bourrelet to 5.998+.005 inch. Cut weight relief undercut to size.
5	Chuck on bourrelet. Turn band seat to finish size.
6	Centerless grinder. Grind O.D. to finish size.
7	Chuck on bourrelet. Contour nose. Face to length on nose, bore, chamfer and thread.
8	Chuck on bourrelet. Thread base.
9	West tire setter. Apply rotating band.
10	Chuck on bourrelet. Contour turn band, face base to final overall length for .003 inch perpendicularity with bourrelet.

5.3.2 Appendix B of this report consists of a set of process sketches which illustrate the basic operations employed on the added 1,200 projectiles. Starting with the extruded slug, the operations are arranged in the order of their performance and each was completed without significant problems. Photograph Nos. 8116 through 8120 and Photograph No. 8122, Appendix A, illustrate the performance of several projectile machining operations as described by their respective titles. To expedite the completion of the 1,200 projectiles, three

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

additional lathes were received by this facility from the Ravenna, Ohio Ordnance Depot. These lathes were used for cutoff, nose boring and contouring operations (See Photograph Nos. 8206 and 8207, Appendix A).

- 5.3.3 Because vendors were unable to furnish XM657 rotating bands in time to meet proposed delivery schedules, 155-mm, M107 bored blanks were used. These blanks were cut to length, sized, and turned on the outside diameter to adapt them to the XM657 Projectile.
- 5.3.4 As requested by the Technical Supervisor, experiments were performed for attaching the base cover plate by epoxy adhesive and by spot-welding. Investigation showed that spot-welding of covers was unsatisfactory because of a stress relief required afterward and added handling difficulties. Therefore, covers were secured with Tra-Bond 2129 epoxy adhesive, produced by Tra-Con, Inc., Medford, Massachusetts. Of the 50 projectiles equipped with base cover plates, five were attached by spot-welding and 45 were secured with epoxy adhesive as described. Later in the program, however, an integral base cover and tracer attachment was designed by the Arsenal, thus eliminating the need for the separate base cover.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

6. DRAWINGS

- 6.1 The following drawings were completed during the performance of the subject contract and are listed below for record only. Except for those cited in the preceding paragraphs to support the discussion, none are included with this report.

<u>DRAWING NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
J7897-1	152-mm Body Drawing
J7897-2	Metal Parts Assembly
J7897-3	Rotating Band
J7897-1A	Necking Guide Insert
J7897-2A	Extrusion Punch
J7897-3A	Necking Die No. 1
J7897-4A	Necking Die No. 2
J7897-5A	Knockout Rod, Two Inch
J7897-6A	Mandrel Jaw
J7897-7A	Pusher Punch
J7897-8A	Hot Press Hold-Down Plate
J7897-9A	Knockout Rod, Three Inch
J7897-10A	Collet Pads, Cri-Dan "D"
J7897-11A	152-mm Ogive Cam, Stamets Lathe
J7897-12A	Straight Cam - Stamets Lathe
J7897-13A	Extrusion Tip
J7897-14A	Extrusion Punch
J7897-15A	Preform Punch
J7897-16A	Preform Tip
J7897-17A	Ejector Tip
J7897-18A	Cold Draw Punch
J7897-19A	Form Tool, Band Seat
J7897-20A	Tire Setter Jaws
J7897-21A	Long Center, Stamets Lathe
J7897-22A	Short Center, Stamets Lathe
J7897-23A	Tool Holder, Stamets Lathe
J7897-24A	Tool Insert, Band Seat
J7897-25A	Threading Insert, Base
J7897-26A	Threading Insert And Holder

