

TECHNICAL REPORT

70-15-FL

AD

COMPRESSED BEEF JERKY

by

R. L. Helmer and J. M. Tuomy

September 1969

UNITED STATES ARMY
NATICK LABORATORIES
Natick, Massachusetts 01760



Food Laboratory

FL-98

This document has been approved for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute
an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it
to the originator.

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

TECHNICAL REPORT

70-15-FL

COMPRESSED BEEF JERKY

by

R. L. Helmer and J. M. Tuomy

Project Reference:
LJ6-62708-D553

Series: FL-98

September 1969

Food Laboratory
U. S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES
Natick, Massachusetts 01760

FOREWORD

The Food Packet, Long Range Patrol, is used by the Armed Services in Vietnam for patrol actions and other situations where resupply is difficult or not contemplated. It has been found to be excellent for this purpose, but users have requested a less bulky packet. In certain situations, food in a bar form would be desirable to be eaten out-of-hand. For these reasons, work is being performed on compressing foods with the ultimate goal of having food bars which can be either eaten out-of-hand or rehydrated to form a familiar food.

Jerky, a type of dried meat which has been used for centuries, has certain advantages if it could be modified to fit modern tastes. Moreover, it has a low bulk density and thus should be compressed for most efficient use. While it cannot be rehydrated to form a familiar food, it could be used in food packets until better bars are developed.

The work was performed under Project LJ6-62708-D553, Food Processing and Preservation Techniques and under Marine Corps MIPR M27/8/7616.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
Abstract	iv
Introduction	1
Experimental Methods	2
Results and Discussion	2
Literature Cited	5

TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
1	Typical analyses of beef jerky converted to 13.5 percent moisture.	3
2	Average technological taste panel results for ground compressed jerky stored for intervals . up to 6 months at 100° F (12 member panel).	3
3	Moisture sorption isotherm values of beef jerky bars at 70 F as percent water on the dry basis . .	4

ABSTRACT

A compressed beef jerky bar was developed which has promise for use by the Armed Forces in special stress situations for limited periods of time. The product is prepared by grinding lean beef, adding salt, and smoking it on screen trays until the moisture is in the range of 12 to 15 percent. The product is then compressed in a bar mold using 5,000 pounds per square inch pressure with a 10-second dwell time and packaged in a flexible film pouch. Ratio of compressed to noncompressed product is 1 to 3.

A 6-month storage study at 100°F indicates that the product has excellent storage stability. The water content is sufficiently low to prevent microbiological activity. Preliminary field tests indicate the product performs well for the intended purpose.

COMPRESSED BEEF JERKY

INTRODUCTION

The Armed Services have expressed a need for stable compact meat bars which can be eaten out-of-hand in emergency and stress situations. One of the bars developed which seems to have considerable promise is compressed jerky.

Jerky has been made in many countries. Called "biltong" in Africa and "charqui" in South America, it was customarily prepared by cutting lean meat into thin strips and drying it in the sun. Ziegler (1958) states that while most of the jerky made by the North American Indians was sun-dried, some of it was hung over fires or at the top of teepees in order to speed the drying and thus minimize spoilage during the process. The meat was smoked as well as dried.

The chemical components of wood smoke have some antibacterial and anti-oxidative preservative action with meat (Gibbons *et al.*, 1954; Lea, 1933; Jensen, 1943). However, the main purpose of smoking is to improve flavor. The primary factor in the preservation of jerky and similar products is the reduction of water activity to a point where spoilage organisms cannot grow.

Water activity (A_w) is a fundamental property of aqueous solutions and is defined by the formula

$$A_w = \frac{P}{P_o}$$

where P is the vapor pressure of the solution and P_o is the vapor pressure of the solute. All microorganisms require water solutions in which to grow (Scott, 1957) and foods such as meat contain water solutions which normally will support growth. However, if the A_w of these solutions is reduced by some means to between .7 and .8, spoilage microorganisms will not grow. The A_w can be lowered by drying or by the addition of a substance such as salt which will depress the vapor pressure, or by a combination of both methods.

