

AD 695 423

RESPONSE OF RAT GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT DEHYDROGENASE

SYSTEMS TO WHOLE-BODY IONIZING IRRADIATION

E. KIVY-ROSENBERG

S. J. Baum
S. J. BAUM

Chairman

Experimental Pathology Department

Hugh B. Mitchell
HUGH B. MITCHELL

Colonel, USAF, MC

Director

ARMED FORCES RADIOBIOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
Defense Atomic Support Agency
Bethesda, Maryland

Distribution of this document is unlimited

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword (Nontechnical summary)	iii
Abstract	iv
I. Introduction	1
II. Materials and Methods	1
III. Results	4
IV. Discussion	8
V. Conclusions	10
References	11

LIST OF TABLES

Table I. Incubation Media	3
Table II. Dehydrogenase System Activity of Homogenates	5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of stomach homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation	6
Figure 2. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of duodenum homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation	6
Figure 3. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of jejunum homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation	7
Figure 4. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of large intestine homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation	7

FOREWORD
(Nontechnical summary)

In a previous report it was shown in the rat that three of the enzyme systems which are involved in one of the energy-producing cycles (i.e., the Krebs cycle) demonstrated a depression in activity in four regions of the gastrointestinal tract following 1500-rad whole-body irradiation either by x rays or gamma-neutron radiations. The present study deals with enzyme systems of two other energy-producing cycles (pentose cycle and a glycolytic pathway) which feed into the Krebs cycle. The questions which the study is attempting to answer are: (1) Are all of these equally affected by this dose of ionizing radiation? (2) Which are most affected? and (3) How does this relate to the pathophysiology of the gastrointestinal tract?

This study, using the rat as before, investigated some of the enzyme systems in the pentose cycle and a glycolytic pathway in four regions of the digestive tract (stomach, duodenum, jejunum and distal end of the large intestine) following 1500-rad whole-body irradiation by x rays or mixed gamma-neutron radiations. Microchemical assays were carried out on homogenates of each of the regions indicated at timed intervals following irradiation (10-20 minutes, 1, 2, 3 days). A tetrazolium salt (INT) was used as an indicator. By 1 day postirradiation, there was a significant fall in activity in the stomach in both pentose cycle systems studied, as well as the one in the glycolytic pathway. For the most part the fall in activity did not occur in the intestinal regions until the 2nd day. This depression became most prominent by 3 days for the regions studied.

ABSTRACT

The activity of two dehydrogenase systems of the pentose cycle (glucose-6-phosphate-dependent and 6-phosphogluconate-dependent) as well as the lactate-dependent dehydrogenase system was studied in homogenates of four regions of the adult male rat gastrointestinal tract following 1540-rad whole-body x irradiation (WBR), or 1400-rad mixed gamma-neutron radiation. Microchemical assays using a tetrazolium salt (INT) were done at intervals after irradiation (10-20 minutes, 1, 2, 3 days). By 1 day postirradiation there was a significant fall in activity in both pentose cycle systems as well as in the lactate-dependent system in the stomach. For the most part, the fall in activity did not occur in the intestinal regions until the 2nd day. This depression became most prominent by 3 days for the regions studied.

The lactate-dependent dehydrogenase system activity of three regions of the gastrointestinal tract was more drastically affected by the radiations delivered than was that of the pentose cycle systems. The fourth region (distal end of the large intestine) was not consistent with the others, in its response. It seems from this study that the dehydrogenase systems which are intramitochondrial in location showed a greater reduction in activity than did those which are extramitochondrial.