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

<u>DRAWING NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
J7897-27A	Thread Tool Holder
J7897-28A	Center Drill Mandrel
J7897-29A	Roughing Cam, Stamets Lathe
J7897-30A	Contour Cam, Stamets Lathe
J7897-31A	Contour Cam, Stamets Lathe
J7897-32A	Nicking Rack
J7897-33A	Center
J7897-34A	Template, Monomatic, Band Seat And Body Relief
J7897-35A	Adapter, Sleeve, Reid Nose Boring
J7897-36A	Mandrel Adapter, Seneca Falls Center Drill
J7897-37A	Mandrel Body, Seneca Falls Center Drill
J7897-38A	Rear Cam, Expanding Mandrel
J7897-39A	Front Pads, Expanding Mandrel
J7897-40A	Rear Pads, Expanding Mandrel
J7897-41A	Body, Expanding Mandrel, Stamets Lathe
J7897A-42A	Center, Stamets Lathe
J7897A-43A	Form Tool, Band
J7897A-44A	Collet Pads, Hepburn Lathe
J7897A-45A	Tool Block, Hepburn Lathe
J7897A-46A	Stop, Hepburn Lathe
J7897A-47A	Radius Tool Holder, Hepburn Lathe
J7897-1B	152-mm Forging Process
J7897-2B	Temporary Press Process, Waterloo
J7897-3B	Press Process, Scranton
J7897-4B	Press Process, Waterloo
J7897-5B	Machining Process
J7897-1D	Base Forging Gage Assembly
J7897-2D	Datum Ring, Base Cavity, Machining Gage
J7897A-3D	Final Acceptance Datum Ring, Base Cavity
J7897A-4D	3.5-Inch Go Thread Ring
J7897A-5D	3.5-Inch Go Thread Set Plug
J7897A-6D	3.5-Inch Lo Thread Ring
J7897A-7D	3.5-Inch Lo Thread Set Plug
J7897A-8D	Wall Thickness Gage
J7897A-1E	Packing Box For 152-mm, XM657E1

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744

7. INSPECTION

- 7.1 Copies of all dimensional inspection reports were submitted with the shipments of projectiles.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION
Waterloo, Iowa

FINAL SUMMARY REPORT
CONTRACT DAAA21-67-C-0744


8. SHIPMENTS

8.1 In fulfillment of the subject contract the following shipments were made to Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey, as indicated:

<u>LOT NO.</u> <u>(YCC)</u>	<u>QTY.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>SHIP</u> <u>DATE</u>
92-1	10	XM657E1 Projectiles	1 Apr 67
92-2	30	XM657E1 Projectiles	3 May 67
92-3	10	XM657E1 Projectiles	18 May 67
42-4	100	XM657E1 Projectiles (50 w/Base Cover Plates)	5 Jul 67
42-5	94	XM657E1 Projectiles	17 Jul 67
92-6	62	XM657E1 Projectiles	15 Sep 67
92-6	4	XM657E1 Projectiles (w/o Band-Spec. Heat Treat)	13 Oct 67
92-7	216	XM657E1 Projectiles	19 Oct 67
92-7	216	XM657E1 Projectiles	27 Oct 67
92-7	216	XM657E1 Projectiles	3 Nov 67
92-7	292	XM657E1 Projectiles	29 Nov 67

APPENDIX A


PHOTOGRAPHS



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8121

First Cold Draw 152-mm, XM657E1.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8123

Trim After First Cold Draw 152-mm, XM657E1.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTO NO. 8167

Partially Drawn Can For 152-mm, XM657E1 Projectile. (U)


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8066


Trepan Machining Of Base Cavity On Projectile For Cartridge, HE, 152-mm,
XM657.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8067
Finish Machining Of Base Cavity On Projectile For Cartridge, HE, 152-mm,
XM657.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8068

Threading Base Of Projectile For Cartridge, HE, 152-mm, XM657.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8101

Contour Machining Of Projectile, 152-mm, HE, XM657E1 Before Necking Operation.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8064

Facing And Rough Boring Operation On Projectile For Cartridge, HE, 152-mm,
XM657.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8065


Machining Of Nose Contour On Projectile For Cartridge, HE, 152-mm, XM657.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8116
Finish Turn Ogive Rough Turn Bourrelet 152-mm, XM657E1.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8117

Turn Band Groove And Bourrelet Weight Relief 152-mm, XM657E1.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8118

Bore Nose I.D. Chamfer And Cut To O.A.L. 152-mm, XM657E1.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION




PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8119
Thread Nose 152-mm, XM657E1.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION





PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8120
17° Band Groove Undercut 152-mm, XM657E1.
CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8122

Stamp Nomenclature 152-mm, XM657E1.


CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION



PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8206

Rough Bore Nose And Establish Overall Length, 152-mm, XM657E1
Projectile. Reid Lathe.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION




PHOTOGRAPH NO. 8207

Rough Turn Band Seat, 152-mm, XM657E1 Projectile. Hepburn Lathe.