The old-time jerky was very dry, tough, and difficult to chew (Stefansson, 1946). Furthermore, the dried strips were not considered a complete food and were hard to pack. Therefore, although some jerky was used by war parties and by the fur trappers in North America, most of it was pounded into a fibrous, fluffy mass which was mixed with hot fat to make pemmican. In order to produce a jerky more acceptable to modern taste, it was decided to use a combination of drying, smoking, and salting. This was expected to produce a product with sufficient moisture to be compressible as well as chewable, yet with an A_w low enough to prevent spoilage.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Jerky strips were prepared from U. S. Grade Commercial boneless chuck and U. S. Grade Choice boneless top round. The beef was defatted to less than 10 percent, frozen at -30°F , and cut into $1/4$ " wide and $1/4$ " thick strips on the band saw. The raw strips were then placed evenly on screen trays and salt was sprinkled over them. The quantity of salt used was 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 percent on a raw-weight basis. The product was then smoked at 100°F with heavy smoke for 2 hours, followed by a 200°F period with moderate smoke until the proper moisture level was obtained. Total time in the smoke house was 5 to 8 hours.

Ground jerky was prepared in the same way except that the beef was cooled to 36°F and ground through a plate containing 1-inch holes. The salt and ground beef were mixed, the product was reground through a plate with $1/2$ -inch holes and then spread evenly on screen trays.

Thirty grams of the smoked product were compressed in a 1 x 3-inch mold using 5,000 pounds per square inch pressure and a 10-second dwell time in a Carver Laboratory Press. Moisture levels from 10 to 24 percent were used to determine the best moisture for compression. After compression, the bars were sealed in a flexible pouch at a vacuum of at least 27 inches of mercury. Samples were stored at 100°F and tested by a technological panel at 4 intervals up to 6 months.

The water activity was determined by the static equilibrium method over saturated salt solutions (Salwin and Slawson, 1959).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary taste panels indicated that while the bars made from strips were slightly preferred to those made from the ground jerky, the difference was not enough to rule out the ground product. Loss of meat during the sawing operation and handling problems with the strips indicated that manufacturing costs would be considerably higher with the strips. Therefore, it was decided to concentrate on the ground product for possible military use. The grades of beef had no effect on the taste panel results.

Compression studies showed that a moisture content of 12-15 percent gave the best compressed bar. Above 15 percent moisture, the bars relaxed so that they were difficult to package and would fall apart when the package was opened. Below 12 percent moisture, the product was difficult to eat and was powdered. In the 12-15 percent range, the compressed bar could be handled and eaten without difficulty. Ratio of compressed to noncompressed product was 1 to 3.

Table 1 shows typical analyses of the ground jerky converted to 13.5 percent moisture where varying amounts of salt were used. The fat is quite low for a dry meat product and the protein is high.

Table 1. Typical analyses of beef jerky converted to 13.5 percent moisture.

Meat used	Moisture	Analyses (%)		
		Fat	Protein	Salt
Choice Top Round	13.5	9.9	68.5	1.9
Choice Top Round		9.5	67.8	3.1
Commercial Chuck		9.1	66.1	5.3
Choice Top Round		14.0	57.1	8.7

Table 2 shows the average taste panel results for the compressed ground jerky stored at 100°F for up to 6 months. Analysis of variance indicated that the only significant storage effect was in texture, which showed improvement at the end of six months. The panel results indicate that the product is considered hard or tough and should be improved. However, a preliminary field test by the Marine Corps (Anon, 1969) where the jerky bar was part of an experimental food packet did not yield conclusive evidence that the texture should be changed.

Table 2. Average technological taste panel results* for ground compressed jerky stored for intervals up to 6 months at 100°F (12 member panel).

Property	At Storage Time (mo.)			
	0	1 1/2	3	6
Color	6.4	6.0	5.8	6.6
Odor	6.9	6.4	6.5	7.0
Flavor	6.3	6.1	6.4	6.8
Texture	5.0	4.3	5.5	6.2

* Each member rated each property on a scale of 9; then these were averaged.