I. INTRODUCTION

In an earlier investigation, three Krebs cycle dehydrogenase systems (succinate-, malate-, and isocitrate-dependent) from four regions of the gastrointestinal tract of adult male rats, demonstrated that there was a fall in activity as early as 10-20 minutes following 1500 rads of whole-body ionizing radiation in each of the regions studied and that the fall increased in magnitude by the 2nd and 3rd days.³ The question arose as to whether metabolic pathways other than the Krebs cycle were equally affected by the same dose. The current report deals with two dehydrogenase systems of the pentose cycle, glucose-6-phosphate (G-6-P)- and 6-phosphogluconate (6PG)-dependent as well as the lactate-dependent system in the glycolytic pathway.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Young adult male rats of the Charles River strain (Sprague-Dawley) were individually caged and had free access to food and water. One hundred and twenty-three rats were used. Forty-eight received total body x irradiation, fifty were reactor exposed and twenty-five were unexposed. Their weights ranged from 220-350 g at the time of irradiation or when sacrificed as unexposed controls. Homogenate assays for glucose-6-phosphate-dependent, 6-phosphogluconate-dependent and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase systems of stomach, duodenum (first 2.5 cm), jejunum (3 cm taken about 15 cm from the pylorus) and distal end of the large intestine were carried out using the tetrazolium salt INT as an electron acceptor. Since this agent does not accept electrons directly from the substrate-dependent dehydrogenases but from an intermediary of the electron transport chain, each

of these assays is dependent on at least two tissue components.^{9,13,20} The measurements represent, therefore, composite dehydrogenase-INT-reductase activities.^{3-7,9,13,17,19,20}

Animals were sacrificed either by a blow on the head or by cervical dislocation, at selected postirradiation periods (10-20 minutes; 1, 2, 3 days). Unexposed controls were handled in a similar fashion. The four regions of the gastrointestinal tract were removed quickly, denuded of mesentery, slit and washed thoroughly in iced saline. A 1 percent homogenate of each gut region in phosphate buffer (as detailed in a previous report) was used for each assay.³ Assays were conducted in triplicate using established procedures^{1*,22} except that the tetrazolium salt 2-p-iodophenyl-3-p-nitrophenyl-5-phenyltetrazolium chloride (INT) was used as final electron acceptor (Table I). All methods were adapted for microanalysis, using Lang-Levy constriction pipettes,¹⁰ for delivering aliquots of material. Media were buffered at pH 7.1-7.2 and remained at that approximate level following incubation for 10 minutes at 37°C. Reaction was stopped by addition of a 20 percent solution of trichloroacetic acid (TCA).

The reduced tetrazolium (formazan) was extracted with ethyl acetate and quantitated spectrophotometrically, using a wavelength of 490 nm. The amount of reduced tetrazolium present in each assay had been shown in our laboratory to be a linear function of the tissue concentration present in the reaction mixture. The dehydrogenase system activity was expressed as micrograms of formazan per

* It has been demonstrated that glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase is inhibited by concentration of phosphate buffer above 0.1 M. In our assays, final phosphate concentration was 4.1×10^{-2} M.

milligram of protein. Protein determinations were done by a slightly modified Lowry technique.¹¹

Table I. Incubation Media

	Dehydrogenase System Assay		
	Glucose-6-Phosphate [‡]	6-Phosphogluconate [‡]	Lactate [‡]
INT, * 0.5%	101.6 μ l	101.6 μ l	101.6 μ l
Phosphate buffer, 0.1 M	59.2	59.2	59.2
TPN, † 2.5 mg/ml	25.4	25.4	--
Glucose-6-Phosphate (Na), † 0.1 M	67.7	--	--
6-Phosphogluconate (Na), † 0.05 M	--	67.7	--
Lactate (Na), † 1.0 M	--	--	67.7
DPN, † 5 mg/ml	--	--	25.4

* Tetrazolium salt obtained from Dajac Laboratories

† Obtained from Sigma Chemicals

‡ Blanks for these reactions were established by substituting water for substrate; activity then being the difference between appropriate substrate-containing and substrate-free media