CHAMBERLAIN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION

APPENDIX B

PROCESS DRAWINGS



OPER #5
EXTENSION
 TONNAGE: 800T
 RECEIVED FROM SCRAPANTON

OPER #10
ISOTHERMAL ANNEAL
 HEVI-DUTY FURNACE - 1475; 2 1/2 HRS.
 1200° 12 HRS. OF RFS FURNACE
 1ST ZONE - 1475 F
 2ND ZONE - 1200 F
 3RD ZONE - 1200 F
 4TH ZONE - 1200 F
 10 RCS PER TRAY - 30 MIN PUSH

OPER #15
SHOT BLAST
 RANGBOEN ROTOBLAST

OPER #18
TUMBLE BLAST
 RANGBOEN TUMBLE BLAST

OPER #20

METAL PREP FOR COLD DRAW

STAGE 1: CLEAN FOR ONE MINUTE

(ARCO CLEANER NO. 337, 5 GAL/
170 GAL. H₂O)

STAGE 2: HOT RINSE 180-200°F (H₂O)

STAGE 3: PHOSPHATIZE, 4 MIN, 170-180 MAX.
COATING 8000'S PER FT²

(52 LBS. BONDERITE 18IX PER 100 GAL.
WATER, 5 OZ. ACCELERATOR 130
PER 100 GAL. WATER)

STAGE 4: HOT RINSE 180°-200°F H₂O

STAGE 5: RINSE, QUICK DIP, 160°F

(ARCOLENE NO. 21, 2.5 OZ. PER
GAL. H₂O)

STAGE 6: DRY FILM SOAP COAT, 4 MIN, 170-175°F
(BONDERLUBE NO. 234, 75 LBS.
PER 170 GAL WATER)


OPER #25

FIRST COLD DRAW

PRESS: WATSON-STILLMAN 230T

PUNCH: J7897-18A

DIE : J7897A-11D




OPER #30

METAL PREP FOR MACHING


STAGE 1: CLEAN, 4 MIN, 180°-200°F
(PARCO CLEANER NO. 357, 5 GAL/170 GAL H₂O)
STAGE 2: HOT RINSE DIP, 180°-200°F (H₂O)
STAGE 3: ACID BATH 3 MIN. MINIMUM, UNTIL
SCALE IS REMOVED
STAGE 4: NEUTRALIZER, 2 MIN.
PARKER ACCELERATOR NO. 130 5% SOL.


OPER #35

MACHINE OPEN END



OPER # 90
CENTERDEILL BASE
MACH: SENECA FALLS
CENTERDEILL





OPER #45
MACHINE O.D.
 MACH: STAMETS


OPER #50
ANNEAL
 FTS FURNACE - 1300°F
 5 MIN. PUSH - 10 PCS. PER TRAY

OPER #52
COTO-BLAST & TUMBLE BLAST


OPER #55
METAL PREP FOR COLD DRAW
 STAGE 1: CLEAN FOR ONE MIN. (P220
 CLEANER NO. 357, 5 GAL./170 GAL. 40)
 STAGE 2: HOT ENSE 180°-200°F (OVER FLOWING 40)
 STAGE 3: PHOSPHATIZE: 4 MIN., 170°-180°F, MAX.
 COATING ROOMS. PER 30 FT.
 (52 LBS. BONDREITE 131X PER 100 GAL.
 WATER, 5 OZ. ACCELERATOR 130 PER
 100 GAL. WATER)
 STAGE 4: HOT ENSE 180°-200°F (40)
 STAGE 5: ENSE, QUICK DIP, 160°F
 (PARCULENE NO. 21, 2.5 OZ. PER GAL. 40)
 STAGE 6: DRY FILM SOAP COAT, 4 MIN., 170°-
 175°F (BONDERLUBE NO. C34,
 75 LBS. PER 170 GAL. WATER)

OPER #60
PARTIAL COLD DEONIF BACKOUT
 PRESS - 8007 ELMS
 PUNCH - J7897-10A
 DIE - 6.125 DIA.


OPER #65
METAL PREP FOR MACHING
 STAGE 1: CLEAN, 8 MIN., 180°-200°F
 (PART CLEANER NO. 357, TOTAL 110 GAL. 160)
 STAGE 2: HOT FINSE DIP, 180°-200°F (45Q)
 STAGE 3: ACID BATH, 3 MIN. MINIMUM, UNTIL SCALE
 IS REMOVED, 130°-180°F
 HCL CONCENTRATION 20°-23° BE
 STAGE 4: NEUTRALIZER, 5 MIN
 PARKER ACCELERATOR NO 130
 5% SOLUTION




OPER #70
MACH. BASE THICKNESS



OPER * 75
MACH. OVERALL LENGTH





OPER #80
CONTOUR NOSE
 MACH: STAMETS

OPER #85
ANNEAL NOSE
 SALT POT 1500° 30 MIN.