Table 3 shows the 70 F moisture sorption isotherm values for the ground jerky as percent water on a dry basis. These values indicate that at all salt levels tested, the water activity would be under .7 and the product would not support microbiological activity. However, the product is hygroscopic and therefore must be properly packaged to prevent moisture uptake. The salt level used for taste panels was 3.5 to 4 percent in the final product; this is close to the range obtained with cooked bacon.

Table 3. Moisture sorption isotherm values of beef jerky bars at 70 F as percent water on the dry basis.

Salt in Finished Product (%)	At Water Activity (Aw)					
	0	0.12	0.33	0.52	0.75	0.86
2.00	0.18	3.23	6.00	9.75	19.46	27.95
3.50	0.20	3.47	6.00	9.61	22.55	34.24
6.25	0.13	3.66	7.05	9.23	31.23	46.05
9.00	0.23	2.72	5.29	8.11	43.80	64.66

In general, as now developed, the Beef Jerky Bars appear to be highly acceptable for the intended purpose. Field tests will be needed to determine whether changes (such as softening the texture) will be necessary. Preliminary field tests by the Marine Corps resulted in a favorable report (Anon, 1969). Stefansson (1946) stated that fur industry or fur trappers considered 1 pound of jerky to be equivalent to 6 pounds of lean meat. The lack of sufficient fat prevented the jerky from being used as the sole diet for anything but short periods. Ration designers must consider this in making up food packets.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Anonymous, 1969. Emergency Rations, Final Report, Project No. 42-68-09 U. S. Marine Corps Development Center, Quantico, Va.
2. Gibbons, N. E., Rose Dyson, and J. W. Hopkins, 1954. Bactericidal and drying effects on smoking of bacon. Food Technol. 8, 155-57.
3. Jensen, L. B. 1943. Action of hardwood smoke on bacteria in cured meats. Food Research 8, 377-87.
4. Lea, C. H. 1933. Chemical changes in the fat of frozen and chilled meat. Part IV The effect of smoking and the influence of atmospheric humidity on keeping properties of bacon. J. Soc. Chem. Ind. 52, 57-63.
5. Salwin, Harold and Vida Slawson, 1959. Moisture transfer in combinations of dehydrated foods. Food Technol. 13, 715-718.
6. Scott, W. J. 1957. Water relations of food spoilage micro-organisms. Advances in Food Research. 7, 83-123.
7. Stefansson, Vilhjalmur 1946. Not by bread alone. The Macmillan Co., New York, p. 195.
8. Ziegler, Thomas D. 1958. The meat we eat. Interstate Printers and Publishers, Danville, Ill. p. 156.

FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST - ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Copies

- 1 - Commanding General
US Army Medical Research and
Development Command
ATTN: MEDDH-SI
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 2 - Commanding General
US Army Test and Evaluation
Command
ATTN: AMSTE-BC
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
Maryland 21005
- 1 - Commanding General
US Army Combat Development
Command
Combat Service Support Group
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 1 - Commanding General
US Army Combat Development
Command
ATTN: CDCMR-O
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
- 1 - Commanding General
US Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCRD-JI
Department of the Army
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 2 - Commanding Officer
Edgewood Arsenal
ATTN: SMUEA-TSTI-TL
Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland
21010
- 1 - Commanding Officer
US Army Combat Development
Command
Supply Agency
ATTN: CDCSA-R
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801

Copies

- 1 - Commanding Officer
US Army Medical Nutrition
Laboratory
Fitzsimons General Hospital
Denver, Colorado 80240
- 1 - Commander
Defense Personnel Support
Center
ATTN: Directorate of
Subsistence, DPSC-ST5
2800 South 20th Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
19101
- 1 - Commandant of the Marine Corps
Code AO4D
Washington, D. C. 20380
- 1 - Commandant of the Marine Corps
Code CDE
Washington, D. C. 20380
- 2 - Executive Secretary
Interdepartmental Committee on
Radiation Preservation of
Food
Consumer Products Division 623
Business and Defense Service
Administration
US Department of Commerce
Washington, D. C. 20230
- 2 - Director
Development Center
Marine Corps Development and
Education Command
ATTN: Combat Service Support
Division
Quantico, Virginia 22134