§ Homogenate concentration was ~1 percent; 78.7 μ l delivered to each medium

Unanesthetized rats were exposed in individual acrylic plastic (Plexiglas) containers, to one of the two radiation sources. Time at which irradiation was started was kept constant (~8:00-8:30 a.m.). The x rays were delivered by a 250 kVp generator (Maxitron) and mixed gamma-neutron radiation by a TRIGA reactor. The physical factors of the x ray unit were as follows: 250 kVp, 30 mA, with filtration of 1.2 mm beryllium plus 0.95 mm copper, HVL 1.9 mm copper. The midline tissue dose rate (80.5 cm from the source) as determined by tissue-equivalent ionization chambers in a Lucite phantom was 37 rads/min; the total dose was 1.54 krad. For the reactor irradiations, the animals were 292 cm from the center line of the core. About 60 percent of the tissue kerma, free-in-air, was from gamma rays; the remainder from neutrons. The midline tissue dose rate, determined as above, was approximately 35 rads/min and the total dose was 1.4 krad.

III. RESULTS

Of the three dehydrogenase systems assayed, the lactate-dependent system was by far the most active. This activity varied with the region of gut in question, i.e., normalizing the distal end of the large intestine to 1, the value for jejunum was 1.9, duodenum 1.9 and stomach 2.2. The pentose cycle dehydrogenase systems studied were very much less active (Table II). Here too, activity varied, depending on region, with the stomach showing greatest activity in both systems, the glucose-6-phosphate being slightly more active than the 6-phosphogluconate. The ratio of mean activity of the former to the latter was 1.3 for the stomach and duodenum, 1.4 for the jejunum and 1.2 for the region of the large intestine investigated.

There was a slight change in activity, though not statistically significant, in the pentose cycle dehydrogenases as well as in the lactate-dependent system, 10-20 minutes postirradiation. The stomach showed a significant depression in activity before either the small or large intestine for the three enzyme systems measured. The fall in activity of the lactate-dependent system in the stomach was highly significant starting on day 1 and continuing through day 3. As for the pentose cycle dehydrogenases, there were some inconsistencies: the gamma-neutron irradiated rats showed on day 1 a depression in both pentose cycle systems which was not statistically significant whereas the fall in activity of x-ray exposed animals was significant. On the other hand at 2 days the reverse was seen.

A significant fall in the pentose cycle enzyme activity was seen in both duodenum and jejunum, 2 and 3 days following gamma-neutron irradiation only, whereas the lactate system appeared significantly depressed as early as 1 day following

gamma-neutron irradiation and 2 and 3 days following both types of ionizing irradiation. The degree of depression was greater in the duodenum than in the jejunum. Changes in activity in the large intestine were similar to those in the jejunum for the pentose cycle dehydrogenase systems but, in effect, not significant for the lactate-dependent system (Table II, Figures 1-4).