OPER #87
SANDBLAST
 SOTBLAST INSIDE

OPER #90
METAL PREP FOR COLD DRAW

STAGE 1 : CLEAN FOR ONE MIN.
 (PARCO CLEANER NO. 357,
 5 GAL./170 GAL. WATER)


STAGE 2 : HOT ENSE 180°-200°F (H₂O)

STAGE 3 : PHOSPHATIZE, 4 MIN, 170°-180°F
 MAX. COATINGS 400 MS. PER SQ. FT.
 (52 LBS. BONDERITE 181X PER 100
 GAL. H₂O, 50Z ACCELERATOR 130 PER
 100 GAL. H₂O.)

STAGE 4 : HOT ENSE 180°-200°F (H₂O)


STAGE 5 : ENSE, QUICK DIP 160°F
 (PARCOLENE NO. 21, 2.5 OZ PER GAL. H₂O)

STAGE 6 : DRY SOAP FILM COAT, 4 MIN, 170°-175°F
 BONDERITE NO. 294, 75 LBS. PER 170 GAL.
 WATER




OPER #85
NOSE

OPER #100
STRESS RELIEVE
IMMEDIATELY AFTER NOSING
800°F FOR 1 HOUR




OPER #105
 ROUGH BORE NOSE & TRIM
 MACH: REID BRCS. LATHE




OPER - *107
ROUGH MACH. BODY
MACH. STAMETS

[illegible]

OPER. #118
SHOT BLAST INSIDE
BETTER HEAT TREAT
OIL INSIDE




OPER. #119
BORE NOSE & TIEIM OAL.
MACH: FEID BEOS. LATHE





OPER. 1/20
TURN BOURRELET & OGIVE
MACH: STAMETS

OPER #121
TURN BAND SEAT &
UNDEECUT




OPER. #123
TURN 17° ANGLE
IN BAND SEAT






OPER. 130
THREAD NOSE
MACH. CEI-DAN 'D'

OPER #135
THREAD BASE
MACH. - CEI-DON'D.



325-16UN-20-LN
 MAJOR DIA. 3.2983-.0094
 PITCH DIA. 3.2077-.0056
 MINOR DIA 3.1716 MAX

OPER. #138
GRIND O.D.
MACH: CINCINNATI CENTERLESS
GRINDER



OPER #190
TRIM OFF CENTER

OPER #195
APPLY BAND
MACH: WATSON-STILLMAN
TIRE SETTER


OPER #150
CONTOUR BAND
TRIM BASE TO LENGTH

OPER #155
INSPECTION

OPER #160
PAIN

OPER #170
PACK

OPER #175
SHIP



UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Ordnance Engineering Division Chamberlain Manufacturing Corporation Waterloo, Iowa		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP None	
3. REPORT TITLE DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND FABRICATION OF PROJECTILE, 152-MM, HE, XM657E1			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Summary Report, 1 March 1967 Through 31 December 1967			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Conlee, George D. Herman, Irving			
6. REPORT DATE Submitted 25 January 1968		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 68	7b. NO. OF REFS None
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DAAA21-67-C-0744		8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) None	
a. PROJECT NO. 67 1X579191D334			
c. AMCMS 5584.14.25102.08		8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) None	
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Unlimited Distribution			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY U. S. Army Materiel Command Picatinny Arsenal Dover, New Jersey	
13. ABSTRACT On 13 March 1967 Chamberlain Manufacturing Corporation began the design, development, and fabrication of projectile metal parts for the Cartridge, HE, 152-mm, XM657E1. All projectiles were fabricated from AISI 4340 steel by hot forge-cold draw forming methods. Major problems in forming the projectile nose were solved by external contour machining and annealing of the drawn cans, then nosing the parts while cold in one operation. Annealing of the projectile immediately after nosing was found necessary to avoid cracking. Weight control problems were solved by close control of the ogive wall thickness and relaxing the tolerance on the bourrelet relief diameter.			

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
1. Projectile 2. Tank Gun Projectile 3. Cartridge UNITERMS Design Development Manufacture Forging Cold Drawing Nosing Weight Control						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parentheses immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.