Copies

- 1 - Director
Division of Biology and
Medicine
US Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545
- 1 - Director
US Army Advanced Materiel
Concepts Agency
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 1 - Chief, Life Sciences Division
Army Research Office
Office of Chief of Research
and Development
Washington, D. C. 20310
- 3 - Office of the Coordinator of
Research
University of Rhode Island
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881
- 1 - Dr. Herbert E. Hall
Chief, Food Microbiology
National Center for Urban and
Industrial Health
Food Protection Research
222 East Central Parkway
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
- 1 - Stimson Library
ATTN: Documents Librarian
US Army Medical Field Service
School
Brooke Army Medical Center
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234

Copies

- 2 - Quartermaster School Library
US Army Quartermaster School
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 1 - Library Southern Utilization
Research and Development
Division
Agricultural Research Service
US Department of Agriculture
PO Box 19687
New Orleans, Louisiana 70119
- 2 - Technical Library
USACDC Institute of Land
Combat
301 Taylor Drive
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- 1 - US Department of Agriculture
Division of Acquisitions
National Agriculture Library
Washington, D. C. 20250
- 2 - US Army Research Office
ATTN: Technical Library
3045 Columbia Pike
Arlington, Virginia 2204
- 2 - Headquarters 12th Support
Brigade
ACofS Services
ATTN: Food Advisor
Fort Bragg, North Carolina
28307
- 4 - Exchange and Gift Division
Library of Congress
Washington, D. C. 20540

FOOD LABORATORY INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST - ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Copies

- 25 - Chief, Technical Plans Office, NLABS
(20 for transmittal to Defense Documentation Center)
- 2 - Technical Library, NLABS
- 10 - Program Coordination Office, Food Laboratory, NLABS
- 7 - Division Chiefs, Food Laboratory, NLABS
- 2 - Marine Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 3 - Air Force Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 1 - Director, Earth Sciences Laboratory, NLABS
- 2 - Director, General Equipment and Packaging Laboratory, NLABS
- 3 - Director, Pioneering Research Laboratory, NLABS
- Project Officer and Alternate Project Officer, Food Laboratory, NLABS

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
U. S. Army Natick Laboratories Natick, Massachusetts 01760		Unclassified	
3. REPORT TITLE		2b. GROUP	
Compressed Beef Jerky			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
R. L. Helmer and J. M. Tuomy			
6. REPORT DATE		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
September 1969		5	8
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
b. PROJECT NO. LJ6-62708-D553		70-15-FL	
c.		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		FL-98	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
		U. S. Army Natick Laboratories Natick, Massachusetts 01760	
13. ABSTRACT			
A compressed beef jerky bar was developed which has promise for use by the Armed Forces in special stress situations for limited periods of time. The product is prepared by grinding lean beef, adding salt, and smoking it on screen trays until the moisture is in the range of 12 to 15 percent. The product is then compressed in a bar mold using 5,000 pounds per square inch pressure with a 10-second dwell time and packaged in a flexible film pouch. Ratio of compressed to noncompressed product is 1 to 3. A 6-month storage study at 100°F indicates that the product has excellent storage stability. The water content is sufficiently low to prevent microbiological activity. Preliminary field tests indicate the product performs well for the intended purpose.			

Unclassified

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Smoking	8					
Grinding (comminution)	8					
Compressing	8					
Sodium chloride	1					
Beef	1		9			
Food bars	2		9			
Beef jerky bars	2		9			
Packaging			8			
Flexible			0			
Storage stability			8			
Field rations	4		4			
Emergency rations	4		4			

Unclassified

Security Classification