Table II. Dehydrogenase System Activity of Homogenates

Time postirradiation	Type of irradiation	Glucose-6-Phosphate-dependent		6-Phosphogluconate-dependent		Lactate-dependent	
		$\mu\text{g formazan}$ mg protein (mean $\pm$ S.D.)	P*	$\mu\text{g formazan}$ mg protein (mean $\pm$ S.D.)	P	$\mu\text{g formazan}$ mg protein (mean $\pm$ S.D.)	P
Stomach							
Nonirradiated 10-20 minutes	x rays	(11)* 33.7 $\pm$ 6.5	NS†	(10) 25.4 $\pm$ 4.7	NS	(22) 124.4 $\pm$ 24.3	NS
	gamma-neutron	(9) 35.5 $\pm$ 12.3		(8) 27.1 $\pm$ 9.9		(11) 119.3 $\pm$ 18.3	
1 day	x rays	(7) 31.2 $\pm$ 2.7	NS	(7) 23.5 $\pm$ 3.7	NS	(12) 117.9 $\pm$ 26.9	NS
	gamma-neutron	(7) 24.0 $\pm$ 5.8		(7) 19.7 $\pm$ 5.0		(10) 93.7 $\pm$ 8.5	
2 days	x rays	(8) 27.1 $\pm$ 8.7	NS	(7) 20.2 $\pm$ 6.1	NS	(13) 88.1 $\pm$ 17.4	.001
	gamma-neutron	(7) 27.7 $\pm$ 6.1		(7) 21.9 $\pm$ 5.1		(10) 91.7 $\pm$ 16.2	
3 days	x rays	(6) 22.6 $\pm$ 5.7	NS	(6) 17.7 $\pm$ 6.0	.02	(12) 94.3 $\pm$ 13.8	.001
	gamma-neutron	(6) 26.7 $\pm$ 9.3		(8) 20.1 $\pm$ 5.9		(11) 85.0 $\pm$ 23.4	
	gamma-neutron	(11) 20.9 $\pm$ 4.9	.001	(11) 16.0 $\pm$ 3.6	.001	(13) 86.1 $\pm$ 15.4	.001
Duodenum							
Nonirradiated 10-20 minutes	x rays	(13) 20.5 $\pm$ 6.9	NS	(12) 16.0 $\pm$ 3.8	NS	(25) 105.1 $\pm$ 24.9	NS
	gamma-neutron	(6) 19.3 $\pm$ 4.4		(6) 15.2 $\pm$ 3.0		(10) 105.3 $\pm$ 21.1	
1 day	x rays	(8) 20.4 $\pm$ 4.7	NS	(7) 15.8 $\pm$ 7.0	NS	(15) 100.0 $\pm$ 19.7	NS
	gamma-neutron	(5) 19.9 $\pm$ 2.5		(5) 16.1 $\pm$ 3.1		(9) 110.0 $\pm$ 26.9	
2 days	x rays	(9) 19.5 $\pm$ 3.8	NS	(8) 13.9 $\pm$ 3.1	NS	(13) 93.5 $\pm$ 14.2	NS
	gamma-neutron	(6) 18.3 $\pm$ 4.0		(6) 13.4 $\pm$ 3.2		(9) 70.8 $\pm$ 16.3	
3 days	x rays	(6) 11.0 $\pm$ 4.4	.01	(6) 8.7 $\pm$ 3.6	.01	(11) 74.0 $\pm$ 20.5	.01
	gamma-neutron	(8) 11.5 $\pm$ 4.6		(8) 6.7 $\pm$ 2.8		(12) 74.7 $\pm$ 27.3	
	gamma-neutron	(7) 7.7 $\pm$ 4.2	.001	(7) 5.4 $\pm$ 2.6	.001	(12) 61.9 $\pm$ 16.6	.001
Jejunum							
Nonirradiated 10-20 minutes	x rays	(12) 14.5 $\pm$ 5.5	NS	(12) 10.6 $\pm$ 3.1	NS	(22) 107.9 $\pm$ 31.0	NS
	gamma-neutron	(9) 13.8 $\pm$ 2.4		(8) 10.0 $\pm$ 2.1		(13) 101.1 $\pm$ 24.4	
1 day	x rays	(9) 13.6 $\pm$ 2.2	NS	(9) 10.1 $\pm$ 3.7	NS	(14) 97.2 $\pm$ 17.6	NS
	gamma-neutron	(7) 11.7 $\pm$ 0.9		(7) 9.1 $\pm$ 0.9		(10) 96.7 $\pm$ 21.2	
2 days	x rays	(9) 12.4 $\pm$ 2.2	NS	(8) 8.6 $\pm$ 1.8	NS	(13) 84.8 $\pm$ 13.0	.02
	gamma-neutron	(10) 13.7 $\pm$ 4.7		(9) 9.3 $\pm$ 4.2		(13) 86.1 $\pm$ 29.5	
3 days	x rays	(7) 9.4 $\pm$ 2.1	.05	(7) 7.2 $\pm$ 1.4	.02	(12) 72.0 $\pm$ 13.8	.001
	gamma-neutron	(9) 12.4 $\pm$ 2.7		(9) 8.1 $\pm$ 2.3		(12) 81.2 $\pm$ 20.0	
	gamma-neutron	(8) 9.6 $\pm$ 1.7	.05	(8) 6.9 $\pm$ 2.1	.01	(16) 66.1 $\pm$ 21.4	.001
Large Intestine							
Nonirradiated 10-20 minutes	x rays	(9) 14.7 $\pm$ 4.3	NS	(8) 12.0 $\pm$ 3.2	NS	(19) 56.3 $\pm$ 8.6	NS
	gamma-neutron	(5) 16.0 $\pm$ 3.0		(5) 12.3 $\pm$ 2.9		(8) 54.3 $\pm$ 6.0	
1 day	x rays	(6) 14.1 $\pm$ 3.9	NS	(6) 12.3 $\pm$ 3.8	NS	(13) 55.3 $\pm$ 7.0	NS
	gamma-neutron	(6) 12.3 $\pm$ 2.2		(6) 11.6 $\pm$ 2.4		(10) 55.2 $\pm$ 15.4	
2 days	x rays	(8) 12.7 $\pm$ 2.4	NS	(7) 9.6 $\pm$ 1.7	NS	(12) 50.8 $\pm$ 9.0	NS
	gamma-neutron	(9) 16.1 $\pm$ 7.6		(9) 13.1 $\pm$ 6.1		(13) 63.3 $\pm$ 17.7	
3 days	x rays	(5) 10.0 $\pm$ 0.9	.05	(5) 8.1 $\pm$ 1.9	.05	(9) 49.0 $\pm$ 4.5	.05
	gamma-neutron	(5) 10.5 $\pm$ 2.4		(5) 8.5 $\pm$ 1.8		(7) 51.3 $\pm$ 6.8	
	gamma-neutron	(8) 10.6 $\pm$ 3.5	.05	(8) 8.1 $\pm$ 2.8	.02	(12) 51.2 $\pm$ 8.1	NS

* Probability by use of Student's "t" test

† Numbers in parentheses are numbers of animals involved.

‡ Greater than 0.05 probability.




Figure 1. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of stomach homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation




Figure 2. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of duodenum homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation




Figure 3. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of jejunum homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation




Figure 4. Mean percent change in pentose cycle substrate-dependent dehydrogenase activity and lactate-dependent dehydrogenase activity of large intestine homogenates after whole-body x irradiation or mixed gamma-neutron radiation

IV. DISCUSSION

It appears from the present study that the pentose cycle dehydrogenase systems of the rat gastrointestinal tract had not been as badly damaged as had the Krebs cycle systems following 1500-rad WBR.³ On the whole, the lactate-dependent dehydrogenase system approximated the degree of injury observed for the malate-dependent system of the Krebs cycle. Our data appear to point to the difference in localization between the Krebs cycle dehydrogenase systems and lactate-dependent system on the one hand and the pentose cycle systems on the other. It has been demonstrated in several biochemical studies^{8,18} that there is a nearly exclusive localization within the mitochondria of many oxidative enzymes, particularly those involved in the tricarboxylic acid cycle, various electron carrier systems and oxidative phosphorylation. That the succinate-dependent dehydrogenase system and DPN-associated dehydrogenase systems (i.e., malate and lactate) are intramitochondrial, has been shown histochemically by several workers.^{12,15} Monis et al.¹² also from histochemical study, has flatly stated that the TPN-associated dehydrogenases are not mitochondrial. This was reported earlier as a result of biochemical work involving the enzymes of the pentose cycle by Newburgh and Cheldelin¹⁴ in both rabbit and rat liver; i.e., mitochondria showed no activity when assayed for glucose-6-phosphate or 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase systems. Thus, it appears that those enzyme systems which are mitochondrial in location are more sensitive to the whole-body irradiation than those which are extramitochondrial. However, one cannot overlook the statement by Hess et al.² that the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase system had been localized by them in

mitochondria. Pearse¹⁶ also comments on this finding, that it is at variance with information derived from homogenization studies.

In their discussion of enzyme apparatus of the gut mucosa as it is related to the metabolism of the intestinal tract, Spencer and Knox²¹ have stressed the great energy requirement of the system not only for cell growth and rapid replacement involving synthesis of proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, and a variety of other substances, but also for the work of active absorption. They speculate that the intestine requires as much energy to absorb amino acids as does the rest of the body for synthesizing them into proteins. The large energy demands of the intestinal tract appear to be supplied by carbohydrates, with little coming from fat or amino acids. Both glycolysis and oxidation of hexose monophosphate are important metabolic pathways. Although glycolysis is perhaps a less used pathway than the hexose monophosphate shunt, it is still an exceedingly active process in the tract. Pyruvate derived from these reactions can be completely oxidized via the citric acid cycle. The present data showed the pentose cycle dehydrogenase systems to have been less affected following 1500-rad WBR than was the lactate dehydrogenase system of the glycolytic pathway. Thus, the dehydrogenase systems activities of the original pathways for carbohydrate metabolism were not as depressed as were those of the Krebs cycle³ into which the others feed. The total picture which presents itself therefore, is one in which the reduced capacity of the Krebs cycle must in the long run be more responsible for functional derangements of the gastrointestinal tract than the other two pathways investigated following the high dose of ionizing radiations utilized in the study.

V. CONCLUSIONS

On the whole the enzyme system of the glycolytic pathway was more drastically affected than those of the pentose cycle. The enzymes of these two pathways which feed into the Krebs cycle were not as badly damaged as were those of the Krebs cycle. It appears, therefore, that the reduced functional capacity of the Krebs cycle must in the long run be more responsible than the other two pathways investigated for the various functions of the gastrointestinal tract which were impaired postirradiation: e.g., gut motility and adsorption, among others.

REFERENCES

1. Colowick, S. P. and Kaplan, N. O., editors. *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 1, Sec. IV. New York, Academic Press, 1955.
2. Hess, R., Scarpelli, D. G. and Pearse, A. G. E. Cytochemical localization of pyridine nucleotide-linked dehydrogenases. *Nature* 181:1531-1532, 1958.
3. Kivy-Rosenberg, E. Krebs cycle dehydrogenase systems activity in the gastrointestinal tract of rats after whole-body ionizing irradiation. Bethesda, Maryland, Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute Scientific Report SR69-6, 1969.
4. Kivy-Rosenberg, E., Cascarano, J. and Merson, G. Examination of some D.P.N.- and T.P.N.-dependent dehydrogenase activity before and after relatively high doses of x-irradiation of Spisula eggs. *Biol. Bull.* 117:415-416, 1959.
5. Kivy-Rosenberg, E., Cascarano, J. and Zweifach, B. W. Activity of Krebs cycle dehydrogenase systems in liver and spleen of rats after whole-body X-irradiation. *Radiation Res.* 20:668-676, 1963.
6. Kivy-Rosenberg, E., Cascarano, J. and Zweifach, B. W. Activity of pentose cycle dehydrogenase systems in liver and in spleen of rats after whole-body X-irradiation. *Radiation Res.* 23:310-318, 1964.
7. Kivy-Rosenberg, E., Ray, F. and Elefant, H. Krebs cycle dehydrogenase systems in eggs of Asterias as measured with a tetrazolium salt. *Biol. Bull.* 119:323, 1960.
8. Lehninger, A. L. The organized respiratory activity of isolated rat-liver mitochondria, pp. 3-14. In: *Enzymes and Enzyme Systems*, Edsall, J. T., editor, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press, 1951.
9. Lester, R. L. and Smith, A. L. Studies on the electron transport system. XXVIII. The mode of reduction of tetrazolium salts by beef heart mitochondria; role of Coenzyme Q and other lipids. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 47:475-496, 1961.
10. Levy, M. Studies on enzymatic histochemistry. XVII. A micro Kjeldahl estimation. *Compt. Rend. Trav. Lab. Carlsberg, Sér. Chem.* 21:101-110, 1936.
11. Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. and Randall, R. J. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193:265-275, 1951.

12. Monis, B., Nachlas, M. M. and Seligman, A. M. Histochemical study of 3 dehydrogenase systems in human tumors. *Cancer* 12:1238-1247, 1959.
13. Nachlas, M. M., Marguillies, S. I. and Seligman, A. M. Sites of electron transfer to tetrazolium salts in the succinoxidase system. *J. Biol. Chem.* 235:2739-2743, 1960.
14. Newburgh, R. W. and Cheldelin, V. H. The intracellular distribution of pentose cycle activity in rabbit kidney and liver. *J. Biol. Chem.* 218:89-96, 1956.
15. Pearse, A. G. E. Intracellular localisation of dehydrogenase systems using monotetrazolium salts and metal chelation of their formazans. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 5:515-527, 1957.
16. Pearse, A. G. E. *Histochemistry*, 2nd ed., p. 580. Boston, Little, Brown and Company, 1960.
17. Schatz, G. Site of interaction of 2-p-nitrophenyl-3-p-iodophenyl-5-phenyltetrazolium chloride in the succinate-oxidase system. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 62:581-584, 1962.
18. Schneider, W. C. Biochemical constitution of mammalian mitochondria. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 1:212-233, 1953.
19. Shelton, E. and Rice, M. E. Comparison of the reduction of two tetrazolium salts with succinoxidase activity of tissue homogenates. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 18:117-127, 1957.
20. Slater, T. F. Biochemical mechanisms of tetrazolium salt reduction. In: *Biochemistry of the Retina*, edited by Graymore, C. N. London and New York, Academic Press, Exptl. Eye Res. Supplement, pp. 100-109, 1965.
21. Spencer, R. P. and Knox, W. E. Comparative enzyme apparatus of the gut mucosa. *Fed. Proc.* 19:886-897, 1960.
22. Umbreit, W. W., Burris, R. H. and Stauffer, J. F. *Manometric Techniques*, 3rd ed., Chapter 10. Minneapolis, Minnesota, Burgess Publishing Co., 1957.

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute Defense Atomic Support Agency Bethesda, Maryland 20014		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
		2b. GROUP N/A
3. REPORT TITLE RESPONSE OF RAT GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT DEHYDROGENASE SYSTEMS TO WHOLE-BODY IONIZING IRRADIATION		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Kivy-Rosenberg, E.		
6. REPORT DATE October 1969	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 19	7b. NO. OF REFS 22
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRRI SR69-16	
b. PROJECT NO.		
c. MC 3 90302	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Defense Atomic Support Agency Washington, D. C. 20305
13. ABSTRACT The activity of two dehydrogenase systems of the pentose cycle (glucose-6-phosphate-dependent and 6-phosphogluconate-dependent) as well as the lactate-dependent dehydrogenase system was studied in homogenates of four regions of the adult male rat gastrointestinal tract following 1540-rad whole-body x irradiation (WBR), or 1400-rad mixed gamma-neutron radiation. Microchemical assays using a tetrazolium salt (INT) were done at intervals after irradiation (10-20 minutes, 1, 2, 3 days). By 1 day postirradiation there was a significant fall in activity in both pentose cycle systems as well as in the lactate-dependent system in the stomach. For the most part, the fall in activity did not occur in the intestinal regions until the 2nd day. This depression became most prominent by 3 days for the regions studied. The lactate-dependent dehydrogenase system activity of three regions of the gastrointestinal tract was more drastically affected by the radiations delivered than was that of the pentose cycle systems. The fourth region (distal end of the large intestine) was not consistent with the others, in its response. It seems from this study that the dehydrogenase systems which are intramitochondrial in location showed a greater reduction in activity than did those which are extramitochondrial.		

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parentheses immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS) (S) (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.